Materials Horizons



View Article Online

REVIEW



Cite this: *Mater. Horiz.*, 2020, 7, 411

Received 3rd June 2019, Accepted 16th September 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9mh00856j

rsc.li/materials-horizons

1 Introduction

Nature is a rich source of inspiration for new ideas. Over decades, chemists have been trying to mimic nature to synthesize complex systems that could perform advanced functions.¹⁻⁵ In this regard, scientists have constructed numerous chemical architectures by assembling diverse building units in assorted manners.⁶⁻¹² In particular, these new materials are continually developed and applied as catalysts in fine-chemical and petrochemical industries. However, the present scenario faces challenges in renewing catalytic species with greener, readily available, efficient materials, and advance assembly systems to achieve sustainable development. In this context, persistent effort goes into designing nano-porous materials with the domain ranging from conventional mesoporous zeolites to coordination polymers including metal-organic-frameworks (MOFs).^{13–17} Nevertheless, their linking chemistry purely relies on kinetically controlled irreversible coupling reactions which results in structural disorder and non-separable oligomers that

^b Hindu College, Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi 110007, India ^c Regional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Palacký University

Recent development of covalent organic frameworks (COFs): synthesis and catalytic (organic-electro-photo) applications

Covalent organic frameworks (COFs) are one of the most important and dynamic members of the porous organic materials and are constructed using reticular chemistry with the building blocks being connected *via* covalent bonds. Due to their fascinating properties such as large surface area; structural versatility; effortless surface modification; and high chemical stability, COFs are being widely deployed in catalytic; sensing; adsorption; gas storage; and many other valuable applications. This review summarizes selected and most recent espoused synthetic methodologies for their assembly including the formation of magnetic COFs. Potential insights in the field of catalysis; electrocatalysis; and photocatalysis, where COFs can serve as excellent platforms for supporting catalytic species, are also illustrated.

restrain their catalytic applications. These efforts indicate the search for organic porous materials with long-range order structure and considerable crystallinity.¹⁸

Yaghi and co-workers introduced COFs in 2005, for the first time, as crystalline porous organic polymers which are formed by reversible reactions such as condensation of two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) organic building precursors.^{6,19-21} They comprised light elements (such as H, B, C, N, O) and are connected by robust covalent bonds via reticular chemistry (Fig. 1). The noteworthy progress on COFs is mainly due to their selfhealing ability and thermodynamically controlled dynamic covalent chemistry involved in their assembly which results in a long-range ordered crystalline structure. Unlike MOFs, the densities of COFs are usually lower and thus they display excellent stability in organic solvents, and even under varying acidic; basic; oxidative; and reductive conditions. Besides, COFs can withstand harsh conditions and can retain their ordered structure and crystallinity. This is attributed to the metal-free skeletal structure linked via strong covalent bonds rather than coordinate bonds that comprise MOFs. Moreover, the presence of hydrogen bonding and π - π stacking interaction in COFs further strengthens the skeletal and pore structure and protects them from solvation and hydrolysis. In comparison with inorganic zeolites and porous silica materials, COFs have higher porosity and bigger and tunable pore size which speed up the diffusion of reactants and desorption of products, thereby promoting higher yield and selectivity. Moreover, COFs exhibit ultrahigh theoretical specific surface area and high chemical stability which renders them as an ideal heterogeneous catalyst.19,22-27

^a Green Chemistry Network Centre, Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi 110007, India. E-mail: rksharmagreenchem@hotmail.com

Olomouc, Šlechtitelů 27, Olomouc, 78371, Czech Republic. E-mail: manoj.gawande@upol.cz, rajender.varma@epa.gov

^d Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, 14476 Potsdam, Germany.

E-mail: Markus.Antonietti@mpikg.mpg.de ^e Institute of Chemical Technology Mumbai-Marathwada Campus, Jalna,

Maharashtra 431203, India



Fig. 1 Structures of diverse COFs.

In recent years, COFs have been uniquely designed and synthesized and are explored in numerous applications due to a number of very exclusive advantages^{22,28–50} such as low density, pre-designable and well-defined porous structures with uniform pore size ranging from angstroms to nanometers, large surface area, versatile covalent combination of rigid building units having multiconnectivities, structural regularity, tunable and effortless functionalization, sturdy nature that provides resistance to disintegration under adverse conditions and many more. These fascinating advantages have attracted many researchers working in the fields of gas and energy storage,^{16,51–60} sensing,^{61–66} adsorption of metal ions,^{67,68} catalysis,^{69–73} optoelectrics,^{74,75} and drug delivery,^{76–80} among others.^{81–83}

Covalent triazine frameworks (CTFs) represent another class of porous polymers related to COFs encompassing aromatic triazine linkages (Fig. 1).⁸⁴ They possess a high amount of nitrogen functionalities, high chemical and thermal stability and large structural diversity. However, due to the presence of strong C—N bonds, very few CTFs are crystalline. The energy band structure of CTFs can be modified by varying the building blocks that are connected to the electron-withdrawing triazine units, thus enabling their wider applications in photocatalytic processes. The progress of CTFs can be seen in a variety of other appliances such as gas adsorption^{85,86} and storage,^{87,88} energy storage,^{89–91} and heterogeneous catalysis.^{92,93}

The present review article is supported by selected and important synthetic methodologies for assembly of COFs and aims to provide perceptive information on their catalytic, electrocatalytic and photocatalytic applications.^{27,94,95} The literature accumulated in this review article reveals that COFs (1) can be synthesized under diverse experimental conditions, (2) can be exploited as potential catalytic support materials by entrapping metal salts/ nanoparticles (NPs) offering activities and selectivities comparable to their homogeneous analogues, (3) can even serve as metal-free catalysts, (4) can be supported on various materials such as magnetic nanoparticles which enhances easy magnetic recoverability and (5) can be used to remove organic pollutants.

2 Synthetic routes for COFs

The key to forming highly ordered and crystalline COFs is the regulation of thermodynamic equilibrium during covalent bond formation including a pre-requisite knowledge of building units and synthetic routes (such as reaction media and conditions). Depending upon the desired porosity; surface functionalization; and structural regularity, COFs can be predesigned with careful understanding of the aforementioned parameters. Recently, attempts have been made to explore the synthetic prospects in a variety of manners; some of the common methods include solvothermal, ionothermal, microwave, sono-chemical, mechanochemical and light-promoted methods for the successful formation of COFs.^{22,33,96-100}

2.1 Solvothermal synthesis

Usually, a variety of COFs are acquired *via* solvothermal methods, similar to typical protocols deployed for the synthesis of inorganic zeolites in autoclaves. This entails mixing of monomers in a combination of diverse solvents in a Pyrex tube followed by degassing, sealing and heating at a designated temperature for a given reaction time. Next, the precipitate is collected, washed and dried to produce solid powdered COFs. Historically, the first two 2D COFs were synthesized by Yaghi *et al.* using the solvothermal method (Fig. 2).⁶ COF-1 was assembled *via* self-molecular dehydration reaction of 1,4-benzenediboronic acid (BDBA), as a layered hexagonal framework. Analogously, COF-5 was synthesized using condensation of BDBA and 2,3,6,7,10,11-hexahydroxytriphenylene (HHTP), as a coplanar extended sheet structure. The presence of mesitylene-dioxane as a solvent mixture helps to control the diffusion of monomers into the





solution, thereby expediting the nucleation of the COF. Besides, a sealed environment fulfils the availability of water for efficient crystalline growth of COFs.

2.2 Ionothermal synthesis

Ionothermal synthesis is a synthetic method wherein solids are formed in the presence of an ionic liquid/molten salt which serves as both solvent and a catalyst. The reaction is usually carried out at high temperatures (~400 °C) and pressure in a thick-walled vessel such as a Pyrex tube.⁸⁷ This method was used by Bojdys *et al.* for the synthesis of CTFs; CTF-2 was obtained by heating 2,6-naphthalenedicarbonitrile and ZnCl₂ in a quartz glass ampoule at 400 °C for 40 h *via* a ionothermal condensation reaction (Fig. 3).¹⁰¹ This synthesis method involves



very harsh reaction conditions such as high reaction temperature which not only limits the scope of building units but also invites unwanted decomposition and condensation side reactions. Moreover, the ensuing CTFs are amorphous in nature and lack long-range molecular order which may explain why this method did not receive much attention.

It is believed that with the increase in crystallinity, charge transport within the framework intensifies, which further enhances the photoelectric properties, and therefore, methods are continuously being developed that result in the formation of crystalline CTFs.²⁸ In this context, Liu et al. exploited the principle of slow nucleation and the production of low concentration of nuclei to improve crystallization, by performing in situ controlled oxidation of alcohol monomers in an open system polycondensation reaction (Fig. 4).^{102,103} This resulted in the formation of a series of highly crystalline CTFs, CTF-HUST-C1, CTF-HUST-C5 and CTF-HUST-C6. This strategy allowed a convenient synthesis of a series of crystalline CTFs with different monomers resulting in greater thermal stability and improved photocatalytic performance due to easier electronic transport and better absorption when compared to amorphous CTFs.

2.3 Microwave (MW) synthesis

The use of microwaves as an alternate energy source in chemistry has been broadly explored during the past few years.^{104–106} It has numerous advantages over the solvothermal method such as considerable reduction of reaction times and the formation of cleaner products, which allows the possibility for expeditious COF synthesis.^{107–109} Other benefits include the potential for continual online monitoring, visual monitoring of the phase behavior in reactions and simultaneous control of reaction temperature and pressure. Recently, Cooper *et al.* synthesized 2D COF-5 and 3D COF-102 under MW irradiation at 100 °C while stirring them for 20 min which is 200 times faster than the previously reported solvothermal method.¹¹⁰ As can be seen from Fig. 5a, a gray precipitate was formed in the reaction vessel which resulted in the formation of COF-5. The unreacted starting materials and the trapped impurities in the porous structure were removed by washing with acetone (the purple color of supernatant is due to the formation of an oxidized form of HHTP) (Fig. 5b). Finally, the purified COF-5 was isolated as a gray solid in 68% yield (Fig. 5c). Thus, MW-assisted synthesis also allows enhancement in surface area of COF-5 ($S_{\text{BET}(\text{Microwave})} = 2019 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$; $S_{\text{BET}(\text{Solvothermal})} = 1590 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) by removing impurities *via* a MW extraction process.

2.4 Sonochemical synthesis

Sonochemical synthetic methods are gaining great attention as alternative routes to conventional techniques since the process is fast and economical.^{111,112} Ahn *et al.* utilized this method to synthesize COF-1 and COF-5. COF-1 was prepared from BDBA using a mixture of mesitylene and 1,4-dioxane in a sonicating unit in just an hour;¹¹³ the sonication promoted the effective mixing of sparingly soluble BDBA in the mixed solvent system *via* a cavitation phenomenon. COF-1 prepared using this method was 400 times smaller than that of the conventionally prepared one, with nearly the same surface area. Similarly, COF-5 was prepared using BDBA and HHTP in the same solvent system.

2.5 Mechanochemical synthesis

A mechanochemical route is of considerable significance in the field of modern synthetic chemistry as it leads to simple, rapid, solvent-free and room temperature synthesis only by means of manual grinding.^{114,115} Recently, Banerjee *et al.* reported the formation of three COFs: TpPa-1, TpPa-2 and TpBD *via* Schiff base condensation reaction using a pestle and mortar (Tp = 1,3,5-triformylphloroglucinol, Pa-1 = *p*-phenylenediamine, Pa-2 = 2,5-dimethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine, BD = benzidine).¹¹⁶ The formation of these COFs can be seen by the naked eye as the color changes (Fig. 6). In addition, the obtained COFs had a graphene-like layered structure, unlike the COFs synthesized *via* a solvothermal method; this could be well attributed to the exfoliation of COF layers during the mechanochemical synthesis.



Fig. 4 (a) The general strategy of slowing down the nucleation process *via in situ*-controlled oxidation of alcohol monomers in an open system. (b) Monomers: BDM for CTF-HUST-C1, NDM for CTF-HUST-C5 and BPDM for CTF-HUST-C6.

2.6 Light-promoted synthesis

Recently, a light-promoted highly conjugated and crystalline COF (hcc-COF) has been synthesized under simulated sunlight irradiation of wavelength 200–2500 nm by mixing of 1,2,4,5-benzenetetramine tetrahydrochloride (BTA) and hexaketocyclohexane octahydrate (HCH) (in mixed solvents) in a quartz vial under an argon atmosphere in just 3 h (Fig. 7).¹¹⁷ A small amount of water and acetic acid was also added as a co-catalyst to induce efficient imine condensation. However, it was observed that under light-deficient conditions, an amorphous hcc-COF was obtained.

Due to an extended conjugated structure, which facilitates charge transfer, the as-synthesized hcc-COF shows the highest electrical conductivity of 2.22×10^{-3} S m⁻¹.

The properties and advantages of various synthetic methods of COFs are summarized in Fig. 8.

2.7 Synthesis of magnetic COFs

Inspired by the fascinating properties of magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs), which enable easy magnetic recovery, cost effectiveness and low toxicity, they can be exploited in a wide



Fig. 5 Images for the synthesis and purification of COF-5: (a) gray COF-5 with purple impurities, (b) removal of impurities by a MW extraction process and (c) purified gray COF-5. Reproduced with permission from ref. 110 Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.



Fig. 6 Mechanochemical synthesis of TpPa-1, TpPa-2 and TpBD. Reproduced with permission from ref. 116 Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

range of disciplines.^{118–130} It simplifies the procedures, enhances the efficiency, and allows recoverability and thus reusability. Therefore, considering the superiorities of MNPs and COFs, Cai *et al.* designed a unique gypsophila bouquet-shaped magnetic COF *via* a simple methodology (Fig. 9).

Initially, surface-modified Fe_3O_4 NPs were synthesized by preferentially grafting Tp (COF monomer) onto aminofunctionalized Fe_3O_4 NPs.¹³¹ This increased the local concentration of Tp on NPs and helped to trigger the binding of COF using Schiff base reaction. Furthermore, Tp and another monomer Pa-1, were added to consequently produce magnetic TpPa-1. The TEM image in Fig. 9 clearly shows that nanofibers of TpPa-1 sprout from clustered 30 nm amino-functionalized Fe_3O_4 NPs in order to acquire a structure like a gypsophila bouquet. Magnetic TpPa-1 possessed superparamagnetism besides a large surface area and high porosity.

Similarly, a simple two-step synthesis involving, mechanochemical grinding along with crystallization, was deployed to obtain a combination of high quality COF and magnetic composite (Fig. 10).¹³²

For this, Fe₃O₄ (500–1200 nm), 1,3,5-triformylbenzene (TFB) and 1,4-diaminobenzene (DAB) were milled together with a few drops of 1,4-dioxane/acetic acid leading to the formation of an amorphous polymer network. Further crystallization was performed in a small amount of 1,4-dioxane/mesitylene/acetic acid at 70 °C for 5 days. Finally, the product was washed with toluene and methanol to yield Fe₃O₄/COF. The as-synthesized COF network forms a 15–35 nm thick organic shell on Fe₃O₄ particles. The COF prepared *via* this method was utilized for the adsorption of iodine from water and efficiently collected by using an external magnet (Fig. 10).¹³²

Besides, many other groups have also synthesized magnetic COFs and have efficiently exploited them for various applications such as adsorption,^{133,134} and enrichment of peptides with simultaneous exclusion of proteins.¹³⁵

3 Applications of COFs

COFs have enriched every aspect of catalytic transformations as potentially expedient organic-, electro- and photocatalysts owing to their interesting properties. In this section, various important reactions are discussed such as coupling reactions, oxidation reactions, oligomerization reactions, reduction reactions, asymmetric synthesis, tandem reactions, chemical fixation of CO_2 , cycloaddition reaction, condensation reaction, asymmetric Michael addition, water splitting, singlet oxygen generation, and some metal-free COF-catalyzed reactions. This review highlights the use of COFs that have proved their efficiency in these reactions.

3.1 Catalytic applications

COFs have diversified porosities, functional diversity, large surface area and excellent thermal stability.^{22,24} Therefore, in contrast to traditional amorphous solids and inorganic zeolites,



Fig. 7 Synthesis of hcc-COF. Reproduced with permission from ref. 117 Copyright 2019 Springer. Nature Publishing AG.



Fig. 9 Synthesis of gypsophila bouquet-shaped magnetic TpPa-1. Reproduced with permission from ref. 131 Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

COFs have been used as excellent candidates for catalytic applications.^{71,136} Besides, they offer numerous other features that are beneficial from the heterogeneous catalysis viewpoint: they can serve as hosts for catalytically active metal NPs; their well isolated structure minimizes aggregation of entrapped NPs; large spatial separation allows incorporation of multiple catalytic sites in the same COF, thereby imparting cooperative catalysis character; they have permeable channels that enable the substrates to gain a facile access to the catalytically active entities, thus allowing easy and efficient confined chemical reactions inside a nanoreactor and they are easily separable from reaction media, thus providing sustainability and economic viability. Several examples are presented in this section where COFs have been utilized in various metal-assisted and metal-free catalytic reactions.

3.1.1 Metal-containing COFs as catalysts

3.1.1.1 C–C coupling reactions. In recent years, numerous metalsupported catalysts have been established for cross-coupling reactions such as Pd-containing MOFs,^{137,138} Pd@silica,¹³⁹⁻¹⁴¹ and Pd@MNPs,^{142,143} among others. However, examples on Pd@COFs are relatively very few.

In 2011, Wang *et al.* reported the imine-based 2D COF-LZU1 material for catalytic applications (Fig. 11).⁷⁰ The imine-based 2D COF-LZU1 with an eclipsed layered sheet structure was easily prepared by a reaction between 1,3,5-triformylbenzene and 1,4-diaminobenzene, facilitating metal ion incorporation. By simple post-treatment, $Pd(OAc)_2$ was added at room temperature to form Pd/COF-LZU1 which was then applied to catalyze Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction (Fig. 12). The superior prowess of Pd/COF-LZU1 was demonstrated by a wide substrate scope, producing excellent yields of the coupled products and easy recyclability of the catalyst which is attributed to its unique and supramolecular structure with easily accessible catalytic sites and fast diffusion of reactants and products from the COF surface.

Esteves *et al.* synthesized an imine-linked COF-300 *via* a simple condensation of tetrakis-(4-aminobenzyl)methane (TAM) with terephthalaldehyde (TA) (Fig. 13);¹⁴⁴ COF-300 has a high surface area of 1400–1500 m² g⁻¹ which can be used as a host



Fig. 10 Schematic illustration for the synthesis of Fe₃O₄/COF. Reproduced with permission from ref. 132 Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.



Fig. 11 (a and b) Proposed structure of COF-LZU1 and Pd/COF-LZU1 and (c and d) microporous channels and eclipsed layer sheet structure of Pd/COF-LZU1 (C: blue, N: red, Pd(OAc)₂: brown spheres). Reproduced with permission from ref. 70 Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society.

material for Pd metal species. The catalytic activity of the $Pd(OAc)_2$ @COF-300 was evaluated in a Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction (Fig. 14); a series of boronic acids and aryl

halides reacted under mild reaction conditions to generate coupled products in good to excellent yields. The applicability of the catalytic system was also tested in a continuous flow

Review



Fig. 12 Suzuki-Miyaura reaction catalyzed by Pd/COF-LZU1



Fig. 13 Synthesis of Pd(OAc)₂@COF-300

mode where $Pd(OAc)_2$ @COF-300 was filled in the glass column and all the other reactants and reagents were passed through the column for a defined residence time; 100% selectivity was observed during the reaction.

In addition, only a slight drop in the conversion was seen (from 56% to 44%) when the first aliquot was compared with the last. Encouraged by these results, the catalyst was also tested in other cross-coupling reactions: Heck, Sonogashira, and Heck-Matsuda (Fig. 14); isolated yields of 40–90% were attained in Heck and Sonogashira reactions. However, the desired product was barely observed in the case of Heck-Matsuda reaction which might be due to the highly polar diazonium salt having difficulty in accessing the hydrophobic channel of the COF or the inability of the salt to enter the pores of the COF owing to its large solvated size.

Similarly, a new and promising class of COF has been synthesized by Vaidhyanathan *et al.*¹⁴⁵ where an amphiphilic triazine COF (trzn-COF) was used for loading of 5–20 nm sized Pd^0 NPs (Fig. 15). Nitrogen-rich triazine mimics pyridyl groups that assist in the reduction of Pd^{2+} to Pd^0 and interacts with Pd^0 NPs. By contrast, ether bonds attached to the non-polar phenyl framework and Schiff bonds create an apolar matrix that mimics the environment present in homogeneous molecular catalysts. Pd-trzn-COF was then utilized for simultaneous or multi-fold Heck couplings, non-boronic acid C–C coupling and CO oxidation reactions (Fig. 16).

Over the past few years, ultrafine and highly dispersed metal nanoparticles have been of interest to researchers because of their unique optical, mechanical and electronic properties.^{146,147} Amongst them, Pd and Pt noble metal nanoparticles have gained maximal attention in the field of catalysis due to their ultra-small size and high catalytic potential.^{148,149} However, these NPs aggregate on ordinary supports, and thus hamper the catalytic activities and reusability. In this context, Gu, Zhang *et al.* fabricated a thioether-based COF for the controlled growth of ultrafine metal NPs with narrow size distribution ($1.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ nm}$) (Fig. 17).¹⁵⁰

The thioether groups provided suitable accommodation sites for the metal NPs *via* metal–sulfur interactions. The COF was established by the reaction of trialdehyde and thioether-substituted diamine *via* the solvothermal method. The ensuing PdNPs@COF and PtNPs@COF were then utilized in the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction and for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol (Fig. 18); both catalysts showed superior catalytic activities in terms of mild reaction conditions, low catalyst loading, high product yields and easy recyclability and reusability without the loss of catalytic activity.

3.1.1.2 Oxidation reactions. Recently, Thomas et al. utilized CTF for supporting Pd nanoparticles and subsequently used



Fig. 14 Various C–C coupling reactions catalyzed by Pd(OAc)₂@COF-300.



Fig. 15 Schematic representation for the formation of triazine-based COF with Connolly representation showing stacking of hexagonal layers. Reproduced with permission from ref. 145 Copyright 2015 Springer Nature Publishing AG.

them for the liquid phase oxidation of glycerol (Fig. 19).¹⁵¹ CTFs contain a large number of nitrogen atoms which could efficiently bind metal nanoparticles, thus, providing resistance towards nanoparticle aggregation and metal leaching. The median size of the supported Pd NPs on CTF was 3.08 nm; such ensured that Pd@CTF showed high catalytic activity towards glycerate formation as 100% conversion of glycerol was discerned in just three hours (Fig. 20).

Similarly, Mu *et al.* synthesized hydroxyl groups bearing imine-based COFs by the condensation of 1,3,5-tris-(4-aminophenyl)triazine with 2,5-dihydroxyl-terephthalaldehyde under solvothermal (TAPT-DHTA-COF_{HX}) (pore size = 2.30 nm) and reflux conditions (TAPT-DHTA-COF_{DMF}) (pore size = 3.36 nm).¹⁵² Both COFs displayed high crystallinity as well as large surface area and showed an eclipsed stacking structure with active sites for the incorporation of Cu(OAc)₂ which was coordinated *via* the interaction with hydroxyl groups and imine linkers (Fig. 21). The COFs were further utilized in the selective oxidation of styrene to benzaldehyde (Fig. 22). Besides showcasing remarkable catalytic performance, they also exhibited excellent recyclability without a significant loss of catalytic activity.

3.1.1.3 Ethylene oligomerization reaction. Considering the benefits of COFs as catalytic support, Rozhko *et al.* synthesized two triazine (micro- and mesoporous structured) and imine-based (lamellar structured) porous aromatic frameworks (PAFs).¹⁵³ The sizable concentration of nitrogen, in the form of diiminopyridine units, was then utilized for supporting Ni²⁺ catalysts for the oligomerization of ethylene (Fig. 23). While comparing the results with the literature precedents, the catalyst was found to be superior in terms of activity, selectivity and reusability (5 runs).

3.1.1.4 Reduction reactions. Guang Lu *et al.* designed a new catalyst by encapsulating polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) functionalized Au nanoparticles with different shapes (spheres and rods) and sizes (15 nm Au NPs; 50 nm Au NPs and 25×100 nm Au nanorods)







Fig. 17 (a) Synthesis of thioether-based COF, (b) schematic representation of PdNPs@COF and PtNPs@COF; top and side view of thio COF (S: yellow; N: blue; C: gray; O: red). Reproduced with permission from ref. 150 Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.



Fig. 18 Utilization of PtNPs@COF and PdNPs@COF as a catalyst in reduction of nitrophenol and Suzuki-Miyaura reaction, respectively.

into a 2D COF that was synthesized *via* a condensation reaction of 1,3,5-tris(4-aminophenyl)benzene (TAPB) with 2,5-dimethoxyterephthalaldehyde (DMTP) under solvothermal reaction conditions (Fig. 24).¹⁵⁴ The incorporation of PVP-functionalized nanoparticles did not affect the COF's crystallinity, thermal stability and mesoporous structure. The prepared Au nanoparticle/TAPB-DMTP-COF catalyst showed remarkable ability in the reduction of 4-nitrophenol (Fig. 25) in the order: 15 nm Au NPs $>25\times100$ nm Au nanorods > 50 nm AuNPs.

3.1.1.5 Asymmetric synthesis. Synthesis of enantiomerically pure compounds has always been a challenging yet rewarding task.



Fig. 19 Ionothermal synthesis of CTF. Reproduced with permission from ref. 151 Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society.





Fig. 22 Selective oxidation of styrene to benzaldehyde using Cu-COF as a catalyst.

In this regard, researchers are now developing chiral catalysts so as to transfer their chiral information for the synthesis of numerous other chiral molecules.^{155,156} Dong *et al.* utilized this knowledge base to fabricate a nitrogen-rich chiral COF (CCOF) by directly attaching the chiral building unit during COF synthesis (Fig. 26).¹⁵⁷ For this, cyanuric chloride was allowed to react with S-(+)-2-methylpiperazine to form CCOF-MPC which upon the addition of Pd(NO₃)₂ generated a composite asymmetric catalytic system (Pd@CCOF-MPC). It was observed that the pore size of Pd@CCOF-MPC (1.5 nm) is smaller than those of Pd NPs (2-5 nm) and hence, the Pd NPs are positioned in between 2D COF layers and stabilized by nitrogen atoms present in the layer. This chiral COF was utilized in asymmetric Henry and reductive Heck reactions as enantioselective ensuing products are useful intermediates for various bioactive and pharmaceutical entities (Fig. 27). Pd@CCOF-MPC showed remarkable catalytic activity as all the products were synthesized with excellent yields and stereoselectivities under mild reaction conditions. Also, the catalyst could be easily recycled and reused up to multiple catalytic runs with no reduction in catalytic activity.

3.1.1.6 Tandem reaction. Recently, tandem reactions have gained great interest as they allow the execution of multiple reactions in a single pot.¹⁵⁸⁻¹⁶⁰ In this regard, chemists are developing multifunctional bimetallic catalysts because of their increased activity, selectivity and stability when compared with their monometallic counterparts.^{161,162} Gao et al. have designed a Mn/Pd docked bimetallic COF (Mn/Pd@Py-2,2'-BPyPh) for Heck-epoxidation tandem reaction (Fig. 28)¹⁶³ by simultaneously incorporating two different types of nitrogen ligands in order to facilitate bimetallic docking; bipyridine ligands were introduced to coordinate MnCl₂ and imine groups were used to bind Pd(OAc)₂. The bimetallic COF was then utilized as a heterogeneous catalyst for a tandem reaction: Pd-catalyzed Heck reaction to synthesize aromatic/aliphatic olefins and Mn-catalyzed epoxidation of olefins into epoxides (Fig. 29); the final product was obtained in 94% yield.

3.1.2 COFs in metal-free catalysis

3.1.2.1 Chemical fixation of CO_2 . The emission of carbon dioxide is increasing as a result of anthropogenic activities and has caused severe health and environmental concerns.^{164,165} Not surprisingly, CO_2 capture and its transformation into various



Fig. 23 Synthesis of (a) an imine-linked polymer network (IL-PON) through polyimine condensation, (b) a micro-CTF, and (c) a meso-CTF and expected coordination of Ni²⁺ to the nitrogen species in the frameworks.

value-added chemicals has gained much deserving attention.^{166,167} Numerous catalysts such as alkali metal salts,¹⁶⁸ organometallic complexes^{169,170} and ionic liquids^{171,172} have been deployed but difficulty in separation due to their homogeneous nature has restricted their large-scale applications. Therefore, heterogenization of the above catalysts was next pursued using metal–organic frameworks,^{173,174} zeolites,^{175,176} silica^{177,178} and many other supports, however almost all are associated with the main drawback, which is metal toxicity and product contamination. To circumvent these shortcomings, Zhang, Liu *et al.* have utilized two imine-based COFs as metal-free and efficient organocatalysts for the conversion of CO_2 to cyclic carbonates. COF-JLU6 (pore size 3.3 nm) was fabricated by the reaction of 1,3,5-tris-(4-aminophenyl)-triazine and 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalaldehyde while COF-JLU7 (pore size 3.4 nm) utilized 1,3,5-tris-(4-aminophenoxy)benzene and 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalaldehyde, *via* solvothermal reactions (Fig. 30).¹⁷⁹ When COFs were used as catalysts and tetra-*n*-butylammonium bromide (TBAB) as a co-catalyst, COF-JLU7 exhibited good catalytic performance which can be attributed to a large number of heteroatoms present in the walls of pores



Fig. 24 Encapsulation of PVP-functionalized Au NPs into TAPB-DMTP-COF. Reproduced with permission from ref. 154 Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.



thus increasing the affinity and storage of CO₂; COF-JLU7 can be reused for 5 consecutive runs without any appreciable loss in its catalytic activity.

3.1.2.2 Cycloaddition reaction. The well-known Diels–Alder (4+2) cycloaddition reaction is a class of thermally allowed reactions which entails high temperature. This cycloaddition reaction is a key in organic transformations and can be used for the synthesis of biological compounds and natural products.^{180,181} To overcome the problem of high temperature, recently Jiang *et al.* established a new class of π -electronic COFs as a heterogeneous catalyst for a Diels–Alder reaction, in which columnar π -walls are used as catalytic beds to assist organic transformations under ambient reaction conditions. The COF (Py-An COF) was designed using pyrene and anthracene units, linked *via* imine bonds and formed by a condensation reaction of 1,3,6,8-tetrakis(*p*-formylphenyl)pyrene (TFPPy) with 2,6-diaminoanthracene (DAAn) using solvothermal conditions (Fig. 31).¹⁸²

The prepared heterogeneous catalyst was utilized in a Diels– Alder reaction of 9-hydroxymethylanthracene with *N*-substituted maleimide derivatives. With the aid of Py-An COF as a catalyst, all the reactions continued smoothly at room temperature and pressure. The ease of catalytic activity can be attributed



Fig. 26 Synthesis of CCOF-MPC and Pd@CCOF-MPC. Reproduced with permission from ref. 157 Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

to the enhanced accumulation of reactants within the pores of Py-An COF and the presence of C–H··· π interactions between anthracene units of π -walls of COF and the 9-hydroxymethylanthracene reactant molecule (Fig. 32). Moreover, the



Fig. 27 Utilization of Pd@CCOF as a catalyst in asymmetric Henry and reductive Heck reaction.





Fig. 29 Utilization of Mn/Pd@Py-2,2'-BPyPh in a tandem reaction.

prepared heterogeneous catalyst can be reused and recycled in up to four runs without loss of its catalytic activity after centrifugation.

3.1.2.3 Reduction reaction. Considering the importance of porous carbon materials in metal-free catalysis,^{183,184} Li *et al.* synthesized, for the first time, B, N-codoped COF with a hierarchical spherical hollow structure (H-COF) using an Ostwald ripening process for the metal-free catalytic reduction of nitroarenes to anilines (Fig. 33).¹⁸⁵ The boron atoms present

in the H-COF precursors were situated meta to pyridinic N atoms and were recognized to be the main catalytic active sites. For this, TAPB and 4-formylphenylboronic acid (FBA) were dissolved in 1/1 (v/v) solution of 1,4-dioxane/mesitylene and the mixture was heated at 120 °C for three days. The ensuing yellowish powder obtained was then pyrolyzed at 800 °C for 2 h to attain black colored BN-HCS-800. H-COF comprises uniform hollow microspheres with diameter of 2.12 \pm 0.29 micrometer and shell thickness of 500 nm. Microspheres consist of randomly oriented nanosheets with 40 nm thickness.



Fig. 30 (a) Schematic illustration for the formation of COF-JLU6 and COF-JLU7. (b) Top and (c) side view of COF-JLU6. (d) Top and (e) side view of COF-JLU7 (O: red; N: blue; C: gray). Reproduced with permission from ref. 179 Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

The SEM, TEM and EDX elemental mapping images clearly depict that H-COF comprises uniform hollow microspheres which are again made up of randomly oriented nanosheets (Fig. 34).

3.1.2.4 Condensation reaction. Various base-functionalized inorganic catalysts like metal-organic frameworks, zeolites and mesoporous silica have been used for the Knoevenagel condensation reaction.¹⁸⁶⁻¹⁹⁰ Yan et al. synthesized two 3D microporous base-functionalized COFs (BF-COF-1 and BF-COF-2) for this condensation reaction (Fig. 35).⁷³ BF-COFs were fabricated by solvothermal synthesis via imine formation using 1,3,5,7tetraaminoadamantane (TAA) and 1,3,5-triformylbenzene (TFB) or triformylphloroglucinol (TFP) by heating at 120 °C for five days. The catalytic activity of the two COFs was evaluated in the condensation reaction of malononitrile with various derivatives of benzaldehyde. Amongst all reactions, excellent conversion was observed only when benzaldehyde was used as a substrate (96% and 98% with BF-COF-1 and BF-COF-2, respectively) (Fig. 36). This was ascribed to the smaller size of the product, 2-benzylidenemalononitrile, depicting size-selective catalysis in those porous media. Furthermore, these heterogeneous catalysts were able to be easily removed from the reaction media

using simple filtration and were reused at least three times without considerable loss of catalytic activity.

3.1.2.5 Asymmetric Michael addition. Catalytic COFs can be synthesized either directly, when catalytic sites are present in the building blocks, or by post-synthetic modification, in which catalytic sites are incorporated after the formation of COF skeleton. Jiang et al. designed an organocatalytic COF $([Pyr]_x-H_2P-COF)$ through pore surface engineering of a stable imine-based COF for catalyzing the asymmetric Michael addition reaction via a postsynthetic method.¹³⁶ For this, initially, a three-component reaction was carried out using 5,10,15,20tetrakis(4'-tetraphenylamino)porphyrin (H_2P) and a mixture of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalaldehyde (DHTA) and 2,5-bis-(2-propynyloxy)terephthalaldehyde (BPTA) in varying molar ratios (Fig. 37). This led to a scaffold in which porphyrin units were present at the vertices and phenyl groups at the edges of the framework. Furthermore, the pyrrolidine group was introduced by reacting ethynyl groups with azide moieties via the Click reaction. The catalytic activity of the such formed [Pyr]₂₅-H₂P-COF was investigated in Michael addition reaction of *trans*-4-chloro- β -nitrostyrene and propionaldehyde. Remarkably, 100% conversion with ee and dr values of 49% and 70/30,



Fig. 31 Synthesis of Py-An COF.



Fig. 32 C-H···π interactions between Py-An COF and the reactant molecule. Reproduced with permission from ref. 182 Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry.



respectively, was obtained after 1 h at room temperature and under aqueous conditions. The applicability of the catalyst was also checked using a continuous flow reactor, and excellent results were observed as stereoselectivities of 44% ee and 65/35 dr values were obtained for more than 48 h under flow conditions (Fig. 38).

3.2 Electrocatalytic applications

Electrocatalytic reactions are regarded as one of the most direct and effective pathways for the interconversion of electrical and chemical energy.^{191–194} Since the beginning of the 1980s, the design and development of advanced electrocatalysts for various energy conversion processes, such as water splitting reactions and CO_2 reduction, have garnered tremendous attention from the research community,^{195–198} these processes being often regarded as clean, green and renewable. Recently, researchers





Fig. 34 (a) Synthesis of H-COF, SEM images of (b and c) H-COF, (f) BN-HCS-700, (g) BN-HCS-800, (h) BN-HCS-900, TEM images of (d and e) H-COF, (i and j) BN-HCS-800, (k) HR-TEM image of BN-HCS-800 and (l) EDX elemental mapping of BN-HCS-800. Reproduced with permission from ref. 185 Copyright 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

have found that doping of covalent structures with heteroatoms, such as N, can enhance electrochemical reactivity.^{199,200} In this regard, various COF-based electrocatalysts have been designed owing to their tunable structural and electronic properties, desired multi-element composition, large surface area and precisely controllable channels for the diffusion and transportation of ions;²⁰¹ such deployment of COF-based electrocatalysts for various processes, is briefly mentioned below.

3.2.1 Oxygen reduction reactions (ORR) and oxygen evolution reactions (OER). Fuel cell technology is considered to be one of the most attractive alternatives to current energy conversion processes because of its immense potential for high efficiency and environmental friendliness.^{202,203} To date, various electrochemical reactions have been carried out using Pt and other noble metal oxides,^{204–207} but limitations due to scarcity and high costs have hindered their large-scale use. Therefore, the commercialization of such clean energy technologies requires efficient, stable and affordable electrocatalysts with outstanding ORR and OER activity.

Lately, the use of biomimetic units, with inherently present active sites, has been explored in non-precious-metal ORR catalysis.^{208,209} However, their design requires understanding of intrinsic material characteristics, or descriptors, which can correlate their structural aspects with catalytic activities. A recent study by Xia et al. identified crystal field stabilization energy (CFSE) as an activity descriptor for predicting ORR/OER activities of transition metal (TM) containing COFs with porphyrin units (TM = Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu and Zn) (Fig. 39A).⁹⁵ Fig. 39B and C show that among all the TMs, Feand Co-porphyrin-COF can spontaneously lead to both 2- and 4-electron transfer. Usually, overpotential (η) , which represents the energy barrier needed to be overcome in ORR/OER, is considered as a measure of catalytic activity; the lower the η , the better the catalytic performance. Among all the 3d-TMs, Fe-porphyrin-COF presents the minimum overpotential; 0.381 V and 0.485 V for OER and ORR respectively, which is comparable to previously reported results.95 The study also predicted the ability to produce green oxidizer, H₂O₂, using COFs as electrocatalysts. H₂O₂ is currently synthesized industrially using a high-energy intensive anthraquinone process. CFSE descriptor predicts that among all the TM-COFs, Zn- and Cu-COFs could serve as the most efficient catalysts for H₂O₂ production due to lower overpotential values (1.15 V and 0.97 V for Cu and Zn respectively); however, the energy barriers are practically quite high. To design a better catalyst, the authors prepared certain COFs with alkaline earth metals (AM) (AM = Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba). They introduced a new descriptor called orbital configuration energy (CE), making it possible to describe the catalytic activity

Review



Fig. 35 Structure of (a) TAA, (b) TFB (R=H) or TFP (R=OH) and (c) condensation of TAA and TFB or TAA and TFP to give BF-COF-1 and BF-COF-2 respectively. Reproduced with permission from ref. 73 Copyright 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.



Fig. 36 Catalytic activity of BF-COFs in a Knoevenagel condensation reaction.

of AM-COFs. Among all AM-COFs, Ca- and Sr-COFs showed quite low η of 0.27 and 0.29 V, respectively.

Similarly, Aiyappa *et al.* designed a cobalt-containing bipyridine-based COF (Co-TpBpy) as a heterogeneous electrocatalyst for the OER under neutral pH conditions (Fig. 40).²¹⁰ The as-prepared COF retains a porous, robust and stable structure with a very high surface area of 450 m² g⁻¹ which allows easy passage of liberated O_2 , thereby minimizing leaching of the catalyst and improving the long-term stability during prolonged operation time and cycles. The fabricated COF showed high activity under neutral pH conditions in a phosphate buffer solution with an overpotential of 400 mV at a current density of 1 mA cm⁻². Besides, the catalyst retained 94% of its activity even after 1000 cycles and 24 h of activity with a turnover frequency (TOF) of 0.23 s⁻¹ and faradaic efficiency of 0.95.

3.2.2 Reduction of CO₂. Concerning CO₂ fixation, various techniques have been developed so far, among which electrochemical reduction of CO₂ into value-added fuels appears to be a promising option.^{211–215} Zhang *et al.* have disclosed the successful reduction of CO₂ in a value-added carbon product,



Fig. 37 Pore surface engineering of imine-based COF via condensation reaction and click chemistry.



Fig. 38 Use of a continuous flow reactor for the Michael addition reaction using [Pyr]₂₅-H₂P-COF as a catalyst.

carbon monoxide, by utilizing the tunable heterogeneous crystalline COF comprising cobalt porphyrins *via* electrochemical means using cheap, abundant, and environmentally benign reaction media, water;⁷² a highly active, stable, porous and selective catalyst was fabricated by the imine condensation of [5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-aminophenyl)porphinato]cobalt [Co(TAP)] with 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde (BDA) (Fig. 41). The SEM image of the fabricated COF-366-Co showed crystallites of rectangular rod-shaped morphology (~50 nm in length) with a relatively narrow pore size distribution (10 to 18 Å). The COF-366-Co displayed high electrochemical performance at -0.67 V in CO₂ saturated aqueous bicarbonate buffer having a faradaic efficiency for carbon monoxide (FE_{CO}) = 90%, TON of 1352 and TOF of 98 h⁻¹ greater than the cobalt porphyrin unit alone. The catalyst has high stability (24 h) and could be reused up to five times without any significant loss of activity and can be grown over the surface of thin films on glassy carbon. Moreover, the expanded COF-367-Co analog was fabricated using biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxaldehyde (BPDA) as the strut and was evaluated for the electrocatalytic generation of CO by varying the content of cobalt within the COF which manifests $FE_{CO} = 91\%$, TON of 3901 and TOF of 165 h⁻¹.

Recently, Wen *et al.* reported the synthesis of a series of perfluorinated FN-CTFs-X (X = synthesis temperature) for the electrocatalytic reduction of CO_2 .²¹⁶ CTFs were synthesized using the ionothermal method *via* one-step polymerization of tetrafluoroterepthalonitrile in the presence of molten ZnCl₂ at various temperatures (Fig. 42); all of the materials exhibited a large surface area and displayed good CO_2 adsorption capacities. Notably, FN-CTF-400 is a highly selective catalyst for the

Review



Fig. 39 (A) Schematic illustration of TM-COFs (TM = Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu and Zn) (C: green, H: silver, N: blue, TM: deep gold spheres), free energy diagrams of TM-porphyrin-COFs for (B) 2-electron transfer and (C) 4-electron transfer ORR in an acid medium and (D) absorption energy of OOH* versus that of OH*. Reproduced with permission from ref. 95 Copyright 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.



Fig. 40 Synthesis of Co-TpBpy.

electrochemical reduction of CO₂ into CH₄ as its faradaic efficiency for CH₄ production (FE_{CH₄}) is extremely high (99.3%) in the potential range of -0.7 V to -0.9 V (Fig. 43). By contrast other FN-CTFs showed decreased selectivities for the production of CH₄ (Fig. 43b–d). In addition, FN-CTF-400 demonstrated high catalytic stability as it maintained an FE_{CH₄} value of 91.7% even after 5 h of continuous electroreduction. The authors contend that FN-CTF-400 consisted mainly of a polymer and a small amount of pyrolyzed carbon due to synthesis at a lower temperature. The polymer assisted in CO_2 capturing as well as in suppressing H_2 evolution by creating a hydrophobic environment, while the N and F co-doped carbon framework provided suitable active sites for the production of CH_4 . However, in other FN-CTFs, the polymer got converted into graphite structures, which reduced CO_2 into CO rather than converting it into CH_4 .



Fig. 41 (a) Design and synthesis of metalloporphyrin-derived 2D COFs and (b) SEM image of COF-366-Co. Reproduced with permission from ref. 72 Copyright 2015 AAAS.



Fig. 42 (a) lonothermal synthesis, (b) SEM image, (c) TEM image, (d) HRTEM image with line scan and (e) EDS elemental mapping of FN-CTF-400. Reproduced with permission from ref. 216 Copyright 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

Another group also designed a cobalt-porphyrin-based COF for the electrocatalytic reduction of CO_2 to $CO.^{217}$ In the report, the authors grew a series of 2D oriented thin films of COFs (of ~250 nm thickness), onto an electrode made of highly

ordered pyrolytic graphite (HOPG), with a variety of electronwithdrawing functional groups on the linker (Fig. 44). The study revealed that the electronic character of the catalytically active cobalt center, which is embedded within the reticular



Fig. 43 Faradaic efficiencies for the production of CH₄, H₂ and CO *versus* electrode potential of (a) FN-CTF-400, (b) FN-CTF-550, (c) FN-CTF-700 and (d) FN-CTF-900, (e) rate of production of CH₄, H₂ and CO with FN-CTF-400 at different applied potentials and (f) current densities corresponding to CH₄ production. Reproduced with permission from ref. 216 Copyright 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

superstructure of COFs, could be modulated by remote functionalization of the organic strut. This induces an electronwithdrawing effect on cobalt, which is proportional to the electronegativity and the amount of the installed functional groups. This also significantly improved the catalytic behavior of COFs by facilitating the first step of CO₂ reduction, in which Co(II) was reduced to Co(I), by taking the electronic density away from Co, which rendered it more prone to reduction; the current density for CO formation is maximum for COF-366-F-Co, 65 mA mg⁻¹ (Fig. 45). Furthermore, COFs showed remarkable reduction at low overpotential (550 mV) with high selectivity (faradaic efficiency of 87%) and stability (for more than 12 h without any significant loss in reactivity).

3.3 Photocatalytic applications

Among various applications of 2D COFs, photocatalysis appears to be a green approach in converting solar energy to chemical energy in order to drive various organic transformations. Moreover, researchers have shown immense interest in exploring the full potential of this emerging class of porous, metal-free crystalline polymers aiming for photochemical reactivity; COFs provide a design-controllable platform with confined functional pore space having tunable electronic and photocatalytic properties. Due to these appealing features, they enable applications such as water splitting, photoredox reactions, selective CO_2 reduction, singlet oxygen generation and photodegradation of organic pollutants.

3.3.1 Water splitting. In recent years, COFs in their crystalline and porous forms combined with either metal clusters or organic ligands have been deployed in diverse areas including catalysis,^{218–220} sensing,^{221,222} and gas storage.^{223,224} This encouraged researchers to integrate the COFs with various other components, which subsequently led to achieving fascinating properties of the resulting hybrid materials such as large surface areas, long-range order structures, tunable band gaps, and superb visible light absorbance. Due to the aforementioned properties, the hybrid composites of COFs have received special



Fig. 44 Synthesis of cobalt-porphyrin-derived COFs.



Fig. 45 Electrochemical characterization of COFs. (a) Illustration of the electrolysis cell, (b) cyclic voltammogramms of COFs in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide with tetrabutylammoniumhexafluorophosphate as the electrolyte and (c) current densities per milligram of cobalt in different COF catalysts. Reproduced with permission from ref. 217 Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

attention in the field of photocatalysis.²²⁵ Moreover, researchers have also deployed the seamless sp² carbon-conjugated 2D COFs to render hydrogen production that is facilitated by light harvesting, exciton migration, exciton splitting, and electron transfer.²²⁶

Recently, Zhang *et al.* synthesized a 2D COF with a stable MOF by covalently anchoring NH_2 -UiO-66 onto the surface of TpPa-1-COF (Fig. 46).²²⁷ This new material exhibited a highly porous framework with good crystallinity and large surface area and was exploited for photocatalytic H_2 evolution under visible light irradiation. Amongst other MOF- and COF-based photocatalysts, this COF-MOF hybrid displayed ultra-high H_2 evolution rates, which was attributed to the well-matched band gaps between NH_2 -UiO-66 and TpPa-1-COF, and the effective charge separation across the interface in the hybrid material.

Similarly, Lotsch *et al.* demonstrated the development of stable and tunable photocatalysts which were built on low molecular weight triazine-based compounds by circumventing the possibility of formation of amorphous materials by decreasing the reaction temperature to 300 $^{\circ}$ C and prolonging the reaction time to more than 150 h.²²⁸ This prevents incipient carbonization,

unlike CTF-1 (Fig. 47). The group designed a series of phenyltriazine oligomers (PTOs) by varying the parameters (such as a ratio of precursor to ZnCl₂ salt and initial precursor dilution) for a multi-step electron transfer process to allow design of the band gap and modified band potential, dependent on various factors such as a nitrogen content of CTFs, number of ring systems and the extent of π - π interactions. In this regard, engineering the trimer (2,4,6-tris(p-cyanophenyl)-1,3,5-triazine) has been proven to be an effective approach to facilitating photocatalytic hydrogen evolution with a rate of 1076 (± 278) mmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ upon sunlight irradiation (400 (± 20) nm) with an apparent quantum efficiency of 5.5 (± 1.1) %. Furthermore, the presence of large excess of terminal nitrile moieties in the smaller oligomers synthesized via excess of ZnCl₂ favors the dispersion of photocatalyst (by hydrogen bonding) and leads to high photocatalytic activity compared to the larger one.

Likewise, Cooper *et al.* have reported the fabrication and utilization of organic semiconductors for photocatalytic hydrogen production using ascorbic acid as a sacrificial electron donor and Pt as a co-catalyst.²²⁹ The crystalline, microporous and



Fig. 46 Schematic illustration for the synthesis of NH₂-UiO-66/TpPa-1-COF hybrid material. Reproduced with permission from ref. 227 Copyright 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.





idealized eclipsed structure of FS-COF (where FS is fused sulfone) was fabricated by a Schiff-base condensation reaction of 2,4,6-triformylphloroglucinol (TFG) and 3,9-diamino-benzo-[1,2-*b*:4,5-*b'*]bis[1]benzothiophene-5,5,11,11-tetraoxide where keto-enol tautomerization helps in enhancing the chemical stability (Fig. 48). Additionally, FS-COF shows high external quantum efficiency (3.2%) and high rate of hydrogen evolution (10.1 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) which is 22 times higher than N₃-COF (0.47 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) with a steady photochemical reaction up to 50 h at 420 nm. Here, the high absorbance of photons, exfoliation and increasing the number of potential sites of FS-COF play a significant role in the photoreduction of water.

Furthermore, various 2D nanoporous, well-defined π -extended organic graphene analogs/semiconductors, produced through C—C linkages with tunable band gap, were successfully exploited for water splitting under visible light. Zhang *et al.* utilized a solvothermal approach to synthesize reversible and self-healing 2D COFs namely g-C₄₀N₃-COF, g-C₃₁N₃-COF, and g-C₃₇N₃-COF

having *trans*-disubstituted C=C linkages by deploying 3,5-dicyano-2,4,6-trimethylpyridine (DCTMP) as the key building block with 4,4"-diformyl-*p*-terphenyl (DFPTP), 4,4'-diformyl-1,1'-biphenyl (DFBP) and 1,3,5-tris(4-formylphenyl) benzene (TFPB), respectively *via* Knoevenagel condensation at the aryl methyl carbon atoms (Fig. 49).²³⁰ The honeycomb-like crystalline porous sp² carbon-jointed pyridinyl structured COFs having high surface areas were deployed to carry out water splitting reaction at two different half reactions using 10 vol% of triethanolamine (TEOA) as a sacrificial reagent. The g-C₄₀N₃-COF shows high hydrogen evolution rate 206 µmol h⁻¹ with the apparent quantum efficiency of 4.84% at $\lambda = 420$ nm over the other two COFs.

Recently, diacetylene-bridged COFs have received significant attention due to their high charge career mobility, conjugated framework, and potential of having active sites for facile migration of photogenerated excitons to the surface. In this respect, Pachfule *et al.* recently reported the synthesis of highly porous and chemically stable acetylene ($-C \equiv C-$) and diacetylene



Fig. 48 Synthesis of FS-COF.





 $(-C \equiv C - C \equiv C -)$ functionalized β -ketoenamine COFs and have applied them as photocatalysts for hydrogen generation from water.²³¹ Here, two types of β -ketoenamine COFs bearing acetylene and diacetylene moieties, were synthesized via an acid-catalyzed solvothermal reaction. This was accomplished by reacting 1,3,5-triformylphloroglucinol (TP) with 4,4'-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)dianiline (EDDA) and 4,4'-(buta-1,3-diyne-1,4-diyl)dianiline (BDDA) to form TP-EDDA and TP-BDDA, respectively, in the presence of acetic acid. The keto-enol tautomerism during ketoenamine formation of amines with TP, provided COFs their necessary chemical stability. It was observed that the diacetylene-based COF outperformed the acetylene-based COF in terms of photocatalytic activity which is attributed to its high porosity, easily accessible diacetylene functionalities, and considerable chemical stability. In order to analyze the effect of acetylene and diacetylene moieties on optical and catalytic properties, an isoreticular COF was synthesized with comparable pore apertures comprised from terphenylene edges (TP-DTP COF, DTP: 4,4"-diamino-p-terphenyl). Diffuse reflectance ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectra displayed noticeable changes between the COFs (Fig. 50), where TP-DTP showed an absorbance edge near 500 nm, while red-shift of the absorbance edge to 520 and 525 nm was observed for TP-EDDA and TP-BDDA COFs, respectively. Besides, the absorbance tail got extended up to 675 nm for TP-BDDA, revealing specific red shift. In addition, optical band gaps were calculated from Tauc plots, which were found to be 2.42, 2.34, and 2.31 eV, for TP-DTP, TP-EDDA, and TP-BDDA, respectively, (Fig. 50a, inset), and are considered

ideal for photocatalytic water splitting which needs a minimum band gap of $\sim\!1.8$ eV.

3.3.2 CO_2 reduction. Perceiving CO_2 in the atmosphere as a raw material that may be used as a carbon source enables researchers to define a new chemistry along with addressing the issue of global warming.²³² Hence, scientists have undertaken the design of a variety of active metal-based photocatalysts and semiconductors for the effective conversion of CO₂ into valuable chemicals and fuel using solar energy.²³³⁻²³⁷ Utilization of MOF and TEOA (as reductant) for the CO_2 reduction leads to the formation of HCOOH as a side product.^{237–243} COFs offer various advantages over MOF, namely high porosity, crystalline nature, large surface area, and ease of design and fine tuning, while using an organic moiety with high covalent interactions between them.^{20,56,244-249} Zhu et al. designed highly stable (chemically and thermally) metal-free azine-based COFs which exhibited high photocatalytic and electronic performance.²⁵⁰ Two crystalline azine-linked 2D COFs, namely ACOF-1 and N₃-COF, were used as photocatalytic systems for the aqueous CO2 reduction into CH₃OH, where water acted as an environmentally friendly and inexpensive reductant. Both of these COFs showed high surface area and π - π stacking between layers. The electrochemical and photocatalytic properties of ACOF-1 and N3-COF revealed a high absorbance in the region of 480-500 nm and an optical band gap of approximately 2.6 eV which suggest a better photocatalytic activity for CO₂ reduction (Fig. 51). A stainless-steel reactor having a quartz window was utilized for the reduction under a CO₂ pressure of 0.4 MPa at 80 °C. The importance of light



Fig. 50 (a) UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of TP-BDDA, TP-EDDA, and TP-DTP. The inset shows Tauc plots and optical images of the COF powders. (b) Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution performance of TP-BDDA, TP-EDDA, and TP-DTP catalysts under visible light irradiation (\geq 395 nm) using TEOA as a sacrificial agent. (c) Comparison of photocatalytic hydrogen evolution rates. (d) Reactor setup for hydrogen evolution experiments. Reproduced with permission from ref. 231 Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.



Fig. 51 Schematic diagram for the photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 over azine-based COFs under visible light irradiation. Reproduced with permission from ref. 250 Copyright 2018 Elsevier B.V.

as well as the photocatalyst for the reduction of CO_2 with H_2O was proven as no product formation took place in their absence. Decreasing the CO_2 concentration was accompanied by a decrease in the yield of the reduced product.

Zhang *et al.* recently reported the successful reduction of CO₂ to CO by utilizing a hybrid 2D tricarbonylchloro(bipyridyl) Re integrated triazine COF (Re-COF) with high activity and selectivity (98%) upon irradiation with light.²⁵¹ Solvothermal methodology was adopted for the stepwise fabrication of the crystalline Re-COF; triazine COF was achieved by using 2,2-bipyridyl-5,5-dialdehyde (BPDA) and 4,4',4''-(1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyl)trianiline (TTA) and a further Re moiety was introduced by post-modification with Re(CO)₅Cl (Fig. 52). Here, the presence of Re over the COF helps in inhibiting the process of charge recombination and showed longer lifetime ($\tau = 171$ ps). Moreover, transient optical and X-ray transient absorption (XTA) spectroscopy suggests that the system facilitates intramolecular



Fig. 52 (a) Synthesis of triazine COF and Re-COF; (b) side view and (c) unit cell of AA stacking COF; (d) proposed catalytic mechanism for CO_2 reduction. Reproduced with permission from ref. 251 Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

charge transfer through electron transfer from photoexcited COF to Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl and clarify the lag in the charge recombination. The photocatalytically viable Re-COF was utilized for CO₂ reduction to generate ~15 mmol CO per g of catalyst constantly for >20 h after ~15 min induction period in the presence of TEOA as a sacrificial donor upon irradiation with a Xe lamp; the system shows a high TON of 48 and can be reused and recycled up to 3 runs.



Fig. 53 Schematic diagram of photocatalytic selective reduction of CO₂ over Ni-TpBpy. Reproduced with permission from ref. 252 Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.

In a similar manner, Zou et al. have fabricated and utilized a Ni bearing 3D COF for selective reduction of CO₂ to CO (Fig. 53);²⁵² effective use of 2,2'-bipyridine-based COF was made as a support to comprise single Ni sites (Ni-TpBpy) which is highly useful in confinement and interaction. Here, the synthesis of 2,2-bipyridine-based COF was carried out by the condensation of 1,3,5-triformylphloroglucinol with 5,5'-diamino 2,2'-bipyridine using solvothermal conditions and further treatment with Ni(ClO₄)₂ leading to Ni-TpBpy. The fabricated COF exhibited high activity for CO generation (4057 μ mol g⁻¹) under aqueous conditions within 5 h with an enhanced selectivity (96%) over H₂ evolution (170 μ mol g⁻¹). The Ni-TpBpy-based COF showed a good turnover number (13.62) and quantum efficiency (0.3%). Moreover, the catalyst can be reused up to three runs with slight loss of catalytic activity; no major morphological and structural changes were observed.

3.3.3 Photoredox organic transformations. Owing to the considerable popularity of macromolecular organic semiconductor photocatalysts due to easy tuning of their optoelectronic properties by a suitable choice of donor and acceptor units along with their arrangement, they have been effectively deployed as a stable platform for visible-light photoredox reactions.²⁵³⁻²⁶⁶ Over the years, various transition metal complexes²⁶⁷⁻²⁶⁹ and organic dyes^{270,271} have been used as photocatalysts in many photoredox reactions, but their homogeneous nature, high cost, tedious recovery and poor recyclability often limit their large-scale applications. Considering the benefits of COFs, Liu et al. fabricated triazine-based COF-JLU5 by simple condensation of 1,3,5-tris-(4-aminophenyl)triazine with 2,5-dimethoxyterephthalaldehyde (Fig. 54).²⁷² This COF fulfils the requirements of a promising photocatalytic system because of its periodic columnar π -array structure which arises from the layered stacking of 2D polymer sheets. This also allows, in principle, good exciton diffusion and migration. Additionally, it has several other advantages such as: (1) the –OMe group, adjacent to the Schiff base, increases the chemical stability by resonance effects; and (2) the electron donor–acceptor structure enhances the photocatalytic properties. With these features in hand, COF-JLU5 was utilized in the aerobic cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) of *N*-aryltetrahydroisoquinolines (Fig. 55). All the reactions were carried out using a small amount of a photocatalyst, at room temperature using blue LEDs and under aerobic conditions; all products were obtained in good to excellent yields.

Researchers have identified visible light as a green and sustainable source for promoting organic transformations.^{273–275} Recently, Huang *et al.* reported an asymmetric CTF as an efficient organic single-component semiconductor visible-light-active photocatalyst that exhibits a photogenerated energy transfer cascade.²⁷⁶ This asymmetric framework comprises four distinct molecular donor–acceptor domains, which enhances the intra-molecular energy transfer and photoredox potential, and broadens the photogenerated absorption range. It was found to be superior over symmetric CTFs containing similar donor and acceptor moieties in terms of photocatalytic efficiency and this was demonstrated *via* a model visible light-driven dehydrogenation reaction of diphenylphosphine oxide and diphenylacetylene to form benzophosphole oxides (Fig. 56).

Likewise, a Pd NP (2.2 nm) doped core-shell MOF@COF hybrid material was developed by Sun *et al.* in the form of Pd/TiATA@LZU1 that displayed excellent photocatalytic performance for tandem dehydrogenation and hydrogenation reactions in a continuous-flow microreactor and in a batch system.²⁷⁷ The material was well characterized by SEM, TEM and elemental mapping which revealed that TiATA@LZU1 core-shell particles with a size between 0.5 and 1.5 μ m were formed with a shell thickness of ~20 nm (Fig. 57). Synergy was found among the three units in the "donor-mediator-acceptor" system,



Fig. 54 Synthesis of COF-JLU5 by an imine condensation reaction.



where the MOF core behaved as an electron donor, the COF shell as a medium for electron transfer, and metal as an acceptor. Additionally, the photocatalytic tandem dehydrogenation of ammonia borane and hydrogenation of olefins was carried out in a newly fabricated dual-chamber microreactor by grafting Pd/TiATA@LZU1 on the inner wall. *In situ* evolved H_2 gas instantaneously passed from the bottom chamber to the upper chamber *via* a gas-permeable membrane and was consumed in a hydrogenation reaction. This dual-chamber microreactor prevents contamination of products and refrains from mismatching of two reaction conditions that are usually encountered in bulk reactors.

To date, many groups have reported the use of precious metal-based photocatalytic systems for the selective oxidation of organic moieties but their costs and toxicity limit their practical utility.^{278–281} Recently, Zhang *et al.* have designed and synthesized thiophene-containing CTF-Th to carry out selective oxidation (Fig. 58).²⁸² These metal-free frameworks offer highly

selective visible-light-promoted oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones under environmentally benign and mild conditions. The framework imparts various desirable properties such as robustness, large structural variation and tunable optical and electronic properties. The group attained a very low highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level at +1.75 V *versus* a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) which enhanced the photo-oxidation potential of the CTFs. The CTF precursor was successfully synthesized by using the cyclized trimer of 2,5-dicyanothiophene (DCT) and supporting it over mesoporous silica SBA-15 in the presence of TfOH vapors. Finally, a highly ordered catalyst was obtained as an insoluble yellow powder.

TEM images of CTF-Th@SBA-15 revealed an exquisite hexagonal cylindrical structure with 800 nm length, 500 nm diameter and a pore size of *ca.* 4 nm (Fig. 59). BET studies displayed the importance of SBA-15 in increasing the surface area of CTF-Th@SBA-15 *via* providing mesoporous channels.

The catalytic efficiency was also verified by selective oxidation of alcohols using CTF-Th@SBA-15 as a photocatalyst under mild conditions; high conversion and selectivity was reported for eight substrates in the presence of oxygen as a clean oxidant (Fig. 60).

In view of the importance of visible-light active, heterogeneous photocatalysts, Li *et al.* designed an imine-based 2D COF with an electron donor and acceptor structure (COF-JLU22).²⁸³ For this, 1,3,6,8-tetrakis(4-aminophenyl)pyrene was utilized due to superb properties of pyrene-based compounds such as



Fig. 56 CTF-catalyzed visible-light-promoted formation of 1,2,3-triphenylphosphindole 1-oxide from diphenylphosphine oxide and diphenylacetylene.



Fig. 57 The SEM images of (a) TiATA (b) LZU1, and (c) core-shell TiA-TA@LZU1, (d) TEM and (e) elemental mapping images of TiATA@LZU1. The scale bars in (a-e) are 500 nm, 5 μ m, 200 nm, 0.1 μ m, and 250 nm, respectively. Reproduced with permission from ref. 277 Copyright 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

large surface area, high crystallinity, excellent stability and good photoelectric activity (Fig. 61). In addition, an electrondeficient linker 4,7-diphenylbenzo[*c*][1,2,5]thiadiazole was introduced into the catalyst's framework to form mesoporous channels that would improve the rate of reaction and transmission of matter. Moreover, the fabrication of such electron donor–acceptor COF can lead to red shift of the absorption wavelength and amplify the photocatalytic activity of the resulting COF. The final catalyst was found to possess large pore volume, high crystallinity

and robust thermal, chemical and photochemical stability. Moreover, it was found to be highly efficient for photoreductive dehalogenation of phenacyl bromide derivatives and α -alkylation of aldehydes under visible-light irradiation (Fig. 62); the catalyst furnished good recyclability and was reused for five runs. The mechanism for both the reactions has been investigated and the probable mechanism for dehalogenation of phenacyl bromide was proposed in sequential steps: firstly, when visible-light irradiates, the excited state of COF-JLU22 generates photogenerated holes and electrons via charge separation. Secondly, this photogenerated hole takes electrons from sacrificial agent N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), while the photogenerated electron transfers from the conduction band of COF-JLU22 $(E_{1/2} = -0.86 \text{ V})$ to phenacyl bromide $(E_{1/2} = -0.49 \text{ V})$ resulting in the C-Br cleavage which produces an α-carbonyl radical and bromide anion. Thirdly, this α -carbonyl radical abstracts a proton and an electron from the Hantzsch ester to furnish acetophenone as the final product (Fig. 63).

Likewise, hydrazone-based COFs have received tremendous attention as yet another example of a metal-free COF photocatalyst due to their possible structural variations, reversibility, and synthetic accessibility;284 synthesis under solvothermal conditions entails the condensation of 2,5-dimethoxyterephthalohydrazide (DMTH) with 1,3,5-triformylbenzene (TFB) (Fig. 64). In comparison to boronate ester linkage, hydrazone linkage provides improved hydrolytic and oxidative stability, in addition to providing powerful character through the reversibility of the imine bond and hydrogen bonding sites of an amide group. The synthesized TFB-COF photocatalyst exhibited excellent porosity, high crystallinity and good thermal and chemical stability. It displayed magnificent photocatalytic activity towards CDC reactions of a wide variety of substrates at room temperature which is attributed to the superb porosity features with high BET surface area as well as the lightharvesting efficacy of the catalyst (Fig. 65). Moreover, this metal-free catalytic system provides advantages like operational



Fig. 58 Illustrated design and formation pathway for the CTF-Th on SBA-15 as a mesoporous nanoreactor. Reproduced with permission from ref. 282 Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.









simplicity, broad substrate scope, ambient reaction conditions, easy recoverability, and good recyclability.

3.3.4 Environment remediation. Wastewater treatment has been of concern for a long time as it contains numerous impurities originating from a variety of anthropogenic activities.^{285,286} Visible-light-responsive photocatalysts offer a sustainable route to alleviate environmental issues by directly harvesting energy from sunlight. Earlier, many groups have outlined various TiO₂-based inorganic semiconductors for water treatment.^{287,288}

Recently, an upsurge in the development of organic photocatalysts has been observed for the degradation of organic pollutants in comparison to inorganic photocatalysts. This led to the investigation of assorted organic photocatalytic materials, and among them, COFs garnered tremendous attention due to their periodic architectures which offer a strategy to integrate photocatalytic units into the extended structures in a highly controlled and predictable fashion. Moreover, their optoelectronic properties and band gaps can be tailored



Fig. 62 Photoreductive dehalogenation reaction of phenacyl bromide and photocatalytic α-alkylation of aldehydes by COF-JLU22, respectively.



effectively by selecting appropriate building units, linking mode and stacking pattern.

Inspired by the structural diversity of COFs, various photocatalytic materials have been developed. However, identical COF systems have limited building units due to structural periodicity which makes it difficult for them to simultaneously fulfil all the requirements for enhancing photocatalytic activity that include a wide absorption range, large surface area, high charge-separation efficiency, and long-term stability. To circumvent these problems, recently, He et al. developed an effective strategy to synthesize a series of multicomponent heterojunction materials by covalently integrating MOFs with COFs.²⁸⁹ Three common amine-functionalized MOFs, NH2-MIL-53(Al), NH₂-MIL-125(Ti) and NH₂-UiO-66(Zr), were used as dopants, with highly photocatalytically active TTB-TTA (a COF synthesized from 4,4',4''-(1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyl)tribenzaldehyde (TTB) and 4,4',4''-(1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyl)trianiline (TTA)) to form heterogeneous photocatalysts (Fig. 66); they were investigated and compared for their photoelectric and photocatalytic performance. Amongst them, the band gaps matched well for

 NH_2 -MIL-125(Ti) and TTB-TTA, which resulted in largely accessible surface area, porous network, high crystallinity and facilitated effective charge separation across the covalent heterojunction interface. Consequently, this MOF-COF hybrid system exhibited superior photocatalytic activity for the degradation of organic pollutants under visible light irradiation in comparison to pure NH_2 -MIL-125(Ti) and TTB-TTA materials (Fig. 67). On account of detected active oxidant species, a direct Z-scheme mechanism was generated between NH_2 -MIL-125(Ti) and TTB-TTA, where photoinduced electrons in NH_2 -MIL-125(Ti) combined with holes in TTB-TTA, thus enhancing the charge carrier transfer. Furthermore, this photocatalyst was found to be stable due to COF encapsulation and covalent linkages.

The same group investigated the structural variation and interpretation of chemical units in the precursors of a series of imine-based COFs to their photoelectric properties, and finally with the subsequent effect on photocatalytic degradation of dyes.²⁹⁰ For this, three different COFs bearing visible-light catalytically active substituents of a triazine ring were fabricated from the same aldehyde but different monomers of

Review

Review





Fig. 65 Reaction substrate extension of photocatalytic coupling reactions of N-aryltetrahydroisoquinolines using TFB-COF as a catalyst.

nitrogen-containing functional groups (Fig. 68). By precisely adjusting the building blocks of COFs, the relationship between structure, physicochemical properties and photocatalytic performance of a synthesized catalyst was analyzed. It was found that photocatalytic performance was enhanced with increasing density of active centers and degree of conjugation in the frameworks. This suggested that they are directly correlated with the charge generation and recombination kinetics, which greatly influences the photocatalytic capacity. Amongst these, the COF_{A+C} had a highly delocalized π -system and available active sites, and hence, it exhibited magnificent photocatalytic activity in degrading organic pollutants under visible light irradiation. In fact, COF_{A+C} was found to possess the highest degradation efficiency when compared to its analogues COF_{A+B} and COF_{A+D} , as it was able to completely degrade methyl orange (MO) after 30 minutes of visible light irradiation, while COF_{A+B} only removed 29.6% MO, and almost no change was observed with COF_{A+D} .

3.3.5 Singlet oxygen generation. Photosensitized generation of singlet oxygen has gained special attention all over the world for its utility in various applications such as wastewater

treatment,²⁹¹ fine chemical synthesis,^{292,293} photodynamic applications such as blood sterilization,^{294,295} sunlight-activated herbicides and insecticides, photo-oxidation, DNA damage, photodynamic therapy (PDT) of cancer and polymer science.²⁹⁶ Recently, researchers have focused their attention on synthesizing a light-activated COF which can be further utilized for the generation of single oxygen. Lately, Jiang *et al.* have synthesized a zig-zag, flat and twisted squaraines-linked 2D COF having high stability, extended π -conjugation and lower band gap energy with enhanced absorbance.²⁹⁷ Here, the synthesis of CuP-SQ COF was carried out under solvothermal conditions between squaric acid (SA) and copper(II) 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-aminophenyl)porphyrin (TAP-CuP) (Fig. 69).

The high photocatalytic activity of CuP-SQ COF was exploited for the production of singlet oxygen *via* the activation of molecular oxygen in the presence of 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) as a label. It was believed that the well-defined ordered structure of CuP-SQ COF undergoes the triplet state upon photoirradiation and is responsible for the effective generation of singlet oxygen.

Similarly, Wang *et al.* have obtained singlet oxygen from novel 3D porphyrin-based COFs (3D-Por-COF and 3D-CuPor-COF)



Fig. 66 Schematic illustration of the synthesis of three MOF/COF hybrid materials.



Fig. 67 (a) Type-II heterojunction and (b) Z-scheme charge-transfer mechanisms for the NH₂-MIL-125(Ti)/TTB-TTA system. Reproduced with permission from ref. 289 Copyright 2019 Elsevier B.V.

incorporated with photoelectric units.²⁹⁸ The high surface area, microporous, crystalline and heterogeneous framework was fabricated by the [4+4] imine condensation reaction (Fig. 70). The pore size distribution curves of 3D-Por-COF reveal a major peak at 0.60 and 1.07 nm, while in the case of 3D-CuPor-COF peaks were present at 0.63 and 1.18 nm. The photoexcited triplet state of porphyrin-derived COFs was availed to activate the molecular oxygen by energy transfer to generate the singlet

oxygen using 9,10-dimethylanthracene (DMA) as a label (Fig. 71). The best results were obtained with 3D-Por-COF in comparison to 3D-CuPor-COF which showed high photocatalytic degradation of DMA (99%) in oxygen-saturated CH_3CN solution within the time period of 90 min upon irradiation with 500 nm. Moreover, this heterogeneous framework was found to be highly efficient and retained its structural and catalytic properties even after three cycles. Furthermore, the photophysical and photoredox



Fig. 68 Synthesis of COF via Schiff base chemistry of different nitrogen-containing building blocks with 4,4',4''-(1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyl)tribenzaldehyde.



Fig. 69 Fabrication of CuP-SQ COF through the condensation of SA with TAP-CuP.



Fig. 70 Fabrication of 3D-Por-COF and 3D-CuPor-COF.



Fig. 71 Schematic diagram of 3D-Por-COF and 3D-CuPor-COF generating singlet oxygen. Reproduced with permission from ref. 298 Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

property can be easily tuned and modified by the insertion of any particular metal in the porphyrin moiety.

Jiang *et al.* have reported the synthesis of a series of 2D COFs having interlayer H-bonding interaction to lock the sheets into planar conformation *via* repressing the torsional strain of edge units thus leading to the extension of π -conjugation.²⁹⁹ The highly photocatalytically active, stable, crystalline and porous COFs for the generation of singlet oxygen were fabricated by employing different molar ratios of a mixture of dihydroxyterephthalaldehyde (DHTA) and terephthaladehyde (TA) as a monomer to react with 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4'-tetraphenylamino)porphyrin derivatives (MP; M = Cu, H₂, and Ni) (Fig. 72). Notably, H-bonding plays a significant role in the photocatalytic singlet oxygen generation by using 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) as a label. Isosbestic points reveal the successful conversion of molecular oxygen into singlet oxygen upon irradiation of light over the oxygen-saturated DMF solution of DPBF using CuP-DHPh COF.

3.4 Miscellaneous applications

We have overviewed so far, the catalytic, electrocatalytic and photocatalytic applications of COFs in organic and energy conversion reactions. However, there is another potentially wider domain for the applications of COFs. Recently, several researchers have begun exploring the ability of COFs as chemosensory materials due to their exceptional structural tunability and properties.^{63,64,131,300–302} There is a growing need for sensitive and selective detection of inorganic and organic



Fig. 72 Schematic of the fabrication of 2D porphyrin COFs and tunable content of hydrogen-bonding structures.

substances for a range of applications such as environmental monitoring, medical diagnostics, food quality control, chemical threat detection, and laboratory/industrial productions. Consequently, a series of COF-based chemical sensors have been fabricated for the selective and efficient detection of toxic metal ions, nitro explosives and even moisture. Besides, their porosity, high thermal stability and lightweight nature have captured attention for various gas adsorption and storage applications such as CO_2 , H_2 , CH_4 , and NH_3 .^{30,51,303–308} When functionalized with photoelectric moieties, COFs exhibit unique optical and electrical properties, which prompts their use in semiconduction and photoconduction applications.^{309,310} Furthermore, they can also serve as potential candidates for conductive membranes and as drug delivery agents.^{76,311–313}

4 Conclusions and future scope

In summary, COFs have emerged as porous and partly crystalline organic materials that allow sufficiently precise atomic integration of organic molecules into ordered structures. They possess unique characteristics such as tunable molecular design, high porosity with controllable pore size, adjustable electronic/physical properties, and excellent stability. These properties have provided COF materials with superior potential in the field of gas and energy storage, adsorption, and catalysis applications. In this context, a variety of synthetic methods have been developed so far including solvothermal, ionothermal, mechanochemical, sonochemical, microwave and light-promoted synthesis.

In terms of catalysis, many researchers have exploited the porous nature of COFs in order to support metal species and other catalytic entities, which enhances the efficiency of their use as heterogeneous catalysts. COFs allow large availability of functional groups onto their surface, which can strongly coordinate with metals. This diminishes the possibility of metal leaching and subsequent contamination of the product stream. Moreover, this type of catalyst can be easily recovered from the reaction media via simple centrifugation or filtration, thereby allowing recycling without a significant loss of catalytic activity. Offering these advantages, COFs have been widely used in a variety of organic transformations including C-C coupling, oxidation, reduction, asymmetric synthesis, and many others. Even, tandem reactions are performed by developing bimetallic docked COFs. Besides being used as metal supports, metal-free COFs have been designed as heterogeneous organocatalysts to carry out efficient CO2 fixation, cycloaddition, condensation, and even photocatalytic and electrocatalytic reactions because of their collective π -array structure. We believe that a properly designed combination of COFs and photoactive materials such as carbon nitrides will certainly open the door for advanced electrocatalytic and photocatalytic applications.

The progress made over the years has improved long-term prospects of this new type of porous material. However, continuous effort aimed at improving design, and large-scale synthesis under standard conditions, is still required. One aspect, which is of utmost importance, is the relatively poor moisture tolerance of COFs, therefore, newer methods with moisture tolerant linkers and reactions need to be developed to impart stability under ambient conditions. Additionally, the generation of multifunctional COFs with designing of both pores and skeletons, must be addressed. Besides, more structural details such as slipped distance and determination of defects in the framework of the COF family are yet to be fully explored. More investigations into the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters are also required to enable preparation of high-quality COF materials. Moreover, earnest efforts are required in the field of computational modelling to study the specific interactions between COF materials and guest species. Although, some promising results have already been accomplished, the progress of COFs is still in its infant stages. It is believed that in the not too distant future, further developments in COFs will make significant advancements towards authentic applications.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Priya Yadav, Radhika Gupta and Pooja Rana gratefully acknowledge UGC and CSIR, Delhi, India for awarding research fellowships. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support by the Operational Program Research, Development and Education – European Regional Development Fund, project no. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000754, CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/15_003/0000416 and LO1305 of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.

References

- 1 M. C. Feiters, A. E. Rowan and R. J. Nolte, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2000, **29**, 375–384.
- 2 R. Trask, H. Williams and I. Bond, *Bioinspiration Biomimetics*, 2007, **2**, P1–P9.
- 3 L. C. Palmer and S. I. Stupp, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 1674–1684.
- 4 J. M. Zayed, N. Nouvel, U. Rauwald and O. A. Scherman, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 2806–2816.
- 5 F. A. Aldaye, A. L. Palmer and H. F. Sleiman, *Science*, 2008, 321, 1795–1799.
- 6 A. P. Cote, A. I. Benin, N. W. Ockwig, M. O'keeffe, A. J. Matzger and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2005, **310**, 1166–1170.
- 7 M. E. Davis, Nature, 2002, 417, 813-821.
- 8 S. L. James, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2003, 32, 276-288.
- 9 C. Wang, Z. Wang and X. Zhang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 608–618.
- 10 D. A. Tomalia, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2005, 30, 294-324.
- 11 P. J. Stang, Chem. Eur. J., 1998, 4, 19-27.
- 12 S. Zhang, Nat. Biotechnol., 2003, 21, 1171.
- 13 J. R. Long and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, 38, 1213-1214.
- 14 J. Li, A. Corma and J. Yu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 7112-7127.
- 15 M. L. Foo, R. Matsuda and S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, 26, 310–322.

- 16 N. Huang, X. Chen, R. Krishna and D. Jiang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 2986–2990.
- 17 N. Stock and S. Biswas, Chem. Rev., 2011, 112, 933-969.
- 18 I. Nath, J. Chakraborty and F. Verpoort, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, 45, 4127–4170.
- 19 H. M. El-Kaderi, J. R. Hunt, J. L. Mendoza-Cortés, A. P. Côté, R. E. Taylor, M. O'keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2007, **316**, 268–272.
- 20 C. S. Diercks and O. M. Yaghi, Science, 2017, 355, eaal1585.
- 21 Y. Jin, Y. Hu and W. Zhang, Nat. Rev. Chem., 2017, 1, 0056.
- 22 X. Feng, X. Ding and D. Jiang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 6010–6022.
- 23 A. I. Cooper, CrystEngComm, 2013, 15, 1483.
- 24 S.-Y. Ding and W. Wang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 548–568.
- 25 A. P. Cote, H. M. El-Kaderi, H. Furukawa, J. R. Hunt and O. M. Yaghi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 12914–12915.
- 26 N. A. Zwaneveld, R. Pawlak, M. Abel, D. Catalin, D. Gigmes,
 D. Bertin and L. Porte, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 6678–6679.
- 27 P. Pachfule, A. Acharjya, J. Roeser, T. Langenhahn, M. Schwarze, R. Schomäcker, A. Thomas and J. Schmidt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 1423–1427.
- 28 J. L. Segura, M. J. Mancheno and F. Zamora, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, 45, 5635–5671.
- 29 A. Nimibofa, E. A. Newton, E. A. Tobin and W. Donbebe, Am. J. Mater. Sci., 2017, 7, 185–195.
- 30 F. J. Uribe-Romo, C. J. Doonan, H. Furukawa, K. Oisaki and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 11478–11481.
- 31 P. J. Waller, F. Gándara and O. M. Yaghi, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 3053–3063.
- 32 M. Mastalerz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 445-447.
- 33 N. Huang, P. Wang and D. Jiang, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, 1, 16068.
- 34 Q. Fang, Z. Zhuang, S. Gu, R. B. Kaspar, J. Zheng, J. Wang, S. Qiu and Y. Yan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, 5, 4503.
- 35 K. Sakaushi and M. Antonietti, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, 48, 1591–1600.
- 36 G. Q. Lin, H. M. Ding, D. Q. Yuan, B. S. Wang and C. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 3302–3305.
- 37 C. Wang, Y. Wang, R. L. Ge, X. D. Song, X. Q. Xing, Q. K. Jiang, H. Lu, C. Hao, X. W. Guo, Y. A. Gao and D. L. Jiang, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 585–589.
- 38 K. K. Wang, H. L. Huang, D. H. Liu, C. Wang, J. P. Li and C. L. Zhong, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **50**, 4869–4876.
- 39 A. M. Evans, L. R. Parent, N. C. Flanders, R. P. Bisbey, E. Vitaku, M. S. Kirschner, R. D. Schaller, L. X. Chen, N. C. Gianneschi and W. R. Dichtel, *Science*, 2018, 361, 52–57.
- 40 W. Ji, L. L. Xiao, Y. H. Ling, C. Ching, M. Matsumoto, R. P. Bisbey, D. E. Helbling and W. R. Dichtel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, 140, 12677–12681.
- 41 O. Yahiaoui, A. N. Fitch, F. Hoffmann, M. Fröba, A. Thomas and J. Roeser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 5330–5333.
- 42 A. Yassin, M. Trunk, F. Czerny, P. Fayon, A. Trewin, J. Schmidt and A. Thomas, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, 27, 1700233.

- 43 A. Thomas, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 8328-8344.
- 44 R. L. Li, N. C. Flanders, A. M. Evans, W. Ji, I. Castano, L. X. Chen, N. C. Gianneschi and W. R. Dichtel, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 3796–3801.
- 45 Z. Miao, G. Liu, Y. Cui, Z. Liu, J. Li, F. Han, Y. Liu, X. Sun,
 X. Gong, Y. Zhai, Y. Zhao and Y. Zeng, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 4906–4910.
- 46 X. W. Wu, X. Han, Y. H. Liu, Y. Liu and Y. Cui, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 16124–16133.
- 47 X. L. Li, C. L. Zhang, S. L. Cai, X. H. Lei, V. Altoe, F. Hong, J. J. Urban, J. Ciston, E. M. Chan and Y. Liu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 2998.
- 48 J. N. Guo, Y. Li, Y. H. Cheng, L. M. Dai and Z. H. Xiang, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 8379–8386.
- 49 X. Han, Q. C. Xia, J. J. Huang, Y. Liu, C. X. Tan and Y. Cui, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 8693–8697.
- 50 W. Cao, W. D. Wang, H.-S. Xu, I. V. Sergeyev, J. Struppe, X. Wang, F. Mentink-Vigier, Z. Gan, M.-X. Xiao, L.-Y. Wang, G.-P. Chen, S.-Y. Ding, S. Bai and W. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6969–6977.
- 51 H. Furukawa and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 8875–8883.
- 52 C. R. DeBlase, K. E. Silberstein, T.-T. Truong, H. c. D. Abruña and W. R. Dichtel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 16821–16824.
- 53 M. G. Rabbani, A. K. Sekizkardes, Z. Kahveci, T. E. Reich, R. Ding and H. M. El-Kaderi, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 3324–3328.
- 54 Y. Zeng, R. Zou and Y. Zhao, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 2855-2873.
- 55 S. S. Han, H. Furukawa, O. M. Yaghi and W. A. GoddardIii, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 11580–11581.
- 56 Z. Li, X. Feng, Y. Zou, Y. Zhang, H. Xia, X. Liu and Y. Mu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 13825–13828.
- 57 J.-T. Yu, Z. Chen, J. Sun, Z.-T. Huang and Q.-Y. Zheng, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 5369–5373.
- 58 C. J. Doonan, D. J. Tranchemontagne, T. G. Glover, J. R. Hunt and O. M. Yaghi, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, 2, 235–238.
- 59 R. Gomes, P. Bhanja and A. Bhaumik, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 10050–10053.
- 60 Y. Meng, G. Q. Lin, H. M. Ding, H. P. Liao and C. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 17186–17191.
- 61 S. Wan, J. Guo, J. Kim, H. Ihee and D. Jiang, Angew. Chem., 2008, **120**, 8958–8962.
- 62 G. Das, B. P. Biswal, S. Kandambeth, V. Venkatesh, G. Kaur, M. Addicoat, T. Heine, S. Verma and R. Banerjee, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 3931–3939.
- 63 Z. Li, Y. Zhang, H. Xia, Y. Mu and X. Liu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 6613–6616.
- 64 S.-Y. Ding, M. Dong, Y.-W. Wang, Y.-T. Chen, H.-Z. Wang, C.-Y. Su and W. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 3031–3037.
- 65 D. Kaleeswaran, P. Vishnoi and R. Murugavel, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2015, 3, 7159–7171.
- 66 W. Zhang, L.-G. Qiu, Y.-P. Yuan, A.-J. Xie, Y.-H. Shen and J.-F. Zhu, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2012, 221, 147–154.
- 67 N. Huang, L. Zhai, H. Xu and D. Jiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 2428–2434.

- 68 Q. Sun, B. Aguila, J. Perman, L. D. Earl, C. W. Abney, Y. Cheng, H. Wei, N. Nguyen, L. Wojtas and S. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 2786–2793.
- 69 D. Ma, Y. Wang, A. Liu, S. Li, C. Lu and C. Chen, *Catalysts*, 2018, 8, 404.
- 70 S.-Y. Ding, J. Gao, Q. Wang, Y. Zhang, W.-G. Song, C.-Y. Su and W. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 19816–19822.
- 71 H. Xu, J. Gao and D. Jiang, Nat. Chem., 2015, 7, 905-912.
- 72 S. Lin, C. S. Diercks, Y.-B. Zhang, N. Kornienko, E. M. Nichols, Y. Zhao, A. R. Paris, D. Kim, P. Yang and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2015, **349**, 1208–1213.
- 73 Q. Fang, S. Gu, J. Zheng, Z. Zhuang, S. Qiu and Y. Yan, Angew. Chem., 2014, 126, 2922–2926.
- 74 M. Dogru, M. Handloser, F. Auras, T. Kunz, D. Medina, A. Hartschuh, P. Knochel and T. Bein, *Angew. Chem.*, 2013, 125, 2992–2996.
- 75 X. Ding, J. Guo, X. Feng, Y. Honsho, J. Guo, S. Seki,
 P. Maitarad, A. Saeki, S. Nagase and D. Jiang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 1289–1293.
- 76 Q. Fang, J. Wang, S. Gu, R. B. Kaspar, Z. Zhuang, J. Zheng,
 H. Guo, S. Qiu and Y. Yan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 8352–8355.
- L. Bai, S. Z. F. Phua, W. Q. Lim, A. Jana, Z. Luo, H. P. Tham,
 L. Zhao, Q. Gao and Y. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, 52, 4128–4131.
- 78 V. S. Vyas, M. Vishwakarma, I. Moudrakovski, F. Haase, G. Savasci, C. Ochsenfeld, J. P. Spatz and B. V. Lotsch, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 8749–8754.
- 79 H. Zhao, Z. Jin, H. Su, X. Jing, F. Sun and G. Zhu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 6389–6391.
- 80 M.-X. Wu and Y.-W. Yang, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2017, 28, 1135–1143.
- 81 C. T. Stoppiello, H. Isla, M. Martínez-Abadía, M. W. Fay, C. D. J. Parmenter, M. J. Roe, B. Lerma-Berlanga, C. Martí-Gastaldo, A. Mateo-Alonso and A. N. Khlobystov, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 2848–2854.
- 82 X. H. Guo, Y. Tian, M. C. Zhang, Y. Li, R. Wen, X. Li, X. F. Li, Y. Xue, L. J. Ma, C. Q. Xia and S. J. Li, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 2299–2308.
- 83 D. Jiang, X. Chen, K. Geng, R. Liu, K. T. Tan, Y. Gong, Z. Li, S. Tao and Q. Jiang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201904291.
- 84 X. Ma and T. F. Scott, Commun. Chem., 2018, 1, 98.
- 85 W. Huang, B. C. Ma, H. Lu, R. Li, L. Wang, K. Landfester and K. A. Zhang, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 5438–5442.
- 86 K. Wang, L. M. Yang, X. Wang, L. Guo, G. Cheng, C. Zhang,
 S. Jin, B. Tan and A. Cooper, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017,
 56, 14149–14153.
- 87 P. Kuhn, M. Antonietti and A. Thomas, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3450–3453.
- 88 S. Ren, M. J. Bojdys, R. Dawson, A. Laybourn, Y. Z. Khimyak, D. J. Adams and A. I. Cooper, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 2357–2361.
- 89 J. Liu, W. Zan, K. Li, Y. Yang, F. Bu and Y. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 11666–11669.
- 90 F. Xu, S. Yang, G. Jiang, Q. Ye, B. Wei and H. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 37731–37738.

- 91 S. N. Talapaneni, T. H. Hwang, S. H. Je, O. Buyukcakir, J. W. Choi and A. Coskun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 3106–3111.
- 92 G. Zhu, S. Shi, M. Liu, L. Zhao, M. Wang, X. Zheng, J. Gao and J. Xu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 12612–12617.
- 93 H. S. Jena, C. Krishnaraj, G. Wang, K. Leus, J. Schmidt, N. Chaoui and P. Van Der Voort, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, 30, 4102-4111.
- 94 W. B. Chen, Z. F. Yang, Z. Xie, Y. S. Li, X. Yu, F. L. Lu and L. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 998–1004.
- 95 C. Y. Lin, L. Zhang, Z. Zhao and Z. Xia, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 1606635.
- 96 F. Beuerle and B. Gole, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 4850-4878.
- 97 Z. Xiang and D. Cao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 2691–2718.
- 98 F. Zhao, H. Liu, S. Mathe, A. Dong and J. Zhang, *Nano-materials*, 2017, 8, 15.
- 99 U. Díaz and A. Corma, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2016, 311, 85-124.
- 100 M. S. Lohse and T. Bein, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2018, 28, 1705553.
- 101 M. J. Bojdys, J. Jeromenok, A. Thomas and M. Antonietti, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2202–2205.
- 102 V. Nguyen and M. Grünwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 3306–3311.
- 103 M. Liu, Q. Huang, S. Wang, Z. Li, B. Li, S. Jin and B. Tan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 11968–11972.
- 104 M. B. Gawande, S. N. Shelke, R. Zboril and R. S. Varma, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 1338–1348.
- 105 Y. Ju and R. S. Varma, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 135-141.
- 106 V. Polshettiwar, M. N. Nadagouda and R. S. Varma, Aust. J. Chem., 2009, 62, 16–26.
- 107 D. M. P. Mingos and D. R. Baghurst, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1991, 20, 1–47.
- 108 M. Nüchter, B. Ondruschka, W. Bonrath and A. Gum, Green Chem., 2004, 6, 128–141.
- 109 H. Wei, S. Chai, N. Hu, Z. Yang, L. Wei and L. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 12178–12181.
- 110 N. L. Campbell, R. Clowes, L. K. Ritchie and A. I. Cooper, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 204–206.
- 111 J. H. Bang and K. S. Suslick, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, 1039-1059.
- 112 P. Cintas and J.-L. Luche, Green Chem., 1999, 1, 115–125.
- 113 S.-T. Yang, J. Kim, H.-Y. Cho, S. Kim and W.-S. Ahn, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 10179–10181.
- 114 T. Friščić, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 7599-7605.
- 115 S. L. James, C. J. Adams, C. Bolm, D. Braga, P. Collier, T. Friščić, F. Grepioni, K. D. Harris, G. Hyett and W. Jones, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, 41, 413–447.
- 116 B. P. Biswal, S. Chandra, S. Kandambeth, B. Lukose, T. Heine and R. Banerjee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 5328–5331.
- 117 S. Kim and H. C. Choi, Commun. Chem., 2019, 2, 60.
- 118 M. B. Gawande, R. Luque and R. Zboril, *ChemCatChem*, 2014, **6**, 3312–3313.
- 119 M. B. Gawande, Y. Monga, R. Zboril and R. K. Sharma, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **288**, 118–143.
- 120 R. K. Sharma, S. Dutta, S. Sharma, R. Zboril, R. S. Varma and M. B. Gawande, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 3184–3209.

- 121 M. B. Gawande, P. S. Branco and R. S. Varma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 3371–3393.
- 122 R. B. N. Baig and R. S. Varma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 752–770.
- 123 J. M. Yan, X. B. Zhang, S. Han, H. Shioyama and Q. Xu, *Angew. Chem.*, 2008, **120**, 2319–2321.
- 124 R. Gupta, M. Yadav, R. Gaur, G. Arora and R. K. Sharma, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 3801–3812.
- 125 G. Arora, M. Yadav, R. Gaur, R. Gupta and R. K. Sharma, *ChemistrySelect*, 2017, **2**, 10871–10879.
- 126 R. Gaur, M. Yadav, R. Gupta, G. Arora, P. Rana and R. K. Sharma, *ChemistrySelect*, 2018, 3, 2502–2508.
- 127 R. K. Sharma, R. Gaur, M. Yadav, A. K. Rathi, J. Pechousek, M. Petr, R. Zboril and M. B. Gawande, *ChemCatChem*, 2015, 7, 3495–3502.
- 128 R. K. Sharma, M. Yadav and M. B. Gawande, *Ferrites and Ferrates: Chemistry and Applications in Sustainable Energy and Environmental Remediation*, ACS Publications, 2016, pp. 1–38.
- 129 R. K. Sharma, M. Yadav, R. Gaur, Y. Monga and A. Adholeya, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 2728–2740.
- R. K. Sharma, M. Yadav, Y. Monga, R. Gaur, A. Adholeya, R. Zboril, R. S. Varma and M. B. Gawande, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2016, 4, 1123–1130.
- 131 S. He, T. Zeng, S. Wang, H. Niu and Y. Cai, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 2959–2965.
- 132 Y. Liao, J. Li and A. Thomas, ACS Macro Lett., 2017, 6, 1444–1450.
- 133 Y. Li, C.-X. Yang and X.-P. Yan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, 53, 2511–2514.
- 134 R. Wang and Z. Chen, Microchim. Acta, 2017, 184, 3867–3874.
- 135 G. Lin, C. Gao, Q. Zheng, Z. Lei, H. Geng, Z. Lin, H. Yang and Z. Cai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 3649–3652.
- 136 H. Xu, X. Chen, J. Gao, J. Lin, M. Addicoat, S. Irle and D. Jiang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 1292–1294.
- 137 F. X. L. i Xamena, A. Abad, A. Corma and H. Garcia, *J. Catal.*, 2007, **250**, 294–298.
- 138 Y. Huang, Z. Lin and R. Cao, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 12706–12712.
- 139 V. Polshettiwar, C. Len and A. Fihri, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 2599–2626.
- 140 Y. Wan, H. Wang, Q. Zhao, M. Klingstedt, O. Terasaki and D. Zhao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 4541–4550.
- 141 R. K. Sharma, M. Yadav, R. Gaur, R. Gupta, A. Adholeya and M. B. Gawande, *ChemPlusChem*, 2016, **81**, 1312–1319.
- 142 P. D. Stevens, G. Li, J. Fan, M. Yen and Y. Gao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 4435-4437.
- 143 Z. Yinghuai, S. C. Peng, A. Emi, S. Zhenshun and R. A. Kemp, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, 349, 1917–1922.
- 144 R. S. Gonçalves, A. B. de Oliveira, H. C. Sindra, B. S. Archanjo, M. E. Mendoza, L. S. Carneiro, C. D. Buarque and P. M. Esteves, *ChemCatChem*, 2016, 8, 743–750.
- 145 D. Mullangi, S. Nandi, S. Shalini, S. Sreedhala, C. P. Vinod and R. Vaidhyanathan, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, 5, 10876.
- 146 T. Torimoto, K.-i. Okazaki, T. Kiyama, K. Hirahara, N. Tanaka and S. Kuwabata, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2006, 89, 243117.

- 147 T. Hayashi, T. Ohno, S. Yatsuya and R. Uyeda, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 1977, **16**, 705–717.
- 148 X. Feng, J. D. Sloppy, T. J. LaTempa, M. Paulose, S. Komarneni, N. Bao and C. A. Grimes, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, 21, 13429–13433.
- 149 K. Y. Cho, Y. S. Yeom, H. Y. Seo, P. Kumar, A. S. Lee, K.-Y. Baek and H. G. Yoon, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 20471–20476.
- 150 S. Lu, Y. Hu, S. Wan, R. McCaffrey, Y. Jin, H. Gu and W. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 17082–17088.
- 151 C. E. Chan-Thaw, A. Villa, P. Katekomol, D. Su, A. Thomas and L. Prati, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 537–541.
- 152 M. Mu, Y. Wang, Y. Qin, X. Yan, Y. Li and L. Chen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 22856–22863.
- 153 E. Rozhko, A. Bavykina, D. Osadchii, M. Makkee and J. Gascon, *J. Catal.*, 2017, 345, 270–280.
- 154 X. Shi, Y. Yao, Y. Xu, K. Liu, G. Zhu, L. Chi and G. Lu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 7481–7488.
- 155 H. Pellissier, Tetrahedron, 2006, 10, 2143-2173.
- 156 C. Bolm, T. Rantanen, I. Schiffers and L. Zani, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 1758–1763.
- 157 H.-C. Ma, J.-L. Kan, G.-J. Chen, C.-X. Chen and Y.-B. Dong, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 6518–6524.
- 158 K. C. Nicolaou, T. Montagnon and S. A. Snyder, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 551–564.
- 159 R. A. Bunce, Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 13103-13159.
- 160 J. Zhou, Chem. Asian J., 2010, 5, 422-434.
- 161 F. X. Felpin and E. Fouquet, *ChemSusChem*, 2008, 1, 718–724.
- 162 Y.-Z. Chen, Y.-X. Zhou, H. Wang, J. Lu, T. Uchida, Q. Xu, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 2062–2069.
- 163 W. Leng, R. Ge, B. Dong, C. Wang and Y. Gao, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 37403–37406.
- 164 S. Solomon, G.-K. Plattner, R. Knutti and P. Friedlingstein, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**, 1704–1709.
- 165 R. S. Tol, Energy Policy, 2005, 33, 2064-2074.
- 166 S. M. Benson and F. M. Orr, MRS Bull., 2008, 33, 303-305.
- 167 D. M. D'Alessandro, B. Smit and J. R. Long, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6058–6082.
- 168 S. Wang, S. Yan, X. Ma and J. Gong, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 3805–3819.
- 169 M. Cokoja, C. Bruckmeier, B. Rieger, W. A. Herrmann and F. E. Kuehn, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 8510–8537.
- 170 K. Huang, C.-L. Sun and Z.-J. Shi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 2435–2452.
- 171 J. Peng and Y. Deng, New J. Chem., 2001, 25, 639-641.
- 172 J. Sun, S.-i. Fujita and M. Arai, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2005, **690**, 3490–3497.
- 173 M. H. Beyzavi, R. C. Klet, S. Tussupbayev, J. Borycz, N. A. Vermeulen, C. J. Cramer, J. F. Stoddart, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15861–15864.
- 174 P.-Z. Li, X.-J. Wang, J. Liu, J. S. Lim, R. Zou and Y. Zhao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 2142–2145.
- 175 C. M. Miralda, E. E. Macias, M. Zhu, P. Ratnasamy and M. A. Carreon, ACS Catal., 2011, 2, 180–183.
- 176 W.-L. Dai, S.-L. Luo, S.-F. Yin and C.-T. Au, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2009, **366**, 2–12.

- 177 R. K. Sharma, R. Gaur, M. Yadav, A. Goswami, R. Zbořil and M. B. Gawande, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 1901.
- 178 Y. Li, W.-N. Wang, Z. Zhan, M.-H. Woo, C.-Y. Wu and P. Biswas, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2010, **100**, 386–392.
- 179 Y. Zhi, P. Shao, X. Feng, H. Xia, Y. Zhang, Z. Shi, Y. Mu and X. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 374–382.
- 180 K. C. Nicolaou, S. A. Snyder, T. Montagnon and G. Vassilikogiannakis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1668–1698.
- 181 R. R. Schmidt, Acc. Chem. Res., 1986, 19, 250-259.
- 182 Y. Wu, H. Xu, X. Chen, J. Gao and D. Jiang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 10096–10098.
- 183 Y. Wang, J. Zhang, X. Wang, M. Antonietti and H. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3356–3359.
- 184 A. Thomas, A. Fischer, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, J.-O. Müller, R. Schlögl and J. M. Carlsson, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, **18**, 4893–4908.
- 185 L. Li, L. Li, C. Cui, H. Fan and R. Wang, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 4921–4926.
- 186 U. P. Tran, K. K. Le and N. T. Phan, ACS Catal., 2011, 1, 120–127.
- 187 Y. Yang, H.-F. Yao, F.-G. Xi and E.-Q. Gao, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2014, 390, 198–205.
- 188 M. Opanasenko, A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Shamzhy, P. Nachtigall, M. Horáček, H. Garcia and J. Čejka, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, 3, 500–507.
- 189 T. I. Reddy and R. S. Varma, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 1721–1724.
- 190 E. Angeletti, C. Canepa, G. Martinetti and P. Venturello, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1989, 105–107.
- 191 Nat. Rev. Chem., 2018, 2, 0125.
- 192 I. S. Pieta, A. Rathi, P. Pieta, R. Nowakowski, M. Hołdynski, M. Pisarek, A. Kaminska, M. B. Gawande and R. Zboril, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2019, 244, 272–283.
- 193 Y. H. Hou, Y. P. Liu, R. Q. Gao, Q. J. Li, H. Z. Guo, A. Goswami, R. Zboril, M. B. Gawande and X. X. Zou, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 7038–7042.
- 194 M. B. Gawande, A. Goswami, F. X. Felpin, T. Asefa, X. X. Huang, R. Silva, X. X. Zou, R. Zboril and R. S. Varma, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 3722–3811.
- 195 C. F. Zinola, M. E. Martins, E. P. Tejera and N. P. Neves Jr., Int. J. Electrochem., 2012, 874687.
- 196 H. Jin, C. Guo, X. Liu, J. Liu, A. Vasileff, Y. Jiao, Y. Zheng and S.-Z. Qiao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 6337–6408.
- 197 Y.-J. Wang, N. Zhao, B. Fang, H. Li, X. T. Bi and H. Wang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 3433–3467.
- 198 Q. Liu and J. Zhang, Langmuir, 2013, 29, 3821-3828.
- 199 L. Qu, Y. Liu, J.-B. Baek and L. Dai, *ACS Nano*, 2010, 4, 1321–1326.
- 200 S. Yang, L. Zhi, K. Tang, X. Feng, J. Maier and K. Müllen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, 22, 3634–3640.
- 201 C. Y. Lin, D. Zhang, Z. Zhao and Z. Xia, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, 30, 1703646.
- 202 B. C. Steele and A. Heinzel, Materials For Sustainable Energy: A Collection of Peer-Reviewed Research and Review Articles from Nature Publishing Group, World Scientific, 2011, pp. 224–231.

- 203 H. Chang, S. H. Joo and C. Pak, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2007, **17**, 3078–3088.
- 204 S. Dai, J.-P. Chou, K.-W. Wang, Y.-Y. Hsu, A. Hu, X. Pan and T.-Y. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 440.
- 205 M. Chisaka and H. Morioka, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, 9, 611–619.
- 206 J. Zhang, M. B. Vukmirovic, Y. Xu, M. Mavrikakis and R. R. Adzic, *Angew. Chem.*, 2005, **117**, 2170–2173.
- 207 T. Reier, M. Oezaslan and P. Strasser, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, 2, 1765–1772.
- 208 X. Guo, C. Qian, R. Shi, W. Zhang, F. Xu, S. Qian, J. Zhang,
 H. Yang, A. Yuan and T. Fan, *Small*, 2019, 1804855.
- 209 A. Marianov and Y. Jiang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 3838–3848.
- 210 H. B. Aiyappa, J. Thote, D. B. Shinde, R. Banerjee and S. Kurungot, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 4375–4379.
- 211 G. A. Olah, A. Goeppert and G. S. Prakash, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 74, 487-498.
- 212 W. Wang, S. Wang, X. Ma and J. Gong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3703–3727.
- 213 M. R. Raupach, G. Marland, P. Ciais, C. Le Quéré, J. G. Canadell, G. Klepper and C. B. Field, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 10288–10293.
- 214 Z. Han, R. Kortlever, H.-Y. Chen, J. C. Peters and T. Agapie, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2017, **3**, 853–859.
- 215 R. Kortlever, J. Shen, K. J. P. Schouten, F. Calle-Vallejo and M. T. Koper, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2015, 6, 4073-4082.
- 216 Y. Wang, J. Chen, G. Wang, Y. Li and Z. Wen, *Angew. Chem.*, 2018, **130**, 13304–13308.
- 217 C. S. Diercks, S. Lin, N. Kornienko, E. A. Kapustin, E. M. Nichols, C. Zhu, Y. Zhao, C. J. Chang and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 1116–1122.
- 218 X. Han, Q. Xia, J. Huang, Y. Liu, C. Tan and Y. Cui, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 8693-8697.
- 219 W. Wang, X. Xu, W. Zhou and Z. Shao, *Adv. Sci.*, 2017, 4, 1600371.
- 220 H. Li, Q. Pan, Y. Ma, X. Guan, M. Xue, Q. Fang, Y. Yan,
 V. Valtchev and S. Qiu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, 138, 14783–14788.
- 221 J. L. Segura, M. J. Mancheño and F. Zamora, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 5635–5671.
- 222 P. J. Waller, S. J. Lyle, T. M. Osborn Popp, C. S. Diercks, J. A. Reimer and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 15519–15522.
- 223 W. Xia, C. Qu, Z. Liang, B. Zhao, S. Dai, B. Qiu, Y. Jiao, Q. Zhang, X. Huang, W. Guo, D. Dang, R. Zou, D. Xia, Q. Xu and M. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, 17, 2788–2795.
- 224 S. T. Meek, J. A. Greathouse and M. D. Allendorf, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 249–267.
- 225 Y. Zhao, Chem. Mater., 2016, 28, 8079-8081.
- 226 E. Jin, Z. Lan, Q. Jiang, K. Geng, G. Li, X. Wang and D. Jiang, *Chem*, 2019, 5, 1632–1647.
- 227 F.-M. Zhang, J.-L. Sheng, Z.-D. Yang, X.-J. Sun, H.-L. Tang,
 M. Lu, H. Dong, F.-C. Shen, J. Liu and Y.-Q. Lan, *Angew. Chem.*, 2018, 130, 12282–12286.

- 228 K. Schwinghammer, S. Hug, M. B. Mesch, J. Senker and B. V. Lotsch, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 3345–3353.
- 229 X. Wang, L. Chen, S. Y. Chong, M. A. Little, Y. Wu, W.-H. Zhu, R. Clowes, Y. Yan, M. A. Zwijnenburg, R. S. Sprick and A. I. Cooper, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 1180–1189.
- 230 S. Bi, C. Yang, W. Zhang, J. Xu, L. Liu, D. Wu, X. Wang,
 Y. Han, Q. Liang and F. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019,
 10, 2467.
- 231 P. Pachfule, A. Acharjya, J. r. m. Roeser, T. Langenhahn, M. Schwarze, R. Schomäcker, A. Thomas and J. Schmidt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 1423–1427.
- 232 Y. Zheng, W. Zhang, Y. Li, J. Chen, B. Yu, J. Wang, L. Zhang and J. Zhang, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **40**, 512–539.
- 233 M. E. El-Khouly, E. El-Mohsnawy and S. Fukuzumi, J. Photochem. Photobiol., C, 2017, 31, 36-83.
- 234 T. Inoue, A. Fujishima, S. Konishi and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1979, 277, 637–638.
- 235 K. R. Thampi, J. Kiwi and M. Grätzel, *Nature*, 1987, 327, 506–508.
- 236 S. N. Habisreutinger, L. Schmidt-Mende and J. K. Stolarczyk, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7372–7408.
- 237 S. Wang and X. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 2308–2320.
- 238 T. Zhang and W. Lin, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 5982-5993.
- 239 A. Dhakshinamoorthy, A. M. Asiri and H. García, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 5414–5445.
- 240 C. A. Trickett, A. Helal, B. A. Al-Maythalony, Z. H. Yamani,
 K. E. Cordova and O. M. Yaghi, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2017,
 2, 17045.
- 241 H.-Q. Xu, J. Hu, D. Wang, Z. Li, Q. Zhang, Y. Luo, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, **137**, 13440–13443.
- 242 Y. Fu, D. Sun, Y. Chen, R. Huang, Z. Ding, X. Fu and Z. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3364–3367.
- 243 Y. Lee, S. Kim, J. K. Kang and S. M. Cohen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 5735–5738.
- 244 K. S. Lakhi, D.-H. Park, K. Al-Bahily, W. Cha, B. Viswanathan, J.-H. Choy and A. Vinu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 72–101.
- 245 A. P. Côté, A. I. Benin, N. W. Ockwig, M. O'Keeffe, A. J. Matzger and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2005, 310, 1166–1170.
- 246 N. Huang, P. Wang and D. Jiang, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, 1, 16068.
- 247 Y. Zheng, L. Lin, B. Wang and X. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 12868–12884.
- 248 W.-J. Ong, L.-L. Tan, Y. H. Ng, S.-T. Yong and S.-P. Chai, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 7159–7329.
- 249 R. K. Yadav, A. Kumar, N.-J. Park, K.-J. Kong and J.-O. Baeg, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 9413–9418.
- 250 Y. Fu, X. Zhu, L. Huang, X. Zhang, F. Zhang and W. Zhu, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, **239**, 46–51.
- 251 S. Yang, W. Hu, X. Zhang, P. He, B. Pattengale, C. Liu, M. Cendejas, I. Hermans, X. Zhang and J. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, 140, 14614–14618.
- 252 W. Zhong, R. Sa, L. Li, Y. He, L. Li, J. Bi, Z. Zhuang, Y. Yu and Z. Zou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 7615–7621.
- 253 J.-S. Wu, S.-W. Cheng, Y.-J. Cheng and C.-S. Hsu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, 44, 1113–1154.

- 254 N. Chaoui, M. Trunk, R. Dawson, J. Schmidt and A. Thomas, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 3302–3321.
- 255 K. Schwinghammer, M. B. Mesch, V. Duppel, C. Ziegler, J. Senker and B. V. Lotsch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 1730–1733.
- 256 R. S. Sprick, J.-X. Jiang, B. Bonillo, S. Ren, T. Ratvijitvech, P. Guiglion, M. A. Zwijnenburg, D. J. Adams and A. I. Cooper, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 3265–3270.
- 257 L. Li, Z. Cai, Q. Wu, W.-Y. Lo, N. Zhang, L. X. Chen and L. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7681–7686.
- 258 L. Wang, R. Fernández-Terán, L. Zhang, D. L. A. Fernandes,
 L. Tian, H. Chen and H. Tian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 12306–12310.
- 259 Z. J. Wang, S. Ghasimi, K. Landfester and K. A. I. Zhang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 1921–1924.
- 260 C. Su, R. Tandiana, B. Tian, A. Sengupta, W. Tang, J. Su and K. P. Loh, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 3594–3599.
- 261 Y. Chen, J. Zhang, M. Zhang and X. Wang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 3244–3248.
- 262 Z. J. Wang, S. Ghasimi, K. Landfester and K. A. I. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 8177–8180.
- 263 P. B. Pati, G. Damas, L. Tian, D. L. A. Fernandes, L. Zhang,
 I. B. Pehlivan, T. Edvinsson, C. M. Araujo and H. Tian, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, 10, 1372–1376.
- 264 Y. Fang, Y. Ma, M. Zheng, P. Yang, A. M. Asiri and X. Wang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **373**, 83–115.
- 265 Y. Su, L. Zhang and N. Jiao, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 2168-2171.
- 266 C. C. Nguyen, N. N. Vu and T.-O. Do, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 18345–18359.
- 267 C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic and D. W. MacMillan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 5322–5363.
- 268 H. Takeda and O. Ishitani, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 254, 346-354.
- 269 P. Rana, R. Gaur, R. Gupta, G. Arora, J. Anireddy and R. K. Sharma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 7402–7405.
- 270 D. P. Hari and B. König, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 6688–6699.
- 271 Y. Pan, C. W. Kee, L. Chen and C.-H. Tan, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 2682–2685.
- 272 Y. Zhi, Z. Li, X. Feng, H. Xia, Y. Zhang, Z. Shi, Y. Mu and X. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 22933–22938.
- 273 T. P. Yoon, M. A. Ischay and J. Du, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, 2, 527–532.
- 274 J. M. Narayanam and C. R. Stephenson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 102–113.
- 275 M. Neumann, S. Füldner, B. König and K. Zeitler, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 951–954.
- 276 W. Huang, J. Byun, I. Rörich, C. Ramanan, P. W. M. Blom,
 H. Lu, D. Wang, L. Caire da Silva, R. Li, L. Wang,
 K. Landfester and K. A. I. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*,
 2018, 57, 8316–8320.
- 277 D. Sun, S. Jang, S. J. Yim, L. Ye and D. P. Kim, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2018, 28, 1707110.
- 278 P. Zhang, Y. Gong, H. Li, Z. Chen and Y. Wang, Nat. Commun., 2013, 4, 1593.

- 279 J. M. Thomas, R. Raja, G. Sankar and R. G. Bell, *Nature*, 1999, **398**, 227–230.
- 280 J. C. Colmenares and R. Luque, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 765–778.
- 281 X. Lang, X. Chen and J. Zhao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 473-486.
- 282 W. Huang, B. C. Ma, H. Lu, R. Li, L. Wang, K. Landfester and K. A. I. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 5438–5442.
- 283 Z. Li, Y. Zhi, P. Shao, H. Xia, G. Li, X. Feng, X. Chen, Z. Shi and X. Liu, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2019, **245**, 334–342.
- 284 W. Liu, Q. Su, P. Ju, B. Guo, H. Zhou, G. Li and Q. Wu, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 664–669.
- 285 M. Yadav, R. Gupta and R. K. Sharma, Advances in Water Purification Techniques, Elsevier, 2019, pp. 355–383.
- 286 R. K. Sharma, M. Yadav and R. Gupta, *Chemistry and Water*, Elsevier, 2017, pp. 183–205.
- 287 D. Friedmann, C. Mendive and D. Bahnemann, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2010, **99**, 398–406.
- 288 S.-Y. Lee and S.-J. Park, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2013, 19, 1761–1769.
- 289 S. He, Q. Rong, H. Niu and Y. Cai, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **247**, 49–56.
- 290 S. He, B. Yin, H. Niu and Y. Cai, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, **239**, 147–153.
- 291 M. E. Jiménez-Hernández, F. Manjón, D. García-Fresnadillo and G. Orellana, Sol. Energy, 2006, 80, 1382–1387.
- 292 A. A. Ghogare and A. Greer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 9994–10034.
- 293 R. Ciriminna, R. Delisi, Y.-J. Xu and M. Pagliaro, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2016, **20**, 403–408.
- 294 W. M. Sharman, C. M. Allen and J. E. Van Lier, Drug Discovery Today, 1999, 4, 507–517.
- 295 N. L. Oleinick, A. R. Antunez, M. E. Clay, B. D. Rihter and M. E. Kenney, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 1993, 57, 242–247.
- 296 M. C. DeRosa and R. J. Crutchley, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2002, 233, 351–371.
- 297 A. Nagai, X. Chen, X. Feng, X. Ding, Z. Guo and D. Jiang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 3770-3774.
- 298 G. Lin, H. Ding, R. Chen, Z. Peng, B. Wang and C. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 8705–8709.
- 299 X. Chen, M. Addicoat, E. Jin, L. Zhai, H. Xu, N. Huang, Z. Guo, L. Liu, S. Irle and D. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 3241–3247.
- 300 M. Rong, L. Lin, X. Song, T. Zhao, Y. Zhong, J. Yan, Y. Wang and X. Chen, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, 87, 1288–1296.
- 301 C. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Yan, F. Xia, A. Huang and Y. Xian, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 13415–13421.
- 302 M. Margreth, R. Schlink and A. Steinbach, *Pharm. Sci.* Encycl. Drug Discov. Dev. Manuf., 2010, 1–34.
- 303 S. B. Kalidindi, K. Yusenko and R. A. Fischer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 8506–8508.
- 304 J. Lan, D. Cao and W. Wang, *Langmuir*, 2009, 26, 220–226.
- 305 R. W. Tilford, S. J. Mugavero III, P. J. Pellechia and J. J. Lavigne, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 2741–2746.

Review

- 306 E. Klontzas, E. Tylianakis and G. E. Froudakis, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 452–454.
- 307 J. Lan, D. Cao, W. Wang and B. Smit, *ACS Nano*, 2010, 4, 4225–4237.
- 308 B. Nikolic, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, 2008, 55, 71-83.
- 309 X. Ding, L. Chen, Y. Honsho, X. Feng, O. Saengsawang, J. Guo, A. Saeki, S. Seki, S. Irle, S. Nagase, V. Parasuk and D. Jiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 14510–14513.
- 310 S. Wan, J. Guo, J. Kim, H. Ihee and D. Jiang, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 5439–5442.
- 311 H. Hashemzadeh and H. Raissi, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2018, 51, 345401.
- 312 S. Chandra, T. Kundu, S. Kandambeth, R. BabaRao, Y. Marathe, S. M. Kunjir and R. Banerjee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 6570–6573.
- 313 H. Xu, S. Tao and D. Jiang, Nat. Mater., 2016, 15, 722.