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Biosensors with high sensitivity, selectivity and a low limit of detection, reaching nano/picomolar concentrations of biomolecules, are important to the medical sciences and healthcare industry for evaluating physiological and metabolic parameters. Over the last decade, different nanomaterials have been exploited to design highly efficient biosensors for the detection of analyte biomolecules. The discovery of graphene has spectacularly accelerated research on fabricating low-cost electrode materials because of its unique physical properties, including high specific surface area, high carrier mobility, high electrical conductivity, flexibility, and optical transparency. Graphene and its oxygenated derivatives, including graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO), are becoming an important class of nanomaterials in the field of biosensors. The presence of oxygenated functional groups makes GO nanosheets strongly hydrophilic, facilitating chemical functionalization. Graphene, GO and rGO nanosheets can be easily combined with various types of inorganic nanoparticles, including metals, metal oxides, semiconducting nanoparticles, quantum dots, organic polymers and biomolecules, to create a diverse range of graphene-based nanocomposites with enhanced sensitivity for biosensor applications. This review summarizes the advances in two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) graphene-based nanocomposites as emerging electrochemical and fluorescent biosensing platforms for the detection of a wide range of biomolecules with enhanced sensitivity, selectivity and a low limit of detection. The biofunctionalization and nanocomposite formation processes of graphene-based materials and their unique properties, surface functionalization, enzyme immobilization strategies, covalent immobilization, physical adsorption, biointeractions and direct electron transfer (DET) processes are discussed in connection with the design and fabrication of biosensors. The enzymatic and nonenzymatic reactions on graphene-based nanocomposite surfaces for glucose- and cholesterol-related electrochemical biosensors are analyzed. This review covers a very broad range of graphene-based electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors for the detection of glucose, cholesterol, hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$), nucleic acids (DNA/RNA), genes, enzymes, cofactors nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP), dopamine (DA), ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), cancer biomarkers, pathogenic microorganisms, food toxins, toxic heavy metal ions, mycotoxins, and pesticides. The sensitivity and selectivity of graphene-based electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors are also examined with respect to interfering analytes present in biological systems. Finally, the future outlook for the development of graphene based biosensing technology is outlined.

1. Introduction

Biosensors with high sensitivity, able to detect femto- or picomolar concentrations of analyte molecules, are of paramount importance not only in biomedical applications such as glucose monitoring and clinical diagnostics but also in the agriculture and food industries and environmental monitoring. The development of highly sensitive devices and new approaches that can provide efficient point-of-care testing with high
accuracy and low cost is an urgent need in the healthcare industry.\textsuperscript{5–8} In addition, \textit{in vivo} biosensors have received attention since they enable the long-term monitoring of target analytes within live cells with high sensitivity, selectivity and biocompatibility.\textsuperscript{9,10} Notably, biosensor research is considered to be an important field since it covers a wide range of sensing capabilities, including pulse, heart rate, blood pressure, body motions, blood oxygen level, glucose, cholesterol, antibodies, nucleic acids, proteins, cancer cells, toxins in food products, and heavy metals in drinking water.\textsuperscript{11–13} Numerous approaches have been explored, including colorimetric biosensors,\textsuperscript{14} potentiometric biosensors,\textsuperscript{15} electrochemical biosensors,\textsuperscript{16} fluorescent biosensors,\textsuperscript{17} and Raman spectroscopy-based platforms.\textsuperscript{18} Compared with other detection methods, an electrochemistry\textsuperscript{19,20} and fluorescence-based\textsuperscript{21} approach offers a much less expensive, more facile and highly sensitive detection method, which enables the monitoring of different analytes, fast response–recovery times and very low detection limits.\textsuperscript{22}

After the discovery of buckminsterfullerene ($C_{60}$) molecules in 1985, the field of nanotechnology focused intently on developing new materials and devices within the 1–100 nm scale because nanoscale materials show unique chemical and physical properties compared to their counterpart bulk materials.\textsuperscript{23} A wide variety of nanoscale materials have been developed, including zero-dimensional (0D) nanoparticles (such as metallic and semiconducting nanoparticles),\textsuperscript{24,25} one-dimensional (1D) nanostructures (nanowires, nanorods, nanotubes),\textsuperscript{26} and two-dimensional (2D) nanostructures including graphene nanosheets (GNs), transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), etc.,\textsuperscript{27} with substantial progress on their synthesis, processing, characterization and potential applications. Over the past two decades, these nanoscale materials have been used in many applications, including light-emitting diodes, memory devices, communication devices, magnetic disks, solar cells, batteries, fuel cells, supercapacitors, and catalysts.\textsuperscript{28,29} Interfacing with various probe biomolecules has been studied in order to develop highly sensitive biosensors with significantly enhanced sensitivity.\textsuperscript{30} Due to the size and unprecedented physical properties of these nanomaterials, the development of biosensors with extremely small dimensions and substantially improved performance is possible, introducing new opportunities in the development and commercialization of next-generation biosensors for biomedicine and healthcare fields.\textsuperscript{31}

Since the initial isolation of graphene from buck graphite and characterization in 2004 by Geim and Novoselov,\textsuperscript{32} intensive research efforts have been directed toward 2D graphene nanomaterials and their potential applications.\textsuperscript{33–38} Graphene (GR) is a 2D sheet of carbons with atomic thickness that exhibits unique electrical, optical, mechanical and thermal properties.\textsuperscript{37} Graphene nanosheets can be easily exfoliated from earth-abundant graphite and are considered allotropically similar to fullerenes and carbon nanotubes. Graphene can be easily processed into single-layer, few-layer or multi-layer nanosheets,\textsuperscript{38} stretchable ultrathin films,\textsuperscript{39} papers,\textsuperscript{40} nanoribbons,\textsuperscript{41} and foams.\textsuperscript{42–44} Single-layer graphene nanosheets exhibit a high mechanical strength with a Young’s modulus of 1.1 TPa,\textsuperscript{40} thermal conductivity of $\approx$5000 W m K$^{-1}$,\textsuperscript{44} high carrier mobility (200 000 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$),\textsuperscript{45} high optical transparency toward visible light ($\approx$2.3% absorption)\textsuperscript{46} and a large specific surface area (2630 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$).\textsuperscript{47} As a result, graphene-based materials (GBMs) have been explored for a wide range of applications, including bulk-heterojunctions\textsuperscript{48} and dye-sensitized solar cells,\textsuperscript{49} energy storage devices,\textsuperscript{50} electronic skin and touchscreen-panel devices,\textsuperscript{51} field effect transistors,\textsuperscript{52} light-emitting diodes (LEDs),\textsuperscript{53} gas and chemical sensors,\textsuperscript{54} nanomedicine,\textsuperscript{55} drug delivery,\textsuperscript{56} and many other applications.\textsuperscript{56} Graphene and its oxidized derivatives, such as graphene oxide (GO), which contain various oxygen functional groups (hydroxyl, carboxyl and epoxy functional groups), have emerged for potential use in biosensors.\textsuperscript{57} The presence of these functional groups makes GO sheets strongly hydrophilic and allows the integration of various types of inorganic nanoparticles, including noble metals, metal oxides, semiconducting nanoparticles, quantum dots (QDs), and nanoclusters (NCs), to enhance the performance of sensors based on them.\textsuperscript{58,59} Moreover, the reduction of GO into reduced GO (rGO) results in a high density of defects that leads to high electrochemical activity compared with that of CVD-grown graphene, which is particularly useful for developing electrochemical biosensors. Graphene-based nanocomposites also inherit unique morphological structures and properties useful for sensing.\textsuperscript{60} The 3D interconnected hierarchical structures of graphene nanocomposites facilitate the diffusion of different types of biomolecules and preserve their biocatalytic functions to optimize biosensing functionality.\textsuperscript{61–63} Graphene-based hybrids with polymers\textsuperscript{64,65} and surface-decorated metal nanoparticles\textsuperscript{66} have been explored for biosensing due to their excellent biocompatibility, high surface area, and site-selective conjugation with biomolecules.

Various nanostructures have been explored for biosensors, including the detection of glucose and hydrogen peroxide,\textsuperscript{66} cancer biomarkers,\textsuperscript{67–69} nucleic acids,\textsuperscript{11} antibodies,\textsuperscript{70} heavy metals,\textsuperscript{71–72} pathogenic bacteria,\textsuperscript{73} and many other targets.\textsuperscript{19,74–77} However, no comprehensive review focused on a wide range of electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors utilizing graphene-based nanocomposites is yet available in the literature. There are no reviews on graphene-based fluorescent biosensors. Therefore, this review is intended to summarize the recent advances in both electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors based on graphene nanocomposites, including graphene, GO, rGO/polymer nanocomposites, graphene/inorganic NP nanocomposites, 3D graphene integrated with various metal/metal oxide nanoparticles, and polymer hydrolgel networks. Biosensor systems to detect glucose, hydrogen peroxide ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$), cholesterol, dopamine (DA), ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), nucleic acids (NAS), cofactors nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP), cancer biomarkers, pathogens, food toxins, metal ions and pesticides are discussed. The discussion focuses primarily on advances in enzymatic and nonenzymatic electrochemical platforms as well as conceptual advances in fluorescent biosensing and amplified detection techniques. The selectivity of graphene-based electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors are also examined in biological systems with respect to interfering analytes. This review will provide a single reference source for researchers in
biosensors, graphene, materials science, nanotechnology, chemistry, and electrochemistry. Therefore, this review will attract a wide range of audiences from diverse research areas and stimulate further interest in graphene-based biosensors for future sensor industries.

2. Graphene-based nanocomposites

Numerous strategies have been developed for the synthesis of graphene.\textsuperscript{37,57,78–81} The synthesis of graphene and its graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) derivatives is illustrated in Fig. 1A. Four different routes have been commonly used to prepare graphene, namely, epitaxial growth on SiC or metals,\textsuperscript{82} chemical exfoliation of graphite,\textsuperscript{83} liquid-phase ultrasonic exfoliation of graphite powder,\textsuperscript{83} and chemical vapor deposition (CVD).\textsuperscript{84} In addition to these approaches, graphene nanosheets have been prepared by the electrochemical exfoliation of ionic liquid-functionalized graphite\textsuperscript{85} and by electrical arc discharge between two graphitic electrodes\textsuperscript{86,87}. Though the CVD process is considered a primary route to synthesize high-quality, large-area graphene nanosheets of different layers and sizes, CVD-grown graphene nanosheets exhibit relatively low electrocatalytic activity due to the low number of structural defects. The physicochemical properties and surface features of graphene can be effectively altered by using nitrogen (N), boron (B), phosphorus (P), and sulfur (S) heteroatom doping.\textsuperscript{88} Numerous approaches have been used for chemical doping, including \textit{in situ} doping, where the synthesis and doping of graphene are achieved simultaneously, for example, in CVD, ball milling, and bottom-up synthetic techniques. The highly controlled S-doped graphene (S-GR) nanostructure prepared by the ball milling technique is shown in Fig. 1B.

Graphene oxide (GO) consists of hydrophobic sp\textsuperscript{2}- and sp\textsuperscript{3}-bonded carbon and different oxygen-containing functional
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groups including hydroxyl (–OH), carbonyl, epoxy and carboxyl (–COOH) groups on the basal plane as well as at the edges of GO nanosheet.\textsuperscript{91,92} Similar to graphene, GO also exhibits unique electronic, electrochemical, thermal, and mechanical properties and can be developed into flexible, transparent, and biocompatible nanosheets due to its hydrophilic nature. The availability of functional groups on GO nanosheets facilitates their interaction with a wide range of biomolecules, which is highly advantageous for developing biosensors.\textsuperscript{79,93,94} GO nanosheets have been synthesized by the Brodie, Staudenmaier, and Hummers methods and by modified versions thereof.\textsuperscript{93,96} Furthermore, the reduction of GO into reduced GO (rGO) can be accomplished by reducing the GO employing chemical, electrochemical, high-temperature thermal annealing, and ultraviolet irradiation methods.\textsuperscript{91,96–99} The rGO also exhibits excellent properties; including solvent dispersibility; electrical, optical, and mechanical properties; and thermal stability comparable to those of graphene and GO nanosheets. Graphene quantum dots (GQDs) have also appeared as a novel class of zero-dimensional (0D) graphene derivatives possessing unique properties with quantum confinement and edge effects for biosensor applications.\textsuperscript{100–108}

The hybridization of GBMs with different types of organic polymers, metal nanoparticles and surface functionalities with diverse enzymes and proteins is quite useful in developing new biosensors.\textsuperscript{84,100,110} In particular, both GO and rGO have been extensively used for developing nanocomposite-based biosensors because of their reactive oxygen functional groups and surface defects, which permit the controlled nucleation and growth of metal, metal oxides and semiconductor nanoparticles. Liu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{63} developed bioconjugate assemblies of various nanoparticles such as AuNPs, AgNPs, PtNPs, PdNPs and
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latex using the bovine serum albumin (BSA)-based reduction and decoration of GO nanosheets (Fig. 2). The density of AuNPs in the BSA-GO nanocomposite was controlled by adjusting the concentration of BSA protein. Various synthetic approaches, including chemical methods and hydrothermal, electrochemical, and \textit{ex situ} strategies, have been developed to prepare graphene- and nanoparticle-based nanocomposites by fine tuning the nanoparticle size, shape, distribution, and functionality over graphene, GO and rGO.\textsuperscript{38}

3. Graphene-based electrochemical biosensors

3.1 Glucose biosensors

Diabetes is one of the most common diseases, affecting millions of people worldwide. In 2017, according to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), 425 million adults were affected by diabetes and this number is expected to rise to 629 million cases of diabetes by 2045.\textsuperscript{111} The physiological level of blood glucose after a minimum of 8 hours of fasting (pre-meal) determines the prevalence of diabetes; fasting blood glucose level in the 70 to 99 milligrams per deciliter (mg dL\textsuperscript{-1}) (from 3.9 mmol L\textsuperscript{-1} to 5.4 mmol L\textsuperscript{-1}) range is considered normal, while blood glucose level from 100 mg dL\textsuperscript{-1} to 125 mg dL\textsuperscript{-1} (from 5.6 mmol L\textsuperscript{-1} to 6.9 mmol L\textsuperscript{-1}) is considered prediabetes, and a blood glucose level of 126 mg dL\textsuperscript{-1} (7.0 mmol L\textsuperscript{-1}) or higher indicates the existence of the diabetes (https://medlineplus.gov/ency/article/003482.htm). The accurate and rapid detection of blood glucose is of critical importance for continuous point-of-care glucose monitoring and clinical diagnosis of diabetes.\textsuperscript{6} Since the first report of a glucose oxide (GOx)-based enzyme electrode by Clark and Lyons et al.\textsuperscript{112} for monitoring blood glucose levels, there has been a growing demand for reliable devices for diabetes control; the need for the fabrication of electrochemical biosensors that are capable of label-free real-time monitoring of blood glucose levels with high sensitivity and resolution has been documented in the literature.\textsuperscript{113}

The development of electrochemical glucose sensors to date can be categorized into three generations.\textsuperscript{114} In the first generation of glucose enzyme electrodes, the measurements relied on the oxygen consumed by the enzyme-catalyzed reaction. Specifically, an enzymatic reaction occurred between glucose and the GOx enzyme electrode in the presence of oxygen, producing hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) and the glucose level was monitored through the amount of enzymatically generated H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{115} The second generation witnessed the utilization of electrical mediators to facilitate electron transfer process between the flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) active site of GOx and the electrode’s surface, a process called mediated electron transfer (MET).\textsuperscript{115} However, MET-based glucose sensors have several disadvantages; for example, the mediator lowers the total energy of the system and affects the operational stability. Ultimately, third-generation enzymatic biosensors have been developed with no mediator to achieve direct electron transfer (DET) between FAD-GOx and the electrode surface. However, several challenges still exist related to the achievement of DET for accurate and reliable glucose monitoring with operational stability.\textsuperscript{113} Numerous nanostructured materials have been employed as electrode materials for the fabrication of electrochemical glucose biosensors with greatly enhanced DET processes due to their unique optical and electronic properties.\textsuperscript{116–119} In particular, carbon nanotubes (CNTs)\textsuperscript{116,120–123} carbon nanodots (CNDs),\textsuperscript{117} porous carbon,\textsuperscript{124} graphene,\textsuperscript{125} and GQDs\textsuperscript{126} are preferred as ideal nanostructures for the effective immobilization of enzymes and for the improvement of DET characteristics. Among them, graphene and GO are particularly attractive as promising electrode materials for the immobilization of enzymes and electrochemical glucose biosensing.\textsuperscript{127,128} Graphene is highly advantageous compared to other materials due to its high specific surface area (2630 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} for single-layer graphene), excellent electronic properties and biocompatibility.\textsuperscript{127,129,130}

3.1.1 Surface functionalization. The surface functionalization of graphene often plays a key role in the precise control of the electronic surface states, which could help bringing the detection targets onto the graphene surface through specific molecular interactions and widen its applicability in biosensing.\textsuperscript{127} The surface functionalization of graphene significantly affects its electrical properties. Moreover, the chemical reduction of GO into rGO with different defect densities, numbers of layers and oxygen concentrations influences the electrochemical behavior and glucose biosensor performance.\textsuperscript{131} Doping with semiconducting nitrogen (N), boron (B), sulfur (S), fluorine (F) and hydrogen (H) has a profound impact on the physical and chemical properties of graphene, resulting in improved sensitivity.\textsuperscript{75,132,133}

3.1.2 Enzyme immobilization strategies on graphene. The immobilization of enzymes on a solid support is one of the key steps in the fabrication of enzymatic biosensors with high sensitivity, selectivity, stability and confined electron transport between the enzymes and the electrode surface.\textsuperscript{79} The enzyme immobilization method can affect the enzyme loading, biocatalytic activity and operational stability.\textsuperscript{134} Different nanostructured materials have been successfully used for the effective immobilization of glucose oxidase (GOx) and their glucose-sensing ability. CNTs and graphene have been extensively studied due to their unique catalytic and electronic properties.\textsuperscript{135} The high specific surface area and availability of abundant functional groups in graphene and GO make them ideal substrates for modulating enzyme activity and stability.\textsuperscript{136,137} Different immobilization strategies have been developed for effectively immobilizing enzymes on graphene-based materials.\textsuperscript{127,128} There are two main approaches for achieving efficient enzyme immobilization: simple physical adsorption (noncovalent) and covalent immobilization.\textsuperscript{79}

3.1.3 Physical adsorption. Noncovalent immobilization on GO or the simple physical adsorption of enzymes on graphene occurs through physical forces such as van der Waals, ionic and hydrophobic interactions. The immobilization procedure consists of simple deposition of the enzyme onto the graphene surface; thus, the sp\textsuperscript{2}-hybridized carbon network is not affected, and the electrical properties of GO are preserved. Specifically,
the supramolecular interaction of aromatic molecules can be achieved by the π-π stacking of pyrene derivatives for non-covalent immobilization. However, due to the weak physical interaction between the enzyme and the graphene surface, the electron transfer characteristics, catalytic function and operational stability are highly affected.26

3.1.4 Covalent immobilization. Covalent immobilization can be achieved by modifying GO with different organic linker molecules containing reactive functional groups, which enables the direct covalent conjugation of the enzymes to the GO surface. The covalent immobilization reactions are formed in two main steps. The functionalization of amine groups141,142 and carboxylic groups143,144 on graphene results in covalent bonding between the organic functional groups and the oxygenated groups of GO, which is followed by the conjugation of amine groups of the enzyme with chemically activated amine or carboxylic groups in the second step through bifunctional cross-linker molecules. In general, either 1-ethyl-3-(3-

Table 1 Enzymatic electrochemical glucose biosensors developed from graphene nanocomposite-based electrodes and their sensitivity, concentration range, LOD, and heterogeneous electron transfer rate constant ($K_e$) between GOx enzyme and the modified graphene electrodes$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Linear range</th>
<th>Sensitivity ($\mu$A mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Limit of detection (LOD)</th>
<th>$K_e$ (mM)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GR/CS nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.08–12 mM</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td>0.02 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiPdNPs@rGO-APTES/GOx</td>
<td>3 μM to 4.57 mM</td>
<td>234.1</td>
<td>0.91 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/AuNPs/CS nanocomposite</td>
<td>2–10 mM</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>180 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfonated GR/AuNPs/CS nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.5–22.2 mM</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>0.13 mM</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/DNA/AuNPs</td>
<td>0.8–50 μM</td>
<td>0.00244</td>
<td>0.3 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOx/AuNPs</td>
<td>0.005–1.27 mM</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>1.73 mM</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced carboxyl GR/GOx</td>
<td>2–18 mM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.02 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D porous GR/GOx</td>
<td>0.02–3.2 mM</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>1.7 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D porous GR–CS composites</td>
<td>0.14–7.0 mM</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>17.5 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/ZnO hybrid</td>
<td>0.2–6.6 mM</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.2 μM</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/ZrO$_2$ NPs</td>
<td>0.29–14 mM</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>0.13 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/PtNPs</td>
<td>2–12 mM</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.001 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/AuNPs</td>
<td>0.5–12.5 mM</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.16 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py-NHS ester-modified graphite NPs</td>
<td>0.02–2.2 mM</td>
<td>2.729</td>
<td>0.02 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/GR/CNTs nanocomposite</td>
<td>10 μM to 2 mM</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>4.1 μM</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au–MWCNTs–GR hybrid composite</td>
<td>50 μM to 20 mM</td>
<td>2.48 μM</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D GR/CNTs hybrid</td>
<td>2–8 mM</td>
<td>19.31</td>
<td>0.5 mM</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt nanospheres/flexible GR sheets</td>
<td>10 μM to 10 mM</td>
<td>150.8</td>
<td>1 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS–rGO-AuNPs hybrids</td>
<td>15 μM to 2.13 mM</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>1.7 μM</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs/CS–GR</td>
<td>Up to 26 mM</td>
<td>5658</td>
<td>16 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO-activated carbon/PtNPs</td>
<td>0.002–10 mM</td>
<td>2 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO–β-cyclodextrin composite</td>
<td>50 μM to 3.0 mM</td>
<td>59.74</td>
<td>59.74 μM</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/C60 composite</td>
<td>0.1–12.5 mM</td>
<td>55.97</td>
<td>35 μM</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/GO nanocomposites</td>
<td>0.3–20 mM</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.3 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/Cu nanocubes</td>
<td>25 μM to 4 mM</td>
<td>5432.2</td>
<td>250 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/GOx</td>
<td>0.1–1 mM</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>5.8 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/GOx</td>
<td>1.4 and 9.5 μM</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>13.4 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/polyethyleneimine-AuNPs hybrid</td>
<td>1–100 μM</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.32 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI-modified SnO$_2$/rGO</td>
<td>0.1 nM to 3 μM</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>0.26 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO$_2$/rGO composite</td>
<td>0.04–2 μM</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/polyethyleneimine (PEI)</td>
<td>0.01 μM to 15.5 mM</td>
<td>0.00334</td>
<td>5 μM</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD-grown GR</td>
<td>0.2–9.8 mM</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.12 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.05–1 mM</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.1 μM</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>13.78</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAA–rGO/VS/PAN/LuPc$_2$/GOx</td>
<td>2–12 mM</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>25 μM</td>
<td>17.05</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–CS/SWNTs/GOD/3DG foam</td>
<td>5.0 μM to 19.8 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.2 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–GO/Fc$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.5–10 mM</td>
<td>2.645</td>
<td>106.5 μM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/rGO</td>
<td>2–10 mM</td>
<td>18.73</td>
<td>0.9 μM</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs/rGO</td>
<td>2–10 mM</td>
<td>27.11</td>
<td>2.1 μM</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) or N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) is used for carboxylated graphene, while glutaraldehyde is utilized for amino-functionalized graphene. Covalent immobilization of the enzyme prevents the inactivation of catalytic functions that might occur in the case of direct physical adsorption and electrically wires the active cofactor of the enzyme to the GO surface. However, covalent immobilization strategies significantly alter the native graphene lattice structure by converting carbon bonding from sp² to sp³, which markedly decreases the electronic properties of graphene. The electroactive enzyme surface density (Γ, mol cm⁻²) on the modified electrode can be evaluated by:

\[ Γ = Q/nFA \]

where \( Q \) is the charge involved in the reaction (obtained by integrating the anodic peak and dividing by the scan rate), \( n \) is the number of transferred electrons (\( n = 2 \)), \( F \) is the Faraday constant, and \( A \) represents the geometric area of an electrode.

### 3.1.5 Graphene-based electrochemical enzymatic glucose biosensors

Enzyme-based electrochemical glucose sensors have attracted significant attention over the past 40 years because of their high selectivity, simplicity, and sensitivity. Most of the clinically available systems for monitoring blood glucose levels depend on bioelectrodes based on the glucose oxidase enzyme (GOx). Enhanced electrical contact of redox enzymes with the electrode surface is of fundamental interest for the development of mediator-free third-generation electrochemical glucose biosensors with high sensitivity and selectivity. Depending on the graphene derivative, the conductivity and electrocatalytic activity can differ significantly. For example, the electrical properties of graphene can change upon reduction to GO or rGO as well as upon chemical functionalization. Graphene-based materials provide a large specific surface area, excellent electrochemical properties, biocompatibility and plentiful oxygenated functional groups such as hydroxyl, carbonyl, carboxyl and epoxy groups, all of which facilitate the effective immobilization of redox enzymes through either physical adsorption or covalent conjugation for subsequent glucose sensing.

Graphene-based nanocomposites have been widely used to fabricate enzymatic glucose biosensors, as summarized in Table 1 in terms of their sensitivity, linear detection range and the lowest limit of detection (LOD). An example of the enzymatic glucose biosensor is discussed here. Qi et al. used AuNPs decorated GO nanosheet for developing a glucose sensor by attaching AuNPs on to the surface of GO nanosheet via a benzene (Ph) bridge employing aryldiazonium salt chemistry. The schematic biosensor fabrication process is depicted in Fig. 3. GO-AuNP nanocomposite was attached to 4-aminophenyl modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE). Thereafter, the GCE/GO-AuNP nanocomposite was functionalized with 4-carboxyphenyl (CP) and GOx was covalently attached to form the GCE/GO-AuNP-CP/GOx based glucose sensor. The sensor showed a linear range of 0.3–20 mM, and sensitivity of 42 \( \mu \)A mM⁻¹ cm⁻² for glucose detection as well as fast electron transfer and enzyme turnover rates of 8.3 and 112/s, respectively. The GCE/GO-AuNP-CP/GOx sensor also exhibited a high

![Fig. 3 The schematic fabrication of glucose biosensor using AuNP-decorated GO nanosheet. AuNPs were decorated onto GO nanosheet via a benzene bridge using aryldiazonium salt chemistry (GO-Ph-AuNPs) which was thereafter attached to 4-aminophenyl modified GCE electrode. The GC/GO-Ph-AuNPs was further functionalized with 4-carboxyphenyl (CP) before covalently attaching GOx via amide bonds to form GC/GO-Ph-AuNPs-CP/GOx based glucose sensor. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 175, M. Qi, Y. Zhang, C. Cao, Y. Lu and G. Liu, Increased Sensitivity of Extracellular Glucose Monitoring Based on AuNP Decorated GO Nanocomposites, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 39180–39187. Copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2016.]](image-url)
selectivity toward glucose detection because almost no amperometric current was noticed for 100 mM of dopamine (DA), ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), acetaminophen (AP), fructose, lactose, and galactose interfering analytes compared to 10 mM of glucose concentration. The GCE/GOx-Ph-AuNP-CP/GOx-based sensor was also used to detect in real time the glucose changes occurring during growth of two cell lines, where glucose uptake rate of 8.8 fmol per min per cell for human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and 15.5 fmol per min per cell for human cervical cancer HeLa cell lines was measured. The GCE/GOx-Ph-AuNP-CP/GOx sensor showed long-term stability by retaining 90.3% initial current density after 10 days storage at 4 °C due to the strongly entrapped GOx on AuNPs and covalent bonding between the sensor interfaces.

3.1.6 Direct electron transfer (DET). Accomplishing DET in amperometric glucose biosensors is of significant interest for the fabrication of third-generation electrochemical biosensors without mediators. The direct electrical wiring of immobilized GOx enables the detection of glucose at low potentials that are little more positive than the redox potential of GOx. Significant work has been performed on the effective immobilization of the GOx enzyme on graphene-based materials for the development of highly sensitive enzymatic glucose biosensors with DET characteristics. However, establishing efficient DET between the biocatalyst and the electrode surface is a key challenge that arises due to the large and complex structure of the GOx enzyme; with the FAD redox active center deeply embedded within the protein, accomplishing DET is challenging. The location of the active site FAD within the GOx structure creates a high electron tunneling distance between the electron donor (FAD) and the electrode surface, thus significantly limiting the electron transfer rate. Efficient electrical communication between the redox enzyme and the electrode surface significantly minimizes the electron tunneling distance, leading to highly enhanced biosensor sensitivity. Liang et al. demonstrated the challenge associated with the DET process in GOx-immobilized GR electrodes with and without mediators for sensing glucose, as shown in Fig. 4A. The corresponding cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the GCE are shown in Fig. 4B. A pair of well-defined redox peaks at −0.48 V with a 40 mV separation of peak potential is associated with the redox potential of FAD-GOx on the modified electrode surface; however, no redox peaks are observed when GOx is directly adsorbed onto the bare GCE, indicating that the rGO/GOx-modified GCE can promote DET, which occurs primarily via contact between the rGO and FAD/GOx. They observed that DET and the catalytic activity of GOx cannot occur simultaneously.

The DET process between FAD-GOx and GO can be described as follows:

\[
\text{D-glucose} + \text{GOx(FAD)} \rightarrow \text{D-glucono-1,5-lactone} + \text{GOx(FADH}_2) \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{GOx(FADH}_2) \leftrightarrow \text{GOx(FAD)} + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \tag{3}
\]

where FAD and FADH2 are the oxidized and reduced forms of the redox center of the GOx enzyme. GOx is a homodimer containing its cofactor bound to its two identical 80-kDa subunits, which can easily undergo enzymatic reactions. FAD is an electroactive center that exhibits a two-electron and two-proton redox reaction, such as GOx(FAD) + 2H+ + 2e− ↔ GOx(FADH2). Glucose, when added, is oxidized by the GOx enzyme into D-glucono-1,5-lactone and GOx (FADH2) by the transfer of two electrons and two protons to FAD-GOx. If FADH2 can be oxidized back to FAD without any electrochemical mediators or oxygen, then the process is referred to as DET. The rate constant of the electron transfer (K_e) between the GOx-
and GO-modified electrodes was calculated using the Laviron equation: \(191\)

\[
\log K_a = \alpha \log(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \alpha)\log \alpha - \log(RT/nFv) - \alpha(1 - \alpha)nF\Delta E_p/2.3
\]

(4)

where \(\alpha\) is the charge transfer coefficient, \(R\) is the gas constant (8.314 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), \(T\) is the room temperature, \(\Delta E_p\) is the peak separation of the FAD/FADH\(_2\) redox couple, and \(n\) is the number of electrons transferred (\(n = 2\)).

Considerable efforts have been made to overcome the limitation of long electron tunneling distances and accomplish DET between the immobilized enzyme and the electrode surface. Among different nanostructured materials, GR has been extensively investigated for DET characteristics and glucose-sensing performance.\(^{141,192}\) For example, Wang et al.\(^{140}\) showed a direct electrochemical reduction of single-layer GO and subsequent functionalization with (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) for covalent immobilization with GOx and application of developed GCE-APTES-rGO-GOx electrode in glucose sensing with a linear range of 0 to 24 mM. Additionally, Guler et al.\(^{193}\) fabricated a glucose sensor by immobilizing GOx onto APTES-functionalized rGO deposited on GCE. The functionalization of APTES provides an \(-\text{NH}_2\)-terminated surface, which enables covalent conjugation of the \(-\text{NH}_2\) groups of GOx via bifunctional cross-linker glutaraldehyde (GA) molecules. The enzymatic glucose biosensor also consisted of the Nafion (NF) protective membrane. The NF/rGO-APTES/GOx/GCE composite-based based biosensor showed the sensitivity of 75.26 \(\mu\)A mM\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and 124.87 \(\mu\)A mM\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), a wide linear range of 0.02–4.34 mM and 0.05–15.25 mM with LOD values of 9 \(\mu\)M and 0.017 mM for glucose and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) detection, respectively. Unnikrishnan et al.\(^{194}\) demonstrated a simple one-step immobilization of GOx onto rGO and subsequent glucose sensing. The resulting rGO-GOx-GCE sensor exhibited DET characteristics with a sensitivity of 1.85 \(\mu\)A mM\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and a linear range of 0.1–27 mM. Osikoya et al.\(^{182}\) used CVD-grown graphene to construct an electrochemical biosensor that displayed electrocatalytic activities and exhibited a linear response from 0.2 to 9.8 mM with a sensitivity of 0.087 \(\mu\)A \(\mu\)M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and an LOD of 0.12 \(\mu\)M. Ravenna et al.\(^{195}\) reported a glucose sensor using rGO films with adsorbed phenothiazine to study electron transfer process between the FAD-dependent glucose dehydrogenase and the used electrodes. The DET results showed sensitivity of 42 mA M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and a linear range of 0.5–12 mM for glucose detection as well as high selectivity against different interfering analytes. Liu et al.\(^{196}\) reported the fabrication of a GR-GOx enzyme electrode by the alternate layer-by-layer (LBL) self-assembly of GOx on single-layer or multilayer graphene through noncovalent \(\pi-\pi\) stacking interactions, as shown in Fig. 5. Each pyrene-functionalized GOx enzyme contained 5.4 pyrene functional groups and maintained over 76% of the biocatalytic activity of GOx. The graphene-bridged GOx enzyme electrode-based biosensor showed a linear range between 0.2 and 40 mM and an LOD as low as 0.154 mM for glucose sensing. The sensitivity towards glucose increased with increasing layers

---

Fig. 5 Schematic illustration of the immobilization of GOx enzymes on graphene via pyrene and the subsequent fabrication of single- and multilayered enzyme electrodes. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 196, J. Liu, N. Kong, A. Li, X. Luo, L. Cui, R. Wang and S. Feng, Graphene Bridged Enzyme Electrodes for Glucose Biosensing Application, Analyst, 2013, 138, 2567–2575. Copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry.]
of graphene and GOx but was insignificant at 4 enzyme layers. The GOx enzyme electrode showed a very low response after adding 0.2 mM of ascorbic acid and uric acid, and also retained 82.2% biocatalytic activity after 4 weeks of storage at 4 °C.

The chemical reduction of GO into rGO with different amounts of defect density, layers and oxygen concentrations influences the electrochemical behavior and the glucose sensor performance. Chemical doping with foreign atoms is a promising approach to intrinsically tune the electrical properties and regulate electron transport to influence glucose sensor performance. Wang et al. demonstrated that a glucose sensor using N-doped graphene that exhibited strong electrochemical activity and fast DET kinetics of GOx with a linear range of 0.1–1.1 mM and LOD of 0.01 mM. The enhanced performance of the biosensor is due to the high electronic state density and the plenty of free electrons available in N-doped GR, which facilitates H$_2$O$_2$ electrochemical reduction by breaking of the O–O bond in H$_2$O$_2$. Nitrogen doping modifies the density of states around the Fermi level of graphene, which considerably enhances the electron transfer rate. Liang et al. reported the DET of self-assembled GOx on the surface of electrochemically reduced carboxyl graphene on a GC electrode. Their biosensor showed distinct and quasi-reversible redox peaks at a potential of −0.467 V, promoting DET process with an improved linear range from 2–18 mM and a low LOD of 0.02 mM.

The direct electrochemistry of GOx immobilized on three-dimensional (3D) porous graphene-modified electrodes and the effect of graphene defect structure on glucose sensor performance were studied. Guo et al. fabricated an enzymatic glucose biosensor using highly conductive N-doped CVD-grown graphene (3D N-GR). The GOx enzyme was effectively immobilized on 3D N-GR using a chitosan (CS) biopolymer via molecular binding with NH$_2$ groups. The fabricated 3D N-GR-CS-GOx biosensor showed a sensitivity of 226.24 µA mM$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ and a linear range of 0.1–1.3 mM due to the high conductivity and porosity of the N-GR network, providing a large surface area for GOx enzyme loading and improving electron transport. Furthermore, Razmi et al. demonstrated GQDs as an effective matrix for the immobilization of GOx on carbon ceramic electrode (CCE); the resulting GOx-GQD-CCE sensor showed high surface loading and strong affinity to enzymes while promoting DET, showing sensitivity of 0.085 µA µM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ and a wide linear range of 5–1270 µM with an LOD of 1.73 µM for glucose detection. Manoj et al. demonstrated a biosensor using an aldehyde-functionalized ionic liquid (CHO-IL) and 3-(3-formyl-4-hydroxybenzyl)-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate, as a platform for covalent immobilization (Fig. 6). Specifically, CHO-IL was immobilized on electrochemically reduced GO (EC-rGO) using the π–π stacking of imidazolium and hydroxybenzyl groups with EC-rGO, which permitted the covalent bonding of Azure A mediator or GOx enzyme. The Azure A-immobilized biosensor showed a linear range of 0.03–1 mM, an LOD of 11.5 µM and sensitivity of 133.2 µA µM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ for nonenzymatic detection of H$_2$O$_2$. The GOx-immobilized CHO-IL biosensor exhibited an improved sensing response with a sensitivity of 17.7 µA µM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, a broad linear range of 0.05–2.4 mM and an LOD of 17 µM for glucose detection.

### 3.1.7 Graphene/polymer composite-based glucose biosensors

To enhance the catalytic function of immobilized GOx and the operational stability of the biosensor, GO is grafted with

![Fig. 6 Schematic illustration of the covalent immobilization of Azure A and GOx on the CHO-IL/EC-rGO/SPE platform.](https://example.com/fig6)

Fig. 7  (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation of 3D-GR-based enzymatic glucose biosensors using CS-mediated electrodeposition. (SWNT = SWCNT.). (B and C) SEM images of 3D-GR foam with low and high magnification. (D and E) SEM images of Fc-CS/SWCNTs/GOx composite film electrodeposited on 3D graphene with low and high magnification. (F) CV curves of the (a) 3D-GR, (b) CS/GOx/3D-GR, (c) Fc-CS/GOx/3D-GR and (d) Fc-CS/SWCNTs/GOx/3D-GR electrodes in PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0) at a scan rate of 100 mV s\(^{-1}\). (G) Amperometric response of the Fc-CS/SWCNTs/GOx/3D-GR electrode upon the successively added glucose to stirred PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0) at 0.4 V. Inset (a) shows the magnified curve from 50 to 850 s. Inset (b) shows the calibration plot of the current as a function of the glucose concentration. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 184, J. Liu, X. Wang, T. Wang, D. Li, F. Xi, J. Wang and E. Wang, Functionalization of Monolithic and Porous Three-Dimensional Graphene by One-Step Chitosan Electrodeposition for Enzymatic Biosensor, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, 6, 19997–20002. Copyright © American Chemical Society.]
different polymers, such as polyethylene glycol (PEG),\textsuperscript{117} polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP),\textsuperscript{119} or biopolymers, such as chitosan (CS).\textsuperscript{154} The polymer presents functional groups on the GO surface that enable the effective immobilization of redox enzymes without losing their biological functions and increase operational stability by suppressing leaching effects, while GO permits effective electron transport for enzymatic sensors.\textsuperscript{19,20} Kang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{147} showed that a GR–chitosan (GR-CS) nanocomposite electrode is capable of high loading capacity (1.12 × 10\textsuperscript{-9} mol cm\textsuperscript{-2}) for the immobilization of GOx enzyme, which exhibits DET with sensitivity of 37.9 μA mM\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, a linear range of 0.08 to 12 mM and an LOD of 0.02 mM. The CS biopolymer possesses amino (–NH\textsubscript{2}/NH\textsubscript{3}) and hydroxyl (–OH) functional groups, which facilitate the immobilizations of GOx while sustaining the biocatalytic functions and stabilizing the GOx enzymes. Similarly, Liu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{144} reported the covalent immobilization of GOx enzymes on the carbonyl (–COOH) groups of GO via amide bonds in the presence of EDC and NHS. Their GO/GOX composite glucose biosensor showed sensitivity of 8.045 mA M\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, a broad linear range and exhibited biocompatibility with human retinal pigment epithelium cells. Bharath et al.\textsuperscript{200} showed mesoporous 1D hydroxyapatite (HAp) nanorods on rGO for the efficient immobilization of GOx enzyme, displaying a highly enhanced electron transfer rate of rGO–HAp–GOx–GCE sensor with a superior sensitivity of 16.9 μA mM\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, a linear range of 0.1–11.5 mM and an LOD of 0.03 mM. Liu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{144} showed a facile, one-step chitosan (CS)-based electrodeposition strategy for the fabrication of enzymatic glucose biosensors. The sensor was fabricated by electrodepositing a ferrocene (Fc)-functionalized CS hybrid (Fc-CS) and a single-walled carbon nanotube (SWCNT) solution to form a homogeneous film with GOx enzymes. Fc-CS/SWCNT/GOx was immobilized via electrodeposition process on the surface of 3D graphene foam (GF) (Fig. 7A). The porous 3D structure is shown in Fig. 7B. Because of the superior properties of 3D GF, such as a large active surface area, high conductivity and fast mass transport dynamics, this sensor exhibited a wide linear range from 5.0 μM to 19.8 mM, an LOD of 1.2 μM and a fast response (95% response within 8 s) for the detection of glucose (Fig. 7C and D). Al-Sagur \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{203} demonstrated a highly sensitive enzymatic glucose biosensor based on lutetium phthalocyanine (LuPc\textsubscript{2}) as a redox mediator in rGO, integrated with polyacrylic acid (PAA) in vinyl-substituted polyaniline (VS-PANI) multifunctional hydrogels. The fabricated PAA–rGO/VS-PANI/LuPc\textsubscript{2}/GOx biosensor showed a response time of 1 s, sensitivity of 15.31 μA mM\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2} in a linear range of 2–12 mM with an LOD of 25 μM for glucose detection and 3 month long storage stability.

3.1.8 Graphene/nanoparticles (NPs) hybrid-based glucose sensors. The integration of graphene with inorganic nanoparticles has drawn significant interest in the development of hybrid nanostructures for electrochemical glucose sensors.\textsuperscript{57,58,202} Integrating electrocatalytically active metal nanoparticles onto highly conductive GR surfaces is attractive for electrode fabrication because of the large accessible surface area, electrical conductivity and capacity for immobilizing enzymes.\textsuperscript{202} Furthermore, chemically derived graphene contains a large number of defects/vacancies and possesses functional groups such as carboxyls, epoxydes, and hydroxyls, thus serving as a highly suitable matrix for the immobilization of inorganic nanoparticles as well as enzymes with enhanced stability and higher loading capacity.\textsuperscript{188} The hybrid GO/inorganic metal nanocomposites are primarily formed by decorating GO nanosheets with inorganic metal nanoparticles including AuNPs,\textsuperscript{149,159} AgNPs,\textsuperscript{156} PtNPs,\textsuperscript{172,204} PdNPs,\textsuperscript{205} CuNPs\textsuperscript{206} and RuNPs, metal oxide nanoparticles (ZnO,\textsuperscript{162}CuO,\textsuperscript{208}ZrO\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{156}SnO\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{163}NiO,\textsuperscript{164}MnO\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{210}Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (ref. 211 and 212)), bimetallic nanoparticles (Pt/Pt NPs,\textsuperscript{177} and metal/metal oxide composites (AuWO\textsubscript{4} (ref. 213)). The surface functionalization of these supported NPs provides an effective approach for the site-specific conjugation of biomolecules without loss of activity. In particular, the integration of Au nanoparticles with the GOx enzyme can provide site-specific conjugation of the FAD site and improve the specific surface area, thus minimizing the electron tunneling distance to facilitate DET.\textsuperscript{214} Willner \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{215,216} reported the functionalization of AuNPs to create an FAD-active center of GOx for electrochemical enzymatic biosensors.

Graphene/nanoparticle composite-based electrochemical enzymatic glucose biosensors have been summarized in Table 1. Noble metal nanoparticles (Au, Ag, Pt, and Pd) are widely used for the effective site-specific conjugation of enzymes.\textsuperscript{56,212} Palanisamy \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{158} developed a biosensor by immobilizing GOx on an EC-rGO/AuNP nanocomposite. The biosensor showed a pair of distinct redox peaks having a formal potential (\(E^{\circ}\)) of −0.422 V and a heterogeneous electron transfer rate constant (K\textsubscript{e}) of 5.27 s\textsuperscript{-1}, suggesting a high affinity between GOx and the EC-rGO/AuNP nanocomposite and fast DET. The biosensor exhibited high sensitivity toward glucose detection in the 0.5 to 12.5 mM concentration range with an LOD of 0.16 mM. Clausen \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{158} demonstrated a biosensor with PtNPs decorated on multilayered graphene petal nanosheets (MGPNs). The performance of biosensor was optimized through electrodeposited PtNPs by changing their size and morphology. The designed GOx-PEDOT/PtNP/MGPN biosensor demonstrated a wide linear range of 0.01–50 mM with an LOD of 0.3 μM for glucose detection and a stable shelf-life by retaining 75% sensitivity over a time period of 5 week.

An enzymatic glucose biosensor based on the tannic acid (TA)-mediated deposition of AuNPs onto rGO nanocomposites was demonstrated by Çakıroğlu and Özçar.\textsuperscript{196} The fabricated glucose biosensor exhibited a sensitivity of 18.73 mA mM\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2} and a linear range of 2–10 mM. Akkaya \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{187} also fabricated a glucose biosensor based on the direct electrochemistry of GOx on PtNPs supported on a TA-rGO nanocomposite, as shown in Fig. 8A. Specifically, after the electrochemical oxidation of tannic acid to quinone, the formation of π–π interactions between GO and TA and of Schiff-base supported hydrogen bonding between GOx and TA that improved DET. The curves from CV measurements in PBS (0.05 M, pH 7.4) solution at 100 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} scan rate are shown in Fig. 8B. The GOx/PtNPs/rGO-GC-modified electrode exhibited redox peaks at a formal potential (\(E^{\circ}\)) of −0.462 V and the peak-to-peak separation (\(\Delta E_{p}\)) of 56 mV, indicating the fast electron transfer between PtNPs/rGO nanocomposite and GOx. The redox peak current linearly decreased as the concentration...
of glucose was increased (Fig. 8C). By adding glucose, more Gox(FAD) was transformed into Gox(FADH₂) due to the biocatalytic reaction. The fabricated biosensor showed an enhanced sensitivity of 27.51 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², a wide linear range of 2–10 mM and an LOD of 1.21 μM. Notably, the sensitivity of the rGO/PtNP/Gox-GCE electrode is higher than that of the rGO/AuNP/Gox-based biosensor (18.73 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻²), which can be ascribed to the high catalytic activity of the PtNPs supported on the surface of rGO.

Bimetallic nanoparticles are well known to exhibit highly enhanced electrochemical activity compared to monometallic nanoparticles. Taking advantage of this property, Hossain and Park designed a glucose biosensor based on the immobilization of Gox on rGO modified with electrochemically deposited PtPdNPs. The resulting biosensor showed sensitivity of 24 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², a linear range of 2–12 mM, an LOD of 0.001 mM, and a fast response time of 5 s. In addition, metal oxide nanoparticles or magnetic nanoparticles supported on...
### Table 2  Graphene/nanoparticles (NPs) nanocomposite-based nonenzymatic glucose biosensors and their sensitivity, concentration detection range and LOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Linear range</th>
<th>Sensitivity (µA mM⁻¹ cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Limit of detection</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiCo₂N/N-GR core-shell</td>
<td>2.008 µM to 7.15 mM</td>
<td>1803</td>
<td>50 nM</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/PtNiNPs</td>
<td>0.5–35 mM</td>
<td>20.42</td>
<td>10 µM</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/CuO NPs nanocomposites</td>
<td>1 µM to 8 µM</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D GF/cobalt oxide nanowires</td>
<td>Up to 80 µM</td>
<td>3390</td>
<td>25 nM</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR-cobalt oxide nanoneedles</td>
<td>0.2–3 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10 µM</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR paper/PtAu–MnO₃ nanocomposites</td>
<td>0.1–30.0 mM</td>
<td>58.54</td>
<td>0.02 µM</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/NiONPs composite</td>
<td>3.13 µM to 3.05 mM</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/CuONPs composite</td>
<td>2.79 µM to 2.03 mM</td>
<td>262.32</td>
<td>0.69 µM</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/CuO nanocubes</td>
<td>0.3–3.3 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.3 µM</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–GR nanoflowers/GO composite</td>
<td>5–900 µM</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt nanoflowers/GO composite</td>
<td>2–20.3 mM</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.0 µM</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₂/3D GR foam</td>
<td>0.1–8 mM</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>10 µM</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/CuO nanoflowers/PT nanocubes</td>
<td>Up to 12 mM</td>
<td>3577</td>
<td>0.01 µM</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-doped GR/CuNPs composite</td>
<td>0.004–4.5 mM</td>
<td>48.13</td>
<td>1.3 µM</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/Ni(OH)₂ nanostructures</td>
<td>15 µM to 30 mM</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15 µM</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtPd–IL–rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.1–22 mM</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2 µM</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(OH)₂/3D GF</td>
<td>1 µM to 1.17 mM</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.34 µM</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/PtNi NPs</td>
<td>0.5–20 mM</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>2 µM</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO NPs/graphene</td>
<td>0.5 µM to 2 mM</td>
<td>2939.24</td>
<td>0.09 µM</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdCu NPs/3D GR hydrogel</td>
<td>2–18 mM</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>0–8 mM</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO nanoribbon/AuNPs hybrid</td>
<td>0.5 M to 10 mM</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>5 µM</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(OH)₂/rGO/MWCNTs</td>
<td>10–1500 µM</td>
<td>2042</td>
<td>2.7 µM</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂/rGO composite</td>
<td>50–500 µM</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>13.35 µM</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP/GNs/NiNPs/CS composite</td>
<td>0.1 µM to 0.5 mM</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>30 nM</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/Au–CuO NPs</td>
<td>1 µM to 12 mM</td>
<td>2356</td>
<td>0.01 µM</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/Ni–Al double hydroxide/SWCNTs/GR composite</td>
<td>10 µM to 6.1 mM</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-GR CuO NPs composite</td>
<td>0.1–10.5 mM</td>
<td>1298.6</td>
<td>80 nM</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-GRGO/MnO₂ NPs</td>
<td>1.0–329.5 µM</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.5 µM</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuNiO₃</td>
<td>0.05–6.9 M</td>
<td>225.75</td>
<td>16 µM</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/Pt nanoclusters/PVP composite</td>
<td>1–25 M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30 µM</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/Cu NPs</td>
<td>Up to 12 mM</td>
<td>447.65</td>
<td>3.4 µM</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/PT–NiO nanoplate arrays</td>
<td>0.008–14.5 M</td>
<td>832.95</td>
<td>2.67 µM</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needlelike NiCo₂O₃/3D GF</td>
<td>0.5 µM to 0.59 M</td>
<td>2524</td>
<td>0.38 µM</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO nanosheet/Cu nanowires composite</td>
<td>1 µM to 11 M</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>0.2 µM</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO NPs/polyaniline nanowire/GO composite</td>
<td>2 µM to 5.560 M</td>
<td>376.22</td>
<td>0.5 µM</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo alloys/polypyrrole/rGO nanocomposites</td>
<td>0.5 µM to 4.1 M</td>
<td>153.5</td>
<td>0.17 µM</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO₂/AIOOH/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>5.0 µM to 14.77 M</td>
<td>155.1</td>
<td>2.6 µM</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoO₃ nanowrinkles/rGO</td>
<td>0.005–8.6 M</td>
<td>548.9</td>
<td>2 µM</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu NPs/pencil graphite</td>
<td>1.0–100 M</td>
<td>1467.5</td>
<td>0.44 µM</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuCoO₃ nanosheets/graphite paper</td>
<td>0.003–7.72 M</td>
<td>129.32</td>
<td>1.8 µM</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgPt/rGO composite</td>
<td>0.5–3354 µM</td>
<td>2426</td>
<td>0.15 µM</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow CuCoO₂/porous rGO composite</td>
<td>0.5–11.40 M</td>
<td>48.717</td>
<td>6 µM</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/CN/NiAl-layered double hydroxide</td>
<td>0.01–14.0 M</td>
<td>1.878</td>
<td>0.22 mM</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/N-doped GR</td>
<td>0.04–16.1 M</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>12 µM</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuNPs/rGO</td>
<td>0.0001–3.88 M</td>
<td>429.4</td>
<td>32 nM</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoO₂/N-nitrogen-doped rGO/IL composite</td>
<td>0.001–4.555 M</td>
<td>3760</td>
<td>0.18 µM</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuNPs/EC–rGO composite</td>
<td>0.14–5901 M</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>0.049 µM</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-doped GR/Cu nanocomposites</td>
<td>0.0005–5.0 M</td>
<td>1848</td>
<td>0.014 µM</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeycomb-like CoNs/RGO/PPy nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.5 µM to 2.667 M</td>
<td>297.73</td>
<td>29 nM</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D GR/Ni on ZnO nanorod arrays</td>
<td>0.05 µM to 1.11 M</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>0.15 µM</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoneedle like CuO/N-doped rGO</td>
<td>0.5–639 µM</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.01 µM</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni plasma-modified GR</td>
<td>1–1150 µM</td>
<td>2213</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/CuS NF nanocomposite</td>
<td>1–2000 M</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>0.19 µM</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D Cu–Co/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>1 µM to 4 M</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.15 µM</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNS/GR nanoribbons/NiNPs</td>
<td>5 nM to 5 M</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>2.5 nM</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuNPs/rGO core–shell nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.001–2 M</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.34 µM</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO/PtNPs/EC–rGO composite</td>
<td>0.05–5.66 M</td>
<td>668.2</td>
<td>0.2 µM</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations used: PVP: polyvinylpyrrolidone, EC–rGO: electrochemically reduced graphene oxide, GF: graphene foam, BiOCl: bismuth oxychloride, CuSNPs: copper sulfide nanoflake.*
graphene have been widely used to develop electrochemical enzymatic glucose biosensors (Table 1). Liu et al.\textsuperscript{188} reported an enzymatic glucose sensor based on a composite of MnO2 NPs supported on graphene. The GR-MnO2NPs-GOx-Nafion based sensor exhibited a high sensitivity of 3.30 \( \mu \)A m\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), a wide linear range of 0.04 to 2 mM with an LOD of 10 \( \mu \)M. The sensor displayed high selectivity for the determination of glucose level in the presence of interfering bioanalytes including AA and UA which may be associated with the use of Nafion in the modified electrode. Vilian et al.\textsuperscript{190} fabricated a glucose biosensor using GOx immobilized in a poly(l-lysine) (PLL) and rGO-zirconium oxide (rGO-ZrO\(_2\)) nanocomposite. The sensor exhibited DET by displaying well-defined redox peaks at the formal potential (\(E^'\)) of \(-0.403\) V with a small peak-to-peak separation (\(\Delta E_p = 27\) mV). The GOx-PLL/rGO-ZrO\(_2\) based sensor exhibited a sensitivity of 11.65 \(\mu\)A m\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), a linear range of 0.29–14 mM and LOD of 0.13 mM. The biosensor showed recoveries of 97% to 102.5% in the spiked samples of human urine for glucose detection and 94.4% retention of original response after storing at 4 \({}^\circ\)C for a period of 1 month. Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{200} reported a long-range electrical wiring of GOx using a ZnO nanorods/EC-reduced graphene heterostructure. The glucose biosensor fabricated by immobilizing GOx on ZnONRs/EC-reduced graphene enabled contact with enzymes through the ZnO nanorods. The charge transfer was associated with ZnO nanorods between the redox center of FAD-GOx and the electrode. The fabricated GOx-ZnONRs/EC-reduced graphene/Pt-based glucose biosensor showed DET characteristics with a sensitivity of 89.84 \(\mu\)A m\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and a linear response to glucose concentrations of 0.2–1.6 mM with a correlation coefficient (\(R\)) value of 0.998. The \(K_s\) value of 0.92 s\(^{-1}\) indicated DET of GOx. Teymournia et al.\textsuperscript{205} fabricated a glucose biosensor based on a Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/rGO-modified GCE, which showed glucose sensing with a wide linear range of 0.5 mM to 12 mM and an LOD of 0.05 \(\mu\)M.

### 3.1.9 Graphene-based nonenzymatic glucose biosensors.

The enzymatic glucose sensor exhibits high sensitivity and selectivity because of the superior catalytic functions of the enzymes. However, the catalytic function of enzymes such as GOx can be negatively influenced by many parameters, including pH, temperature, pressure and humidity.\textsuperscript{228} In addition, the sensor performance and operational stability depend strongly on the immobilization method, biocatalytic functions of the enzyme, and DET characteristics, which greatly limit their practical applications. Although GOx is relatively stable compared to other enzymes, it quickly loses its activity below pH 2.0 and above pH 8.\textsuperscript{228} Moreover, it has an apparent transition temperature of 55.8 \(\pm\) 1.28 \({}^\circ\)C and an activation energy of 280 kJ mol\(^{-1}\).\textsuperscript{221} Thus, GOx undergoes structural transformations and exhibits damage above 40 \({}^\circ\)C. Therefore, the development of a low-cost, sensitive, enzyme-free approach to glucose detection is a desirable alternative to enzymatic methods. Nevertheless, given the sensitivity and selectivity of the commercially available glucose sensor strips, nonenzymatic methods have to meet stringent requirements for commercial viability.\textsuperscript{222}

The unique electrochemical properties of graphene and its derivatives have also been applied to fabricate nonenzymatic glucose biosensors. Moreover, supporting inorganic nanoparticles on graphene allows significant enhancement of their electrocatalytic activity and sensing performance.\textsuperscript{223} Due to their strong electrocatalytic activity, noble metal nanoparticles have been decorated/supported on graphene to construct highly sensitive enzyme-less electrochemical glucose sensors.\textsuperscript{224} Table 2 summarizes the performance of nonenzymatic electrochemical glucose biosensing developed from graphene-based nanocomposites with a very wide variety of metal nanoparticles/nanostructures.\textsuperscript{210,212–214} For example, Deepalakshmi et al.\textsuperscript{224} used nitrogen-doped graphene (N-GR)-encapsulated nickel cobalt nitride (NiCo\(_2\)N) core–shell nanostructures for nonenzymatic detection of glucose and hydrogen peroxide. The synergistic effect of the NiCo\(_2\)N/N-GR core–shell nanostructures resulted in high sensitivity and selectivity. NiCo\(_2\)N/N-GR/GCE sensor detected 1.09-mM glucose concentration in human blood serum with 94.8% recovery, showed high selectivity for glucose detection against interfering biomolecules such as DA, UA, AA, lactic acid, fructose, lactose and NaCl, as well as long-term environmental stability for glucose oxidation by retaining 92.31% of initial current response after 45 days at room temperature. Hoa et al.\textsuperscript{225} used Pt nanoparticles supported on GO hydrogel (GOH) for nonenzymatic glucose detection. The PtNPs/GOH sensor showed a sensitivity of 137.4 \(\mu\)A m\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), which is 7-times greater than in the absence of PtNPs on GOH. In addition, the sensor showed selective detection in real blood samples and good anti-interference ability toward AA, UA, and DA interferents. Jiang et al.\textsuperscript{226} prepared nitrogen-doped graphene (N-GR) decorated with copper nanoparticles (CuNPs) through simple thermal treatment for nonenzymatic glucose detection. The CuNPs/N-GR sensor showed greatly enhanced glucose-sensing performance with a sensitivity of 48.13 \(\mu\)A m\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), a linear range of 0.004–4.5 mM, an LOD of 1.3 \(\mu\)M, and the response time of <5 s. The peak current of glucose oxidation was found to be approximately 23 times greater compared with pristine CuNPs. Ju et al.\textsuperscript{227} demonstrated an enhanced nonenzymatic amperometric glucose biosensor based on rGO decorated with copper nanowires (CuNWs). The resulting sensor displayed enhanced sensitivity and LOD, which was attributed to the higher catalytic activity and electrical conductivity of the CuNWs. The sensitivity was found to be 1625 \(\mu\)A cm\(^{-2}\) mM\(^{-1}\) with a linear range up to 11 mM, an LOD of 0.02 \(\mu\)M and a fast response time of <2 s at a potential of 0.58 V. Moreover, the sensor exhibited negligible interference from AA, DA, UA, acetamidophenol and other carbohydrates. Gouthaman et al.\textsuperscript{228} reported a nonenzymatic glucose biosensor based on a Cu nanostructure-deposited nitrogen-doped graphene (N-GR). Owing to the unique dendritic nanostructure of Cu on N-GR, the sensor exhibited 2-times greater glucose oxidation current than that of pristine N-GR, with a sensitivity of 1848 \(\mu\)A m\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), a linear range of 0.0005–5.0 mM, and an LOD of 0.014 \(\mu\)M. The biosensor showed high selectivity toward glucose determination against interfering bioanalytes including Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Cl\(^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), urea, oxalic acid, AA and UA. The recoveries of 97.34 to 99.52% and 97.19 to 98.01% in spiked...
Human blood serum and urine samples were achieved for the determination of glucose. Bimetallic nanoparticles supported on GO have also been widely employed to enhance the electrocatalytic activity and sensitivity of glucose biosensors. Gao et al. used sonication-assisted electrochemical synthesis to prepare bimetallic PtNiNPs/GR nanocomposite for nonenzymatic glucose detection (Fig. 9). The PtNiNPs are found to be uniformly distributed and supported on graphene surfaces.

Fig. 9 (A) Schematic representation of the formation of PtNiNPs/rGO nanocomposites by electrochemical reduction method. (B) SEM images of the GO nanosheet, PtNiNPs/ERGO, PtNiNPs/CRGO nanocomposites and PtNiNPs/SWCNTs nanocomposites. (C) Amperometric response of PtNiNPs/ERGO/GCE after adding 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 mM glucose and the calibration curve (inset). (D) Influence of interfering bioanalytes including 0.5 mM ascorbic acid (AA), 0.1 mM uric acid (UA), 0.1 mM urea, 0.5 mM AAP, and 0.5 mM fructose to 5.0 mM glucose at the PtNiNPs/ERGO/GCE. Here, electrochemically and chemically reduced GO are ERGO and CRGO, respectively. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 229, H. Gao, F. Xiao, C. B. Ching and H. Duan, One-Step Electrochemical Synthesis of PtNi Nanoparticle-Graphene Nanocomposites for Nonenzymatic Amperometric Glucose Detection, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 3049–3057. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]
compared with SWCNTs. The biosensor displayed a wide linear range of 0.5–35 mM, LOD of 10 µM, and a sensitivity of 20.42 µA mM⁻¹ cm⁻² with a negative potential of −0.35 V. The PtNiNPs/ERGO/GCE sensor also showed high selectivity for the determination of glucose against interfering bioanalytes including AA, UA, urea, AAP, and fructose, and recoveries of 91.3% to 108.2% for glucose detection in human urine samples. Sheng et al.²³⁰ reported a NiCoNPs/polypyrrole/rGO nanocomposite for nonenzymatic glucose sensing. The designed nonenzymatic glucose sensor displayed a sensitivity
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Fig. 10 (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation of graphene-encapsulated CuNPs. (B) DPV responses of graphene-encapsulated CuNPs composites at different concentrations (from a to k: 1–2000 µM) of glucose in 0.1 M NaOH. (C) Linear relationship between the peak current and the glucose concentration. (D) Normalized DPV peak current of the CuNPs@rGO composites with the addition of 1.0 mM glucose as the control and in the presence of 2.0 mM uric acid (UA), 2.0 mM dopamine (DA), and 2.0 mM ascorbic acid (AA) as interferents and their corresponding mixtures. The CuNPs@rGO composite-based sensor shows high selectivity for detecting glucose. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 279, Q. Zhang, Q. Luo, Z. Qin, L. Liu, Z. Wu, B. Shen and W. Hu, Self-Assembly of Graphene-Encapsulated Cu Composites for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing, ACS Omega, 2018, 3, 3420–3428. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]
of 153.5 \( \mu \text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \), a wide linear range of 0.5 \( \mu \text{M} \) to 4.1 mM, and an LOD of 0.17 \( \mu \text{M} \) for the glucose detection.

In addition to metal/graphene hybrid nanostructures, copper (Cu), and nickel (Ni) oxide nanostructures have been widely studied in the development of nonenzymatic electrochemical glucose sensors due to their high electrochemical activity and stability. Song et al.\(^{209}\) demonstrated a nonenzymatic glucose biosensor based on CuO nanoparticles (CuONPs) supported on GO nanosheet with a high sensitivity of 262.52 \( \mu \text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \) and a wide linear range between 2.79 \( \mu \text{M} \) and 2.03 mM with an LOD of 0.69 \( \mu \text{M} \) under 0.7 V detection potential. In the real human serum, the CuONPs/GO sensor also showed a similar broad linear range of 2.55 \( \mu \text{M} \) to 0.5 mM with a sensitivity of 285.38 \( \mu \text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \). The sensor also displayed selectivity toward glucose against AA and UA interferents and good stability at room temperature as the sensitivity was found to decrease by 12.5% after 4 weeks. Wang et al.\(^{257}\) prepared a Pt–NiO array/rGO nanocomposite-based biosensor with a sensitivity of 832.95 \( \mu \text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \), a linear range of 0.008–14.5 mM, and an LOD of 2.67 \( \mu \text{M} \). The enhanced performance was ascribed to the strong catalytic activity of the metal–metal oxide (Pt–NiO) combined with rGO, as well as the high porosity of the nanocomposite material. Moreover, graphene functionalized with metal oxide nanoparticles such as nitrogen-doped rGO/Mn3O4 NPs\(^{253}\) or GO hydrogels/Co3O4 nanoflowers\(^{281}\) has been applied to the fabrication of biosensors with improved...
sensitivity and selectivity for the electrochemical detection of glucose. Dong et al.\textsuperscript{232} designed cobalt oxide nanowires (CoO\textsubscript{x}, NWS)/3D-graphene foam with high porosity for nonenzymatic electrochemical glucose detection. The 3D graphene/CoO\textsubscript{x} nanocomposite sensor exhibited a sensitivity of 3.39 mA mM\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, an LOD of <25 nM (S/N = 8.5) and anti-interference ability against AA, UA, and proteins, which was attributed to the unique 3D porous nanostructures. Rao et al.\textsuperscript{279} reported an enzyme-free electrochemical glucose sensor based on a NiCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}/nitrogen-doped rGO/ionic liquid ternary composite. The resulting sensor showed high electrocatalytic activity toward glucose with a sensitivity of 3.76 mA mM\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, a wide linear response from 0.001 mM to 4.555 mM and an LOD of 0.18 \mu M under a potential of 0.5 V. The enhanced sensitivity was attributed to the synergistic effect of the NiCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, GO and ionic liquid. Moreover, the N-doping of rGO further expedited the electron transfer of the ionic liquid.

An approach to synthesize rGO-encapsulated CuNPs using an electrostatic self-assembly method was reported by Zhang et al.,\textsuperscript{279} as shown in Fig. 10A. The obtained Cu core and rGO shell nanocomposite exhibited high stability compared to bare CuNPs because CuNPs in the composite were protected from oxidation by the rGO shell. The differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) response of glucose in 0.1-M NaOH was measured in the 1–2000 \mu M concentration range and showed a linear relationship between the peak current and the glucose concentration. Moreover, the resulting CuNPs/rGO nanocomposite exhibited high electrocatalytic activity with a wide linear range from 1 \mu M to 2 mM, a sensitivity of 150 \mu A mM\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, and an LOD of 0.34 \mu M in addition to good reproducibility and selectivity for the oxidation of glucose (Fig. 10B). The selectivity of the CuNPs/rGO core–shell nanocomposite-based sensor for glucose detection was examined by measuring the normalized DPV peak current with addition of 1.0-mM glucose alone as the control and then in the presence of 2.0-mM UA, 2.0-mM DA and 2.0-mM AA as interfering analytes and the corresponding mixtures with glucose (Fig. 10C bottom). The resulting DPV peak current was 5.4, 8.9 and 6.3% for UA, DA and AA compared with 1.0-mM glucose in 0.1-M NaOH, respectively, showing high selectivity for glucose detection in the presence of each interferent. Babu et al.\textsuperscript{277} fabricated nonenzymatic glucose sensors using 3D copper–cobalt/rGO (Cu–Co/rGO) nanostructures which were electrochemically deposited over a pencil graphite electrode (PGE) (Fig. 11A). The Cu–Co/rGO/PGE sensor displayed high glucose detection with enhanced sensitivity (240 \mu A mM\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}), a linear range of 1 \mu M to 4 mM, an LOD of 0.15 \mu M (Fig. 11B) as well as anti-interfering property against AA, UA, DA, citric acid (CA), NaCl, urea, acetonaminophen (AP) and chloride poisoning resistance. The high analytical performance of the biosensor was associated with the 3D hierarchical dendrite-like

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Linear range</th>
<th>Sensitivity (\mu A mM\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2})</th>
<th>Limit of detection (LOD)</th>
<th>K_m (mM)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GR-PtNPs hybrid</td>
<td>Up to 12 mM</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.2 \mu M</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol esterase-functionalized GR</td>
<td>50–300 \mu M</td>
<td>443.25</td>
<td>15 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chox/hemin–GR nanosheets</td>
<td>0.17–1120 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.06 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO\textsubscript{2}/GR composites</td>
<td>12 \mu M to 7.2 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO\textsubscript{2}–GR–Pt–Pd hybrid</td>
<td>0.05 \mu M to 5.9 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.017 \mu M</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Pd NPs/GR nanocomposite</td>
<td>2.2 \mu M to 0.52 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.75 \mu M</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNTs/GO/thionine–AuNPs</td>
<td>0.15–828 \mu M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/PVP/PANI nanocomposite</td>
<td>50 \mu M to 10 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-assembled GR</td>
<td>0.05–0.35 mM</td>
<td>124.57</td>
<td>0.05 \mu M</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/dendritic Pd nanostructure</td>
<td>0.005–0.014 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.05 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/IL</td>
<td>0.25–215 \mu M</td>
<td>4163</td>
<td>0.5 \mu M</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS/GR nanocomposites</td>
<td>0.005–1.0 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.715 \mu M</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNTs/GO/AuNPs</td>
<td>0.15–828 \mu M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.05 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO/AuNWs/GR–CS nanocomposites</td>
<td>6.5 \mu M to 10 mM</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.287 \mu M</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB/calic[6]arene-functionalized GR</td>
<td>0.50–50.00 \mu M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.20 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag nanowires/CS/GO nanocomposite</td>
<td>Up to 400 mg dl\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>13.628</td>
<td>0.427 mg dl\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>2.813</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTe QD/MWCNTs/rGO nanoribbons</td>
<td>1 \mu M to 1 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.33 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO\textsubscript{2} NPs/rGO hybrid composite</td>
<td>2.6–15.5 mM</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.3 \mu M</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO flowers/CVD-grown GR</td>
<td>2–40 \mu M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.13 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS/polymeric IL/GR nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.01–10.4 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.5 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypropylene/rGO/Chox composite</td>
<td>0.01–6 \mu M</td>
<td>1095.3</td>
<td>3.78 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO–SH/AuNPs composite</td>
<td>0.05–11.45 mM</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>0.2 \mu M</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO\textsubscript{2} nanowires/3D GR nanostacks</td>
<td>0.05–8.0 mM</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>6 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO nanosheet/PEI hybrid</td>
<td>2.5–25 \mu M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.5 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO\textsubscript{2}/N-doped GR composite</td>
<td>4.0 \mu M to 5 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.33 \mu M</td>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag/AuNPs–GO</td>
<td>0.01–3000 \mu g ml\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.001 \mu g ml\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td></td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Abbreviations used: CS: chitosan, ILs: ionic liquids, SPE: screen-printed electrode, MB: methylene blue, GO–SH: thiol-functionalized graphene oxide.
architecture having controlled pore diameter, large surface area and high conductivity for rapid electron transfer.

### 3.2 Cholesterol biosensors

Cholesterol and its fatty acid esters are among the main constituents of mammalian cell membranes and are precursors of extra biological materials including steroid hormones and bile acid. Accurate determination of the cholesterol content in blood is very important for the diagnosis and prevention of various heart diseases. Excessive blood cholesterol is considered to be a severe threat for cardiovascular diseases such as atherosclerosis, hypertension, myocardial infarction, and cardiopathy. The accurate estimation of blood cholesterol is essential for the assessment of atherosclerosis and other lipid-related disorders and to determine the risk of thrombosis and myocardial infarction. A normal level of total cholesterol in the human blood is less than 5.17 mM, but this value can differ based on individuals and their age, body-weight, and gender. The higher limit of total cholesterol in human blood is in the range of 5.17–6.18 mM and cholesterol in values above 6.21 mM are considered high. Thus, biosensors for the precise detection of cholesterol in the human blood samples are critical for healthcare. Multiple analytical methods including electrochemical sensing have been developed for sensitive and selective monitoring of cholesterol.

![Fig. 12](image)

**Fig. 12**  (A) Scheme illustrating the biosensor based on Fc-GO on SPE for the detection of cholesterol. (B) CV response of the Fc-GO based biosensors in the (a) absence and (b) presence of cholesterol ester, uric acid and glucose. (C) Amperometric response of the Fc-GO based biosensor for detecting cholesterol and uric acid. Arrows indicate the aliquots of (a) cholesterol ester and (b) uric acids, added at regular intervals into PBS (pH 7.2). Insets show the corresponding calibration plots. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 284. R. S. Dey and C. R. Raj, Redox-Functionalized Graphene Oxide Architecture for the Development of Amperometric Biosensing Platform, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 4791–4798. Copyright © American Chemical Society.]
Similar to electrochemical glucose biosensing, the sensing of cholesterol can be accomplished by two approaches. (i) The enzymatic reaction of cholesterol with cholesterol oxidase (ChOx), i.e., the concentration of cholesterol can be estimated by monitoring the concentration of hydrogen peroxide ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) generated through the enzymatic reaction. The ChOx enzyme is most commonly used for the detection of cholesterol because it easily catalyzes the oxidation of cholesterol to cholest-4-3-one and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. (ii) Enzyme-free direct biocatalytic oxidation of cholesterol. Various nanomaterials, such as metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, CNTs, GR, and polymer nanocomposites, have been successfully applied to develop cholesterol biosensors. Among the nanomaterials developed, graphene holds a great promise for the detection of cholesterol with highly enhanced sensitivity and selectivity due to its fast electron transport process, good mechanical properties and biocompatibility. Graphene-based cholesterol biosensors are summarized in Table 3.

### 3.2.1 Enzymatic detection of cholesterol

Graphene-based nanocomposites have been extensively investigated for the fabrication of enzyme-based cholesterol sensors. For example, Dey et al. fabricated a redox enzyme-functionalized GO based biosensor by covalently conjugated the ferrocene (Fc) redox units to the GO backbone through diamine in order to facilitate the enhanced electron transfer rate. Fig. 12 shows the schematic of Fc-GO based biosensor the detection of cholesterol and mechanism of the enzymatic reaction, CV response of the biosensors in the absence and presence of cholesterol ester, UA and glucose and amperometric response of the cholesterol biosensor with subsequent addition of cholesterol at a fixed potential of 0.3 V. The biosensor showed a linear response ranging from 0.5 to 46.5 $\mu\text{M}$ with a sensitivity of 5.71 $\mu\text{A \, M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 0.1 $\mu\text{M}$ with a response time of 4 s for the detection of cholesterol. The Fc-GO based biosensors also exhibited a linear range of 0.001 to 0.02 mM and 0.2 to 19.7 mM, sensitivities of 5.85 $\mu\text{A \, M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and 20.71 $\mu\text{A \, M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ with LODs of 0.1 $\mu\text{M}$ and 1 $\mu\text{M}$ for detecting UA and glucose, respectively. The clinical application of the Fc-GO biosensors was demonstrated by detecting cholesterol ester, UA and glucose in human serum samples. Li et al. showed a cholesterol biosensor based on a CS biopolymer/GR nanocomposite. The availability of amine (NH$_2$/NH$_3$) and hydroxyl (OH) side groups in CS biopolymer not only facilitates the stable conjugation of ChOx enzyme but also increased the DET between the enzyme and the electrode surface. The ChOx/CS-GR based biosensor exhibited a linear range of 0.005 to 1.0 mM with an LOD of 0.715 $\mu\text{M}$ for cholesterol detection and selectivity against interfering bioanlytes such as DA, AA, UA, and glucose. Ruecha et al. used graphene/poly(vinylpyrrolidone)/poly(aniline) (GR/PVP/PANI) nanocomposites to fabricate cholesterol biosensors. The sensor showed a linear range from 50 $\mu\text{M}$ to 10 mM with an LOD of 1 $\mu\text{M}$ and clinical use for cholesterol detection in human serum samples.

Combining the noble metal nanoparticles with graphene has been shown to significantly enhance the electrocatalytic properties and subsequent detection of cholesterol. Pt and Pd nanoparticles exhibit superior electrocatalytic activity to other noble metal nanoparticles. The fabrication of biosensor using PtNPs/GR and PdNPs/rGO hybrid nanostructures has been reported for the electrochemical detection of cholesterol. The PdNPs/rGO hybrid nanostructure-based biosensor displayed an increased sensitivity of 5.12 $\mu\text{A \, M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 0.05 $\mu\text{M}$, due to the high electrocatalytic activity of dendritic Pd nanostructures supported on rGO surface. Cao et al. developed a cholesterol biosensor based on bimetallic PtPd nanoparticles supported on CS-GR-functionalized GCE. Owing to the synergetic catalytic activity of Pt and Pd nanoparticles, the fabricated PtPdNPs-CS-GR biosensor showed improvement in the detection range from 2.2 $\times 10^{-6}$ to 5.2 $\times 10^{-4}$ M, and the LOD was 0.75 $\mu\text{M}$ because of accelerated DET between the redox enzyme and the electrode surface. Metal oxide nanostructures supported on GR have also been used to develop cholesterol sensors. Komathi et al. used titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) nanowires supported on 3D graphene nanostacks for the fabrication of dual-mode cholesterol biosensors. The biosensor exhibited a sensitivity of 3.82 $\mu\text{A \, M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 6 $\mu\text{M}$. The sensing performance is slightly lower than that of previous metal nanoparticles supported on GO due to the lower electrocatalytic activity and conductivity of TiO$_2$ nanostructures.

### 3.2.2 Nonenzymatic detection of cholesterol

The stability of enzymes such as GOx and ChOx is easily affected by factors such as temperature, humidity, pH, etc. Nonenzymatic detection has numerous advantages over the enzyme-based approach including simple fabrication process, reproducibility, stability, low cost and freedom from oxygen limitation. Therefore, significant research efforts have been focused on developing biosensors for nonenzymatic cholesterol detection. Moreover, owing to the strong catalytic ability and facile attachment of metal NPs to the electrode surface, GR-based nanocomposites have been extensively investigated as electrode materials.

A nonenzymatic cholesterol sensor based on $\beta$-cyclodextrin ($\beta$-CD)-modified chemically converted graphene was reported by Agnihotri et al. Methylen blue (MB) was used as a redox indicator in complex to form a cholesterol-sensing matrix. The resulting sensor showed an LOD as low as 1 $\mu\text{M}$. Lakshmi et al. developed a biosensor using polyaniline nanofiber/graphene microflowers for the detection of cholesterol, achieving an enhanced sensitivity of 0.101 $\mu\text{A \, M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 1.93 mg dL$^{-1}$. The biosensor showed high selectivity toward cholesterol detection against interfering bioanalytes including AA, citric acid, urea, glucose, and cysteine. Alexander et al. reported a nonenzymatic cholesterol sensor fabricated from a modified GO-based molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP). The GO-MIP based sensor showed high sensitivity with an LOD of 0.1 nM and a fast response time of 2 min. Rengaraj et al. developed a nonenzymatic cholesterol biosensor using nickel oxide (NiO)/graphene composite. The developed sensor displayed a sensitivity of 40.6 mA $\mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, an LOD of 0.13 mM, and a fast response time of 5 s. Moreover, the biosensor showed the ability to detect the cholesterol content in a milk sample, reproducibility and long-term stability.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Linear range</th>
<th>Sensitivity (µA mM⁻¹ cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Limit of detection (LOD)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rGO/carbon ceramic with Cds-hemoglobin</td>
<td>2–240 µM</td>
<td>1.056</td>
<td>0.24 µM</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyl [COOH]-functionalized GO</td>
<td>0.006–0.8 µM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous CeO₂/rGO xerogel composite</td>
<td>60.7 nM to 3.0 µM</td>
<td>1.978</td>
<td>30.40 nM</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs/graphene nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.002–0.71 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.5 µM</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D graphene/CNTs</td>
<td>10 µM to 1 mM</td>
<td>137.9</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs/MnO₂ nanowire/rGO paper</td>
<td>2 µM to 133 mM</td>
<td>129.5</td>
<td>1.0 µM</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-assembled AuNPs/rGO paper</td>
<td>0.005–8.6 mM</td>
<td>236.8</td>
<td>2.0 µM</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prussian blue (PB)/CS/graphene sheets</td>
<td>0.01–0.4 mM</td>
<td>816.4</td>
<td>0.213 µM</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/porous graphene films</td>
<td>0.5–4.9 mM</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>0.1 µM</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/PdNPs@rGO-APTES composite</td>
<td>0.7 µM to 13.5 mM</td>
<td>1164.3</td>
<td>0.21 µM</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/CuO nanocubes</td>
<td>0.3–7.8 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20.8 µM</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/Ag nanocrystals</td>
<td>0.02–10 mM</td>
<td>183.5</td>
<td>3 µM</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prussian blue NPs/GR paper</td>
<td>1–7 mM</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>5 µM</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/rGO nanohybrids</td>
<td>0.25–22.5 mM</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.2 µM</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.1–1340 µM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20 nM</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/tyrosine</td>
<td>0.1–2.1 mM</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/mesoporous silica/AlNPs composite</td>
<td>0.5 µM to 50 mM</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>60 nM</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/MnO₂ nanotube nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.1–30 mM</td>
<td>303.9</td>
<td>0.82 µM</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypropylene/rGO/AlNPs composites</td>
<td>32 µM to 2 mM</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>2.7 µM</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs/graphene–CNTs hybrid nanocomposite</td>
<td>Up to 25 µM</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>10 nM</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNPs/CNT/rGO hybrid nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.01–10 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs/porous GR</td>
<td>1–1477 µM</td>
<td>341.14</td>
<td>0.50 µM</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freestanding graphene papers</td>
<td>1–30 µM</td>
<td>500000</td>
<td>100 nM</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow TiO₂-modified rGO microspheres</td>
<td>0.1–360 µM</td>
<td>417.6</td>
<td>10 nM</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO/graphene nanosphere</td>
<td>0.01–0.1 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.88 µM</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D graphene–Fe₃O₄ QD composite</td>
<td>274130</td>
<td>8 nM</td>
<td>339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/AlNPs nanohybrids</td>
<td>0.25 to 22.5 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.2 µM</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(OH)₃/rGO/MWCNTs</td>
<td>10–9050 µM</td>
<td>2042.0</td>
<td>4.0 µM</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome c (Cyt c)/GO/CNT/AlNPs</td>
<td>0.001–0.14 µM</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.027 nm</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt nanospheres/flexible graphene</td>
<td>0.2–5 nM</td>
<td>150.8</td>
<td>0.2 µM</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/nPpy composite</td>
<td>1–4 µM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>34 nM</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon QDs/graphene sheets</td>
<td>10 µM to 1 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>300 nM</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-histidine/rGO composite</td>
<td>0.2–500 µM</td>
<td>266.4</td>
<td>0.05 µM</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemin–graphene/PEDOT composite</td>
<td>1.0 µM to 0.1 mM</td>
<td>23.05</td>
<td>0.08 µM</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag nanowire/graphene hybrids</td>
<td>10.0 µM to 34.3 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow AuPd NPs/N-doped GR</td>
<td>0.1–20 µM</td>
<td>5095.5</td>
<td>0.02 µM</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNPs/PANI/graphene nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.25 to 2.25 µM</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.03 µM</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO₂/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.1–500 µM</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.021 µM</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄) NPs</td>
<td>0.2–211.5 µM</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>0.06 µM</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNPs/GO nanocomposites</td>
<td>0.02–23.1 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.7 µM</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO-PtNPs composite</td>
<td>0.05–750.6 µM</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>16 nM</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR nanodots/gold</td>
<td>0.005–4 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNPs/CNTs/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.01–10 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgPt core–shell NPs/rGO</td>
<td>5 µM to 12.4 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.9 µM</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoO₃/N–GR core–shell</td>
<td>200 nM to 3.4985 mM</td>
<td>2848.73</td>
<td>200 nM</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs/bilayer graphene</td>
<td>0.004–13.5 µM</td>
<td>115.1</td>
<td>1.5 µM</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/PdNPs/ZnFe₂O₄ composite</td>
<td>25 µM to 10.2 mM</td>
<td>621.64</td>
<td>2.12 µM</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene/cellulose microfiber</td>
<td>0.05–926 µM</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.01 µM</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D porous N-doped GR</td>
<td>0.6 µM to 2.1 µM</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3 µM</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA/GR QDs/AuNPs</td>
<td>0.1–40 µM</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>5.8 nM</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP/porous GR</td>
<td>2.77–835 µM</td>
<td>257.7</td>
<td>1.5 µM</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanowall-like Pd/rGO</td>
<td>100 µM to 12 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.24 µM</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDOT/PSS/rGO/AlNPs</td>
<td>5–400 µM</td>
<td>677.0</td>
<td>0.08 µM</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/Nafion/AgNPs</td>
<td>1–10 µM</td>
<td>4.508</td>
<td>0.535 µM</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/CuFe₂O₄ composite</td>
<td>1 µM to 11 mM</td>
<td>265.57</td>
<td>0.35 µM</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/organic salts</td>
<td>2–37 mM</td>
<td>1161.6</td>
<td>0.19 µM</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO/rGO paper</td>
<td>0.001–1.47 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.37 µM</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt sulfide/rGO composite</td>
<td>0.1 µM to 2.54 mM</td>
<td>2.519</td>
<td>42 nM</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au–Pt NPs/rGO composite</td>
<td>0.005–4 mM</td>
<td>1117.0</td>
<td>0.008 µM</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations used: ChOc: cholesterol oxidase, PVP: polyvinylpyrrolidone, PANI: polyaniline, MWCNTs: multiwalled carbon nanotubes, PEI: polyethyleneimine, PEDOT: poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene), HRP: horseradish peroxidase.*
### 3.3 Hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) biosensors

Hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) sensors have attracted much research interest because H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} is not only a byproduct of numerous enzymatic reactions but also plays an important role as a mediator in clinical, food, pharmaceutical and environmental monitoring applications due to its superior oxidizing and reducing properties.\textsuperscript{66} In living organisms, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} is a byproduct of enzymatic reactions involving different oxidases and plays an essential role in regulating various biological signaling transduction processes, and enzymatic biosensing appears feasible. Unfortunately, the overpotentials (300–600 mV against a reference electrode) applied to the working electrode usually generate a significant amount of interference.\textsuperscript{64} Thus, intensive investigations have focused on the development of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} sensors with reduced oxidation/reduction overpotentials.\textsuperscript{66}

Graphene-based nanomaterials have attracted extensive interest for sensing H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} because of their high specific surface area, good mechanical strength and superior electric conductivity.\textsuperscript{66} Furthermore, the integration of metal NPs onto the surface of graphene could significantly improve the active surface area to enable adsorption and speed up electron transfer processes of analyte between the electrode and detection molecules. Table 4 lists the H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} biosensors developed from graphene-based nanocomposites. Initially, Zhou et al.\textsuperscript{66} demonstrated a modified electrode with chemically reduced GO, showing a much lower onset potential of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} oxidation/reduction at 0.2/0.1 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) than with a graphite-modified electrode. The enhanced performance is ascribed to the high electrocatalytic activity and surface defects. Hou et al.\textsuperscript{35} performed the organic salt-mediated preparation of pristine graphene and used it for the nonenzymatic electrochemical detection of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. The biosensor exhibited improved electrocatalytic activity for H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} detection with a wide linear range from 2.0 to 437.0 \mu M with LOD of 0.19 \mu M. Yu et al.\textsuperscript{36} prepared multilayer composite films of [phthalocyaninato(N)]-porphyrinatoeuropium(III) triple-decker compound (Pc)[Eu(Pc) Eu[trans-T(COCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}]PP] and GO for the detection of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. The combination of the high electrical conductivity and a large surface area of GO with the semiconducting triple-decker porphyrin compound in the indium tin oxide electrode enabled high H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}-sensing activity because of the optimized triple-decker molecular packing on the GO surface. The triple-decker/GO sensor showed a sensitivity of 7.4 \mu A m\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2} with a wide linear range of 0.05–1800 \mu M, an LOD of 0.017 \mu M, and a response time of 0.03 s \mu M\textsuperscript{-1}.

Noble metal nanoparticles such as Ag, Au, Pt, Pd, and Cu decorated on GO surface have attracted significant interest for developing electrochemical H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} sensors due to their unique catalytic properties.\textsuperscript{66,67,68} Guo et al.\textsuperscript{69} prepared Pt nanoparticle/graphene hybrid nanosheets through a micro-wave-assisted heating method and used it for the electrochemical sensing of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. The modified electrode showed increased in electrochemical performance for the detection of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} in the linear range of 1 to 500 \mu M with a detection limit of 80 nM. Moreover, the sensor exhibited high selectivity for the detection of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} in the presence of UA and AA interferents. Ag and Au nanoparticles exhibit high catalytic ability toward H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. Zhan et al.\textsuperscript{70} demonstrated the hydrothermal synthesis of AgNPs and used them to decorate 3D graphene for a H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} sensor. Owing to the higher catalytic activity of AgNPs, higher conductivity and reactivity of the 3D graphene porous structure, the fabricated sensor showed a wide linear range between 0.03–16.21 mM and an LOD of 14.9 \mu M. One-dimensional Ag nanostructures such as Ag nanorods\textsuperscript{71} and nanowires\textsuperscript{72} were also decorated on graphene surface to design sensors with improved sensitivity for the detection of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. In addition to metal nanoparticles, metal oxide nanostructures were also supported on graphene for fabricating H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} biosensors. Karthikeyan et al.\textsuperscript{73} developed copper ferrite (CuFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}) supported rGO nanocomposite-based sensor which showed a high sensitivity of 265.57 \mu A m\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, a linear range of 1 \mu M to 11 mM, and an LOD of 0.35 \mu M. Yusoff et al.\textsuperscript{74} developed a biosensor using rGO-Nafion@silver6 (rGO-NF@Ag6) hybrid-modified GCEs for the detection of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. The sensor exhibited high sensitivity 0.4508 \mu A m\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, with an LOD of 0.535 \mu M due to the coupling of rGO-NF with AgNPs, which enhanced the performance due to more active surface area that enabling the interaction of analyte and the fast electron transfer. Chen et al.\textsuperscript{75} developed a biosensor based on a PtNPs-carbon quantum dot (CQD)/ionic liquid-functionalized GO nanocomposite for detecting H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. The unique PtNPs-CQDs/IL-GO structure improved the electrocatalytic reduction of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and offered abundant active sites for involvement of electrochemical redox reactions. The fabricated sensor showed a linear range of 1 to 900 \mu M and an LOD of 0.1 \mu M for detecting H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. Sun et al.\textsuperscript{76} used PtNi nanowires on rGO for developing H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} sensor. The sensor showed with a wide linear range between 1 nM to 5.3 mM and an LOD of 0.3 nM for the sensing of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} due to the plentiful active sites available through PtNi nanowires. Bai et al.\textsuperscript{77} developed nonenzymatic H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} sensors using Au and Pt alloy microspheres decorated on rGO. The resulting biosensor exhibited a sensitivity of 1117.0 \mu A m\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, a linear range of 0.005–4.0 mM and an LOD of 0.008 \mu M for the determination of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. Deepalakshmi et al.\textsuperscript{78} used NiCo\textsubscript{2}N/N-GR/GCE sensor for nonenzymatic detection of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. NiCo\textsubscript{2}N/N-GR core–shell nanostructure-based sensor detected 0.463 mM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} concentration in human blood serum with 91.7% recovery, showed high selectivity toward H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} against interferents including DA, UA, AA, glucose, urea, and NaCl, and retained 91.05% and 98.52% of initial current response after 45 days at <5 °C and 3000 s, respectively.

The assembly of flexible electrodes using few-layer graphene nanosheets into different freestanding structures with high electrical conductivity, mechanical strength, and structural uniformity has shown great promise for the design of flexible biosensors.\textsuperscript{80} The biosensor performance can be optimized by controlling the particle size and morphology of inorganic NPs, and their degree of loading and uniform distribution on the freestanding graphene films. For instance, the homogeneous dispersion or self-assembly of the different nanoparticles over graphene nanosheets offers a large specific surface area and improved electron transport through conducting networks.\textsuperscript{74} Xi et al.\textsuperscript{82} prepared AuNPs containing porous graphene
nanosheets by the electrostatic layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly of AuNPs with BSA to fabricate the electrode material for the detection of H$_2$O$_2$. The AuNPs/porous GR-based sensor exhibited enhanced electrochemical detection of H$_2$O$_2$ with a sensitivity of 75.9 $\mu$A cm$^{-2}$ mM$^{-1}$, a linear range from 0.5 $\mu$M to 4.9 mM, and an LOD of 0.1 $\mu$M compared with AuNPs/nonporous graphene composite. Xiao et al. developed exfoliable electrodes by assembling AuNPs on the freestanding GO paper. Specifically, the 2D array of AuNPs at oil–water interfaces were transferred on a freestanding GO paper, enabling a densely assembled monolayer of AuNPs on the surface of GO paper (Fig. 13). The fabricated sensor showed improved electrochemical properties, with a sensitivity of 236.8 $\mu$A mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, a linear range of 0.005–8.6 mM and an LOD value of 2.0 $\mu$M ($S/N = 3$) for the detection of H$_2$O$_2$. The AuNPs/rGO paper-based sensor showed a linear range of 0.01 to 46 mM, sensitivity of 52.36 $\mu$A mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, and the LOD of 5.0 $\mu$M for glucose detection. The AuNPs/GO paper hybrid electrode also showed long-term stability by retaining 96.8% of its initial peak current value for glucose oxidation after 200 cycles and over 90% initial catalytic current value up to 60 days after the electrode fabrication. Liang et al. prepared a freestanding graphene oxide-silk fiber nanocomposite film by then supported spiky structured PtNPs on the film substrate for fabrication of the H$_2$O$_2$ sensor. The resultant sensor based on spiky PtNP-decorated nanocomposite films showed a linear range of 0–2.5 mM, an LOD of 0.2 $\mu$M and the improved sensitivity of 0.56 mA mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ for detecting H$_2$O$_2$ and the LOD of 1 $\mu$M with a sensitivity of 150.8 $\mu$A mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ for the glucose detection. Hybrid nanocomposites of 3D graphene with NPs have attracted tremendous attention because of their unique physical and chemical properties, such as high electron mobility, exceptional impermeability and a large specific surface area for the development of H$_2$O$_2$ sensors. Cheng et al. reported Cu$_2$O microcrystals decorating highly porous 3D graphene aerogel (GA) for the electrochemical detection of H$_2$O$_2$. 

Fig. 13 (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation of 2D-assembly of AuNPs on GO paper to develop hybrid electrodes. (B) Different magnifications of the SEM images of 2D-assembly of AuNPs transferred on the GO paper where AuNPs formed a highly packed monolayer on the GO paper. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 323, F. Xiao, J. Song, H. Gao, X. Zan, R. Xu and H. Duan, Coating Graphene Paper with 2D-Assembly of Electrocatalytic Nanoparticles: A Modular Approach toward High-Performance Flexible Electrodes, ACS Nano, 2012, 6, 100–110. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]
Fig. 14  (A) Photographs of 3D-Cu$_2$O-GA fabricated through a freeze-drying process and paper-like 2D Cu$_2$O-rGO-P nanostructure obtained by filtration (C). Schematic illustration of the synthesis of 3D Cu$_2$O-GA composite (B) and paper-like 2D Cu$_2$O-rGO-P nanostructure (D). (E and F) SEM images obtained at different magnifications of the 2D Cu$_2$O-rGO paper without thermal annealing. Inset in (F) shows the dispersion of Cu$_2$O nanocubes in the rGO paper in terms of the size distribution histogram. SEM images of the 2D Cu$_2$O-rGO paper before (G) and after (H) thermal annealing. Amperometric curves of 3D Cu$_2$O-GA/GC composite electrode (I) and paper-like 2D Cu$_2$O-rGO-P/GC composite electrode (J) with H$_2$O$_2$ addition in N$_2$-saturated 0.1 M PBS solution (pH = 7.0) at the applied potential of −0.4 V. The insets in (I) and (J) show the magnified cathodic current response measured at the low H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 364, C. Cheng, C. Zhang, X. Gao, Z. Zhuang, C. Du and W. Chen, 3D Network and 2D Paper of Reduced Graphene Oxide/Cu$_2$O Composite for Electrochemical Sensing of Hydrogen Peroxide, Anal. Chem., 2018, 90, 1983–1991. Copyright © American Chemical Society.]
Fig. 15  Different graphene nanocomposite-based electrode materials for the detection of H₂O₂ in living cells. (A) Schematic representation of the in vitro detection of H₂O₂ using the rGO-PMS@AuNP/GCE. (B) Amperometric response of the rGO-PMS@AuNP/GC electrode (i) with and (ii) without of HeLa cells after sequential addition of PMA and catalase to 0.1 M PBS at −0.75 V. (C) Cellular assay comparison of H₂O₂ detection for HEK 293, HeLa, and HepG2 cells. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 330, S. K. Maji, S. Sreejith, A. K. Mandal, X. Ma and Y. Zhao, Immobilizing Gold Nanoparticles in Mesoporous Silica Covered Reduced Graphene Oxide: A Hybrid Material for Cancer Cell Detection through Hydrogen Peroxide Sensing, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 13648–13656. Copyright© American Chemical Society.] (D) Schematic of the rGO-PtNPs-modified GCE for detecting H₂O₂ efflux from cells stimulated with ascorbic acid (AA). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 381, Y. Zhang, X. Bai, X. Wang, K.-K. Shiu, Y. Zhu and H. Jiang, Highly Sensitive Graphene–Pt Nanocomposites Amperometric Biosensor and Its Application in Living Cell H₂O₂ Detection, Anal. Chem., 2014, 86, 9459–9465. Copyright© American Chemical Society.] (E) Schematic of the rGO/AuFe₃O₄/PtNPs-modified GCE for detecting H₂O₂ efflux from cells stimulated with ascorbic acid (AA). (F) Amperometric response upon adding AA in PBS containing HeLa cells at 0 V (red), containing HeLa cells and catalases (blue), or without cells (green). (G) Amount of H₂O₂ released by L02, HeLa, HepG2, and U87 cells stimulated by 1 μM AA. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 382, L. Wang, Y. Zhang, C. Cheng, X. Liu, H. Jiang and X. Wang, Highly Sensitive Electrochemical Biosensor for Evaluation of Oxidative Stress Based on the Nanointerface of Graphene Nanocomposites Blended with Gold, Fe₃O₄, and Platinum Nanoparticles, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 18441–18449. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]
The paper-like 2D Cu2O-rGO-P nanostructure showed further the reduction of H2O2 and improved sensing performance with H2O2 with a sensitivity of 341.14 cells. The biosensor showed good electrocatalytic reduction of paper-like 2D Cu2O-rGO-P nanostructures, their SEM images and amperometric curves of 3D Cu2O-GA/GC and paper-like 2D Cu2O-rGO-P composite electrodes after adding H2O2 in N2-saturated PBS solution at −0.4 V. The developed 3D Cu2O-GA-based biosensor exhibited high electrocatalytic activity for the reduction of H2O2 and improved sensing performance with a linear range from 1.0 μM to 1.47 mM and an LOD of 0.37 μM.

The paper-like 2D Cu2O-rGO-P nanostructure showed further improved H2O2 sensing with a wide linear range of 5.0 μM to 10.56 mM and the LOD of 3.78 μM. Both sensors based on 3D Cu2O-GA and 2D Cu2O-rGO-P nanocomposites showed high selectivity toward the detection of H2O2 as no interference was observed from the trace amounts of DA, AA, UA and NaCl interferents. Lin et al. developed an electrochemical H2O2 sensor using 3D rGO structure decorated with molybdenum disulfide (MoS2) QDs. The developed rGO-MoS2 QD composite-based sensor exhibited a broad linear range of 0.01 to 5.57 mM, an LOD of 1.90 μM, and stability up to 18 days.

3.3.1 Detection of H2O2 in living cells. The development of biosensors to detect H2O2 from biological cells such as cancer cells is gaining a lot of interest. Zhang et al. reported a H2O2 sensor based on nitrogen- and sulfur-codoped GQDs/graphene nanosheets. The sensor displayed a wide linear range from 0.4 μM to 33 mM with an LOD of 26 nM, in addition to high selectivity, reproducibility and long-term stability for H2O2 detection in human serum samples and in the secretions released by Raw 264.7 cells. The NS-GQDs/GR hybrid nano-composite exhibited high performance for the detection of H2O2 due to the high electrical conductivity, specific surface area and the availability of plentiful doping sites and edges. Sun et al. demonstrated the real-time electrochemical detection of H2O2 in live cells using a PtNP-decorated GR/CNT hybrid paper electrode. The flexible PtNP/GR–CNT hybrid paper-based sensor showed a linear range as low as 25 mM and an LOD of 10 nM and the sensitivity of 1.41 μA μM−1 cm−2. Zhang et al. developed a freestanding nanohybrid paper electrode using 3D ionic liquid-functionalized graphene supported by gold nano-flowers for the in situ electrochemical detection and real-time monitoring of H2O2 released from live breast cancer cells. The composite-based nonenzymatic electrochemical sensor showed sensitivity toward H2O2 with a linear range of 0.5 μM to 2.3 mM and an LOD of 100 nM due to the unique 3D structure and high electrocatalytic activity originating from the Au nanoflowers. Moreover, the developed sensor was able to discriminate normal breast cells (HBL-100) from the cancer breast cells (MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells) and monitored H2O2 released from the breast cancer cells. Liu et al. reported PtNP-decorated porous graphene for detecting extracellular H2O2 released from the living cells. The biosensor showed good electrocatalytic reduction of H2O2 with a sensitivity of 341.14 μA μM−1 cm−2, a linear range of 1.0–1477 μM and an LOD as low as 0.5 μM. The improved sensor performance can be attributed to the uniform dispersion of PtNPs on the larger surface area and abundant active sites provided by the porous graphene nanostructure.

Maji et al. developed a sensor based on sandwich-like periodic silica-coated rGO-AuNPs for the detection of H2O2 released from living tumor cells (Fig. 15A). The sensor showed a sensitivity of 39.2 μA μM−1 cm−2, a wide linear range from 0.5 μM to 50 mM and an LOD of 60 nM. The sensor also detected glucose and H2O2 in human urine and nanomolar level sensitivity for H2O2 released from living HeLa and HepG2 tumor cells. Similarly, the detection of H2O2 in a biological system was accomplished Ju et al. using an electrochemical sensor based on AuNPs supported on nitrogen-doped GQDs (AuNPs/N-GQDs). The fabricated sensor exhibited high sensitivity and selectivity for the detection of H2O2 released from human cervical cancer cells with a sensitivity of 186.22 μA μM−1 cm−2, a linear range of 0.25–13.327 μM and an LOD of 0.12 μM. The performance of the biosensor is attributed to the catalytic activity of the exposed (111) facets of the AuNPs and the dimension of the GQDs, which facilitate electron transfer between the AuNPs/N-GQDs and the GCE surface. Zhang et al. demonstrated an H2O2 sensor based on PtNPs/rGO nanocomposites to detect H2O2 from living cells (Fig. 15B). The sensor showed high sensitivity with a linear range from 0.5 μM to 3.475 mM and an LOD of ~0.2 μM. Wang et al. prepared a modified electrode using rGO blended with dumbbell-like AuFe3O4 and Pt NPs (rGO/AuFe3O4NP/PtNP) for the detection of H2O2 released from living cancer cells (Fig. 15C). The fabricated rGO/AuFe3O4/PtNPs modified electrode-based sensor shows high catalytic activity and low overpotential for H2O2 detection. Moreover, the biosensor displayed improved selectivity and sensitivity with a linear range from 0.5 μM to 11.5 mM and an LOD of ~0.1 μM as well as no interference from competing UA, AA and glucose bioanlytes. The sensor was used to measure the release of H2O2 from living cells, including both tumor cells and healthy normal cells using external stimulation where more H2O2 was released from tumor cells compared with normal cells. The quantity of H2O2 released from human cervical cancer cell HeLa was found to be almost twice than that of normal hepatic cells LO2. The results showed nanocomposite-based biosensor as a promising platform for nonenzymatic H2O2 detection in clinical diagnostics to determine oxidative stress in different types of normal and diseases cells. Sun et al. reported a graphene-decorated intermetallic PtPb nanoplate (PtPb-NPs/GR) nanocomposite-based electrochemical sensor for the detection of H2O2. This sensor showed high electrocatalytic activity for the reduction of H2O2 with a linear range from 2 nM to 2.5 mM and an LOD of 2 nM. Moreover, the sensor also detected H2O2 released from Raw 264.7 cells. The high performance of this biosensor was attributed to the synergy between the PtPb nanoplates and graphene as well as abundance of electrocatalytic active sites available on the PtPb nanoplates. The same research group also fabricated H2O2 biosensor by assembling atomic-thick PtNi nanowires on rGO employing an ultra-sonic self-assembly technique. The resulting PtNiNWs/rGO-based biosensor exhibited electrocatalytic reduction of H2O2 in a wide linear range from 1.0 nM to 5.3 mM with an LOD of 0.3 nM at a potential of −0.6 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) and also detected real-time H2O2 release from a murine macrophage cell line (Raw 264.7 cell).
3.4 Nucleic acid (DNA/RNA) biosensors

The detection of nucleic acids has attracted attention due to the variability and specificity of the sequence. For example, NA biosensors can be used to detect single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) that can hybridize with the complementary strand. The high sensitivity and selectivity of these biosensors is important in gene engineering and in the diagnosis of genetic diseases since any damage to DNA molecules strongly influences genetic and chronic diseases. Several signal transduction methods have been employed for the detection of DNA, including fluorescence, electrochemistry, electrochemiluminescence (ECL), chemiluminescence (CL), colorimetry, and surface plasmon resonance (SPR). The electrochemical detection method is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5 Graphene nanocomposite-based biosensors for detecting nucleic acids and NADH&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphene-based nanocomposites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nucleic acids</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D GR/AuNP nanocomposite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(sanithenec acid)/rGO composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAN/GO composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO modified GCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/toluidine blue-GO composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/Au nanorods/polythionine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower-like VS&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;/GR/AuNPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/rGO/GCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au nanoclusters/GR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC-rGO/GR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/Au nanoclusters composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/AuNPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/rGO/PANI nanocomposite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

considered an efficient approach for NA detection because of its low cost, simple operation, high sensitivity, fast response, miniaturization, and easy use in molecular diagnosis. The electrochemical NA detection mechanisms involve binding to a probe immobilized on the sensing surface and thereafter monitoring the change in a generated signal. The sensitivity and specificity of NA detection depend strongly on the immobilization of specific probes and also on shunning nonspecific binding to the sensing surface.\textsuperscript{395}

Graphene and its derivatives have attracted much interest for achieving fast, label-free, highly sensitive and selective DNA/RNA detection and sequencing.\textsuperscript{396} Owing to their unique molecular structure and electronic properties, DNA and RNA can easily form \( \pi-\pi \) bonds between their conjugated systems and the nucleobases.\textsuperscript{397} Theoretical studies have also provided evidence that the molecular interaction between DNA/RNA and graphene is highly dominated by weak non-covalent \( \pi-\pi \) interactions.\textsuperscript{398,399} Hence, the electronic structure of the graphene–nucleobase system can be easily tuned by altering the substrate surface and the adsorbate.\textsuperscript{399} Additionally, the inherent electrical conductivity of graphene and graphene-based derivatives support effective signal amplification. Table 5 summarizes the DNA/RNA biosensors developed from graphene-based nanocomposites and their sensing capabilities using different electrochemical methods.

\textbf{Loan et al.}\textsuperscript{413} demonstrated a Hall effect device consisting of gold films deposited on graphene layers for improved sensitivity in hybridization detection, with a wide linear range from 1 pM to 100 nM for the detection of DNA targets. AuNPs have been widely employed with graphene for the detection of DNA because covalent bonding between AuNPs and multiple thiolated probe ssDNAs supports both the loading efficiency and capacity. Wang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{412} described an electrochemical DNA biosensor based on AuNP-decorated rGO. The biosensor showed good selectivity toward single-base mismatched target DNA with a linear detection range of 0.1 \( \mu \)M to 0.1 \( \mu \)M and an LOD of 35 aM. Huang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{396} developed a DNA biosensor for electrochemical detection of human papillomavirus (HPV) using graphene/Au nanorods/polythionine (GR/AuNRs/PT). The fabricated DNA biosensor exhibited high performance for the detection of HPV DNA in a range from \( 1 \times 10^{-13} \) to \( 1 \times 10^{-10} \) mol L\(^{-1}\) with an LOD of \( 4.03 \times 10^{-14} \) mol L\(^{-1}\). Wang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{433} fabricated a peroxide mimic by loading iron(II) mesotetraakis(N-methylpyridinium-4-yl)porphyrin (FeTMPyP) and streptavidin onto graphene oxide. The designed biosensor was used to detect a biotinylated molecular beacon for the electrochemical detection of DNA (Fig. 16A). The sensor showed a high electrochemical response for detecting DNA with an LOD reaching to 22 aM and a broad linear relationship from 10 pM to 100 aM, ranging five orders of magnitude (Fig. 16B). Yang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{414} fabricated an electrochemical DNA sensor using bifunctionalized graphene with riboflavin 5’-monophosphate sodium salt (FMNS). The GR/FMNS sensor performance was compared with that of the conventional electrochemical indicator \( ([\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-}/4-) \). The designed DNA sensor exhibited high sensitivity, selectivity, and stability with an LOD of \( 8.3 \times 10^{-17} \) M, which is slightly higher (7.4 \( \times \) \( 10^{-17} \) M) than that of \( ([\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-}/4-) \) indicator. Singh \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{414} developed a GO/CS nanocomposite-based DNA biosensor for the identification of typhoid. The biosensor was constructed by the covalent immobilization of a \textit{Salmonella typhi}-specific 5’-amine-labeled ssDNA probe on GO-CS/ITO with GA as cross-linkers. The ssDNA/GO-CS-based biosensor can effectively differentiate between complementary, non-complementary and one-base mismatch sequences with a detection range of 10 fM to 50 nM and an LOD of 10 fM within hybridization times of 60 s for the complementary sequence. Moreover, the resulting ssDNA/GO-CS biosensor enables the detection of complementary targets in serum samples with a low LOD of 100 fM. The high performance of the sensor originated from the electrochemical activity of GO and to the capability of CS biopolymer to enhance the DNA immobilization and enable the electron transfer between DNA and the ITO electrode surface.

The integration of AuNPs with GO or rGO is another valuable approach for developing DNA biosensors. Peng \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{395} reported...
a label-free electrochemical DNA biosensor for the detection of multidrug resistance (MDR) gene using AuNPs/toluidine blue (TB)-GO-modified electrode. The AuNPs/TB-GO based sensor showed an LOD of 2.95 pM with good selectivity. Han et al.\textsuperscript{435} fabricated sensor from gold nanorods (AuNRs) supported on GO nanosheets. The constructed DNA sensor detected complementary DNA from 1.0 nM to 10 fM with an LOD of 3.5 fM. Moreover, the sensor showed high selectivity as it can effectively discriminate complementary DNA sequences from a large quantity of single-base mismatched DNA from a ratio of 1000 : 1. Fang et al.\textsuperscript{437} developed a label-free electrochemical aptasensor using the self-assembly of DNA aptamers on flower-structured VS\textsubscript{2} and AuNPs supported on graphene for the detection of DNA. Specifically, the gene sequence of avian influenza virus H5N1 was selected as the target DNA, and the [Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{3--/4--} solution was used as the electrochemical indicator. This VS\textsubscript{2}/AuNPs/GR composite-based sensor displayed a wide linear detection range from $5.0 \times 10^{-13}$ to $5.0 \times 10^{-10}$ M with an LOD of 52 fM for the detection of the H5N1-specific genetic sequence. Jayakumar et al.\textsuperscript{436} synthesized a 3D nanoarchitecture based on the layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly of AuNPs, which was supported by poly(amido-amine) dendrimer using rGO as a core for the ultra-low level detection of DNA hybridization, as shown in Fig. 17. Specifically, the biosensor was designed using [Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{3--/4--} for covalent immobilization of thiol-functionalized ssDNA. The DNA biosensor was fast, highly sensitive and selective for DNA hybridization with a linear range of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ to $1 \times 10^{-13}$ g m\textsuperscript{-1} and achieved an LOD of 9.07 $\times 10^{-14}$ g m\textsuperscript{-1}.

Several signal enhancement strategies to amplify DNA detection have been demonstrated. For example, Wang et al.\textsuperscript{439} reported an electrochemical biosensor for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) gene detection using graphene/Au nanoclusters (GR/AuNCs) with an exonuclease III (Exo III) amplification strategy (Fig. 18). The biosensor platform having extremely high specific area offered more fixed active sites for the cytosine (C)-rich capture probes. The biosensor exhibited high sensitivity and selectivity toward HIV target DNA with an LOD of 30 aM. The GR/AuNCs biosensor showed high selectivity toward detecting HIV target DNA (10 nM concentration), compared with 10 times larger concentrations of three other interfering DNA sequences; single-base mismatched DNA (100 nM), four-base mismatched DNA (100 nM), and unmatched DNA (100 nM) as well as high reproducibility for detecting HIV target DNA with five different electrodes. Moreover, the resulting GR/AuNCs biosensor showed good performance in HIV-infected human blood DNA. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{439} developed an electrochemical DNA biosensor based on functionalized Au nanoclusters/graphene hybrids and Exo III-supported cascade target recycling (Fig. 19). In this designed biosensor, Exo III-supported cascade recycling that was induced by the target DNA, resulted in the final cleavage product, which acted as a linker between the capture probe and the functionalized Au nanoclusters/GR nanohybrid acting as an interface. Using this signal enhancement strategy, the fabricated biosensor showed DNA detection range from 0.02 fM to 20 pM and an LOD of 0.057 fM. Functionalized Pt nanoclusters/graphene hyrid-based biosensor also detected DNA using the catalysis of 3',5'-tetramethylbenzidine-hydrogen peroxide (TMB-H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) an electrochemical signal.

### 3.4.1 Graphene nanopore-mediated DNA detection

Graphene nanopores have emerged as a promising route to fabricate devices for simultaneously measuring the ionic current and changes in local voltage in the transistor.\textsuperscript{437-439} Graphene atomic layers serve as an efficient membrane that allows single-base resolution in an ionic current because of its single atomic-layer thickness.\textsuperscript{440} Sadeghi et al.\textsuperscript{441} demonstrated DNA sequencing using bilayer graphene with nanopores by observing the current-voltage characteristics of a bilayer graphene junction. Liu et al.\textsuperscript{442} reported an electrochemical DNA sensor based on the signal
amplification of rGO and gold nanoparticles/polyaniline (AuNPs/PANI) hybrid composite for detecting specific DNA insertion sequence IS6110 of pathogenic bacteria *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. The sensor showed specificity in the wide linear range from 1.0 × 10⁻¹³ and 1.0 × 10⁻⁹ M.

### 3.4.2 Graphene-based miRNA detection

Similar to DNA (nitrogenous bases of DNA are guanine (G), adenine (A), cytosine (C), thymine (T)), RNA is also a chain of nucleotides having four nitrogenous bases guanine (G), adenine (A), cytosine (C) and uracil (U); however, it is usually single stranded. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small, endogenous and single-stranded non-coding RNAs (approximately 18–25 nucleotides) that play vital roles in regulating gene expression through messenger RNA (mRNA) degradation or translational repression. MiRNAs are involved in many physiological processes of the human body, such as cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis, and their abnormal regulation will result in various diseases, including different types of cancer, kidney disease, central nervous system disease, cardiovascular disease, diabetes and viral infections. Therefore, the precise and rapid detection of multiple miRNAs is of great importance to control and prevent various types of diseases. The isolation of miRNA is commonly carried out through commercial kits; however, there are several limitations since the kits contain numerous interfering RNAs, and the concentration of miRNA is not sufficient for detection. Several strategies have been explored for the sensitive
detection of miRNA through significant challenges to improve the sensitivity, detection limit and sensitivity still remain. Several signal amplification strategies have been studied to enhance the sensitivity. Different approaches for the signal amplification are included in Table 5.

GO and rGO exhibit strong affinity for single-stranded nucleic acids (ssNAs) via hydrogen bonding or $\pi-\pi$ interactions. Hence, GO and rGO have been extensively used for sensing miRNAs. Hu et al. developed a miRNA electrochemical biosensor based on a GQDs and horseradish peroxidase (HRP). The GQDs/HRP can efficiently catalyze the H$_2$O$_2$-supported oxidation of 3,3,5,5-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), resulting in an enhanced electrochemical signal and improved performance of the biosensor with a linear range from 1 fM to

Fig. 19 Schematic illustration of the multiply amplified electrochemical biosensor for target DNA detection. (A) Fabrication steps of the functionalized AuNCs/GR nanohybrids; (B) principle of the target-triggered Exo III-assisted cascade target recycling; (C) construction of the biosensor using functionalized AuNC/GR nanohybrids as the interfaces of the enzyme-catalyzed silver deposition reaction. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 401, W. Wang, T. Bao, X. Zeng, H. Xiong, W. Wen, X. Zhang and S. Wang, Ultrasensitive Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on Functionalized Gold Clusters/Graphene Nanohybrids Coupling with Exonuclease III-Aided Cascade Target Recycling, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2017, 91, 183–189. Copyright© Elsevier.]
100 pM and an LOD of 0.14 fM. Azimzadeh et al.\textsuperscript{419} reported an electrochemical nanobiosensor for detecting plasma miRNA-155 utilizing GO sheets decorated with thiol-functionalized gold nanorods (AuNRs), which were deposited on a GCE surface. The biosensor showed a linear range from 2.0 fM to 8.0 pM, with an LOD of 0.6 fM for detecting the target miRNA and was able to differentiate between complementary target miRNA, non-complementary, single- and three-base mismatch miRNA; therefore, this sensor was also used in clinical detection of the breast cancer cells. Cardoso et al.\textsuperscript{445} fabricated an electrochemical biosensor for monitoring miRNA-155 down to the attomolar concentration level in breast cancer cells. The sensor was fabricated in two steps: first the immobilization of anti-miRNA-155 onto the thiol-modified gold-screen-printed electrode and then followed by protecting the areas of nonspecific binding using mercaptosuccinic acid. The sensor detected miRNA-155 in a linear range from 10 aM to 1.0 nM with an LOD of 5.7 aM in human serum. The biosensor also showed selectivity for the breast cancer antigen (CA-15.3) and BSA without any interference from cell lines of melanoma. The graphene-based biosensors can be used for clinical diagnosis of various diseases.

---

**Fig. 20** (A) Fabrication of the iron nitride (FeN) NPs/NG core–shell hybrid. (B) NADH measurement mechanism involving a FeN NPs/NG core–shell hybrid-based electrode. (C) Selective amperometric response of FeN NPs/NG/GCE after adding (a) 1 mM NADH, (b) 1 mM glucose, (c) UA, (d) dopamine, and (e) AA at an applied potential of +0.35 V. (D) Stability of FeN NPs/NG/GCE based sensor in 0.5 mM NADH at an applied potential of +0.35 V showing 96.18% of initial current retention after 1000 cycles. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 429, J. Balamurugan, T. D. Thanh, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Facile Fabrication of FeN Nanoparticles/Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Core-Shell Hybrid and Its Use as a Platform for NADH Detection in Human Blood Serum, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2016, 83, 68–76. Copyright © Elsevier.]
3.5 Detection of enzymes

Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) is a coenzyme involved in metabolic processes, and the NAD+/NADH redox couple (standard redox potential for half-reaction $E^{\circ} = -0.32$ V) is involved in numerous enzymatic reactions in biological systems. A high overpotential (e.g., 0.6 V vs. SCE) is needed for the direct oxidation of NADH with traditional electrodes. Moreover, the electrooxidation of NADH is mostly an irreversible reaction, which causes significant polluting of electrodes.

Graphene-based electrode materials have shown a great promise for the electrochemical detection of NADH with reduced overpotential and such results are summarized in Table 5. Tabrizi and Zand reported synthesis of rGO using NADH as a mild reducing agent. The GO-modified electrode showed high electrocatalytic activity for the oxidation of NADH, the detection of NADH at a reduced potential of 1.35 V with an LOD of 0.6 μM. Govindhan et al. developed an electrochemical NADH sensor using a AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite without any redox mediators or enzymes. The fabricated sensor showed a sensitivity of 0.916 μA μM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, a linear range of 50 nM to 500 mM and an LOD of 1.13 nM, with no interference from AA, glucose, glutathione, or quinine bioanalytes. Mutyala et al. developed a NADH biosensor using nitrogen-doped graphene-modified electrodes. The NADH biosensor displayed a linear range of 0.5–12 μM and an LOD of 0.37 μM. Moreover, the sensor exhibited stability after a period of 15 days with low surface contamination. Balamurugan et al. reported an iron nitride (FeN) nanoparticle-encapsulated nitrogen-doped graphene for the electrochemical detection of NADH. Fig. 20 shows the fabrication and NADH measurement mechanism for the FeN NPs/NG core–shell hybrid electrode based biosensor, high selectivity of FeN NPs/NG/GCE toward NADH against interfering bioanalytes including glucose, UA, DA, and AA at an applied potential of +0.35 V, and also high stability of FeN NPs/NG/GCE sensor with 98.18% retention of initial current after 1000 cycles. The sensor exhibited sensitivity of 0.028 μA μM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, a wide linear range of 0.4 to 718 μM, and an LOD of 25 nM. In addition, the FeN NPs/NG/GCE biosensor showed negligible interference effects in the presence of common bioanalytes existing in human serum samples. Li et al. fabricated a graphene-DNA tetrahedron-gold nanorod (AuNP)-modified gold disk electrode for the detection of NADH which showed a very low LOD of 1 FM with a significantly decreased potential of 0.28 V (vs. Ag/AgCl). The graphene biosensors can be used for the clinical diagnosis of NADH-related diseases.

3.6 Detection of dopamine (DA), ascorbic acid (AA) and uric acid (UA)

Dopamine is known to be a neurotransmitter present in the brain, and its level impacts the physiological functions in human body and also helps effect the treatment of disorders related to the central nervous system including Parkinson disease. The electrochemical biosensors have been widely employed to detect a variety of biochemicals such as neurotransmitters in the brain. DA, AA and UA exhibit electrooxidation peaks at nearly the same potentials; therefore, many studies have focused on separating their signal potential with enhanced sensitivity and selectivity. Ascorbic acid is an essential component of the human diet that helps prevent scurvy. It is present in the mammalian brain together with several neurotransmitter amines such as dopamine, epinephrine and norepinephrine. Moreover, UA and other oxypurines are the main products of human’s purine metabolism. High levels of UA can lead to several diseases, including hyperuricemia, gout and Lesch–Nyhan disease. Therefore, accurate detection of DA, AA and UA is highly desirable in clinical monitoring and diagnosis of such diseases.

Carbon-based nanomaterials such as CNTs and GBMs have been studied for the electrochemical detection of DA, AA, and UA due to their unique electronic structure, high surface area, and electrical conductivity. M. Govindhan et al. reported a GO-modified electrode for the selective detection of DA in the presence of interfering AA bioanalyte. The designed biosensor showed an LOD of 0.27 μM for detecting DA, while no interference was observed by the addition of AA. The electrostatic repulsion between the GO and AA biomolecules makes the oxidation of AA difficult at the electrode surface. In comparison, the π–π stacking as well as the electrostatic attraction between dopamine aromatic ring and delocalized π-electrons of GO promotes the oxidation. Li et al. used 3D nanocomposite based on MoS$_2$ nanospheres, PANI loaded on rGO for simultaneous electrochemical detection of DA, AA and UA. Fig. 21 shows the differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) curves of MoS$_2$-PANI/rGO/GCE electrode-based sensor and the plot of peak currents as a function of AA, DA and UA concentrations. The oxidation peak currents showed a linear increase with increasing AA, DA and UA concentrations. The MoS$_2$-PANI/rGO nanocomposite-based sensors displayed sensitivity over wide concentration ranges and low LODs for AA, DA and UA. DPV curves measured with MoS$_2$-PANI/rGO/GCE electrode for a ternary nanocomposite of AA, DA, and UA biomolecules with their different concentrations showed three well-defined anodic peaks at different potentials; 0.052 mV for AA, 0.196 mV for DA, and 0.304 mV for UA and simultaneously detected these three analytes with LODs of 2.49, 0.65 and 0.40 μM for AA, DA and UA, respectively. The electrochemical sensor also showed high selectivity toward AA, DA and UA in the presence of interfering analytes including ZnSO$_4$, NaNO$_3$, glucose, citric acid, and glycine. Devaramani et al. used a covalently linked p-aminobenzene sulfonate multilayer on the surface of a graphite pencil core electrode for selectively detecting dopamine. The sensor exhibited a linear range of 0.5–10 μmol L$^{-1}$ and an LOD of 0.095 μmol L$^{-1}$ (S/N = 3).

To enhance the electrocatalytic activity and sensitivity, graphene and GO can be doped with nitrogen, modified with polymers, and decorated with different inorganic metal and metal oxide nanoparticles for the
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amplified detection of DA, AA, and UA. Yue et al.\textsuperscript{493} reported a vertically aligned ZnO nanowire array (NWA) assembled on 3D GF and applied to the detection of UA, DA and AA employing DPV technique, as shown in Fig. 22. The fabricated ZnO NWA/GF sensor showed high selectivity for both UA and DA with an LOD of 1 nM. DA and UA are considered biomarkers for the diagnosis of Parkinson disease. The sensors exhibited high performance in real samples for the detection of UA levels at 265 ± 20 μM in the serum of patients suffering from Parkinson disease compared to UA levels of 355 ± 30 μM in healthy individuals. Srivastava et al.\textsuperscript{494} prepared functionalized multilayer graphene for developing an amperometric urea biosensor that showed a linear range from 10 to 100 mg dL\textsuperscript{-1} and a high sensitivity of 5.43 μA mg\textsuperscript{-1} dL cm\textsuperscript{-2} with an LOD of 3.9 mg dL\textsuperscript{-1}. Dutta et al.\textsuperscript{495} fabricated an enzyme-free urea sensor employing a nanocomposite of SnO\textsubscript{2} QDs/rGO. Due to the synergistic effect between these components, the developed sensor displayed high electrochemical activity for the detection of urea in a linear range of 1.6 × 10\textsuperscript{-14} to 3.9 × 10\textsuperscript{-12} M and a very low LOD of 11.7 fM.

### 3.7 Detection of cancer biomarkers

The foremost cause of human death worldwide is cancer. The accurate detection and diagnosis of cancer during its early stage is significantly important for its successful treatment.\textsuperscript{496} Cancer diagnostic techniques such as blood analysis, clinical bio-imaging and morphological changes in cancer cells have been widely employed for cancer diagnosis. However, these techniques still lack the required sensitivity, selectivity and reproducibility; therefore, these are not so accurate in the precise early stage detection of cancer cells.\textsuperscript{497} The use of cancer biomarkers seems more promising for early stage cancer detection, monitoring, diagnosis and subsequent treatment.\textsuperscript{498,499} A biomarker is defined as a biological feature that

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Linear range (μM)</th>
<th>Limit of detection (μM)</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>AA 4–100</td>
<td>DA 0.03–8.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/PPNPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNTs/GO nanoribbons</td>
<td>0.1–8.5</td>
<td>0.15–12.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/PANI/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>50–8000</td>
<td>5–500</td>
<td>22.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-doped GR</td>
<td>5–1300</td>
<td>0.5–170</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen-printed GR</td>
<td>4–4500</td>
<td>0.5–2000</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/β-cyclodextrin/GR</td>
<td>300–2000</td>
<td>0.5–150</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd NPs/GR/CS composite</td>
<td>100–40000</td>
<td>0.2–200</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-doped carbon spheres/rGO</td>
<td>50–1200</td>
<td>0.5–90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/CS nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.1–140</td>
<td>1–15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyamine/GO fibrous nanocomposite</td>
<td>25–200</td>
<td>2–18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophan/GR</td>
<td>0.2–3.4</td>
<td>0.5–10</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymidoazole/GO copolymer</td>
<td>7–2275</td>
<td>12–275</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAB-GO/MWCNTs</td>
<td>0.5–3000</td>
<td>5–500</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs/GR-CNTs composite</td>
<td>200–900</td>
<td>0.1–30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristine GR</td>
<td>9–2314</td>
<td>5–710</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Co hexacyanoferrate/GR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/CNTs/sulfonated CS</td>
<td>1.25–442</td>
<td>1.25–357</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNWs/rGO</td>
<td>45–1550</td>
<td>40–450</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO-CNTs/ITO</td>
<td>10–200</td>
<td>0.2–8</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au@PdNPs/rGO composite</td>
<td>1–800</td>
<td>0.1–100</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-doped GR flakes</td>
<td>80–2000</td>
<td>0.5–150</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemin–GO sheets</td>
<td>1–100</td>
<td>0.5–40</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd@Ag nanoflowers/rGO</td>
<td>1–4100</td>
<td>0.05–112</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR fibers/NiCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>200–750</td>
<td>1–13</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs/GR paper</td>
<td>0.087–100</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/ZnO composite</td>
<td>50–2350</td>
<td>1–70</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAA/poly pyrole/GO</td>
<td>300–440</td>
<td>32–60</td>
<td>1.962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAM/poly pyrole/GO</td>
<td>150–220</td>
<td>6–13</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-NiS/rGO/AuNPs</td>
<td>0.90–100</td>
<td>0.1–100</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/rGO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.05–11</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD-grown GR</td>
<td>5–1500</td>
<td>0.25–75</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D GR hydrogel/AuNPs</td>
<td>1.0–700</td>
<td>0.2–30</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrocene/3D GR hydrogel</td>
<td>20–450</td>
<td>10–180</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/porous CS composite</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1–700</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Abbreviations used: HP: hydrophilic polymer, CNT: carbon nanotubes, CTAB: cetyl trimethylammonium bromide, PPy: polypyrrole, CVD: chemical vapor deposition, GRHG: graphene hydrogel, PAA: polycrylic acid, PAM: polycryliclamide.
can be an indicator of both normal and abnormal biological processes, pathogenic conditions, or pharmacological responses to applied therapeutic interventions. There is an increasing demand for disease diagnostic tools which require highly sensitive and accurate methods for detecting cancer-related biomarkers. In particular, the clinical detection of cancer biomarkers is critically important for early-stage diagnosis of cancer-related diseases and proteomics research to...
understand of the biological processes involved in causing cancer. To discard false positives in the diagnosis of cancer that may result from the population inequality in the genetic expression of a single biomarker, concurrent detection of a series of protein biomarkers are required.

In recent years, electrochemical techniques have become attractive for the immunoassay of protein biomarkers, owing to their low cost, simplicity, high sensitivity, and miniaturization. However, their sensing performance still needs to be improved for rapid and sensitive detection.

Nanomaterials offer potential platforms to diagnosis and treatment of cancer; therefore, research efforts have moved from the preclinical stage to practical point-of-care applications. Nanomaterials such as metal NPs, magnetic NPs, QDs, and CNTs have been studied in the design of biosensors to increase the sensitivity of electrochemical detection techniques. Graphene and GO are particularly attractive materials for use in biomarker detection owing to their easy surface modification chemistry and unique electrocatalytic, optical, chemical, and electrical properties. Furthermore, metal nanoparticles such as AuNPs have been used as immobilization matrices in developing electrochemical biosensors due to their strong adsorption abilities, easy surface modification, high stability and electrical conductivity. Table 7

Fig. 22 (A) Schematic illustration of the ZnO NWAs/GF electrode for the simultaneous detection of UA, DA, and AA. (B–E) SEM images of the ZnO NWAs assembled on the 3D GF recorded at different magnifications. Inset shows the EDX of the ZnO NWAs. (F) SEM images showing the height of ZnO NWAs (~2 μm). Inset shows the diameter of the ZnO NWAs (~40 nm). (G–I) DPV curves for UA, DA, and AA measured using a ZnO NWA/GF electrode at different concentrations. The UA concentrations from the bottom are 0–1 μM. The DA concentrations from the bottom are 0–10 μM. The AA concentrations from the bottom are 0–10 μM. Insets show plots of the oxidation peak current as a function of concentration of each biomolecule, showing two slopes for UA and DA. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 493, H. Y. Yue, S. Huang, J. Chang, C. Heo, F. Yao, S. Adhikari, F. Gunes, L. C. Liu, T. H. Lee, E. S. Oh, B. Li, J. J. Zhang, T. Q. Huy, N. V. Luan and Y. H. Lee, ZnO Nanowire Arrays on 3D Hierarchical Graphene Foam: Biomarker Detection of Parkinson's Disease, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 1639–1646. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]
summarizes graphene-based nanocomposites using cancer biomarkers for various disease diagnosis. Zhu et al.\textsuperscript{504} developed an electrochemiluminescence immunosensor using CdSe QD-decorated poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride)-graphene and gold nanoparticles for the detection of ractopamine. PDPA-graphene and AuNPs were used in order to improve electron conduction, efficient loading of antibodies, and to create a large surface area for antigen binding. The sensor showed a linear range of 0.01 to 1000 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1} and a low LOD of 2.6 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1} (S/N = 3) and displayed high stability as well as sensitivity for determining biomarkers. Kumar et al.\textsuperscript{510} developed PdNP-decorated rGO-based electrochemical sensor for the label-free detection of prostate-specific antigen (PSA), a prostate cancer biomarker. The fabricated biosensor exhibited

### Table 7  Graphene-based biosensors for detecting different cancer biomakers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Biomarkers</th>
<th>Detection technique</th>
<th>Linear range</th>
<th>Limit of detection</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS/EC-rGO-AuNPs-Ag deposition</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>LSV</td>
<td>0.5 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 0.5 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.12 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyl GR nanosheets/CS/AuNPs composites</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.5–60 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.1 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD GR/AuNPs composite</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>50–60 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>5 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tGO/thionine/AuNPs composite</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.01–300 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.650 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR sheets/MWCNTs-CS/AuNPs composites</td>
<td>EBNA-1</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.05–6.4 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.7 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFTES-ME-GR</td>
<td>hCG</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.62–5.62 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.62 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/AuNPs nanocomposite</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0–10 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.59 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/Au nanorod composite</td>
<td>MIR-155</td>
<td>CV, DPV</td>
<td>2 fm to 8 pm</td>
<td>0.6 fm</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous GR/TiO\textsubscript{2} nanofibers</td>
<td>ErbB2</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>1.0 fm to 0.1 μm</td>
<td>0.06 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO\textsubscript{2}rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>CYFRA-21-1</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>2–22 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.122 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/GO nanocomposite</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.001–80 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.0002 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu nanocages/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>Beclin-1, LC3B-II</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.02–0.03 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/GO nanocomposite</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.001 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 0.02 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>5.4 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/PDA/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>KI67 protein</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>4–800 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>1.7 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB/CuO@GO nanocomposite</td>
<td>AFT</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.001 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 100 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.1 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin-N-doped GR</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.02–12 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.01 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtPd-N-doped GR-QDs/AuNPs nanocomposite</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>CV, EIS</td>
<td>5 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 50 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>2 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC-rGO</td>
<td>NEFA, bHBA</td>
<td>SPE</td>
<td>0.1–10 mM</td>
<td>0.111 mM</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/ssDNA/PLLA NPs</td>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.05–100 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>50 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>1–100 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>1 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtCu@rGO/g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.05–0.50 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.035 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green corona-metal NPs/rGO</td>
<td>ErbB2</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>1.0 fm to 0.5 μm</td>
<td>1 fm</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/GO nanorod composite</td>
<td>ErbB2</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.37–10 nM mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.16 nM</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-doped GR/PANI nanocomposite</td>
<td>CD24</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>0.1 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 0.3 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>30 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.05–50 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>16.6 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNPs/rGO/SPE</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>10 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 50 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>3.3 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-doped rGO</td>
<td>8-OhD\textsubscript{G}</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>20–0.002 μM</td>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs@GO nanosheets</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>9.8–624 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>15 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>15.6–7.8 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>4.8 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR-QDs-IL-Nafion composite</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.5 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 0.5 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.34 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D GR/AuNPs composite</td>
<td>CYFRA21-1</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.1 fm to 0.1 μm</td>
<td>1.0 fm</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/Au nanorods nanocomposite</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>ECL</td>
<td>0.5 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 50 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.17 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgPt nanoring/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>5 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 50 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>1.43 fg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cds QDs@PSO-PANI nanocomposite</td>
<td>K562 cells</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10 to 1.0 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells per mL</td>
<td>3 cells per mL</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBSE/CVD-grown GR/Cu</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>1.0–25.0 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>0.23 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly[catexil]/GO nanosheets/AuNPs</td>
<td>Acute lymphoblastic leukemia</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>100 μM to 10 μM</td>
<td>1 μM</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemin–GR/PdNPs nanocomposite</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.025–205 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>8 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyl group-functionalized GO (GO–COOH–SPCE)</td>
<td>MUC1</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.1 to 2 μM</td>
<td>0.04 U mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypropylene/Er-rGO composite</td>
<td>BRCA1 gene</td>
<td>CV, EIS</td>
<td>10 fm to 0.1 μM</td>
<td>3 fm</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a high sensitivity of 28.96 mA mL$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ with an LOD of 10 pg mL$^{-1}$. Ali et al.\textsuperscript{28} reported the green synthesis of metal (Pd, Pt, Ag and Au) nanoparticles on rGO sheets using a black pepper extract and applied the nanocomposite-based sensor to the detection of epidermal growth factor receptor in breast cancer diagnosis. The designed biosensor can be employed for detecting ErbB2 concentrations ranging from 1.0 fM to 0.5 mM. Chen et al.\textsuperscript{511} reported an immunosensor using graphene-based nanocomposites for simultaneously detecting multiple cancer biomarkers, such as carcino-embryonic antigen (CEA) and $\alpha$-fetoprotein (AFP), as shown in Fig. 22A. In this study, the carboxyl-functionalized graphene nanosheet was first immobilized with toluidine blue and labeled with anti-CEA (Ab2,1) or immobilized with Prussian blue and labeled with anti-AFP (Ab2,2). Thereafter, the captured anti-CEA (Ab1,1) and anti-AFP (Ab1,2) were immobilized on a CS-AuNP-modified electrode via EDC/NHS. The developed biosensors showed a linear range of 0.5 to 60 ng mL$^{-1}$ for both CEA and AFP bioanalytes and an LOD of 0.1 ng mL$^{-1}$ and 0.05 ng mL$^{-1}$ for CEA and AFP, respectively (Fig. 23B).

Cancer biomarkers have been developed using graphene-based nanocomposites. Ren et al.\textsuperscript{531} used sulfur-doped GO/polyaniline (PANI) composite as a matrix and mesoporous NKF-5-3 as a label for developing tri-antibody dual-channel immunological biosensors for the detection of cancer biomarkers, such as carcino-embryonic antigen (CEA) and nuclear matrix protein 22 (NMP22) as shown in Fig. 24A. In this approach, two types of labels were prepared on the biosensor using two antibodies (anti-CEA anti-NMP22) and two antigens (CEA and NMP22). Toluidine blue (TB) and neutral red were employed as the signals to detect the CEA and NMP22 antigens. The immunosensor biosensor was incubated with two types of labels; AuNP-NKF-5-3|anti-NMP22|neutral red|BSA and AuNP-NKF-5-3|anti-CEA|TB|BSA which were NMP22 and CEA labels, respectively. The tri-antibody dual-channel immunosensor showed improved sensing performance having linear ranges of 0.1 pg mL$^{-1}$ to 0.3 ng mL$^{-1}$ for both NMP22 and CEA, and the low LODs of 25 fg mL$^{-1}$ for NMP22 antigen and 30 fg mL$^{-1}$ for CEA antigen. The immunosensor biosensor also exhibited high selectivity toward NMP22 and CEA in the presence of interfering bioanalytes including BSA, $\alpha$-fetoprotein, human immunoglobulin G (HIgG), PSA, and breast cancer gene BRCA1 and can be used for the analysis of bladder carcinoma biomarkers. Ali Saeed et al.\textsuperscript{530} fabricated an electrochemical DNA biosensor for detecting the ErbB2 and CD24 biomarkers in order to evaluate breast cancer. Their strategy was based on a sandwich hybridization assay by immobilizing the capture DNA probe of the target DNA on GO-modified AuNPs (Fig. 24B). An HRP-
labeled probe was used to detect the concentration of the DNA biomarker. The biosensor achieved the sensitivities of 378 nA nM⁻¹ with an LOD of 0.16 nM for ErbB2 breast cancer biomarker and 219 nA nM⁻¹ with LOD of 0.23 nM for CD24 control marker. Song et al.\textsuperscript{517} reported a sandwich-type electrochemical biosensor using an AuNPs/MWCNTs/GR/CS nanocomposite with a signal amplification strategy involving a hybridization chain reaction (HCR) for the detection of Epstein–Barr virus nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA-1). Similar to the sandwich immunoassay approach, the sandwich immune-reactions were initially formed by functionalization with the captured antibody, EBNA-1 and a secondary antibody in DNA-conjugated carboxyl MWCNTs. The double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) was formed from the HCR. This HCR-assisted amperometric signal amplification strategy significantly increased the detection range of target concentrations (0.05–6.4 ng mL⁻¹) with an LOD as low as 0.7 pg mL⁻¹. Jang et al.\textsuperscript{517} showed a 3D electrochemical immunosensor based on a GR/AuNP composite for the label-free detection of PSA over a linear range of 0–10 ng mL⁻¹ with an LOD of 0.59 ng mL⁻¹. Feng et al.\textsuperscript{529} reported a sandwich-type immunosensor for detecting PSA using AuNPs supported on thionine-functionalized GO. In addition, bimetallic PtCu NPs were loaded on rGO/graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) and used as labels for combining secondary antibodies (Ab₂) and amplification of signals. The designed immunosensor displayed a linear range from 50 fg mL⁻¹ to 40 ng mL⁻¹ with an LOD of 16.6 fg mL⁻¹ for the detection of PSA biomarker.

A chemical nose/tongue was developed by Wu et al.\textsuperscript{546} using a functionalized graphene array-based electrochemical biosensor for differentiating the cancerous, metastatic human breast cells, multidrug-resistant cancerous, and artificial circulating tumor cells (CTCs). This approach enables the biosensor to analyze different cell types at a very low level (100 cells). Fig. 25 shows the schematic of the cell detecting system, seven graphene derivatives including P1: BSA/chemically converted graphene (CCG), P2: CCG, P3: chitosan (Chit)/CCG, P4: polydopamine (DA)/CCG, P5: calf thymus DNA/CCG, P6: gelatin (Gel)/CCG, and P7: polyethylene glycol (PEG)/CCG, discrimination of 5 different human cancerous cell lines including lung (A549), cervical (HeLa), liver (HepG2), leukemia (K562), and breast (MCF-7) with P1–P7 graphene probes at a low cancer cell density of 100 cells, jackknifed classification using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and canonical score plot for the graphene derivatives array-based biosensor. A single graphene array electrochemical sensor can detect 5 different human cancerous cell types for diagnostic purposes where two combined graphene derivative probes successfully obtained 100% classification accuracy. The LDA plots showed certain patterns and differentiated human cancerous cells from each other, having two canonical factors variance of 70.06 and 29.94% for P1 + P4, 68.66 and 31.34% for P1 + P5, 51.91 and 48.09% for P2 + P4, 82.95 and 17.05% for P2 + P5 for the A549, HeLa, HepG2, K562 and MCF-7 human cancerous cell lines. The LDA separated human cancerous cell lines into five discrete clusters. The functionalized graphene derivatives can be used for the application in the field of clinical cancer diagnostics.

3.7.1 Microfluidic electrochemical immunoassays. The microfluidic devices have attracted significant interest for point-of-care diagnostic systems.\textsuperscript{547} A microfluidics-based detection device is a promising approach for the detection of clinical biomarkers because of its simplicity, low cost,
Fig. 25  (A) Schematic illustration of the cell detecting system and the molecular interactions between the functionalized graphene (P1, P2, P3) and the different cell types including human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), cancerous cells and circulating tumor cells (CTCs). (B) Illustration of the seven functionalized graphene (P1–P7) derivatives used for the identification of normal, cancerous cells and CTCs. The seven graphene (P1–P7) derivatives include P1: BSA/chemically converted graphene (CCG), P2: CCG, P3: chitosan (Chit)/CCG, P4: polydopamine (DA)/CCG, P5: calf thymus DNA/CCG, P6: gelatin (Gel)/CCG, and P7: polyethylene glycol (PEG)/CCG. (C) Discrimination of different cancerous cell types at a cancer cell density of 100 cells: (a) 2D electrochemistry contour plots of 5 different cancer cell lines including lung (A549), cervical (HeLa), liver (HepG2), leukemia (K562), and breast (MCF-7) to P1–P7 graphene probes; (b) changes in the electron-transfer resistance at the electrolyte/graphene interface measured by the electrochemical impedance spectra for five different cancerous cell lines; A549, HeLa, HepG2, K562 and MCF-7 using P1–P7 graphene derivatives; (c) jackknifed classification recorded using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) for P1–P7 graphene derivatives for A549, HeLa, HepG2, K562 and MCF-7 human cancerous cells; and canonical score plots for the functionalized graphene array–based electrochemical sensor containing P1 + P4 (d), P1 + P5 (e), P2 + P4 (f), and P2 + P5 (g). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 546, L. Wu, H. Ji, Y. Guan, X. Ran, J. Ren and X. Qu, A Graphene-Based Chemical Nose/Tongue Approach for the Identification of Normal, Cancerous and Circulating Tumor Cells, NPG Asia Mater., 2017, 9, e356. Copyright © Nature Publishing Group.]
portability, low reagent consumption and capability of multiplex analysis using a single chip. Likely, a paper-based microfluidic device is also considered a simple approach for biosensing applications due to its low cost.

Graphene-based materials have been used for fabricating microfluidic devices to detect cancer biomarkers at ultra-low concentrations. Wu et al. developed a paper-based microfluidic electrochemical immunodevice using graphene thin film for the multiplexed detection of four types of cancer biomarkers including AFP, CEA, cancer antigen 125 (CA125) and carbohydrate antigen 153 (CA153). They used horseradish peroxidase (HRP), antibody co-immobilized silica NPs and graphene to enable dual signal
amplification, which enabled LODs of 0.001, 0.005, 0.001, and 0.005 ng mL\(^{-1}\) for AFP, CEA, CA125, and CA153 biomarkers, respectively. Ali et al.\(^{218}\) demonstrated a label-free microfluidic immunosensor fabricated using highly porous graphene foam (GF) integrated with carbon-doped TiO\(_2\) nanofibers for the detection of epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (ErbB2) proteins. Fig. 26 shows the functionalization of anti-ErbB2 molecules on the outer surfaces of GF and GF-\(\pi\)-TiO\(_2\) electrodes, microscopic images of carbon-doped \(\pi\)-TiO\(_2\), 3D GF and GF-\(\pi\)-TiO\(_2\) nanocomposite, DPV curves in the presence of ErbB3 and ErbB4 antigens and histogram displaying the peak current in the presence of different interfering bioanalytes. The rodlike TiO\(_2\) nanofibers consisted of TiO\(_2\) grains. The GF has 500–600 μm size pores which are sufficient for the penetration of TiO\(_2\) nanofibers. The large surface area and porous structure of GF-\(\pi\)-TiO\(_2\) composite allows easy adsorption of analytes. The sensor detected breast cancer biomarkers with a high sensitivity of 0.585 μA μM\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), a very wide linear range of 1.0 fM to 0.1 μM concentrations of the target ErbB2 antigen and an LOD of 1 fM ErbB2. The peak current of the microfluidic sensor showed no significant change after adding 1 nM ErbB2 antigen to the mixed solution of interfering ErbB3, ErbB4, and ErbB3 + ErbB4 antigens, which indicated high selectivity toward ErbB2 in the breast cancer cells. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of ±1.0% was observed for the microfluidic sensor for 42 days storage at 4 °C, showing high stability due to strong molecular interactions between GF-\(\pi\)-TiO\(_2\) composite and anti-ErbB2. Singh et al.\(^{211}\) demonstrated a microfluidic biochip fabricated using a microporous manganese oxide (Mn\(_{1-x}\)O\(_x\))/rGO nanocomposite for human cardiac troponin I (cTnI) detection. The designed microfluidic chip showed high sensitivity, stability and reproducibility for the analysis of human cardiac troponin I molecules in a wide concentration range of 0.008 to 20 ng mL\(^{-1}\) and achieved an LOD of 8.0 pg mL\(^{-1}\) and negligible interference from other competing biomarkers including cardiac troponin C (cTnC), cardiac troponin T (cTnT), B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), and myoglobin.

3.8 Detection of pathogens

Pathogens are key containants in water, including viruses, fungi, bacteria and protozoan parasites, and are responsible for various kinds of water-borne diseases. The presence of pathogens in water and food products is a major concern due to their rapid growth and harmful health effects to human.\(^{220–234}\) Furthermore, pathogenic microorganisms such as fungi, bacteria, viruses, viroids, phytoplasma and nematodes cause infectious diseases that lead to a decrease in agricultural productivity worldwide. The early detection of plant pathogens is an important first step to prevent plant disease in greenhouses, agricultural fields and at the national borders.\(^{235}\) A report from the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2007 indicated that the water- and food-borne infections account for approximately 4 billion episodes of diarrhea per year worldwide, causing approximately 1 to 2 million deaths.\(^{234}\) The real-time and sensitive detection of pathogens is of great importance to monitor and control such pathogen-related fatalities. Among the various pathogens, enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* bacterial strains is the most life-threatening and serious foodborne outbreaks.\(^{236,237}\) Therefore, the sensitive detection and control of foodborne bacterial pathogens, such as *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7, remains a major scientific challenge in food safety in order to prevent severe diseases in humans.\(^{238}\) Nanostructured materials, including graphene and CNTs, have been exploited to fabricate electrochemical biosensors for the sensitive and selective detection of pathogens.\(^{239,240}\) Progress in the nanomaterial-based detection of pathogens through electrochemical methodologies has been reported previously.\(^{241–243}\) The electrochemical sensors for the detection of pathogenic bacteria using graphene-based nanocomposites are summarized in Table 8.

Tiwari et al.\(^{244}\) demonstrated an electrochemical sensor based on a GO-modified iron oxide-CS nanocomposite for pathogen detection. The sensor was fabricated through the covalent immobilization of *E. coli* O157:H7 as a specific probe oligonucleotide sequence on the nanocomposite films. The sensor showed a linear response to complementary DNA in 10\(^{-6}\) M to 10\(^{-14}\) M concentration range with an LOD of 1 × 10\(^{-14}\) M. Pandey et al.\(^{245}\) reported a graphene-based label-free biosensor for detecting pathogenic bacteria. Graphene was interfaced with interdigitated microelectrodes for designing a biosensor that was biofunctionalized using *E. coli* O157:H7-specific antibodies (Fig. 27). The capacitance change of the sensor showed the sensitivity of 10–100 cells per mL of the *E. coli* O157:H7 strain.

3.9 Detection of food toxins

Environmental conditions such as insect damage, temperature, and humidity may lead to increased infection and toxin accumulation. Mycotoxins are toxic secondary metabolites generated by fungi including *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus parasiticus*, *Aspergillus ochraceus*, *Penicillium verrucosum* that readily grow on crops such as cereals, nuts, dried fruits, and beans during plant growth, harvesting, drying, processing and storage. Hence, the efficient monitoring and detection of foodborne pathogens and toxins (sterigmatocystin, aflatoxin, ochratoxin, etc.) are of paramount significance.\(^{246}\) Although several methods have been developed to maintain the quality of food nutrients and to prevent contamination of food, still diseases due to foodborne pathogens have increased considerably. Food and water contamination by pathogenic microorganisms causes 1.8 million deaths per year worldwide.\(^{247}\) Aflatoxin-B1 (AFB1) is a highly toxic and carcinogenic compound generated by *Aspergillus* species of fungi. Among more than 20 aflatoxins, only four compounds, aflatoxin-B1 (AFB1), AFB2, AFG1, and AFG2, are classified as human carcinogens. AFB1 is more toxic relative to the other types of aflatoxins that cause human liver cancer.\(^{248}\) Various analytical techniques have been developed and applied for the fast, sensitive and selective detection of diverse toxins in foods and food products, including fluorescence and electrochemical sensing, colorimetry, immunoassays, and chromatographic separation.\(^{249}\) However, electrochemical methods are highly sensitive and easy for the detection of food toxins.\(^{250}\)
### Table 8  Graphene-based biosensors for the detection of pathogens, food toxins, and metal ions$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Pathogen/food toxin</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>Detection range</th>
<th>Limit of detection (LOD)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pathogenic bacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/CS nanocomposite</td>
<td><em>S. Typhi</em>-specific DNA</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>10 fM to 50 nM</td>
<td>10 fM</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/iron oxide/CS composite</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> O157:H7</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>1 μM to 10 fM</td>
<td>10 fM</td>
<td>559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/nickel ferrite/CS composite</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> O157:H7</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.1 fM to 1 μM</td>
<td>0.1 fM</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/thinije assembly</td>
<td>CtyC</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0.01–100 mg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>3.2 pg mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/AuNPs nanocomposite</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>10 to 10$^6$ cell per mL</td>
<td>10 CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/copper oxide–cysteine</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> O157:H7</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>10 to 10$^8$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>3.8 CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> O157:H7</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>10–100 cells per mL</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoporous alumina</td>
<td><em>Salmonella</em></td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>1 pM to 100 nM</td>
<td>1 pM</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>membrane/GR-QDss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionalized bridged rebar GR</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> O78:K80:H11</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>10$^4$ to 10$^6$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>10 CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC/GO/CS nanocomposite</td>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em></td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>10$^4$ to 10$^6$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>10$^4$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/AuNPs/Ab/IMB/SPCE</td>
<td><em>Salmonella pullorum</em></td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>10$^4$ to 10$^6$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>89 CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO-azophtoloxine nanocomposite</td>
<td><em>S. typhimurium</em></td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>10$^4$ to 10$^6$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>10$^4$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssDNA/GO/CS nanocomposite</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> O157:H7</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>10 pM to 10 nM</td>
<td>3.584 μM</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs–PdNPs/EC–rGO</td>
<td><em>Lomefloxacin</em></td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>4–500 μM</td>
<td>81 nM</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/polyethyleneimine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/AuNPs</td>
<td><em>Cronobacter sakazakii</em></td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>2 × 10$^2$ to 2 × 10$^3$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2 × 10$^3$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/polyethyleneimine</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> UTI89 bacteria</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>10$^4$ to 10$^4$ CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>10 CFU mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Food toxins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ss-HSDNA/rGO aerogel</td>
<td>Asfalteron B1 (AFB1)</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>0.1–70 mg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.04 mg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-AFB1/rGO/ITO</td>
<td>AFB1</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.125–1.5 mg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.12 mg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/carbonilized rGO</td>
<td>AFB1</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>0.1–12 mg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.1 mg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/PpY/PpA nanocomposite</td>
<td>AFB1</td>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>10 fg mL$^{-1}$ to 10 pg mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>10 fg mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiNPs/rGO nanosheets</td>
<td>Mycotoxin (AFB1)</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>1–8 ng mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.16 ng mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR–thionine–aptamer</td>
<td><em>Ochratoxin A</em></td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.4–8 ng mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>5.6 pg mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA aptamer/GR</td>
<td>β-Lactoglobulin</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0–100 ng mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>20 pg mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metal ions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/GR/cysteine composite</td>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>0.5–40 μg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.1 μg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO modified Au electrode</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 μg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR nanodots/porous Au electrode</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0–50 ppb</td>
<td>0.4 ppb</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO/AuNPs composite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0–15 ppb</td>
<td>0.8 ppb</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow AuPd-flower-like</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0–200 ppb</td>
<td>1.2 ppb</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO$_2$–hemin/rGO composite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0.006–2.5 μM</td>
<td>0.8 nM</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA-modified 3D rGO/CS composite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0.009–4 μM</td>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td>586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.1 nm to 10 μm</td>
<td>80 pM</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparin modified CS/GR composite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.1 pm to 200 nM</td>
<td>0.034 pM</td>
<td>586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/AuNPs composite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>30–3000 nM</td>
<td>3.5 nM</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi-Au/rGO nanocomposite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>5–300 μg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.67 μg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO red-GR nanocomposite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>500–1000 μM</td>
<td>24.9 μg L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO-CS/poly-lysine nanocomposite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>DPASV</td>
<td>0.05–10 μg mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.01 μg mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR-Au modified electrode</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>1 μM to 100 nM</td>
<td>0.016 mM</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruthenium(u) bipyridine [Ru(bpy)$_3$$]^{2+}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>CV, EIS</td>
<td>0.5–0.3 μM</td>
<td>2.8 nM</td>
<td>592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complex/GO composite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>CV, EIS</td>
<td>0.05–0.25 μM</td>
<td>1.41 nM</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnFe$_2$O$_4$/GO nanocomposite</td>
<td>Pb$^+$</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>0.2–1.1 μM</td>
<td>0.0883 μM</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$LOD: Limit of detection; Ref.: Reference.
Nanomaterials such as inorganic nanoparticles and carbon-based nanomaterials (CNTs, graphene) have been effectively used for the detection of food contaminants. Table 8 summarizes the graphene-based biosensors for the detection of pathogens, food toxins, and metal ions.

Srivastava et al. demonstrated an electrochemical sensor by covalently binding monoclonal antibodies to AFB1 (anti-AFB1) onto the rGO surface for detecting food toxin (AFB1). The rGO/anti-AFB1 based sensor showed high sensitivity of 68 μA ng⁻¹ mL⁻¹ and an LOD of 0.12 ng mL⁻¹. The same research group also reported a label-free sensor using a AuNP-supported rGO nanosheet, and the surface was bioconjugated with monoclonal antibodies against AFB1. The BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite-based immunosensor showed good stability up to 56 days and the detection of AFB1 with a sensitivity of 182.4 μA ng⁻¹ mL⁻¹ cm⁻² and a linear range of 0.1–12 ng mL⁻¹ with an LOD of 0.1 ng mL⁻¹. Moreover, this group also demonstrated the fabrication of label-free immunosensors that used rGO sheets decorated with antibody-conjugated nickel nanoparticles for the electrochemical detection of AFB1 mycotoxin. Owing to the high electrocatalytic activity of NiNPs, the fabricated BSA/anti-AFB1/rGO-NiNPs immunosensor exhibited an enhanced electron transfer rate and sensitivity of 129.6 μA ng mL⁻¹ cm⁻², a linear detection range of 1.0–8.0 ng mL⁻¹ with an LOD of 0.16 ng mL⁻¹ for AFB1, and stability up to 42 days. Krittayavathananon et al. showed an

Table 8 (Contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Pathogen/food toxin</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>Detection range</th>
<th>Limit of detection (LOD)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GQDs@VMSF</td>
<td>Hg²⁺</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>10 pM to 1 nM</td>
<td>9.8 pM</td>
<td>598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu²⁺</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>10 pM to 1 nM</td>
<td>8.3 pM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd²⁺</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>20 nM to 1 μM</td>
<td>4.3 nM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

impedimetric biosensor for detection of the AFB1 level employing rGO aerogel labeled with ssDNA on a rotating disk electrode. The sensor detected AFB1 in a linear concentration range of $7 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1 \times 10^{-10}$ g mL$^{-1}$ with an LOD of 0.04 ng mL$^{-1}$. Wang et al.$^{578}$ reported the detection of AFB1 using an rGO/polypyrrole/pyrrolepropionic acid nanocomposite-based impedimetric immunosensor. The sensor showed improved sensitivity for the detection of AFB1 in a linear range of 10 fg mL$^{-1}$ to 10 pg mL$^{-1}$ and an LOD of 1 fg mL$^{-1}$ for standard sample and 0.01 ng mL$^{-1}$ for spiked grape juice samples for the OTA detection with a response time of 8 min and retained 91.4%, 70.7% and 53.8% of initial responses after 7, 21, and 28 days, respectively. The OTA recoveries from the grape juice were found to be between 90% and 101%. Jiang et al.$^{665}$ used AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite to amplify the electrochemical impedimetric signal of an aptasensor for mycotoxin ochratoxin A (OTA). The designed sensor displayed a good response for OTA concentrations ranging from 1.0 pg mL$^{-1}$ to 50 ng mL$^{-1}$ with an LOD of 0.3 pg mL$^{-1}$.

3.10 Detection of toxic heavy metal ions

Toxic heavy metal ions including of arsenic (As$^{3+}$), lead (Pb$^{2+}$), chromium (Cr$^{3+}$ or Cr$^{6+}$), cadmium (Cd$^{2+}$), and mercury (Hg$^{2+}$) may get accumulated in the human body through food chains. These highly toxic pollutants are non-biodegradable and may have adverse effects on the central nervous, immune and reproductive systems even at very low trace amounts.$^{666}$ It is critical to develop new analytical techniques for monitoring toxic heavy metal ions in water, food and the environment.$^{667}$ The electrochemical approach is a simple low-cost method and facilitates real-time monitoring of pollutants compared to other spectroscopic and optical techniques.$^{668}$ Different types of nanostructured materials have been employed for effectively monitoring environmental pollutants. Graphene and its nanocomposites have shown great promise for removing toxic heavy metals and organic dyes from aqueous solutions.$^{609,610}$ The graphene-based electrochemical sensors used for detecting various metal ions are summarized in Table 8.

Muralikrishna et al.$^{611}$ utilized l-cysteine-functionalized GO for the simultaneous detection of Cd(n), Cu(n), Pb(n), and Hg(n) in the linear detection range of 0.4 to 2.0 μM for Cd$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ and 0.4 to 1.2 μM for Pb$^{2+}$. The l-cysteine/GO composite-based sensor showed LODs of 0.366, 0.261, 0.416 and 1.113 μg L$^{-1}$ for Cd$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, and Hg$^{2+}$, respectively. The limits of metal ion detection were found to be lower than the WHO standards. Gumpu et al.$^{595}$ used a ruthenium(ii) bipyridine complex ([Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$) and GO nanocomposite to detect Cd(n), Pb(n), As(n) and Hg(n) metal ions. The sensor showed the lowest detection limits of 2.8 nM for Cd(n), 1.41 nM for Pb(n), 2.3 nM for As(n) and 1.6 nM for Hg(n) metal ions. Zhang et al.$^{612}$ reported the use of TiO$_2$-graphene hybrid nanostructures for Pb(n) and Cd(n) detection. The sensor showed linear ranges from $1.0 \times 10^{-8}$ M to $3.2 \times 10^{-5}$ M and $6.0 \times 10^{-7}$ M to $3.2 \times 10^{-5}$ M and LODs of $1.0 \times 10^{-10}$ M and $2.0 \times 10^{-9}$ M for Pb$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ respectively. Palanisamy et al.$^{613}$ reported the electrochemical determination of Hg(n) based on activated graphite-modified screen-printed carbon electrodes. The sensor exhibited a linear range of 0.05–14.77 ppm and an LOD of 4.6 ppb with a sensitivity of 81.5 mA ppm$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. The LOD value is considerably below the guideline values for Hg(n) in drinking water. Seenivasan et al.$^{614}$ synthesized cysteine-functionalized GO that used carboxylidimidazale as a cross-linker for the electrochemical detection of Pb$^{2+}$ ions in water using DPV. The resulting sensor showed a linear range of 1.4–28 ppb with an LOD of 0.07 ppb (S/N = 3) for the detection of Pb$^{2+}$ ions. This value is 2-fold lower than the 10 ppb threshold for drinking water set by WHO. Zhang et al.$^{594}$ reported the activation of thymine–Hg$^{2+}$–thymine (T–Hg$^{2+}$–T) coordination chemistry for the detection of Hg$^{2+}$ with an LOD of 0.001 aM using an electrodeposited graphene-Au-modified electrode for signal amplification. Fig. 28 shows a schematic illustration of the sensing approach for the detection of Hg$^{2+}$, square wave voltamograms (SWV) of the biosensor as a function of Hg$^{2+}$ concentration and its selectivity toward Hg$^{2+}$ ions among various interfering metal ions and their mixture containing Hg$^{2+}$ ions. In this sensor design, the electrodeposited GR-Au on a GCE surface is functionalized with a 10-mer thymine-rich DNA probe (P1), and the Au nanocarrier is functionalized with a 29-mer guanine-rich DNA probe (P2) labeled with MB to further improve the signal response. With the addition of Hg$^{2+}$, the T–T mismatched dsDNA between P1 and a 22-mer thymine-rich DNA probe (P3) forms on the surface of the electrode because of T–Hg$^{2+}$–T coordination chemistry and the introduction of the MB-nano-Au-P 3 s for P2 hybridization. The SWV of the mercuric sensor showed a very broad range of Hg$^{2+}$ ions concentration from 10 μM to 0.001 aM where the detection of Hg$^{2+}$ ions ranged from 1.0 aM to 100 nM with an LOD of 0.001 aM. Furthermore, biosensor also showed very high selectivity toward Hg$^{2+}$ ions among various interfering metal ions when measurements were performed by the square wave voltammetry using 10 nM of Hg$^{2+}$ and 500 nM of K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Cr$^{3+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, Al$^{3+}$, and their mixture with 10 nM of Hg$^{2+}$, respectively, where the sensitivity of interfering metal ions were found be less than 5% compared with Hg$^{2+}$ ions. Yu et al.$^{615}$ reported a noncovalently modified rGO sensor for the detection of Hg$^{2+}$ down to the picomolar concentration range. The resulting sensor could detect Hg$^{2+}$ ions at low concentrations up to 0.1 nM, which is lower than the limits of the WHO and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Lu et al.$^{596}$ developed electrochemical sensors for detecting Hg$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$ ions and dopamine using silica nanochannel-
confined GQDs. Fig. 29 shows DPV curves recorded with vertically ordered mesoporous silica-nanochannel film (VMSF)/ITO electrode, OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO and NH2-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrodes for the detection of Hg2+, Cu2+, and Cd2+. Both OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO and NH2-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrodes exhibited significantly amplified current signals for detecting Hg2+, Cu2+, and Cd2+ ions than that of VMSF/ITO electrode having no GQDs. This indicates that the significant increase in current signals is associated with the GQDs due to their molecular interactions with Hg2+, Cu2+, and Cd2+ ions, efficient electronic transfer between GQDs and metal ions as well as high specific surface area inherited by GQDs. DPV curves were also recorded as a function of different concentrations of Hg2+ and Cu2+ using OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode and as a function of different concentrations of Cd2+ using NH2-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode. The OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode-based sensing platform could detect Hg2+ and Cu2+ ions at concentrations as low as 9.8 pM and 8.3 pM, respectively, compared with the LOD of 0.3 μM for Hg2+ and 32 nM for Cu2+ using VMSF/ITO electrode. Likely, the NH2-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode showed an LOD of 4.3 nM for Cd2+ compared with 120 nM using VMSF/ITO electrode. The LOD for detecting Hg2+ was reduced by 5 orders of magnitude by incorporating OH-GQDs into the electrode. The ITO electrode was replaced by Au electrode, the resulting OH-GQD@VMSF/Au
electrode showed a linear range of 200 nm to 100 μM and an LOD of 120 nm for the detection of dopamine. These GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode-based sensors also showed high sensitivity and selectivity toward Hg²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Cd²⁺ ions in presence of other interfering chemical analytes including Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Co²⁺, Fe³⁺, Cr³, BSA and hemoglobin. Similarly, the OH-GQD@VMSF/Au electrode-based sensor showed high selectivity toward dopamine in the presence of other interfering bioanalytes including common ions, amino acids, protein (concanavalin A), ascorbic acid and uric acid.

3.11 Detection of pesticides

Pesticides are widely employed in agriculture to control weeds and pests and to increase food production around the world. Herbicides and insecticides used to protect plants can be potentially toxic to human. Their overuse and improper disposal can cause significant food and environmental contamination as pesticides easily dissolve in water and do not decompose easily so they may have harmful health effects. Acetylcholinesterase (AChE), a kind of acetylhydrolase, is a catalytic enzyme present in the central nervous system that catalyzes the hydrolysis of neurotransmitters such as acetylcholine and choline esters. AChE inhibitor-based drugs have been approved by the FDA for clinical trials and the management of Alzheimer disease, which is a neurodegenerative disorder. This catalytic inhibition concept has been used to develop various types of AChE-based biosensors for detecting OPs. AChE can be easily immobilized on the surface of nanostructured materials to improve the sensitivity of biosensors for detecting pesticide residues. Graphene-based materials also offer high surface area and plentiful active sites; therefore, they have been used for developing AChE inhibition biosensors.

Two-dimensional layered nanomaterials analogous to graphene and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have attracted significant research interest for developing biosensors.
for the electrochemical detection of pesticides. Owing to its high surface area and unique electrocatalytic properties, graphene can markedly enhance biosensing performance (Table 9). ACHe electrochemical biosensors with graphene nanocomposite-modified GCEs have been studied for the detection of different types of pesticides: methyl parathion, carbofuran,37 chlorpyrifos,38,39 imidacloprid,41 methyl parathion,42 phoxim with graphene/GCE;43 poly(3-methylthiophene)/nitrogen-doped graphene (P3MT/N-GR),44 and carboxylic chitosan (CChit)/silver nanoclusters-rGO (AgNCS-rGO),45 paraoxyphos and chlorpyrifos with TiO2-GO/Uio-66 composite,46 carbyl with MWCNTs/GO nanoribbons,47 carbyl and chlorpyrifos with AgNPs–CGF/NF composite,48 chlorpyrifos and carboxylic with ZnONPs–CGF/NF composite,49 carbyl and monocrotrophi with ionic liquid-functionalized graphene (IL-GR)/gelatin,50 mono-
crotrophi with Prussian blue nanocubes (PBNCs)/rGO,51 malathion and carbyl with rGO-AuNP/β-cyclodextrin/Prussian blue-CS nanocomposites (rGO-AuNPs/β-CD/PB-Chit),52 dichlorvos with multilayered Chit@TiO2-Chit/rGO,53 fenitothion with cerium oxide nanoparticle-decorated rGO (CeO2–

rGO),54 diuron with rGO-AuNPs,55 paraoxyphos with rGO-

AuNPs/polypyrrole (PPy),56 carbyl with GR/PANI,57 carbyl with an electrochemically induced porous GO network,58 methyl parathion and malathion with plant esterase (PLaE)–

Chit/Alu-NPs–graphene nanosheets (PLaE-Chit/Alu-NPs–GNs).59

An electrochemical ACHe biosensor using rGO/silver nanoclusters (AgNCS)/chitosan composite was fabricated by Zheng et al.65 The immobilization of ACHe on a GCE modified with rGO and AgNCS was accomplished using carboxylic chitosan as a cross-linking agent. The biosensor exhibited a wide linear range of 0.2–250 nM and an LOD of 81 pM for phoxim with S/N = 3. Bao et al.66 developed a sensor using plant esterase-

Table 9  Graphene nanocomposite-modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE)-based acetylcholinesterase (ACHe) electrochemical biosensors for detecting pesticides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Pesticides</th>
<th>Linear range</th>
<th>Limit of detection</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs-carboxylic GR-Nafion</td>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>1 × 10−7 to 1 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>5 × 10−5 nM</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNPs–carboxylic GR-Nafion</td>
<td>Carbofuran</td>
<td>1 × 10−6 to 1 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>5 × 10−4 nM</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiONPs–carboxylic GR-Nafion</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>1 × 10−7 to 1 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>5 × 10−5 nM</td>
<td>638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO2NPs–carboxylic GR-Nafion</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>1 × 10−7 to 1 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>5 × 10−4 nM</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnNPs–carboxylic GR-Nafion</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>1 × 10−6 to 1 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>5 × 10−4 nM</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPs–rGO–AuNPs</td>
<td>Carboxylic CR</td>
<td>5.0 × 10−2 to 0.2 μM</td>
<td>2.0 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPs–rGO</td>
<td>Imidacloprid</td>
<td>0.5–15 μM</td>
<td>0.10 μM</td>
<td>641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC/rGO/Nafion</td>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>0.002–0.7 μM</td>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/GCE</td>
<td>Phoxim</td>
<td>20–20 000 nM</td>
<td>8 nM</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(3-methylthiophene)/N-doped GR</td>
<td>Phoxim</td>
<td>0.02–2.0 μM</td>
<td>6.4 nM</td>
<td>644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxylic chitosan/AgNCS–rGO composite</td>
<td>Phoxim</td>
<td>0.2–250 nM</td>
<td>81 PM</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2–GO/Uio–66 composite</td>
<td>Paraxox</td>
<td>1.0–100 nM</td>
<td>0.2 nM</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNTs/GO nanoribbons</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>5.0–300 nM</td>
<td>1.0 nM</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNPs–carboxylic GR-Nafion</td>
<td>Carbyl</td>
<td>5–5000 nM</td>
<td>1.7 nM</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNPs–carboxylic GR-Nafion</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>1.0 × 10−6 to 1 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>5.45 × 10−4 nM</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnONPs–carboxylic GR-Nafion</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>1.0 × 10−7 to 1 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>5.3 × 10−4 nM</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnONPs–carboxylic GR-Nafion</td>
<td>Carboxylic CR</td>
<td>1.0 × 10−6 to 1 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>5.2 × 10−4 nM</td>
<td>649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL–GR–gelatin</td>
<td>Monocrotrophi</td>
<td>1.0 × 10−7 to 5 × 10−5 μM</td>
<td>4.6 × 10−5 nM</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL–GR–gelatin</td>
<td>Carbyl</td>
<td>1.0 × 10−8 to 1 × 10−2 μM</td>
<td>5.3 × 10−4 nM</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBNCS–rGO composite</td>
<td>Monocrotrophi</td>
<td>1.0–600 ng mL−1</td>
<td>0.1 ng mL−1</td>
<td>651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO–AuNPs/b–CD/PB-CS</td>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>7.98 × 2.0 × 103 pg mL−1</td>
<td>4.14 pg mL−1</td>
<td>652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO–AuNPs/b–CD/PB-CS</td>
<td>Carbyl</td>
<td>4.3 × 1.0 × 107 pg mL−1</td>
<td>1.15 pg mL−1</td>
<td>652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS@TiO2–CS/rGO</td>
<td>Dichlorvos</td>
<td>0.036–22.6 μM</td>
<td>29 nM</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO2–rGO composite</td>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>0.025–2.0 μg mL−1</td>
<td>3.0 ng mL−1</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO–AuNPs composite</td>
<td>Diuron</td>
<td>0.5–30.0 μg mL−1</td>
<td>0.125 μg mL−1</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO–AuNPs/Ppy composite</td>
<td>Paraoxo-ethyl</td>
<td>1.0 nM to 5 μM</td>
<td>0.5 nM</td>
<td>656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR/PANI composite</td>
<td>Carbyl</td>
<td>38–3914 nM</td>
<td>20 mg mL−1</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous GO</td>
<td>Carboxylic</td>
<td>0.3–6.1 mg mL−1</td>
<td>0.15 mg mL−1</td>
<td>658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLaE–CS/AuNPs–GR</td>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>0.19–760 nM</td>
<td>0.19 nM</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLaE–CS/AuNPs–GR</td>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>0.1–513.5 nM</td>
<td>1.51 nM</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC/rGO–Nafion</td>
<td>Carbyl</td>
<td>5–1000 ng mL−1</td>
<td>2 mg mL−1</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D GR/MWCNTs composite</td>
<td>Carbyl paraoxo</td>
<td>0.3–0.81 ng mL−1</td>
<td>0.015 ng mL−1</td>
<td>661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionic liquid–GR/CO2O4/CS</td>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>0.05–1 ng mL−1</td>
<td>0.025 mg mL−1</td>
<td>662</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

chitosan/AuNPs–graphene nanosheets for the detection of methyl parathion and malathion. Esterase is a plant enzyme that dissociates esters into acid and alcohol components and catalyzes OPs with a similar mechanism to that of the AChE enzyme. The nanostructures of graphene nanosheets, AuNP-GNs, and PLaE–Chit/AuNP-GN nanocomposites were characterized using SEM and TEM. AuNPs approximately 13 nm in diameter were uniformly distributed on the crumpled GN surfaces. The particles of PLaE and CS nanocomposite were clearly visible on the AuNP-GN composites, where TEM images confirmed the formation of hybrid composites suitable for detecting OPs. The nanocomposite-based biosensor showed detection limits of 0.19 nM (50 ppt) for methyl parathion and 1.51 nM (0.5 ppb) for malathion (S/N = 3). The biosensor also exhibited high selectivity because no interference from other analytes, such as glucose, citric acid, metal ions and oxygen-containing inorganic ions, was observed. The specificity of the sensor was evaluated for the detection of OPs by comparing the normalized signal of 0.1 M PBS buffer solution (pH 6.5) containing 0.5 mM 1-naphthyl acetate in 10 ppb of methyl parathion (100%) with the normalized signals recorded in the presence of methyl parathion in combination with different interfering analytes (Fig. 30). The DPV data did not show any significant changes in the normalized signals for the presence of (c) 10 ppb lindane, (d) 1 ppm Fe³⁺, (e) 1 ppm Zn²⁺, (f) 1 ppm Cu²⁺, (g) 1 ppm Pb²⁺, (h) 1 ppm K⁺, (i) 1 ppm NO₃⁻, (j) 1 ppm PO₄³⁻, (k) 1 ppm SO₄²⁻, (l) 0.5 mM glucose, or (m) 0.5 mM citric acid.

stability, as no decrease in the normalized signal was observed after 9 days, and 73% of the initial signal was retained after 15 days.

Hondred et al. developed an electrochemical sensor with Pt nanoparticles electrodeposited on patterned graphene electrodes (PGEs) for detecting OPs. The electrode was first fabricated via inkjet maskless lithography (IML) followed by the electrodeposition of Pt nanoparticles to enhance its surface area, electrical conductivity, and electrocatalytic activity. Next, the enzyme phosphotriesterase was conjugated using the bifunctional cross-linking molecule glutaraldehyde (GA), as shown in Fig. 31. The fabricated PtNP–IML–PGE/GA biosensor

Fig. 30 DPV at the glassy carbon electrode GCE on the PLaE–Chit/AuNPs–GN nanocomposite for (a) 10 ppb of methyl parathion and then 10 ppb of methyl parathion mixed with (b) 10 ppb of carbendazim, (c) 10 ppb of lindane, (d) 1 ppm of Fe³⁺, (e) 1 ppm of Zn²⁺, (f) 1 ppm of Cu²⁺, (g) 1 ppm of Pb²⁺, (h) 1 ppm of K⁺, (i) 1 ppm of NO₃⁻, (j) 1 ppm of PO₄³⁻, (k) 1 ppm of SO₄²⁻, (l) 0.5 mM glucose, or (m) 0.5 mM citric acid.

Fig. 31 (A) Schematic illustration of phosphotriesterase (PTE) enzyme functionalization on the PtNP–inkjet maskless lithography–PGE (PtNP–IML–PGE) surface using glutaraldehyde (GA). Hydrolysis of paraoxon into p-nitrophenol by the immobilized PTE enzyme and thereafter, successive oxidation of p-nitrophenol at the surface of graphene electrode at a working potential of +0.95 V vs. Ag/AgCl. (B) Enzyme progress of p-nitrophenol production rate for varying paraoxon concentrations using enzyme inks created using 2 nM (black), 4 nM (green), and 20 nM (red) concentrations of PTE. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 663, J. A. Hondred, J. C. Breger, N. J. Alves, S. A. Trammell, S. A. Walper, I. L. Medintz and J. C. Claussen, Printed Graphene Electrochemical Biosensors Fabricated by Inkjet Maskless Lithography for Rapid and Sensitive Detection of Organophosphates, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 11125–11134. Copyright © 2018 American Chemical Society.]
showed rapid detection of paraoxon pesticide with 5 s response time, a sensitivity of 370 nA μM, a linear range of 0.1–1 μM, and an LOD of 3 nM. In comparison, the biosensor based on IML–PGE/GA (without PtNPs) showed a sensitivity of 270 nA μM, a linear range of 0.1–1 μM, and an LOD of 12 nM. The PtNP–IML–PGE/GA biosensor showed reusability over 12 repeated operations by retaining 95% sensitivity and 70% stability of anodic current signal to paraoxon after 8 weeks. The biosensor exhibited high selectivity toward paraoxon detection against interfering chemical nerve agents including p-nitrophenol, chlorpyrifos methyl, parathion, dichlofenthion, fenitrothion, phoxim, and dimethoate. These PtNP–IML–PGEs biosensors can be manufactured in large quantities at a low-cost and used in-field for detecting pesticides and other biochemical agents in river water, tap water and soil samples.

4. Graphene-based fluorescent biosensors

Fluorescence-based biosensing is a well-established optical tool with many advantages, such as high sensitivity, rapid detection time and easy operation, making it an ideal platform for biological, biochemical and medical research. The development of fluorescent biosensors to detect different biological molecules, such as glucose, H₂O₂, dopamine, NAs, food toxins and metal ions, is of great interest to medicine since this technique can provide direct readouts of molecular localization in different biological organisms and living cells. Since the discovery of green fluorescent protein (GFP), which enabled the observation of molecular interactions within a 10 nM range through the Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) mechanism, a wide variety of fluorescent biosensors have been developed utilizing different types of nanomaterials with high sensitivity and selectivity, and low detection limits. Specifically, carbon-based nanomaterials such as GR or GO have been found to quench fluorescence very effectively, which makes them strong acceptors in the FRET process over the entire visible region. In addition, further functionalization with carboxyl (–COOH) groups has been applied to fluorescent sensors in order to attain high fluorescence quenching and ssDNA interaction through π–π stacking. GO has been found to exhibit high fluorescence quenching up to a distance of 300 Å.

Graphene-based fluorescence quenching has been predominantly accredited to FRET due to the linear dispersion of Dirac electrons and the relatively distant effective gap between fluorescent molecules and graphene. The linear dispersion of Dirac fermions allows electron–hole pairs in graphene to resonate with photons of various frequencies that are emitted from fluorescent molecules. This kind of FRET mechanism and the high-efficiency quenching ability of graphene for all types of fluorophores makes GO promising for the development of new fluorescent-based biological sensors and other devices for their use in vivo and in vitro. Moreover, the amphiphilicity of GO facilitates the adsorption of diverse biomolecules on its surface due to its high binding affinity with biomolecules via π–π stacking. GO nanosheet shows the capability of efficient energy transfer when the adsorbed biomolecules are conjugated with a fluorescent dye, yielding fluorescence quenching with minimal background signal because of the absence of graphene’s energy bandgap and the existing close-distance π–π stacking. The progress made in fluorescence-based biosensors have been discussed. The graphene- and graphene-nanocomposite-based fluorescent biosensors for the detection of various biomolecules are summarized in Table 10.

4.1 Fluorescent detection of glucose, cholesterol, H₂O₂, and dopamine

Graphene-based nanocomposites have been widely applied to the fluorescence-based detection of glucose, H₂O₂, DA, and so forth, with enhanced sensitivity and selectivity (Table 10). Li et al. developed a tyramine (TYR)-functionalized GQDs (TYR-GQDs) for fluorescent biosensing of H₂O₂ and four metabolites including glucose, cholesterol, L-lactate, and xanthine in the blood. In the TYR-GQDs conjugate, the tyramine is covalently attached on the surface of GQDs through the reaction between the amino (–NH₂) groups of TYR and carboxyl (–COOH) groups of GQDs. In order to detect these four metabolites, their corresponding oxidases such as glucose oxidase, cholesterol oxidase, L-lactate oxidase, and xanthine oxidase were added into the 10 mM PBS (pH 7.0) containing 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ TYR-GQDs conjugate to trigger photoluminescence (PL) quenching. Fig. 32 shows a schematic of fluorescence biosensing using TYR-GQDs, and PL spectra of 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ TYR-GQDs conjugate in PBS containing 2.5 μM glucose oxidase, 10 μM cholesterol oxidase and 5 μM L-lactate oxidase at different concentrations of glucose, cholesterol and L-lactate, respectively. The TYR-GQD-based fluorescence biosensors exhibited LODs of 7.2 × 10⁻⁷ M, 3.2 × 10⁻⁵ M, and 1.2 × 10⁻⁹ M with linear ranges of 5 × 10⁻⁹ to 2 × 10⁻⁶ M, 1 × 10⁻⁹ to 1.5 × 10⁻⁷ M, and 8 × 10⁻⁸ to 1 × 10⁻⁵ M for glucose, H₂O₂, and cholesterol detection, respectively. The biosensor showed a linear range of 2 × 10⁻⁷ to 2.5 × 10⁻⁵ M and 1 × 10⁻⁷ to 1.8 × 10⁻⁵ M with LOD of 4.7 × 10⁻⁷ M and 3.2 × 10⁻⁸ M for L-lactate and xanthine detection, respectively. The evident PL quenching was not observed for TYR-GQDs after adding either glucose oxidase or glucose and other interferents such as fructose, lactose and maltose mixed with glucose oxidase, indicating high selectivity of the biosensor toward glucose detection. These TYR-GQD-based fluorescence biosensors showing high sensitivity and selectivity, can be used for healthcare for diagnosis of metabolic and other related diseases.

Chen et al. demonstrated a GO-based label-free near infrared (NIR) fluorescent sensor for detecting dopamine. The good affinity of DA molecules with GO allows multiple non-covalent interactions. The effective quenching of GO when DA molecules are adsorbed onto the GO nanosheet enables biosensing by way of direct readout of the NIR fluorescence of the GO in the NIR for the effective detection of DA. The sensor showed an LOD of 94 nM and a 2.0% relative standard deviation; it was used to DA determination in biological fluids with 98 to 115% quantitative recovery. Cheng et al. demonstrated a label-free FRET-based biosensor using doxorubicin (DOX)-
Table 10  Graphene-based fluorescent biosensors for detecting biomolecules, nucleic acids, biomarkers, pathogens, food toxins, metal ions and pesticides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biosensor type</th>
<th>Graphene-based nanocomposites</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Linear range</th>
<th>Limit of detection (LOD)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biomolecules</td>
<td>Tyramine-functionalized GQDs</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>50 nM to 2 μM</td>
<td>7.2 nM</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tyramine-functionalized GQDs</td>
<td>H2O2</td>
<td>1 nM to 150 nM</td>
<td>0.32 nM</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tyramine-functionalized GQDs</td>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>80 nM to 10 μM</td>
<td>1.2 nM</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tyramine-functionalized GQDs</td>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>200 nM to 25 μM</td>
<td>47 nM</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tyramine-functionalized GQDs</td>
<td>Xanthene</td>
<td>100 nM to 18 μM</td>
<td>32 nM</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PVA/GQDs</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.25–24 nM</td>
<td>10 μM</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PVA/GQDs</td>
<td>H2O2</td>
<td>0.05–35 nM</td>
<td>1.0 μM</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GLY-GQDs</td>
<td>Ce4+</td>
<td>0.03–17.0 μM</td>
<td>25 nM</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleic acids</td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>miRNA</td>
<td>0.02–100 fM</td>
<td>3 fM</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>miRNA</td>
<td>10 fM to 10 pM</td>
<td>3 fM</td>
<td>674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rGO</td>
<td>Hepatitis B virus (HBV)</td>
<td>0.05 pg mL−1 to 1 ng mL−1</td>
<td>0.05 ng mL−1</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomarkers</td>
<td>GQDs</td>
<td>Cardiac marker</td>
<td>0.001–100 ng mL−1</td>
<td>0.192 pg mL−1</td>
<td>676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>100–500 ng mL−1</td>
<td>100 ng mL−1</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogens</td>
<td>GQDs/AuNPs</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>100 pM to 100 nM</td>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GR magnetic nanosheets/CS</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>4.5 × 102 to 5 × 102 CFU mL−1</td>
<td>5 CFU mL−1</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GR sheets</td>
<td>Oxysterol</td>
<td>0.01–0.2 μM</td>
<td>0.01 μM</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GO/nucleic-acid stabilized Ag</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>0–1000 nM</td>
<td>0.5 nM</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GO/UC NPs</td>
<td>Ochratoxin A (OTA)</td>
<td>0.05–100 ng mL−1</td>
<td>0.02 ng mL−1</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1)</td>
<td>0.1–500 ng mL−1</td>
<td>0.1 ng mL−1</td>
<td>683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CdTe QDs/GO</td>
<td>OTA</td>
<td>2.0–35 μM</td>
<td>1.9 μM</td>
<td>683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CdTe QDs/GO</td>
<td>AFB1</td>
<td>3.2 nM to 320 μM</td>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GQDs</td>
<td>OTA</td>
<td>0.05–20 ng mL−1</td>
<td>13 pg mL−1</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GO/Au nanocomposite</td>
<td>AFB1</td>
<td>0.1–10 pg mL−1</td>
<td>0.03 pg mL−1</td>
<td>686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal ions</td>
<td>GR/DNAzymes complex</td>
<td>Cu2+</td>
<td>0–250 nM</td>
<td>2 nM</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GR/DNAzyme</td>
<td>Cu2+</td>
<td>0.01–1.5 μM</td>
<td>0.365 nM</td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GR/DNAzyme</td>
<td>Pb2+</td>
<td>0.3 nM to 2 μM</td>
<td>0.5 nM</td>
<td>689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GQDs/DNA</td>
<td>Hg2+</td>
<td>1 nM to 10 μM</td>
<td>0.25 nM</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GO/guanine-quadruplex DNA aptamer</td>
<td>Pb2+</td>
<td>0.75/6.0 nM L−1</td>
<td>0.40 nM L−1</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CdSe/ZnS QDs/GO</td>
<td>Pb2+</td>
<td>0.1–10 nM</td>
<td>90 pM</td>
<td>692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>Hg2+</td>
<td>1–50 nM</td>
<td>0.92 nM</td>
<td>693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GQDs</td>
<td>Cu2+</td>
<td>0–15 μM</td>
<td>0.226 μM</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GQDs/GO</td>
<td>Pb2+</td>
<td>Up to 400 nM</td>
<td>0.6 nM</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon dots/ODN/GO</td>
<td>Hg2+</td>
<td>5–200 nM</td>
<td>2.6 nM</td>
<td>696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dopamine/GQDs</td>
<td>Fe3+</td>
<td>20 nM to 2 μM</td>
<td>7.6 nM</td>
<td>697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GO-AuNPs</td>
<td>Pb2+</td>
<td>2 nM to 0.23 μM</td>
<td>0.1 nM</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macroporous GR foam</td>
<td>Pb2+</td>
<td>50–500 pM</td>
<td>50 pM</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesticides</td>
<td>N-doped GQDs</td>
<td>Ochratoxin A (OTA)</td>
<td>0.05–100 ng mL−1</td>
<td>0.02 ng mL−1</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-doped GQDs MIP</td>
<td>Ochratoxin A (OTA)</td>
<td>0.05–100 ng mL−1</td>
<td>0.02 ng mL−1</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Abbreviations used: PVA: poly(vinyl alcohol), PSA: (prostate specific antigen, a cancer marker), GLY: glycine, AFB1: aflatoxin B1, cTnI: cardiac marker antigen troponin I in blood, ATP: adenosine triphosphate, Pi-A: N-(2-[1-(1-p-tolyl)-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazol-2-yl]phenyl)picolinamide, CDs: carbon dots, ODN: oligodeoxyribonucleotide, MIP: molecularly imprinted polymer. Upconversion fluorescent NPs: BaY0.78F5:Yb0.7,Tm0.02 and BaY0.78F5:Yb0.7,Tm0.02 nanoparticles.

functionalyzed GO for detecting DA in human serum, aqueous solutions and cells. The fluorescence is quenched upon adsorption onto GO. Upon the addition of DA, the preadsorbed DOX is released by the specific interaction occurring between DA and GO due to the significantly higher binding affinity of DA molecules, which recovers the fluorescence of DOX and enables selective sensing of DA. The fluorescent DOX-GO sensor showed a high response for the detection of DA in the 8.3 × 10−7 M to 3.3
× 10⁻⁵ M concentration range an aqueous solution and 1.44–11.48 μmol L⁻¹ in a human serum sample. A linear relationship was observed between the ratio of fluorescence intensity (F₀/F) of DOX before and after adding GO as a function of the GO concentration over 0.5 to 20 μg mL⁻¹ range at 598 nm.

4.2 Fluorescence detection of nucleic acids
Fluorescence-based detection has become an attractive research area for a wide range of applications. It is suitable for NA sensors where the target-triggered conformational change of an oligonucleotide affects the fluorescence of DNA-binding dyes such as OliGreen, TOTO, and ethidium bromide. Carbon nanomaterials functionalized with carboxyl (–COOH) groups have been commonly used in NA sensors, which offer great fluorescence quenching and interaction with ssDNA via π–π stacking. Specifically, graphene and GO-based fluorescent sensors have been widely employed for the detection of biologically active molecules such as DNA, RNA, and proteins.21,602 Most of these biosensor designs involve the use of DNA as the basis for templates for targeted molecule recognition and reporting. These DNA segments including aptamers have been
used for the selective binding of target analytes or as enzyme substrates and the signal transducer is graphene or GO. Moreover, many fluorescent nanomaterials such as QDs, nanoclusters (NCs), and upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) have been developed for their use in biosensing.

Xing et al. demonstrated a fluorescence aptasensor using a dsDNA/GO complex through dsDNA and GO binding in the presence of certain salts to study exonuclease I (Exo I) activities. In this sensing probe, the competitor gets hybridized with the aptamer in the absence of the target, which hinders the absorption of the competitor by Exo I, therefore, the formed dsDNA is adsorbed onto the surface of GO, giving rise to fluorescence quenching. On the other hand, the aptamer prefers binding with its target after the target is introduced into the system; this results in a nuclease reaction, and a minor change in fluorescence is observed when GO is introduced because of the weak affinity between generated mononucleotides and GO. A smaller dissociation constant ($K_d$) value of 311.0 μM and an LOD of 3.1 μM were obtained for dsDNA and GO-based fluorescence aptasensor, compared with the conventional dye-labeled aptamer and GO complex-based sensing platform ($K_d$ = 688.8 μM and LOD = 21.2 μM). Chen et al. demonstrated a nitrogen- and sulfur-codoped rGO (N,S-rGO) as a sensing probe for the fluorescent detection of various biological species through simple fluorescence quenching and recovery (Fig. 33A). Doping with N and S heteroatoms can effectively activate the sp$^2$-hybridized carbon lattice, which greatly improves the electron/energy acceptor. The QD fluorescence was found to be quenched after mixing QD-labeled with human disease-related genes such as hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA and HIV DNA molecular beacon probes with N,S-rGO; the recovery of QD fluorescence was observed after adding target HBV and HIV DNA. The biosensor showed the target virus DNA with an LOD of 2.4 nM with a linear range of 5–100 nM for HBV and 3.0 nM.

with a linear range of 7–80 nM for HIV. The molecular beacon probes with thombin and immunoglobulin (IgE) aptamers showed LODs of 0.17 ng mL\(^{-1}\) for thombin and 0.19 ng mL\(^{-1}\) for IgE proteins. The N,S-rGO-based QD sensor showed 99 to 100.6% quantitative recovery. Zhang et al.\(^{723}\) showed a fluorescence biosensor using GQDs and pyrene-functionalized molecular beacons (py-MBs) for detecting miRNAs (Fig. 33B). Pyrene was used to functionalize and trigger specific FRET between GQDs and py-MBs containing fluorescent dyes and the target detection was realized by the change in fluorescence intensity. The biosensor showed a linear range of 0.1 nM to 200 nM with LOD of 100 pM and high selectivity for the detection of target miRNA miR-155 in the presence three other interfering miRNAs including miR-21, miR-210, and miR-196a.

Metal nanoclusters such as Ag nanoclusters (NCs) also show promise for greatly enhanced detection limits and sensitivity of fluorescence-based biosensors. Metal NCs can either increase or quench fluorophore emission, depending on the distance between a metal surface and the resulting molecular orientation. Liu et al.\(^{681}\) developed a fluorescent DNA sensor and aptasensor for the detection of infectious pathogens based on a silver nanocluster/GO hybrid system (Fig. 33C). By conjugating oligonucleotide sequences to NA-protected AgNCs, the fluorescence was generated by the desorption of AgNCs from GO surface by forming duplex DNA structures or complexes with aptamer-substrate. This approach enabled thombin detection with an LOD of 0.5 nM. The hybrid biosensor was also used for the detection of HBV, HIV, and Treponema pallidum (syphilis) genes. The upconversion nanoparticle-based FRET detection approach has attracted significant research interest. Alonso-Cristobal et al.\(^{713}\) reported a highly sensitive DNA biosensor based on FRET pairing between erbium- and ytterbium-doped β-NaYF\(_4\) (NaYF\(_4\):Yb,Er) UCNP fluorescent donors and GO quencher (Fig. 33D). In this sensing probe, the DNA-functionalized NaYF\(_4\):Yb,Er@SiO\(_2\) nanoparticles were near the GO surface, and FRET fluorescence quenching was induced by the π–π stacking interactions occurring between the GO and nucleobases of the DNA because of the fluorescence emission overlapping of NaYF4:Yb,Er@SiO2 NPs and the GO absorption spectrum. This nanoparticle-mediated upconversion approach showed high sensitivity with an LOD of 5 pM. Huang et al.\(^{724}\) designed a FRET-based biosensor from DNA-functionalized NaYF\(_4\):Yb,Tm@NaYF\(_4\) UCNPs utilizing liquid–liquid interface-based ligand exchange. The resulting biosensor exhibited a high sensitivity with an LOD of 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) U mL\(^{-1}\) for SI nuclease.

### 4.2.1 Amplified detection of nucleic acids

There has been significant effort to enhance the signal intensity in order to detect the trace amounts of bioanalytes. Numerous strategies have been developed for signal enhancement, including ligand chain reaction (LCR), polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and rolling circle amplification (RCA).\(^{128,715}\) RCA offers the capability of creating DNA products through a replication process that may contain thousands of tandem sequence repeats; therefore, RCA is a powerful tool for signal amplification. Numerous reports utilizing this unique strategy have appeared (see Table 11) to enhance the sensitivitiy of the detection of biomolecules.\(^{444}\)

Liu et al.\(^{716}\) developed a sensor using graphene for the detection of DNA probes, through an RCA signal-amplified technique. The working mechanism of this rGO-aptamer RCA strategy is displayed in Fig. 34A. The sensing design consists of an ssDNA probe and an rGO transducing mediator in order to amplify the molecular detection. Specifically, the sensing probe is designed with 2 sequence domains: a 3’ primer domain that initiates RCA and a 5’ domain consisting of an aptamer for

<p>| Table 11 Graphene-based signal enhancement strategies |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphene-based platform</th>
<th>Amplification method</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Linear range</th>
<th>Limit of detection</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Rolling circle amplification</td>
<td>Nucleic acid</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>10 pM</td>
<td>10–200 pM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>Rolling circle amplification</td>
<td>Nucleic acid</td>
<td>miRNA</td>
<td>1 fm to 50 fm</td>
<td>0.4 pM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Hyridization chain reaction</td>
<td>Nucleic acid</td>
<td>miRNA</td>
<td>10–2000 fm</td>
<td>4.2 fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au nanoclusters/GR hybrid</td>
<td>Exo III-aided cascade</td>
<td>Nucleic acid</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>0.02 fm to 20 fm</td>
<td>0.057 fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>Hyridization chain reaction</td>
<td>Nucleic acid</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>5 pm to 12 nm</td>
<td>2.7 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Hyridization chain reaction</td>
<td>Nucleic acid</td>
<td>miRNA</td>
<td>100 pm to 10 nm</td>
<td>100 fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>Isothermal exponential amplification</td>
<td>Nucleic acid</td>
<td>miRNA</td>
<td>10 fm to 10 pm</td>
<td>3 fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO</td>
<td>In situ reverse transcription</td>
<td>Nucleic acid</td>
<td>miRNA</td>
<td>10 fm to 100 pm</td>
<td>1.318 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>Nicking enzyme signal amplification</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Thrombin</td>
<td>2 fm to 200 nm</td>
<td>1.0 fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>DNase I-mediated cyclic signal amplification</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>S. Paratypphi A</td>
<td>(1 \times 10^{2}) to (1 \times 10^{11}) cells per mL</td>
<td>(1 \times 10^{2}) cells per mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>Hyridization chain reaction</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
<td>Biothiol</td>
<td>0.1–1000 nM</td>
<td>0.1 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>GO-assisted rolling circle amplification</td>
<td>Pathogen</td>
<td>Ebola virus</td>
<td>30 fm to 3 nm</td>
<td>1.4 pM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO-AuNCs</td>
<td>HCR</td>
<td>Food toxin</td>
<td>AFB1</td>
<td>0.1–10 pg mL(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.03 pg mL(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>Hyridization chain reaction</td>
<td>Metal ion</td>
<td>Hg(^{2+})</td>
<td>0–1 nM</td>
<td>0.3 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>GR/DNaseyme</td>
<td>Metal ion</td>
<td>Pb(^{2+})</td>
<td>Up to 100 nM</td>
<td>300 pm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
molecular recognition (Fig. 34B). The rGO surface adsorbs the ssDNA probe via π–π stacking, preventing the probe’s primer region from being used for RCA initiation. The adsorbed probes undergo conformational change in the presence of any targeted molecule, releasing the probes from the surface of the rGO, exposing the primer domain for RCA. The detection of the target was therefore, in effect, used for the detection of the products of the RCA. This RCA approach showed the detection of ATP and DNA with LODs as low as 60 nM and 0.8 pM, respectively. Hong et al.717 developed a GO-based biosensor combined with the
target-specific RCA technique for the fluorometric detection of miRNA. By utilizing this approach, the resulting sensor exhibited a highly enhanced quantitative analysis of miRNA with an LOD as low as 0.4 pM. Wen et al. demonstrated a GO-assisted RCA probe for the sensitive detection of Ebola virus (EBOV). No RCA products were obtained in the absence of the EBOV gene; however, the fluorescence quenching of fluorescein amidite (FAM) was observed when FAM-labeled detection probe was adsorbed on the GO surface. The sensor showed high performance both in aqueous solution and in 1% serum for determination of the EBOV gene with an LOD of 1.4 pM.

Special types of enzymes consisting of DNA, also known as DNAzymes, have been developed to perform various catalytic functions as an alternative to traditional protein enzymes. Since the first report on DNAzyme by Breaker and Joyce in 1994, numerous DNAzyme sequences have been discovered, showing high catalytic activities in various chemical reactions. DNAzymes can readily interact with various fluorescent tags, functional molecules and solid surfaces, which facilitate the highly sensitive detection of target analytes. Furthermore, the development of different DNA amplification techniques has enabled the enhancement of the signal to improve the sensitivity of assays. Enzymatic signal amplification approaches suffer from several limitations due to the influence of parameters such as temperature and pH. Therefore, signal amplification approaches without using an enzymatic process are highly desirable to overcome such limitations. HCR amplification is one of the methods that have been widely employed to enhance the signal. Ge et al. demonstrated a GO-based fluorescent-based biosensor for biothiol detection with hairpin DNA-selective fluorescence quenching and signal amplification via thymine–Hg(II)–thymine (T–Hg(II)–T) and HCR coordination control. The sensing mechanism is shown in Fig. 34D. The target biothiol molecules initiate the formation of long duplex chains utilizing T–Hg(II)–T coordination chemistry, giving rise to an amplified fluorescence emission. The fluorescence quenching ability of GO and HCR reduces the background signal and enhances the detection sensitivity. The biosensor showed an LOD of 0.08 nM for biothiols. Xi et al. also developed a fluorescence biosensor by combining fluorescence quenching ability of GO with HCR amplification. The biosensor showed a dynamic range of 0.0001 to 100 U mL$^{-1}$ and an LOD of 0.00006 U mL$^{-1}$.

4.3 Fluorescent detection of pathogens and food toxins

The strong fluorescence quenching properties of graphene-based nanomaterials have attracted tremendous attention for developing aptamer-based fluorescence quenching sensing probes for detecting pathogens and food toxins. Shi et al. developed a FRET biosensor using QD$_5$s and AuNPs for Staphylococcus aureus, achieving a fluorescence quenching efficiency of approximately 87% in a 100 nM target oligo and an LOD of 1 nM. The biosensor was designed by immobilizing capture probes on QD$_5$s and conjugating the reporter probes to AuNPs. The sandwich-type structure was formed by cohybridizing the target oligos with the capture probes to trigger the FRET effect. Lu et al. developed an aptamer-based fluorescence recovery assay for detecting AFB1 mycotoxin, a secondary fungal metabolite of A. flavus, using a FRET-based quenching system composed of CdTe QDs and GO. In this system, a thiolated aptamer specific for AFB1 was linked to the surface of CdTe QDs; thus, the fluorescence of the aptamer-modified CdTe QDs was quenched by the GO. The fluorescence is restored after adding AFB1 which also depends on the quantity of AFB1. The developed CdTe QDs/GO showed a wide linear range of 3.2 nM to 320 μM and an LOD of 1.0 nM. Moreover, sensor showed a linear range of 1.6 nM to 160 μM and an LOD of 1.4 nM in peanut oil solution.

Wu et al. developed an aptasensor for detecting mycotoxins based on multicolor UCNPs as donors and GO as an acceptor. Two mycotoxins, ochratoxin A (OTA) and fumonisin B1 (FB1) were used in this study. The UCNPs BaY$_{0.78}$F$_5$:Yb$_{0.2}$,Er$_{0.02}$ and BaY$_{0.74}$F$_5$:Yb$_{0.2}$,Tm$_{0.02}$ were prepared and functionalized via OTA-aptamer and FB1-aptamer immobilization (Fig. 35A). Both triathyl orthosilicate (TEOS) and APTES were used to prepare amino-modified BaY$_{0.74}$F$_5$:Yb Er/Tm UCNPs, which were then be conjugated with avidin employing GA method. The avidin-conjugated BaY$_{0.74}$F$_5$:Yb Er/Tm UCNPs were linked with biotin-functionalized OTA- and FB1-aptamers, respectively. Strong upconversion fluorescence was displayed by both the OTA aptamer-modified BaY$_{0.74}$F$_5$:Yb Er UCNPs and the FB1 aptamer-modified BaY$_{0.74}$F$_5$:Yb Er UCNPs at a 980 nm excitation wavelength. GO completely quenches the upconversion fluorescence of UCNPs owing to the strong overlap between the GO absorption and multicolor UCN fluorescence spectra. Due to changes in aptamer formation when they bind OTA and FB1, aptamer-modified UCNPs form further away from the GO surface. This aptasensor exhibited a linear range of 0.05–100 ng mL$^{-1}$ and 0.1–500 ng mL$^{-1}$ for detection of OTA and FB1 with LODs of 0.02 and 0.1 ng mL$^{-1}$, respectively. Fig. 35B shows the selectivity for OTA and FB1 against other interfering mycotoxins, including aflatoxins AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, and AFG2, fumonisin B2 (FB2) and zearalenone (ZEN). A dramatic enhancement in fluorescent intensity was observed for OTA and FB1 compared with other mycotoxins at a concentration of 10 ng mL$^{-1}$. The fluorescent intensity showed no change with other mycotoxins because UCNPs/GO form specific interactions with OTA and FB1 aptamers. Yan et al. developed a sensitive and highly selective DNA aptasensor to detect S. Paraphyli A by using GO as an efficient fluorescence quencher and two short carboxy-fluorescein-modified sequences in a DNase I-mediated target recycling amplification (TRA) approach. When the target is absent, the aptasensor selectively bind with GO, causing fluorescence quenching of FAM. In the presence of the S. Paraphyli A target, the aptasensor disconnects from the GO surface due to aptasensor-to-target binding, resulting into a strong fluorescence that could be further amplified and detected by a DNase I-mediated TRA strategy. The resulting aptasensor displayed high sensitivity and selectivity with an LOD of 1 × 10$^5$ cells per mL. Li et al. reported a fluorescence quenching based sensing probe for aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) combined with an HCR amplification approach using a GO/AuNC nanocomposite. The HCR strategy displayed 94% quenching efficiency for the fluorescent probes.
Fig. 35  (A) Schematic representation of the immobilization of ochratoxin A (OTA) aptamers and fumonisin B1 (FB1) aptamers and their multiplex upconversion FRET between aptamer-UCNPs and GO for detecting OTA and FB1. (B) Fluorescence signal change for different mycotoxins at a concentration of 10 ng mL$^{-1}$. FB1 and OTA show a significant increase in fluorescence intensity compared with other mycotoxin homologs, including AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, AFG2, fumonisin B2 (FB2) and zearalenone (ZEN), which are commonly found in foods. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 682, S. Wu, N. Duan, X. Ma, Y. Xia, H. Wang, Z. Wang and Q. Zhang, Multiplexed Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer Aptasensor between Upconversion Nanoparticles and Graphene Oxide for the Simultaneous Determination of Mycotoxins, Anal. Chem., 2012, 84, 6263–6270. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]
and considerable enhancement of the LOD, reaching 0.03 pg mL\(^{-1}\) for AFB1 detection.

### 4.4 Fluorescent detection of toxic heavy metal ions

Graphene-based nanocomposites have been widely used as fluorescent probes to detect metal ions such as Ag\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), and Fe\(^{3+}\) with high levels of sensitivity and selectivity.\(^{21}\) Metal ions can bind selectively to specific DNA nucleotides. Therefore, DNAzyme-based sensors with different signal transduction mechanisms have been developed using graphene.\(^{22}\) However, the diverse chemical structures of graphene, GO, and rGO lead to complexities in the selective detection of multiple metal ions. Wen et al.\(^{78}\) demonstrated a fluorescent nanoprobe using GO and an Ag-specific oligonucleotide for the detection of Ag\(^{+}\) ions. The sensor exhibited an LOD of 20 nM, and the Stern–Volmer constant (K\(_{sv}\)) of Ag\(^{+}\) to silver-specific cytosine-rich oligonucleotide (DNA oligonucleotide SSO = 5'-FAM-CTCTCTTCTCTTCATTTTTCAACACAC-3') was calculated to be 12 \(\mu\)M. The fluorescence response satisfies the sensitivity requirement (4.6 \(10^{-7}\) M) of the US EPA for drinking water. Wang et al.\(^{79}\) reported the self-assembly of fluorescent chemodosimeter (FC) molecules onto a GO surface for the detection of fluoride ions (F\(^{-}\)). The fluorescent sensing of F\(^{-}\) ions using GO/FC was approximately 5-fold larger than that without GO, the response time was shortened from 4 h to 30 min, and the sensitivity was 2-fold greater than that without GO.

GOQDs have been investigated as a new class of fluorescent sensors for detecting a wide range of metal ions due to their improved optical properties and fluorescence quenching capability compared to GR and GO.\(^{80}\) In addition, the small size, improved photo-stability, good solubility, chemical inertness and high biocompatibility of the GOQDs are advantageous for the fabrication of fluorescent biosensors with high sensitivity and selectivity in the presence of interfering analytes.\(^{81}\) Surface-functionalized GOQDs have been applied for the fluorescent detection of metal ions. Zhu et al.\(^{82}\) demonstrated a fluorescent approach using GOQDs for the detection of Fe\(^{3+}\) ions (Fig. 36). The strong coordination between the hydroxyl (-OH) groups on the GOQDs and Fe\(^{3+}\) ions forms aggregates of iron hydroxide, which gives rise to fluorescence quenching. The GOQD sensor displayed a very low solubility product constant (K\(_{sp}\)) of 2.8 \(10^{-39}\) for ferric hydroxide [Fe(OH)\(_3\)] compared with Cu(OH)\(_2\) and Ni(OH)\(_2\), leading to superior selectivity and an LOD as low as 0.45 \(\mu\)M. The GOQDs sensor showed high selectivity toward Fe\(^{3+}\) ions over 10 other interfering metal ions including Ni\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Al\(^{3+}\), K\(^{+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Na\(^{+}\), and Zn\(^{2+}\) at the same concentrations of 5 \(\mu\)M for all metal ions. Ananthanarayanan et al.\(^{83}\) demonstrated an IL (1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate (BMIMPF\(_6\)) in acetonitrile)-functionalized GOQD derived from CVD-grown 3D graphene for the sensitive detection of Fe\(^{3+}\) ions. The BMIM\(^{+}\) functionalization of GOQDs due to the high binding affinity of ferric ions with the imidazole ring of BMIM\(^{+}\) enabled enhanced fluorescence quenching for a wide range of Fe\(^{3+}\) ions and achieved an LOD of 7.2 \(\mu\)M. Guo et al.\(^{84}\) reported a fluorescent biosensor based on N-(rhodamine B) lactam-ethylenediamine-functionalized GOQDs (RBD-GOQDs) for the sensitive detection of Fe\(^{3+}\) in 0–1 \(\mu\)M concentration range with an LOD of 0.02 \(\mu\)M in pancreatic cancer stem cells. The Fe\(^{3+}\) binding with RBD-GOQDs, turns on the biosensor in cancer stem cells after forming RBD-GOQDs-Fe\(^{3+}\) complex of orange-red fluorescence. RBD-GOQDs showed high selectivity toward Fe\(^{3+}\) ions in the presence other interfering cations including Na\(^{+}\), K\(^{+}\), NH\(_4\)\(^{+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Ni\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), and Al\(^{3+}\). RBD-GOQDs-Fe\(^{3+}\) also showed high photostability in living cells as the fluorescence intensity did not decrease after 12 h continuous excitation. Chowdhury and Doong\(^{85}\) demonstrated dopamine-functionalized GOQDs with bright blue fluorescence for the detection of low levels of Fe\(^{3+}\) ions. The complexation and oxidation of DA were associated with detection of Fe\(^{3+}\) with a linear range of 20 nM to 2 \(\mu\)M and an LOD of 7.6 nM. Moreover, the resulting DA-GQD fluorescent biosensor was highly selective toward Fe\(^{3+}\) ions in the presence of other interfering metal ions including Al\(^{3+}\), Au\(^{3+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{3+}\), Cr\(^{3+}\), Ni\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and Mn\(^{2+}\), and Zn\(^{2+}\); however, small quenching was observed for the competitive Fe\(^{3+}\) and Cu\(^{2+}\) ions which may be associated with the adsorption of these metal ions onto the surface of GOQDs. The DA-GOQDs sensor shows higher sensitivity with a lower LOD compared with RBD-GOQDs sensor for detecting Fe\(^{3+}\) ions.

The heteroatom doping of GOQDs drastically enhances their electrical properties, leading to unusual fluorescent properties and surface reactivity.\(^{86}\) Li et al.\(^{87}\) prepared sulfur-doped GOQDs with stable blue-green fluorescence for the enhanced detection of Fe\(^{3+}\). S-doping effectively alters the local electronic density of the GOQDs and thus the coordination interaction between Fe\(^{3+}\) and phenolic hydroxyl groups on the surface. This coordination interaction induces efficient fluorescence quenching, enabling improved sensitivity in a linear range of 0–0.7 nM and an LOD of 4.2 nM. This biosensor was also useful for the direct analysis of Fe\(^{3+}\) in human serum samples, indicating its potential for future clinical diagnosis. Peng et al.\(^{88}\) developed a facile approach for the rapid detection of Hg\(^{2+}\) using N-GOQDs, which increase the complexation rate between 5,10,15,20-tetakis(1-methyl-4-pyridinio)porphyrin tetra(p-toluenesulfonate) (TMPyP) and manganese ions (Mn\(^{2+}\)). In this strategy, the substitution reaction between the deformed TMPyP nucleus and the Hg\(^{2+}\) ions facilitates the effective detection of small divalent metal ions by utilizing N-GOQDs. At the same time, the effective formation of metalloporphyrin coupled with the fluorescence quenching of porphyrins improves the fluorescence of N-GOQDs due to the inner filter effect between porphyrins and N-GOQDs from the back. This sensor detected Hg\(^{2+}\) with an LOD of 0.2 nM. Fig. 37 shows the fluorescence spectra of (TMPyP) after the addition of N-GQDs, Mn\(^{3+}\), and different concentrations of Hg\(^{2+}\) ranging from 0 to 200 nM, a plot of the fluorescence ratio (\(I_{\text{9070跟我078}}\)) vs. Hg\(^{2+}\) concentrations, the absorption spectra and the selectivity of Hg\(^{2+}\) among different interfering analytes including Ba\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ni\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), HCO\(_3^{-}\), NO\(_3^{-}\), Cl\(^{-}\), and SO\(_4^{2-}\), where the system of N-GOQDs and Mn\(^{3+}\) is highly selective in detecting Hg\(^{2+}\) over a very wide range of concentrations.

#### 4.4.1 Amplified detection of heavy metal ions

Numerous strategies have been pursued to enhance the fluorescent signal...
for the amplified detection of various metal ions, as shown in Table 10. Effective DNAzyme-based sensors have been designed to detect a broad range of metal ions using various signal transduction mechanisms. Wen et al. developed a GO-based DNAzyme for selectively detecting Pb2+. Their biosensor was fabricated by employing a Pb2+-dependent DNAzyme consisting of 2 strands, an enzyme strand, and a Cy3 fluorescent dye-tagged ribonucleotide-containing substrate strand; the ribonucleotide was the site of cleavage. Cy3 fluorescent dye namely 1,1′-bis(3-hydroxypropyl)-3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine, consists of a conjugated chain of three methine (=CH-) groups. This modification of the DNAzyme enabled an extension of 5 bases at the 3′ end of the substrate and 5 complementary bases at the 5′ end of the enzyme strand. The rigid DNAzyme double strand did not bind GO well, and there was strong emission from Cy3 dye, without Pb2+. When Pb2+ is
introduced, the substrate strand is irreversibly cleaved at this site, leading to the duplex DNAzyme being disassembled into the 3' and 5' fragments of the substrate strand and the enzyme strand. The GO surface adsorbed the short Cy3 dye-containing segment of DNA, resulting in significant fluorescence quenching. The resulting fluorescent sensor exhibited an LOD of 0.5 nM for the detection of Pb²⁺, which is significantly lower than the limit set by the US EPA for drinking water (72 nM). Zhao et al.⁷⁶ reported a GO-based DNAzyme containing different numbers of bases for the amplified fluorescence “turn-on” detection of Pb²⁺. The hybrid DNAzyme–substrate was used for molecular recognition and as signal reporter. GO acted as a highly effective quencher. The resulting biosensor exhibited an amplified fluorescence signal with detection of Pb²⁺ with an LOD of 300 pM. The sensor also was effectively employed in Pb²⁺ analysis in samples of river water. The DNAzyme-GO-based fluorescence sensor also showed high selectivity toward Pb²⁺ ions among nine other interfering metal ions, including Hg²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, Co²⁺, Cd²⁺, Mn²⁺, Ca²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe³⁺, and the fluorescence response toward Pb²⁺ was found to be remarkably high. Liu et al.⁸⁸ demonstrated a “turn-on” fluorescent biosensor based on a graphene-DNAzyme catalytic beacon for the sensitive detection of Cu²⁺. The graphene acts as substrate and quencher for the Cu²⁺-dependent DNAzyme, promoting graphene-quenched DNAzyme complex formation that through a process of self-assembly. The quenched fluorescence was recovered in 15 min. The sensors exhibited improved sensitivity with an LOD of 0.365 nM. Liu et al.⁶⁷ also reported label-free fluorescent detection of Cu²⁺, using an externally introduced fluorophore and cleavage of DNA internally in a graphene/DNAzyme complex. This sensor showed an LOD as low as 2 nM. Fang et al.⁹⁹ showed a macroporous GF-based DNAzyme for the detection of Pb²⁺ and Cu²⁺. This biosensor achieved LOD of 50 pM for Pb²⁺ and 0.6 nM for Cu²⁺, and the sensor also showed detection of these ions in river water samples with high recovery.

Enzymeless signal amplification strategies have also been widely applied for the sensitive and selective detection of metal ions. Huang et al.⁶⁹ developed a GO-based fluorescent biosensor using HCR for detecting Hg²⁺ in aqueous solution using GO to adsorb ssDNA. The biosensor showed an LOD of 0.3 nM for Hg²⁺ detection. They constructed a thymine-rich ssDNA initiator and two fluorescently labeled hairpin probes (Fig. 38). The GO surface adsorbed the hairpins in the absence of Hg²⁺; one of the hairpin probes quenched the fluorescence. However, the presence of Hg²⁺ initiates the HCR process between the two hairpin probes using the coordination chemistry of the thymine–Hg²⁺–thymine coordination compound.

![Figure 37](image-url)
The GO surface cannot adsorb the final HCR double-stranded DNA (dsDNA); therefore, the fluorescence of the system is released by the GO and recovered.

4.5 Fluorescence detection of pesticides

Nanomaterials offer unique possibilities in optical biosensing platforms for the detection of food and chemical contaminants. In particular, GQDs have been studied for the detection of pesticides through FRET mechanisms because of their unique fluorescence quenching properties. GQDs have also been used as fluorophores for the fabrication of highly efficient fluorescence-based biosensors for pesticide detection. Zor et al. developed a GQD-based multifunctional nanocomposite as a photoluminescent sensor probe in which molecularly imprinted polypyrrole captures the chemical species, magnetic silica beads support the separation mechanism and GQDs optically recognize the target chemical species. This sensor showed an LOD of 12.78 ppb in water and 42.56 ppb in seawater for the tributyltin (TBT) pesticide. Lin et al. reported an N-GQD-based aptamer biosensor for the detecting pesticide omethoate with an LOD of 0.041 nM. In this study, the GQDs were first doped with nitrogen to enhance the fluorescence quantum efficiency to 30%, followed by coupling with an omethoate aptasensor. The fluorescence polarization technique based on the polarization signal of GQDs lowered the LOD from 0.041 nM to 0.029 fM. Gao et al. developed a pesticide biosensor array for the detection of multiple pesticides using N-(aminobutyl)-N-(ethylisoluminol) (ABEI)-functionalized GQDs. The biosensor array can be used to effectively differentiate different pesticides, such as flubendiamide, thiamethoxam, dimethoate, chlorpyrifos and dipterex, and the ABEI-GQD-based sensor detected pesticides with LOD of 10 ng mL\(^{-1}\).

Yan et al. used a GQD–manganese dioxide nanosheet-based fluorescent sensor for detecting glutathione in living cells. The sensor showed a linear range of 0.5–10 \(\mu\)mol L\(^{-1}\) and an LOD of 150 nmol L\(^{-1}\) for glutathione. The MnO2 nanosheets act as a quencher for the GQDs through FRET and recognize glutathione molecules. The fluorescence sensor also showed high selectivity toward glutathione among common interfering analytes, including Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), aspartic acid, glycine, tyrosine, glucose, fructose, BSA, acetylcholinesterase (AChE), tyrosinase, glucose oxidase (GOx), and trypsin. The

![Fig. 38](https://example.com) Amplified fluorescent sensing mechanism of detecting Hg\(^{2+}\) through HCR. In the absence of Hg\(^{2+}\), GO absorbs the DNA probes (helper DNA, HP1, and HP2) via noncovalent interactions and quenches the fluorescence of HPL. However, in the presence of Hg\(^{2+}\), the helper DNA opens HP1 because of the formation of stable T–Hg\(^{2+}\)–T structures and consequently induces continuous HP1–HP2 hybridizations, which cannot adsorb on GO, leading to the generation of amplified fluorescence. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 602, J. Huang, X. Gao, J. Jia, J.-K. Kim and Z. Li, Graphene Oxide-Based Amplified Fluorescent Biosensor for Hg\(^{2+}\) Detection through Hybridization Chain Reactions, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, 86, 3209–3215. Copyright © American Chemical Society.]
fluorescence intensity remained almost constant, while the fluorescence intensity of the GQD–MnO$_2$–GSH sensor was found to increase only after adding glutathione (Fig. 39). Liu et al.$^{707}$ used a GQD–MnO$_2$ nanosheet MIP to fabricate a fluorescent sensor for thiacloprid detection. The sensor showed a linear range of 0.1 to 10 mg L$^{-1}$ and a low LOD of 0.03 mg L$^{-1}$ in the thiacloprid pesticide detection. Nemati et al.$^{738}$ detected ethion using N- and S- codoped GQD-based fluorescent biosensors. The N/S-GQD-based sensors showed a linear concentration range of 19.2–961.2 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ and an LOD of 8 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ for detecting ethion in food samples in the presence of mercury (Hg$^{2+}$) ions.

5. Selectivity of graphene-based biosensors

The performance of a biosensor is evaluated based on its high sensitivity and selectivity toward a target biochemical analyte, achieved by excluding other interfering chemical species. Graphene-based sensor arrays have been developed for the simultaneous detection, differentiation and categorization of various analytes within a mixture by using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and principal component analysis (PCA) to identify patterns. The high selectivity of graphene-based sensor

---

Fig. 39 (A) Fluorescence (FL) intensity of GQDs–MnO$_2$ sensor with varying concentrations of glutathione (GSH) from 0 to 100 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$. Inset shows the FL intensity ratio trend varying concentrations of GSH. (B) Plot of FL intensity ratio ($F_R/F_R0$) against the logarithm of the concentration of GSH. (C) Selectivity of the GQD–MnO$_2$–based fluorescence sensor toward glutathione pesticide. Fluorescence (FL) intensities of the GQD–MnO$_2$ and GQD–MnO$_2$–glutathione (GSH) sensors measured in the presence of 21 different interfering analytes. The concentration was 100 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ for protein analytes, including bovine serum albumin (BSA), tyrosinase (TYR), glucose oxidase (GOx), acetyl cholinesterase (AChE), and trypsin (TRY), and 500 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ for nonprotein analytes, including the inorganic salts KCl, Na$_2$SO$_4$, CaCl$_2$, MgCl$_2$, and MnCl$_2$ as well as aspartic acid, tyrosine, glycine, glucose and fructose. The fluorescence intensities increased only after the addition of GSH to the GQD–MnO$_2$ system and also showed recovery (blank column). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 737, X. Yan, Y. Song, C. Zhu, J. Song, D. Du, X. Su and Y. Lin, Graphene Quantum Dot–MnO$_2$ Nanosheet Based Optical Sensing Platform: A Sensitive Fluorescence "Turn off–on" Nanosensor for Glutathione Detection and Intracellular Imaging, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 21990–21996. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]
arrays was reported for the detection of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), gases, organophosphate pesticides, bisphenol A, cancer biomarkers, proteins, dopamine (DA), and the amino acid tyrosine. A few examples of sensitivity, high selectivity and strategies for excluding interfering analytes for graphene-based biosensors are provided here.

Ganganboina et al. reported a fluorescence turn-off/on biosensor based on nitrogen-doped GQD (N-GQD)-decorated V$_2$O$_5$ nanosheets for cysteine detection in human serum. N-GQDs of 2–8 nm in diameter were deposited on few-layered V$_2$O$_5$ nanosheets to develop a fluorescence turn-off/on probe. The V$_2$O$_5$ nanosheets function as both cysteine detectors and fluorescence quenchers in the biosensor. The quenching of the fluorescence intensity of N-GQDs occurs after deposition onto the V$_2$O$_5$ nanosheets. Fig. 40 shows the fluorescence spectra of the N-GQD@V$_2$O$_5$ nanocomposite-based sensor for detecting cysteine in the concentration range of 0–125 μM. The inset shows a linear relationship in the 0–15 μM concentration range. (C) Selectivity of the N-GQD@V$_2$O$_5$ biosensors in the presence of 22 common interfering electrolytes and biological analytes, including metal ions, inorganic salts, amino acids, sugar, reducing agents, proteins, and glucose oxidase (GOx). Reprinted with permission from ref. 750, A. B. Ganganboina, A. D. Chowdhury and R.-A. Doong, N-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots-Decorated V$_2$O$_5$ Nanosheet for Fluorescence Turn Off–On Detection of Cysteine, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 10, 614–624. Copyright © 2018 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 40 (A) Fluorescence spectra of N-doped GQDs decorated with V$_2$O$_5$ nanosheets (N-GQD@V$_2$O$_5$) of cysteine in the concentration range of 0–125 μM. (B) The change of fluorescence intensity as a function of cysteine concentration in the range of 0–125 μM. The inset shows a linear relationship in the 0–15 μM concentration range. (C) Selectivity of the N-GQD@V$_2$O$_5$ biosensors in the presence of 22 common interfering electrolytes and biological analytes, including metal ions, inorganic salts, amino acids, sugar, reducing agents, proteins, and glucose oxidase (GOx). Reprinted with permission from ref. 750, A. B. Ganganboina, A. D. Chowdhury and R.-A. Doong, N-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots-Decorated V$_2$O$_5$ Nanosheet for Fluorescence Turn Off–On Detection of Cysteine, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 10, 614–624. Copyright © 2018 American Chemical Society.
fluorescence at the low concentration range of 0.1–15 μM and thereafter a slight increase in the 15–125 μM range at 6.5 pH, and an LOD of 50 nM. A correlation coefficient of 0.994 was obtained in the 0.1–15 μM concentration range. The surface-mediated reaction between cysteine and N-GQDs@V_2O_5 composites is fast in the low cysteine concentration range of 0.1–15 μM range due to the abundance of reactive sites on the N-GQD@V_2O_5 nanosheets, whereas the availability of reactive sites on V_2O_5 nanosheets is significantly reduced over time in the high cysteine concentration range of 15–125 μM. The N-GQD@V_2O_5 nanocomposite-based sensor showed recoveries of 95%–108% for cysteine detection in human serum. The N-GQD@V_2O_5 nanocomposites showed high sensitivity and selectivity for detecting cysteine. The highly sensitive and selective detection of 4-nitrophenol using AuNPs@sulfur-doped GQD sensors was reported. The AuNPs@s-GQD sensing probe showed a detection range of 0.01–50 μM for 4-nitrophenol with an LOD of 8.4 nM in contaminated food wastewater and a dynamic range of 0.005–50 μM with an LOD of 3.5 nM in deionized water. The AuNPs@s-GQD sensor also showed high selectivity for 4-nitrophenol in the presence of 7 interfering analytes, including 50 μM toluene, phenol, 2-nitrotoluene, 4-nitrotoluene, 2-nitrophenol, 2,4,6-trinitrophenol, and 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene, under identical conditions. The recovery of 4-nitrophenol by the AuNPs@s-GQD nanocomposites in the 100 nM to 20 μM concentration range was 50 μM. The N-GQD@V_2O_5 sensor fluorescence recovery was <10% for most of the interfering analytes except for glutathione and aspartic acid, which showed 16%–23% fluorescence intensity; however, all 22 interfering species showed significantly lower fluorescence intensity than cysteine. The smaller thiol group on the cysteine molecule may interact more rapidly with the V_2O_5 nanosheet surface, resulting in enhanced fluorescence recovery compared with the larger glutathione molecule.

The highly sensitive and selective detection of 4-nitrophenol using AuNPs@sulfur-doped GQD sensors was reported. The AuNPs@s-GQD sensing probe showed a detection range of 0.01–50 μM for 4-nitrophenol with an LOD of 8.4 nM in contaminated food wastewater and a dynamic range of 0.005–50 μM with an LOD of 3.5 nM in deionized water. The AuNPs@s-GQD sensor also showed high selectivity for 4-nitrophenol in the presence of 7 interfering analytes, including 50 μM toluene, phenol, 2-nitrotoluene, 4-nitrotoluene, 2-nitrophenol, 2,4,6-trinitrophenol, and 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene, under identical conditions. The recovery of 4-nitrophenol by the AuNPs@s-GQD nanocomposites in the 100 nM to 20 μM concentration range was 50 μM. The N-GQD@V_2O_5 sensor fluorescence recovery was <10% for most of the interfering analytes except for glutathione and aspartic acid, which showed 16%–23% fluorescence intensity; however, all 22 interfering species showed significantly lower fluorescence intensity than cysteine. The smaller thiol group on the cysteine molecule may interact more rapidly with the V_2O_5 nanosheet surface, resulting in enhanced fluorescence recovery compared with the larger glutathione molecule.
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was found to be in a similar range, from $97 \pm 2\%$ to $110 \pm 3\%$, to that in the lake water. This study demonstrated the high sensitivity and selectivity of AuNPs@S-GQD-based optical sensor for 4-nitrophenol in complex mixed aqueous solutions.

Biosensor arrays fabricated using nanographene oxide (nGO) have been studied for the selective detection biomolecular species with pattern recognition techniques.\textsuperscript{752,753} Oligonucleotides or peptides that specifically bind to a target molecule have been used for such target identification. Chou et al.\textsuperscript{753} demonstrated a size-dependent effect in the use of GO flakes for the selective detection of different proteins by fluorescence response patterns. A schematic representation of the nGO-based biosensor array and chemical structures of the five fluorophores used in this study are shown in Fig. 41. GO acts as a quencher for fluorophores, and fluorescence is observed due to the interactions between GO-analyte proteins after the displacement of quenched fluorophores. To demonstrate the size-dependent effect, LDA was used to analyze the displacement of fluorophores and to compare the GO–proteins formed with conventional GO and nGO flakes (20 nm diameter). A higher fluorescence response was measured for nGO than for conventional GO flakes due to increased protein interactions, showing the effect of flake size. Five different fluorophores, including acridine orange, pyronin Y (PY), rhodamine B, rhodamine 6G (R6G), and histone-tagged emerald green fluorescent protein (His-eGFP), were used in the development of arrays. Three fluorophores, pyronine Y, rhodamine 6G, and His-eGFP, were found to be significantly effective in generating responses among these five fluorophores. Eight analyte proteins of different sizes were included in the test, including BSA, $\beta$-galactosidase ($\beta$-Gal), hemoglobin (Hem), Histone (His), lipase (Lip), lysozyme (Lys), myoglobin, and ribonuclease A (Rib-A).

The training matrix data of different proteins were obtained at 10 and 100 nM protein concentrations with the conventional GO and nGO arrays. The correlation of the fluorescence response from the conventional GO and nGO arrays at 10 and 100 nM concentrations of analyte proteins and their LDA scores were scrutinized, and a 10-fold increase was observed for the...
10 nM concentration compared with analogous sensor arrays. A success rate of >95% was obtained for the identification of 48 unknown analytes at both 10 and 100 nM.

Biosensor arrays based on conventional GO and nGO were studied for the discrimination of analyte proteins with five fluorophores. With five sensors, each with eight proteins, and five replicates of each analyte protein present for each sensor, there were 200 data points for each biosensor array. The fluorescent signal intensity showed higher fluorescent displacement rates for the nGO-based array than for the conventional GO arrays because of the higher protein affinity for nGO surfaces resulting from the presence of edges. An LDA/jackknife analysis demonstrated 100% accuracy of 100% was observed using only three fluorophores (eGFP, R6G, and PY) in the nGO array at 100 nM. The canonical factors of 48.7, 32.6, and 18.7% were produced from training matrix data with 3 sensors × 8 proteins × 5 replicates in the LDA method. The jackknife analysis showed 100% separation using acridine orange-, rhodamine B-, eGFP-, R6G-, and PY-based fluorophores at 100 nM and 98% separation with eGFP, R6G, and PY fluorophores. The canonical charts were found to be overlapped and significantly compressed (69.7%, 21.4%, and 8.9%), showing an inability to identify unknown analytes. The GO and nGO-based arrays were also compared at 10 nM protein concentrations under similar experimental conditions (Fig. 42). The canonical score plot obtained by the LDA method showed 95% accuracy in the jackknife classification using the nGO sensor array at a 10 nM protein concentration, while the corresponding canonical score plot exhibited an unclear classification for the conventional GO-based sensor array. The nGO-based array showed high reproducibility of 24 out of 24 (protein concentration of 100 nM) and 22 out of 24 (10 nM) compared with 17 out of 24 (100 nM) and no ability to distinguish proteins (10 nM) for conventional GO arrays. Analyte protein discrimination was clearly observed at a 10 nM concentration for R6G, PY and eGFP fluorophores. The selectivity for different proteins depends upon the size scaling of GO flakes, where properties such as electrical conductivity, elasticity and viscosity may play important roles. Similar nGO-based biosensor arrays were previously reported for the selective detection of ensemble aptamer proteins by Pei et al.752

Luminescent nanomaterials possessing aggregation-induced emission (AIE) characteristics have attracted attention as fluorescent sensors because of their high sensitivity and selectivity.665,754–759 Graphene-based fluorescent sensor arrays have been studied for biomolecule identification. Shen et al.764 used AIE and GO complexes to develop a fluorescent sensor array for detecting microbial lysates through their competitive biomolecular interactions (Fig. 43). Using AIE/GO complex-based sensor arrays, six microbial bioanalytes including two fungi (Candida albicans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and four bacteria including two Gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus subtilis) and two Gram-negative bacteria (Pseudomonas aeruginosa and E. coli), were discriminated and identified. The reproducibility of AIE/GO complex sensor array was confirmed through PCA where each test was conducted five times and six microbial lysates were discriminated with 100% (F1 = 61.3% + F2 = 29.9%) efficiency with F1 and F2 as the main contributing rates in the PCA method. The two fungi are located in the upper-left side whereas the two Gram-negative bacteria and two Gram-positive bacteria are situated in the upper-right side and bottom areas in the PCA plot. The high efficiency of identification and discrimination with AIE/GO sensor arrays resulted from the different molecular components of each microbial lysate and their competitive biomolecular interactions. Xu et al.764 developed GO-based AIE biosensors with tetraphenylethene dye that exhibited high sensitivity and selectivity for detecting BSA protein. The fluorescence intensity recorded at an excitation wavelength of 350 nm increased

---

**Fig. 43** (A) Schematic illustration of AIE/GO complex-based fluorescent sensor array showing competitive biomolecular interaction among AIE, microbes and GO. AIE is represented as AlEgen in the illustration. (B) Principal component analysis (PCA) plot showing three separate patterns formed from six microbial lysates where each test was conducted five times. The AIE/GO complex-based fluorescent sensor array identified six microbial lysates with 100% (F1 = 61.3% + F2 = 29.9%) efficiency. Reprinted with permission from ref. 760. J. Shen, R. Hu, T. Zhou, Z. Wang, Y. Zhang, S. Li, C. Gui, M. Jiang, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, Fluorescent Sensor Array for Highly Efficient Microbial Lysate Identification through Competitive Interactions, ACS Sensors, 2018, 3, 2218–2222. Copyright© American Chemical Society.
dramatically for BSA molecules compared with the response to interfering lysozyme, papain, pepsin and trypsin proteins at 200 μg mL⁻¹. Similar PL intensity enhancement in the fluorescence spectra was observed for BSA compared to other proteins under the same experimental conditions. Therefore, GO-based AIE biosensors are highly selective for BSA.

Huang et al. used PtNPs-decorated graphene, cyclodextrin prepolymer (CDP) and MWCNT nanocomposite-based biosensors to simultaneously detect hydroquinone (HQ) and catechol (CC). The PtNP-graphene/CDP-MWCNT/GCE electrochemical sensor showed linear ranges of 0.05 to 27.2 μM and 0.1 to 27.2 μM and LOD values of 0.015 μM and 0.03 μM for the simultaneous detection of HQ and CC, respectively. The HQ and CC measured in local river water showed recoveries ranging from 98.0% to 102.0% for HQ and from 99.3% to 101.5% for CC. The PtNP-graphene/CDP-MWCNT/GCE biosensor showed linear ranges of 0.05 to 27.2 μM and LOD values of 0.015 μM and 0.03 μM for the simultaneous detection of HQ and CC, respectively. The HQ and CC measured in local river water showed recoveries ranging from 98.0% to 102.0% for HQ and from 99.3% to 101.5% for CC. The selectivity for HQ and CC was studied against 21 different interfering inorganic ions and organic analytes. The addition of 100-fold concentrations of Al³⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, NH₄⁺, SO₄²⁻, Cl⁻, and NO₃⁻, 100-fold of Fe³⁺, Ni²⁺, Ca²⁺, SO₄²⁻, S²⁻, and Br⁻, 10-fold of resorcinol (RC), phenol, nitrophenol (NP), glucose and UA showed no interference with the detection of 50 μM HQ and CC (Fig. 44). Therefore, the PtNP-graphene/CDP-MWCNT/GCE electrochemical biosensor is highly selective and sensitive to the presence of HQ and CC.

Hybrids or nanocomposites of graphene with other semiconducting nanoparticles and polymers have been used to develop highly selective sensors for differentiating chemical species. Toxic metal ions, including Hg²⁺ ions, Mn²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Ag⁺, Ce³⁺, Tl⁺, Pb²⁺ and Hg²⁺, and the mycotoxin OTA have been selectively detected using graphene-based sensors.

Mao et al. developed a fluorescent sensor based on 2,2-bis(2-pyren-1-yldimethylamino-ethoxy) ethane (NPEY) and graphene nanosheets (GNs) for the detection of Mn²⁺ both in vitro and in living cells utilizing π−π interactions. Fig. 45 shows the fluorescence intensity of NPEY/GNs hybrid system to different metal ions, schematic illustration of fluorescence mechanism for detecting Mn²⁺ with NPEY/GNs hybrid, and confocal fluorescence microscopy images of HeLa cells with NPEY, NPEY/GNs hybrid with and without Mn²⁺ ions. HRTEM image of NPEY/GNs hybrid confirmed the NPEY decorated GNs. The GNs quenched fluorescence emission occurring between NPEY molecules and GNs due to the π−π stacking interactions facilitated by the photoinduced electron transfer (PET) process. NPEY/GNs hybrid-based sensor showed high sensitivity and selectivity toward Mn²⁺ ions against other interfering heavy metal ions, including Ag⁺, Hg²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cr³⁺, Ca²⁺, N₂⁺, Cd²⁺, and Pb²⁺. The Mn²⁺ ions lead to a significant increase in the fluorescence intensity of NPEY/GNs hybrid. AFM showed no interaction between Mn²⁺ ions and GNs demonstrating the nonsensitivity of GNs. The ethylene glycol and imino groups of NPEY assist in binding with Mn²⁺ ions. The NPEY/GNs hybrid-based sensor also showed the imaging and detection of Mn²⁺ ions in living cells.

Fig. 44 Selectivity of PtNPs-graphene/CDP-MWCNTs/GCE electrochemical biosensor toward catechol (CC) and hydroquinone (HQ) against 21 different interfering analytes. The buffer was 0.1 M PBS (pH 6.0) at 50 mV s⁻¹ scan rate. The concentrations of HQ and CC were 50 μM. Reprinted with permission from ref. 762, X. Huang, X. Deng, W. Qi and D. Wu, Simultaneous Detection of Hydroquinone and Catechol Using Platinum Nanoparticles Decorated Graphene/Poly-Cyclodextrin/Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes (MWCNTs) Nanocomposite Based Biosensor, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol., 2018, 18, 8118–8123. Copyright © American Scientific Publishers.
Niu et al.²⁴ developed a “turn-on” fluorescence sensor using GQD and AuNP conjugates for Pb²⁺ detection. The GQD/AuNP-based fluorescence sensor showed a Pb²⁺ detection range of 50 nM to 4 μM with an LOD of 16.7 nM and very high sensitivity and selectivity for Pb²⁺ ions in the presence of ten other interfering metal ions. Fig. 46 shows the fluorescence intensity response measured for 200 nM concentrations of Mn²⁺, Fe³⁺, K⁺, Hg²⁺, Cu²⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Ag⁺ ions and 50 nM Pb²⁺ ions. The fluorescence increased sharply with the addition of 50 nM Pb²⁺, whereas no significant change in fluorescence was observed after the addition of 200 nM concentrations of ten other interfering metal ions. The Pb²⁺ ions showed almost 3-
fold higher restoration efficiency than the other interfering metal ions, clearly differentiating Pb$^{2+}$ from all metal ions. Other metal ions were not able to cleave the catalytic DNA strand and did not separate the GQDs and AuNPs; therefore, no quenching recovery was observed except for Pb$^{2+}$ ions. The high sensitivity and selectivity of the fluorescent sensor toward Pb$^{2+}$ ions occurred due to the FRET between GQDs and AuNPs. The strong fluorescence quenching and fluorescence recovery resulted from the combination of GQDs and AuNPs and their deaggregation, which was induced by the catalytic DNA strand and Pb$^{2+}$ ions.

Jiang et al. fabricated electrochemical immunosensors using GO/AgNPs composites for the detection of E. coli. SEM images of mica sheets coated with AuNPs/gold, BSA/anti-body(Ab)/AuNPs/gold, E. coli/BSA/Ab/AuNPs/gold, and P-GO-AgNPs–Ab/E. coli/BSA/Ab/AuNPs/gold were compared, and the selectivity of the detection of different bacteria was measured by analyzing current signals. Current responses of 0.946 $\mu$A for B. subtilis (1.8 $\times$ $10^{5}$ CFU mL$^{-1}$), 1.124 $\mu$A for Enterobacter aerogenes, (2.0 $\times$ $10^{4}$ CFU mL$^{-1}$), 1.505 $\mu$A for Enterobacter dispersens (2.5 $\times$ $10^{4}$ CFU mL$^{-1}$), and (d) 17.3000 $\mu$A for (E. coli (1.0 $\times$ $10^{4}$ CFU mL$^{-1}$) were observed. Zhang et al. developed a fluorescent aptasensor assay using GO for detecting mucin 1 protein (MUC1) by employing deoxyribonuclease I (DNase I)-
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**Fig. 46** Selectivity for Pb$^{2+}$ ions (50 nM) using a “turn-on” fluorescence sensor based on GQD/AuNP conjugates in the presence of interfering analytes including Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, K$^+$, Hg$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, and Ag$^+$ ions (200 nM). Reprinted with permission from ref. 704, X. Niu, Y. Zhong, R. Chen, F. Wang, Y. Liu, D. A. Luo, “Turn-on” Fluorescence Sensor for Pb$^{2+}$ Detection Based on Graphene Quantum Dots and Gold Nanoparticles, Sens. Actuators, B, 2018, 255, 1577–1581. Copyright © Elsevier.
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**Fig. 47** (A) Schematic representation of a fluorescent aptasensor assay based on GO-probe for detecting mucin 1 protein (MUC1) (5'-FAM-CCCGTCTTCCAGACAAGTGAGCAGGG-3') by using deoxyribonuclease I (DNase I)-mediated target cyclic amplification. The formation of GO-probe/MUC1 complex results in the fluorescence signal detection. (B) Fluorescence intensity of the GO-based aptasensor in the presence of MUC1 (5 ng mL$^{-1}$), epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) (50 ng mL$^{-1}$), serum albumin (BSA) (50 ng mL$^{-1}$), prostate-specific antigen (PSA) (50 ng mL$^{-1}$), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (50 ng mL$^{-1}$), and blank, respectively. (C) Fluorescence intensity of the GO-based aptasensor for detection of MUC1 protein in buffer and blank biological samples of human urine, saliva and serum. Reprinted with permission from ref. 775, J. Zhang, F. Ran, W. Zhou, B. Shang, F. Yu, L. Wu, W. Hu, X. He and Q. Chen, Ultrasensitive fluorescent aptasensor for MUC1 detection based on deoxyribonuclease I-aided target recycling signal amplification, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 32009–32015. Copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry.
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mediated target cyclic amplification. Fig. 47 shows the schematic of the GO-based fluorescent aptasensor assay, fluorescence intensity of the GO-based aptasensor for detection of MUC1 protein in the presence of different interfering proteins including epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM), BSA, prostate-specific antigen (PSA), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and the biological samples of human urine, saliva and serum. The GO-probe/MUC1 complex formation plays an important role for the fluorescence detection. The GO strongly adsorbs deoxyribonuclease I (DNase I) in the absence of MUC1 protein so no fluorescence signal is observed whereas a fluorescence signal is turn-on when the GO-probe/MUC1 complexes are formed in the presence of MUC1. In this process, the DNA sequences are damaged after adding DNase which releases MUC1 and FAM fluorophores. The GO-based aptasensor showed a dramatic increase in the fluorescence intensity as the concentration of MUC1 was increased from 0 to 300 ng mL\(^{-1}\) with a linear range from 50 pg mL\(^{-1}\) to 100 ng mL\(^{-1}\) and an LOD as low as 10 pg mL\(^{-1}\). The GO-based biosensor showed a significant increase in fluorescence intensity for 5 ng mL\(^{-1}\) concentration of MUC1 compared with 50 ng mL\(^{-1}\) concentration of each interfering EpCAM, BSA, PSA, and VEGF analytes. The GO biosensor demonstrated high selectivity and sensitivity toward MUC1 protein in the presence of EpCAM, BSA, PSA, VEGF proteins and MUC1 spiked biological samples of human serum, urine and saliva. The high sensitivity of the GO biosensor originated from the fluorescence signal amplification by DNase I-mediated target recycling process and the accumulation of FAM fluorophores.

The selectivity of graphene-based biosensors has been discussed throughout this article, which showed that the selectivity is governed by a number of factors, including morphological nanostructures, structural defects, interlayer spacing, and the nature and concentrations of dopants, and interfering analytes. Graphene-based biosensor arrays could accurately identify and classify a group of bioanalytes from interferents with the help of LDA and PCA pattern recognition methods. Furthermore, the nature of GBMs such as graphene, GO, and rGO, their functional reactive groups, such as –OH, –COOH, –CO, and –C–O–C, and their interactions with biomolecules are equally crucial for selectivity at the nanomolar level.

New graphene-based electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors are emerging rapidly for the detection of pathogenic E. coli O157:H7, \(^{564,778}\) Typhoidal Salmonella, \(^{777}\) S. aureus, \(^{779}\) Johne disease, \(^{779}\) insulin, \(^{780}\) protein kinase activity, \(^{781}\) bisphenol A, \(^{782}\) AFB1, \(^{686}\) fenitrothion pesticide, \(^{783}\) circulating tumor cells (CTCs), \(^{784}\) breast cancer gene, \(^{785}\) Zika virus, \(^{786}\) M. tuberculosis, \(^{787}\) glucose, \(^{788}\) and microRNA in living cells. \(^{789}\) corrosion sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB), \(^{790}\) pyrophosphate, \(^{791}\) florfenicol in milk, \(^{792}\) foodborne mycotoxin, \(^{793}\) anticancer drug regorafenib, \(^{794}\) anticancer chemotherapy drug bleomycin, \(^{795}\) Alzheimer disease biomarker, \(^{796}\) tumor cells, \(^{797}\) HPV, \(^{798}\) Dengue virus, \(^{799}\) HBV, \(^{800, 801}\) hepatitis C virus, \(^{802}\) liver cancer cells, \(^{803}\) vascular endothelial growth factor 165 (VEGF\(_{165}\)) for cancer diagnosis, \(^{804}\) human protein of cardiac troponin I (cTnI) biomarker for acute myocardial infarction, \(^{805, 806}\) progesterone hormone, \(^{807}\) 17β-estradiol, \(^{808}\) stem cell differentiation, \(^{809}\) adenosine 5′-triphosphate (ATP), \(^{810}\) acetylaminophen, \(^{811}\) tetracyclines, \(^{812}\) and lectins. \(^{813}\)

The strong quenching ability of GO and rGO compared with graphene offers exciting opportunities for the development of fluorescent biosensors with significantly improved sensitivity, selectivity, and low detection limits. The integration of graphene nanomaterials with inorganic nanoparticles and UCNPs combine the advantages of high carrier mobility and greatly enhanced quenching for the amplified detection of biomolecules. Finally, the high sensitivity and selectivity of the electrochemical and fluorescent biosensor platforms have already been used in clinical testing\(^{814}\) and cancer biomarkers, \(^{815-821}\) demonstrating the promising future of inexpensive biosensing platforms.

6. Conclusions

Significant advances have been made in developing new biosensors using graphene-based nanomaterials for the detection of a wide variety of biological analytes, including glucose, H\(_2\)O\(_2\), cholesterol, NAs, cancer biomarkers, food pathogens, heavy metal ions and pesticides, with desirable levels of sensitivity, low detection limits and good stability. Importantly, the successful integration of nanoscale materials such as inorganic nanoparticles (metals, metal oxides and semiconductors), organic polymers and hydrogels with graphene, GO and rGO creates unprecedented capabilities for detecting a very wide variety of bioanalytes, yielding biosensors with superior performance. Furthermore, the controlled fabrication of materials into different 2D and 3D nanostructures further helps to improve the sensing capabilities. The design of nano- or microscale devices such as microfluidic chips that incorporate multiple graphene-based nanocomposite materials is particularly promising for the detection of multiple biomarkers in a single chip. These advances in biosensor research provide new and promising platforms for the sensitive detection of various biomarkers, which can provide potential solutions not only in the biomedical field but also in other applications such as the food, water, agriculture industries and environmental monitoring.

7. Outlook and future perspectives

This review summarized recent advances in designing 2D and 3D graphene, graphene/polymer and graphene/inorganic nanoparticle hybrid architectures for diverse electrochemical biosensing applications. Integrating these hybrid nanostructures with biocompatible biopolymers, conducting polymers and hydrogels further amplifies the potential of these materials with greatly improved sensitivity, selectivity and operational stability, particularly for enzymatic biosensors. Over the last decade, despite recent developments in the controlled design of graphene and graphene-based hybrid nanocomposites, the understanding of the functional properties of graphene, GO, rGO and their interactions with surface-modified polymers or inorganic nanoparticles remains a great challenge. The current synthesis approaches still lack controlled uniform deposition, assembly onto graphene surfaces and stability on the surfaces. More research efforts
focusing on new and cost-effective synthetic approaches are needed to achieve precisely controlled synthesis and an in-depth understanding of the structure–property relationships of graphene nanomaterials.

The large-scale processability, biocompatibility, large surface-to-volume ratio and oxygen-terminated functional groups of graphene facilitate surface modification with diverse functionalities and the site-specific conjugation of enzymes. The preparation of graphene-based hybrid nanocomposites and fine-tuning of their structural features are promising for developing novel biosensors to solve the existing stability issues and efficiently enhance the electron transfer rate in enzymatic biosensors. For instance, 3D architectures such as highly porous graphene, graphene foam, graphene scaffolds and graphene/polymer hydrogels have been explored, which trap enzymes inside pores and cavities that are similar or matching in size to different biomolecules (e.g., enzymes, other proteins, and aptamers), which helps to retain their biocatalytic functions for an extended time, to improve their stability by avoiding leaching effects and, importantly, to enhance the electron transfer rates. Specifically, the structural features of graphene-based derivatives such as GO and rGO and the integration of inorganic nanoparticles increase the electronic conductivity and electrocatalytic activity of these hybrid nanostructures by several orders of magnitude. In the case of enzymatic biosensors, the achievement of DET with both an enhanced electron transfer rate and operational stability simultaneously still faces challenges in third-generation enzymatic biosensors. The selectivity of graphene-based biosensors has been analyzed and showed dependence on a number of factors including morphology, defects, dopants and interferents.

Graphene-based microfluidic devices have attracted increasing interest due to their many advantages over the common electrochemical approach. In particular, the devices fabricated using microporous 3D architecture that interacts with nanoparticle systems seem to be highly efficient for the detection of multiple cancer biomarkers in a single-chip device with enhanced sensitivity and detection limits reaching subpicomolar concentrations. Similarly, graphene-based porous nanostructures have had major impacts on the detection of pathogenic bacteria and heavy metal ions. Numerous studies have demonstrated the detection of biomolecules down to the picomolar concentration range by the exploitation of signal amplification strategies. Despite this progress and advances in the fabrication of electrodes and their characterization, long-term studies on the toxicity of graphene-based materials for use in clinical industry, there are still several challenges related to practical implementation, including limited lifetime, operational stability and reproducibility.
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