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Glycan microarrays have become a powerful technology to study biological processes, such as cell–cell interaction, inflammation, and infections. Yet, several challenges, especially in multivalent display, remain. In this introductory lecture we discuss the state-of-the-art glycan microarray technology, with emphasis on novel approaches to access collections of pure glycans and their immobilization on surfaces. Future directions to mimic the natural glycan presentation on an array format, as well as in situ generation of combinatorial glycan collections, are discussed.

1. Introduction

Glycans decorate the surface of many cells, forming a thick layer (glycocalyx) that mediates a variety of important cellular processes.1 This 100 nm–1 mm thick glycan layer comprises highly diverse structures, including glycoproteins, glycolipids, and glycopolymers. Several complex biological processes, such as protein folding, cell–cell interaction, cell adhesion, and signaling, are the result of the interactions of glycans with themselves (carbohydrate–carbohydrate interactions, CCIs) or with glycan binding proteins (carbohydrate–protein interactions, CPIs).2–4 In addition, pathogens use these glycans as receptors for the attachment to host cells and subsequent invasion.5,6 At the same time, pathogenic glycans are recognized by the immune system, which initiate the immune response.7,8 Pathological events, such as tumor metastasis, inflammation, and infections, are all mediated by glycan–protein interactions.

A better understanding of these CPIs is of fundamental importance. Yet, in comparison to polynucleotides and proteins, the study of glycans and CPIs has been slower for multiple reasons: the complexity of carbohydrate synthesis and their difficult isolation from natural sources has hampered a detailed analysis of such compounds. The limited access to collections of pure materials precluded high-throughput screening formats. Even though glycan arrays have become extremely popular and primary analytical tools for the study of CPIs,9–11 they are
limited due to glycan availability. Additionally, CPIs are very weak (typically in the micromolar range) and glycan binding proteins can often interact with many substrates with low specificity. Nature’s strategy to enhance binding strength and specificity is multivalency, where multiple carbohydrate units bind to one protein to gain stronger affinity than the sum of the single contributions. Chemists have aimed to reproduce nature, developing several synthetic multivalent systems that mimic natural supramolecular interactions. Nevertheless, recreating the binding thermodynamics of natural interfaces in a microarray format, is extremely challenging. Different approaches aimed to mimic the natural glycan presentation on an array surface. The most common approach involves the direct printing of glycans, controlling the density by varying the concentration or by surface functionalization. Alternatively, prearranged multivalent systems, based on natural or unnatural scaffolds, can be immobilized on surfaces, aiming at more defined glycan presentation.

A challenging approach is the direct synthesis of glycans or multivalent glycan systems on the array. However, in comparison to other biomolecules, chemical carbohydrate synthesis on surfaces is far more difficult, due to the demanding reaction parameters. Only the synthesis of disaccharides has been achieved to date. Enzymatic synthesis on surfaces is more common, e.g., for the synthesis of N-glycans or the discovery of glycosyltransferases. Yet, whether glycans can also be synthesized in situ in a molecularly defined and multivalent fashion, remains to be shown.

We review the state of the art of glycan microarrays, from access to glycan collections, to surface immobilization, and analysis. We will focus on current approaches to mimic natural interfaces and new directions in surface functionalization. Moreover, we will describe how simple glycans and more complex multivalent scaffolds are printed or grown from surfaces to elucidate important cellular processes.

2. Access to glycan collections

The first step towards the production of a glycan microarray is the identification of suitable glycans. Two approaches are available and currently used to access glycans (see Fig. 1): isolation from natural sources and/or synthesis (enzymatic or chemical). Natural glycans can be readily obtained from animal tissues, plant material, or from cultured pathogens. Large collections in terms of size and diversity could be accessed, when completely uncharacterized binders need to be identified. Nevertheless, the isolation procedures and characterization of the final carbohydrates could be extremely challenging, often resulting in mixtures of compounds. Heterogeneous samples, often containing minor impurities, could culminate in non-reproducible results. Moreover, extracted glycans generally require an extra functionalization step for immobilization on surfaces. Compound collections obtained from chemical synthesis are generally smaller, more focused, and less diverse. Generating a set of related glycans, with the possibility of including non-natural glycans, is of great interest for the elucidation of structure–activity relationships. Chemically obtained compounds are highly pure, reducing the possibility of false results. A reactive linker can be easily installed during synthesis, facilitating subsequent immobilization. Using these two approaches, many glycans were prepared and printed on arrays.
microarray with the currently largest diversity is represented by the mammalian array (version 5.3) of the Consortium for Functional Glycomics (CFG), which includes more than 600 synthetic and isolated compounds. A microbial glycan microarray is also available, including more than 300 carbohydrates. However, covering the huge diversity of microbial glycans, often containing rare sugars, remains a major challenge. Efforts to access such glycans in a well-defined manner are still needed.

2.1. Automated glycan assembly

Collections of well-defined glycans are fundamental tools to elucidate glycan interactions. With the aim to explore the natural and unnatural diversity of glycans, systematic strategies for the chemical, enzymatic, and chemo- enzymatic synthesis of glycans were developed. Nevertheless, the challenging installation of the glycosidic linkage, that requires regio- and stereo-control, poses a bottleneck. Enzymatic synthesis relies on the specificity of the enzymes to form the desired glycosidic linkage, but to date, it is limited by the availability of suitable glycosyltransferases. Such enzymes are extremely efficient with natural substrates, but often tolerate only limited substrate variations, hindering access to chemically modified glycans and unnatural structures. In addition, the in vitro production of functional enzymes is sometimes troublesome. Despite several challenges, enzymatic synthesis remains a powerful option, when poorly reactive monosaccharides such as sialic acid or particularly challenging linkages such as β-mannosides need to be installed. Efforts to standardize this process resulted in two fully automated systems.
Chemical synthesis offers the unique opportunity to access well-defined natural and unnatural structures. Collections of complex synthetic glycans, including heparin sulfate glycans, GPI-anchors, and high-mannose oligosaccharides, were used to create custom arrays to characterize lectin and antibody specificity and to study the human response to infections and allergies. The biggest drawback of this approach is the enormous synthetic effort required. Automated Glycan Assembly (AGA) speeds up the process, allowing for quick and reliable access to glycans. The sequential addition of sugar building blocks (BBs) on a solid support replaces the purification steps with simple washing cycles. The coupling cycle, consisting of glycosylation, capping, and deprotection, has been optimized to achieve nearly quantitative conversion in around 1.5 h. Moreover, the glycan is attached to the solid support through a linker that, upon UV irradiation, liberates the target glycan already equipped with an amino-linker for subsequent surface functionalization. Collections of natural and unnatural glycans found applications in vaccine development, materials science, and structural studies (see Fig. 2). Well-defined linear β(1,3) and branched β(1,3) β(1,6) glucans permitted to conclude that most individuals form antibodies that bind to both linear (protective) and branched (non-protective) epitope. Synthetic keratan sulfate (KS) analogues, with different sulfation patterns, helped to identify the specific interaction between the disulfated KS tetrasaccharide and the adeno-associated virus AAVrh10 gene-therapy vector (see Fig. 2). Frameshifts of the S. pneumoniae serotype 8 (ST8) capsular polysaccharides were used to identify the glycotopes recognized by antibodies against ST8. The insights were essential for the preparation of a semisynthetic Streptococcus pneumoniae serotype 8 glycoconjugate vaccine candidate. AGA was exploited to determine the binding epitopes of many plant cell-wall glycan-directed mAbs. A total of 88 synthetic

![Fig. 2](image_url) Applications of glycan collections synthesized with AGA.
oligosaccharides, including arabinogalactan-, rhamnogalacturonan-, xylan-, and xyloglucan were printed on a microarray aiming to comprehensively map the epitopes of plant cell-wall glycan-directed antibodies (see Fig. 2).47

3. Printing on surfaces

Once the glycan collection has been produced, the glycans are printed onto the array surface. High accuracy and reproducibility are essential for a reliable microarray. Two technologies are mainly used to deposit bioactive molecules, such as carbohydrates, on a reactive surface. These rely on contact and non-contact printing (see Fig. 3).48

Contact technologies (see Fig. 3A) are naturally more precise, mainly relying on pin printing and microstamping of arrays. A pin printing setup consists of a robotically controlled print head, equipped with one to dozens of differently shaped solid pins. The pins soak a certain volume of a spotting solution (dissolved biomolecule or building blocks) from wells of a microtiter plate upon dipping. Nanoliters of the solution can then be deposited as a droplet on the reactive surface by bringing the pins in contact with the surface. The transfer process relies on favorable surface energies between the spotting solution, the surface, and the pin. An alternative to pin printing is microcontact printing, where crosslinked polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microstamps with micro-features are used.49 Spray-on or robotic feature-feature ink transfer is applied to coat the stamp with the spotting solution. The substance is then transferred to the surface upon contact between the stamp and the surface. This technique is mainly used to array one compound on a surface, while pin printing allows for the deposition of different molecules at the same time. In both cases, extensive washing steps and refilling after iterative cycles are necessary.

Non-contact printing technologies (see Fig. 3B) rely on the ejection of spotting solution from a reservoir through an orifice as a droplet or stream onto the microarray surface. Common inkjet printing technology uses a solution of a dissolved biomolecule or building block, serving as the “ink”. The solutions are ejected from a cartridge by a print head nozzle at a distance of 1–5 mm from the surface. The ejection process can be triggered by mainly three different methods: piezo actuation, valve-jet, or thermal inkjet. All three methods are based on a reversible and rapid change of pressure within the cartridge to release small droplets of the spotting solution. Non-contact printing approaches are highly flexible, since they allow for a fast switching between various cartridges and frequent refilling is avoided. Furthermore, because the method is contact-free,
there is no risk of surface disruption. Possible clogging of the nozzle and process-related contaminations are the main drawback of this technique.

The combinatorial laser-induced transfer method (cLIFT) helps to circumvent contamination and clogging issues.\textsuperscript{50} Currently the method is restricted to the chemical synthesis of peptide and peptoid\textsuperscript{51} arrays, but may be expanded to glycan array synthesis. Novel micro- and nanoprinting technologies exploit cantilevers from atomic force microscopy to pattern surfaces, such as the well-known dip-pen nanolithography.\textsuperscript{52} Meanwhile, the technique evolved to sophisticated microfluidic and lithographic setups, enabling photochemical patterning of surfaces with different monosaccharides in high resolution.\textsuperscript{53} Another recent scanning probe approach shows the layer-by-layer printing and synthesis of peptides with a resolution of 50 μm.\textsuperscript{54} After deposition of the compounds onto the surface, immobilization can be achieved in many different approaches.

3.1. Non-covalent immobilization

The first immobilization of glycans onto a surface was reported in 2002, following a non-covalent adsorption approach.\textsuperscript{55} Non-covalent attachment of either modified or unmodified glycans to a surface is mediated by electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonds or van der Waals forces. Today the selective covalent attachment of sugar molecules to a microarray is preferred, because it results in more stable and well-defined binding sites, enabling more precise biomolecular interactions.

Site-nonspecific immobilization. The easiest way to immobilize a glycan on a surface is the non-covalent, site-nonspecific approach (see Fig. 4A). Since no extra-functionalization of the sugar is required, this method is only suitable for longer glycans that maintain a large contact area with the surface. The binding site of the molecule to the surface is random, which makes screening of biomolecular interaction less precise. Moreover, there is a constant risk of losing the compounds during the washing steps.

With this approach, unmodified polysaccharides were spotted onto a nitrocellulose-coated glass slide.\textsuperscript{55,56} Charged polysaccharides like heparin are particularly suitable for this approach, since the negatively charged sulfate groups can be efficiently attached to positively charged poly-L-lysine coated glass slides via electrostatic interactions.\textsuperscript{57,58}

Site-specific immobilization. Reproducibility can be enhanced by specific binding to a surface at a distinct position of the glycan (see Fig. 4B). The chemical modification of the glycan is generally carried out at the reducing end. Glycoconjugates, such as glycolipids, can be easily immobilized on a surface, resembling the natural presentation of glycans.

Nitrocellulose or PVDF (polyvinylidene difluoride) membranes were used to immobilize lipid-conjugated glycans (neoglycolipids) via hydrophobic interactions (van der Waals forces). The neoglycolipids were prepared by reductive amination of the sugar compound and an amino-conjugated lipid.\textsuperscript{59–68} A similar attachment strategy used fluororous tagged glycans for immobilization on a Teflon/epoxy coated glass slide.\textsuperscript{69–71} The perfluorinated alkyl chain allows for easy purification and permits strong binding to the surface that survives extensive washing steps. Another fluororous approach was carried out on aluminum oxide coated glass slides, which were covalently functionalized with a phosphonate, tagged with
a perfluoroalkyl chain.\textsuperscript{72,73} On-spot analysis via mass spectrometry was also possible. Importantly, when hydrophobic surfaces are used, a blocking step before biomolecular screenings is required.

The strong biotin–streptavidin interaction ($K_d \sim 10^{-15}$ M) was exploited to manufacture glycan arrays. Streptavidin-coated surfaces in combination with biotinylated glycans were utilized.\textsuperscript{74–77} Similarly, DNA hybridization was employed to prepare glycan microarrays. The glycans were functionalized with an oligonucleotide that was hybridized with the complementary oligonucleotide attached to a surface.\textsuperscript{78}

### 3.2. Covalent immobilization

The covalent attachment of a glycan to a surface is usually preferred, because it minimizes the risk of compound leaching during the washing steps. Glass slides coated with a silane or thin polymer film are employed, which are functionalized with various functional groups for the coupling reaction.

**Site-nonspecific immobilization.** The simplest and fastest way to couple unmodified glycans to a surface is the covalent site-nonspecific approach (see Fig. 4C). However, the random binding of the sugar can be problematic for the validity of the biomolecular binding screenings. Photochemical reactions, where the functionalized glass slide bears a photo-activatable group, are commonly used.

Photo-labile groups such as aryl[(trifluoromethyl)diazirine\textsuperscript{79} or 4-azido-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl\textsuperscript{80} are common functionalities that, upon UV irradiation, turn
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**Fig. 4** Different immobilization strategies for glycan microarray production. (A) Non-covalent, site-nonspecific glycan binding; (B) non-covalent site-specific glycan binding; (C) covalent site-nonspecific glycan binding; (D) covalent site-specific glycan binding.
into reactive carbene or nitrene species. These reactive compounds are able to react easily with the spotted unprotected sugar compounds via simple insertion reactions to form stable covalent bonds. Another approach makes use of phthalimide-modified surfaces.\textsuperscript{81,82} Upon UV irradiation, the carbonyl groups of phthalimide can readily undergo a photochemical hydrogen abstraction reaction with the desired sugar which ends in stable covalent bonds between the compound and the surface. The reaction between boronic acid functionalized surfaces and diols of the sugars was also exploited to produce carbohydrate microarrays.\textsuperscript{83}

**Site-specific immobilization.** The covalent site-specific attachment of chemically modified glycans is now the method of choice for carbohydrate microarray production (see Fig. 4D), with many different available reactions. These coupling reactions have to be highly selective, easy to manipulate and mild. Selective surface attachment renders the binding studies with biomolecules more reliable when compared to site-nonspecific approaches. The nature of the linker between the sugar and the surface plays a crucial role, influencing protein binding. Hydrophilic oligo or poly(ethylene glycol)-based linkers often show better results compared to the hydrophobic analogues. Additionally, the linker affects the nonspecific adsorptions of the proteins and its length is important for the accessibility of the attached glycan.\textsuperscript{84,85} The most challenging part of the covalent site-specific method is the functionalization of the sugar, which often requires multiple steps and well-wrought synthetic strategies.

A very powerful strategy exploits the thiol–maleimide chemistry. The reaction is very fast under mild conditions and highly selective. Glycans are either functionalized with maleimide groups and coupled to thiol-coated surfaces,\textsuperscript{84–86} or thio-sugars are attached to maleimide-coated surfaces (see Fig. 5A).\textsuperscript{87–94} With this approach, even challenging glycans like glycosphatidylinositols (GPIs) could be easily printed onto microarrays.\textsuperscript{95,96} The formation of disulfide bonds, either between thiosulfonate-conjugated glycans and thiol-functionalized surfaces or between thiol-conjugated glycans and pyridyl disulfide-modified surfaces, was also successful.\textsuperscript{97,98} Nevertheless, the possible oxidation of the thiol due to exposure to air can create problems.

![Fig. 5 Important coupling reactions for site-specific covalent bond formation. (A) Thiol-functionalized sugar and maleimide surface; (B) amine-functionalized sugar and N-hydroxysuccinimide ester surface; (C) free reducing end glycan and hydrazide surface.](image-url)
The most widely used immobilization strategy employs amino-functionalized glycans and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) ester-coated surfaces (see Fig. 5B). The reaction between these two compounds under slightly basic conditions (pH ~ 8.5) leads to the formation of a very stable amide bond with very good selectivity.\textsuperscript{11,99–102} The NHS ester-coated glass slides are commercially available and the synthesis of amine-functionalized glycans follows standard protocols.\textsuperscript{103} Amine-modified glycans can be readily accessed also from automated strategies.\textsuperscript{35} Alternatively, the amino-modified glycans can be attached to cyanuric chloride-functionalized surfaces via nucleophilic aromatic substitution.\textsuperscript{104,105}

Unprotected linker-free glycans can be immobilized in a site-specific way, using hydrazide- (see Fig. 5C) or oxime-modified surfaces.\textsuperscript{106,107} These functional groups are highly nucleophilic and able to react easily with the reducing ends of the glycans to form stable adducts. Similarly, an aldehyde-functionalized surface and oxime-modified sugars were used to prepare glycosaminoglycan microarrays.\textsuperscript{108} Another glycosaminoglycan microarray was produced on an amine-coated surface, using a deaminated heparin, bearing an aldehyde functionality.\textsuperscript{109}

Epoxide-coated surfaces in combination with hydrazide-functionalized sugars offer a valuable alternative to form stable covalent bonds (see Fig. 6C).\textsuperscript{110–115} Moreover, epoxide-coated surfaces are very versatile and can be used in combination with many different nucleophiles such as amine- or thiol-conjugated carbohydrates (see Fig. 6A and B).\textsuperscript{116,117} Cycloadditions with dienophile-conjugated carbohydrates also show good selectivity and can be carried out under mild conditions. Diels–Alder reaction between a cyclopentadiene-linked
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**Fig. 6** Versatility of epoxide-coated surfaces. (A) Thiol-functionalized sugar; (B) amine-functionalized sugar; (C) hydrazide-functionalized sugar.
sugar and a benzoquinone-coated surface,\textsuperscript{118} as well as the very fast tetrazine–
norbornene inverse electron demand Diels–Alder reaction were applied.\textsuperscript{119} Nevertheless, the lack of long-term stability of some of these compounds limits their applications.

The copper-catalyzed azide–alkyne click reaction (CuAAC) was applied in glycan microarray production, because of its high selectivity and compatibility with a broad range of functional groups. Glycans functionalized with azide groups are coupled to alkyne-functionalized surfaces (or inverted functionalization).\textsuperscript{120–124} In addition, azide-modified glycans were used to prepare microarrays through chemoselective Staudinger ligation.\textsuperscript{125} Photochemical attachment of a 4-azido-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl-conjugated sugar to a polymer monolayer offered a very mild alternative.\textsuperscript{80,126} After spotting the compound onto the surface, irradiation with UV light converts the azide functionality to a reactive nitrene species, which is able to react with the polymer monolayer to from a stable covalent bond.

4. Multivalent presentation

Carbohydrate–protein interactions are very weak. However, usually multiple simultaneous interactions between several carbohydrate ligands and one receptor occur, which increases the binding strength. This concept is called multivalency. For a multivalent interaction to take place, the spatial distribution and orientation of the sugar groups are crucial.\textsuperscript{127–129} To translate this to carbohydrate microarrays, the glycan presentation and, especially the density and orientation, need to be considered in detail.

In conventional array platforms, single monovalent glycans are randomly attached to the array surface via a linker, yielding a certain – but uncontrolled – multivalent display, which may be sufficient to elicit a high-avidity binding event. These systems usually rely on two-dimensional arrangements of monovalent glycans, with very little control over spatial organization. However, carbohydrate–protein interactions vary quite significantly and high glycan density may either enhance it via multivalency or suppress it via steric hindrance. Therefore, several studies were conducted to identify the optimal presentation of carbohydrates by varying the glycan concentration during printing and the flexibility of the attachment point.\textsuperscript{130,131} To date, full control on spatial organization is still a big challenge and clustering effects can cause un reproducible results.

To improve control over glycan presentation, multivalent glycoconjugates with various valencies and spatial arrangements have been designed and immobilized on arrays. scaffolds, based on natural glycoproteins, neoglycoproteins/neoglycopeptides, glycodendrimers, multivalent display on DNA, glycoclusters, and glycopolymers, have been used (Fig. 7).\textsuperscript{13,132–144}

Natural glycoproteins, such as the heavily glycosylated mucins, were used as multivalent glycan systems for the production of arrays. This microarray retained the three-dimensional presentation of mucin oligosaccharides, without modifications of the protein backbone and permitted the discovery of biologically important motifs for bacterial–host interactions.\textsuperscript{445} A similar approach uses natural proteins, such as bovine serum albumin (BSA) or human serum albumin (HSA), for the production of neoglycoproteins/neoglycopeptides (proteins or peptides with glycans covalently attached via non-native linkage), which display multiple copies of each glycan. Presynthesized glycoconjugates can be
Fig. 7 Schematic glycan presentation on microarrays. (A) High density arrangement of glycans. (B) Low density arrangement of glycans. (C) Multivalent glycoconjugates to modulate glycan presentation on microarray surfaces.

immobilized on epoxide slides. This strategy permits immobilization of both synthetic carbohydrates as well as natural carbohydrates, presented on glycoproteins. Important factors that affect the binding are the number of glycans on a neoglycopeptide, the linker length between the individual sugars, the distance between neoglycopeptide probes on the surface, and the type of protein.\textsuperscript{113,146–148} These parameters can be tuned to affect the recognition process. Variations in the neoglycoprotein density revealed differences in specificity for antibodies that were not apparent at low density.\textsuperscript{149}

Oligonucleotide hybridization permits to tailor spatial geometry. The rigidity of the double strand nucleic acid with well-defined nucleotide spacing permits to adjust the ligand presentation on this supramolecular scaffold.\textsuperscript{150–152} Similarly, peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) have been used to tag glycans and evaluate their multivalent interactions with lectins. From an assembly stand-point, stable PNA–DNA duplexes can be achieved with shorter sequences than the corresponding DNA homoduplexes (10–14-mer PNA typically provides sufficient duplex stability).\textsuperscript{153}
Chemical ligation of sugars at different positions within a PNA oligomer has been achieved by using thiol moieties embedded in the backbone of the PNA, chemoselectively conjugated to a maleimide-glycan. DNA microarrays permitted the combinatorial pairing of diverse PNA-tagged glycan conjugates. The use of adjacent hybridization sites produced assemblies, emulating the diversity of di-, tri- and tetra-antennary glycans, mimicking the geometry of the HIV gp120 glycan epitope. The combinatorial synthesis of an extended library of PNA-encoded glycoconjugates represents the largest array of heteroglycan conjugates reported to date.

Unnatural scaffolds, like dendrimers, were used for microarray analyses of CPIs. Well-defined 3D saccharide arrangements on microarrays were constructed upon covalent binding of the dendrimers to the chip surface. Carbohydrates were attached to the dendrimer arm via “click” chemistry, prior or following the attachment of the whole construct to the chip. The multivalency can be precisely controlled with the structure of the glycodendrimer, with valencies ranging from one to eight sugars. Other unnatural alternatives used for multivalent presentation are glyoclusters. Calix[4]arenes are a suitable platform that can be easily derivatized at the upper and lower rims, resulting in well-organized three-dimensional architectures. With such systems, the primary importance of the spatial arrangement, compared to the number of carbohydrate residues, was highlighted.

The importance of spatial orientation was observed by 16 different fucosylated glycomimetics, bearing one to eight fucose moieties, synthesized with antenna-like, linear (or comb-like), or crown-like arrangements. Binding properties using DNA directed immobilization (DDI)-based glycan microarrays showed that no chelate effect was present, with a one to one interaction between fucose and the lectin. Synthetic glycopolymers have been used to generate mucin-like structures which, as do natural ones, possess rigid extended structures. Polymers of low polydispersity, displaying α-GalNAc residues, were produced by reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization. This new class of orthogonally end-functionalized mucin-mimetics was printed on a microarray, where GalNAc valency and interligand spacing could be controlled. This system again proved that glycan valency and organization are critical parameters that determine the modes through which these interactions occur.

5. Characterization and binding measurements

The readout of a glycan microarray is an important step to obtain precise and convincing data. To detect binding events of glycan binding proteins (GBPs) or successful enzymatic glycosylations on the array, different methods are available. The most frequently applied method is the detection of fluorescently-labeled binders, which directly or indirectly bind to the glycans on the microarray (see Fig. 8). The binding event can be visualized with a fluorescence scanner in several ways: either the GBP is fluorescently labelled or a fluorescently tagged secondary reagent (e.g. antibody) is used to bind to the GBP or to a tag (e.g. biotin, His tag) on the GBP. As discussed, multivalency plays a crucial role for CPIs and the glycan density on the microarray is essential to achieve differential binding. If the density is too low, the GBPs are sometimes unable to properly bind to the glycans, which results in a loss of signals and thus to misleading results. Additional
problems can be caused by the label, which can reduce the activity or influence the selectivity of the GBPs. Unfortunately, indirect labeling of GBPs is often not possible, because fluorescently-labeled secondary reagents are not available.

Mass spectrometry is a label-free method to monitor chemical or enzymatic glycosylations directly on an array. Thiol-linked sugars were deposited on a gold surface, whereby self-assembled monolayers are formed. Elongation reactions were then monitored by an on-slide mass spectrometry technique named SAMDI-TOF-MS. With a similar non covalent approach, glycosylation of carbohydrates immobilized on modified gold surfaces using van der Waals forces between aliphatic or perfluorinated carbon chains was monitored. Multiple detection techniques could be used as proven by a multifunctional microarray platform consisting of a glass surface coated with an indium-tin oxide layer. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry, fluorescence spectroscopy, and optical microscopy can be employed on the same surface.

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) imaging is an alternative label-free method for the analysis of glycan microarrays that allows for determination of the thickness of layers on a metal surface in the nanometer range. SPR has the advantage of real-time monitoring of GBP binding events, which allows for measuring of kinetic and thermodynamic parameters. Metal surfaces (e.g. gold) are mandatory for this approach to excite surface plasmons within the metal by irradiation with polarized light. SPR was used to screen interactions between GBPs and glycans of the pathogen Schistosoma mansoni. BSA–mannose-conjugates with different mannose substituents were attached to a gold surface and incubated with ConA to measure $K_D$ values and relate it to multivalency. Additionally, SPR permitted to identify ligand specificity of plant lectins and to better understand siglec-8 (ref. 76) or ConA binding specificities.

The above mentioned analysis technologies are the most common, but many others, such as evanescent-field fluorescence, ellipsometry, electro-chemoluminescence, detection of radioactivity, oblique-incidence reflectivity (OF-RD) microscopy, frontal affinity chromatography, isothermal calorimetry, and cantilever-based detection exist. Nevertheless, multivalency cannot be detected directly with one of the analytical techniques. Experiments using multivalent scaffolds have to be compared to those with the monovalent analogue. New technologies to systematically vary the glycan density directly on the microarray are required, to understand multivalent events.
6. Conclusions and outlook

The direct in situ synthesis on surfaces is already well-established and commercialized for oligonucleotides (e.g., Affymetrix, Agilent, Illumina) and peptides (e.g., Intavis, JPT, PEPperPRINT). A big part of this success is based on the enabling technologies, that had a major impact on high-throughput analysis and screening. To translate these technologies to in situ glycan synthesis will be far more challenging: to date, only the chemical synthesis of disaccharides on a "macroarray" surface has been shown, whereas enzymatic synthesis is more promising. Furthermore, multivalency is usually neglected in oligonucleotide and peptide synthesis.

In contrast, multivalency is essential for GBPs, because of the naturally weak ($K_D \sim \mu m$) protein–glycan affinity, compensated by multiple binding sites. Since the advent of glycan microarrays, the main focus has been on the analysis of glycans on surfaces, with less interest in the control of molecular density and spacing. Yet, a defined way of presenting glycans on microarrays is the key step to strong GBP binding. Therefore, strategies are required to display glycans in a molecularly defined spatial order.

Different scaffolds or density variations have been proposed and quite successfully applied for multivalent glycan display. Especially, the density variation presentation on surfaces leads to random and non-homogeneous systems, which lack reproducibility. Most scaffolds offer defined spacing, but
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**Fig. 9** Peptide array with peptide tetramers, synthesized via laser transfer, derivatized with up to four α-d-mannose azides clicked to the peptide backbone. Sixteen different peptides (quadruplicate spots) show differential lectin (ConA) binding, due to different multivalent display.
lack flexibility, because the spacing cannot be changed easily. An elegant solution is DNA technology to display glycans. Only recently, this was shown for the display different molecules. Using DNA-origami structures as scaffolds, multiple glycan structures can be placed in a wide variety of 2D and 3D configurations at exact positions in a controlled and reproducible way. Moreover, it may be used to exactly space the glycans to generate a perfectly matching template for the binding sites of multivalent GBPs. Thereby, control in the screening processes for pathogen interaction with a large variety of structures is possible.

The defined generation of many diverse scaffolds with defined glycan spacing will be one of the future research goals in glycan array technology. Progress in the field of in situ synthesis of scaffolds has been made. By growing brush-like glycopolymers directly on the surface via in situ photo-polymerization, glycan microarrays with multivalent display were generated. Different polymer lengths were produced with different amounts of sugar units on the polymer scaffold, by changing the irradiation time.

We recently employed a novel laser-based transfer setup to generate peptide scaffolds for multivalent display. We synthesized arrays of peptide tetramers, containing all 16 possible sequences of t-glycine and l-propargylglycine. The propargylglycine offers an alkyne group for copper catalyzed click chemistry to attach up to four glycan azides to the peptide backbone. Depending on the amount and position of the 2-d-mannose, we obtained differential binding of the lectin concanavalin A (see Fig. 9). This approach may serve as a basis to generate large and complex compound collections for the multivalent display of many different glycans in an orthogonal synthesis strategy. With our laser-based approach, molecules can be synthesized directly on surfaces step-by-step, by “printing” and stacking solid polymer nanolayers, which embed all kinds of different chemicals and building blocks. Especially for peptide synthesis and applications in disease research, this offers a rapid strategy to generate diverse microarrays. In the future, this technology may be exploited for the in situ synthesis of glycopeptides, glycans, and DNA in a microarray format.

A large gap remains in the multivalent display and analysis of complex glycans that needs to be filled. Advances accessing glycans and their synthesis and immobilization on surfaces show promising directions for future glycan microarray research. Precisely defined multivalent arrangements on DNA or other structural scaffolds will enable the identification of cooperative effects between identical or diverse collections of glycans. Simultaneously, novel tools based on the presentation of single or multiple glycan molecules in specific arrangements and stoichiometry on the surfaces will be developed.

In the future, newly developed platforms will enable highly parallelized screenings, testing tens of thousands of combinations simultaneously in a microarray-based assay format. The field of protein–glycan interactions will benefit as researchers will be able to uncover the conformation of glycans in a biological environment and open new roads to develop efficient vaccines.
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