Sculpturing wafer-scale nanofluidic devices for DNA single molecule analysis†
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We present micro- and nanofluidic devices with 3D structures and nanochannels with multiple depths for the analysis of single molecules of DNA. Interfacing the nanochannels with graded and 3D inlets allows the improvement of the flow and controls not only the translocation speed of the DNA but also its conformation inside the nanochannels. The complex, multilevel, multiscale fluidic circuits are patterned in a simple, two-minute imprinting step. The stamp, the key of the technology, is directly milled by focused ion beam, which allows patterning nanochannels with different cross sections and depths, together with 3D transient inlets, all at once. Having such a variety of structures integrated in the same sample allows studying, optimizing and directly comparing their effect on the DNA flow. Here, DNA translocation is studied in long (160 µm) and short (5–40 µm) nanochannels. We study the homogeneity of the stretched molecules in long, meander nanochannels made with this technology. In addition, we analyze the effect of the different types of inlet structures interfacing short nanochannels. We observe pre-stretching and an optimal flow, and no hairpin formation, when the inlets have gradually decreasing widths and depths. In contrast, when the nanochannels are faced with an abrupt transition, we observe clogging and hairpin formation. In addition, 3D inlets strongly decrease the DNA molecules’ speed before they enter the nanochannels, and help capturing more DNA molecules. The robustness and versatility of this technology and DNA testing results evidence the potential of imprinted devices in biomedical applications as low cost, disposable lab-on-a-chip devices.

Introduction

New micro- and nanofabrication technologies are leading the way for the development of portable devices. These allow for in situ detection and quantification of a variety of analytes, like iron in blood,1 gases in a combustion engine, or toxins in fresh water.2 But there are still challenges to address, like expanding the range of (bio)molecules that can be detected, improving the device sensitivity to study single molecules, and reducing the fabrication costs to make the devices affordable.

Integrated micro- and nanofluidic devices are valuable tools for handling, manipulating and detecting ultra-low quantities of biomolecules.3–5 In particular, on-chip analysis of DNA6,7 can have an impact on early disease diagnosis, on personalized medicine and on effective cancer treatment.8 The DNA molecules can be stretched inside nanochannels and analyzed, for instance, by optical mapping.9–12,41

Physical confinement13 of the molecules in the nanochannels is a reliable and reproducible elongation method.14,15 And decreasing the nanochannel cross-section reduces the conformational degree of freedom, so the DNA molecules can be elongated to almost their full contour length.15–17 But the smaller the cross section, the larger the size mismatch between micro and nanostructures, and the harder it gets for the DNA to overcome the entropic barrier and enter the nanochannel. This becomes especially problematic for very long molecules (e.g., genomic length DNA15), which often get entangled and clog the entrance of the nanochannels. In this respect, structures like pillars with gradually decreasing size can be patterned in the microchannels to ease the introduction of genomic DNA into nanochannels.18,19 These structures also pre-stretch the DNA and solve the problem of hairpin formation. Another solution is to gradually decrease the dimensions of the micro or nanochannel to smoothen the transition. Structures with not only gradually decreasing widths but also depths (i.e., “funnel”-like) are optimal for this purpose,20 but their fabrication is time-consuming and complicated. Since these inlets require a gradient depth profile, standard 2D top
down techniques need to be tuned, or alternative methods need to be used, and every additional processing step translates into additional fabrication time for each sample.

Genomic length DNA molecules can be visualized for example in long, meander nanochannels or studied using a point-light source and a photon counter in shorter nanochannels. In both cases, the inlets play an important role. For the long nanochannels, for an optimal stretching, the cross section needs to have a comparable dimension to the DNA persistence length, which is in the order of 50 nm. This results in a large entropic barrier, and only by using graded structures and/or inlets it is possible to bring the molecules in the channel. In the second case, when the length of the nanochannels is similar or shorter than the length of the stretched DNA, the molecules do not have time to relax and often form hairpins when they enter the nanochannels, preventing a good quality of the read-out signal. By using appropriate inlets, the molecules can be pre-stretched to avoid the hairpin formation and have an optimal, linear conformation inside the nanochannel.

Patterning of fluidic structures with controlled positions, depths and dimensions requires top-down fabrication methods, and usually several micro- and nano lithography steps. Most of the techniques used now-a-days suffer from limitations, especially when the patterning involves both micro and nanostructures and funnel structures. Nanoimprint lithography is a parallel, high throughput mechanical molding process, where the structures on the stamp are physically imprinted into a polymer film at wafer scale with high throughput and resolution. The fabrication of stamps is a crucial process of this technology, since the quality of the final structures directly depends on the quality of the stamp. Stamps for making devices requiring different depths, as would be ideal for the fluidic devices used for genomic-length DNA stretching, are particularly complex, and the associated stamp fabrication is challenging.

Here, we propose a combination of direct milling by focused ion beam (FIB) for rapid prototyping of master stamps and ultraviolet nanoimprint lithography (UV-NIL) for high throughput device fabrication.

FIB direct milling enables maskless and resist-free fabrication of nanostructures with different cross sections and depth levels, all in one step. And, as an especially interesting point, 3D patterning is straightforward and is very useful for fabricating devices with nanochannels with transient and funnel inlets for DNA stretching. This is not possible with other techniques.

However, FIB milling is slow and has a low throughput. In order to overcome its limitations and compensate for the costs, once the silicon stamp is fabricated, we use direct nanoimprinting to make the devices using the FIB-made structures for imprinting. In this way, the fluidic samples are fabricated at wafer scale, in parallel, in a two-minute process. Since we use the polymer as an active material, there is no need for post-processing, and the samples can be directly used afterwards for flow tests. Thus, the combination of FIB and UV-NIL leads to a fast and simple “nano-sculpturing” of multilevel, multidimensional lab-on-a-chip devices for biomedical applications.

The simplicity of the fabrication process, biocompatibility of the polymer, and the optical transparency of the micro- and nanochannels for flow visualization are key characteristics of this approach.

In this article, we demonstrate how FIB allows simple patterning of stamps with multiple depth levels and 3D structures. Subsequently, we show the quick imprinting of the stamp structures into fluidic devices. We study the flow and stretching of DNA molecules in different types of nanochannels. We characterized the homogeneity of the elongated molecules in 160 μm long, meander nanochannels. And we studied the effect of the topography on the flow of DNA molecules in nanochannels with shorter lengths (between 5 and 40 μm). We compared the flow along similar nanochannels interfaced with different inlet geometries, with 3D structures, transient nanochannels and other configurations, and compared them with abrupt micro-to-nano transitions. We characterized the flow time from one microchannel to the other, the pre-stretching and the translocation speed and conformation inside the nanochannel. We found the best configurations to avoid coiled or hairpin-shaped DNA inside the nanochannels.

Results and discussion

Fabrication process

Fig. 1 shows a schematic overview of a 1 cm × 1 cm stamp to make fluidic devices. It has two U-shaped microchannels, which connect four liquid reservoirs to the nanochannels. Nanochannels with different depths and cross sections run perpendicular to the microchannels, and tapered inlets connect the micro- and nanostructures.

The device fabrication is based on a double imprint process. First, a silicon master stamp is fabricated by FIB and photolithography. Then, a negative replica is made by UV nanoimprint lithography, so all micro- and nanofeatures of the silicon stamp are transferred into the polymeric copy at

![Fig. 1 Sketch of the silicon stamp fabrication. (a) Microchannels are fabricated by photolithography and reactive ion etching. (b) Transient inlets are milled by focused ion beam. (c) Nanochannels are milled also by focused ion beam milling. Different types of nanochannels (linear and meander, with different widths and depths) and different inlets (graded, 3D, stepped) can be milled in the same step.](image-url)
Stamp fabrication. Stamp fabrication is the most critical step of the process. Our proposed method, based on FIB direct milling allows straightforward, rapid and flexible prototyping, by fabricating new stamps with complex structures and depths in a quick manner. In contrast, the fabrication of nanochannels with different depths by e-beam lithography (EBL) requires several steps, including alignment, exposure, development, etching, and resist stripping for each desired set of nanochannel depths or profiles, as discussed in the ESI.

In this work, the silicon master stamp is fabricated in two steps, as sketched in Fig. 1. First, the microchannels are made by conventional photolithography, followed by dry etching, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The detailed process and parameters are described in the ESI.† In all stamps of this work, the microchannels are ∼20 µm wide and ∼1 µm deep. Then, multilevel transient inlets and nanochannels with different depths and profiles are directly milled by FIB (Zeiss Crossbeam 550) as shown in Fig. 1(b) and (c) respectively. A video showing the milling of a channel and the 3D inlets is attached as ESI media.†

Nanochannel milling. The nanochannels are directly milled by FIB in-between the microchannels, as sketched in Fig. 2(a). One advantage of using FIB is that, by tuning the milling parameters, the profile of the nanochannels can be tailored. As can be seen in Fig. 2(b), two nanochannels with different cross sections and depths can be easily milled one after the other within the same stamp. The narrower and deeper nanochannel on the left has a rectangular cross section, while the right nanochannel is wider and has a V-shaped profile. The left nanochannel is milled with three times higher Ga+ ion beam current and dose than the right nanochannel: 300 pA and 240 mC cm⁻² for the left nanochannel in comparison with 100 pA and 80 mC cm⁻² for the right nanochannel.

Using a FIB-SEM system allows imaging the process in real time, which leads to a quick and easy quality control during parameter optimization. Thanks to this, nanochannels as small as 130 nm × 100 nm can be achieved, as shown in Fig. 2(c). However, we never observed DNA flow inside such small nanochannels. On the other hand, nanochannels with lateral dimensions starting at 180 nm could be successfully used for DNA flow.

Fig. 2(d) shows the AFM profile of a nanochannel to study the conservation of the critical dimensions, the slope of the walls and the roughness. It has a FWHM of 280 nm and a depth of 120 nm. Square-shaped nanochannels are typically 30% wider at the top than at the bottom, and have a sidewall slope angle of 75°. The measured wall roughness is between 10 and 12 nm, as calculated from the AFM images.

3D inlet milling. Smooth 3D inlets are achieved by pattern- 
ing a triangle with a homogeneous dose in one (or two) uni-
directional passes, from the triangle tip to the bottom. This leads to material re-deposition on the exposed parts, which creates the desired gradient depth. Fig. 2(e) and (f) show 3D
funnel-like tapered inlets, milled with a 300 pA beam current and a dose of 200 mC cm$^{-2}$, in 40 seconds. Fig. 2(g) shows a typical profile of these structures, with a slope of 30°.

**Stamps with different inlets.** We fabricated stamps with arrays of long and short nanochannels, linear or meander shaped, with different profiles and/or depths. And we interfaced the nanochannels with different types of inlets. Fig. 3 shows an example of a silicon stamp where different types of transient inlets have been patterned. These are unique to characterize the effect of the topography on the DNA flow. A general overview of the stamp can be seen in (a). In (b)–(f), details of five different configurations are shown. A 40 μm long nanochannel is shown in (b). (c) Shows an abrupt transition, where the nanochannel is connected to a 2 μm wide, 1 μm deep structure. (d) Shows smooth, funnel-like 3D inlets. (e) Shows the combination of several micro and nanochannels with gradually decreasing widths and depths, as detailed in the caption. And (f) shows a flat, shallow triangular inlet, 220 nm deep. All these types of structures are milled in the same step, one after the other, which is only possible using FIB. And all the nanochannels are identical, 260 nm wide and 220 nm deep, to allow for a direct comparison of the flow for the different configurations within the same sample and experiment. The goal is to compare the DNA flow in structures that can be typically found in devices made by photolithography and/or EBL (as the abrupt transient or the shallow inlet) and compare it to structures with gradually decreasing dimensions (like the 3D or the transient inlets) which can be done thanks to FIB direct milling, as shown later in this work. The stamp contains various blocks of nanochannels with different nanochannel lengths: 10 μm long (as those shown in Fig. 3(a)), 20 μm (as shown in (b)–(f)) and up to 30 μm.

Another stamp was made, following the same idea of comparing the different transient elements (squared channels with micro to nano dimensions and 3D inlets), combined in blocks. SEM images of the stamp and details of the idea can be found in the ESI.

**Device imprinting.** Fig. 4(a) shows a stamp with an array of four similar long, meander nanochannels, ~160 μm long, ~350 nm wide, and ~150 nm deep. Meander nanochannels are interesting since they allow for the visualization of ultra-long DNA molecules within one field of view of the camera, as proposed in the work of Freitag et al.**38** Fig. 4(b–j) show the process to make devices by imprinting using this stamp. In (b–d), a sketch of the device fabrication process by nano-imprinting is illustrated. Once the silicon stamp is milled (b), a negative transparent polymeric replica is made (c) by casting a drop of a UV curable polymer (Ormostamp**39**) on a glass substrate, pressing with the stamp and exposing it to UV light for two minutes. Then, this negative stamp is used to imprint the polymeric fluidic device in another identical, two minute imprinting step (d). The details of the double imprint process were previously reported**37** and can also be found in the Materials and methods section.

Fig. 4(e–j) show scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the structures along the double imprinting process, for a nanochannel in the silicon stamp ((e) and (h)), in a negative replica polymer stamp ((f) and (i)) and in the final polymer fluidic sample ((g) and (j)). As can be seen, the quality and smoothness of the imprinted micro and nanostructures is replicated from the original silicon stamp across the different steps. Both the silicon stamp and the negative stamp can be reused several times to fabricate hundreds of samples.

To perform flow measurements on the final fluidic device, the sample needs to be sealed. A glass coverslip and the sample are brought into contact and bonded just by pushing them together with the fingers. The presence of –OH groups on the surface of the polymer is the key for the adhesion and proper sealing.**40** Fluid flow experiments are performed to validate the patency and continuity of the nanochannels and the liquid confinement. (Micro)spectroscopy measurements also verify and examine the sealing quality, and discard possible.
We have fabricated different types of devices: with long (160 µm) meander nanochannels, and with short (5-20 µm) linear nanochannels. For this work, we used λ-DNA stained with YOYO-1 in a ratio of 1:5 dyes per base pair. Under these conditions, the contour length of the molecules (L_{DNA}) is ∼20 µm. In this context, and for the sake of simplicity, we refer in the following to “long nanochannels” as those with a length (L_{nch}) longer than the contour length of the DNA molecules (L_{nch} = L_{DNA}), so the stretched molecules fully fit inside, and can be imaged several times with a camera as they flow through. On the other hand, we use the term “short nanochannels” for those whose length is similar or shorter than the DNA contour length (L_{nch} ≤ L_{DNA}).

In both cases, the transient inlets are necessary for a smooth flow, to bring the molecules uncoiled into the nanochannels and avoid clogging, as explained before. The meander nanochannels are long and have a small cross section for an optimal physical confinement, what makes the entry of the DNA molecules challenging. The 3D inlets patterned at the entrance help to overcome this barrier. In short nanochannels the stretching is dynamic and fast (i.e., one end pulls from the rest of the molecule). Since the molecules do not have time to relax, they often form clogs or hairpins when they enter the nanochannels, preventing a good quality of the read-out signal. By using appropriate inlets, the molecules can be pre-stretched to avoid the hairpin formation, as will be shown in this work.

**Long nanochannels (L_{nch} = L_{DNA}).** To check the functionality of the devices made by FIB and imprinting for DNA analysis and evaluate their homogeneity, we have flown λ-DNA molecules in long, meander nanochannels. Fig. 5(a) shows the DNA molecules flowing through a 400 nm wide, 410 nm deep and 160 µm long meander nanochannel, driven by electrophoresis. The image shows a z-projection of the maximum fluorescence intensity per pixel of a 140 frame movie, calculated using ImageJ (see the Materials and methods section). The original fluorescence movie and a time sequence of the frames, where one of the molecules can be seen entering the channel, flowing through, and exiting, can be seen in the ESI.

We have evaluated the homogeneity of the elongation of the molecules, since this is a direct measurement of the homogeneity of the lateral dimensions of the nanochannels fabricated following the process presented here. For this, we have measured the fluorescence profile of one specific molecule (“Molecule 1”) at three different positions of the meander nanochannel. Fig. 5(b) shows fluorescence images of this molecule at the three positions, and (e) shows the corresponding intensity profiles. Similar measurements for two other molecules (“Molecule 2” and “Molecule 3”) can be seen in Fig. 5(c)-(g). The values of the apparent lengths for each molecule and position, and their averages are summarized in Table 1. These results show that each molecule is homogeneously stretched inside the nanochannel, with a variation in the order of 3 to 5%.

**Short nanochannels (L_{nch} ≤ L_{DNA}).** Short linear nanochannels can be used for imaging short DNA fragments with a
microscope, or for ultra-fast DNA detection using a point light source (e.g., a laser). The molecules flow more easily across such nanochannels with a faster speed. The samples were stained with a DNA binding dye and imprinted with the stamps presented in Fig. 3 and in Fig. S6† are unique to evaluate how the DNA flow can be controlled using the topography, and study how the DNA molecules behave in nanochannels with graded sizes and 3D inlets. Having all these configurations within the same sample allows for a direct comparison of the effect of the inlet structure, discarding other variables like the concentration of molecules in the microchannel, or variations in the buffer concentrations, as these should be the same within each experiment and sample.

We have flown λ-DNA molecules into such devices, and recorded the translocation of the molecules by fluorescence microscopy. Two videos with the live translocation of the DNA molecules along devices where the nanochannels are interfaced with the different types of inlets can be seen in the ESI media.† One shows a device imprinted using the stamp shown in Fig. S6,† and the other using the stamp shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 6 shows a summary of the molecule flow from the bottom microchannel to the upper one: the molecules enter into the different inlets with different geometries, get stretched in the nanochannels, and then from the outlet into the upper microchannel. A time sequence of one molecule flowing in a long nanochannel without inlets is shown in (a), in a nanochannel with an abrupt transition in (c), in a nanochannel interfaced with a set of transient nanochannels, gradually smaller and shallower, in (d), and in a nanochannel with a long, smooth, 3D inlet in (b). All the nanochannels are part of the same sample, can be found one next to each other, and all the images correspond to the same experiment. For each configuration, a sketch of the configuration is shown, together with an SEM image of the actual structure, and a series of fluorescence images (consecutive, 100 ms apart), showing the translocation of a DNA molecule from one microchannel to the opposite one. The graph in Fig. 6(e) shows the position of the molecules along the inlets and nanochannels vs. time obtained from the fluorescence images for each configuration.

Several things can be evaluated for each inlet type: the flow time from one microchannel to the other, the pre-stretching (both have an effect on the hairpin formation, and to avoid clogging) and its effectiveness for capturing DNA molecules (which will increase the number of molecules that flow through the nanochannels).

**Microchannel to microchannel flow time.** The time sequences shown in Fig. 6(a)–(d) and the graph in (e) show the time that the molecules need for flowing from one microchannel to the other. This time is increased by the presence of the inlets and depends on their geometry. The molecules flow from the bottom microchannel up to the opposite one in less than 100 ms when they flow through the nanochannels without inlets. In squared, deep inlets, the molecule flows from the microchannel, into the inlet, through the nanochannel, and out of the outlet in 400 ms. On the other hand, when the inlet has a 3D funnel shape, the molecule needs 1.5 s to travel the same path. In this respect, it could be said that the long, 3D tapered inlets "slow down" the molecules before they enter the nanochannels.

**Table 1** Apparent length of three different molecules of λ-DNA in a meander nanochannel, each measured at different positions. The average value for each molecule is also shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apparent length (µm)</th>
<th>Molecule 1</th>
<th>Molecule 2</th>
<th>Molecule 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position 1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position 2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position 3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-stretching and hairpin formation. DNA clogs the entrance of nanochannels when the centre of the molecule is pulled into the nanochannel, instead of one of the ends. The longer the molecule, the more likely this is to happen. In the case where the cross section of the nanochannel is smaller than the effective section of the coiled molecule, this results in clogging. When the cross section is larger, the molecule can enter the nanochannels and flow through, but it will have a hairpin formation, instead of being elongated. Both clogging and hairpin formation can be avoided by using appropriate inlets, which pre-stretch the molecule and thus facilitate that one end finds the entrance first.

Using a laser focused into the nanochannel as a point-excitation and a photon counter as a real time fluorescence read out, we can gain insight into the conformation of the molecules inside short nanochannels.24 Fig. 7 shows the real time fluorescence signals of several λ-DNA molecules translocating through short nanochannels interfaced with different inlet configurations: an abrupt transition (a), squared transient inlets (b), and smooth 3D inlets (c). The three graphs have the same x and y scales, for a reliable comparison. These channels correspond to those shown before in Fig. 6(b), (c) and (d), and the configuration is sketched again inside each graph.

The configuration with an abrupt micro-to-nanochannel transition results in hairpin formation inside the nanochannel, as evidenced from the signals in Fig. 7(a) and sketched in (d). In the inset on the top it can be seen that the signals are intense at the beginning, corresponding to the coiled part of the molecule, and then the intensity becomes lower and constant, corresponding to the stretched part.

For the cases of smooth transitions, either by using nanochannels with gradually smaller cross sections (Fig. 7(b)) or a 3D inlet (Fig. 7(c)), the DNA signals have a step shape, with homogeneous intensity and duration, as a result of linearly stretched molecules inside the nanochannel, without coils or hairpins, as sketched in Fig. 7(e). Thanks to the slowing down of the molecules and to the gradually decreasing dimensions, the 3D inlets and the rectangular, graded ones do pre-stretch the molecules, compared to straight nanochannels without inlets or those with an abrupt transition.

Table 2 shows the average peak durations and the calculated translocation speeds for the three different configurations, measured from the real time fluorescence signals obtained with the laser excitation. The signals appear shorter for the abrupt transition (5 ms) as a result of the coil and become longer for the smooth transitions: 8.8 ms for the graded nanochannels, and 7.1 ms for the 3D inlets. The DNA shows a similar elongation in both cases (the signals in (b) and (c) have similar intensities) but translocates slightly faster in the nanochannels with the 3D inlets (5.51 ± 0.69 kbp ms⁻¹ vs. 6.83 ± 0.67 kbp ms⁻¹). This proves that the topography has
Fig. 7 Real time photoluminescence signals of \( \lambda \)-DNA molecules translocating through three similar nanochannels, interfaced with different inlets. (a) Shows the fluorescence bursts of DNA molecules flowing through a 280 nm nanochannel connected to a 1 \( \mu \)m wide and 1 \( \mu \)m deep inlet. This represents an abrupt transition. (b) Shows the molecules flowing through a nanochannel connected to gradually narrower and shallower inlets. (c) Shows the DNA translocation through a nanochannel connected to 3D funnel-like inlets. The channels in (b) and (c) are identical: 250 nm wide, 5 \( \mu \)m long. Each graph shows the signals of five different molecules. The measurements were obtained from nanochannels in the same sample, one after the other, so the intensity values can be quantitatively compared. The molecules in the abrupt transition configuration in (a) have a hairpin conformation, as can be concluded from the PL signal shape, highlighted in the inset, while those in the smooth transitions are fully elongated. (d) and (e) Show a sketch for the two situations. The average translocation times are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 Average signal duration and translocation speed for \( \lambda \)-DNA molecules across nanochannels with different inlet configurations: an abrupt transition, graded nanochannels, and smooth 3D inlets. The peak duration and consequent translocation speed are obtained from the fluorescence signals obtained in real time with a laser excitation and a photon counter (signals shown in Fig. 7). The total number of molecules measured for each system is 13 for the abrupt structure, 42 for the graded one, and 22 for the 3D inlet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inlet type</th>
<th>Average peak duration (ms)</th>
<th>Average translocation speed (kbp ms(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Conformation of DNA inside</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abrupt</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>Hairpin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graded</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>Stretched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>Stretched</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capturing DNA. The number of molecules translocating through the nanochannel for a given time frame is another important aspect to consider. It depends on the DNA concentration in the microchannel and on the ability of the inlet to “capture” the molecules. The electro-osmotic forces across the nanochannel act only on those molecules that flow near the entrance. Having an inlet already improves significantly the capturing volume, since the cross section interfacing the microchannel is strongly increased, not only in the horizontal plane but also in depth. Then, the forces push the molecule into the nanochannel, provided it is enough to overcome the entropic barrier.\(^\text{20}\) In this respect, 3D or graded inlets smoothen the entropic barrier for the molecules, thus reducing the force opposing the flow, and improve the capturing rate.

We study how many molecules flow into each nanochannel configuration per minute, as a measure of the ability of the different inlets to capture DNA. We used samples imprinted with the stamp presented in Fig. 3, where all the channels have the exact same lateral dimensions and lengths (260 nm wide, 220 nm deep, and 20 \( \mu \)m long), and only the inlets are different. A video of the flow in real time is attached as supplementary media.

The number of DNA molecules that flow through each nanochannel per minute for each configuration is summarized in Table 3. Three cases similar to those analysed before are shown: an abrupt transition, a 3D inlet, and a nanochannel interfaced with gradually smaller squared nanochannels. In addition, we also show a shallow, 2D triangular inlet and a 40 \( \mu \)m long nanochannel directly connected to the microchannel without inlets. The molecules were counted from several consecutive videos, where the understudied channels were all simultaneously observed. More than 300 molecules were counted to calculate the capturing rates.

The results in Table 3 show a clear trend, which agrees with the predictions and previous studies: the inlets which capture more molecules are those with smooth transitions, either graded or smooth 3D, since they clearly help reducing the entropic barrier. The low throughput of the shallow triangular inlet is easily explained by the fact that the cross section interfacing the microchannel is smaller than that of the other inlets, and so the entropic barrier is larger. The same applies to the long nanochannel, which surprisingly gets more DNA than

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inlet type</th>
<th>Inlet sketch</th>
<th>DNA capture (min(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No inlet, long</td>
<td><img src="https://example.com" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrupt</td>
<td><img src="https://example.com" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funnel 3D</td>
<td><img src="https://example.com" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graded nanochannels</td>
<td><img src="https://example.com" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat triangular</td>
<td><img src="https://example.com" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the short nanochannel with the shallow inlet. The other three configurations show numbers in a similar range, since they all have similar dimensions interfacing the microfluidic channel. But the nanochannel with the squared transients gets almost twice as many molecules as that with the abrupt transition.

**Effect of the inlet configuration on the DNA flow: discussion.** In a recent work by Zhou *et al.*, they explain the improved capture rate of 3D funnel inlets by the fact that there is an additional force acting in these structures, which helps pushing the DNA into the nanochannel. This is an osmotic gradient force, resulting from the local variation in the DNA monomer concentration along the inlet. At some position along the funnel, since the volume gets smaller, the concentration gets higher, and the molecule can even get trapped, as they have shown in their work. We do not observe a complete stop of the molecules in the 3D funnels here, but this “electro-osmotic piston” effect also explains the strong decrease in the molecule’s speed inside the 3D inlets, as shown in Fig. 6(b) and (e). In the case of the square, graded inlets, this effect is not so strong, and the molecule “jumps” from one step to the next, so the flow inside the graded inlet is much faster.

Regarding the speed and conformation of the DNA inside the nanochannel, which are even more important parameters for optical mapping, we observed a similar degree of stretching in the nanochannel interfaced with the graded nanochannels and the smooth 3D inlet, but a faster flow inside the latest as described in previous sections (5.51 ± 0.69 kbp ms⁻¹ in the graded, vs. 6.83 ± 0.67 kbp ms⁻¹ in the 3D funnel). These measurements were done using a laser excitation, which provides better accuracy than microscopy, and the results are statistically significant. Since both nanochannels are identical, and the measurements are performed within the same experiment under the same conditions, we attribute the different speed to the inlet configuration.

In nanochannels whose lengths are shorter than that the length of the stretched DNA (as those used here) there are three regimes of the molecule's entropy during translocation: (1) when it enters the nanochannels, as the first end is progressing inside, the fraction of the molecule that is confined is increasing, thus the entropy decreases. (2) When this end reaches the outlet, the entropy is constant until the trailing end enters the nanochannel. (3) Then, the entropy increases as the trailing end progresses towards the outlet. The recoil force pulling the DNA out of the confined area may be depending on the inlet shape (gradual or abrupt), which explains the difference in the translocation speed. In the 3D inlet, the molecule can easily diffuse out of the smaller region into gradually larger ones, so the pulling out force is stronger. But in the squared channel, when the first end of the molecule reaches the end of the nanochannel, it encounters an outlet which has still very small dimensions, so the recoiling is not so energetically favourable as the molecule fills the volume. This means that the speed at which the molecule is pulled out of the nanochannel is smaller.

As a result of the direct comparison of these structures, we conclude that nanochannels connected to transient inlets with gradually decreasing width and depths are optimal configurations for stretching genomic length DNA molecules. The inlets pre-stretch the molecules, avoid hairpin formation and improve the capturing rate. We even recommend nanochannels with gradually decreasing widths and depths over 3D funnel structures, since they show a slightly better performance. This can be taken as a recommendation for future studies and device designs.

**Conclusions**

This paper describes the fabrication process of integrated micro- and nanofluidic devices based on the combination of the versatile, high resolution patterning capabilities of focused ion beam milling and high throughput of UV nanoimprint lithography. Complete fluidic circuits are sculptured first in a silicon stamp; these contain microchannels, nanochannels with different depths and layouts, together with transient inlets to facilitate and smoothen the flow. Then, following a double replication process, the fluidic samples are made in a two-minute UV nanoimprint step. This approach greatly simplifies the fabrication of micro- and nanofluidic devices with well-controlled dimensions and configurations, and with complex gradient, multi-level and 3D structures.

We demonstrated effective and homogeneous stretching and flow of DNA molecules in long, meander nanochannels. And we studied the effect of different inlet configurations for DNA flow in short channels. The results show that smooth transitions, as those provided by triangular 3D inlets, or nanochannels with gradually decreasing widths and depths, greatly improve the flow of DNA in the nanochannels. They slow down the molecules before they enter the nanochannel, pre-stretch them, avoid the formation of hairpins, and capture a larger amount of molecules than bare nanochannels or nanochannels with abrupt transitions.

These results pave the way for using nanoimprinted devices for DNA analysis and for other (bio)medical applications, where cheap, disposable, high quality devices are required.

**Materials and methods**

**Imprinting of fluidic devices**

The fluidic devices can be imprinted on a variety of substrates including silicon, glass, quartz, plastic or metals. Here we use 2 mm thick Plexiglas plates as substrates. Plexiglas can be easily cut into different sizes, depending on the application requirements. In addition, drilling holes for liquid input in Plexiglas is simple, compared to glass or to other hard/brittle materials. The samples are made on 7.5 cm × 2.3 cm Plexiglas pieces, to emulate the dimensions of a typical microscope glass slide, and facilitate optical characterization. Four holes (3 mm in diameter, 1 cm apart) are drilled through the Plexiglas to serve as liquid reservoirs. Then, the micro- and nanostructures are patterned by UV-NIL, by casting a drop of a
polymer (Ormostamp, from micro resist technology GmbH), gently pressing it against the stamp, and curing it for 120 seconds under a UV lamp (365 nm, 13 mW cm⁻²). With this, all the multilevel, 3D micro- and nanostructures of the stamp are imprinted into the polymer at once. The stamps were milled using a FIB-SEM system (Crossbeam 550, from ZEISS).

**Device sealing**

To perform flow measurements on the final fluidic device, the sample needs to be sealed. A glass coverslip and the sample (Plexiglas with imprinted structures) are treated in a UV/Ozone cleaner for 2 minutes. This creates −OH groups on the polymer surface, improves the adhesion and makes the surface hydrophilic to improve the flow. Then the coverslip is brought into contact with the sample and sealed just by pushing them together with the fingers. The thin sealing material (coverslip) is transparent to permit optical characterization inside the channels and facilitate further use of the device for single molecules and particle detection in transmission and reflection modes in an epifluorescence microscope. The sealing quality is checked by spatially resolved photoluminescence (see the ESI†), and no leaks are observed.

**Sample characterization by scanning electron microscopy**

SEM images are obtained using charge compensation in a ZEISS Crossbeam 550, enabling us to characterize even the most insulating samples (nanostructures imprinted on a thick polymer onto a glass or Plexiglas substrate) in a non-destructive way.

A common method of avoiding surface charging in SEM imaging is the deposition of a thin metal film on the sample surface. However, this permanent coating will render the samples unusable. The charge neutralization system in the SEM allows for direct inspection of glass stamps, polymers and fluidic samples with no metal coating. For this, dry nitrogen is injected locally through a nozzle towards the sample, resulting in ionization of the nitrogen gas by the electrons, and so local neutralization of negative charges by positive ions on the sample. This method allows us to inspect and image the samples, and re-use them afterwards.

**Optical characterization**

**Fluorescence imaging.** An inverted epifluorescence microscope (ECLIPSE Ti-U, Nikon), and a high-quantum-yield camera (EMCCD Evolve® 512 Delta, PHOTOMETRICS) are used to image the fluidic samples and visualize DNA molecules. A metal halide lamp (Intensilight, Nikon) is used as the excitation source. Fluorescent filter cubes are used for fluorescence imaging and to cut the excitation signal for spectroscopy. The samples are imaged using a 100× oil immersion objective with a NA of 1.45.

**Fluorescence time scans.** A laser (He:Ne, 632 nm emission wavelength) is focused into the nanochannels using a 100× oil immersion objective. An excitation power of 1.2 mW was used. The position of the laser is controlled with a piezo stage. A band-pass filter is used to cut out the laser signal, and the emitted photoluminescence and fluorescence are recorded in real time using a single photon counter (COUNT Module, from Laser Components).

**Image analysis**

Image and video analysis is done using the software ImageJ. This software has a tool for plotting z-projections of a stack of images. In this work, we used a function to plot the maximum intensity recorded for each pixel in a given frame interval of the stack. This is easily accessible from the software in the Stack tools, as “Z Project”.

**DNA sample preparation and staining**

We used double stranded DNA from a λ-bacteriophage (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 48 502 base pairs long. The molecules are fluorescently stained with an intercalating dye, YOYO-1 or TOTO-3 (both from Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a ratio of 5 : 1 base pairs per dye. The stained DNA molecules are diluted in 0.5× TBE (Tris-Borate-EDTA buffer, pH 8.3, Sigma-Aldrich) aqueous buffer. TBE buffer is degassed for ~45 minutes. Degasing of the buffer avoids photonicking of the stained molecules in the presence of oxygen and also minimizes the formation of air bubbles in the microchannels. A small droplet (0.1 µL) of Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) is added to the buffer to facilitate the flow. Triton also suppresses the electroosmotic flow. 5 µL of liquid containing the DNA are loaded into one liquid access hole. It wets the channels by capillary action and the molecules are transported along the microchannels. When the upstream microchannel is fully wet (after ~10 minutes), the opposite, downstream microchannel is filled with buffer (no DNA). Platinum electrodes are immersed in the filled reservoirs, and a voltage is applied. The DNA molecules are electrokinetically driven into the long nanochannels by sweeping the voltage in the range of 12–80 V. Nanochannels with smaller cross sections require higher voltage drops, since the field strength should be high enough to overcome the entropic barrier, and to avoid recoil. In most of the experiments with short nanochannels, no voltage was applied.
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