Metal and graphene hybrid metasurface designed ultra-wideband terahertz absorbers with polarization and incident angle insensitivity
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Terahertz electromagnetic (EM) wave absorbers are vital in photonics, however, they suffer from limited bandwidth. A new approach for ultra-wideband (UWB) terahertz absorber design is proposed with metal and graphene metasurfaces. The UWB characteristics are owing to three factors: (1) the metal metasurface boosts the surface plasmon-polaritons (SPPs) of the graphene metasurface which leads to confined field enhancement, (2) the merging and interaction of the resonances of the metal and graphene metasurfaces, and (3) the multiple reflections and superpositions between the metasurfaces and the gold layer. A prototype designed using a dual-ring metal metasurface, fishnet graphene metasurface, polyimide substrate and gold reflecting layer is proposed. One cell of the prototype includes one metal dual-ring unit and four graphene fishnet units. The proposed absorber has an UWB bandwidth of 6.46 THz (145%) for absorptivity larger than 0.9, with a high octave of 6.21. The proposed absorber is also insensitive to the polarization state and incident angle of the illuminating EM waves. Besides, the amplitude modulation depth in the 5–6 THz band is up to 95.4%. The physical mechanisms of the wideband operation are also discussed. The research in this work could offer a new thought for UWB absorber design, and has potential applications in terahertz imaging, sensors, photodetectors and modulators (e.g. [L. Peng, X. M. Li, X. Liu, X. Jiang and S. M. Li, Nanoscale Adv., 2000, 35, 3523]).

1 Introduction

Terahertz wave absorbers are capable of absorbing electromagnetic (EM) waves, and they have wide applications in imaging, sensors, photodetectors and modulators.1–3 Therefore, terahertz absorber design is a hot topic in recent years. Traditional absorbers by engineering natural materials are widely researched in recent years. Graphene aerogels,4 Ni based materials,5 MWCNT–Fe3O4@Ag/epoxy nanocomposites6 and polymer nanocomposites7 are utilized to absorb X-band waves, carbon nanostructures and nanocomposites absorb waves at the Ku/Ka/F-band,8 and bio-gel derived nickel/carbon nano-composites absorb waves at the Ku-band.11 Though these absorbers achieve a wide absorption band, such as 63% (at 10 GHz) in ref. 6 and 30.8% (13.2–18 GHz) in ref. 11, they do not operate in the terahertz regime. Besides, it is difficult for them to achieve perfect absorption.

In recent years, metamaterials/metasurfaces exhibit excellent characteristics in EM wave manipulation,12,13 and they are widely applied in terahertz absorber design.1–3,14 Metamaterial/metasurface based absorbers can achieve perfect absorption thanks to the plasmonic resonances.1,2 Metamaterials/metasurfaces are constructed by assembling subwavelength electrically/magnetically resonant particles, which allows us to tailor their permittivity and permeability. Usually, the particles are arranged periodically. And, metasurfaces have the advantages of compactness, low-profile, and low cost.11 On the other hand, metacomposites, counterparts of metamaterials, have been studied in recent years.15–17 Metacomposites are fabricated by synthesizing microstructures and compositions of nanocomposites, and they can achieve negative permittivity.15,16,18–20 Metacomposites can be used to absorb EM waves.15,21,22 However, these metacomposites operate at MF-, UHF- or X-bands. Moreover, the perfect absorption characteristic can’t be achieved by metacomposite absorbers.

Therefore, in the terahertz range, metamaterial/metasurface absorbers are attractive, and they may achieve perfect absorption.1,2,23–25 Noble metals and graphene are fine materials to construct metamaterial/metasurface based EM wave absorbers. Metals, such as gold, are good materials to construct metastructures to perfectly absorb EM waves. Metallic metamaterial/metasurface based absorbers have various patterns, such as periodical circular holes on the top gold layer,26 combined electrically coupled ring resonators (ERR) and cross-shapes,27 substrate and gold layer backed cross-shaped gold resonators,28...
electric split-ring resonators (SRR),\textsuperscript{29} gold patches,\textsuperscript{30} dual split ring resonators (SRRs)\textsuperscript{31} and patches with rectangular holes.\textsuperscript{32} In ref. 23–25 and 33, semiconductors, such as GaAs or Si, are integrated with metallic resonators to realize optoelectronic-controlled absorbers. On the other hand, graphene that supports surface plasmons in the terahertz range is also found to be a fine material for absorption.\textsuperscript{34,35} Graphene based metamaterial/metasurface absorbers also have all sorts of shapes, such as graphene micro-ribbon arrays,\textsuperscript{36} dual pairs of graphene–germanium arrays,\textsuperscript{37} graphene ribbons and sheets\textsuperscript{38} and graphene layers covered on dielectric pillar arrays.\textsuperscript{39} Though the above metal and graphene based metamaterial/metasurface absorbers realized efficient absorptivity performance, their bandwidths are narrow owing to their strong plasmonic or photonic resonances.\textsuperscript{2} However, the narrow bandwidth of the absorbers limits their practical applications.\textsuperscript{3}

Therefore, approaches to expand the bandwidth of terahertz absorbers are urgently needed. Metal metamaterial/metasurface wideband absorbers can be constructed using nanostructured top silver films composed of crossed trapezoidal arrays\textsuperscript{40} and three-layer cross-shaped gold resonators to support several closely resonant modes for wide bands.\textsuperscript{41} The bandwidth for the absorber in ref. 40 is 54.5% with an absorptivity of 0.71, and it is 37.2% in ref. 41 with an absorptivity of 0.6. In ref. 42, an ultra-wideband absorber has a bandwidth from 1–30 THz (187.1%) with absorptivity larger than 0.8. However, the structure in ref. 42 is very complicated as each unit cell contains three tapered hyperbolic metamaterial (HMM) waveguides and each tapered HMM is composed of alternating Al and GaAs layers. In ref. 43, a gradient and sawtoothed metamaterial with alternating layers of flat metal and dielectric plates generates different resonance modes, and then, it achieves a bandwidth of 86%. The tapered and many-layer structure makes the fabrication very difficult. Graphene based metamaterials/metasurfaces are also applied to wideband absorber design. The design approaches for broadband graphene absorbers include single layer\textsuperscript{44,45} and multi-layer structures.\textsuperscript{3,46–49} It must be pointed out that, though a second layer graphene was utilized in ref. 44 to play as a gate electrode, we still treat the structure as single layer. In ref. 44, the graphene metamaterials have a fishnet structure that achieves a bandwidth of 59.4% with absorptivity larger than 0.9. In ref. 45, two graphene ring patterns are printed on a SiO$_2$ layer with a metallic mirror, and then, hybrid plasmonic resonances between the rings lead to a wide absorption bandwidth of 32.6% (absorptivity of 0.9). Multiple layers of graphene ribbons are widely used for broadband terahertz absorber design.\textsuperscript{5,46,47} In ref. 3, nine layers of different size graphene ribbons are embedded into a dielectric substrate and backed by a SiO$_2$ layer and metallic plate, and the complicated structure achieves an ultra-wideband from 3–7.8 THz (88.9%) with absorptivity larger than 0.9. In ref. 46, four graphene ribbons are stacked with different widths and separated by dielectric layers, and then, four absorption peaks are merged into a wideband of 12.8% with absorptivity larger than 0.9. Three-layer asymmetrically patterned graphene strips are etched with holes in ref. 47, and their bandwidth for absorptivity larger than 0.9 reaches 6.9 THz (84.6%). In ref. 48, a metallic reflector backed bulk metamaterial (array of split ring resonators, SRR) is covered by a metascreen (graphene ribbons) to achieve bandwidth enhancement. Though a wide bandwidth of 30.5% is realized in ref. 48, its complicated structure (bulk metamaterial) is difficult to construct. In ref. 49, periodic graphene patterns are printed on a SiO$_2$ dielectric substrate and two-layer graphene films are inserted in the substrate, and then, a wideband of 26.4% with absorptivity larger than 0.9 is obtained. For the multiple-layer technique, each layer generates a resonance, and then, the merging of the resonances effectively achieves a wide band. However, their structures are usually complicated. Besides, graphene layers are usually biased at different gate voltages that further complicates the structure and operation.\textsuperscript{1,46–47}

In this research, a new technique for ultra-wideband (UWB) absorber design is proposed. Our design approach includes a metal metasurface and a graphene metasurface. It is found that the hybrid metal and graphene metasurfaces trap very wideband EM waves in the structure, which contributes to UWB wave absorption. Besides, the absorption characteristics of the proposed absorber is insensitive to the polarization state and incident angle of the illuminating EM waves. And, the absorption function can be tuned by adjusting the chemical potential ($\mu_c$) of graphene.

2 Method, design and results

Metallic metamaterials/metasurfaces are stimulated with spoof surface plasmon-polaritons (SSPPs) of collective oscillation of free electrons to absorb light with perfect absorption.\textsuperscript{2,27,28} Graphene supports surface plasmon-polaritons (SPPs)\textsuperscript{30} and graphene metamaterials/metasurfaces promote strong SPPs to confine the field.\textsuperscript{51–53} Therefore, metal and graphene metamaterials/metasurfaces are excellent in boosting SSPPs/SPPs.\textsuperscript{34,55} However, the bandwidths of the absorbers are usually narrow owing to the strong resonance of SSPPs and SPPs. The wideband designs, such as multi-layer structures, achieve a wideband by grouping the resonances of the layers. In this research, a new method is proposed by hybridizing both the metal and graphene metasurfaces as shown in Fig. 1. This composite merges the SSPPs of the metal metasurface and SPPs of the graphene metasurface, and therefore, the metal and graphene metasurfaces operate together and their resonances are coupled with each other. And the coupling of the plasmonic modes captures the EM waves and allows exchange between the metal and graphene metasurfaces. Moreover, the metal metasurface enhances the SPPs of the graphene metasurface. This composite merges the SSPPs of the metal metasurface and SPPs of the graphene metasurface, and the coupling of the plasmonic modes captures the EM waves and allows exchange between the metal and graphene metasurfaces. Moreover, the metal metasurface enhances the SPPs of the graphene metasurface.

![Fig. 1](image-url) A hybrid composite of metal and graphene metasurfaces.
behaviours trap very wideband EM waves in the structure, which results in power dissipation of the near fields in an ultra-wideband (UWB).

According to ref. 56, the conductivity of graphene is contributed by intraband and interband sections, and it can be described by the Kubo formula.

\[
\sigma_s = \sigma_{\text{intra}}(\omega, \mu_c, \Gamma, T) + \sigma_{\text{inter}}(\omega, \mu_c, \Gamma, T) \\
\sigma_{\text{intra}}(\omega, \mu_c, \Gamma, T) = -\frac{e^2k_B T}{\pi \hbar^2(\omega - j 2\Gamma)} \left( \mu_c \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} + 2 \ln \left( \frac{e \mu_c k_B T}{J_0} + 1 \right) \right) \\
\sigma_{\text{inter}}(\omega, \mu_c, \Gamma, T) = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi \hbar} \ln \left( \frac{2|\mu_c|}{|\omega - j 2\Gamma|} \frac{|\mu_c|}{|\omega - j 2\Gamma|} \right)
\]

where \(e, \hbar, k_B, T\) and \(\mu_c\) represent the charge of an electron, the reduced Planck's constant, Boltzmann constant, Kelvin temperature and chemical potential, respectively. The \(\Gamma\) is a phenomenological scattering rate that is assumed to be independent of energy \(\varepsilon\). The \(T\) is fixed at 300 K and the momentum relaxation time \(\tau\) is supposed to be 0.1 ps. From eqn (1), the complex conductivity \(\sigma_s\) can be adjusted by tuning the chemical potential (\(\mu_c\)) with biasing voltage. With small \(\mu_c\), graphene has low carrier density and its conductivity is small, and then, graphene operates more like a dielectric substrate. With large \(\mu_c\), the carrier density of graphene is very large and the conductivity is very high, and then, graphene acts more like a conductor. Therefore, a suitable \(\mu_c\) is designed to support strong surface plasmon-polaritons (SPPs) to confine the incident waves.\(^{57-59}\) In this design, the \(\mu_c\) is set as 0.5 eV.

A prototype of the absorber with metal and graphene hybrid metasurfaces is designed as shown in Fig. 2 and its geometrical parameters were carefully chosen to approach the optimal effect. Fig. 2(a) exhibits the 3D view of a cell, Fig. 2(b) displays the side view of the cell, and a 3D view of the array is demonstrated in Fig. 2(c). As shown in the figures, the metal metasurface is made up by a dual ring array and the graphene metasurface is formed by a fishnet structure. The patterns of the growth and transfer process.\(^{64-65}\) The optimal parameters of the structure are \(p = 45 \mu m, r_1 = 11 \mu m, r_2 = 9.5 \mu m, t_w = 1 \mu m, L = 16 \mu m, W = 2 \mu m, h_1 = 13 \mu m,\) and \(h_2 = 3 \mu m.\) For \(y\)-polarized incidence, the absorptivity can be calculated by eqn (2) since there is no transmission owing to the gold layer.\(^{66,67}\)

\[A = 1 - r_{yy}^2 - r_{xy}^2\]  

The results of the proposed UWB absorber are plotted in Fig. 3. The results are obtained by full-wave numerical simulations with the frequency domain solver of the CST Microwave Studio. The simulation model utilizes unit cell boundaries at the periphery sides to imitate an infinite array, while a Floquet-port was added in the \(z\)-direction. As shown in Fig. 3, the reflection \(r_{yy}\) is almost equal to zero as the symmetric structure allows no polarization rotation. The reflection \(r_{xy}\) is also very small (such as smaller than 0.3) in a very wideband. Therefore, the total reflection of the incident wave is small and most of the

![Fig. 2 Schematic view of the proposed UWB THz absorber. (a) 3D view of a cell, (b) side view of a cell, and (c) 3D view of an array.](image1)

![Fig. 3 Results of the proposed UWB absorber under normal incidence.](image2)
power is dissipated in the gold and graphene hybrid metasurfaces. As shown in the figure, the proposed absorber presents a high absorptivity above 0.9 from 1.24 to 7.70 THz and a bandwidth of 6.46 THz. The percentage bandwidth of the absorber is 145%, while the octave of the band that is defined by the ratio of the high frequency ($f_h$) to low frequency ($f_l$) is 6.21. The operating band for absorptivity above 0.8 ranges from 1.02 to 8.26 THz. Thus, the bandwidth is 7.24 THz (percentage bandwidth of 156% and an octave of 8.10). The bandwidth of the proposed absorber is compared to those of the reported wideband absorbers as shown in Table 1. As shown in the table, the proposed absorber is much wider than the reported absorbers.

3 Physical mechanisms and discussion

3.1 Responses of the metasurfaces

The responses of the metasurfaces are investigated to reveal the physical mechanisms of the wide operating band. As shown in Fig. 4(a), the gold metasurface with dual-rings, the fishnet graphene metasurface and the gold/graphene hybrid metasurfaces are studied. Note that the mirror gold layer is not utilized. The extracted relative complex permittivity ($\varepsilon = \varepsilon' + i\varepsilon''$) and relative complex permeability ($\mu = \mu' + i\mu''$) are demonstrated in Fig. 4(b) and (c), respectively. The $\varepsilon'$ and $\varepsilon''$ are the storage and loss capability of electric energy, while the $\mu'$ and $\mu''$ are the storage and loss capability of magnetic energy.\textsuperscript{32} As shown in Fig. 4(b), the dual-ring metasurface has electric resonances around 3.4 THz, 4.6 THz and 6.5 THz, and an increase of $\varepsilon'$ and $\varepsilon''$ is observed at these resonances. For the graphene metasurface, the $\varepsilon'$ has an obvious peak around 1.8 THz, while the $\varepsilon''$ has large values around 2 THz, while the $\varepsilon''$ of the gold/graphene hybrid metasurfaces shows larger values and wider bands. Though the $\varepsilon''$s of the dual-ring metasurface and graphene metasurface are small in the 6–8 THz band (though the dual-ring metasurface has peaks around 6.5 THz), the gold/graphene hybrid metasurfaces still have moderate $\varepsilon''$ in the band. This is because a metal locally enhances the EM field on graphene to further enhance the SPPs.\textsuperscript{38} As shown in Fig. 4(c), the dual-ring metasurface has small $\mu''$, while the graphene metasurface and the gold/graphene hybrid metasurfaces have moderate $\mu''$ in a wide band.

The absorptions of the metasurfaces are presented in Fig. 4(d). As shown in the figure, the gold metasurface has absorption peaks at the resonances as implied in Fig. 4(b). The graphene metasurface has moderate absorption as SPPs are enhanced, while the gold/graphene hybrid metasurfaces have better absorption and a wider band. This is owing to two factors: (1) the gold metasurface boosts the surface plasmon-polaritons (SPPs) of the graphene metasurface which leads to confined field enhancement, and (2) the merging and interaction of the resonances of the gold and graphene metasurfaces.

3.2 Field distributions

To further reveal the physical mechanisms of the proposed absorber, the field distributions are displayed in Fig. 5, 6 and 7 to reveal the hybridization of the SPP (graphene metasurface)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Comparison of the bandwidth of the wideband absorbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absorbers (units)</td>
<td>Absorptivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3]</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[41]</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[47]</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[48]</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[49]</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our design</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our design</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and SSPP (metal metasurface) of the proposed absorber. Note that the fields are obtained under y-polarized incidence. Three peaks at the low (1.62 THz), middle (4 THz) and high (8.05 THz) frequencies of the absorber band are chosen to display the electric and magnetic energy densities. The electric energy density distributions on the graphene metasurface, gold metasurface, xoz-plane and yoz-plane are demonstrated in the subgraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d), respectively. The magnetic energy density distributions on the graphene metasurface, gold metasurface, xoz-plane and yoz-plane are demonstrated in the subgraphs (e), (f), (g) and (h), respectively.

As demonstrated in Fig. 5, 6 and 7, both the electric and magnetic energies of 1.62/4/8.05 THz are basically converged on the metasurfaces. It is found from Fig. 5(a), (b), (e), (f) and 6(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) that the electric and magnetic energies of 1.62 THz and 4 THz are concentrated on both the graphene and gold metasurfaces, while it is found that the electric energy of 8.05 THz is mainly concentrated on the graphene metasurface and the magnetic energy is mainly distributed on the gold metasurface from Fig. 7(a), (b), (e), (f). It is also interesting to find from Fig. 5(a), (b), (e), (f) and 6(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) that the electric and magnetic energies of the graphene metasurface gather under the dual-rings. Moreover, the energies on one metasurface also transfer to the other metasurface as displayed in Fig. 5(c), (d), (g), (h), 6(d), (g) and 7(c), (d), (g), (h). Therefore, there are strong couplings between the graphene and the gold metasurfaces, enhancing the SPPs of the graphene and merging the resonances of the metasurfaces. Thus, the energies in the very wide band are trapped in the graphene/gold metasurfaces and dissipated.

Besides, multiple reflections and superpositions between the metasurfaces and the gold layer also contribute to EM wave dissipation.\(^{49}\) From Fig. 5, 6 and 7(c), (d), (g), (h), it is found that there is also some energy transfer between the metasurfaces and the gold layer, and their couplings can’t be negligible. Of course, at low-frequencies, the energies are mainly distributed around the gold and graphene metasurfaces, while more energies are transferred to the gold layer at high frequencies as indicated in Fig. 7(c), (d), (g) and (h). This is because Fabry–Perot resonance may occur at high frequency as the thickness \(h_1\) is comparable to the wavelength of high frequency. Then, the multiple reflections between the metasurfaces and the gold layer effectively trap EM waves and contribute to wave absorption.

### 3.3 Discussion

The proposed UWB absorber with metal (gold) and graphene hybridized metasurfaces exhibits robust characteristics that are highly desired in practical applications. To consolidate this conclusion, the absorption characteristics for different thicknesses \(h_1/h_2\), different polarization angles (\(\Phi\)), incident angles (\(\theta\)) and chemical potentials (\(\mu_\ell\)) are investigated in this section.

To further verify the discussion in the Section Field distributions, the absorptivity for different substrate thicknesses \(h_1\) and \(h_2\) is plotted in Fig. 8(a) and (b), respectively. As shown in Fig. 7(a), though the lower edge of the absorption band decreases a little with \(h_1\) increasing, it can be concluded that the lower edge is not sensitive to \(h_1\). However, the higher frequencies of the absorption band are susceptible to \(h_1\). This is coincident with the discussion in paragraph 3 of the section Field distributions, which points out that Fabry–Perot resonance occurs at high frequency. From Fig. 8(b), it is observed that the absorptivity degrades as the distance \(h_2\) between the gold metasurface and graphene metasurface diverges from the proposed value 3 \(\mu\)m. Therefore, this verifies the conclusion in the sections Responses of the metasurfaces and Field.

---

**Fig. 5** Energy density at 1.62 THz. (a), (b), (c) and (d) are the electric energy density of the graphene metasurface, gold (dual rings) metasurface, xoz-plane and yoz-plane, respectively. (e), (f), (g) and (h) are the magnetic energy density of the graphene metasurface, gold metasurface, xoz-plane and yoz-plane, respectively.

**Fig. 6** Energy density at 4 THz. (a), (b), (c) and (d) are the electric energy density of the graphene metasurface, gold (dual rings) metasurface, xoz-plane and yoz-plane, respectively. (e), (f), (g) and (h) are the magnetic energy density of the graphene metasurface, gold metasurface, xoz-plane and yoz-plane, respectively.

**Fig. 7** Energy density at 8.05 THz. (a), (b), (c) and (d) are the electric energy density of the graphene metasurface, gold (dual rings) metasurface, xoz-plane and yoz-plane, respectively. (e), (f), (g) and (h) are the magnetic energy density of the graphene metasurface, gold metasurface, xoz-plane and yoz-plane, respectively.
distributions that the coupling between the gold metasurface and the graphene metasurface is important for the absorptive characteristics of the proposed absorber.

Fig. 9 depicts the absorptivity for different polarization angles (\(\Phi\)). The \(\Phi\) is the angle of the incident electric field relative to the \(y\)-axis. Note that, as the structure of the absorber is symmetric to \(x\)- and \(y\)-axes, the \(\Phi\) changes from 0° to 45°. As shown in the figure, the absorptivity of the proposed UWB absorber is little affected by the polarization angle \(\Phi\), and the structure is completely insensitive to the polarization of normal incidence.

The function of the absorption in terms of chemical potentials (\(\mu_c\)) is presented in Fig. 10. It is found that the absorption band of the proposed absorber can be further modulated via tuning the chemical potentials (\(\mu_c\)) of the graphene metasurface. As exhibited in the figure, the absorption band moves from 0.94–7.68 THz to 1.14–8.46 THz by changing the chemical potentials (\(\mu_c\)) from 0.4 eV to 0.7 eV, while the absorptivity is above 0.8. It is also found that the absorptivity in the 5–6 THz band can be adjusted from 0.56 to 0.98 by tuning the chemical potentials (\(\mu_c\)) from 0.2 eV to 0.5 eV. Therefore, the amplitude modulation depth in the 5–6 THz band is up to 95.4%. Here, the amplitude modulation depth is calculated by \(P_{\text{max}} - P_{\text{min}}\)/\(P_{\text{max}}\), where the \(P_{\text{max}}\) and \(P_{\text{min}}\) are the maximal and minimal reflected powers, respectively.

The absorption performances for different incident angles (\(\theta\)) are presented in Fig. 11. Both the s- and p-polarized waves are illuminated on the structure with their results shown in Fig. 11(a) and (b), respectively. Note that the s-polarization is perpendicular to the incident plane and the p-polarization is parallel to the incident plane, and the incident plane is formed by the normal line of the metasurfaces and the incident line of the wave. As shown in the figures, the absorption functions of the absorber are quite stable as the incident angle \(\theta\) increased for both s- and p-polarizations. Of course, the s-polarization presents better absorption function than the p-polarization as the incident angle \(\theta\) increasing. It is found from Fig. 11(a) that the absorptivity of s-polarization is still larger than 0.9 in the band as the incident angle \(\theta\) increased to 60°, and it is larger than 0.8 as the incident angle \(\theta\) increased to 68°. For p-polarization illumination as demonstrated in Fig. 11(b), the absorptivity is larger than 0.9 in the band as the incident angle \(\theta\) increased to 35°, and it is still larger than 0.8 as the incident angle \(\theta\) increased to 45°. Besides, the bandwidth for s-polarization incidence is quite stable to the incident angle \(\theta\), and the increase of \(\theta\) has a small effect on the high edge of the band.
Therefore, the proposed absorber demonstrates good function to the incident angle $\theta$, and it is quite insensitive to the incident angle $\theta$.

4 Conclusions

In summary, we proposed a general scheme to design UWB terahertz absorbers by combining metal and graphene metasurfaces. The metal metasurface can increase the SPPs of the graphene metasurface, and its resonances are also coupled with the resonances of the graphene metasurface. These characteristics allow the metal/graphene metasurfaces to achieve a wide absorption band. Moreover, the multiple reflections and superpositions between the metasurfaces and the gold layer further enhance the absorption. Compared to the previous wideband absorbers, our design achieves a much wider bandwidth. The band for absorptivity above 0.9 is 1.24–7.70 THz (6.46 THz, 145%, and octave 6.21), while the band for absorptivity above 0.8 is 1.02–8.26 THz (7.24 THz, 156%, and octave 8.10). Our design may present a new approach to design UWB terahertz absorbers, and find broad applications in terahertz imaging, sensing, photodetection and modulation systems.
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