A green approach of preparation of fine active alumina with high specific surface area from sodium aluminate solution
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Fine active alumina (FAA) with a high specific surface area (SSA) is used in catalysis, adsorbents and other applications. This study presents a novel method of preparing high surface area FAA via a phase evolution from gibbsite through ammonium aluminum carbonate hydroxide (AACH) to FAA. Thermodynamic calculations showed that increasing the pH and (NH₄)₂CO₃ concentration both promoted the transformation of gibbsite to AACH. Fine gibbsite precipitated from a sodium aluminate solution could thus be efficiently changed to AACH and subsequently to FAA. Minimal particle aggregation was achieved from gibbsite to AACH to FAA owing to the filling of capillaries by NH₃ and CO₂, the formation of boehmite and interfacial hydrophobicity. Furthermore, capillary pressures of 1.25–46.56 MPa during the AACH roasting process prevented the collapse of mesopores. The high capillary pressure, numerous open mesopores, and inhibition of aggregation produced FAA with an extremely high SSA. The SSA of FAA was as high as 1088.72 m² g⁻¹ following the roasting of AACH at 300 °C for 180 min. This FAA was demonstrated to remove phosphate from wastewater with an adsorption capacity of 300.28 mg g⁻¹.

1 Introduction

γ-Al₂O₃ is widely used in catalysts, catalyst supports, wastewater purification, gas separation, automobile exhaust emission reduction systems and flue gas cleaning. As many of those applications depend on a large specific surface area (SSA), increasing the SSA of active alumina is an important research challenge.

Because the SSA of fine alumina is often less than 250 m² g⁻¹, the SSA is typically increased by preparing nano-alumina as well as by regulating the pore structure of the material. Various methods have been developed for the preparation of nano-alumina, including chemical vapor deposition, mechanical grinding, chemical pyrolysis, wet sol–gel, microemulsion precipitation and hydrothermal synthesis. Among these, the wet method has been extensively investigated owing to its low cost, simplicity and ready industrial application. However, the unavoidable aggregation of nano-particles during precipitation from solution as well as during drying or roasting can greatly increase the particle size. Hence, various additional processes to inhibit aggregation have also been reported. In those approaches, an Al(OH)₃ or AlOOH precursor is first carefully prepared, such as by diluting a more concentrated solution, modifying the solvent properties of a solution via the addition of organic solvents, adding a surfactant or chelator, modifying the particle surfaces or coating the particle surfaces. Various approaches, including azeotropic distillation, freeze-drying, supercritical drying and surface modification, are subsequently applied during drying or roasting (calcining) of the precursor. Additionally, the pore structure (pore size, pore volume) can be tuned so as to increase the SSA, such as surfactants, templates, coupling templates or pore-expanding agents. Those techniques increase the SSA of nano-alumina to the range of 200 to 700 m² g⁻¹. Even so, such methods are expensive and tend to generate large amounts of high salinity waste water. Meanwhile, the fine active alumina (FAA) can be prepared in an economically friendly, economical manner using a sodium aluminate solution, which leads to less aggregation compared to nanoparticles, although the low SSA of this material (<300 m² g⁻¹) limits its applications. Therefore, a green, economical methods of synthesizing FAA have a high SSA (>500 m² g⁻¹) is required.

Ammonium aluminum carbonate hydroxide (AACH) is often used as a precursor for FAA production. AACH, in turn, is typically prepared by adding ammonium bicarbonate to an aluminum sulphate solution. This process generates a large amount of wastewater containing (NH₄)₂SO₄. If AACH could be generated from gibbsite rather than amorphous aluminum hydroxide, an inexpensive sodium aluminate solution could be used to prepare FAA via a precipitation process that does not generate wastewater. Trim et al. demonstrated that AACH can...
be synthesized from fine amorphous aluminum hydroxide by pumping CO$_2$ into a dilute sodium aluminate solution. This material was found to have a low SSA (from 200 to 300 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) after the roasting process.$^{22,33}$ However, there have been few reports of AACH synthesis using gibbsite. Furthermore, FAA with a high SSA of over 500 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ is expected to be obtained from a sodium aluminate solution without wastewater.

In the present study, an inexpensive sodium aluminate solution was used to prepare FAA by promoting a sequential phase evolution from gibbsite to AACH to FAA. The feasibility of changing gibbsite to AACH in an ammonium carbonate solution was initially examined based on thermodynamic calculations. The phase evolution process, along with the resultant particle size distribution (PSD), particle morphology and pore structure, were subsequently characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), PSD analysis, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms. The mechanism associated with the formation of FAA with a high SSA was also studied in detail. In addition, the FAA resulting from this process was employed to remove phosphate from wastewater. The results demonstrate a novel green process for the preparation of FAA with a high SSA and also improve our understanding of the mechanism by which particle aggregation is inhibited.

2 Experiment

2.1 Experimental materials

Sodium aluminate solution with $a_k$ 1.45 (molar ratio of caustic soda Na$_2$O to alumina Al$_2$O$_3$ in sodium aluminate solution) was prepared with aluminum hydroxide and sodium hydroxide (Xilong Chemical Co., Ltd.). H$_2$O$_2$, (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$, NH$_3$·H$_2$O and CH$_3$CH$_2$OH (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Beijing Co., Ltd.) were added into a round flask, 25 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ (10 wt%) was then added at a speed of 5 mL min$^{-1}$ to generate a gibbsite seed in vigorous agitation, followed by precipitation of the gibbsite at 50 °C for 60 min. The fine gibbsite was filtered and washed with boiling deionized water. Afterwards, the fine gibbsite was placed into a flask containing 100 mL of (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ (1.54 mol L$^{-1}$) solution and 100 mL of deionized water. AACH was produced at 50 °C for 24 h when pH was maintained at approximately 10.0 with addition ammonia (30 wt%). AACH was then obtained by centrifugation, washed with deionized water and alcohol, and dried at 60 °C for 24 h. Finally, FAA was obtained by roasting AACH at different temperature for 180 min. The green economical process in preparing FAA is listed in Fig. 1.

In Fig. 1, the spent liquor (spent sodium aluminate solution) is used to dissolve aluminum hydroxide or bauxite, and the fine gibbsite is then precipitated from the supersaturated sodium aluminate solution, in which caustic soda is recycled. In addition, CO$_2$ and NH$_3$, decomposed from AACH, are transformed into (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ solution, and AACH is then formed in (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ solution. CO$_2$ and NH$_3$ are also recycled. Therefore, no wastewater and gas will be discharged during the preparation of FAA from sodium aluminate solution.

2.2 Experimental

2.2.1 Preparation of AACH from gibbsite precipitated from sodium aluminate solution. 15 mL of sodium aluminate solution ($C_{Na_2O} = 2.26$ mol L$^{-1}$, $C_{Al_2O_3} = 1.57$ mol L$^{-1}$) and 400 mL of deionized water were added into a round flask, 25 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ (10 wt%) was then added at a speed of 5 mL min$^{-1}$ to generate a gibbsite seed in vigorous agitation, followed by precipitation of the gibbsite at 50 °C for 60 min. The fine gibbsite was filtered and washed with boiling deionized water. Afterwards, the fine gibbsite was placed into a flask containing 100 mL of (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ (1.54 mol L$^{-1}$) solution and 100 mL of deionized water. AACH was produced at 50 °C for 24 h when pH was maintained at approximately 10.0 with addition ammonia (30 wt%). AACH was then obtained by centrifugation, washed with deionized water and alcohol, and dried at 60 °C for 24 h. Finally, FAA was obtained by roasting AACH at different temperature for 180 min. The green economical process in preparing FAA is listed in Fig. 1.

In Fig. 1, the spent liquor (spent sodium aluminate solution) is used to dissolve aluminum hydroxide or bauxite, and the fine gibbsite is then precipitated from the supersaturated sodium aluminate solution, in which caustic soda is recycled. In addition, CO$_2$ and NH$_3$, decomposed from AACH, are transformed into (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ solution, and AACH is then formed in (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ solution. CO$_2$ and NH$_3$ are also recycled. Therefore, no wastewater and gas will be discharged during the preparation of FAA from sodium aluminate solution.

2.2.2 Removal of phosphate from wastewater. The phosphate solution by dissolving KH$_2$PO$_4$ into deionized water was used to simulate wastewater containing phosphorus. Afterwards, 100 mL as-prepared KH$_2$PO$_4$ solution and 2 g L$^{-1}$ FAA were mixed together at 25 °C, removal of phosphate from wastewater was then studied by static shaking table test.

2.3 Characterization and methods

2.3.1 Characterization. Gibbsite, AACH and FAA was identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku TTR-III) with Cu Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.154056$ nm), the applied tube voltage and electric current were 40 kV and 250 mA, respectively. Scan range varied from 10° to 75°, and the scanning rate of 10° min$^{-1}$. PSD was determined using a Mastersizer-2000 (Malvern UK) when sample was dispersed in deionized water by ultrasonic. Morphologies were observed on SEM (JSM-6360LV, JEOL, Japan) and TEM (FEI Tecnai G2 F20, USA). The thermal gravimetric analysis and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) measurements were conducted on Thermal Analysis SDT-2960 (USA) with a heating rate of 5, 10 and 20 °C min$^{-1}$ and up to 800 °C in an air atmosphere. After powder was pressed into a thin tablet with 0.2 g sample under 20 MPa, the surface properties of alumina, boehmite and AACH were measured by CL200B Contact Angle instrument (Shanghai junlin information technology Co., LTD., China) using the drop method with glycerin, diiodomethane and deionized water, surface tension and free energy were then calculated based on the Owen rule. N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms and pore structure were obtained on an ASAP 2020 (Micromeritics Instruments, USA) nitrogen adsorption apparatus. All samples were degassed at 150 °C prior to Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) measurements. The BET specific surface area was determined by a multipoint BET method using the adsorption data in the relative pressure $P/P_0$ range of 0.05–0.30.

2.3.2 Methods. The concentration of caustic soda (Na$_2$O) and alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) in sodium aluminate solution were measured by titration. Phosphate concentration was determined by the molybdate blue spectrophotometric method.$^{14}$ Adsorption capacity $q_t$ (eqn (1)) and removal rate of phosphate $\eta$ (%) (eqn (2)) were calculated according to the following eqn (1) and (2), respectively.

$$q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) V}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\eta(\%) = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $C_0$ and $C_t$ are the initial and t-moment concentration of phosphate in solution (mg L$^{-1}$), $q_t$ represents the t-moment
adsorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(m\) stands for adsorbent mass (g), and \(V\) is solution volume (L).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Transformation from gibbsite to AACH

Gibbsite can be economically precipitated from the supersaturated sodium aluminate solution relative to preparation of amorphous Al(OH)\(_3\) by neutralization or hydrolysis. Thus, the possibility of gibbsite changing into AACH was firstly examined through thermodynamic calculation, and the transformation was then verified by experiments.

3.1.1 Reaction behavior of gibbsite in ammonium carbonate solution. Since ammonium carbonate solution is weakly alkaline, \(\text{CO}_3^{2-}/\text{C}_0\), \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{C}_0\), \(\text{OH}^-/\text{C}_0\), or \(\text{NH}_4^+\) ions may exist in the solution. Meanwhile, \(\text{CO}_3^{2-}/\text{C}_0\), \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{C}_0\), \(\text{OH}^-/\text{C}_0\), or \(\text{NH}_4^+\) ions may react with aluminum ions. Table 1 lists data of the Gibbs free energy for the above substances. Table 2 presents the possible reaction equations and corresponding reaction constants at \(298.15\) K.

Ammonium exists in the form of \(\text{NH}_3\), \(\text{NH}_4^+\) and \(\text{NH}_4^+\) Al(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) in the system of gibbsite (Al(OH)\(_3\)) changing into AACH (NH\(_4\)Al(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\)). The total concentration of ammonium in the solution, denoted as \([\text{N}]_T\), was expressed in the following eqn (13) on the basis of the mass balance and reaction formula.

\[
[N]_T = [\text{NH}_3] + [\text{NH}_4^+] + [\text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3]
\] (13)

As solubility of aluminum hydroxide is minimal in the weakly alkaline (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solution, little Al(OH)\(_4^-\) exists in the solution. Meanwhile, no corresponding activity coefficient in the (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solution was reported. Therefore, the ion activity was nearly equal to its concentration. Based on the equilibrium reactions (8)–(12) and mass balance (13), Fig. 2 presents the dependence of \([\text{N}]_T\) on pH at 298.15 K.

In Fig. 2, it was indicated that NH\(_4\)Al(OH)\(_4\)CO\(_3\) could be formed at a pH range from 9.92 to 10.28. Furthermore, raising pH and (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)CO\(_3\) concentration widened the region of NH\(_4^+\)-Al(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) and narrowed the region of AOOH. Meanwhile, increasing total [NH\(_4\)]\(_2\)CO\(_3\) concentration benefitted Al(OH)\(_3\) or Al(OH)\(_4^-\) transformation into NH\(_4\)Al(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) and limited the formation of AOOH. However, NH\(_4\)Al(OH)\(_4\)CO\(_3\) could be changed into AOOH or Al(OH)\(_4^-\) in concentrated alkaline solution.

3.1.2 Phase evolution from gibbsite to AACH to FAA. Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns obtained on going from gibbsite to AACH to FAA. The well characteristic peaks were assigned to the gibbsite (PDF no. 74-1775) prepared from sodium aluminate solution by seeded precipitation (Fig. 3(a), pattern 1). A new peak at a \(2\theta\) value of 15.31° in Fig. 3(a) (pattern 2) that was assigned to AACH (PDF no. 52-1138) was found after the gibbsite changed to AACH for 60 min. Many peaks of AACH were then observed after increase in duration (Fig. 3(a), pattern 3 and 4). There results prove that gibbsite can be changed into AACH in (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solution, which is in well agreement with that in Fig. 2.

Fig. 3(b) shows the phase evolution from gibbsite through AACH and Boehmite to FAA. Boehmite (PDF no. 21-1307) was observed during the roasting of AACH at 150 °C, and active alumina γ-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (PDF no. 04-0880) was produced during roasting at 500 °C for 180 min. The γ-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) evidently had a low degree of crystallization and contained defect sites and high reactive sites, as demonstrated by the broad diffraction peaks in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substances</th>
<th>(\Delta G^0/(kJ\ mol^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3^-)</td>
<td>-827.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)(_3^-)</td>
<td>-1305.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)(_4^-)</td>
<td>-1154.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOOH</td>
<td>-1831.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH(_4^+)</td>
<td>-79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO(_3^-)</td>
<td>-586.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_3^{2-})</td>
<td>-527.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Reaction equation and their equilibrium constant at 298.15 K (ref. 39–41)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial number</th>
<th>Ions reaction equation</th>
<th>$\log K$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>$\text{AlO}_2^- + H^+ + H_2O = \text{Al(OH)}_2^+$</td>
<td>15.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>$\text{Al(OH)}_3^- + H^+ = \text{Al(OH)}_2 + H_2O$</td>
<td>14.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>$\text{Al(OH)}_3^- + H^+ = \text{AlOOH + 2H}_2O$</td>
<td>15.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3 + H^+ = 2\text{NH}_4^+ + \text{HCO}_3^-$</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>$\text{HCO}_3^- = H^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$</td>
<td>10.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>$\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{OH}^-$</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>$H^+ + \text{OH}^- = \text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>$\text{Al(OH)}_3^- + \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{HCO}_3^- + H^+ = \text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>16.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>$\text{Al(OH)}_3^- + \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-} + 2H^+ = \text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>27.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>$\text{Al(OH)}_3^- + \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{HCO}_3^- = \text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>$\text{Al(OH)}_3^- + \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-} + H^+ = \text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>14.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>$\text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3 = \text{AlOOH} + \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{HCO}_3^-$</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\log K$ for eqn (8)–(12) are obtained according to the following equation $\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K$.

The gibbsite particles in Fig. 5(a) were approximately 0.5 µm in size, which was consistent with the results in Fig. 4. After ultrasonication was applied to disperse the gibbsite in anhydrous alcohol (90 W power for 240 min), gibbsite particles approximately 30 to 60 nm in size were found to be aggregated (Fig. 5(b)). Fig. 5(c) showed uniform, fine AACH fibers with lengths of approximately 1.00–1.50 µm and diameters of close to 0.05 µm. The fact that the well crystallized AACH was readily filtered compared with nano-gibbsite led to inexpensive separation from solid to liquid in slurry. Roasting of the AACH at 500 °C for 180 min gave FAA particles with fiber-like shapes (Fig. 5(d)) and a similar morphology to the AACH. Many FAA primary particles with sizes less than 30 nm were also observed, with numerous nanopores having diameters of over 2 nm scattered throughout the particles.

Thus, particle aggregation during the transformation from gibbsite through AACH to FAA was effectively inhibited. This process allows the economical, environmentally friendly preparation of FAA from a sodium aluminate solution, based on recycling of the spent sodium aluminate solution and the decomposition gases NH$_3$ and CO$_2$ produced during the roasting step.

3.2 Pore structure of FAA

The pore structure (pore size, pore volume, and open or closed pore) of the FAA, which is related to its SSA, is affected by the roasting process. Thus, the effects of both the roasting temperature and heating rate were investigated, with the aim of minimizing the particle aggregation.

3.2.1 Effect of roasting temperature on mass loss. Because H$_2$O, CO$_2$, and NH$_3$ are generated during the thermal decomposition of AACH, the gas volume produced per unit time, which in turn is related to the heating rate, will determine the FAA pore structure. Fig. 6 provides the thermal gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) curves obtained from AACH decomposition at various heating rates.

In Fig. 6, similar TG-DTA curves were acquired at heating rates of 5, 10 and 20 °C min$^{-1}$. However, increasing the heating rate shifted the onset of thermal decomposition from 195.27 to...
The results suggest that a greater volume of gas will be released per unit time when applying a more rapid heating during the roasting of AACH. A strong endothermic peak appears at 200 °C in each curve, attributed to the formation of boehmite as an intermediate (in Fig. 3(b)). Increasing the heating rate may accelerate the formation of boehmite because a mass losses of 56.03 wt% occurred at 20 °C min^{-1} comparison to 56.83 wt% in the form of boehmite from AACH. Moreover, a 1.55–1.85 wt% mass loss was observed above 500 °C, owing to the formation of γ-Al₂O₃. From those results, the AACH appears to have decomposed according to the following series of reactions.

\[
\text{NH}_4\text{Al(}\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3 \rightleftharpoons \text{AlOOH(boehmite)} + \text{NH}_3 \uparrow + \text{CO}_2 \uparrow + \text{H}_2\text{O} \uparrow
\]

\[
2\text{AlOOH} \rightleftharpoons \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(\text{amorphous}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \uparrow
\]

\[
\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(\text{amorphous}) \rightleftharpoons \gamma-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3
\]

AlOOH was interestingly found during the transformation of AACH into FAA. Its surface property and water mass loss differed from those of Al(OH)₃(2Al(OH)₃ = Al₂O₃ + 3H₂O), forming boehmite may mitigate the hard aggregation of particles in roasting process.

### 3.2.2 Effect of roasting temperature on contact angle and surface energy

Contact angle measurements are often used to evaluate surface properties such as hydrophilicity, hydrophobicity and surface free energy. Thus, the extent of particle aggregation on going from AACH to AlOOH to FAA was discussed by determining the contact angles of AACH, AlOOH and FAA with similar PSDs. The solid surface energy of each material was also estimated using Owen’s method. Table 3 displays the resulting data.

The results in Table 3 indicated that the contact angles tended to vary significantly when glycerol, diiodomethane and diiodomethane. The contact angle measurements were used to evaluate surface properties such as hydrophilicity, hydrophobicity, and surface free energy. The extent of particle aggregation on going from AACH to AlOOH to FAA was discussed by determining the contact angles of AACH, AlOOH, and FAA with similar PSDs. The solid surface energy of each material was also estimated using Owen’s method. Table 3 displays the resulting data.

The results in Table 3 indicated that the contact angles tended to vary significantly when glycerol, diiodomethane, and diiodomethane.
water were acted as the liquid phase. Elevating the temperature gradually decreased the contact angle with glycerol, while the contact angles of AACH and boehmite remained nearly constant with diiodomethane. In contrast, the contact angle initially increased and then decreased with increasing temperature when using water (Table 3 ($q_3$)). These data suggest that the hydrophobicity increases in the order of AACH > boehmite > FAA, thereby preventing particle aggregation. In addition, the solid surface energy remained essentially constant at roasting temperatures ranging from 150 to 500 °C but significantly increased at 800 °C. This finding is in agreement with the minimal increase in particle size on going from AACH to FAA (Fig. 4). This finding reveals that the interface energy of the powder is dependent on particle size.

3.2.3 Effect of roasting temperature on the pore diameter distribution of FAA. The functionality and SSA of FAA are both dependent on its pore structure.48 Because the gas volume release varies with the roasting temperature, the pore structure will also be expected to vary along with the AACH thermal decomposition temperature. Fig. 7 provides the N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms and pore size distribution data obtained at specific roasting temperatures.

Fig. 5 SEM and TEM images of (a and b) gibbsite, (c) AACH and (d) FAA. Gibbsite was precipitated from a sodium aluminate solution ($C_{Na_2O} = 2.26$ mol L$^{-1}$, $C_{Al_2O_3} = 1.57$ mol L$^{-1}$) at 50 °C for 65 min, while AACH was produced in ammonium carbonate solution ($C_{(NH_4)_2CO_3} = 1.54$ mol L$^{-1}$) at 50 °C for 180 min. The FAA was obtained after AACH roasted at 500 °C for 180 min.

Fig. 6 TG-DTA curves obtained from AACH at heating rates of (a) 5, (b) 10 and (c) 20 °C min$^{-1}$. Gibbsite was precipitated from a sodium aluminate solution ($C_{Na_2O} = 2.26$ mol L$^{-1}$, $C_{Al_2O_3} = 1.57$ mol L$^{-1}$) at 50 °C for 65 min, while AACH was produced in ammonium carbonate solution ($C_{(NH_4)_2CO_3} = 1.54$ mol L$^{-1}$) at 50 °C for 180 min.
Fig. 7(a) and (b) present the N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms of FAA roasted at various temperatures. Type IV curves were obtained at 200, 300 and 800 °C, as well as type H1 hysteresis loop according to the IUPAC classification system, confirming the presence of open mesopores. In contrast, type I curves were generated by the AACH roasted at 400 and 500 °C, primarily due to the presence of (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ in water in the capillaries. These samples also produced type H4 hysteresis loops consistent with narrow pores.

![Fig. 7](image)

Table 3  Surface properties of the original aluminum oxide and its hydrate formed by the roasting process$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1#-Al(OH)$_3$</th>
<th>2#-AACH</th>
<th>3#-boehmite</th>
<th>4#-FAA-R2</th>
<th>5#-FAA-R3</th>
<th>6#-FAA-R4</th>
<th>7#-FAA-R5</th>
<th>8#-FAA-R8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roasting temperature (°C)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_1$ (glycerol, °)</td>
<td>63.78</td>
<td>62.71</td>
<td>50.23</td>
<td>47.55</td>
<td>30.14</td>
<td>27.30</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>14.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_2$ (diodomethane, °)</td>
<td>38.92</td>
<td>36.59</td>
<td>36.95</td>
<td>27.19</td>
<td>23.09</td>
<td>21.62</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>13.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_3$ (water, °)</td>
<td>28.34</td>
<td>30.82</td>
<td>33.79</td>
<td>53.45</td>
<td>25.14</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td>18.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_s$ (mJ m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>40.26</td>
<td>41.37</td>
<td>44.67</td>
<td>47.81</td>
<td>44.82</td>
<td>44.93</td>
<td>45.50</td>
<td>61.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ 0.2 g sample was pressed into a thin tablet under 20 MPa for 1 min.$^{1}$ 1#-Al(OH)$_3$, 2#-AACH, 3#-boehmite, roasting AACH at 150 °C for 180 min, 4#-FAA-R2, roasting AACH at 200 °C for 180 min, 5#-FAA-R3, roasting AACH at 300 °C for 180 min, 6#-FAA-R4, roasting AACH at 400 °C for 180 min, 7#-FAA-R5, roasting AACH at 500 °C for 180 min, 8#-FAA-R8, roasting AACH at 800 °C for 180 min.

Gibbsite was precipitated from a sodium aluminate solution ($C_{Na_2O}$ = 2.26 mol L$^{-1}$, $C_{Al_2O_3}$ = 1.57 mol L$^{-1}$) at 50 °C for 65 min, while AACH was produced in ammonium carbonate solution ($C_{(NH_4)_2CO_3}$ = 1.54 mol L$^{-1}$) at 50 °C for 180 min. FAA was synthesized by roasting the AACH roasted at different temperature for 180 min.
In Fig. 7(c) and (d), two peaks of pore diameter size were found. Numerous mesopores and few macropores in FAA would promote its functioning as an adsorbent because there pores can provide efficient transportation pathways to molecules.\(^{49-51}\) Increasing the temperature from 200 to 800 °C enlarged the pore diameters, while smaller pores (2–30 nm) were correspondingly disappeared. There results suggest that smaller pores are preferentially destroyed during thermal decomposition of the AACH, and that the appearance of large pores can be inhibit particle aggregation due to great capillary pressure.

3.2.4 Variations in capillary pressure at different roasting temperatures. To obtain additional information regarding particle aggregation during the roasting process, the capillary pressures (static pressure) were calculated according to the capillary pressure equation \( P_c = 2\sigma \cos \theta/\rho \), using the data in Tables 3 and 4, as well as in Fig. 6 and 7. Capillary pressures (dynamic pressure) were also calculated using the van der Waals equation of state, based on the data in Fig. 6(c). Fig. 8 plots the capillary pressures as functions of the roasting temperature.

There data showed that the capillary pressure was reduced from 46.56 to 2.65 MPa when the roasting temperature was increased from 200 to 400 °C, because large amounts of NH\(_3\) and CO\(_2\) filled the mesopores after decomposition of the AACH. Accordingly, the high capillary pressure prevented mesopore from collapse, leading to minimal particle aggregation and numerous open mesopores. Although the heating rate of the thermal analysis instrument (SDT-2960) was limited, additional increases in the heating rate would be expected to further increase the amount of gas released per unit time. Consequently, the pressure in the capillary (as shown in curve 1 in Fig. 8) was increased (relative to that in curve 2 in Fig. 8). Thus, a rapid heating rate, favoring the inhibition of particle aggregation, was adopted in the following experiments.

In addition, the NH\(_3\) gas generated in this process produced a weakly alkaline solution in the capillary that was conducive to the formation of AlOOH (Fig. 1) instead of Al(OH)\(_3\). The data in Table 3 also showed that boehmite was more hydrophobic than gibbsite (Al(OH)\(_3\)) (In Table 3 \( \theta_{\text{AlOOH}} = 33.79^\circ \) > \( \theta_{\text{Al(OH)\(_3\)}} = 28.34^\circ \)). This finding suggests that the formation of boehmite contributes to the inhibition of aggregation during the AACH roasting process.

### Table 4 Pore characteristics of AACH synthesized via thermal decomposition at various heating rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Surface area (BET) (m(^2) g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total pore volume (cm(^3) g(^{-1}))(^a)</th>
<th>Average pore diameter (nm)(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid heating rate(^c)</td>
<td>Slow heating rate(^d)</td>
<td>Rapid heating rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1#-FAA-R2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>982.52</td>
<td>771.41</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2#-FAA-R3</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1088.72</td>
<td>925.61</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3#-FAA-R4</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>813.23</td>
<td>618.68</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4#-FAA-R5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>722.59</td>
<td>552.77</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5#-FAA-R8</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>336.43</td>
<td>241.24</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Single point total pore volume of pores at \( P/P_0 = 0.99. \(^b\) Estimated using the BJH desorption branch of the isotherm.\(^c\) Rapid heating rate: sample was roasted by a rapid heating rate (20 °C min\(^{-1}\)) from 200 °C to a given temperature in muffle furnace.\(^d\) Slow heating rate: sample was roasted according to heating rate of 5 °C min\(^{-1}\) from 100 °C to in muffle furnace.

### Fig. 8 Static (1#)\(^7\) and dynamic (2#)\(^6\) capillary pressure in pore structures as functions of roasting temperatures. Calculated according to the equation, \( P_c = 2\sigma \cos \theta/\rho \).\(^{52}\) Where \( P_c \) is the capillary pressure (Pa), \( \sigma \) is the interfacial tension of the interface (mN m\(^{-1}\)), \( \theta \) is the contact angle (°), \( r \) is the pore diameter size (m).\(^{53-54}\) Calculated according to the equation, \( (P^2 + a/V_0^3)(V_0 - b) = RT \).\(^{55}\) Where \( P \) is the gas pressure (Pa), \( a \) and \( b \) are species-dependent critical parameters, \( V_0 \) is a gas of the molar volume (L), \( R \) is the gas constant \( (R = 8.314 \text{ J (mol K)}^{-1}) \), \( T \) is the real temperature (°C). \( V_0 \) was calculated according to mass loss in Fig. 6(c).
300 °C when applying a rapid heating rate. This value is much greater than values obtained for nano-alumina produced by sol–gel, neutralization or hydrolysis.\textsuperscript{20} Roasting the AACH for 180 min at a rapid heating rate achieved SSA values of 722.59 and 336.43 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} at 500 and 800 °C, respectively, both of which are also significantly greater than values for fine alumina and nano-alumina.\textsuperscript{21,22} There data demonstrate that the heating rate is closely correlated with the pore structure, total pore volume and average pore diameter.

Based on the above, FAA having a d(50) of 0.417 μm with a super-high SSA, a large amount of open mesopores and minimal aggregation is attributed to high capillary pressure, the formation of boehmite as an intermediate and the low surface energy of the particles.

3.3 Efficient removal of phosphate from wastewater with FAA

The as-prepared FAA, having plentiful open mesopores, represents an excellent adsorbent for the purification of wastewater. Thus, this FAA was used to efficiently remove phosphate from wastewater. Fig. 9(a) presents the removal rates and adsorption capacities for various solutions, while Fig. 9(b) plots the fitting of the data based on isothermal Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption models.

Fig. 9(a) confirmed that the adsorption capacity of the FAA increased rapidly along with the phosphate concentration at concentrations less than 900 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} and then increased slowly. There data suggest that the phosphate uptake was primarily due to physisorption rather than chemisorption. Increases in the phosphate concentration at a constant FAA load reduced the removal rate. The FAA was found to exhibit an absorption capacity of 300.28 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} at equilibrium, significantly greater than the 49.67 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} achieved with nano-alumina.\textsuperscript{55} From Fig. 9(b), the data were in well agreement with the Freundlich isotherm model,\textsuperscript{56,57} suggesting that multilayer and monolayer adsorption occur simultaneously on the FAA surface. The maximum theoretical phosphate adsorption capacity for the FAA was 526.32 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} according to the q\textsubscript{m} calculated from the Langmuir model. The efficient adsorption of phosphate by this material is attributed to its high SSA and plentiful open mesopores. These data also suggest that this FAA could be employed for the efficient purification of wastewater.

4 Conclusions

(1) FAA was prepared via a green, economical process involving phase evolution from gibbsite through AACH to FAA. Increase in both the pH and (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} concentration promoted the transition of gibbsite to AACH. In this process, fine gibbsite was precipitated from a saturated sodium aluminate solution, followed by AACH preparation from a (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} solution and the subsequent synthesis of FAA by roasting the AACH.

(2) The particle size remained nearly unchanged in the transformation from gibbsite to AACH to FAA. However, increasing the roasting temperature increased the average pore diameter and pore volume while reducing the SSA. A rapid heating rate increased the BET surface area and pore volume, such that the FAA SSA reached 1088.72 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} after heating at 300 °C for 180 min.

(3) The particle aggregation was effectively inhibited by the formation of boehmite as an intermediate and by the interface hydrophobicity and high capillary pressure during phase evolution from gibbsite to AACH to FAA. High capillary pressure, plentiful open mesopores and inhibition of aggregation all contributed to the super-high SSA of the FAA.
(4) The adsorption capacity of the FAA for phosphate from wastewater was as high as 300.28 mg g$^{-1}$, which reflects the high SSA and open mesopores of this material.
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