

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 3052

Copper(II) self-assembled clusters of bis((pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)alkanes. Unusual rearrangement of ligands under reaction conditions†

Alexey Gusev,^a Ivan Nemeč,^{b,c} Radovan Herchel,^b Victor Shul'gin,^a Irina Ryush,^a Michail Kiskin,^d Nickolay Efimov,^d Elena Ugolkova,^d Vadim Minin,^d Konstantin Lyssenko,^e Igor Eremenko^d and Wolfgang Linert^{*f}

The reaction of two structurally related bridging ligands bis[5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole-3-yl]methane (H₂L1) and bis[5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole-3-yl]ethane (H₂L2) with copper(II) salts resulted in a surprising wide variety of complex structures [Cu₂(H₂L1)Cl₂]Cl₂·4CH₃OH (**1**), [Cu₄(L1)₄]·4H₂O (**2**), [Cu(H₂L2)(ClO₄)₂] (**3**) and [Cu₃(OH)Na₂(L')₆](ClO₄)·5H₂O·C₃H₆O (**4**), where HL' is 3,5-bis-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole, which were structurally characterized by the X-ray diffraction method. Complexes **1** and **2** were prepared on the H₂L1 basis and have binuclear and tetranuclear structures, respectively, demonstrating strong impact of the type of counter anion on the coordination mode of the ligand. In contrast, the reaction between Cu(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O and H₂L2 led to the preparation of mononuclear complex **3**. The reaction of H₂L2 with Cu(ClO₄)₂ under alkaline conditions led to oxidative rearrangement of the ligand and the homoleptic pentanuclear complex **4** with anionic ligand L' was prepared. Magnetic properties were studied for compounds **1**, **2** and **4** and for all of them the antiferromagnetic interactions between the Cu atoms were confirmed and analyzed by the spin Hamiltonian formalism. Furthermore, the occurrence of the anti-symmetric exchange was confirmed in **4**. The magnetic data analysis was supported by the X-band EPR measurements performed for complexes **1**, **2** and **4**.

Received 6th December 2018,
Accepted 4th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8dt04816a

rsc.li/dalton

1. Introduction

Current significant interest within supramolecular chemistry involves the design and synthesis of new ligand molecules to control the outcome of metal-assisted self-assembly processes.¹ For this purpose, flexible ditopic ligands have

attracted recent attention. Fine tuning of these ligand systems has resulted in the isolation of many structural types including grids, boxes, cylinders, various types of molecular polyhedra and helicates.²

Significant progress has been achieved in the investigation of polynuclear complexes based on relatively simple bis(pyrazolyl-pyridine) bridging ligands and transition metal dications.³ M. Ward and coworkers have reported an extensive series of high-nuclearity cages based on self-assembly of the abovementioned ligands including an impressive number of polyhedral shapes of polynuclear complexes, e.g. M₄L₆ tetrahedra, M₆L₉ trigonal prisms, M₈L₁₂ cubes and 'cuneane', M₁₂L₁₈ truncated tetrahedra, and M₁₆L₂₄ tetra-capped truncated tetrahedra.⁴

Surprisingly, despite the attractive features of the nitrogen-rich triazole unit (e.g., multiple interaction modes, limited steric hindrance, moderate ligand field), the self-assembled structures have been much less studied for compounds with pyridyl-1,2,4-triazole ligands. It is noteworthy that the bis[5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole-3-yl]alkanes are excellent multidentate flexible ligands to construct supramolecular coordination complexes with various structures. They exhibit a strong chelate

^aGeneral and Physical Chemistry Department, V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University, Acad. Vernadsky av. 4, Simferopol, 295007, Crimea.

E-mail: galex0330@gmail.com

^bDepartment of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, 17. listopadu 1192/12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic

^cRegional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, 17. listopadu 12, Olomouc, Czech Republic

^dN.S. Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 119991, Russia

^eA. N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991 Moscow, Russia

^fInstitute of Applied Synthetic Chemistry, Vienna University of Technology, Getreidemarkt 9/163-AC, A-1060 Vienna, Austria.

E-mail: wolfgang.linert@tuwien.ac.at

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1860806–1860809. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c8dt04816a



effect arising from the presence of the adjacent heterocyclic rings and furthermore, they can adopt various conformations due to large rotational flexibility of four aromatic rings, which may contribute to the preparation of complexes with interesting structures. Recent studies demonstrated that use of flexible alkyl spacers in linking pyridyltriazolyl chelating arms leads to the formation of novel polynuclear clusters with an exciting topology and structure.⁵

In this work, we present four novel Cu(II) complexes based on recently described^{5a} bis((pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methane (H₂L1) and 1,2-bis((pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)ethane (H₂L2) ligands. Both ligands have two interrelated characteristic features, a flexible backbone and the possibility to fulfill variable coordination modes, so that, depending on the coordination requirements. Varying the reaction conditions (counter-ions and pH value) allowed us to successfully isolate new complexes for the previously described ligands.

2. Results and discussion

Structure of complexes

In our previous studies,⁵ the products of the reaction between H₂L1 and copper perchlorate were investigated. It was shown that by varying the molar ratio between the reagents we were able to prepare the tetranuclear complexes with different degrees of ligand protonation: [Cu₄(H₂L1)₄]⁸⁺ and [Cu₄(HL1)₄]⁴⁺, respectively. In all cases, the perchlorate anions are non-coordinating and occupy the cavities of the crystalline lattice. In this paper, we investigated the interaction of a bis(5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methane (H₂L1) with two different copper salts containing potentially coordinating anions – CuCl₂·2H₂O and Cu(CH₃COO)₂·H₂O.

The complex **1** was formed upon reaction between H₂L1 and CuCl₂·2H₂O in a 1:1 molar ratio. In contrast to the previous studies the H₂L1 ligand now acts as a bis-bidentate bridging ligand in the dinuclear double helicate [Cu₂(H₂L1)Cl₂]Cl₂, in which two ligands are wrapped helically around a pair of metal ions. The crystal structure of **1** is shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1 Molecular structure of **1**. Counter-anions and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (in Å): Cu1–N1 1.970(3), Cu1–N8 1.989(3), Cu1–N4 2.091(3), Cu1–N5 2.187(3), and Cu1–Cl1 2.2709.

The Cu...Cu separation is 6.083(2) Å and this reflects the length and flexibility of the methylene spacer group of the H₂L1 ligand. The Cu(II) ions are five coordinated by two bidentate N-donor ligand fragments and one chlorido ligand. According to Addison classification, the coordination polyhedra of the Cu(II) atoms adopt distorted square pyramidal geometry (SPY, the parameter τ is 0.36).⁶ The heavy distortion from the ideal SPY coordination geometry arises from the very non-equivalent Cu–N bond lengths: $d(\text{Cu–N}_{\text{Py}}) = 1.989$ and 2.091 Å, $d(\text{Cu–N}_{\text{Tz}}) = 1.970$ and 2.187 Å (N_{Py} stands for pyridine nitrogen atoms and N_{Tz} stands for triazole nitrogen atoms). Both near-planar pyridyltriazolyl fragments are twisted relative to each other by the angle of 69.88(4)°. The angle C(5)–C(1)–C(6), which represents the flexure of the methylene 'belt' within the dimer, was found to be 112.64(3)° on each strand, suggesting that minimal distortion of the ligand is required in order to conform to the helical topology for similar ligands.⁷

Replacement of the chloride ligand by acetate-anions led to the preparation of tetranuclear complex **2** in which the H₂L1 ligand is fully deprotonated (L1²⁻) in contrast to previous studies.^{5,8} The molecular structure of **2** is shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. S1†

The complex [Cu₄(L1)₄]·6H₂O·2.8MeOH (**2**) is a homoleptic [2 × 2] grid involving four copper(II) centres bridged by the μ -N1,





Fig. 2 (above) Molecular structure of **2** with highlighted Cu₄ core. Counter-anions, H-atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. (below) The asymmetric unit of **2**. Selected bond lengths (in Å). Cu1–N1 1.912(4), Cu1–N7 1.974(3), Cu1–N6 2.040(4), Cu1–N4 2.136(3), and Cu1–N8 2.254(4).



N2-triazolyl units. All the copper atoms are pentacoordinated with the N₅ donor set. Each copper(II) atom displays slightly distorted square-pyramidal geometry with the nitrogen atom from the pyridine ring in the axial position ($\tau = 0.13$). Four copper(II) centres are arranged into an unusual Cu₄N₈ trigonal pyramidal core (Fig. S1). The [2 × 2] array of Cu(II) ions has the Cu...Cu separations of 4.031–4.471 Å, which are slightly longer than in the case of the complexes involving protonated forms of the ligand (3.93–3.98 Å for [Cu₄(H₂L1)]⁸⁺ and 3.97–4.06 Å for [Cu₄(HL1)]⁴⁺)⁵ and these values are typical of the μ -triazolyl coordination mode.⁸ The ligand strands are oriented in a “head-to-tail” arrangement at the Cu(II) sites; the “head” and “tail” terms refer to the tridentate, and bidentate donor pockets, respectively. The L1²⁻ ligand has a substantially planar structure with the average deviation of the atoms from their best-fit mean plane of 0.13 Å.

The reaction of H₂L2 with Cu(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O in MeOH afforded a blue solution from which the [Cu(H₂L2)(ClO₄)₂] (3) compound was obtained. X-ray crystallography (Fig. 3) showed that in 3 the Cu ion has the CuN₄O₂ coordination environment with the H₂L2 ligand acting as an equatorial tetradentate chelator ($d(\text{Cu}-\text{N}_{\text{pyr}}) = 2.0631(17)$ Å, $d(\text{Cu}-\text{N}_{\text{tr}}) = 1.9690(17)$ Å) and with two perchlorate ligands in axial positions. The coordination geometry is an axially elongated octahedron due to the Jahn–Teller effect ($d(\text{Cu}-\text{O}) = 2.521(2)$ Å). The two bidentate pyridyltriazolyl arms are not quite coplanar, which can be described by the angle 9.77° between the two mean planes involving each bidentate fragment. It appears that the elongation of the bridging aliphatic part between the two coordinating fragments makes the tetradentate coordination mode more favorable, when compared with the related ones.⁵

A very interesting result was obtained by studying the reaction of H₂L2 with copper salts in an alkaline medium in an attempt to determine the coordination modes of the depro-

tonated form of 1,2-bis(5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)ethane. In order to achieve this goal we tried various ratios of reagents and different types of copper salts (CuCl₂·2H₂O, Cu(CH₃COO)₂·H₂O and Cu(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O) and bases (NaOH, MeONa, Et₃N). In all cases, unidentifiable mixtures of compounds were obtained. However, prolonged reflux (6 h) of H₂L2 in combination with two equivalents of Cu(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O and in the presence of an excess of NaOH allowed us to isolate the crystals of the unusual complex, which, according to elemental analysis and electrospray ionization (ESI) data, might have an approximate formula [Cu₃(OH)Na₂(L')₆](ClO₄) where HL' is 3,5-bis-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole. The ESI spectra of 4 contain several predominant peaks corresponding to the molecular ion and its decay products. A characteristic signal for a single charged ion at m/z 1586.9 is assigned to the species [Cu₃(OH)Na₂(L')₆]⁺, based on a reasonable agreement of the isotopic distribution pattern and this structural motif was further confirmed by the single-crystal XRD measurements. The presence of water and acetone molecules in the complex has been confirmed by thermogravimetric analysis. The TGA plot recorded for 4 shows two consecutive and distinct weight losses of 2.8% and 5.2% at about 55–60 °C and at 95–110 °C, respectively, and associated with the presence of one acetone molecule (calculated $m\ m^{-1}$ loss = 2.94%) and five water molecules (calculated $m\ m^{-1}$ loss = 4.91%) in the crystal packing of 4 (Fig. S2†).

By our assumption, the presence of a 3,5-bis-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazolate anion is associated with the oxidative degradation of H₂L2 in an alkaline medium and subsequent symmetrization. Both the perchlorate anion and copper ion could act as the oxidizing agents under reaction conditions. The formation of the 3,5-bis-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazolate-ligand from the H₂L1 can be rationalised by the mechanism depicted in Scheme 1. It should be noted that W.-Q. Lin and co-workers⁹ recently showed the possibility of spacer group oxidation in bis(5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)alkanes under hydrothermal conditions. Coordination compounds of transition metals on the 3,5-bis-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazolate anion basis are well known and are summarized in several reviews.⁸ However, the structure of complex 4 was not previously described.

Complex 4 crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ as a solvate with 11 water molecules (some solvate molecules that were disordered were removed by the SQUEEZE procedure to achieve reasonable refinements.¹⁰). Differences in the solvate composition for a polycrystalline sample and a single crystal are associated with partial desolvation of the sample upon





Fig. 3 Molecular structure of 3. Selected bond lengths (in Å): Cu1–N1 = 2.0631(17), Cu1–N2 = 1.9690(17), Cu1–O3 = 2.521(2).



Scheme 1 The schematic representations of the H₂L1 and H₂L2 ligands.



drying. The crystalline lattice of **4** consists of trinuclear $[\text{Cu}_3(\mu^3\text{-OH})\text{Na}_2(\text{L}')_6]^+$ complex molecules and perchlorate counter anions. The structure of **4** is shown in Fig. 4.

Three Cu and two Na cations define a trigonal-bipyramidal polyhedron, in which the Cu...Na, Cu...Cu, and Na...Na average distances are 4.564, 3.510, and 8.177 Å, respectively.

Six 3,5-bis-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazolate mono-deprotonated ligands wrap around the complex pentaheteronuclear cluster. Two homochiral $[\text{Na}(\text{L}')_3]$ units are placed in the apical positions of the molecule and they simultaneously coordinate the $\{(\mu^3\text{-OH})\text{Cu}_3\}^{5+}$ central core. In this manner, the ligands coordinate to the Cu_3Na_2 unit with helical arrangement, while the whole complex cluster has no intrinsic D_3 symmetry. Note that enantiomers ($\Delta\Delta$, $\Lambda\Lambda$) of the cation unit induced by the helical coordination arrangement of the homochiral unit coexist as a racemic form in the crystal.

The apical Na ions are in distorted octahedral environments with six nitrogen atoms from three 3,5-bis-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazolate ligands. The average Na- N_{py} and Na- N_{tz} bond lengths are 2.507 and 2.445 Å, respectively.

Three equatorial Cu(II) atoms possess the distorted N_4O trigonal-pyramidal geometry ($\tau = 0.76, 0.74, 0.68$), in which four nitrogen atoms come from the remaining coordination sites of two L'^- ligands (Fig. 4b). The structure of the trinuclear core is noticeably asymmetric, which is reflected in various Cu-O

bond lengths and Cu-Cu distances as well as Cu-O-Cu angles (Fig. S3.†). The oxygen atom from the hydroxido ligand is slightly raised above the plane (by 0.157 and 0.353 Å for two positions of the disordered OH-group) formed by three copper atoms. The phase purity of the bulk samples was confirmed by XRD analyses as shown in Fig. S4.†

Solvent water molecules form H-bonds with each other ($\text{O}\cdots\text{O}$ 2.712–3.299 Å), oxygen atoms of the ClO_4^- anion ($\text{O}_2\text{S}\cdots\text{O}_3$ 2.901 Å) and nitrogen atom of the triazole fragment ($\text{N}\cdots\text{O}$ 2.782–2.986 Å).

In summary, the geometry of a complex cation is similar to those described in previously reported studies,¹¹ in which two terminal triple-stranded $[\text{Na}(\text{L}')_3]$ units wrapped a planar $[\text{Cu}_3(\mu^3\text{-OH})]^{5+}$ core to form a bis(triple-helical) complex with trigonal-bipyramidal topology. The rigid bisbidentate L'^- ligand links one apical Na ion and one equatorial copper(II) ion in a *cis*-bridging mode.

Magnetic properties

Variable-temperature direct current magnetization data for polynuclear compounds **1**, **2**, and **4** were collected on powdered microcrystalline samples over a temperature range from 2 to 300 K and under an applied dc field of 5000 Oe. The magnetic data of dinuclear complex **1** are shown in Fig. 5. The significant decrease of the effective magnetic moment below 50 K and the presence of the maximum on the M_{mol} vs. T curve at $T = 6$ K confirm the weak antiferromagnetic exchange. Therefore, the following spin Hamiltonian was used for fitting the magnetic data

$$\hat{H} = -J(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2) + \mu_{\text{B}} \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{g}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i \quad (1)$$

for which a simple analytical formula can be derived as

$$M_{\text{mol}} = N_{\text{A}}\mu_{\text{B}}g \frac{e^{(J+x)/kT} - e^{(J-x)/kT}}{1 + e^{(J+x)/kT} + e^{J/kT} + e^{(J-x)/kT}} \quad (2)$$




Fig. 4 (a) Molecular structure of **4** (H atoms and counter-anion are omitted for clarity). (b) Coordination mode of 3,5-bis-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazolate ligands in **4**.




Fig. 5 Temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment (calculated from magnetization at $B = 0.5$ T) of **1** with the low-temperature region expanded in the inset. Circles are experimental points, lines are calculated using the best-fit parameters: $J = -6.4$ cm⁻¹, $g = 2.04$ and $\chi_{\text{TIP}} = 5.25 \times 10^{-9}$ m³ mol⁻¹.



where $x = \mu_B B g$. The analysis of the experimental data resulted in $J = -6.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g = 2.04$ and $\chi_{\text{TIP}} = 5.25 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (Fig. 5), where χ_{TIP} stands for the temperature-independent paramagnetism.

The magnetic properties of tetranuclear complex **2** are similar, the effective magnetic moment decreases on lowering the temperature practically to zero and there is also the maximum on the M_{mol} vs. T curve at $T = 70 \text{ K}$; all these data suggest the presence of the strong antiferromagnetic exchange. The most probable superexchange pathway leads through the bridging $\mu\text{-N1,N2}$ -triazolyl units; thus the following spin Hamiltonian was postulated

$$\hat{H} = -J(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 + \mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \mathbf{S}_3 + \mathbf{S}_3 \cdot \mathbf{S}_4 + \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_4) + \mu_B \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{g}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i \quad (3)$$

where four Cu(II) atoms with $S_i = 1/2$ result in 64 magnetic states. Here it is convenient to use the coupled basis set $|\alpha S M_S\rangle$, where α denote the intermediate quantum numbers representing the coupling path. When all local g -factors are equal, it is possible to treat only zero-field magnetic states $|\alpha S\rangle$ and with the help of the irreducible tensor operator's technique,¹² the energies $\varepsilon_{i,0}(\alpha S)$ of these states can be evaluated. Then, the magnetic levels can be calculated as $\varepsilon_j(\alpha S M_S) = \varepsilon_{i,0}(\alpha S) + \mu_B g M_S B$. Finally, the formula for the molar magnetization at any temperature and magnetic field can be applied as

$$M_{\text{mol}} = N_A \mu_B g \frac{\sum_j M_S \exp[-\varepsilon_j(\alpha S M_S)/kT]}{\sum_j \exp[-\varepsilon_j(\alpha S M_S)/kT]} \quad (4)$$

The best-fit to the experimental magnetic data was obtained with $J = -58.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $g = 2.09$ and the resulting energy levels are also depicted (Fig. 6).

It is interesting to compare magnetic properties of the complexes $[\text{Cu}_4(\text{H}_2\text{L1})(\text{ClO}_4)_8]$,^{5b} $[\text{Cu}_4(\text{HL1})(\text{ClO}_4)_4]$,^{5d} from our previous articles and $[\text{Cu}_4(\text{L1})_4]$ from the current work. Despite the general similarity, these complex exchange parameters are different: -70 , -53.7 and -58.7 cm^{-1} , respectively. All complexes are structurally tetranuclear and the main difference between them lies in the degree of protonation of the ligand which causes distortion of the Cu–N–N–Cu' bridge fragment. From the literature¹⁸ it is known that the absolute J value decreases with the asymmetry of the Cu–N–N–Cu' framework. Asymmetry of the bridge could be evaluated as the Cu–N–N and N–N–Cu' angle difference – here noted as Δ . Notable that the highest value Δ (10.83°) is observed for the complex $[\text{Cu}_4(\text{HL1})(\text{ClO}_4)_4]$ for which the value of the exchange parameter is the smallest in this series and, in contrast, the lowest value of Δ (8.35°) corresponds to the maximum value of the J -parameter in $[\text{Cu}_4(\text{H}_2\text{L1})(\text{ClO}_4)_8]$.

The magnetic data of trinuclear complex **4** displayed in Fig. 7 exhibit very strong antiferromagnetic exchange, as it is evident from the room temperature value of the effective magnetic moment ($2.3\mu_B$), which is significantly lower than the




Fig. 6 Top: Temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment (calculated from magnetization at $B = 0.5 \text{ T}$) of **2** with the low-temperature region expanded in the inset. Circles = experimental points, lines = calculated using the best-fit parameters: $J = -58.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $g = 2.09$. Bottom: The calculated energy levels according to spin S .

theoretical value of $3.0\mu_B$ for three non-interacting spin centers ($S_i = 1/2$ with $g = 2.0$). The effective magnetic moment is constantly lowering on cooling down to $\approx 100 \text{ K}$, and then the plateau with a value of $1.8 \mu_B$ is reached in the temperature range from 80 to 40 K, which agrees well with the $S = 1/2$ ground state. However, further cooling results in a drop of μ_{eff} down to $1.1\mu_B$ at $T = 2 \text{ K}$. This drop can be explained by the antisymmetric exchange among copper atoms within the triangle arrangement. The concept of this interaction was developed by Dzyaloshinsky and Moriya,¹³ recently reviewed,¹⁴ and is typical of antiferromagnetically coupled trinuclear copper complexes.^{11,15} Then, the suitable spin Hamiltonian is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = & -J_{12}(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2) - J_{23}(\mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \mathbf{S}_3) - J_{13}(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_3) + \mathbf{d}_{12}(\mathbf{S}_1 \times \mathbf{S}_2) \\ & + \mathbf{d}_{23}(\mathbf{S}_2 \times \mathbf{S}_3) + \mathbf{d}_{31}(\mathbf{S}_3 \times \mathbf{S}_1) + \mu_B \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{g}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{d}_{ij} are the corresponding antisymmetric vectors $\mathbf{d}_{ij} = (d_x, d_y, d_z)_{ij}$. The $\mu_3\text{-O-Cu}_3$ triangle can be approximated as equilateral, because the O–Cu–O angles ($119\text{--}120^\circ$), Cu–O distances ($2.00\text{--}2.06 \text{ \AA}$) and Cu...Cu distances ($3.49\text{--}3.53 \text{ \AA}$) are in the narrow range. Therefore, we can assume that $J_{12} = J_{23} = J_{13} = J$ and $\mathbf{d}_{12} = \mathbf{d}_{23} = \mathbf{d}_{31} = \mathbf{d}$. Moreover, the vector \mathbf{d} can be simpli-






Fig. 7 Top: Temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment (calculated from magnetization at $B = 0.5$ T) of **4** with the low-temperature region expanded in the inset and the isothermal magnetization data measured at $T = 2, 3$ and 5 K. Circles are experimental points, blue lines are calculated using the best-fit parameters: $J = -213$ cm^{-1} and $g = 2.02$, red lines = calculated using the best-fit parameters: $J_{12} = -236$ cm^{-1} , $J_{13} = J_{23} = -223$ cm^{-1} , $g = 2.05$ and $|d_z| = 16.8$ cm^{-1} . Bottom: The calculated energy levels in magnetic field using $J = -213$ cm^{-1} and $g = 2.02$ (blue color) and $J_{12} = -236$ cm^{-1} , $J_{13} = J_{23} = -223$ cm^{-1} , $g = 2.05$ and $|d_z| = 16.8$ cm^{-1} (red color).

fied to $\mathbf{d} = (0, 0, d_z)$ according to Moriya rules. Thus, we are left with three free parameters, J , g and d_z . Here, the local basis set $|S_1 M_1\rangle |S_2 M_2\rangle |S_3 M_3\rangle$ was utilized and the molar magnetization was calculated as

$$M_{\text{mol},a} = N_A k T \left(\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial B_a} \right)_T \quad (6)$$

where Z is the partition function and the direction of the magnetic field is defined as $B_a = B(\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$. Then, the averaged molar magnetization of the powder sample was calculated as the integral (orientational) average

$$M_{\text{mol}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi M_{\text{mol},a} \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \quad (7)$$

First, the experimental data were analyzed without introducing the antisymmetric exchange (ASE), which resulted in $J = -213$ cm^{-1} and $g = 2.02$; however, this model cannot account for low temperature data as it is evident from Fig. 7. Therefore, the ASE was included into the fitting procedure. The best-fits were acquired with $J_{12} = -236$ cm^{-1} , $J_{13} = J_{23} = -223$ cm^{-1} , $g = 2.05$ and $|d_z| = 16.8$ cm^{-1} (Fig. 7) or alternatively with $J_{12} = -218$ cm^{-1} , $J_{13} = J_{23} = -231$ cm^{-1} , $g = 2.05$ and

$|d_z| = 16.7$ cm^{-1} (Fig. S5†). It must be noted that the equilateral model with $J_{12} = J_{23} = J_{13} = J$ was unable to concurrently describe temperature and field dependent magnetic data for **4** and therefore the isosceles model was applied. The respective energy levels are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. S5,† where the energy pattern corresponds to two $S = 1/2$ and one $S = 3/2$ spin states split due to the antiferromagnetic coupling. Also, it is apparent that the ASE generates large magnetic anisotropy of two $S = 1/2$ levels, which can be quantified by calculating the g -factors for the lowest Kramers doublets using effective spin $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$, which results in $g_{xy} = 0.83$ and $g_z = 2.05$.

EPR studies

The X-band EPR spectra of complexes **1** and **2** were recorded on powder samples at room and 50 K temperatures. The magnetic exchange properties of the polynuclear Cu(II) species resulting from the antiferromagnetic interactions very often cause no EPR signals to be observed at room temperature (apparently, because relaxation phenomena hamper the observation) and only badly resolved spectra at low temperatures. In the present case, the room temperature EPR spectra of title complexes exhibit anisotropic signals (Fig. 8 and Fig. S6†) typical of square pyramidal/trigonal-bipyramidal Cu(II) centres and show a poorly resolved rhombic feature both at room temperature and at 50 K.

The EPR spectra of polycrystalline samples **1** and **2** contain anisotropic resonances in the half-field region at 1600 G, assignable to a forbidden transition ($\Delta M_S = \pm 2$, $g \approx 4.2$), as well as anisotropic signals around the typical 3200 G region ($\Delta M_S = \pm 1$).

The spectra are described by a rhombically distorted spin Hamiltonian with a fine structure

$$\hat{H} = \beta(g_x S_x H_x + g_y S_y H_y + g_z S_z H_z) + D(S_z^2 - S(S+1)/3) + E(S_x^2 - S_y^2) \quad (8)$$

where $S = 1, 2$ is the total spin for the tetramer **2** and $S = 1$ for the dimer **1**, S_x , S_y , and S_z are the projections of the total spin




Fig. 8 Experimental and simulated X-band EPR spectra of a polycrystalline sample of complex **2** at 50 K.



Table 1 Values of D and E derived from the spin Hamiltonian (8), along with g -tensor components derived from the spin Hamiltonians for complexes **1** and **2**

Complex	S	T	g_x	g_y	g_z	D (cm ⁻¹)	E (cm ⁻¹)
1	1	293	2.10	2.04	2.21	0	0
	2	50	2.01	2.17	2.25	0.2067	0.0645
2	1	293	2.01	2.17	2.25	0.2067	0.0645
	1	50	2.06	2.04	2.18	0.0421	0.0140
	2	293	2.07	2.04	2.18	0.0421	0.0140
	2	50	2.10	2.10	2.28	0.0290	0.0043
	2	293	2.08	2.08	2.27	0.0309	0.0037
	2	50	2.08	2.08	2.27	0.0309	0.0037

on the x , y , z axis, respectively, D and E are the components of the fine-interaction tensor, g_x , g_y , and g_z are the components of the g -tensor and H is the applied magnetic field. The best-fitted values are collected in Table 1.

The EPR spectrum of the tetramer was simulated *via* the Belford (eigenfield) method¹⁶ as the sum of the spectra of four complexes, three of which have spin 1 (but the parameters of the complexes with $E_2(1) = E_3(1) = 0$ were assumed to be identical) and one is spin 2. The complex concentrations in different spin states were calculated from Boltzmann's populations of the corresponding levels at given temperatures (Fig. 8). The best fit parameters D and E and the components of the g -tensor are given in Table 1.

Electron paramagnetic resonance spectra of the ground crystalline sample of **4** have been recorded at room temperature and at 2 K at X-band frequencies. At room temperature, the EPR spectrum of the trimer **4** contains one weak unresolved peak (Fig. S7†). Upon cooling to 2 K, however in addition to the main signal at $g = 2.04$ the EPR spectrum exhibits a second band at lower fields with $g = 1.01$ (Fig. 9). These features are associated with the presence of the antisymmetric exchange resulting in large anisotropy of the g -tensor of the ground state with the effective spin 1/2.¹¹ Moreover, the experimental value of $g_{xy} = 1.01$ is in good agreement with the value of $g_{xy} = 0.83$ obtained from simulation of the magnetic data.




Fig. 9 X-Band EPR spectra of a polycrystalline sample of complex **4** at 2 K.

3. Conclusions

In summary we have successfully synthesized diverse copper-based architectures using symmetric bis-chelating ligands bis((pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methane and 1,2-bis((pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)ethane. The crystal structures of four complexes were determined, and it was shown that both the conformational freedom and coordination flexibility of the semirigid ligands have an important influence on the resulting structures. One of the promising results features a reaction of 1,2-bis((pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)ethane and $\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2$ under alkaline conditions, which results in *in situ* rearrangements of the ligand to 3,5-bis(pyridine-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole. The antiferromagnetic interactions between the Cu(II) atoms of different strengths were found in the polynuclear complexes **1**, **2** and **4** including the antisymmetric exchange for trinuclear complex **4** evidenced also by X-band EPR spectroscopy.

4. Experimental

General

The reagents and solvents employed were commercially available and used as received without further purification. The C, H, and N microanalyses were carried out with a PerkinElmer 240 elemental analyser. Electrospray mass spectra of complexes were recorded on a Finnigan TSQ 700 mass spectrometer in the positive ion mode. Samples were prepared at a concentration of ~ 2 mg ml⁻¹ MeOH. Spectra were acquired over an m/z range of 50–2000; several scans were averaged to provide the final spectrum. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed with the use of a Quantum Design magnetometer/susceptometer PPMS-9 under an external magnetic field of 0.5 T in the temperature range of 2–300 K. The diamagnetic contributions of the samples were estimated from Pascal's constants. The X-band EPR spectra were recorded on an Elexsys E-680X radiofrequency spectrometer (Bruker). The commercially available, $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were used as reactants. The synthesis of $\text{H}_2\text{L1}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{L2}$ was described previously.⁵

Synthetic procedures

Preparation of complex 1. Bis(5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methane (0.304 g, 1 mmol) was suspended in a MeOH-water mixture (1 : 1 v/v) (10 cm³) and a solution of $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.171 g, 1 mmol) in MeOH (10 cm³) was added and the resulting blue solution was vigorously stirred for 1 h at 50 °C. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the solution was left to stand for a few days and yielded greenish blue X-ray-quality single crystals of **1**.

Data for $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{H}_2\text{L1})\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}_2 \cdot 4\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ (**1**): yield 51%. Anal. Found: C, 40.47; H, 4.12; N, 22.16%. Required for $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{40}\text{Cl}_4\text{Cu}_2\text{N}_{16}\text{O}_4$: C, 40.60; H, 4.01; N, 22.28%.

Preparation of complex 2. The same synthetic procedure as for **1** was used except that the $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was replaced by $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ giving sea-green X-ray-quality single crystals of **2**.



Data for $[\text{Cu}_4\text{L1}_4]\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2): yield 63%. Anal. Found: C, 47.08; H, 3.38; N, 29.24%. Required for $\text{C}_{60}\text{H}_{48}\text{Cu}_4\text{N}_{32}\text{O}_4$: C, 46.93; H, 3.15; N, 29.19%.

Preparation of complex 3. 1,2-Bis(5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)propane (0.318 g, 1 mmol) was suspended in a MeOH–water mixture (1:1 v/v) (10 cm^3) and a solution of $\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.378 g, 1 mmol) in MeOH (10 cm^3) was added. The resulting blue solution was vigorously stirred for 3 h at room temperature. Then, the solution was left to stand for a few days at room temperature and blue single crystals of X-ray quality precipitated.

Data for $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{L2})](\text{ClO}_4)_2$: yield 55%. Anal. Found: C, 33.22; H, 2.73; N, 19.10%. Required for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{14}\text{Cl}_2\text{CuN}_8\text{O}_8$: C, 33.08; H, 2.43; N, 19.29%.

Preparation of complex 4. 1,2-Bis(5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)propane (0.316 g, 1 mmol) was suspended in acetone water (1:1 v/v) (10 cm^3) and a solution of $\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.756 g, 2 mmol) in acetone water (1:1 v/v) (20 cm^3) was added and the resulting blue solution was vigorously stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Then, 2 ml of 30% NaOH solution (20 mmol) was added and the deep green reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 h. After cooling, the mixture was filtered and the solution was left to stand at room temperature. Big green X-ray-quality single crystals were collected after three days.

Data for $[\text{Cu}_3\text{OHNa}_2(\text{L}')_6](\text{ClO}_4)_5\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot \text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}$ (HL' – 3,5-bis-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole): yield 11%. Anal. Found: C, 48.88; H, 3.71; N, 23.03%. Required for $\text{C}_{75}\text{H}_{65}\text{ClCu}_3\text{Na}_2\text{N}_{30}\text{O}_{11}$: C, 49.10; H, 3.57; N, 22.90%.

Crystallography. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer with a CCD detector (1, 2 and 4) or an Xcalibur™2 diffractometer (Oxford Diffraction Ltd) with the Sapphire2 CCD detector (3), both the devices contained a monochromatic radiation source (MoK α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073\text{ \AA}$). The structures of complexes were solved by direct methods and refined in the full-matrix anisotropic approximation for all non-hydrogen atoms. Some hydrogen atoms were found in differential Fourier maps and their parameters were refined using the riding model. All the calculations were performed by direct methods and using the SHELX-97, SHELXL-2014/7 and SHELXL-2018/3 program packages.¹⁷ The crystallographic parameters and refinements are given in Table S1.† More details can be found in the ESI and in CCDC no. 1860806–1860809.† All of the structures suffered from disorder to some extent. In all cases, crystals exhibited the usual problems of this type of structure, namely, weak scattering due to a combination of poor crystallinity, extensive solvation, and disorder of anions/solvent molecules. Some solvent molecules in 4, which could not be localized, were removed by the SQUEEZE procedure.¹⁰ The structure 2 was solved with disordering of the O atom of MeOH molecules in two positions (0.2/0.5), restraints on O–C bond lengths (DFIX). The structure 4 was solved with O1 M atom disordering in two positions (0.55/0.45), restraints on bond lengths and angles as well as on displacement parameters (DFIX, FLAT, RIGU and SIMU). In 1 EADP constrain and DFIX restraints

were used for the refinement of partly occupied positions of solvate molecules. In each case, the basic structure and connectivity of the complex cation could be unambiguously determined, which is all that is required for the purposes of this work.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support from the Russian foundation for basic research (project no. 16-03-00386) and Russian science foundation (project no. 18-13-00024). MAK, NNE, EAU, VVM and ILE acknowledge the State Assignment on Fundamental Research of the Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry. IN would like to acknowledge financial support from The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic (LO1305). RH acknowledges the financial support from institutional sources of the Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Palacky University Olomouc, Czech Republic. Single crystal X-ray diffraction (compounds 2 and 4) were performed at the User Facility Centers of IGIC RAS.

Notes and references

- (a) J.-M. Lehn, *Science*, 2002, **295**, 2400; (b) J.-M. Lehn, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2002, **99**, 4763.
- (a) S. O. Scott, E. L. Gavey, S. J. Lind, K. C. Gordon and J. D. Crowley, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 12117; (b) U. R. Pokharel, F. R. Fronczek and A. W. Maverick, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 14064; (c) R. A. S. Vasdev, D. Preston and J. D. Crowley, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 2402; (d) A. M. Castilla, W. J. Ramsay and J. R. Nitschke, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 2063–2073; (e) N. J. Young and B. P. Hay, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 1354–1379; (f) M. M. J. Smulders, I. A. Riddell, C. Browne and J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 1728–1754; (g) M. L. Saha, S. De, S. Pramanik and M. Schmittel, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6860–6909.
- (a) L. N. Dawe, K. V. Shuvaev and L. K. Thompson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2334; (b) M. Fujita, M. Tominaga, A. Hori and B. Therrien, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 369; (c) D. Fiedler, D. H. Leung, R. G. Bergman and K. N. Raymond, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 349; (d) T. D. Hamilton and L. R. MacGillivray, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2004, **4**, 419; (e) A. L. Garay, A. Pichon and S. L. James, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 846; (f) S. Alvarez, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 2209.
- (a) M. D. Ward, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4487; (b) B. R. Hall, L. E. Manck, I. S. Tidmarsh, A. Stephenson, B. F. Taylor, E. J. Blaikie, D. A. Vander Griend and M. D. Ward, *Dalton*



- Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 12132; (c) A. Stephenson, S. P. Argent, T. Riis-Johannessen, I. S. Tidmarsh and M. D. Ward, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 858; (d) H. Fenton, I. S. Tidmarsh and M. D. Ward, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 4199; (e) S. P. Argent, H. Adams, T. Riis-Johannessen, J. C. Jeffery, L. P. Harding, W. Clegg, R. W. Harrington and M. D. Ward, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 2996; (f) A. M. Najjar, C. Avcı and M. D. Ward, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **15**, 126; (g) S. P. Argent, H. Adams, L. P. Harding, T. Riis-Johannessen, J. C. Jeffery and M. D. Ward, *New J. Chem.*, 2005, **29**, 904; (h) A. Stephenson, D. Sykes and M. D. Ward, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 6756.
- 5 (a) A. N. Gusev, V. F. Shulgin, E. Beyjyyev, G. G. Alexandrov, I. L. Eremenko and W. Linert, *Polyhedron*, 2015, **85**, 525; (b) A. N. Gusev, I. Nemeč, R. Herchel, E. Bayjyyev, G. A. Nyshchimenko, G. G. Alexandrov, I. L. Eremenko, Z. Travnicek, M. Hasegawa and W. Linert, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 7153; (c) A. N. Gusev, M. Hasegawa, G. A. Nishchymenko, V. F. Shulgin, S. B. Meshkova, P. Doga and W. Linert, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 6936; (d) A. N. Gusev, V. F. Shulgin, I. O. Ryush, M. Hasegawa, M. A. Kiskin, N. N. Efimov, K. A. Lyssenko, I. L. Eremenko and W. Linert, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 704.
- 6 A. W. Addison, T. N. Rao, J. Reedijk, J. van Rijn and G. C. Verschoor, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1984, 1349.
- 7 C. S. Hawes, C. M. Fitchett and P. E. Krüge, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2012, **24**, 553.
- 8 (a) J. G. Haasnoot, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **200–202**, 131; (b) G. Aromí, L. A. Barrios, O. Roubeau and P. Gamez, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 485.
- 9 W.-Q. Lin, Y.-Y. Peng, L. Tong, J.-H. Jia, J.-L. Liu, Y.-C. Chen, W.-B. Chen and M.-L. Tong, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2017, **12**, 2172.
- 10 A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **71**, 9.
- 11 (a) S. Ferrer, F. Lloret, E. Pardo, J. M. Clemente-Juan, M. Liu-González and S. García-Granda, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 985; (b) P. A. Angaridis, P. Baran, R. Boca, F. Cervantes-Lee, W. Haase, G. Mezei, R. G. Raptis and R. Werner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 2219; (c) C. Di Nicola, F. Garau, M. Gazzano, M. Monari, L. Pandolfo, C. Pettinari and R. Pettinari, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2010, **10**, 3120.
- 12 R. Boča, *A Handbook of Magnetochemical Formulae*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2012.
- 13 (a) I. Dzyaloshinsky, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 1958, **4**, 241; (b) T. Moriya, *Phys. Rev.*, 1960, **120**, 91.
- 14 R. Boča and R. Herchel, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 2973.
- 15 E. M. Zueva, M. M. Petrova, R. Herchel, Z. Trávníček, R. G. Raptis, L. Mathivathanan and J. E. McGrady, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 5924.
- 16 G. Belford, R. L. Belford and J. F. Burkhaven, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1973, **11**, 251.
- 17 (a) G. M. Sheldrick, *SADABS. Program for Scanning and Correction of Area Detector Data*, Göttingen Univ., Göttingen, 1997; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELX97. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures*, Göttingen Univ., Göttingen, 1997; (c) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 2008, **64**, 112.
- 18 S. Ferrer, P. J. van Koningsbruggen, J. G. Haasnoot, J. Reedijk, H. Kooijman, A. L. Spek, L. Lezama, A. M. Arif and J. S. Miller, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 4269.

