Unveiling the thickness-dependent mechanical properties of graphene papers by in situ SEM tension†
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With more and more applications, the mechanical strength of graphene paper (GP) has attracted significant attention in recent years. In this report, GPs were prepared by flow-induced filtration of electrochemical exfoliated graphene sheets. By adjusting the concentration of solution, we found graphene sheets fabricated in 0.1 M K2SO4 have the thinnest average thickness. And by uniaxial in-plane tensile tests operated on a self-developed in situ scanning electron microscopy (SEM) tensile stage, the corresponding GP has the best fracture strength of 192 MPa. This is due to that the thickness decrease of exfoliated graphene will increase the quantity of interlayer crosslinks, thus improving the mechanical properties of GPs. This research may open a new way to obtain high-strength GPs for applications.

1. Introduction

Graphene, a single atom thick 2D honeycomb sp² carbon lattice, has been attracting a great deal of attention due to its high specific surface area (2640 m² g⁻¹), high flexibility, fine mechanical strength, thermal and chemical stability, and high conductivity since it was experimentally discovered in 2004. For macroscopical application, as building blocks graphene can be assembled to graphene paper (GP), which has emerged in batteries, supercapacitors, sensors, filtration membrane and so on.

Whether for electrochemical field applications or filtration membrane, mechanical strength is of great importance to GPs. The mechanical properties of prepared GPs have been investigated by tensile, indentation, and bending test. The high strength and stiffness of GPs were ascribed to the interlocking-tile microstructures of graphene nanosheets. To quantitatively understand the mechanical properties of stratified material, several theoretical models have been proposed. In 1952, Cox put forward the shear-lag model for fibrous materials in consider of the interface shear between hard and soft phases. After that, Gao and Li extended this model into carbon nanotube composites. In 2003, Ji and Gao proposed the tension-shear chain (TSC) model. Afterwards, this model was further extended to explain the failure mechanism of biological materials with hierarchical microstructures like bone and teeth. In TSC model, the interface shear stress between bone and protein is uniform because of the rigidity of bones. In contrast, graphene sheets have good elasticity compared to bones. Thus, Liu et al. modified TSC to predict the mechanical properties of graphene-based papers, which is the deformable tension-shear (DTS) model.

From one aspect, the mechanical property of GO paper should be better than that of GP because of the oxygen-containing chemical groups. However, these oxygen-containing groups are harmful to the application of GP in the electrochemical field. Up to now, people have no idea about how to improve the mechanical properties of GP. Here, in this paper, by tuning the electrolyte, we fabricated graphene of different thickness and assembled them into GPs. The combination of in situ tensile testing inside SEM and following AFM analysis found that the fracture strength of GPs has strong correlation with the quality of graphene sheets prepared.

2. Experiments

2.1 Materials

Graphite foil (99.8%) was provided by Alfa Aesar chemical Co., Ltd., China. K2SO4 (AR) was supplied by Zhiyuan Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., China.

2.2 Preparation of graphene

Graphite foil, platinum plate, 0.1 mol L⁻¹ (M) K2SO4 solution were used for working electrode, counter electrode, and the electrolyte, respectively. The distance between two electrodes was about 3 cm. A constant 10 V voltage was applied for 15 minutes, and the flow rate was controlled at ~2 cm s⁻¹. After
being vacuum-filtered and washed by deionized water (DIW), the wet GP was redispersed in DIW by sonication for 20 min and then centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 20 min. The supernate was collected and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 30 min. The precipitate was collected. These cleaning steps were repeated for 3 times and the preparation of 0.1 M graphene was finished. Other conditions remained invariable, only changing the concentration of K₂SO₄ solution then we can get 0.2 M, 0.3 M and 0.4 M graphene, respectively.

2.3 Preparation of graphene paper
GPs were prepared by vacuum-filtrating the resulting graphene colloid through an Anodic membrane filter (47 mm in diameter, 0.2 μm pore size, Whatman), and then drying at 80 °C. The thickness of GPs is about 16 μm.

2.4 Characterization
Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (FEI Quanta 250, accelerating voltage: 20 kV) was used to observe the morphologies of surface and fracture surface of GPs. The morphologies and structure of graphene were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (FEI Titan G2, operated at 300 kV).

3. Results and discussion
3.1 Electrochemical exfoliation and GPs preparation
Four kinds of graphene (Fig. 1a) were obtained from a two-electrode system in the electrolyte K₂SO₄ solution prepared by dissolving K₂SO₄ in DIW (concentration of 0.1–0.4 M). The mechanism of electrochemical exfoliation is illustrated in Fig. 1b: Firstly, graphite is intercalated by OH⁻ ions (4OH⁻ → O₂ + 4e⁻ + 2H₂O), which ‘opens’ the edge and grain boundaries of graphite. The expansion of graphite layers facilitates the following anions intercalation. Finally, reduction of SO₄²⁻ yields a large amounts of SO₂ gas (SO₄²⁻ + 4H⁺ + 2e⁻ → 2H₂O + SO₂), supplying force to separate weakly-bonded graphite layers into graphene sheets. The exfoliated graphene sheets (EGs) will

The lateral dimensions and height were measured using the atomic force microscopy (AFM) (Bruker Multimode8, tapping mode). Typical uniaxial in-plane tensile tests were operated on a self-developed in situ specimen tensile stage integrated in Quanta 650 FEG ESEM. All tensile tests were conducted in controlled strain rate mode with a preload of 0.01 N and a stain ramp rate of 11 μm min⁻¹.

Fig. 1 (a) Dispersed graphene in ethyl alcohol. (b) Schematic illustration of the mechanism of electrochemical exfoliation. (c) Schematic of the fabrication procedure of freestanding GP. (d) Photographs of freestanding GP. (e) SEM image of surface morphology of GP.
Fig. 2  (a) The blueprint of GP sample. (b) The prepared GP strip. (c) In situ specimen tensile stage integrated in SEM, strip before (d) and after (e) fracture from tensile loading. (f–i) Stress–strain curves for samples at preparation condition: 0.1 mol L⁻¹ (M) K₂SO₄, 0.2 M, 0.3 M, 0.4 M and the SEM images (insets) of fracture surface morphology.
Moreover, the relative brighter characteristics for EGs in Fig. 3a, which exhibit the thin Fig. 3a, we carefully characterized the building blocks of these GPs.

To explore the origin of dramatic variation of fracture strength, 3.3 Characterization of graphene sheets

contain less oxygen-containing groups compared with the graphene prepared by oxide-reduction methods. Then, the GPs were prepared via a procession of vacuum-filtration, drying and separation (Fig. 1c). The resulted GPs exhibit good flexibility (Fig. 1d). The surface morphology of GPs was characterized by SEM (Fig. 1e), showing the piece-by-piece overlapping hierarchical structure.

3.2 In situ measurements of mechanical properties of GPs

Fig. 2a is the sketch figure of the mould for the preparation GP tensile samples in in situ mechanical measurements. And Fig. 2b is the real GP strips for uniaxial in-plane tensile tests. Fig. 2c shows the specimen tensile stage designed for in situ experiments. Fig. 2d and e exhibit the low magnification morphologies of GPs before and after tensile experiments, respectively. And the morphology shows out the characteristics of brittle fracture. Fig. 2f–i present the stress–strain curves of GPs, corresponding to four kinds of EGs (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 M), respectively. These curves exhibit linear behavior (elastic), similar to the reports of Shen et al. and Wang et al. However, the fracture strength of four kinds GPs (f–i) varies dramatically and they are 191.68, 93.16, 134.25 and 92.18 MPa, respectively. The corresponding fracture morphologies (insets) demonstrate obvious laminar structure, suggesting the hierarchical structure of GPs. Interestingly, we found that the strips of 0.1 M and 0.3 M seem to have more sheets than 0.2 M and 0.4 M and correspondingly they have higher fracture strength.

3.3 Characterization of graphene sheets

To explore the origin of dramatic variation of fracture strength, we carefully characterized the building blocks of these GPs. Fig. 3a–d show the bright field images of four kinds of EGs, which exhibit the thin flaky and weak wrinkle morphologies. Moreover, the relative brighter characteristics for EGs in Fig. 3a and c suggest a little thinner thickness in comparison with those in Fig. 3b and d. Fig. 3e–h present the HRTEM images obtained from the curly edges of these EGs, which will clearly show the thickness of these EGs: they are 2.8, 4.2, 3.3, 4.8 nm, respectively, agreeing well with the characteristics in Fig. 3a–d.

Due to the difficulty of analyzing large amount of samples by TEM, we did the thickness statistics of four kinds of EGs according to the AFM measurements. Fig. 4 shows the morphologies, height profiles and layer number statistics of four kinds of EGs, respectively. Statistical data show that all four kinds of EGs have monolayer graphene, which is thinner than 1 nm. And over 80% of EGs have less than 5 layers in all samples. The comparison shows that the electrolyte concentration has a non-negligible influence on the quality of EGs. The samples of electrolyte concentration at 0.1 M have over 30% monolayer EGs. In comparison, the samples of 0.4 M have less than 10% monolayer EGs. The average thicknesses of four kinds of EGs are 2.81 nm, 3.53 nm, 3.05 nm, 4.27 nm, respectively. According to the procedure of electrochemical exfoliation, OH ions provide gaps between graphite layers and SO4 ions offer force to separate layers from these gaps. Therefore, the number of gaps determines the thickness of the prepared graphene. When concentration of solution increases, the formation of OH ions is suppressed due to the low water content, besides, due to the high concentration of SO4 ions, the exfoliation process will finish rapidly before the formation of new gaps. From the above, higher electrolyte concentration corresponds to thicker graphene sheets thickness within a certain concentration range. Thus a low concentration electrolyte will produce EGs of high quality, as shown by Fig. 4.

3.4 Analysis of mechanical property

Fig. 5a sketches the uniaxial in-plane tension of GP strips. As shown by Fig. 5a, GPs are formed by the stacking and
interlocking of individual EGs. In the GP, the bonding between EGs are the crosslinks, which include van der Waals interaction and hydrogen bond. Fig. 5b sketches the tension-shear transition mechanism in the uniaxial in-plane tension experiments. When tension loads onto the hierarchical structure, the tension stress will transform into the shear stress according to DTS model. Graphene-based paper materials are assembled by EGs in a layer-by-layer manner. Because of the wrinkle morphology of EGs, the interlayer distance is about 1.2 nm, which is much larger than the intra-layer distance of EGs (0.35 nm). The intra-layer binding strength is about two orders higher than that of interlayer according to the van der Waals force equation, which is the function of inter- and intra-layer distances. Thus the fracture of GP strips should be the separation of interlayers while not the intra-layers of EGs. And the interlayer crosslinks are the binding characterized by the fracture strength. Fig. 5c shows the relationship between the fracture strength and the thickness of EGs. As shown by Fig. 5c, the fracture strength decreases with the thickness increase of EGs. This should be attribute to the decrease of interlayer crosslinks because quantity of interlayer crosslinks will decrease with the thickness increase of individual EGs.
4. Conclusions

In this work, we introduced a route to fabricate graphene sheets by electrochemical exfoliation of graphite foils in K₂SO₄ solution. We found the thickness of graphene sheets has strong impact on the fracture strength of corresponding GPs. Combining with the stratified structure of GPs (interlocking of EGs) revealed by SEM observation and the DTS model, we proposed that decreasing the thickness of EGs will increase the number of interlayer crosslinks of GPs, thus enhancing fracture strength of GPs.
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