# Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

## REVIEW

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2019, 17, 9267

Received 27th August 2019, Accepted 2nd October 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9ob01884k

[rsc.li/obc](www.rsc.li/obc)

## Haloperoxidases as catalysts in organic synthesis

Georg T. Höfler, Andrada But and Frank Hollmann  $\mathbf{D}$  \*

Haloperoxidases are very active catalysts for the in situ generation of electrophilic halide species for oxidative halogenation reactions. In the synthetic community, these catalysts, however, are not widely used. The aim of this mini-review is to critically summarise the current state-of-the-art of haloperoxidase catalysis for organic synthesis. We hope that the excellent catalytic performance of these catalysts will trigger more chemists to consider them in their synthesis planning. Open Access Article. Published on 03 October 2019. Downloaded on 5/11/2024 6:54:36 PM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ob01884k) [View Journal](https://pubs.rsc.org/en/journals/journal/OB) [| View Issue](https://pubs.rsc.org/en/journals/journal/OB?issueid=OB017042)**

### Introduction

Hypohalites (XO<sup>-</sup>) and elementary halogens ( $X_2$ ) are common oxidants in organic synthesis.<sup>1</sup> Their uses range from oxidation of alcohols, halogenation of activated arenes to wellknown name reactions such as the Hunsdieker- or Haloform reaction or the Hofmann rearrangement.

Their application as reagents, however, can be challenging due to their instability and reactivity (causing safety issues) as well as the stoichiometric formation of salt waste (causing environmental issues). Furthermore the addition of high amounts of reactive hypohalites may cause undesired sidereactions, which are frequently circumvented by using organic halogen precursor such as N-bromosuccinimide (NBS).

A promising alternative is the in situ generation of hypohalites starting from the corresponding halides, an oxidant e.g.  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  and a catalyst. For this, especially vanadates are established catalysts. $^{2}$  In recent years also (vanadium) haloperoxidases are attracting increasing attention as biocatalytic alternative.

The aim of this contribution is to critically summarise the current knowledge about haloperoxidases from a synthetic chemical point-of-view.

### Biological halogenation catalysts

Halogenated compounds are rather common in nature and a range of enzymes are known to insert halogens into (non)activated starting materials. Halohydrin dehalogenases (Hhe), for example, catalyse the reversible interconversion of epoxides into halohydrins (Scheme  $1$ ).<sup>3</sup> Also a range of halogenating monooxygenases (halogenases, MO) are known (Scheme 1).<sup>4-10</sup> Finally, halogenating peroxidases (haloperoxidases, HPO) are enzymes which oxidatively activate halides to the corresponding hypohalites at the expense of peroxides. Further, the hypohalites react chemically with activated starting materials such as alkenes or phenols (Scheme 1).

From a synthetic point of view both Hhe and MO exhibit some issues that impair their preparative applicability. Halohydrin dehalogenases for example rely on epoxides as starting materials. Furthermore, the thermodynamic equilibrium of the ring opening reaction is rather unfavourable.<sup>3</sup>

Halogenating monooxygenases are more versatile converting activated arenes and even non-activated C–H-bonds.<sup>4</sup> Most interestingly, halogenating monooxygenases are usually highly regio- and stereospecific. However, these enzymes are too slow to be of real practical value. As a consequence, catalyst loadings significantly exceed the economically reasonable range. $11$ 



Scheme 1 Biocatalytic halogenation reactions. Halohydrin dehalogenases (Hhe) catalyse the reversible ring opening of epoxides whereas some halogenating monooxygenases (MO) activate halides for electrophilic incorporation into C–H-bonds at the expense of  $NAD(P)H$  and  $O<sub>2</sub>$ . Haloperoxidases (HPO) utilise  $H_2O_2$  to activate halides, which then spontaneously halogenate activated starting materials.

Department of Biotechnology, Delft University of Technology, van der Maasweg 9, 2629 HZ Delft, The Netherlands. E-mail: f.hollmann@tudelft.nl

Hopefully, the current activity, stability and uncoupling issues will be solved to fully exploit their synthetic potential.

In contrary, haloperoxidases excel in terms of robustness and catalytic activity making them potentially very efficient catalysts for preparative halogenation chemistry. This enormous potential motivated us to critically summarise the current state-of-art of haloperoxidases in organic synthesis, to outline the synthetic possibilities but also the their limitations.

### Haloperoxidases – classification, occurrence and catalytic mechanism

Haloperoxidases are classified by the most electronegative halide ion they can oxidise. A chloroperoxidase can, for example, oxidise Cl−, Br<sup>−</sup> and I−, while a bromoperoxidase can oxidise Br<sup>−</sup> and I<sup>−</sup> but not Cl−. A fluoroperoxidase has not been identified yet (and is rather unlikely ever to be found due to the high electronegativity and oxidation potential of F−).

Today, two kinds of haloperoxidases are known: the Hemedependent haloperoxidases $12$  and the vanadium-dependent haloperoxidases.<sup>6,13-16</sup> As suggested by their names, they differ with respect to the prosthetic group and consequently in their catalytic mechanisms. The Heme-dependent haloperoxidases utilise an Fe<sup>IV</sup>-porphyrin<sup>+</sup> (compound I) species formed from the heme resting state and  $H_2O_2$  to oxidise halides whereas the vanadium-dependent haloperoxidases use a peroxo-vanadate species (formed by the reaction with  $H_2O_2$ ) for the same transformation (Scheme 2).

In both classes of haloperoxidases, the hypohalites after formation diffuse out of the enzyme active site; $17$  hence any oxidative transformation taking place is not supported by the (chiral) enzyme environment and the selectivity of the transformation is controlled by the reactivity of the starting material rather than by the enzyme (vide infra). Some exceptions (haloperoxidases of bacterial origin) to this rule have been reported.18–<sup>22</sup> Indeed, selective halogenations would be of great interest for synthetic organic synthesis, especially if performed by highly active and stable enzymes (vide infra). As today, however, the molecular basis for the assumed selectivity remains unclear and the number of examples is yet too little. Hopefully, future engineered haloperoxidases will indeed combine the best of all:  $H_2O_2$ -dependent reactions, high robustness, catalytic activity and selectivity.

The archetypical Heme-dependent haloperoxidase is the one from Leptoxyphium fumago (LfCPO, formerly Caldariomyces fumago, CfCPO) first reported as early as the  $1960s^{23}$  It has been widely applied for a broad range of oxyfunctionalisation reactions, which have been summarised and discussed elsewhere.<sup>24–26</sup> In addition, a rich literature exists dealing with LfCPO (vide infra). More recently, also a haloperoxidase from Agrocybe aegerita (AaeUPO) has been reported.<sup>27,28</sup> AaeUPO, however, is receiving more attention as selective oxyfunctionalisation catalyst.<sup>29,30</sup> Heme-dependent haloperoxidases excel by their high catalytic activity (in the range of several dozen to hundreds per second) but are hampered by their poor robustness toward  $H_2O_2$ . Though this issue in principle can be over-

$$
\leftarrow + H_2O_2 \quad \text{—}\boxed{\text{Haloperoxidase}} \rightarrow X-O^* + H_2O
$$





Scheme 2 Simplified halide oxidation mechanisms of haloperoxidases.

come by slow dosing of  $H_2O_2$  or in situ generation of  $H_2O_2$ ,<sup>25</sup> such measures usually complicate the reaction schemes.

In contrast, to the limited number of Heme-dependent haloperoxidases, a rich variety of vanadium-dependent haloperoxidases are mainly available from marine organisms $31-39$ but also from other sources such as lichens<sup>20,21,39,40</sup> and terrestrial fungi. $41$  The rich microbiology and biochemistry of haloperoxidases has been reviewed by Wever and coworkers.13–16,42

One of the most striking differences of vanadium-dependent haloperoxidases to their heme-counterparts is the robustness against  $H_2O_2$ . Especially the chloroperoxidase from Curvularia inaequalis (CiVCPO) excels in this respect as the enzyme can be stored in the presence of at least 100 mM  $H_2O_2$ for days without noticeable loss in catalytic activity.<sup>43</sup> The same is true for the general stability of CiVCPO at elevated temperatures (up to 70 $\degree$ C) and in the presence of organic cosolvents (ethanol, ethyl acetate, acetone).

From a practical point of view, it is, however, advisable to control the  $H_2O_2$  concentration in the reaction mixture due to the spontaneous reaction between hypohalites and  $H_2O_2$  yield-



Scheme 3 Hypohalite-dependent disproportionation of  $H_2O_2$ .

Table 1 Selection of catalysts for the *in situ*  $H_2O_2$  generation to drive haloperoxygenase reactions

Catalyst	Cosubstrate/coproduct	TN <sub>Haloperoxidase</sub>
$Ao\mathrm{FOx}^{48}$ $HpAOx^{49}$ Au-TiO <sub>2</sub> /hv <sup>50</sup> FMN/hv <sup>51,52</sup> Cathode <sup>53</sup>	HCO <sub>2</sub> H/CO <sub>2</sub> Ethanol/acetaldehyde H <sub>2</sub> O/O <sub>2</sub> $EDTA/EDTriA + CO2 + H2CO$	1500000 n.d. 20 000 2000 164 000

AoFOx: formate oxidase from Aspergillus oryzae; HpAOx: alcohol oxidase from Hansenula polymorpha; EDTA: ethylenediamine tetraacetate; EDTriA: ethylenediamine triacetate.

ing singlet oxygen  $(^1O_2)$  and halides (Scheme 3), which is welldocumented for various haloperoxidases.<sup>23,31,44-47</sup>

To control the  $H_2O_2$  concentration, a range of in situ  $H_2O_2$ generation methods are available. $25$  Some of them have been evaluated in combination with haloperoxidases (Table 1). They all have their specific advantages and disadvantages. Photochemical and electrochemical methods, for example, principally bear the promise of environmentally benign reactions. However, in terms of haloperoxidase turnover numbers and ease of application, they still fall back behind the enzymatic cascades.

Though the above-mentioned  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  formation does not significantly impair the robustness of the enzyme, it lowers the yield in  $H_2O_2$  and therefore is less attractive from an economical point-of-view. On the other hand, the primarily formed  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ is very reactive and this 'dark reaction' for its in situ generation may find some preparative applications such as the transformation of β-Citronellol to Rose oxide.<sup>54</sup>

Wever and coworkers<sup>45</sup> also pointed out the efficiency of the enzymatic  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  synthesis reaction compared to chemical catalysts such as  $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4$ <sup>55</sup> or La $\text{[NO}_3)_3\text{/NaOH}_2^{1.56}$  While the latter exhibit turnover frequencies in the range of several dozen per hour, the enzyme shows turnover frequencies of dozens to thousands per second.

### Reactions mediated by haloperoxidases

Haloperoxidases have been applied to a broad range of different oxidation and halogenation reactions. Amongst them halogenation of electron-rich aromatic compounds, halohydroxylation of  $C=C$ -double bonds, heteroatom oxidation, oxidative decarboxylation and more. In the following sections, these reactions will be discussed in more detail and critically compared to their 'chemical' counterparts.

### Halogenation of activated arenes

One of the first synthetic applications of haloperoxidases was the electrophilic halogenation of electron-rich arenes. Due to the occurrence of diffusible hypohalites in the reaction media, the selectivity of the reaction is dictated by the chemical reactivity (degree of delocalisation and stability of the intermediate σ-complex as shown in Scheme 4) of the starting material rather than being influenced by the active site of the biocatalyst.

An early contribution by Itoh et al. qualitatively explored the substrate scope and selectivity of the VBPO from Corallina piltllifera<sup>57</sup> establishing that it was essentially identical to the scope and selectivity of 'chemical methods'.

Hartung and coworkers investigated, for example, the bromination of various phenols using the V-dependent bromoperoxidase from Ascophyllum nodosum.<sup>58</sup> Significant substitution effects on the rate of the halogenation reaction were observed. Notably the selectivity of the haloperoxidase-catalysed bromination of phenol towards the monobrominated product (90% conversion,  $o: p = 9:91$ ) compared to the selectivity when using  $Br<sub>2</sub>$  (mainly tribrominated product) under comparable conditions. The authors suspected a 'selectivity effect' of the biocatalyst. Organic 8 Blomotecular Chemistry<br>  $H_xO_y + Y_y - \frac{F_{00}F_{00} + 2F_{00}F_{00}}{F_{00}F_{00}}$ <br>  $H_yO_y + Y_y - \frac{F_{00}F_{00} + 2F_{00}F_{00}}{F_{00}F_{00}}$ <br>  $+ F_{00}F_{00} + F_{00}F_{00}$ <br>  $+ F_{00}F_{00} + F_{00}F_{00}$ <br>  $+ F_{00}F_{00} + F_{00}F_{00}$ <br>  $+ F_{00}F_{00$ 

Holtmann and coworkers used the heme-dependent LfCPO for the halogenation of the terpene thymol to increase its antimicrobial activity.<sup>53</sup> Since  $Lf$ CPO (as a heme-dependent halo-



Scheme 4 σ-Complexes involved in the haloperoxidase-initiated, electrophilic substitution of phenols. In case of o- and p-attack 4 mesomeric structures exist for the σ-complexes whereas in case of m-attack only 3 mesomeric structures can be drawn. As a consequence the σ-complexes of o- and p-attack are more stabilised and thereby favoured.

![](_page_3_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 1 Time course of the chemoenzymatic bromination of thymol using CiVCPO as hypobromite generation catalyst. Figure reproduced from ref. 43.

peroxidase) suffers from severe inactivation by  $H_2O_2$ , electrochemical  $O_2$  reduction was used to provide the enzyme with suitable amounts of  $H_2O_2$  to drive the reaction and minimise oxidative inactivation (Table 1). This way, very satisfactory turnover numbers of more than 160 000 for LfCPO were achieved even though the maximal substrate loading did not exceed 2.5 mM. The regioselectivity of the halogenation reaction was (expectedly) dictated by the chemical reactivity of the starting material.

Later, using the CiVCPO, it was shown that the selectivity of the bromination of thymol is kinetically controlled.<sup>43</sup> The monobrominated products represent the primary products, which upon reaching comparable concentrations to the starting material were further converted to the dibrominated products (Fig. 1).

Over the years, a broad range of arene starting materials have been established for the chemoenzymatic halogenation (Fig. 2).

As observed for the halogenation of thymol (Table 2) the enzymatic reaction presents higher turnover numbers and frequency than the non-enzymatic reaction but the final product concentrations are still in the lower millimolar range, limiting their application for preparative scale.

#### Halohydroxylation reactions

Another reaction that has been realised with haloperoxidases early on is the addition of (haloperoxidase-generated) hypohalites to  $C=C$ -double bonds yielding in general halohydrins (Scheme 5).

As early as the 1980s Itoh et al. investigated the halohydroxylation of various alkenes (Fig. 3) using  $LfCPO^{62}$  and later CpVBPO (from Corallina pilulifera).36,57

Performing those reactions in aqueous media, the obvious nucleophile present in abundance is OH<sup>−</sup> resulting in the for-

![](_page_3_Figure_13.jpeg)

Fig. 2 Selection of halogenated arenes obtained via chemoenzymatic halogenation.39,43,51,53,57–<sup>61</sup>

Table 2 Comparison of the catalytic performance of some 'chemical' halogenation reactions with haloperoxidase-alternatives

![](_page_3_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

Scheme 5 Haloperoxidase-initiated halohydroxylation of alkenes.

![](_page_4_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 3 Selection of halohydrins accessible via haloperoxidase-initiated conversion of alkenes.<sup>57,62-65</sup>

mation of halohydrins. As to be expected from a chemical reaction, the selectivity followed Markovnikov's rule. In some cases side-products such as diols or vinyl halides were observed which can be rationalised by intermediate epoxide formation (and subsequent hydrolysis) and by decarboxylation of the intermediate bromonium ion.<sup>62</sup>

More recently, Schrader and coworkers also introduced terpenes as starting materials for the halohydroxylation reaction<sup>63,64</sup> (Fig. 3).

As seen in Table 2, enzyme turnover numbers are very convincing in comparison to other non-enzymatic reactions, however, for preparative application the final product concentrations must be increased.

As mentioned above, aqueous reaction mixtures favour halohydroxylation reactions due to the abundance of the nucleophile  $(H<sub>2</sub>O$  or OH<sup>-</sup>). An interesting exemption arises with substrates containing a nucleophile in a suitable position for intramolecular attack of the halonium ion. Deska and coworkers converted a broad range of allenic alcohols using LfCPO into the corresponding halogenated furans (Scheme 8).<sup>66</sup> Starting from γ,δ-unsaturated acids the corresponding halogenated butyrolactones are accessible (Scheme  $8$ ).<sup>48</sup> Interestingly, the high water solubility of the substrate also enabled higher reagent payloads.

![](_page_4_Figure_11.jpeg)

Scheme 6 Chemoenzymatic Achmatowicz reaction with biocatalytically generated chiral furanols.

#### Oxidative decarboxylation

In all examples mentioned above the haloperoxidase-generated hypohalites function as stoichiometric reagents and are incorporated into the starting materials. There are, however, also some examples where the hypohalites themselves function as catalysts. Particularly, the oxidative decarboxylations of α-amino acids is worth mentioning here. If exposed to hypohalites, amino acids undergo oxidative decarboxylation to the corresponding (C1-shortened) nitriles or aldehydes (Scheme 6). In the light of a biobased chemical industry, this reaction could become relevant transforming (waste) amino acids into nitrile building blocks.

Scott and coworkers explored the chemoenzymatic variant, i.e. using CiVCPO as catalyst to in situ generate hypohalites and thereby use the corresponding halide in catalytic amounts (also circumventing stoichiometric salt wastes).  $49,67$  The aldehyde/nitrile selectivity of the oxidative decarboxylation reaction depends on the amino acid used as well as on the reaction conditions. While the enzymatic reaction presents higher turnover numbers than the W-catalysed reaction (Table 2), in order to achieve economic benefits the substrate loading must be improved (Scheme 7).

### Achmatowicz reaction

The oxidative ring expansion of furans (Achmatowicz reaction) is another example of the catalytic versatility of haloperoxi-

![](_page_4_Figure_18.jpeg)

Scheme 7 Halocyclisation reactions initiated by haloperoxidases.

![](_page_4_Figure_20.jpeg)

Scheme 8 Oxidative decarboxylation of amino acids using hypohalites.

dases. Pioneered by Deska and coworkers<sup>68</sup> the biocatalytic Achmatowicz reaction, especially if combined with further stereoselective biocatalytic reactions gives access to a range of building blocks e.g. for natural product synthesis (Scheme 7). Probably due to the rather early stage of development, so far no mechanistic studies elucidating the catalytic mechanism are reported.

In their original contribution Deska and coworkers used the Heme-dependent haloperoxidase from Leptoxyphium fumago (LfCPO). Due to the high sensitivity of this enzyme, an in situ  $H_2O_2$  generation system (glucose-oxidase-catalysed oxidation of glucose) had to be applied to maintain the *in situ*  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  concentration at low levels. Later, an aza-variant of this reaction was reported.<sup>69</sup> Since the highly robust vanadium chloroperoxidase from Curvularia inaequalis (CiVCPO) was used,  $H_2O_2$  could be added to the reaction mixture in stoichiometric amounts.

We expect that this interesting alternative to the chemical Achmatowicz reaction utilising elementary halogens will gain more interest in the near future and that the synthetic scope will be broadened significantly.

### Conclusion and outlook

A broad range of hypohalite mediated transformations exist in organic synthesis. To alleviate selectivity and environmental issues arising from stoichiometric addition of hypohalite salts, a range of catalytic methods generating hypohalites in situ through oxidation of the corresponding halides have been developed (Table 2). Using haloperoxidases is one of many options. Still, the excellent catalytic performance of haloperoxidases (in terms of turnover frequency and turnover numbers) are very convincing compared to 'classical' chemical catalysts. As shown in Table 2, the catalyst loadings (expressed indirectly as turnover numbers, TN =  $\text{mol}_{\text{product}} \times \text{mol}_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ ) needed for significant conversion are several orders of magnitude lower in case of haloperoxidases than using 'traditional' chemical catalysts. We believe that these numbers are so convincing that they should motivate organic chemists to evaluate haloperoxidases as catalysts (we are more than happy to share our expression systems and enzymes!).

Currently, only a fraction of hypohalite-based chemistry has been realised using haloperoxidases as catalysts. We are therefore convinced that haloperoxidases are going to play an increasingly important role in this class of oxidation chemistry!

Nevertheless, some issues still need to be addressed to render haloperoxidases truly practical catalysts for large-scale organic synthesis.

First and foremost, the substrate loadings must be increased dramatically into the upper 100 mM or, preferentially, into the molar range. Dilute aqueous reaction mixtures as they are still common in biocatalytic practice are not attractive neither from an economical nor an environmental pointof-view.<sup>76</sup> For this, a range of reaction engineering concepts

are available. Multiple-phase reactions, for example, either based on slurry-to-slurry or utilising the two-liquid-phase concept are well-suited to dramatically increase the reagent concentration.

Another challenge to be addressed in the near future will be to tackle the selectivity issue. Today, most haloperoxidase reactions rely on diffusible hypohalites and thereby miss an important property of biocatalysis: selectivity. Some promising bacterial haloperoxidases, which exhibit selectivity in their oxidation reactions, are known. However, their potential is far from being extensively exploited. More, in-depth investigations aiming at a molecular understanding of the selectivity of these enzymes and transfer of this knowledge to engineer other haloperoxidases will certainly boost this class of biocatalysts. **Review Creative** Concerns are considered a consideration of the common access of a map consider a comparison on simu

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Notes and references

- 1 E. Kolvari, N. Koukabi, A. Khoramabadi-zad, A. Shiri and M. A. Zolfigol, Curr. Org. Synth., 2013, 10, 837–863.
- 2 V. Conte and B. Floris, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2010, 363, 1935– 1946.
- 3 A. Schallmey and M. Schallmey, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2016, 100, 7827–7839.
- 4 J. Dong, E. Fernández-Fueyo, F. Hollmann, C. Paul, M. Pesic, S. Schmidt, Y. Wang, S. Younes and W. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 9238–9261.
- 5 V. Weichold, D. Milbredt and K.-H. van Pée, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 6374–6389.
- 6 C. Leblanc, H. Vilter, J. B. Fournier, L. Delage, P. Potin, E. Rebuffet, G. Michel, P. L. Solari, M. C. Feiters and M. Czjzek, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2015, 301–302, 134–146.
- 7 D. R. M. Smith, S. Gruschow and R. J. M. Goss, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2013, 17, 276–283.
- 8 K.-H. van Pee, Curr. Org. Chem., 2012, 16, 2583–2597.
- 9 C. Wagner, M. El Omari and G. M. Konig, J. Nat. Prod., 2009, 72, 540–553.
- 10 D. G. Fujimori and C. T. Walsh, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2007, 11, 553–560.
- 11 P. Tufvesson, J. Lima-Ramos, M. Nordblad and J. M. Woodley, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2010, 15, 266– 274.
- 12 M. Hofrichter and R. Ullrich, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2006, 71, 276–288.
- 13 R. Wever and M. A. van der Horst, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 11778–11786.
- 14 R. Wever, in Vanadium: Biochemical and Molecular Biological Approaches, Springer, 2012, pp. 95–125.
- 15 R. Wever and R. Renirie, in Peroxidases and Catalases: Biochemistry, Biophysics, Biotechnology, and Physiology, 2010, pp. 363–385.
- 16 R. Wever, in Peroxidases and Catalases: Biochemistry, Biophysics, Biotechnology, and Physiology, 2010.
- 17 J. W. P. M. Van Schijndel, P. Barnett, J. Roelse, E. G. M. Vollenbroek and R. Wever, Eur. J. Biochem., 1994, 225, 151–157.
- 18 J. N. Carter-Franklin, J. D. Parrish, R. A. Tschirret-Guth, R. D. Little and A. Butler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 3688–3689.
- 19 J. Latham, E. Brandenburger, S. A. Shepherd, B. R. K. Menon and J. Micklefield, Chem. Rev., 2017, 118, 232–269.
- 20 P. Bernhardt, T. Okino, J. M. Winter, A. Miyanaga and B. S. Moore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4268–4270.
- 21 L. Kaysser, P. Bernhardt, S.-J. Nam, S. Loesgen, J. G. Ruby, P. Skewes-Cox, P. R. Jensen, W. Fenical and B. S. Moore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 11988–11991.
- 22 Z. D. Miles, S. Diethelm, H. P. Pepper, D. M. Huang, J. H. George and B. S. Moore, Nat. Chem., 2017, 9, 1235.
- 23 D. R. Morris and L. P. Hager, J. Biol. Chem., 1966, 241, 1763–1768.
- 24 F. van de Velde, M. Bakker, F. van Rantwijk and R. A. Sheldon, Biotechnol. Bioeng., 2001, 72, 523–529.
- 25 B. O. O. Burek, S. Bormann, F. Hollmann, J. Bloh and D. Holtmann, Green Chem., 2019, 21, 3232–3249.
- 26 S. Bormann, A. Gomez Baraibar, Y. Ni, D. Holtmann and F. Hollmann, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 2038–2052.
- 27 R. Ullrich and M. Hofrichter, FEBS Lett., 2005, 579, 6247– 6250.
- 28 R. Ullrich, J. Nüske, K. Scheibner, J. Spantzel and M. Hofrichter, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2004, 70, 4575– 4581.
- 29 M. Hofrichter and R. Ullrich, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2014, 19, 116–125.
- 30 Y. Wang, D. Lan, R. Durrani and F. Hollmann, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2017, 37, 1–9.
- 31 H. S. Soedjak and A. Butler, Biochemistry, 1990, 29, 7974–7981.
- 32 J. N. Carter-Franklin and A. Butler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15060–15066.
- 33 M. Sandy, J. N. Carter-Franklin, J. D. Martin and A. Butler, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 12086–12088.
- 34 E. E. Coupe, M. G. Smyth, A. Fosberry, R. M. Hall and J. A. Littlechild, Protein Expression Purif., 2007, 52, 265–272.
- 35 K. Kaneko, K. Washio, T. Umezawa, F. Matsuda, M. Morikawa and T. Okino, Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem., 2014, 78, 1310–1319.
- 36 N. Itoh, L. Y. Cheng, Y. Izumi and H. Yamada, J. Biotechnol., 1987, 5, 29–38.
- 37 H. Vilter, Phytochemistry, 1984, 23, 1387–1390.
- 38 N. Itoh, Y. Izumi and H. Yamada, J. Biol. Chem., 1986, 261, 5194–5200.
- 39 A. Frank, C. J. Seel, M. Groll and T. Gulder, ChemBioChem, 2016, 17, 2028–2032.
- 40 H. Plat, B. E. Krenn and R. Wever, Biochem. J., 1987, 248, 277–279.
- 41 J. van Schijndel, E. Vollenbroek and R. Wever, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1993, 1161, 249–256.
- 42 R. Wever, B. E. Krenn and R. Renerie, in Methods in Enzymology, 2018, vol. 605.
- 43 E. Fernández-Fueyo, M. van Wingerden, R. Renirie, R. Wever, Y. Ni, D. Holtmann and F. Hollmann, ChemCatChem, 2015, 7, 4035–4038.
- 44 R. Renirie, C. Pierlot, R. Wever and J. M. Aubry, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym., 2009, 56, 259–264.
- 45 R. Renirie, C. Pierlot, J.-M. Aubry, A. F. Hartog, H. E. Schoemaker, P. L. Alsters and R. Wever, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2003, 345, 849–858.
- 46 E. Deboer and R. Wever, J. Biol. Chem., 1988, 263, 12326– 12332.
- 47 G. P. Rai, S. Sakai, A. M. Flórez, L. Mogollon and L. P. Hager, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2001, 343, 638–645.
- 48 F. Tieves, S. J.-P. Willot, M. M. C. H. van Schie, M. C. R. Rauch, S. H. H. Younes, W. Zhang, P. G. de Santos, J. M. Robbins, B. Bommarius, M. Alcalde, A. Bommarius and F. Hollmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 7873–7877. Open C Bornotecular Chemisty<br>
16 R. Wever, Briefling, 2019. Downloaded on 2019. Downloaded on 2019. Downloaded on 5/11/2024. Downloaded on 5/11/2024. Downloaded a Newton 1931. Distribution 2019. Distribution 2019. Distribu
	- 49 A. But, A. van Noord, F. Poletto, J. P. M. Sanders, M. C. R. Franssen and E. L. Scott, Mol. Catal., 2017, 443, 92–100.
	- 50 W. Zhang, E. Fernández-Fueyo, Y. Ni, M. van Schie, J. Gacs, R. Renirie, R. Wever, F. G. Mutti, D. Rother, M. Alcalde and F. Hollmann, Nat. Catal., 2018, 1, 55–62.
	- 51 C. J. Seel, A. Králík, M. Hacker, A. Frank, B. König and T. Gulder, ChemCatChem, 2018, 10, 3960–3963.
	- 52 D. I. Perez, M. Mifsud Grau, I. W. C. E. Arends and F. Hollmann, Chem. Commun., 2009, 44, 6848–6850.
	- 53 L. Getrey, T. Krieg, F. Hollmann, J. Schrader and D. Holtmann, Green Chem., 2014, 16, 1104–1108.
	- 54 P. L. Alsters, W. Jary, V. Nardello-Rataj and J. M. Aubry, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2010, 14, 259–262.
	- 55 V. Nardello, J. Marko, G. Vermeersch and J. M. Aubry, Inorg. Chem., 1995, 34, 4950–4957.
	- 56 V. Nardello, J. Barbillat, J. Marko, P. T. Witte, P. L. Alsters and J. M. Aubry, Chem. – Eur. J., 2003, 9, 435–441.
	- 57 N. Itoh, A. Hasan, Y. Izumi and H. Yamada, Eur. J. Biochem., 1988, 172, 477–484.
	- 58 D. Wischang and J. Hartung, Tetrahedron, 2012, 68, 9456– 9463.
	- 59 D. Wischang, O. Brucher and J. Hartung, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2011, 255, 2204–2217.
	- 60 D. Wischang and J. Hartung, Tetrahedron, 2011, 67, 4048–4054.
	- 61 D. Wischang, M. Radlow and J. Hartung, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 11926–11940.
	- 62 H. Yamada, N. Itoh and Y. Izumi, J. Biol. Chem., 1985, 260, 1962–1969.
	- 63 B. A. Kaup, U. Piantini, M. Wust and J. Schrader, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2007, 73, 1087–1096.
	- 64 U. Piantini, J. Schrader, A. Wawrzun and M. Wust, Food Chem., 2011, 129, 1025–1029.
	- 65 J. J. Dong, E. Fernandez-Fueyo, J. Li, Z. Guo, R. Renirie, R. Wever and F. Hollmann, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 6207–6210.
- 66 J. Naapuri, J. D. Rolfes, J. Keil, C. Manzuna Sapu and J. Deska, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 447–452.
- 67 A. But, J. Le Nôtre, E. L. Scott, R. Wever and J. P. M. Sanders, ChemSusChem, 2012, 5, 1199– 1202.
- 68 D. Thiel, D. Doknić and J. Deska, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 5278.
- 69 E. Fernández-Fueyo, S. H. H. Younes, S. v. Rootselaar, R. W. M. Aben, R. Renirie, R. Wever, D. Holtmann, F. P. J. T. Rutjes and F. Hollmann, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 5904–5907. **Open Access Articles.** Published on 2019. The state of the state. Characterist
	- 70 M. Narender, M. S. Reddy, Y. V. D. Nageswar and K. R. Rao, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2006, 258, 10–14.
- 71 M. Andersson, V. Conte, F. Di Furia and S. Moro, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 2675–2678.
- 72 V. Conte, B. Floris, P. Galloni and A. Silvagni, Pure Appl. Chem., 2005, 77, 1575–1581.
- 73 M. R. Maurya, B. Uprety, F. Avecilla, P. Adão and J. Costa Pessoa, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 17736–17755.
- 74 L. Claes, R. Matthessen, I. Rombouts, I. Stassen, T. De Baerdemaeker, D. Depla, J. A. Delcour, B. Lagrain and D. E. De Vos, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 345–352.
- 75 L. Claes, J. Verduyckt, I. Stassen, B. Lagrain and D. E. De Vos, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 6528–6531.
- 76 Y. Ni, D. Holtmann and F. Hollmann, ChemCatChem, 2014, 6, 930–943.