

CORRECTION

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: *Food Funct.*, 2019, **10**, 5240

Correction: Total saponins from *Rosa laevigata* Michx fruit attenuates hepatic steatosis induced by high-fat diet in rats

Deshi Dong,^{a,b,c} Yan Qi,^a Lina Xu,^a Lianhong Yin,^a Youwei Xu,^a Xu Han,^a Yanyan Zhao^a and Jinyong Peng^{*a,b}

DOI: 10.1039/c9fo90039j

rsc.li/food-function

Correction for 'Total saponins from *Rosa laevigata* Michx fruit attenuates hepatic steatosis induced by high-fat diet in rats' by Deshi Dong, et al., *Food Funct.*, 2014, **5**, 3065–3075.

The authors regret that there were errors in Fig. 4 and Fig. 6 in the original manuscript. The GAPDH bands in these figures for the western blot assay were incorrect due to copy and paste errors. The corrected versions of Fig. 4 and Fig. 6 are presented below.




Fig. 4 Effects of RLTS on the protein expressions of LXRα, PPARα, MCAD, ACADS, CYP2E1, CYP4A11, and the gene expressions of PPARγ, SREBP-1c, FAS, ACC, SCD1, CPT1 from rat livers in normal control (II), model (III), RLTS (70 mg kg⁻¹) + HFD (IV), RLTS (140 mg kg⁻¹) + HFD (V) and RLTS (210 mg kg⁻¹) + HFD (VI) groups. Values were expressed as mean ± SD in each group (n = 5). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 vs. model group.

^aCollege of Pharmacy, Dalian Medical University, 9 Western Lvshun South Road, Dalian 116044, China

^bResearch Institute of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine of Dalian Medical University, Dalian 116011, China. E-mail: jinyongpeng2005@163.com; Fax: +86411 8611 0411; Tel: +86 411 8611 0411

^cThe First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian 116011, China






Fig. 6 Effects of RLTS on the protein expressions of HO-1, Nrf2, KEAP1, SOD2, ALDH7A1, NF- κ B, COX-2, HMGB-1, AP-1, and the gene expressions of TNF- α , IL-6 from rat livers in normal control (II), model (III), RLTS (70 mg kg⁻¹) + HFD (IV), RLTS (140 mg kg⁻¹) + HFD (V) and RLTS (210 mg kg⁻¹) + HFD (VI) groups. Values were expressed as mean \pm SD in each group ($n = 5$). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ vs. model group.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

