RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 721

Received 20th October 2018 Accepted 19th December 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra08697d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

With the development of industrialization, the issues of energy shortage and environmental pollution have become increasingly severe. Solar energy, the most abundant clean energy, hitting the earth within one hour is higher than the energy consumed by humans throughout the year.¹ Using renewable solar energy, photocatalytic technology that undergoes redox reactions is a sustainable method to solve environmental problems, especially removing residual dye contaminants in wastewater.

Since Fujishima and Honda used $TiO₂$ electrodes for photocatalytic decomposition of water under ultraviolet light for the first time in 1972, significant advances have been made in the design of Z-scheme photocathodes² and research on highefficiency semiconductor photocatalysts.³⁻⁹ In recent years, various types of semiconductor photocatalysts, such as

Preparation and visible-light photocatalytic properties of the floating hollow glass microspheres – TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites†

Yu An, **iD**^a Pengwu Zheng^{*b} and Xiaofei Ma^{*ac}

A novel floating visible-light photocatalyst (HGMs-TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄) composite was prepared using amino modified low-density hollow glass microspheres (HGMs) as carriers to disperse and support TiO₂ and Ag3PO4 photocatalysts. The surface morphology, crystal structure and optical properties of the HGMs– $TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄$ composites were characterized and the Ag₃PO₄ content on the surface of the microspheres was determined by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS). Methylene blue (MB) was chose as the organic pollutant to investigate the visible-light catalytic properties of the HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites. For HGM composite photocatalysts, when the theoretical mass ratio of TiO₂ to Ag₃PO₄ on the surface of HGMs is $1:1.5$, the visible-light catalytic activity of the composite is superior to pure Ag_3PO_4 and a TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ photocatalyst with a mass ratio of 1 : 1.5 under the same conditions, due to the increased light-contact area and the photocatalytic active sites, since the TiO₂ and Ag₃PO₄ particles can be well dispersed on the surface of the floating HGMs. Furthermore, the deposits of TiO₂ and Ag_3PO_4 on the HGM surface form a heterostructure, facilitating the separation of electron–hole (e⁻ – h^+) in the energy band, and elevating the photocatalytic activity and cycle stability of Ag₃PO₄. This work indicates that floating HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites could become a promising photocatalyst for organic dye removal due to the low cost and high visible-light responsiveness. PAPER
 **Physical Preparation and visible-light photocatalytic chinamic intermediation and visible-light photocatalytic chinamic intermediation of the floating hollow glass
** $\frac{1}{2}$ **Cheek for updates** $\frac{1}{2}$ **Cheek for**

 $In(OH)_{3}^{10}$ BiVO₄,¹¹ ZnO₁¹² CdS₁^{13,14} CuO₁¹⁵ Cu₂O₁¹⁶ Fe₂O₃,¹⁷ AgBr,¹⁸ Ag₃PO₄,¹⁹ g-C₃N₄,²⁰ MOF²¹ have been reported. TiO₂ is one of the most widely used photocatalytic materials in a wide range of semiconductors due to its high chemical inertness, corrosion resistance, non-toxicity, cost effectiveness, and environmental friendliness. However, owing to its wide bandgap $(3.0-3.2 \text{ eV})$, TiO₂ can only absorb ultraviolet light that accounts for 4% of the total sunlight, which greatly limits its practical application under visible light.^{4,22} Therefore, the study of visible light-driven high-efficiency photocatalysts has become a hot issue in the field of semiconductor photocatalysis.

During the past few decades, Ag-based photocatalysts have been developed as high-efficiency photocatalysts to degrade organic contaminants such as AgX (X = Cl, Br, and I),^{18,23,24} Ag_2O^{25} and $\text{Ag}_2\text{CO}_3^{26}$ In 2010, Yi *et al.*²⁷ reported the new use of Ag_3PO_4 in the photooxidation of water and degradation of organic dyes under visible light. The indirect band gap of Ag_3PO_4 is 2.36 eV, and the direct bandgap is 2.43 eV. Both the large dispersion of the conduction band and the strong inducing effect of PO_4^3 ⁻ promote the separation of the photogenerated electron–hole pairs $(e^{-} - h^{+})$ of the Ag₃PO₄, making it one of the currently promising visible-light catalysts.²⁸ However, Ag3PO4 will undergo photo-corrosion to produce Ag particles that affect its photocatalytic activity.²⁹⁻³¹ Therefore, it is critical to develop a novel Ag_3PO_4 -based visible-light photocatalyst with improved photocatalytic activity and stability.

a Chemistry Department, School of Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, PR China. E-mail: maxiaofei@tju.edu.cn; Fax: +86 22 27403475; Tel: +86 22 27406144 b School of Pharmacy, Jiangxi Science and Technology Normal University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330013, PR China. E-mail: zhengpw@126.com

c National Demonstration Center for Experimental Chemistry & Chemical Engineering Education, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300354, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra08697d

In this paper, low-density hollow glass microspheres (HGMs) were used as carriers, and $TiO₂$ was loaded on the surface of HGMs by hydrothermal method at first, and then floating HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites were prepared by ion exchange method with Ag_3PO_4 . The as-prepared floating photocatalyst enlarge the contact area between the photocatalyst and light on the one hand, and on the other hand, both the synergistic effect of TiO₂ and Ag₃PO₄ semiconductor and the formation of surface heterojunction can promote the e^- -h⁺ charge separation, thus the photocatalytic activity and stability of the photocatalyst is further enhanced.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

HGMs (density $\rho = 0.26$ ${\rm g\,cm}^{-3}$) were obtained from Zhengzhou Hollowhite Corp (Henan, China). (3-Aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) was purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Chemistry Corp (China). All of the other reagents were analytical grade and purchased from Tianjin Chemical Company (China).

2.2. Preparation of amino modified HGMs

10 g of HGMs were added into 50 mL of deionized water in which 40 μ L APTES was dissolved under stirring. The floating product (aminated modified HGMs) was washed several times with distilled water and absolute ethanol and dried at 60 \degree C for 8 h.

2.3. Fabrication of HGMs-TiO₂ composite

2 g amino modified HGMs was dispersed in the mixed solution of 1.28 mL titanium butoxide $(Ti[OC₄H₉]₄)$ and 20 mL absolute ethanol with stirring. Then, 40 mL 50% ethanol–water solution was added to the above HGMs suspension through a constant pressure dropping funnel. The mixture was transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated at 120 °C for 5 h. After cooling down to the room temperature, the obtained floating product was washed with distilled water and ethanol for three times and dried at 60 \degree C for 8 h. The sample was placed in a muffle furnace at 300 \degree C for 2 h, and taken out after the furnace chamber temperature was lowered to 60 \degree C.

The theoretical TiO₂ loading of the obtained sample was 0.15 g g^{-1} HGMs, labeled as HGMs-1 : 0. At the same time, the same method was used to prepare pure $TiO₂$ powder without adding HGMs.

2.4. Preparation of HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composite

1.15 g HGMs-1 : 0 was dispersed in 100 mL AgNO₃ solution and stirred for 5 h. Then, 100 mL Na_3PO_4 solution was slowly added dropwise to the above mixture solution and stirred for 30 min. The obtained floating product was washed with distilled water to remove unreacted ions and dried at 60 \degree C for 8 h. HGMs– $TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄$ composites with different theoretical loadings of Ag₃PO₄ (0.150, 0.225 and 0.300 g g^{-1} HGMs) were prepared by adjusting the concentration of $AgNO₃$ and $Na₃PO₄$ aqueous solution and maintaining the stoichiometry of $AgNO₃$ and Na₃PO₄ to 3 : 1. According to the theoretical loading mass ratio of TiO₂ and Ag₃PO₄, the obtained samples were labeled as HGMs-1 : 1, HGMs-1 : 1.5 and HGMs-1 : 2, respectively. At the same time, pure Ag_3PO_4 was prepared without adding HGMs-1 : 0, and $TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄$ composite with mass ratio of 1 : 1.5 was synthesized by the same preparation method as $HGMs-TiO₂/$ Ag_3PO_4 without adding HGMs. **EXAMPRESS**

The Uniter Section Access Article 2019 and 2019. The Uniter Section Access Articles Articles Articles. The Access Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles. The Access Articles are the se

The fabrication process of the photocatalyst was exhibited in Fig. 1.

2.5. Characterization

The morphology of HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composite fixed on the sample holder with conducting resin and subjected to gold spray treatment was observed with a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscope. The qualitative analysis of the elemental distribution on the surface of obtained composites was determined by energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) in conjunction with SEM at an accelerating voltage of 3 kV. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of photocatalyst composites were recorded in reflection mode in the range of $10-80^\circ (2\theta)$ at a scanning rate of 0.067 $^{\circ}$ s⁻¹ with a D/MAX-2500 operated at a CuK α wavelength of 1.542 \AA . The ultraviolet-visible diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were characterized with UV-2450 spectrophotometer in the range of 200 to 800 nm by using $BaSO₄$ as the reflectance standard material, and measured by the standard Kubelka–

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the fabrication process of floating HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composite. (Inset: digital photos of HGMs (a), aminated HGMs (b), $HGMs-TiO₂$ (c) and $HGMs-TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄$ (d)).

Munk method. When Ag_3PO_4 on HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites were dissolved with $1:1 (v/v)$ dilute nitric acid solution, the Ag_3PO_4 loadings were calculated by testing the Ag⁺ contents by Thermo Scientific iCE3000 atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) using a silver lamp as a hollow cathode lamp.

2.6. Photocatalytic activity testing

The photocatalytic property of HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites was evaluated by organic dye methylene blue (MB) as the target of photodegradation under simulated sunlight. 0.12 g obtained photocatalyst was dispersed in 60 mL 5 mg L^{-1} MB solution. Before the photocatalytic test began, the photocatalyst was stored in the dark for 60 min to reach adsorption–desorption equilibrium. Then, the photocatalyst-containing MB solution was vertically irradiated with a 300 W xenon lamp and the illumination intensity was 140 mW cm^{-2} from wavelength ranges of 400 to 600 nm. In order to simulate the degradation of dye contaminants in the natural state, magnetic stirring is not performed during the entire photodegradation of MB solution. The liquid level of MB solution in all test was 9.5 cm away from the light source and the depth of the solution was 11.5 cm. At a time interval of 5 min, 3 mL suspension was pipetted with a disposable syringe, then filtered through $0.22 \mu m$ millipore membrane filter to remove the photocatalyst particles. The concentration of MB solution was determined by Mapada UV-1800 spectrophotometer at the maximum absorption wavelength of MB (663 nm) with distilled water as reference solution. Paper

Non-Record solid article. Published on 14/25/2019. On 14/25/2024 2019. The second of the second various Article is licensed under the common and the common attention of the second under the second under the second

The photocatalytic stability and recyclability of the composites were evaluated by the cycling degradation experiments of the 5 mg L^{-1} MB solution. At the end of each cycle, the floating composite was separated and dried at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis process of HGMs– $TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄$ photocatalysts

Fig. 1 depicted the fabrication process of HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites. The first I step was the amino modification of HGMs. HGMs were modified by the hydrolysis of silane coupling agent APTES to introduce $-NH₂$ groups on HGMs. Aminated HGMs (Fig. 1b) look the same as raw materials HGMs (Fig. 1a) and are all white powder. The second II step was to prepare HGMs-TiO₂ from aminated HGMs by hydrothermal synthesis. The outer electron arrangement of Ti^{4+} in titanium butoxide $\left(\text{Ti}(\text{OC}_4\text{H}_9)_4\right)$ was $\text{1s}^2 \text{2s}^2 \text{2p}^6 \text{3s}^2 \text{3p}^6$, and the 3d orbital was completely empty. The nitrogen atom in $-NH₂$ of aminated HGMs had the lone pair electrons that can be filled in its empty 3d orbit and the positively charged $Ti⁴⁺$ was adsorbed to the surface of aminated HGMs by electrostatic interaction. With the hydrothermal reaction of $Ti[OC_4H_9]_4$ and the dehydration of intermediates $Ti(OH)_4$, TiO_2 was loaded onto the surface of the HGMs. The obtained HGMs-TiO₂ was also white powder (Fig. 1c). The third III step was to prepare HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ by ion reaction $(Ag^+ + PO_4^3 - Ag_3PO_4)$ on the surface of HGMs– $TiO₂$. TiO₂ is an amphoteric oxide with super-hydrophilicity, and its surface easily adsorbs water to form hydroxyl groups. When HGMs–TiO₂ was dispersed in Ag⁺ solution, the main

species on the surface of the $TiO₂$ particles was negatively charged O⁻ anion,³² which can adsorb positively charged Ag⁺ by electrostatic attraction. With Ag⁺ adsorbed, the reaction of Ag⁺ and PO $_4^{3-}$ took place on the surface of TiO₂ and the color of the floating HGMs–TiO₂ gradually changed from the initial white to yellow (Fig. 1d), indicating the formation of Ag_3PO_4/TiO_2 heterostructure onto HGMs.

3.2. Characterization of the composites

The morphologies of the composites were observed in Fig. 2. HGMs–TiO₂ (Fig. 2a and b) had an uneven surface compared to the originally smooth HGMs (inset in Fig. 2a). From the higher magnification of Fig. 2b, it can be clearly seen that the surface of the HGMs was coated with a layer of $TiO₂$ particles. These indicated that $TiO₂$ was successfully coated on the HGMs. And Fig. 2c showed the HGMs-1 : 1.5 was coated with more particles than Fig. 2b, and was enlarged to 500 nm as shown in Fig. 2d with an average particle size less than 50 nm (similar SEM images of HGMs-1 : 1 and HGMs-1 : 2 in Fig. S1†). Fig. 2e and f were SEM images of $TiO₂$ with an average particle size of 30 nm and $1:1.5$ TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ with a particle size less than 50 nm, respectively.

The main component of the hollow glass microspheres is soda lime borosilicate.³³ It can be clearly seen from Fig. 3 that the elements of HGMs-1 : 1.5 sample contained B, O, Na, Ca, Si originally contained in the HGMs. In addition, it also contained the C and N elements form the added APTES and the existence of Ti, Ag and P elements is verified. The EDS mapping qualitatively indicated that the particles supported on the HGMs contain Ti, Ag, and P elements, and the loadings of Ag and P elements were high.

The X-ray diffraction pattern of the raw material HGMs (Fig. 4a) has broad and weak diffraction peaks at $15-40^\circ$, but no characteristic diffraction peaks. The diffraction peak of $TiO₂$ (Fig. 4b) is the same as that of anatase $TiO₂$ in the JCPDS (No. $21-1272$) standard spectrum library,³⁴ and the XRD pattern of $HGMs-TiO₂$ (Fig. 4c) shows the coexistence of HGMs and anatase $TiO₂$ diffraction phase, indicating the formation of HGMs-TiO₂ composites. The crystal sizes of the (101) diffraction peak of TiO₂ and HGMs–TiO₂ obtained from the Scherrer formula were 34.7 nm and 24.3 nm, which were in good agreement with the observation by SEM. The smaller particle size of TiO₂ supported on HGMs, in comparison with that of unsupported $TiO₂$, may be attributed to the pre-adsorption of $Ti⁴⁺$ onto the aminated HGMs surface via the electrostatic attraction with $-NH_2$ by lone pair of electrons to limit the growth of $TiO₂$ particles. The X-ray diffraction peak of pure Ag_3PO_4 (Fig. 4g) was matched with body-centered cubic Ag_3PO_4 crystal (JCPDS No. 06-0505).³⁵ As shown in Fig. 4h, the XRD spectrum contained all the characteristic diffraction peaks of $Ag₃PO₄$, but only contained a characteristic diffraction peak of the anatase $TiO₂$ (101) face, which might be due to the fact that $TiO₂$ was an inner layer coated with Ag₃PO₄, and the intensity of other characteristic diffraction peaks was weak. Therefore, the XRD pattern of $1:1.5$ TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composite was basically expressed as the spectrum of Ag_3PO_4 . The XRD patterns of

Fig. 2 SEM images of HGMs–TiO₂ (a and b), HGMs-1 : 1.5 (c and d), TiO₂ (e) and TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ (f) inset: digital images of HGMs

HGMs-1 : 1 (Fig. 4d), HGMs-1 : 1.5 (Fig. 4e) and HGMs-1 : 2 (Fig. 4f) show the coexistence of HGMs and Ag_3PO_4 diffraction phases, indicating that Ag_3PO_4 was loaded on the surface of HGMs. Compared with the XRD pattern of $1:1.5$ TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composite (Fig. 4h), the $TiO₂$ diffraction peak was weaker and the diffraction peak of TiO₂ (101) plane in the three ratios of HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites could be covered by $15-40^{\circ}$ wide diffraction peaks of the raw material HGMs. In summary, Ag_3PO_4 had been coated on the surface of HGMs–TiO₂.

The optical properties of HGMs, HGMs– $TiO₂$, $TiO₂$, HGMs– $TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄$ composites and pure $Ag₃PO₄$ were determined by UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (Fig. 5). HGMs (Fig. 5a) had a certain UV absorption at wavelengths below 400 nm and almost no absorption in the visible wavelength range. Pure TiO₂ (Fig. 5c) absorbed ultraviolet light at wavelengths less than 385 nm, consistent with previous reports.³⁶ HGMs–TiO₂ (Fig. 5b) can absorb ultraviolet light less than 350 nm, which has a certain blue shift relative to $TiO₂$, which may be related to carrier HGMs. And pure Ag_3PO_4 (Fig. 5g) absorbed visible light less than 530 nm, which is equivalent to a band gap energy of 2.5 eV, in good agreement with the literature.³⁷ The three ratios of HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites (Fig. 5d, e and f) showed characteristic absorption peaks of pure $TiO₂$ in the ultraviolet wavelength range of less than 350 nm and a certain intensity absorption in the visible region, indicating the as-prepared HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites can be used for visible light photocatalytic reaction.

The actual Ag_3PO_4 loadings of HGMs-TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites, including HGMs-1 : 1, HGMs-1 : 1.5 and HGMs-1 : 2, were determined by AAS and listed in Table 1. As the concentration of

Fig. 3 EDS images of HGMs-1 : 1.5 sample.

Fig. 4 X-ray diffraction patterns of HGMs (a), $TiO₂$ (b), HGMs– $TiO₂$ (c), HGMs-1 : 1 (d), HGMs-1 : 1.5 (e), HGMs-1 : 2 (f), pure Ag₃PO₄ (g) and $1:1.5$ TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ (h).

the reactants increased, the measured Ag_3PO_4 loading increased in the order of HGMs-1 : 1, HGMs-1 : 1.5, and HGMs-1 : 2. However, the actual load rate of Ag_3PO_4 decreased in turn and the degree of decline was not obvious. When $TiO₂$ was dispersed in silver nitrate solution, the negatively charged surface can adsorb $Ag⁺$ to the surface by electrostatic attraction, which was beneficial to the increase of Ag_3PO_4 loading rate and form floating HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites. The loading ratios of the three proportional composites are similar, indicating that the titanium dioxide surface provides sufficient active sites to interact with Ag⁺.

3.3. Photocatalytic properties for the degradation of methylene blue (MB) solutions

The effect of different ratios of $TiO₂$ and $Ag₃PO₄$ on the photocatalytic activity of floating HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ photocatalysts

Fig. 5 UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of HGMs (a), $HGMs-TiO₂$ (b), TiO₂ (c), HGMs-1 : 1 (d), HGMs-1 : 1.5 (e), HGMs-1 : 2 (f) and pure Ag_3PO_4 (g)

was compared by measuring the photodegradation rate of MB under visible light irradiation by simulating sunlight with a xenon lamp. The decolorization rate of MB as a function of time was shown in Fig. 6a. Taking the MB solution without any photocatalyst as a blank control, it can be seen from the figure that the MB solution is difficult to self-pyrolysis under illumination. Prior to illumination, the MB solutions containing raw material HGMs, different ratios of HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites, pure TiO₂ or Ag₃PO₄ or TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ photocatalyst were placed in the dark for 60 min to achieve the adsorption–desorption equilibrium between the surface of photocatalysts and the MB. In the absence of irradiation, the decrease in the concentration of the MB solution was entirely attributable to the adsorption of the photocatalyst rather than the photodegradation. The raw material HGMs can adsorb about 8% of MB molecules result from the adsorption of dye cations by its surface Si-OH. TiO₂ and HGMs-1 : 0 almost completely adsorbed the MB in the solution without Table 1 The Ag₃PO₄ loading on HGMs-1 : 1, HGMs-1 : 1.5, HGMs-1 : 2 determined by AAS

illumination, because MB is a cationic dye and the negatively charged surface of TiO₂ can adsorb the positively charged $MB⁺$ in the solution to the surface of $TiO₂$ by electrostatic attraction.³⁸ During the experiment, it was observed that the color of $TiO₂$ and HGMs-1 : 0 changed from the original white to blue. In addition, the TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ photocatalyst could also adsorb nearly 80% of MB in the dark, which was also caused by the electrostatic adsorption of $TiO₂$. The percentages of MB adsorbed by HGMs- $1:1$, HGMs- $1:1.5$ and HGMs- $1:2$ samples in the dark were 35%, 39% and 48%, respectively, and their MB adsorption capacity enlarged with the increasing of Ag_3PO_4 ratios. After 90 min of exposure to visible light, the degradation of MB was as follows: HGMs-1 : $1.5 \approx \text{TiO}_2/\text{Ag}_3\text{PO}_4$ (96%) > HGMs-1 : 2 (92%) $>$ HGMs-1 : 1 (86%) $>$ Ag₃PO₄ (84%). For the floating HGMs-TiO₂/ $Ag₃PO₄$ photocatalysts, the decolorization rate within 90 min was better than that of the single Ag_3PO_4 photocatalyst. The

absorbance of the MB solution added with HGMs after the light was substantially unchanged, indicating that HGMs had no photocatalytic activity for MB. In addition, the floating characteristics of as-prepared HGMs-1 : 1.5 photocatalyst can be clearly seen from the inset of Fig. 6c, and the 5 mg L^{-1} MB solution could completely fade to a colorless solution after visible-light irradiation for 90 min, obviously indicating that HGMs-1 : 1.5 had excellent photocatalytic performance.

Kinetically, when the concentration of MB dye was very low, the kinetic properties of its photocatalytic degradation were in accordance with the Langmuir–Hinshelwood model, which expression is $v = -\frac{dC}{dt} = \frac{k_r K C}{1 + K C}$.³⁹ In this formula, v, k_r , K and C are the reaction rate, reaction rate constant, adsorption coefficient and the reactant concentration, respectively. When C is very small, $v \approx k_{\rm r} K C = kC$ and $\ln \frac{C_0}{C} = kt$ is obtained. C_0 and C

Fig. 6 (a) Photocatalytic degradation curve of 5 mg L^{-1} MB, (b) the kinetic fit of the MB degradation by 2 g L^{-1} HGMs-1 : 0, HGMs-1 : 1, HGMs-1 : 1.5, HGMs-1 : 2, pure Ag₃PO₄ and TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄, (c) digital picture of 60 mL 5 mg L⁻¹ MB dye solution photo-catalytically degraded by 0.12 g HGMs-1 : 1.5 before (left) and after (right) 90 min of visible-light illumination.

are the concentrations of reactant at time 0 and t , and k is the apparent constant (first order rate). According to Beer-Lambert Law, C/C_0 is the ratio of the MB solution absorbance at each time interval to the absorbance of initial dye solution. The process of photocatalytic degradation of MB solution follows pseudo-first-order kinetic model. The photodegradation rate k is fitted by $ln(C/C_0)$ versus t and the slope of the fitted line is the photocatalytic rate k , as shown in Fig. 6b. The apparent rate constant (k) for TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composite was 0.0182 min^{-1} , larger than that of single Ag₃PO₄ catalyst $(k = 0.0131 \text{ min}^{-1})$. The k of HGMs-1:1, HGMs-1:1.5 and HGMs-1:2 were 0.0170 min^{-1} , 0.0288 min^{-1} , and 0.0240 min^{-1} , respectively. The HGMs-1 : 1.5 composite with a mass ratio of $TiO₂$ and Ag₃PO₄ of 1 : 1.5 had the highest rate constant k , which means this photocatalyst had the highest photocatalytic activity. While the Ag_3PO_4 content of floating HGMs-1 : 1.5 was less than pure Ag_3PO_4 and TiO_2/Ag_3PO_4 , the photocatalytic properties were better than pure Ag_3PO_4 and TiO_2/Ag_3PO_4 , which could be attributed to the larger light contact area.

The stability of the photocatalyst is one of the important parameters to measure its practicality. It is well-known that the Ag3PO4 photocatalyst is easily photo-etched under light conditions and reduced to Ag $(Ag^+ + e^- \rightarrow Ag^0)$, which affects its photocatalytic activity. As shown in Fig. 7, after five consecutive cycles to degrade MB, Ag_3PO_4 almost lost its activity, while HGMs-1 : 1.5 still maintained a decolorization rate of about 75%, showing higher degradation efficiency and stability than pure Ag_3PO_4 and indicating that the presence of HGMs and the formation of heterostructure with $TiO₂$ were beneficial for improving photodegradation efficiency and stability of Ag_3PO_4 . The floating HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites as a photocatalyst can not only greatly reduce the input of the Ag_3PO_4 catalyst and cost, but also signicantly improve the photodegradation efficiency. In addition, it was easy to recycle in practical applications and had stable photocatalytic activity, indicating that the floating HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composite can be used as an effective and promising visible light photocatalyst.

3.4. Possible mechanism of the improved photocatalytic activity

Several reasons may account for the enhanced photocatalytic activity of the floating HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ photocatalysts. Firstly, the HGMs-1 : 1.5 photocatalyst exhibited more enhanced visible light photocatalytic efficiency than that of pure Ag_3PO_4 and TiO_2/Ag_3PO_4 because HGMs-1 : 1.5 could float on the dye solution to enlarge the contact area with light, speeding up the excitation of visible light photons under the same test conditions. Secondly, with HGMs as the carrier, HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composite can be uniformly dispersed on the surface of HGMs, which can not only enlarge the active surface area of the photocatalyst, but also increase lattice defects to generate new active centers, and improve its photocatalytic performance. Thirdly, Ag_3PO_4 itself had excellent photocatalytic performance due to the high dispersion of the conduction band (CB, $+$ 0.45 V vs. NHE) and the large negative charge induction effect of PO₄.³⁻⁴⁰ However, with the prolongation of irradiation time, Ag_3PO_4 was easily reduced to Ag and Ag replaces active sites of original Ag_3PO_4 , so the number both of photogenerated electrons (e^-) and holes (h^+) was reduced and the photocatalytic performance was degraded. The combination of Ag₃PO₄ and TiO₂ promoted the separation of e^- and h^+ , and its photocatalytic activity was enhanced. The possible photocatalytic mechanism of HGMs-TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ is depicted in Fig. 8. From the electron band structure of Ag_3PO_4 and TiO_2 , the valence band (VB) potential of Ag_3PO_4 (+2.9 eV vs. NHE) is more positive than that of TiO₂ (+2.7 eV vs. NHE), and the conduction band potential of TiO₂ (-0.3 eV vs. NHE) is more negative than that of Ag₃PO₄ (+0.45 eV vs. NHE), which means that e^- and h⁺ will be thermodynamically transferred to the CB of Ag_3PO_4 and the VB of TiO₂, respectively.^{32,41-43} Under visible light irradiation, the e^- in the VB of Ag₃PO₄ absorbed energy from the photons (hv) to the CB and then further migrated to the surface of the Ag_3PO_4 particles, while h⁺ was generated on the VB and rapidly transferred to the TiO₂ particles. The separation of e^- (in Ag_3PO_4) and h⁺ (in TiO₂) prevents charge neutralization, and the Paper

SE A downer article of the contentration of the state and *L* and *L* and *A* M. This article is a contentration of the contentration of the common for the unharmode photocraphic

interaction-state content and α

Fig. 7 Cycling runs of the 5 mg L^{-1} MB photo-degradation at the presence of 2 g L^{-1} HGMs-1 : 1.5.

Fig. 8 Proposed mechanism for the MB photo-degradation of on the floating HGMs-TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites.

close contact between the two semiconductor particles in the HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composite promotes this interface charge transfer and separation, improving the photocatalytic activity. In addition, when the mass ratio of $TiO₂$ and Ag₃PO₄ was 1 : 1.5 among the three HGMs-TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ samples, the photocatalytic activity of the sample reached an optimum value. When the content of Ag_3PO_4 exceeds this optimum value, the counteraction effect associated with e^- – h⁺ recombination across $TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄$ interface may mediate carrier transfer to compromise the overall charge separation efficiency and lead to the depressed photocatalytic efficiency of type-II $TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄$ semiconductor heterostructures.¹³ At the same time, the oxidizing h^+ in VB can directly oxidize the organic dye MB or indirectly oxidize MB by oxidizing H₂O molecules adsorbed to the surface of the photocatalyst to form strong oxidizing \cdot OH radicals^{44,45} in that the VB level of TiO₂ is more positive than both 1.99 eV for OH⁻/ \cdot OH and 2.37 eV for H₂ O/ \cdot OH.⁶ Furthermore, reducing e^- in CB can reduce the adsorbed O_2 molecules, and generate strong oxidizing reactive oxygen species (ROS, such as \cdot O₂⁻, HO₂⁻, H₂O₂, \cdot OH, *etc*.) to oxidatively degrade MB. However, the redox potential of the ROS reaction (such as O_2/H_2O_2 , +0.67 V) is similar to the potential of Ag₃PO₄/ Ag $(+0.45 \text{ V})$,³⁵ indicating that it will compete with the reduction reaction of Ag_3PO_4 , so the photo-etching of Ag_3PO_4 semiconductor is still inevitable. Ag_3PO_4 are dispersed on the surface of HGMs to increase the active sites of photocatalytic reaction and the unique floating property of the photocatalyst enlarge the contact area of the HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ with light. Moreover, the combination of Ag_3PO_4 and TiO₂ on the surface of HGMs promotes the separation of photogenerated e^- and $h^+,$ enhancing the photocatalytic performance and stability of the floating HGMs– $TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄$ composite. **SC Advances**

Consequences Articles. Published on Distribution (Consequence) and Ag O Creative Common Common

4. Conclusions

In this work, the floating HGMs-TiO₂ was prepared by hydrothermal synthesis. Since $TiO₂$ only responded to ultraviolet light, Ag_3PO_4 was loaded into HGMs-TiO₂ composite by ion exchange method to form visible light-responsive floating HGMs–TiO2/Ag3PO4 composites. The photocatalyst had the advantages of low density and high dispersion due to the use of micron-sized HGMs as a carrier, which made it float on the surface of the organic dye solution, enlarge the contact area with light and easy to recover. With MB as the photodegradation target, when the mass ratio of TiO₂ to TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ is 1 : 1.5, the photocatalytic activity of the floating photocatalyst was better than that of pure Ag_3PO_4 and $\text{TiO}_2/\text{Ag}_3\text{PO}_4$, and its cycle stability was enhanced compared with single Ag_3PO_4 . The floating HGMs–TiO₂/Ag₃PO₄ composites prepared by complexing TiO₂ with Ag_3PO_4 to form a heterojunction have potential application prospects in the photocatalyzed efficient degradation of organic pollutants under the visible-light irradiation, which greatly reduce the cost and enhance the photocatalytic performance.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

This research was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (51462009, 51662011 and 21575100).

References

- 1 J. J. Blanco, S. Malato, P. Fernández-Ibañez, D. Alarcón, W. Gernjak and M. I. Maldonado, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2009, 13, 1437–1445.
- 2 J. M. Li, C. W. Tsao, M. J. Fang, C. C. Chen, C. W. Liu and Y. J. Hsu, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2018, 1(12), 6843–6853.
- 3 K. Nakata and A. Fujishima, J. Photochem. Photobiol. C Photochem. Rev., 2012, 13, 169–189.
- 4 S. Bagheri, Z. A. Mohd Hir, A. T. Yousefi and S. B. Abdul Hamid, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2015, 218, 206–222.
- 5 S. Bagheri and N. Muhd Julkapli, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2016, 41, 14652–14664.
- 6 Y. Duan, J. Luo, S. Zhou, X. Mao, M. W. Shah, F. Wang, Z. Chen and C. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 234, 206–212.
- 7 Y. H. Chiu and Y. J. Hsu, Nano Energy, 2017, 31, 286–295.
- 8 Y. C. Pu, G. Wang, K. D. Chang, Y. Ling, Y. K. Lin, B. C. Fitzmorris, C. M. Liu, X. Lu, Y. Tong, J. Z. Zhang, Y. J. Hsu and Y. Li, Nano Lett., 2013, 13, 3817-3823.
- 9 Y. H. Chiu, T. H. Lai, C. Y. Chen, P. Y. Hsieh, K. Ozasa, M. Niinomi, K. Okada, T. M. Chang, N. Matsushita, M. Sone and Y. J. Hsu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 22997–23008.
- 10 J. Guo, S. Ouyang, H. Zhou, T. Kako and J. Ye, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 17716–17724.
- 11 B. Zhang, H. Zhang, Z. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Qin, Y. Dai, Y. Liu, P. Wang, Y. Li and B. Huang, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 211, 258– 265.
- 12 H. Derikvandi and A. Nezamzadeh-Ejhieh, J. Hazard. Mater., 2017, 321, 629–638.
- 13 Y. S. Chang, M. Choi, M. Baek, P. Y. Hsieh, K. Yong and Y. J. Hsu, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 225, 379–385.
- 14 Y. F. Lin and Y. J. Hsu, Appl. Catal., B, 2013, 130–131, 93–98.
- 15 B. Li, Y. Hao, B. Zhang, X. Shao and L. Hu, Appl. Catal., A, 2017, 531, 1–12.
- 16 Y. C. Pu, W. H. Lin and Y. J Hsu, Appl. Catal., B, 2015, 163, 343–351.
- 17 Z. Chen, Y. Ma, B. Geng, M. Wang and X. Sun, J. Alloys Compd., 2017, 700, 113–121.
- 18 F. Chen, W. An, L. Liu, Y. Liang and W. Cui, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 217, 65–80.
- 19 Q. Chen, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Zhang, D. Duan and C. Fan, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2017, 491, 238–245.
- 20 J. Feng, T. Chen, S. Liu, Q. Zhou, Y. Ren, Y. Lv and Z. Fan, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2016, 479, 1–6.
- 21 H. Ramezanalizadeh and F. Manteghi, J. Cleaner Prod., 2018, 172, 2655–2666.
- 22 M. D. Hernández-Alonso, F. Fresno, S. Suárez and J. M. Coronado, Energy Environ. Sci., 2009, 2, 1231–1257.
- 23 M. Mousavi, A. Habibi-Yangjeh and M. Abitorabi, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2016, 480, 218–231.
- 24 H. Yu, B. Huang, H. Wang, X. Yuan, L. Jiang, Z. Wu, J. Zhang and G. Zeng, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2018, 522, 82–94.
- 25 M. Wu, J.-M. Yan, X.-W. Zhang, M. Zhao and Q. Jiang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 15710–15714.
- 26 X. Yao and X. Liu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2014, 280, 260–268.
- 27 Z. Yi, J. Ye, N. Kikugawa, T. Kako, S. Ouyang, H. Stuart-Williams, H. Yang, J. Cao, W. Luo, Z. Li, Y. Liu and R. L. Withers, Nat. Mater., 2010, 9, 559–564.
- 28 Y. Wang, B. Fugetsu, I. Sakata, W. Mao, M. Endo, M. Terrones and M. Dresselhaus, Carbon, 2017, 119, 522– 526. Paper

24 II. V., B. Tunng, E. Wan, L. January 2019. Downloaded on 12/25. Downloaded on 12/25/2024 10:42:26 PM. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported Unported Unported Unp
	- 29 W. Cao, Z. Gui, L. Chen, X. Zhu and Z. Qi, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 200, 681–689.
	- 30 X. Yang, J. Qin, Y. Jiang, K. Chen, X. Yan, D. Zhang, R. Li and H. Tang, Appl. Catal., B, 2015, 166, 231–240.
	- 31 L. Luo, Y. Li, J. Hou and Y. Yang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2014, 319, 332–338.
	- 32 W. Yao, B. Zhang, C. Huang, C. Ma, X. Song and Q. Xu, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 4050–4055.
	- 33 K. Zhao, H. Liu, T. Wang and H. Zeng, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron., 2016, 27, 5183–5189.
	- 34 Y. Li, P. Wang, C. Huang, W. Yao, Q. Wu and Q. Xu, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 205, 489–497.
- 35 J. W. Xu, Z. D. Gao, K. Han, Y. Liu and Y. Y. Song, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 15122–15131.
- 36 L. Sun, S. Wan, Z. Yu and L. Wang, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2014, 125, 156–162.
- 37 J. Cao, B. Luo, H. Lin, B. Xu and S. Chen, J. Hazard. Mater., 2012, 217–218, 107–115.
- 38 R. Li, X. Song, Y. Huang, Y. Fang, M. Jia and W. Ma, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2016, 421, 57–65.
- 39 J. Ma, Q. Liu, L. Zhu, J. Zou, K. Wang, M. Yang and S. Komarneni, Appl. Catal., B, 2016, 182, 26–32.
- 40 Y. Bi, S. Ouyang, N. Umezawa, J. Cao and J. Ye, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 6490–6492.
- 41 M. Zhang, L. Li and X. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 29693– 29697.
- 42 Y. Li, L. Yu, N. Li, W. Yan and X. Li, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2015, 450, 246–253.
- 43 F. M. Zhao, L. Pan, S. Wang, Q. Deng, J. J. Zou, L. Wang and X. Zhang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2014, 317, 833–838.
- 44 D. J. Martin, N. Umezawa, X. Chen, J. Ye and J. Tang, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 3380–3386.
- 45 D. J. Martin, G. Liu, S. J. Moniz, Y. Bi, A. M. Beale, J. Ye and J. Tang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 7808–7828.