Cite this: *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, 1, 527

Received 22nd August 2018
Accepted 4th November 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8na00160j

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

The fabrication of a 3D current collector with bitter melon-like TiO₂–NCNFs for highly stable lithium–sulfur batteries†

Xuzi Zhang,^a Zhihong Chen,^b Lingling Shui,^b Chaoqun Shang,^{*a} Xin Wang^{†ac} and Guofu Zhou^{ac}

The conductive 3D freestanding N-doped carbon nanofibers (NCNFs) current collector was embedded with homogeneously polar TiO₂ nanoparticles. This current collector used for the sulfur cathode exhibits strong chemical adsorption for hindering the shuttle effect of polysulfides, and demonstrates a high specific capacity of 865 mA h g^{−1} at 0.2C and excellent cycle performance (200 cycles with capacity retention of 91%).

High-capacity and long cycling-life energy storage devices have received considerable attention because of the fast development of electronic vehicles and the popularization of portable devices. Lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries are believed to be the next-generation energy storage devices owing to their high energy density (2600 W h kg^{−1}) and high theoretical capacity (1675 mA h g^{−1}).¹ In addition, they possess many advantages, such as low cost, non-toxicity and safety.² However, there still exist unsolved barriers for their ultimate practical application.³ These barriers could be divided into two main categories: (i) the negative effects derived from the formation of the insoluble and insulated S and Li₂S/Li₂S₂ will result in poor reaction kinetics and large volume change, which will destroy the cathode structure; (ii) the shuttle effect of soluble lithium polysulfides (Li₂S_n, *n* = 4, 6, 8) will cause the loss of active materials, low specific capacity and coulombic efficiency, and corrosion of lithium anode.⁴

In recent years, different approaches have been attempted to resolve the abovementioned issues with great progress. The introduction of various types of carbon matrixes to modify the S cathode can be considered as an appropriate method because of

carbon's high electrical conductivity, light weight, low cost, and favorable mechanical properties.⁵ Carbonaceous materials with different morphologies, such as nanocapsules,^{6–8} nanofibers,^{9–11} nanosheets^{12–14} and other 3D composite materials,^{15–21} can not only improve the conductivity of S, but also retard the volume change during lithiation and delithiation.^{22,23} Nevertheless, most of them possess physical confinement, which cannot efficiently suppress the shuttle effect. Combining the carbon matrixes with polar materials (*e.g.*, Fe₂O₃,^{24,25} La₂O₃,^{26,27} and MnO₂ (ref. 28 and 29)) has been demonstrated as an effective strategy to suppress shuttle effect by the strong chemical bonding between Li₂S_n and polar materials.

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂), as a polar material, is efficient to capture Li₂S_n with high adsorption energy.³⁰ However, the undesirable conductivity of TiO₂ restricts further transformation of the adsorbed Li₂S_n, which hinders the electrochemical performance of Li–S batteries.³¹ In this study, we encapsulated TiO₂ nanoparticles in 1D carbon nanofibers (denoted as TiO₂–NCNFs) to construct a bitter melon-like long-range conductive current collector for Li–S batteries. The polar TiO₂ nanoparticles were employed to immobilize the soluble Li₂S_n by strong chemical interactions. Moreover, the 3D N-doped CNFs network provided a continuous long-range electron pathway and more active sites, ensuring fast transformation of adsorbed Li₂S_n. Taking advantage of the 3D freestanding TiO₂–NCNFs current collector, the Li–S batteries could deliver a specific capacity of 865 mA h g^{−1} at 0.2C with a capacity retention of 91%, which was maintained over 200 cycles.

Electrospinning is an expedient method to construct 3D freestanding network structures with excellent stability and flexibility.³² The TiO₂–NCNFs were obtained by the one-step carbonization of as-electrospun nanofibers at 700 °C in Ar for 2 h. The peaks in XRD patterns (Fig. 1a) indicate the composition and crystallinity of TiO₂–NCNFs at different calcination temperatures compared with those of anatase TiO₂ (JCPDS no. 21-1272); also, a weak amorphous carbon peak is visible at 27.6°. TiO₂ comes from the decomposition of titanium butoxide

^aNational Center for International Research on Green Optoelectronics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China. E-mail: chaoqun.shang@ecs.scnu.org; wangxin@scnu.edu.cn

^bShenyang Institute of Automation, Guangzhou, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China

^cInternational Academy of Optoelectronics at Zhaoqing, South China Normal University, Guangdong, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8na00160j

Fig. 1 XRD (a) and Raman (b) of TiO₂-NCNFs spectra at different pyrolysis temperatures. (c) TG of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C. (d) XPS spectra of Ti 2p before and after capturing Li₂S₆.

(TBOT) with a trace of water in DMF. The diffraction peaks of CNF/TiO₂ become sharper and stronger with the increase in the pyrolysis temperature, demonstrating the enhanced crystallinity. It should be noted that a certain amount of Ti is formed at 900 °C by carbon reduction. Raman spectra (Fig. 1b) show distinct peaks of carbon band (D band, 1350 cm⁻¹ and G band, 1580 cm⁻¹) and three characteristic peaks (386 cm⁻¹, 503 cm⁻¹ and 618 cm⁻¹) of TiO₂. The I_D/I_G of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C is 1.116, which is higher than that of TiO₂-NCNFs-800 °C (I_D/I_G = 1.069) and TiO₂-NCNFs-900 °C (I_D/I_G = 1.066), demonstrating that the increased calcination temperature enhances the graphitization degree of carbon. TG analysis in Fig. 1c indicates that the content of TiO₂ in TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C is 24.6%. The weight losses are attributed to the evaporation of traces of water and oxidation of carbon at the elevated pyrolysis temperature in air.

To evaluate the chemical state and binding energy of TiO₂-NCNFs, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed, as shown in Fig. 1d and S1†. Fig. S1a† shows the survey spectra of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C with four peaks located at about 285 eV (C 1s, Fig. S1b†), 399 eV (N 1s, Fig. S1c†), 458 eV (Ti 2p, Fig. 1d) and 530 eV (O 1s, Fig. S1d†). The Ti 2p spectrum in Fig. 1d contains two main peaks that are assigned to Ti 2p_{1/2} and Ti 2p_{3/2} of Ti-O bond of anatase TiO₂. Test for confirmation of the chemical adsorption of Li₂S₆ on TiO₂ was also conducted. After adsorption, the peak at 464.28 eV, ascribed to the Ti-S bond, can be clearly observed, with a 0.22 eV shift to lower binding energy, which demonstrates that TiO₂ serves as an effective capture site for Li₂S₆ to improve the electrochemical performance of Li-S batteries. The presence of the element N in TiO₂-NCNFs is also confirmed by the strong N 1s signals (Fig. S1c†) with four peaks at 398.3 eV, 399 eV, 400 eV and 400.9 eV, attributed to the pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, graphitic-N and N-O, respectively. Moreover, the S 2p spectrum (Fig. S2a and e†) is composed of two peaks at 163.5 eV (carbon-sulfur bond) and 168.6 eV (sulfate species) after immobilizing Li₂S₆.

After pyrolysis, bitter melon-like TiO₂-NCNFs were generated from the decomposition and carbonization of PAN. The surface of synthetic nanofibers is very rough and uninterrupted and possesses many bulges with diameter of 300 nm, resembling bitter lemons, as shown in Fig. 2a-c with different magnifications. The rough surface can afford high contact area for TiO₂ to adsorb Li₂S_n, further restraining the shuttling effect. Moreover, the long-range interlacing continuous CNFs provide fast conductive pathways for electron transfer to accelerate the transformation. Furthermore, the freestanding network structure and the crumpled surface can accommodate large volume variation of S species during cycling. The surface was further characterized by TEM (Fig. 2d-f). The amorphous carbon layer improves the conductivity of insulated anatase TiO₂. The diameter of these nanoparticles is measured to be 50–200 nm. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) in Fig. 2f indicates the interplanar spacing of 0.357 nm, which is assigned to (101) plane of anatase TiO₂. The EDS mapping (Fig. S3†) shows the uniform distribution of C, N and O elements. Large TiO₂ nanoparticles as well as TiO₂ with few nanometers are embedded in the CNFs. The TiO₂ nanoparticles serve as anchoring sites for adsorbing Li₂S_n. Furthermore, the N-doped CNFs can also act as polarity sites to facilitate the capture of Li₂S_n on the surface of carbon nanofibers for the formation of LiS_nLi⁺...N binding. N atoms can induce the charge redistribution in graphite carbon to enhance the polarity of carbon atoms around the N atom.^{33–35} The SAED diagram (inset of Fig. 2f) depicts several diffraction rings, which indicate the polycrystalline structure of anatase TiO₂.

The TiO₂-NCNFs composite was directly employed as a free-standing current collector for Li-S batteries without aluminum foil or conductive adhesive. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were recorded and illustrated in Fig. 3a after activation, which show two distinct reduction peaks at 2.26 V and 1.98 V. These peaks are ascribed to the reduction of solid S₈ to soluble Li₂S_n and insoluble Li₂S₂/Li₂S, respectively. Moreover, the main strong oxidation peak at 2.46 V has been detected in the anodic scan, which appeared as a result of oxidation of Li₂S₂/Li₂S to Li₂S_n until the complete formation of elemental S. After several cycles, no significant shift occurs, implying excellent reversibility and favorable Li₂S_n adsorption performance of TiO₂-NCNFs. Without activation, the CV curve in Fig. S4† shows a significant shift in the two reduction peaks to higher voltage in the first

Fig. 2 SEM (a–c) and TEM (d–f) images of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C.

Fig. 3 Electrochemical performance of TiO₂-NCNFs. (a) CV test after activation at a rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. (b) The galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of the TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C with different cycles at 0.2C. (c) Rate performance at different current densities with various temperatures. (d) Cycle performance of TiO₂-NCNFs with different pyrolysis temperatures at 0.2C.

three cycles, indicating the existence of the activation process of active material diffusion to the entire TiO₂-NCNFs 3D network. As shown in Fig. 3b, galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of the TiO₂-NCNFs with different cycles at 0.2C display an initial discharge capacity of 865 mA h g⁻¹ with an outstanding cycling stability for 200 cycles. Two discharge plateaus are observed at 2.37 V and 2.1 V, which is in accordance with the results of the CV test. The two plateaus at 2.37 V and 2.1 V, displayed in the first discharge curve, appear in the next 200 cycles, indicating the high transformation reversibility between elemental S and Li₂S₂/Li₂S. Furthermore, the rate capabilities of TiO₂-NCNFs prepared under different pyrolysis temperatures were investigated under different constant current density from 100 to 1500 mA g⁻¹ (Fig. 3c). TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C exhibits a capacity of 945 mA h g⁻¹ (100 mA g⁻¹), which then remains at 850 mA h g⁻¹ (200 mA g⁻¹), 780 mA h g⁻¹ (400 mA g⁻¹), 705 mA h g⁻¹ (800 mA g⁻¹) and 620 mA h g⁻¹ (1500 mA g⁻¹), and recovers to 931 mA h g⁻¹ at 100 mA g⁻¹, indicating excellent electrochemical reversibility. Cycling performance of TiO₂-NCNFs prepared under different pyrolysis temperatures is presented in Fig. 3d, where TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C shows excellent cycling stability for 100 cycles. Compared with TiO₂-NCNFs-800 °C and TiO₂-NCNFs-900 °C, TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C exhibits the best rate capability and cycling performance, which may be attributed to the unique 1D structure with relatively weak crystallinity. TiO₂ generated at low pyrolysis temperature offers more active sites for adsorption of Li₂S_n and fast electron transfer for transformation of Li₂S_n due to the numerous disordered crystal planes of TiO₂.

To further verify the electrochemical kinetics of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C in Li-S batteries, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed, as shown in Fig. 4a and S5.† These Nyquist plots are all composed of two distinct semicircles in the medium-high frequency region and a straight line in the low frequency region. These two semicircles are attributed to

Fig. 4 (a) Electrochemical impedance spectroscopies (EIS) of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C with different cycles. (b) Cycle performance of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C with different areal sulfur loading. (c) Long cycle performance of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C at 0.2C and 1C.

the interface charge-transfer process, derived from the formation of insoluble and insulating Li₂S layer (high frequencies), and faradic charge-transfer resistance (R_{ct}) and double-layer capacitance (medium frequencies). The inclined line is related to the diffusion process within the cathode (Warburg resistance, W).^{36–38} Clearly, the R_{ct} of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C after activation is about 36 Ω, which is much smaller than that of pristine TiO₂ (365 Ω) (Fig. S5†) because of the diffusion of active materials to the entire current collector. After cycling, the R_{ct} of TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C (Fig. 4a) remains nearly the same, indicating the homogeneous distribution of S species on the surface of 3D TiO₂-NCNFs-700 °C ensured by the outstanding adsorption performance of TiO₂.

Long cycling performance of Li-S batteries with TiO₂-NCNFs current collector is displayed in Fig. 4c. After 200 cycles at 0.2C with S loading of 1.5 mg cm⁻², the capacity remains 786 mA h g⁻¹ with a low decay rate of 0.045% per cycle. Even at a high rate of 1C, the capacity remains 645 mA h g⁻¹ after 200 cycles. To demonstrate the excellent stability of Li-S batteries using TiO₂-NCNFs current collector, a comparison of different titanium and carbon composites is presented in Table S1 in ESI.† To further verify the cyclic performance of TiO₂-NCNFs current collector with different areal sulfur loading (Fig. 4b), long cycling-life tests were conducted at a current density of 0.2C for 100 cycles. With the increase in sulfur loading, the activation process is much more evident in the first several dozens of cycles, representing the gradually increasing capacity. The capacity remains 718 mA h g⁻¹ (3.0 mg cm⁻²) and 638 mA h g⁻¹ (4.5 mg cm⁻²) in the end of the 100th cycle. It should be noted that the capacity contribution of the TiO₂-NCNFs current collector is negligible (Fig. S6†). Another key aspect to consider when evaluating the performance of Li-S batteries is the electrolyte/sulfur (E/S) ratio, which is basically determined by the concentration of the catholyte. In this study, the E/S ratios are about 17.3 mL g⁻¹, 8.6 mL g⁻¹ and 5.8 mL g⁻¹, with sulfur loading of 1.5 mg cm⁻², 3 mg cm⁻² and 4.5 mg

cm^{-2} , respectively.^{39,40} SEM was conducted to further investigate the integrity of $\text{TiO}_2\text{-NCNFs-700 } ^\circ\text{C}$ current collector after cycling. As shown in Fig. S7,[†] the cycled $\text{TiO}_2\text{-NCNFs-700 } ^\circ\text{C}$ current collector maintains its original morphology without collapsing. The corresponding EDS mapping demonstrates that $\text{TiO}_2\text{-NCNFs-700 } ^\circ\text{C}$ is covered with homogenous S distribution and no sulfur agglomeration, implying excellent adsorption of $\text{TiO}_2\text{-NCNFs}$ for Li_2S_n during cycling.

Conclusions

In summary, bitter melon-like 3D $\text{TiO}_2\text{-NCNFs}$ current collector for Li-S batteries has been rationally designed and successfully synthesized. The TiO_2 nanoparticles work as chemical adsorption sites for Li_2S_n . In addition, the 3D network can not only bare the volume change during cycling, but also serves as conductive host to improve the conductivity of TiO_2 and S for fast electron transfer. Benefitting from the unique structure, the Li-S batteries with $\text{TiO}_2\text{-NCNFs-700 } ^\circ\text{C}$ current collector deliver stable cycling performance and high rate capacity. Furthermore, with high S loading of 4.5 mg cm^{-2} , these batteries can reach a high specific capacity of 718 mA g^{-1} . These results indicate that the $\text{TiO}_2\text{-NCNFs-700 } ^\circ\text{C}$ is a promising 3D current collector for Li-S batteries.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China Program (No. 51602111), Cultivation project of National Engineering Technology Center (2017B090903008), Xijiang R&D Team (X. W.), Guangdong Provincial Grant (2015A030310196, 2017A050506009), Special Fund Project of Science and Technology Application in Guangdong (2017B020240002) and 111 project.

Notes and references

- J. Liang, Z.-H. Sun, F. Li and H.-M. Cheng, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2016, **2**, 76–106.
- H.-J. Peng, J.-Q. Huang, X.-B. Cheng and Q. Zhang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, **7**, 1700260.
- Y. An, Z. Zhang, H. Fei, S. Xiong, B. Ji and J. Feng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 12400–12407.
- G. Liang, J. Wu, X. Qin, M. Liu, Q. Li, Y. B. He, J. K. Kim, B. Li and F. Kang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 23105–23113.
- Z. L. Xu, J. K. Kim and K. Kang, *Nano Today*, 2018, **19**, 84–107.
- Z. Li, J. T. Zhang, B. Y. Guan, D. Wang, L. M. Liu and X. W. Lou, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11.
- B. B. Wang, Y. Xia, G. Wang, Y. X. Zhou and H. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **309**, 417–425.
- W. J. Lu, M. X. Liu, L. Miao, D. Z. Zhu, X. Wang, H. Duan, Z. W. Wang, L. C. Li, Z. J. Xu, L. H. Gan and L. W. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **205**, 132–141.
- F. Zhou, Z. Li, X. Luo, T. Wu, B. Jiang, L. L. Lu, H. B. Yao, M. Antonietti and S. H. Yu, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 1035–1043.
- Y. Zhu, X. Fan, L. Suo, C. Luo, T. Gao and C. Wang, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 1529–1538.
- J. H. Yun, J. H. Kim, D. K. Kim and H. W. Lee, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 475–481.
- X. Liang, A. Garsuch and L. F. Nazar, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 3907–3911.
- Q. Pang, D. Kundu and L. F. Nazar, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2016, **3**, 130–136.
- Q. Pang and L. F. Nazar, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 4111–4118.
- X. Chen, L. Yuan, Z. Hao, X. Liu, J. Xiang, Z. Zhang, Y. Huang and J. Xie, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 13406–13412.
- Z. Li, J. Zhang, B. Y. Guan and X. W. D. Lou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 16003–16007.
- X. Liang, Y. Rangom, C. Y. Kwok, Q. Pang and L. F. Nazar, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1603040.
- S. Feng, J. Song, S. Fu, C. Zhu, Q. Shi, M.-K. Song, D. Du and Y. Lin, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 23737–23743.
- S. Jin, S. Xin, L. Wang, Z. Du, L. Cao, J. Chen, X. Kong, M. Gong, J. Lu, Y. Zhu, H. Ji and R. S. Ruoff, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 9094–9102.
- X. L. Du, Y. You, Y. Yan, D. Zhang, H. P. Cong, H. Qin, C. Zhang, F. F. Cao, K. C. Jiang, Y. Wang, S. Xin and J. B. He, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 22261–22269.
- Z. H. Chen, X. L. Du, J. B. He, F. Li, Y. Wang, Y. L. Li, B. Li and S. Xin, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 33855–33862.
- Z. Li, J. T. Zhang, Y. M. Chen, J. Li and X. W. Lou, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 8850.
- K. Xie, K. Zhang, Y. Han, K. Yuan, Q. Song, J.-g. Wang, C. Shen, X. Liu and B. Wei, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **210**, 415–421.
- C. Zheng, S. Niu, W. Lv, G. Zhou, J. Li, S. Fan, Y. Deng, Z. Pan, B. Li, F. Kang and Q.-H. Yang, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **33**, 306–312.
- C. Zhao, C. Shen, F. Xin, Z. Sun and W. Han, *Mater. Lett.*, 2014, **137**, 52–55.
- F. Sun, J. Wang, D. Long, W. Qiao, L. Ling, C. Lv and R. Cai, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 13283–13289.
- X. Qian, D. Zhao, L. Jin, X. Shen, S. Yao, D. Rao, Y. Zhou and X. m. Xi, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2017, **94**, 104–112.
- L. Ni, G. Zhao, G. Yang, G. Niu, M. Chen and G. Diao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 34793–34803.
- Z. Liu, B. Liu, P. Guo, X. Shang, M. Lv, D. Liu and D. He, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **269**, 180–187.
- T. Zhou, W. Lv, J. Li, G. Zhou, Y. Zhao, S. Fan, B. Liu, B. Li, F. Kang and Q.-H. Yang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, **10**, 1694–1703.
- Z. Zhang, Q. Li, S. Jiang, K. Zhang, Y. Lai and J. Li, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 1343–1349.
- Y. Dai, W. Liu, E. Formo, Y. Sun and Y. Xia, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 2011, **22**, 326–338.
- S. S. Zhang, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2015, **2**, 1059–1069.

- 34 J. Song, M. L. Gordin, T. Xu, S. Chen, Z. Yu, H. Sohn, J. Lu, Y. Ren, Y. Duan and D. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 4325–4329.
- 35 T. Z. Hou, W. T. Xu, X. Chen, H. J. Peng, J. Q. Huang and Q. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 8178–8182.
- 36 N. A. Cañas, K. Hirose, B. Pascucci, N. Wagner, K. A. Friedrich and R. Hiesgen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **97**, 42–51.
- 37 X. Y. Zhao, J. P. Tu, Y. Lu, J. B. Cai, Y. J. Zhang, X. L. Wang and C. D. Gu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **113**, 256–262.
- 38 C. Shang, L. Cao, M. Yang, Z. Wang, M. Li, G. Zhou, X. Wang and Z. Lu, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1016/j.ensm.2018.1008.1013.
- 39 Z. Z. Pan, W. Lv, Y. B. He, Y. Zhao, G. Zhou, L. Dong, S. Niu, C. Zhang, R. Lyu, C. Wang, H. Shi, W. Zhang, F. Kang, H. Nishihara and Q. H. Yang, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 1800384.
- 40 S. H. Chung and A. Manthiram, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705951.