Introduction

In the liver, drugs undergo enzymatic biotransformations that enhance metabolite hydrophilicity and clearance from the body. The liver is the most frequently damaged organ, and its failure can result in death.\(^1\) Importantly, medication-induced hepatotoxicity is the single most important reason for both the rejection of drugs and withdrawal of drugs from the market after approval by the United States Food and Drug Administration. Reducing hepatotoxicity during drug development might be possible using innovative preclinical hepatotoxicity screening methods.\(^3,4\) The World Health Organization estimates that 65–80% of the world’s population uses primary forms of herbs in healthcare.\(^5\) Natural medicine therapy in China has a long history of more than 2000 years. Although Chinese herbal medicines (CHMs) have been used to treat chronic and intractable diseases with a definite therapeutic effect, they are now confronted with the major challenge of potential gradual hepatotoxicity. This seriously affects the quality of CHMs and is of great importance in present research and development into safe and effective CHMs for disease treatment.

Xanthii Fructus (XF) is the ripe fruit of *Xanthium strumarium* L., which belongs to the Asteraceae family. XF is widely distributed in Asia, Europe, and America.\(^6\) In recent years, XF has attracted increasing attention in the pharmaceuticals industry owing to its therapeutic benefits, such as in the treatment of nasal sinusitis, and headache caused by rheumatism and skin pruritus.\(^7,8\) XF also possesses numerous significant pharmacological properties, such as anti-inflammatory,\(^9\) anti-proliferative,\(^9\) antioxidant,\(^10\) antiarthritic,\(^11\) analgesic,\(^12\) and antiallergic rhinitis activities.\(^13\) The chemical components in XF include essential oils, phenolic acids, lignin, glycosides, and monoterpenoid glucosides.\(^14-16\) In recent decades, increasing toxicity incidents have occurred through improper use of XF.\(^17-19\)

In traditional Chinese medicine, processing is an important procedure believed to decrease the toxicity and alter the therapeutic efficacy of CHMs.\(^20,21\) Accordingly, CHM processing plays an important role in ensuring the safe use of potentially toxic herbs. To characterize the chemical compositions of XF or processed XF (PXF), many analytical methods have been developed.\(^22,23\) Various assays have been established to
determine raw material hepatotoxicity. However, hepatotoxicity determination of XF and PXF using a simple chromatographic method has yet to be reported.

The liver is an important organ for energy metabolism and conversion in the body. Concomitantly, hepatocytes are rich in active mitochondria, providing enough energy for cellular metabolism. Liver damage impairs the energy metabolism of hepatocyte mitochondria, implying alterations in energy biomolecules. Therefore, the simultaneous quantification of adenosine-5’-triphosphate (ATP), adenosine-5’-diphosphate (ADP), and adenosine-5’-monophosphate (AMP) in liver tissue is important for understanding the energy state and evaluating the hepatotoxicity of XF. Various methods, such as NMR spectroscopy, \(^\text{23}\) capillary electrophoresis, \(^\text{26}\) and aptamer-based optical sensing, \(^\text{27,28}\) have been developed for ATP, ADP, and AMP analysis. However, most of these methods suffer from poor selectivity and multistep reactions of complex compounds. Furthermore, some of these methods produce large background signals. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) is a preferable method owing to its high sensitivity and selectivity, and has been widely used in plant and biological analysis. Another advantage of UPLC-MS/MS is the simultaneous measurement of multiple analytes in a single run with a short separation time. Accordingly, considerable efforts have been devoted toward developing UPLC-MS/MS for ATP, ADP, and AMP analysis.

A fast, simple, and comprehensive method is urgently needed to assess the hepatotoxicity of XF and PXF. Therefore, in this study, UPLC-MS/MS was used to simultaneously determine ATP, ADP, and AMP levels in the livers of mice orally administered with raw XF (RXF) and PXF. In previous reports, diterpenoid ADP, and AMP levels in the livers of mice orally administered UPLC-MS/MS for ATP, ADP, and AMP analysis. However, most of these methods suffer from poor selectivity and multistep reactions of complex compounds.

**Materials and methods**

**Chemicals and reagents**

ATP, ADP, and AMP standards were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Internal standards (chloramphenicol) were also obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). ATR and CATR were purchased from Chengdu Must Bio-technology Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China) with purities of $\geq 99.0\%$. The chemical structures of the five analytes are shown in Fig. S1.\(^\text{†}\) HPLC-grade methanol and acetonitrile were supplied by Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Formic acid and ammonium acetate were purchased from Dikma Co. (USA), and water used was Wahaha purified water purchased from the Hangzhou Wahaha group (Hangzhou, China). Other reagents and chemicals were all of analytical grade.

**Animal experiments**

A total of 54 male adult mice aged 3–4 months were used as subjects and kept in an air-conditioned room at a constant room temperature of $24 \pm 2$ °C, relative humidity level of $50 \pm 15\%$, and a 12 h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. The animals were acclimatized for a period of one week. This experiment was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Heilongjiang University of Chinese Medicine. According to the Chinese pharmacopeia (2015 edition), the routine dose of XF for humans is 3–10 g per day. The mice were divided into three groups of 18 animals each as follows: Group I was administered orally with RXF extract at a dose of 120.0 g kg$^{-1}$; group II was administered orally with PXF extract at the same dose; and group III was the normal control group administered with an equal volume of normal saline. After oral administration, the mice were sacrificed through bleeding of the femoral artery. The liver was promptly removed after sacrificing the animals on days 11, 21, and 31 of oral administration, using six animals randomly selected from each group, respectively.

**Sample preparation**

Freeze-dried ($-70$ °C for 36 h) mouse liver samples were quickly ground and blended in a mortar. Powdered liver tissue (10 mg) was then placed into a 1.5 mL scaled Eppendorf tube containing pre-cooled (4 °C) methanol (500 μL) and proteins were precipitated by vortex shaking for 1 min. Pre-cooled (4 °C) water (498 μL) and IS (2 μL) were then immediately added to extract the analytes by vortex shaking for 3 min. After centrifugation of the extract at 16 000 $\times g$ and $-10$ °C for 20 min, the supernatant was stored at $-20$ °C prior to UPLC-MS/MS analysis.

**Instrumentation and UPLC-MS/MS conditions**

Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (Thermo Scientific, Vanquish) was performed on a Hypersil GOLD column (100 mm $\times$ 2.1 mm, 1.9 μm) using a mobile phase consisting of (A) 2 mM ammonium acetate in water and (B) acetonitrile. The optimized UPLC elution conditions were as follows: 0–2 min, 5% B; 5–8 min, 5–30% B. The column temperature was maintained at 45 °C.

Mass spectrometry analysis was performed using a TSQ Quantis Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) with selected reaction monitoring (SRM), an online degasser, and a binary pump solvent management system. A negative-ion scan was used to obtain precursor ions and product ion spectra of ATP, ADP, AMP, diterpenoid glycoside, and IS. Optimized parameters for the five analytes and IS are listed in Table 1. Other optimized MS parameters were as follows: spray voltage, 3000 V; ion transfer tube and vaporizer temperatures, 325 °C and 350 °C, respectively; Aux gas flow rate, 2 L min$^{-1}$.

**Preparation of stock standard solutions and quality control samples**

Stock solutions of the analytes were mixed and diluted to afford a final mixed standard solution containing ATP (1.175 mg mL$^{-1}$), ADP (1.136 mg mL$^{-1}$), AMP (1.414 mg mL$^{-1}$), ATR (1.170 mg...
Preparation of RXF and PXF extracts

RXF was extracted by reflux with 50% ethanol three times. The ethanol extracts were combined and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure with a vacuum rotary evaporator. The extract was suspended in water with 0.1% w/v sodium carboxyl methylcellulose for oral administration. PXF was prepared using methanol. Quality control samples at high, medium, and low concentrations were obtained in the same manner. All samples were stored at −20 °C and working solutions were allowed to warm to room temperature before injection.

Method validation

The current UPCL-MS/MS assay was validated for selectivity, linearity, precision, accuracy, extraction recovery, and stability, in accordance with the requirements for biological sample analysis.

Selectivity and specificity. The selectivity of the approach was evaluated by analysing blank liver homogenate samples. The methanol–water (1:1, v/v) solution, RXF extract, blank tissue homogenate sample with IS, and real tissue homogenate samples collected from treated rats were compared by chromatography. All-blank tissue homogenate samples were prepared and analysed to ensure the absence of interfering peaks.

Linearity and sensitivity. Using $1/x^2$ as the weighting factor, linear regression equations of the five analytes were obtained by plotting the analyte-to-IS peak area ratio ($y$) vs. the analyte concentration ($x$). The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was determined in accordance with the base line noise at a signal-to-noise ratio of 10:1. The target constituent concentrations showed satisfactory accuracy errors (±20%) and precision variation (<20%).

Precision and accuracy. The precision of this established method was confirmed through CATR and ATR determination in six batches of quality control samples at three different concentrations (low, medium, and high). To evaluate the intra-day and inter-day precisions, the three-level quality control samples were tested for one day and three consecutive days, respectively. The accuracy of this approach toward ATP, ADP, and AMP determination was evaluated by recovery test using the standard addition method. Spiked sample solutions were prepared at three different concentrations using the previously described method and then triplicate experiments were performed at each level. The recovery percentages were calculated using the equation: recovery (%) = [(total detected amount − original amount)/added amount] × 100. The calibration curves above were then used to determine the quantities of the five analytes in the tested samples. Acceptable criteria for the analysis were a precision of less than 15% and an accuracy within ±15%.

Extraction recovery and matrix effect. Extraction recoveries of ATR and CATR were investigated using three-level quality control samples by calculating the ratio of mean peak area of the analytes in the extracted livers samples to the area of post-extracted samples spiked with the target constituents at the same concentration level. The matrix effect at different quality control levels was measured by comparing the peak area ratio of prepared blank livers samples with analytes added to those dissolved in the initial mobile phase at equal concentrations in six replicates.

Stability. To test the stability of the five analytes, the quality control samples were measured at day 0, then stored at −20 °C for 7 days and remeasured. To test the autosampler stability, the analytes at three concentration levels in the quality control samples were assayed at 4 °C for 24 h.

Statistical analysis

The experimental data were expressed as means ± standards deviation (SD, n = 6). One-way ANOVA using Tukey’s multiple comparison test was performed to determine differences between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak no.</th>
<th>Analytes</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Precursor ion ([M − H]+)/m/z</th>
<th>Product ion (m/z)</th>
<th>Collision energy (V)</th>
<th>Dwell time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>26.83</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>16.56</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>31.34</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CATR</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>769.3</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ATR</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>725.0</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>48.33</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chloramphenicol (IS)</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>321.0</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1  Optimized SRM parameters of five analytes and IS
Results and discussion

Optimization of UPLC-MS/MS conditions

The composition of the mobile phase was optimized through several steps on the Hypersil GOLD column. Firstly, the effect of methanol and acetonitrile as organic mobile phases using the same LC gradient was investigated. The analytes were not eluted after 20 min when acetonitrile was substituted with methanol. This showed that acetonitrile was much more effective at displacing adenine nucleotides from the Hypersil GOLD column, exhibiting a stronger elution capability than methanol. Therefore, acetonitrile was selected for further experiments in this study. Secondly, a solvent system containing 0.1% formic acid and different concentrations of ammonium acetate (2, 5, and 10 mM) were found to be optimal for separation of these analytes. When 0.1% formic acid was added to the mobile phase, no good peak pattern was observed for ATR and CATR. In contrast, when ammonium acetate was added to the mobile phase, five analytes showed good peak shapes. However, increasing the salt concentration suppressed the MS signal intensity owing to salt precipitation in the ion source and ion transportation tube. Therefore, 2 mM ammonium acetate was added to the mobile phase, with reasonable retention and separation, and good sensitivity and reproducibility obtained.

SRM mode was used to detect the complicated target constituents to improve the selectivity and sensitivity. In precursor ion full scan mode, deprotonated molecules [M – H]⁻ were observed with more abundant intensities. Other parameters, including SRM transitions, collision energy, and cone voltage, were also optimized to achieve the highest intensities of deprotonated or protonated molecules of analytes using the syringe pump program. The MS spectra of ATP, ADP, AMP, ATR, and CATR showed deprotonated precursor ions [M – H]⁻ at m/z 506, 426, 346, 725, and 792, respectively in the Q1 scan. The [M – H]⁻ ions of ATP, ADP, AMP, ATR, and CATR yielded abundant product ions at m/z 355, 224, 225, 188, and 280 in the Q3, which were selected as SRM transitions for quantitation (Table 1). Fig. 1 shows the UPLC-MS/MS chromatogram of a standard mixture of the five target compounds in SRM mode.

Method validation

Selectivity and specificity. Representative SRM chromatograms were obtained for a blank liver sample (Fig. S2A†), methanol–water (1 : 1, v/v) solution (Fig. S2B†), blank liver sample spiked with three analytes and IS (Fig. 1A), and RXF extract with IS (Fig. 1B). The retention times of ATP, ADP, AMP, ATR, CATR, and IS were approximately 0.97, 0.98, 0.99, 3.85, 4.90, and 6.22 min, respectively. No significant interferences from endogenous ingredients were observed in the UPLC-MS/MS analysis of the five constituents and IS.

Linearity and LLOQ. The standard curves of the five analytes all exhibited good linearity with correlation coefficients (R²) of >0.999. The linear ranges, regression equations, LLOQs, and coefficients obtained from typical calibration curves are shown in Table 2. The LLOQs were appropriate for quantitative detection of the five analytes in the studies.

Precision and accuracy. The accuracy of ATP, ADP, and AMP determination was evaluated using the recoveries of spiked liver tissue samples, while precision was evaluated using the relative standard deviations (RSDs). Three concentration levels of the mixture standard solutions were added to each sample and then analysed using the established method, with six replicates performed for each level. The results are shown in Table S2.† For the three spiked levels, the average recoveries ranged from 97.7% to 101.4%. The RSDs of the method ranged from 0.26% to 2.43%. For CATR and ATR, the intra- and inter-day precision and accuracy were determined by measuring quality control samples at three concentration levels. The accuracy was expressed by the relative error (RE). The precision and accuracy for CATR and ATR are shown in Table S3.† The intra- and inter-day precisions were 1.58–8.23% and 2.02–9.08%, respectively. The accuracy derived from quality control samples was between −6.21% and 8.27% at the three quality control levels. All results indicated that the overall reproducibility of the method was acceptable.

Extraction recovery and matrix effect. Table S4† summarizes the extraction recoveries and matrix effects of the two analytes and IS in the quality control samples. The mean extraction recoveries of the investigated components in liver samples at three different concentration levels were found to be 86.35–97.57% with RSDs of <6.86%, which indicated that the recovery of this method was precise and appropriate at the different levels. The matrix effects ranged from 86.49 to 100.5% at the three quality control levels. Therefore, no obvious matrix effects were observed in the target compound and IS analyses in this investigation, showing that endogenous ingredients did not interfere with the ionization of analytes and IS.

Stability. Stability evaluation showed a slight decrease in the signal intensity after storing at −20 °C for one week. This slight decrease showed that samples (Table S5†) can be stored at −20 °C for a short period of time prior to analysis without having a major adverse effects on the results.

Comparing ATP, ADP, and AMP levels in liver

This method was successfully applied to the determination of ATP, ADP, and AMP levels in liver tissue after 10, 20, and 30 days of oral administration. The results are summarized in Fig. 2. No significant change in ATP, ADP, and AMP levels was observed after 10 days of oral administration of normal saline, RXF, and PXF (p > 0.05) (Fig. 2A). The ATP levels in the RXF group had decreased after 20 days of oral administration. However, there was no significant difference compared with the control group (p > 0.05) (Fig. 2B). RXF administration markedly decreased the ATP content (p < 0.01), while the ADP levels had increased (Fig. 2C). The ATP, ADP, and AMP levels are closely related to liver function. Therefore, the mouse livers analysed on the 20th and 30th days of oral administration of RXF were damaged, resulting in decreased ATP levels. Importantly, the PXF and control groups showed no significant differences, while the RXF group was significantly different.
Overall, the above animal experiments suggested that processing significantly decreased the toxicity of RXF.

**Quantitative analysis of ATR and CATR in liver**

Some studies have attributed hepatotoxicity to ATR and CATR contents. ATR and CATR are both specific and powerful inhibitors of the mitochondrial ADP/ATP transport system, and interact with the ADP/ATP carrier protein, named the adenine nucleotide translocator (ANT), in the inner mitochondrial membrane. As shown in Fig. 3, as the number of days of RXF oral administration increased, the amount of CTR and CATR in the mouse liver tissue also increased. Compared

---

**Fig. 1** UPLC-MS/MS analysis SRM chromatogram of five analytes: ATP (1), ADP (2), AMP (3), ATR (4), CATR (5), and IS (6) in different matrices.
with the control group, the ATP levels in the RXF and control groups were significantly different after oral administration for 30 days. However, the CATR content was only detected in some of the 10 day oral treatment RXF group. This might be attributed to the CATR content in mouse liver being below the LLOQ, or CATR combining with the ADP/ATP carrier in mouse liver, because these compounds are diterpene glycosides with one isovaleric and two sulfate groups, while CATR possesses an extra carboxylate group, which confers a 10-fold increase in affinity.

For the PXF group, ATR and CATR were not detected in all liver tissues after 10 and 20 days of oral administration. After 30 days of oral administration, ATR and CATR were detected in only some of the mouse livers (Tables S6 and S7†). Meanwhile, both the ATR and CATR levels were found to be reduced in our previous studies. This might be due to the ATR and CATR levels in PXF being lower than those in RXF. Furthermore, the CHM was composed of multiple components, which might be changed by processing, which would affect the tissue distribution of CATR and ATR. Further study is needed to determine the mechanisms through which processing affects the XF composition.

**Conclusions**

This UPLC-MS/MS method has been successfully applied to the determination of ATP, ADP, and AMP in liver tissue from mice orally administered for 10, 20, and 30 days. The levels of ATP, ADP, and AMP were closely related to the liver function. Therefore, mouse livers were damaged by 20–30 days of oral administration of RXF, which decreased the ATP levels. Importantly, there was no significant difference between the PXF and control groups, while the RXF group showed significant differences. These data showed that processing could reduce the toxicity of RXF.

In summary, processing significantly decreased the hepatotoxicity of RXF. Processing CHMs is common practice and usually occurs before herbs are prescribed. Therefore, processing is necessary for the application of CHMs in clinical use.
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