

CORRECTION

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)



Cite this: *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts*, 2019, **21**, 904


DOI: 10.1039/c9em90019e  
[rsc.li/espi](http://rsc.li/espi)

## Correction: Why is high persistence alone a major cause of concern?

Ian T. Cousins,<sup>a</sup> Carla A. Ng,<sup>b</sup> Zhanyun Wang<sup>c</sup> and Martin Scheringer<sup>\*d</sup>

Correction for 'Why is high persistence alone a major cause of concern?' by Ian T. Cousins *et al.*, *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/c8em00515j.

In the original article, some of the concentration values on the y-axis of Fig. 2(ii) were incorrect. The corrected Fig. 2 is shown below.



**Fig. 2** Concentrations of chemicals C (panel (i)) and D (panel (ii)) as function of time in the scenario with dynamic emissions. For both chemicals, emissions start in year 0, increase by  $10 \text{ mol h}^{-1}$  every year, peak in year 10 at a value of  $100 \text{ mol h}^{-1}$ , then decrease by  $10 \text{ mol h}^{-1}$  every year, and end in year 20. Note the much higher levels of chemical D compared to chemical C.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Environmental Science and Analytical Chemistry (ACES), Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>b</sup>Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, 3700 O'Hara St, Pittsburgh, PA 15261, USA

<sup>c</sup>Chair of Ecological Systems Design, Institute of Environmental Engineering, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>d</sup>Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, ETH Zürich, Universitätstr. 16, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland. E-mail: scheringer@usys.ethz.ch