



Cite this: *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, 1, 4067

# A solvent-free porous liquid comprising hollow nanorod–polymer surfactant conjugates†

Raj Kumar,‡ Prabhu Dhasaiyan,  ‡ Parinamipura M. Naveenkumar and Kamendra P. Sharma  \*

Liquids having permanent porosity can offer significant processing advantages over their solid counterparts. This has recently led to tremendous activity towards the design and development of intrinsic pores in the liquid phase, predominantly for studies involving gas sequestration. We show here the development of a solvent-free mesoporous liquid material based on anisotropic “hollow-core and silica-shell” nanorods conjugated with polymer surfactant chains, which can sequester CO<sub>2</sub> gaseous molecules at 0 °C. Hollow silica nanorods (SiNRs) with average aspect ratios of 2.5, 8, and 11 (as obtained by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and small angle X-ray scattering) were synthesized using a surfactant-templating methodology, and fluidity/flow processability were imparted by a three-step process involving covalent coupling of an organosilane (OS) canopy to form OS@SiNR, followed by electrostatic grafting of polymer surfactant (PS) chains to the organosilane, and subsequent removal of solvent to provide a solvent-free composite, PS-OS@SiNR. Differential scanning calorimetric and frequency sweep rheological measurements of PS-OS@SiNR indicated melting transition between 15 and 20 °C, while thermal gravimetric analysis showed ca. 20 w/w% silica content (*i.e.* 9.5% volume fraction of silica and containing ca. 3% volume fraction as voids). As observed using TEM, the surface modification of the nanorods resulting in the formation of PS-OS@SiNR does not lead to blockage of the hollow core. We show that whilst N<sub>2</sub> adsorption in the porous liquid is hindered due to the glassy polymer-surfactant layer at –196 °C, CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption at 0 °C showed 3.3–4.8 w/w% gas uptake. Overall we demonstrate the synthesis of an anisotropic porous liquid which not only sequesters CO<sub>2</sub> but also has the ability to flow like a liquid.

Received 4th June 2019  
Accepted 30th August 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9na00353c

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

## 1. Introduction

Porosity is an important property to be considered during the design and development of advanced multifunctional materials. This is a result of the broad application range of porous materials that find usage in drug delivery, gas separation, catalysis, biosensing, *etc.* In terms of the length scales, porosity can be of the order of <2 nm (microporous), 2–50 nm (mesoporous), and >50 nm (macroporous). Natural microporous materials include clay, zeolite, charcoal *etc.* and these have found tremendous use in drug delivery applications. For the past several decades, there has been continuous upsurge of interest towards developing porous materials for the uptake of gases, catalysis, use in energy storage materials *etc.*<sup>1,2</sup> The majority of these porous materials are microporous, solid, and range from amorphous (*e.g.* porous carbons) to highly

crystalline (*e.g.* metal–organic frameworks; MOFs),<sup>3–11</sup> as well as discrete molecules.<sup>12–14</sup> Although solid porous materials exhibit a low energy penalty towards gas adsorption–desorption cycles, the solid phase itself imparts inherent limitations towards implementation of such materials in conventional flow related processes.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, the porous solids need packaging in cartridges/columns which makes them unsustainable with a heavy environmental footprint. In order to alleviate these problems, porosity in the liquid phase is desirable. Achieving permanent porosity in the liquid phase can result in porous liquids which when compared with their solid counterparts can have advantages related to processing. For instance, these can be smeared or coated onto surfaces to make thin films and provide a continuous stream in pipes thus resulting in faster diffusion and exchange kinetics of the sequestered gaseous molecules. Therefore, porous liquids can combine the porous property and liquid-like flowing behaviour for developing next generation multifunctional materials.<sup>15,16</sup> Further, it can be envisaged that the combination of porosity–fluidity in a zero vapour pressure solvent environment can offer great scientific and technological opportunities for the future.

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai-400076, India. E-mail: k.sharma@chem.iitb.ac.in

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9na00353c

‡ These authors contributed equally.



However, porosity in conventional liquids is transient and the associated length scales are extremely small, of the order of 0.1 to 0.4 Å.<sup>17</sup> Therefore, research for design and development of liquids with permanent empty pores is continually flourishing.<sup>18,19</sup> Recently, Cooper *et al.* reported the synthesis of porous liquids with permanent pores between ~1 and 3 Å based on the synthesis of crown-ether cages dispersed in a crown ether (15-crown-5) solvent that cannot enter the cages.<sup>15</sup> The same group also developed a quantitative understanding on gas occupancy (~75%) and diffusion in these crown ether based porous liquids, and demonstrated the reversibility in gas separation processes. More recently, Coudert *et al.* showed using a combination of X-ray/neutron scattering and molecular dynamics simulations the formation of a metal organic framework (MOF) liquid with pore sizes of ~5 Å based on the melting of a zeolitic imidazole framework at ~2000 °C. Their study revealed the retention of chemical configuration, coordinative bonding and porosity of the MOF in the liquid state.<sup>20</sup>

The above examples show permanent pores/cavities in a liquid state residing in the form of micropores (*i.e.* below 2 nm), achieved by using either molecular crown-ether cages dispersed in a solvent (which is larger than the cage cavities) or relatively larger MOFs at higher temperatures. However, for meso-/nano-pores (2–50 nm) the object/particle size would be commensurate with the size of the pore. Also, the interaction-potential for meso-/nano-scale objects varies significantly from the typical Lennard-Jones pair potential for small molecules. As a result, the length scale associated with the interacting force field is significantly shorter than the size of the meso-/nano-object itself. Therefore a liquid or molten like state for pure mesoscale objects is usually not accessible.<sup>21</sup> This was first demonstrated by the classical studies of Frenkel and co-workers on C<sub>60</sub> molecules.<sup>22</sup> Using computer simulations they plotted a phase diagram of C<sub>60</sub> which demonstrated the liquid vapour critical point to be approximately 45 K lower than the sublimation line, suggesting the non-attainment of a liquid phase in C<sub>60</sub>. A similar understanding can be applied for inorganic nanoparticles and quantum dots for not attaining a liquid phase, but rather undergoing sintering after subjecting the system to very higher temperatures. Giannelis and co-workers circumvented the above problem by using polymers with melting points close to room temperature, and grafting them to the surface of nanoparticles for making hybrid nanoscale liquids, which can flow in the absence of any solvent.<sup>23–26</sup> With the same approach Giannelis *et al.* prepared a variety of liquid like materials extending their utility for biomaterials.<sup>27–29</sup> Based on this idea Dai *et al.* reported the preparation of liquids with mesoporosity (2–50 nm) by electrostatic grafting of polymers on the surface of hollow and spherical silica nanoparticles *via* a core-corona-canopy approach.<sup>19</sup> The hollow pores could be utilized for gas adsorption and exchange. However, reports on making porous liquids based on anisotropic (directionally dependent) cylindrical mesopores are still limited. Porous liquids made using anisotropic nanoparticles can be extremely advantageous over their spherical counterparts, simply due to the morphology of the nanoparticles. It is well known in the literature that polydisperse nanospheres can fill space easily

and therefore have a higher percolation threshold volume fraction. Comparatively, nanorods can form a connecting network by percolating at much lower volume fractions, resulting in an easier network formation and gelation.<sup>30</sup> This property of nanorods can have interesting implications in flow-related processes as the viscosity of the porous liquids made using nanorods can be tuned by changing the nanorods' aspect ratio, packing fraction, and the attraction potential. Moreover, the dependence of adsorption on the aspect ratio of nanorods has not been investigated.

In this work, we show the development of another class of mesoporous liquid materials based on anisotropic “hollow-core and silica-shell” nanorods (SiNRs). Hollow silica nanorods with different aspect ratios (2.5, 8, and 11) were synthesized using surfactant-templating methodology and thoroughly characterized using small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) for size analysis, and BET for gas adsorption analysis. These rods were subsequently used to synthesize a solvent-free porous liquid *via* a three-step process involving covalent coupling of an organosilane (OS) canopy to form OS@SiNR, followed by electrostatic grafting of polymer surfactant (PS) chains to the organosilane, and finally the removal of solvent to provide the solvent-free composite materials PS-OS@SiNR-2.5, 8 and 11. Differential scanning calorimetry and frequency sweep rheological measurements indicated melting transition of all PS-OS@SiNR samples in the range of 15–20 °C. The cryo-TEM of PS-OS@SiNR showed porous rods with an overall pore fraction of 3%. As observed using TEM and CO<sub>2</sub> gas adsorption, the surface modification of the nanorods leading to the formation of PS-OS@SiNR did not lead to blockage of the intrinsic pores. Gas adsorption measurements at 0 °C using CO<sub>2</sub> as the adsorbate showed 3.3–4.8% w/w gas uptake.

## 2. Experimental section

### (a) Materials

Brij® 58 (average  $M_n \sim 1124$ ; P5884), cyclohexane (227048), diethylamine (471216), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS; 131903), dimethyloctadecyl[3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl]ammonium chloride (435708), and poly(ethylene glycol) 4-nonylphenyl 3-sulfo-propyl ether potassium salt (473197; polymer surfactant, PS) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as such. NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, NaOH, HCl, tetrahydrofuran (THF), isopropyl alcohol (IPA), and toluene were all purchased from Merck India and used as received without any further purification. All solvents used for the entire experiments were of analytical grade (AR). Milli-Q water with a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm<sup>-1</sup> was used for all the experimental procedures.

### (b) Instrumentation and characterization

(i) **Transmission electron microscopy (TEM).** Samples for TEM analysis were prepared by dispersing 3 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> sample in absolute EtOH, followed by sonication. A small drop (~5–10 μL) of the sample was cast on a carbon coated copper grid (200 mesh size) and the excess solution was removed using dustless



tissue paper. This was allowed to dry overnight at room temperature. Imaging was done on a FEI, TECHNAI G2, F30 instrument operated at an accelerated voltage of 300 kV with a lattice resolution of 0.1 nm and point image resolution of 0.2 nm.

**(ii) Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXs).** The SAXs technique was utilized to obtain more understanding about the size, aspect ratio of the hollow silica nanorods and the microstructure information (apparent structure factor) of the nanorods in the porous liquid sample. SAXs was performed on the same batch of samples as used for TEM studies. SAXs data were collected at 25 °C using a Xeuss 2.0 instrument with a source and Cu K $\alpha$  radiation of wavelength 1.54 Å. An Eiger R 1 M instrument with a vacuum operated high resolution hybrid pixel photon counting detector was used to collect the scattering intensity with a sample-to-detector distance of 2500 mm and a  $q$  range of  $0.045 \leq q \leq 0.14$  where  $q$  is the scattering vector having units of Å $^{-1}$ . All the scattering intensities were collected with exposure for about 20 min and they were subtracted from the corresponding background intensity. The data from SiNRs in ethanolic solution was analysed by using below described model in the SasView (<http://www.sasview.org>) software.

**Poly core-shell cylindrical model:** This model calculates scattering from a polydisperse (log-normal distribution) sample of cylindrical rods with a core-shell like scattering length density (SLD). The final intensity is obtained in the form of weighted normalized distribution of scattering from each rod of different size. The core in the hollow rods can be considered to be filled with solvent in the case of an aqueous dispersion or air in the case of a porous liquid.

$$I(q, \theta) = \frac{\text{scale}}{V_s} F^2(q, \theta) \sin(\theta) + \text{background}$$

where

$$F(q, \theta) = (\rho_c - \rho_s) V_c \frac{\sin(q \frac{1}{2} L \cos \theta)}{q \frac{1}{2} L \cos \theta} \frac{2J_1(qR \sin \theta)}{qR \sin \theta} + (\rho_s - \rho_{\text{solv}}) V_s \frac{\sin(q(\frac{1}{2} L + T) \cos \theta)}{q(\frac{1}{2} L + T) \cos \theta} \frac{2J_1(q(R + T) \sin \theta)}{q(R + T) \sin \theta}$$

where  $V_s$  and  $V_c$  are the volumes of the shell and core, respectively.  $\theta$  is the angle between the cylindrical axis and the scattering vector,  $q$ , and  $J_1(x)$  is the first order Bessel function. All possible orientations of the rod w.r.t  $q$  are averaged in the form factor,  $F^2(q, \theta)$ , data. The core radius =  $R$ , core length =  $L$ , shell length =  $L + 2T$ , and shell radius =  $R + T$ , where  $T$  = shell thickness.  $\rho_c$ ,  $\rho_s$  and  $\rho_{\text{solv}}$  are the SLD of the core, shell and solvent, respectively.

The apparent structure factor ( $S_{\text{app}}(q)$ ; as given below) in the PS-OS@SiNR samples can be extracted by dividing the scattering from the PS-OS@SiNR with the form factor of the dilute aqueous solution of the corresponding nanorods multiplied by the inverse of volume fractions ( $\phi$ ) of rods in composite and dilute samples, respectively.

$$S_{\text{app}}(q) = \frac{I(q)_{\text{composite}} / \phi_{\text{composite}}}{F^2(q)_{\text{dil. soln}} / \phi_{\text{dil. soln}}}$$

**(iii) Gas adsorption studies.** Adsorption measurements were performed on a Quantachrome Autosorb-1C analyzer using ultrahigh purity-grade N $_2$  (99.999% obtained from Med Gas N Equipment India Pvt. Ltd.) without further purification. A known amount of PS-OS@SiNR was taken in a hollow cylindrical glass tube (5 cm  $\times$  0.2 cm), which was then inserted gently into the sample holder. Prior to N $_2$  gas adsorption measurements, the samples were evacuated at 65 °C for 48 h (overnight in case of other samples) under ultrahigh vacuum ( $10^{-3}$  Torr). N $_2$  gas adsorption measurements were performed at 77 K and surface areas were calculated using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) model applied between  $P/P_0$  values of 0.05 and 0.3 for all samples. The corresponding pore size distributions were calculated using the BJH method. The CO $_2$  adsorption measurements were carried out using a Quantachrome Autosorb-iQ single station physisorption analyzer, and samples were prepared in a similar manner as mentioned above for N $_2$  measurements. CO $_2$  experiments were carried out at 0 °C and the non-ideality factor of CO $_2$  of  $9.1 \times 10^{-6}$ /Torr was chosen during the analysis. A similar procedure was followed for bare SiNRs, PS and PS-OS samples. The obtained data were processed using the ASiQWin software. The adsorption experiments were performed thrice and the adsorption at a  $P/P_0$  of ca. 0.03 was found to be within an error range of 5 to 10%.

### (c) Methods for the preparation of the porous liquid

The preparation was carried out in two steps:

**(i) Preparation of Ni-N $_2$ H $_4$ @Si anisotropic rods with tuneable (desired) aspect ratio.** The synthesis of hollow silica nanorods (SiNRs) with different aspect ratios was carried out using a similar methodology to that shown in the literature.<sup>31</sup>




Fig. 1 Schematic showing reverse micelle template-assisted fabrication of hollow silica nanorods (SiNRs) with tuneable aspect ratios. Upon removal of the solvent the SiNRs are obtained as a white solid powder.





Briefly, in a clean round bottom flask 8.5 g of Brij® 58 was taken, and it was dissolved in 15 mL of cyclohexane (at 55–60 °C). To the above mixture, 0.9, 1.6 and 1.8 mL (for aspect ratios 2.5, 8 and 11, respectively) of  $\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  were added under vigorous stirring at 55–60 °C. Immediately after this, 0.45 mL of hydrazine hydrate was added and the solution was allowed to stir for another 12 h. Further, 1 mL of diethylamine and 3 mL of TEOS were added sequentially and the mixture was stirred for 4 h (at 50 °C). The product ( $\text{Ni-N}_2\text{H}_4@\text{Si}$ ) was isolated by centrifugation and washed with excess IPA. To obtain the SiNRs, the above product was selectively etched with 30 mL of 1 M HCl. The schematic for this entire process is shown in Fig. 1.

**(ii) Preparation of the hollow silica nanorod based porous liquid.** This was achieved in three steps. The first step involved grafting organosilane on the surface of hollow silica nanorods, while the second step involved electrostatic coupling with a polymer surfactant.<sup>19</sup> The above mentioned hollow silica nanorods were dispersed in Milli-Q water and subjected to sonication for 15 minutes keeping the pH of the solution adjusted between 8 and 9. To the above solution, 3 mL of organosilane was added dropwise and aged for 24 h (at room temperature). The resulting precipitate was rinsed with water and EtOH and dried overnight at 70–80 °C. The resultant solid was dispersed in THF and allowed to stand for 30 minutes without any disturbance and the supernatant was carefully decanted. The decanted supernatant solution was removed using Rotovapor, leading to the formation of a white solid. The solid was treated with the PS solution (15.0 mL, 16.5% w/v) under sonication and refluxed at 70 °C for 24 h. The unreacted PS was extracted several times with warm toluene. The aqueous layer was collected and was removed under pressure. In the last step, the resulting sol was dispersed in acetone ( $\approx 25$  mL), centrifuged and air-dried at 70 °C for 24 h. The viscous solvent-free product was further dried under vacuum at 60 °C and finally stored at room temperature in a centrifuge tube under a desiccated environment for further use. It should be noted that the resultant solvent-free liquid did not show any phase separation as seen under an optical microscope and exhibited a single melting transition in DSC, thus suggesting that the amount of anionic PS which binds to cationic OS@SiNR is in a stoichiometric ratio of the charges.

### 3. Results and discussion

Hollow silica nanorods were synthesized according to the reverse micelle template-assisted methodology shown in Fig. 1.<sup>31</sup> Briefly, silica nanorods having three different aspect ratios were synthesized by varying the ratio of  $\text{NiCl}_2/\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$  and Brij® 58 surfactant. Selective etching (removal) of nickel hydrazine from the  $\text{Ni-N}_2\text{H}_4@\text{Si}$  complex with 1 M HCl resulted in hollow silica nanorods (henceforth mentioned as SiNRs (the detailed synthesis procedure is given in the Experimental section)). The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of all three samples showed a hollow core with a silica shell. The aspect ratio from the TEM images provided values of  $2.5 \pm 1.2$ ,  $8.3 \pm 3.5$ , and  $11.4 \pm 2.7$  (based on size averaging of  $\approx 150$  particles (Fig. 2a–c)). Further, to obtain

statistically relevant information on the size of the nanorods *viz.* the aspect ratios, small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) characterization on 0.1 w/w% dispersion of SiNRs in ethanolic solution was performed. The modelling and analysis of the SAXS data were performed using a polydisperse core-shell cylinder model which provided approximate aspect ratios of 1.3, 6.6 and 7.5 (Fig. 2d; see ESI Table S2†). This significant deviation of SAXS results from TEM was not surprising as SAXS provides data from statistically relevant population in the form of  $\langle L \rangle / \langle R \rangle$  (where  $\langle \rangle$  represent ensemble averaging,  $L$  = length,  $R$  = radius). However, based on TEM observations the rod samples with three different aspect ratios were named SiNRs 2.5, 8, and 11. The surface area/average pore size of the mesopores in SiNRs was obtained using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET)/Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method applied on the  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption isotherms taken at  $-196$  °C, which provided values of  $127 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}/37.1 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $143 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}/37.3 \text{ \AA}$ , and  $196 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}/88 \text{ \AA}$  for aspect ratios 2.5, 8 and 11, respectively. The FT-IR spectra of the SiNRs showed typical vibrational bands of silica at 796, 957, and  $1095 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  suggesting the formation of an extended silica network from the precursor (ESI Fig. S1a–c† red FT-IR spectra).<sup>32</sup>

The synthesis of the anisotropic porous liquid was achieved *via* a three-step process involving (a) covalent conjugation of organosilane (OS; dimethyloctadecyl[3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl] ammonium chloride) to form a coronal layer on the surface of




Fig. 2 Transmission electron micrographs of bare and hollow SiNRs with different aspect ratios: (a) 2.5, (b) 8, and (c) 11. (d) Small angle X-ray scattering data (open symbol) of an ethanolic solution of 0.1 w/w% hollow SiNRs at 25 °C. The data were fitted (solid line) using a core-shell hollow cylinder model as described in the Experimental section. For the ease of viewing, the data plots have been shifted vertically by a factor of 0.1, 1.0 and 2.5 for SiNRs with 2.5, 8, and 11 aspect ratios, respectively.



bare and hollow silica nanorods (OS@SiNR), (b) electrostatic coupling with a polymer surfactant (PS; 4-nonylphenyl 3-sulfo-propyl ether potassium salt) (Fig. 3) to form a canopy similar to that reported in the literature,<sup>19</sup> followed by extraction of excess PS by toluene and (c) finally heating the system for 1 h at 70 °C under low pressure conditions to form the solvent-free composite (henceforth mentioned as PS-OS@SiNR), which was stored in a desiccator after drying under vacuum, prior to analysis. The sequential synthesis steps were characterized using a combination of transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Fourier-transform infra-red spectroscopy (FTIR), small angle X-ray scattering (SAXs), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). After covalent coupling of organosilane (OS) on the surface of the anisotropic rods (OS@SiNR), TEM indicated retention of the rod like structure and the porous/hollow core in all three samples with different aspect ratios (ESI Fig. S2a–c†). It was observed that the aspect ratios did not change significantly after the OS coupling to the bare SiNRs (ESI Fig. S2a–c†). FT-IR of OS@SiNRs (A.R 2.5, 8 and 11) exhibited clear bands corresponding to the stretching and bending vibrations of alkane moieties at 2929, 2854, and

1471  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, which were in agreement with the literature (ESI Fig. S1a–c†).<sup>19</sup> The increase of peak amplitude around 1062  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  arising due to the Si–O–Si bond was a result of OS chemical modification of bare SiNRs and was consistent with literature reports.<sup>19</sup> All the composite samples, *i.e.* PS-OS@SiNR-2.5, 8, and 11, obtained by electrostatic interaction of the anionic polymer surfactant with OS@SiNR showed a soft-gel like appearance at close to room temperature (Fig. 3). However, heating further to 35 °C resulted in flow under the influence of gravity (Fig. 4a–d). Therefore to understand the different phase transitions associated with these composite samples, they were further characterized using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA), and rheometry. The DSC of solvent-free PS-OS@SiNR (Fig. 4e) provided a second-order transition temperature of *ca.* –50 °C, –55 °C, and –60 °C for aspect ratios of 2.5, 8 and 11, respectively. These peaks were attributed to the glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) as a result of the polymer surfactant (ESI Fig. S3†). On further heating, exothermic crystallization transitions,  $T_c$ , at –4.6, –7.2, and –6 °C, and endothermic melting transitions ( $T_m$ ) at *ca.* 20.5 °C, 20 °C, and 13 °C were also




Fig. 3 Schematic showing the three-step procedure for the fabrication of the anisotropic porous liquid from SiNRs involving covalent coupling of an organosilane (OS) canopy on the surface of bare and hollow SiNRs, electrostatic coupling of anionic polymer surfactant (PS) chains to the OS@SiNR followed by extraction with toluene to remove excess PS, and heating to 70 °C for 1 h at low pressure to remove toluene yielding the PS-OS@SiNR based anisotropic porous liquid.








Fig. 4 (a–d) Time dependent flow properties of the solvent-free PS-OS@SiNR-11 composite at 35 °C. Tiny air bubbles trapped in the viscous liquid can also be seen. The images were taken with the spatula containing the sample suspended in air, and the liquid drop pointing towards the ground. (e) Differential scanning calorimetry shows the glass transition temperature ( $T_g$  at  $-55$  °C for all PS-OS@SiNR samples), crystallization temperature ( $T_c$ ), and melting transition temperatures ( $T_m$ ) for all the samples.

observed for PS-OS@SiNR-2.5, PS-OS@SiNR-8, and PS-OS@SiNR-11 samples, respectively. Overall, these results were in agreement with the literature values for similar systems made with hollow silica spheres.<sup>19</sup> This was also confirmed from the frequency sweep rheological experiments (ESI Fig. S4a and b†) which showed melting transition temperatures (*i.e.* above which  $G'' > G'$ ) of  $\approx 20$  °C and  $\approx 15$  °C for samples with aspect ratios 8 and 11, respectively (ESI Fig. S4†). The solid to liquid transition was also evident from the decrease in the modulus from  $\approx 1000$  Pa at 15 °C to  $\approx 100$  Pa at 25 °C. Further at 25 °C, it was noted that  $G'$  and  $G''$  showed no dependence on the angular frequency, thus indicating gel like behaviour of the PS-OS@SiNR composites. TGA of PS-OS@SiNR samples (ESI Fig. S5†) showed an insignificant weight loss up to 200 °C, suggesting the absence of any residual moisture or solvent. However, heating to  $\approx 225$  °C resulted in a loss of weight which continued up to  $\approx 394$  °C. This could be correlated with the pyrolysis of the grafted organic moieties. Further heating to temperatures of 600 °C yielded a residual mass of inorganic silica of 20, 22, and 22 w/w% for PS-OS@SiNRs-2.5, PS-OS@SiNR-8, and PS-OS@SiNR-11, respectively. This corresponded to  $\approx 80$  wt% of the organic content in all three composite samples under consideration.

ATR-FTIR spectra of the PS-OS@SiNR samples showed absorption bands between 1130 and 1000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , suggesting a retained Si–O–Si structure similar to that observed for SiNRs and OS@SiNR (ESI Fig. S1a–c† black ATR-FTIR spectra). However, the PS-OS@SiNR samples showed additional peaks for ether (1098  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), phenyl (1641  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), sulfonate (1296  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), and aliphatic (2875  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) groups which corresponded to the coverage of the hollow OS-SiNR by the PEG based polymer-surfactant. In order to ascertain the morphology of the nanorods in PS-OS@SiNR and for understanding whether the hollow core of the rods was retained after coupling with organosilane and subsequently with the polymer surfactant, we performed cryo-TEM studies on the composite samples. Cryo-TEM of PS-OS@SiNR 2.5, 8 and 11 samples showed a retained rod like structure with a hollow core

(Fig. 5a–c). It was interesting to note that the SAXs studies at 25 °C of the three PS-OS@SiNR samples, *viz.* 2.5, 8, and 11 (Fig. 6), showed apparent structure factor  $S_{\text{app}}(q)$  peaks centred at  $q \approx 0.015$ , 0.016 and 0.018  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ , respectively. These peaks corresponded to average  $d$  spacing values (for different aspect ratios) of 40 (2.5), 38.5 (8), and 35 (11) nm, and could be attributed to the centre-to-centre distance between the polymer-surfactant chain conjugated hollow silica nanorods (inset) assembled in a side-to-side fashion in the PS-OS@SiNR composite samples (inset in Fig. 6). The control SAXs profile of the polymer surfactant at 25 °C showed a  $d_{\text{PS}}$ -spacing of 4.8 nm ( $q \approx 0.13$   $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ ; ESI Fig. S6†), suggesting that the  $d$  spacing ( $\approx 35$ –40 nm) for side-to-side ordering in PS-OS@SiNR samples was in fact correlated to the centre-to-centre distance between polymer surfactant molecules (4.8 nm) plus twice the silica shell radius of the nanorods ( $2 \times \approx 20$  nm).

Further to address the porosity, we performed  $\text{N}_2$  gas adsorption experiments at  $-196$  °C (77 K) on the OS@SiNR samples, and compared the results with those of their unmodified counterpart, SiNRs. The organosilane coupling on the surface of SiNRs reduced the BET surface area to 81  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$  (from 127  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ ), 86  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$  (from 143  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ ) and 70  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$




Fig. 5 Cryo-transmission electron micrographs of PS-OS@SiNR liquid samples with different aspect ratios: (a) 2.5, (b) 8, and (c) 11. The scale bar indicates 200 nm.






Fig. 6 SAXS data of PS-OS@SiNR liquid samples prepared from SiNRs with aspect ratios of 2.5 (1.3), 8 (6.6), and 11 (7.3). The data were collected at 25 °C and subsequently corrected for the background. The data set for PS-OS@SiNR-2.5, 8 and 11 has been vertically shifted by a factor of 2, 1, and 60, respectively, for the ease of viewing. The inset shows the apparent structure factor ( $S_{app}(q)$ ) of the porous liquid samples obtained by using bare and hollow SiNRs as the form factor.  $S_{app}(q)$  shows correlation peaks at  $q$  values of  $\sim 0.015$ , 0.016, and 0.018  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$  for the PS-OS@SiNR-2.5, 8, and 11 samples, respectively, corresponding to  $d$  spacing values of 40, 38.5, and 35 nm which occur due to the side-to-side assembly of hollow silica nanorods (inset) in the solvent-free PS-OS@SiNR composite sample.

(from 196  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ ) for OS@SiNR-2.5, OS@SiNR-8 and OS@SiNR-11, respectively (for details see ESI Fig. S7b and e†). The shape of  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption curves of OS@SiNR showed type 4 behaviour (similar to SiNR adsorption isotherms; ESI Fig. S7a and d†), and the results were in good agreement with the TEM data which showed retained porosity even after the chemical modification with organosilane. Although mesoporous solids have been well studied for their gas uptake and separation measurements,<sup>3–11</sup> gas adsorption in mesoporous liquids with anisotropic pores has rarely been performed.<sup>33</sup> Therefore moving ahead with this idea, we performed  $\text{N}_2$  gas adsorption studies on the solvent-free PS-OS@SiNR composite liquids by using the procedure shown in ESI Fig. S8†. The  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption isotherms of all the PS-OS@SiNR samples at  $-196$  °C showed the characteristic adsorption of a non-porous/macroporous sample with weak adsorbate–adsorbent interactions (ESI Fig. S7c and f†). The BET surface areas of the samples were found to be 12.1, 27, and 5.1  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$  for PS-OS@SiNR-2.5, PS-OS@SiNR-8, and PS-OS@SiNR-11, respectively. These results were in contradiction to the study on similar porous liquids but based on hollow silica nanospheres, whereby a significant  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption was shown at  $-196$  °C.<sup>19</sup> In order to understand this further, a control BET experiment performed on a neat polymer surfactant provided a similar adsorption isotherm related to a non-porous material (ESI Fig. S9a and b†). It was surprising that although the cryo-TEM of PS-OS@SiNR samples showed hollow cores, the  $\text{N}_2$  gas adsorption isotherm showed a steep decrease in the BET surface area from OS@SiNR to PS-OS@SiNR. This suggested blockage of the small micropores in the silica shell. In order to understand this, we revisited the DSC data of PS-OS@SiNR

samples which showed a  $T_c$  in the range of *ca.*  $-6$  °C to  $-10$  °C, and a glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) in the range of  $-60$  to  $-70$  °C which was associated with the polymer surfactant (ESI Fig. S3†). It is important to note here that the  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption experiments were carried out at  $-196$  °C, much lower than the  $T_g$  of the PS-OS@SiNR system. We hypothesize that the decrease in surface area from OS@SiNR to PS-OS@SiNR was associated with the polymer surfactant forming a glassy layer around the silica nanorods which ultimately prevented  $\text{N}_2$  sequestration and adsorption in the hollow cores. To validate our hypothesis, we carried out control  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption experiments with a well-known sorbent, activated charcoal, in the presence and absence of PS (ESI Fig. S10†). The BET results showed that the surface area of neat activated charcoal (1046  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ ) (ESI Fig. S10a and c†) was highly reduced in the presence of the polymer surfactant (3.89  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ ) (ESI Fig. S10b and d†).

In order to alleviate the problem related to the non-adsorption of  $\text{N}_2$  gas at  $-196$  °C in the PS-OS@SiNR composite, we performed  $\text{CO}_2$  physi-sorption measurements at 0 °C *i.e.* above the  $T_g$  and  $T_c$  of the PS-OS@SiNR samples. Generally,  $\text{CO}_2$  physi-sorption experiments are performed at 0 °C, with the saturation pressure ( $P_0$ ) of  $\text{CO}_2$  being approximately 34.7 bar at this temperature.<sup>34</sup> However due to instrument limitation,  $\text{CO}_2$  adsorption measurements were carried out in a sub-atmospheric (low) pressure sorption analyser at 0 °C, providing a maximum relative pressure ( $P/P_0$ ) of *ca.* 0.03, much similar to the studies reported in the literature.<sup>34</sup> The  $\text{CO}_2$  adsorption isotherms for PS-OS@SiNR with aspect ratios 2.5, 8 and 11 showed an uptake of approximately 17  $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ , 25  $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ , and 18  $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ , respectively, at 0.03  $P/P_0$  (Fig. 7b). This corresponded to 3.3% w/w, 4.8% w/w and 3.4% w/w  $\text{CO}_2$  adsorption for PS-OS@SiNR samples with aspect ratios 2.5, 8 and 11, respectively. This indicated that the amount of  $\text{CO}_2$  adsorbed did not exhibit significant dependence on the aspect ratio of the nanorods. Moreover, these values were found to be roughly similar to the literature obtained values for solid mesoporous silica (MCM-48) samples which showed  $\approx 34 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$  physi-sorption, at similar temperature and pressure.<sup>34</sup> It was also observed that the adsorption and desorption isotherms of all the samples exhibited significant hysteresis. This could be associated with the diffusion controlled process,<sup>35</sup> whereby an adsorption equilibrium could not be attained probably due to the complex porous structure of the silica shell combined with high viscosity of the solvent-free composite liquid. In comparison, experiments performed with bare SiNRs having aspect ratios 2.5, 8, and 11 showed a  $\text{CO}_2$  adsorption of 13  $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ , 32.4  $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ , and 18.2  $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ , respectively (Fig. 7a), suggesting that the hollow cavity within the SiNRs and PS-OS@SiNR was available for  $\text{CO}_2$  gas adsorption at 0 °C and at 0.03  $P/P_0$  relative pressure. Control experiments with the PS-OS or PS alone showed minimal  $\text{CO}_2$  adsorption of 0.7  $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$  and 0.6  $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ , respectively, as shown in Fig. 7b. Thus, the adsorption experiments combined with the cryo-TEM images in Fig. 5c suggested that the mesopores were not blocked by the sequential modification steps of bare SiNRs, and were accessible for  $\text{CO}_2$  adsorption at 0 °C in the PS-OS@SiNR liquid samples.






Fig. 7 CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms for bare and hollow SiNRs (a) and PS-OS@SiNR (b) at 0 °C for all three different aspect ratios: 2.5 (blue symbols), 8 (black symbols) and 11 (red symbols). The adsorption (closed symbols) and desorption (open symbols) cycles in solvent-free PS-OS@SiNR show hysteresis. Control experiments of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption at 0 °C in the PS-OS mixture (b, magenta symbols) and PS (b, brown symbols) show minimal uptake.

## 4. Conclusion

We show here the design and development of another class of composite and solvent-free porous liquids based on anisotropic “hollow-core and silica-shell” nanorods with varying aspect ratios and surface engineered with organosilane followed by electrostatic and stoichiometric coupling of oppositely charged polymer surfactant molecules. The TEM and SAXs studies on bare and unmodified silica nanorods indicate retention of hollow cores, while N<sub>2</sub> adsorption experiments provide a surface area from  $\approx 120$  to  $\approx 200$  m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. The solvent-free composite material comprises  $\approx 3$  v/v% pores, and exhibits liquid-like flow behaviour above the melting transition temperature of 15–20 °C. We further show that whilst N<sub>2</sub> adsorption in the composite liquid at –196 °C is inhibited due to the glassy polymer surfactant layer, CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption at 0 °C is still accomplishable. The CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption experiment on the solvent-free porous liquid indicates an uptake of up to  $\approx 4.8$  w/w%, which is comparable to that of solid

mesoporous silica. Our results therefore indicate the formation of a model porous material which not only sequesters gas molecules but also has the ability to flow like a liquid and thus could be retrofitted in flow pipes or employed for various applications such as gas separation, catalysis, *etc.* This would allow various possibilities and design opportunities that can be created to utilize high fraction free volume liquid-like materials in flow processes to allow increased kinetics of exchange of trapped gaseous species. Further, the presence of rods can provide interesting rheological properties and thus show a unique structure–property relationship. In conclusion, through this study we envisage a path forward for creating multifunctional materials which can sequester harmful gases, and catalytically convert them into non-hazardous substances.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

K. P. S. thanks the SERB-DST and IRCC, IIT Bombay, for funding through grant numbers EMR/2016/000174 and 15IRCCSG029, respectively. P. D. and R. K. thank the Department of Chemistry, IITB, for Institute Post-Doctoral funding, and T. A. funding, respectively. K. P. S. thanks the SAIF, IIT Bombay, for SAXs facility obtained through DST grant number C/3217/IFD/2017-18.

## References

- 1 F. Gándara, H. Furukawa, S. Lee and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 5271–5274.
- 2 Y.-B. Huang, J. Liang, X.-S. Wang and R. Cao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 126–157.
- 3 B. Li, H.-M. Wen, W. Zhou and B. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **5**, 3468–3479.
- 4 J. A. Mason, M. Veenstra and J. R. Long, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 32–51.
- 5 P. J. Waller, F. Gándara and O. M. Yaghi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 3053–3063.
- 6 D. Banerjee, C. M. Simon, A. M. Plonka, R. K. Motkuri, J. Liu, X. Chen, B. Smit, J. B. Parise, M. Haranczyk and P. K. Thallapally, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11831.
- 7 X. Yang and Q. Xu, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2017, **17**, 1450–1455.
- 8 S. B. Alahakoon, C. M. Thompson, G. Occhialini and R. A. Smaldone, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 2116–2129.
- 9 D. Banerjee, S. K. Elsaidi and P. K. Thallapally, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 16611–16615.
- 10 K. Dey, M. Pal, K. C. Rout, S. Kunjattu H, A. Das, R. Mukherjee, U. K. Kharul and R. Banerjee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 13083–13091.
- 11 C. H. Hendon, A. J. Rieth, M. D. Korzyński and M. Dincă, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2017, **3**, 554–563.
- 12 H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O’Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 1230444.
- 13 A. Corma, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 2373–2420.
- 14 T. Hasell and A. I. Cooper, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, **1**, 16053.





- 15 N. Giri, M. G. Del Pópolo, G. Melaugh, R. L. Greenaway, K. Rätzke, T. Koschine, L. Pison, M. F. C. Gomes, A. I. Cooper and S. L. James, *Nature*, 2015, **527**, 216.
- 16 G. Melaugh, N. Giri, C. E. Davidson, S. L. James and M. G. Del Pópolo, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 9422–9431.
- 17 N. O'Reilly, N. Giri and S. L. James, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 3020–3025.
- 18 T. Shi, Y. Zheng, T. Wang, P. Li, Y. Wang and D. Yao, *ChemPhysChem*, 2018, **19**, 130–137.
- 19 J. Zhang, S. H. Chai, Z. A. Qiao, S. M. Mahurin, J. Chen, Y. Fang, S. Wan, K. Nelson, P. Zhang and S. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 932–936.
- 20 R. Gaillac, P. Pullumbi, K. A. Beyer, K. W. Chapman, D. A. Keen, T. D. Bennett and F.-X. Coudert, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16**, 1149.
- 21 Y. Min, M. Akbulut, K. Kristiansen, Y. Golan and J. Israelachvili, *Nat. Mater.*, 2008, **7**, 527–538.
- 22 M. Hagen, E. Meijer, G. Mooij and D. Frenkel, *Nature*, 1993, **365**, 425–426.
- 23 A. B. Bourlinos, R. Herrera, N. Chalkias, D. D. Jiang, Q. Zhang, L. A. Archer and E. P. Giannelis, *Adv. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 234–237.
- 24 S. C. Warren, M. J. Banholzer, L. S. Slaughter, E. P. Giannelis, F. J. DiSalvo and U. B. Wiesner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 12074–12075.
- 25 R. Rodriguez, R. Herrera, L. A. Archer and E. P. Giannelis, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 4353–4358.
- 26 A. B. Bourlinos, S. Ray Chowdhury, R. Herrera, D. D. Jiang, Q. Zhang, L. A. Archer and E. P. Giannelis, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 1285–1290.
- 27 M. L. Jespersen, P. A. Mirau, E. v. Meerwall, R. A. Vaia, R. Rodriguez and E. P. Giannelis, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 3735–3742.
- 28 A. B. Bourlinos, A. Stassinopoulos, D. Anglos, R. Herrera, S. H. Anastasiadis, D. Petridis and E. P. Giannelis, *Small*, 2006, **2**, 513–516.
- 29 L. Sun, J. Fang, J. C. Reed, L. Estevez, A. C. Bartnik, B. R. Hyun, F. W. Wise, G. G. Malliaras and E. P. Giannelis, *Small*, 2010, **6**, 638–641.
- 30 T. Schilling, M. A. Miller and P. van der Schoot, *EPL*, 2015, **111**, 56004.
- 31 C. Gao, Z. Lu and Y. Yin, *Langmuir*, 2011, **27**, 12201–12208.
- 32 P. Shinde, S. S. Gupta, B. Singh, V. Polshettiwar and B. L. Prasad, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 14914–14921.
- 33 P. Li, R. Yang, Y. Zheng, P. Qu and L. Chen, *Carbon*, 2015, **95**, 408–418.
- 34 Z. Bacsik, N. Ahlsten, A. Ziadi, G. Zhao, A. E. Garcia-Bennett, B. Martín-Matute and N. Hedin, *Langmuir*, 2011, **27**, 11118–11128.
- 35 P. I. Ravikovitch and A. V. Neimark, *Adsorption*, 2005, **11**, 265–270.

