Ceria–zirconia encapsulated Ni nanoparticles for CO2 methanation†
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We prepared uniformly-sized Ni nanoparticles on ceria–zirconia (CZ) as model catalysts for CO2 methanation in the context of renewable energy storage. CZ was synthesized via a sol–gel method with Ni being introduced either via incipient-wetness impregnation on calcined CZ or as a colloidal Ni nanoparticle (NiNP) dispersion during sol–gel synthesis. Catalysts were characterized with XRD, N2 physisorption, H2-TPR, H2 chemisorption, XPS and HAADF-STEM with EDX mapping. The Ni/CZ (IWI) catalyst contained large Ni particles after reduction, whereas co-gelation led to NiNP encapsulated in CZ, the particles retaining their initial size of 4.5 nm obtained by the earlier colloidal synthesis. Encapsulated Ni@CZ exhibited superior catalytic activity and stability for CO2 methanation over Ni/CZ. A comparison of Ni@CZ with (encapsulated) Ni@SiO2 prepared from the same colloidal NiNP dispersion showed that CZ shows a strong synergy with Ni in CO2 methanation and results in an order of magnitude higher activity compared to SiO2.

1. Introduction

The impact of climate change and the search for renewable energy sources that can lower our carbon emissions has led to a resurgence of research into carbon dioxide (CO2) valorization over the last decade.1–4 In particular, interest in the Sabatier reaction has been the focus of several recent works and reviews, in which conversion of CO2 to methane (CH4) is regarded as a viable technology to store hydrogen (H2).5–8 To ensure the overall sustainability of this process, it is vital that the H2 is produced via efficient water electrolysis that is powered by renewable energy.9,10 Besides the higher energy density of CH4 compared to H2, such a Power-to-Gas strategy has the benefit that CH4 can be directly used in the existing natural gas infrastructure.11 In CO methanation, which is used at a practical scale for instance in steam methane reforming to produce pure H2 streams, Ni-based catalysts dispersed on oxidic supports are preferred due to their high activity and CH4 selectivity, and their considerably lower price than precious group metal ones.11,12 With respect to CO2 methanation (Sabatier reaction), Ni catalysts supported on Al2O3,13–15 SiO2,16,17 and TiO2,18 have all been investigated, and support effects have been shown to significantly influence the activity and stability of Ni-based methanation catalysts.19–21 In recent years, also CeO2 (ref. 22–29) and ZrO2 (ref. 29–33) supported Ni catalysts have been shown to be promising for CO2 methanation in comparison to conventional supports such as SiO2 or Al2O3. Reducible supports such as CeO2 and ceria–zirconia (CZ) are particularly advantageous due to their redox properties originating from Ce existing with oxidation states Ce3+ and Ce4+.34 These supports can generate oxygen vacancies during catalyst reduction which can facilitate CO2 activation.35 So far, only a few studies have dealt with CZ-supported Ni catalysts. Ocampo et al. were the first to study the catalytic activity and stability of ceria–zirconia supported Ni for CO2 methanation.36 Employing a sol–gel-type approach for catalyst preparation, the authors investigated the influence of Ni content (5–15 wt%) supported on Ce0.72Zr0.28O2 on the properties and catalytic behavior in the Sabatier reaction. All catalysts lost some activity over time, and lower loaded Ni catalysts deactivated the most over a 150 h stability test. Later studies sought to optimize Ce/Zr ratios and found that the highest methanation activity and stability was obtained for Ni catalysts dispersed on supports with Ce/Zr ratios close to unity.37 In addition, Aldana et al. compared the methanation activity of such sol–gel derived catalysts with impregnated Ni–CZ catalysts, emphasizing the advantage of the former preparation method with respect to activity and stability.38 Pan et al. also studied Ni–CZ catalysts with the...
aim to develop a mechanistic understanding of CO2 methanation,\textsuperscript{39} a subject that is still under intense debate and which is strongly dependent on the nature of the support.\textsuperscript{40,41} Elsewhere, Ni/CZ and other CZ-supported bimetal catalysts have been studied in plasma-assisted CO2 methanation catalysts.\textsuperscript{42–45}

Numerous synthetic procedures have been described in the literature to synthesize CZ-supported Ni catalysts for methanation reactions. The work by Ocampo et al. compared impregnation and sol–gel methods to obtain CZ-supported Ni particles sized between 10 and 30 nm. Sintering of the metallic Ni particles under CO2 methanation conditions led to a significant loss in catalytic activity.\textsuperscript{36,37,46} Ashok et al. prepared Ni/Co(0.5)Zr(0.5)O2 catalysts via impregnation, deposition–precipitation (DP), and ammonia evaporation and compared their activity in CO2 methanation. Catalysts prepared via ammonia evaporation were the most active and showed the highest selectivity towards methane.\textsuperscript{47} Interestingly, these findings contrast an earlier study by Pan et al., who found that impregnation led to better CO2 methanation catalysts than either DP or urea combustion.\textsuperscript{48} To the best of our knowledge, none of the recent works in which CZ was the support could accurately control the Ni particle size, and for all of these studies notable catalyst deactivation during CO2 methanation stability tests was reported. A direct side-by-side comparison of such systems is lacking.

While colloidal synthesis routes have long been proposed as a promising strategy to synthesize well-defined catalysts, to date, colloidal routes towards CZ-supported Ni catalysts have not yet been explored. Our recent work reported that colloidal Ni nanoparticles (NiNPs) can be synthesized with sizes in the 3–8 nm range by employing a seed-mediated approach.\textsuperscript{49} An effective strategy to support such NiNPs and obtain active hydrogenation catalysts was to encapsulate them in a silica (SiO2) support grown around the NiNPs. Work by Pu et al. highlighted the possibility of encapsulating Ni particles in supports other than SiO2 including Al2O3, TiO2, and CeO2, although the final particle sizes were significantly larger than those of conventional Ni-based hydrogenation catalysts.\textsuperscript{50} Encouraged by the superior activity, stability, and sinter-resistance of encapsulated NiNPs, it is worthwhile to extend this approach to other supports like CZ to produce more efficient Ni-based catalysts.

In this work, we report the preparation of well-defined CZ-supported NiNPs prepared via a colloidal approach combined with a co-gelation procedure. Ceria–zirconia synthesized by sol–gel methods was employed to obtain a homogeneous solid-solution of Ce0.5-Zr0.5O2. By introducing a colloidal dispersion of NiNPs with a narrow particle size distribution into the sol prior to gelation and aging, NiNPs were homogeneously dispersed in the final CZ solid solution and maintained their original particle size. The catalytic activity and stability of the resulting Ni@CZ in CO2 methanation were superior in comparison to a Ni/CZ catalyst synthesized via incipient-wetness impregnation (IWI). A comparison is made between NiNPs of the same size encapsulated in CZ and SiO2 (see ref. 49). Our approach demonstrates that CZ-supported Ni catalysts may be tailored to obtain catalysts with a desired particle size distribution.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Nickel acetylacetonate (anhydrous, 95%) was purchased from Strem, and nickel nitrate hexahydrate (99.999%), cerium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (99.99%), zirconyl chloride octahydrate (99.99%), oleylamine (OAm, technical, >70%), oleic acid (OAc, 90%), borane tert-butylamine complex (BTB, 97%), poly(ethylene glycol) (Pluronic P123, $M_n = 5800$, $EO_{20}PO_{70}EO_{20}$), from Sigma Aldrich. Toluene (99.7%), and CHCl$_3$ (99.9%) were purchased from Biosolve. All chemicals were used as received without further purification.

2.2 Synthesis methods

2.2.1 Colloidal nanoparticle synthesis. Colloidal Ni nanoparticles were prepared using methods derived from literature,\textsuperscript{51–53} as described in our previous work.\textsuperscript{49} To summarize, a 250 mL two-neck round-bottomed flask with a magnetic stirring bar was loaded with 257 mg Ni(acac)$_2$ (1 mmol), 15 mL oleylamine (OAm) and 0.32 mL oleic acid (OAc, 1 mmol) and brought under inert conditions in Ar. To remove oxygen and water, the mixture was heated to 110 °C under Ar and rigorous stirring, and degassed for 30 min to yield a green-blue solution. The Ni(acac)$_2$ solution was subsequently cooled to 90 °C. In a second flask, 0.44 g borane tert-butyl amine complex (BTB, 5 mmol) was dissolved in 2 mL OAm and brought under inert conditions in Ar. The BTB solution was degassed under rigorous stirring. Next, the BTB solution was rapidly injected into the Ni(acac)$_2$ solution. The mixture turned black within 30 s, indicating the reduction of the Ni$^{2+}$ to Ni$^{0}$. The mixture was kept at 90 °C for 1 h, after which the synthesis was quenched by adding 17 mL toluene and removing the heat source to cool the mixture to room temperature. The colloidal dispersion was divided across six centrifuge tubes, and the nanoparticles were precipitated by adding ca. 45 mL acetone as an anti-solvent, followed by centrifugation (5000 rpm, 10 min). The supernatant was decanted and the particles were washed to remove excess organic ligands by redispersing them in 5 mL toluene and precipitating them with 45 mL acetone and centrifugation. Particles were thoroughly washed 3 times with the toluene/acetone mixture.

2.2.2 Sol–gel synthesis of CeZrO4. A method adapted from Yuan et al. was followed to synthesize CeZrO$_4$ (CZ) using a sol–gel approach.\textsuperscript{54} To obtain the sol, 0.8 g of Pluronic P123, 1.736 g Ce(NO$_3$_)3·6H$_2$O (4.00 mmol), and 1.288 g ZrOCl$_2$·8H$_2$O (4.00 mmol) were dissolved in 16 mL ethanol. The sol was stirred at room temperature for 2 h for homogenization. Next, the sol was transferred to an oven at 40 °C with a relative humidity of 50%, achieved by placing a petri-dish of de-ionized water in the oven. The sol–gel was aged for 48 h, after which the gel was dried at 100 °C for 24 h. The dried material
was calcined in air by heating to 500 °C at a rate of 1 °C min⁻¹ followed by an isothermal dwell for 4 h.

2.3 Catalyst synthesis

Ni/CZ (incipient-wetness impregnation, IWI). A catalyst with intended Ni loading of 2 wt% was prepared by incipient-wetness impregnation of a CZ support synthesized by the sol-gel procedure described earlier. A requisite amount of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O salt was dissolved in 0.4 mL deionized water and added dropwise to 1 g of the CZ. The resulting solid was then dried overnight at 110 °C and calcined in air at 500 °C by heating at a rate of 1 °C min⁻¹ followed by an isothermal dwell of 4 h.

Encapsulation of NiNPs in CZ. A sol was prepared identical to the approach outlined above. To obtain the sol, 0.8 g of Pluronic P123, 1.736 g Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (4.00 mmol), and 1.288 g ZrOCl₂·8H₂O (4.00 mmol) were dissolved in 16 mL ethanol. The sol was stirred at room temperature for 2 h to yield a homogeneous sol. Next, the sol was transferred to an oven at 40 °C with a relative humidity of 50% and kept for 2 h. In the meantime, colloidal NiNPs (ca. 100 mg) were dispersed in 5 mL CHCl₃ at room temperature. After 2 h, the NiNP dispersion was added to the sol-gel and stirred for 10 min at room temperature to obtain a homogeneous black mixture. The NiNP-containing sol-gel was returned to the oven at 40 °C aged for 48 h. The resulting gel was transparent, which indicated that the NiNPs were well-dispersed throughout the gel. Next, the gel was dried at 100 °C for 24 h, and calcined in air at 500 °C achieved by heating the material at a rate of 1 °C min⁻¹ followed by an isothermal dwell of 4 h. CZ-encapsulated NiNPs are denoted as Ni@CZ.

2.4 Characterization

Elemental analysis. The Ni content of the prepared samples was determined by ICP-OES using a Spectro Blue ICP apparatus. A stock acid solution was prepared by dissolving 20 g ammonium sulfate in 30 mL concentrated sulfuric acid (95–98%). Samples (25 mg) were dissolved in 5 mL of the stock acid solution at 250 °C.

X-ray diffraction. The phase purity, crystallinity, and average particle size of the CZ support and Ni–CZ catalysts were investigated by XRD. Samples were finely ground and pressed into sample holders prior to measurements. Diffractograms were recorded on a Bruker D2 Phaser diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation with a wavelength of 1.54 Å. The 2θ angles between 20–80° were measured with a step size of 0.02° at 1.0 s per step. For encapsulated Ni@CZ, an additional small angle measurement was performed between 0.1–1°, with a step size of 0.0015° at 0.25 s per step.

N₂ physisorption. Textural properties of the CZ support and Ni–CZ catalysts were performed at −196 °C on a Micromeritics TriStar II 3020. The samples (ca. 150 mg) were degassed at 120 °C for at least 12 h prior to N₂ physisorption measurements. Surface areas were calculated using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method, and total pore volumes and pore size distributions were computed by the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method using the desorption branch of the isotherm.

Temperature programmed reduction (H₂-TPR). The reducibility of the CZ support and Ni–CZ catalysts was studied with H₂-TPR in a Micromeritics AutoChem II. In a typical measurement, 100 mg of sample was loaded in a quartz U-tube reactor with quartz wool before and after the catalyst bed. Prior to H₂-TPR measurements, samples were heated to 130 °C under He flow for 1 h to remove adsorbed water. TPR was performed by linearly heating the sample from 50 °C to 1000 °C in a flow of 4 vol% H₂ in He (50 mL min⁻¹). The H₂ consumption was measured by a TCD and calibrated against a CuO/SiO₂ reference catalyst.

H₂ chemisorption. The available active sites for Ni–CZ catalysts were investigated by H₂ chemisorption using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020. In a typical measurement, a quartz U-tube reactor was loaded with 100 mg catalyst, with quartz wool before and after the catalyst bed. The catalysts were reduced in situ at 500 °C by heating to this temperature at a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal dwell of 4 h. The catalysts were evacuation at 520 °C for 3 h to remove all hydrogen species, and H₂ chemisorption was performed at 120 °C.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Particle sizes of colloidal nanoparticles was determined with TEM. Bright-field TEM measurements were performed on a FEI Tecnai 20 (type Sphera) transmission electron microscope operating at 200 kV. Approximately 100 mg of the NiNPs was finely crushed and ultrasonically suspended in pure CHCl₃, and dispersed over a Cu grid with a holey carbon film.

STEM-EDX. The average Ni particle size, the particle size distribution and the distribution of Ce and Zr in the samples was studied using scanning transmission electron microscopy-energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (STEM-EDX). Measurements were carried out on a FEI cubed Cs-corrected Titan operating at 300 kV. Ni–CZ samples were crushed, sonicated in ethanol and dispersed on a holey Cu support grid. Elemental analysis was done with an Oxford Instruments EDX detector X-Max⁹⁰⁰TLE.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Depth profiling was employed to compare the surface Ni/support ratios in Ni/CZ and encapsulated Ni@CZ catalysts. The catalysts were reduced ex situ at 500 °C and passivated in 1 vol% O₂ in He (6 h). Finely crushed samples were placed on double-sided carbon tape and were studied after exposure to an Ar⁺ ion gun at 3.0 keV for 0–300 s. Ni/(Ce + Zr) area ratios were used to estimate the elemental composition. Spectra were obtained using a K-Alpha XPS apparatus (Thermo Scientific) equipped with an Al anode (Al Ka = 1486.68 eV). To accommodate for the low Ni content and achieve sufficient signal/noise ratios, 50 scans were measured and averaged in the Ni 2p, and 20 scans in both the Ce 3d and Zr 3d regions. All spectra were analyzed using the CasaXPS software package and charge corrected against the C 1s binding energy of adventitious carbon at 284.8 eV. Surface element loadings were obtained by
integrating the areas of the Ni 2p₃/₂, Ce 3d and Zr 3d regions using a Shirley background.

2.5 Catalytic activity

The catalytic activity of the prepared samples in CO₂ methanation was studied at 1 atm in a 10-channel high-throughput flow reactor setup. CZ-supported samples were pelletized at a pressure of 300 MPa and sieved to a 75–125 μm fraction. Each quartz reactor tube (internal diameter 0.4 cm) was loaded with a homogeneous mixture of 50 mg catalyst (75–125 μm) diluted with 150 mg SiC to improve heat and mass transfer. Quartz wool was used before and after the catalyst bed. Prior to catalytic testing the samples were reduced in situ at 500 °C for 4 h in 10 vol% H₂ in He (50 mL min⁻¹). The catalytic activity was tested with a supply of 3 vol% CO₂, 12 vol% CO₂, 12 vol% H₂, and 85 vol% He (50 mL min⁻¹). The reaction products were analyzed by online gas chromatography (Interscience CompactGC) equipped with Restek Rt-Q-Bond and Rt-Msieve 5 Å (TCD), Restek Rt-U-Bond and Rt-Q-Bond (TCD), and Restek Rtx-1 (FID) columns. CH₄, CO, and H₂O were the only observed reaction products. Steady-state CO₂ conversion was measured between 200–400 °C. The stability of the catalyst is tested at 350 °C for 60 h with the same gas feed composition. The CO₂ conversion (X_{CO₂}), and CH₄ (S_{CH₄}) and CO (S_{CO}) selectivities are calculated as:

\[ X_{CO₂} = \frac{[CH₄] + [CO]}{[CO₂] + [CH₄] + [CO]} \] (1)

\[ S_{CH₄} = \frac{[CH₄]}{[CH₄] + [CO]} \] (2)

\[ S_{CO} = \frac{[CO]}{[CH₄] + [CO]} \] (3)

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Catalyst synthesis and characterization

A schematic of the co-gelation procedure to obtain Ni@CZ is given in Fig. 1. Colloidal NiNPs were obtained from a Ni²⁺-containing solution at 90 °C using BTB as external reducing agent. TEM showed that this procedure yielded NiNPs with an average size of 4.4 nm and a narrow particle size distribution (Fig. 2). XRD patterns did not contain peaks due to crystalline Ni or NiO phases (ESI†).55,56

Next, supported Ni catalysts were synthesized by either incipient-wetness impregnation on calcined CZ support or by co-gelation of a NiNP dispersion with CZ precursors, denoted as Ni/CZ and Ni(x)@CZ respectively, where x represents the colloidal NiNP particle size prior to encapsulation. Table 1 summarizes the physical properties of supported materials. In both cases, sol–gel approaches were followed to obtain the CZ mixed oxides, with the final catalysts containing ~2 wt% Ni. The encapsulated Ni(4.4)@SiO₂ catalyst was characterized and discussed in more detail in earlier work (see ref. 49).

The XRD patterns of the calcined catalysts and CZ support are shown in Fig. 3. No reflections from NiO were observed neither for Ni/CZ or Ni@CZ, although this could also be due to the low Ni content. Peaks at 29.2°, 33.8°, 48.7°, and 57.7° correspond to the (101), (002), (112), and (103) planes of CeO₂-ZrO₂ fluorite-phase solid solutions (JCPDS no. 74-8060). No reflections were observed for bulk CeO₂ or ZrO₂, and no other CZ phase reflections were found even after high-temperature calcination (ESI†).57 Crystallite size was determined using the Scherrer equation and the FWHM of the (101) reflection. Interestingly, by introducing NiNPs during the sol–gel synthesis procedure, smaller (5.7 nm) CZ crystallites were obtained compared to CZ-only (6.8 nm) (Table 1). This slight decrease in CZ crystallite size can be an effect of including additional surfactant (originating for the colloidal NiNP dispersion) into the sol–gel solution, which may subsequently influence the aging step to provide smaller CZ particles.

The specific surface areas of CZ materials determined by N₂ physisorption isotherms (ESI†) were of the same order

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of NiNP synthesis and encapsulation in CZ.

Fig. 2 TEM image and particle size distribution of unsupported colloidal NiNPs.
and ranged between 70–80 m² g⁻¹. All isotherms can be categorized as type IV with an H2-shaped hysteresis loop, which points to mesopores. Encapsulated Ni(4.4)@CZ has the largest pore volume (Table 1). Average pore sizes, obtained by applying the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method to the desorption branch of the isotherm, were between 3.5–4.0 nm with a narrow distribution for both CZ materials (ESI†). However, small-angle XRD did not show a clear peak for the (100) reflection, which suggests that the hysteresis loop originates from the voids between aggregated CZ crystallites, with the smaller crystallites yielding the largest pore volume.

The reducibility of the synthesized materials was studied by H₂-TPR. Fig. 4 shows the weight-normalized reduction profiles between 50–1000 °C with the corresponding hydrogen consumption and estimated Ce reduction degree shown in Table 2. Ni-free CZ exhibits a single reduction maximum around 580 °C, which is attributed to the reduction of Ce⁴⁺ to Ce³⁺. TPR profiles of Ni/CZ and Ni(4.4)@CZ show a clear shift in the reduction peak to lower temperature, indicating that Ce⁴⁺ reducibility is enhanced by Ni. The general TPR profiles of both Ni catalysts are similar. A sharp peak around 350 °C is attributed to the reduction of bulk NiO. In addition, a second peak around 400 °C is attributed to the reduction of Ni²⁺ with a stronger Ni-support interaction. The Ni reduction features envelop the Ce⁴⁺ reduction profile. Interestingly, the excess consumed H₂ (i.e. the difference between the total H₂ consumed and H₂ required to fully reduce Ni²⁺) decreased for Ni(4.4)@CZ compared with the impregnated catalyst. This might be explained by increased hydrogen spillover on the impregnated Ni/CZ catalyst compared to Ni(4.4)@CZ. From weight-normalized H₂ chemisorption measurements (Table 2), we observe that the impregnated catalyst chemisorbs a larger amount of H₂, despite having a lower Ni content and larger average particle size after reduction. This confirms that there is likely more H₂ spillover on the impregnated catalyst which leads to a higher excess H₂ consumed as determined from the TPR data.

To verify whether Ni was encapsulated in CZ after reduction at 500 °C in 10 vol% H₂ in He, materials were studied with XPS depth-profiling. Fig. 5 shows the surface Ni/(Ce + Zr) ratio (corrected for the relative sensitivity of each element) after exposure to Ar sputtering between 0–300 s. The Ni/(Ce + Zr) ratios were substantially different between Ni/CZ and Ni(4.4)@CZ samples. The sputtering profile of the impregnated Ni/CZ sample showed a significant decrease in the Ni/(Ce + Zr) ratio with every Ar etching dose. This is a clear indication that the Ni particles are present on the outer surface of the CZ support. In contrast, Ni(4.4)@CZ prepared by NiNP encapsulation has a much lower Ni/(Ce + Zr) XPS ratio before Ar sputtering. After Ar⁺ etching of 300 s, the metal-to-support ratio increased, confirming an increase in the Ni content below the support surface. Similar trends were observed in our works on SiO₂ encapsulated NiNPs."

### 3.2 The effect of encapsulation on particle size

Due to the low contrast between Ni and CZ in bright field TEM, particle sizes in Ni/CZ and Ni(4.4)@CZ catalysts after
reduction at 500 °C (4 h, 5 °C min⁻¹) were determined with HAADF-STEM with EDX mapping. Fig. 6 shows the elemental maps of Ni, Ce, and Zr in Ni/CZ. The Ce and Zr maps show a homogeneous mixture of Ce and Zr, confirming the presence of CeZrO₄ as a solid solution. Compared with the crystallite sizes determined by XRD, the HAADF-STEM measurements show CZ crystallites that are considerably larger than ca. 6 nm. This discrepancy is because the large CZ crystals are composed of agglomerates of the smaller CZ crystallites (ESI†). The Ni map shows significant Ni aggregation occurred during the reduction pretreatment step. Ni aggregates up to around 150–200 nm were observed, indicating significant Ni sintering occurred during reduction. Fig. 7 shows the corresponding EDX maps for encapsulated Ni(4.4)@CZ. Similar to the impregnated sample, the Ce and Zr elemental maps confirm that CZ is a solid solution. In contrast, Ni particles retained their colloidal NiNP size, with encapsulated nanoparticles showing an average diameter of 4.5 nm with a narrow particle size distribution. As described earlier, the quantity of H₂ chemisorbed by impregnated and encapsulated particles showing an average diameter of 4.5 nm with a narrow particle size distribution. As described earlier, the quantity of H₂ chemisorbed by impregnated and encapsulated nanoparticles was almost identical (Table 2), indicating that there was significantly more hydrogen spillover on the impregnated Ni/CZ than on Ni(4.4)@CZ. These findings are surprising, as we would expect the smaller particles to have a stronger metal–support interaction, and therefore to lead to more significant H₂ spillover. However, the lower amount of hydrogen spillover may also be an indicator that the encapsulated Ni phase is not fully accessible, resulting in decreased spillover for encapsulated catalysts. Loss of Ni accessibility was also observed in SiO₂-encapsulated NiNPs,⁴⁹ and would need to be verified by other spectroscopic methods for CZ-supported catalysts in a more detailed study.

3.3 Catalytic performance in CO₂ methanation: the effect of encapsulation and support effects

The CO₂ methanation activities of Ni/CZ, Ni(4.4)@CZ, and Ni(4.4)@SiO₂ were at atmospheric pressure. CZ-supported catalysts were reduced in situ at 500 °C in 10 vol% H₂ in He, while Ni(4.4)@SiO₂ was reduced at 600 °C, prior to introducing the methanation gas composition at 200 °C. A stoichiometric H₂/CO₂ ratio of 4 was used. Fig. 8a shows the catalytic activity in CO₂ methanation and corresponding CO selectivity (Fig. 8b) between 200–400 °C. The catalytic activity (Fig. 8c) and CO selectivity (Fig. 8d) were followed was for 60 h under these conditions.

Both CZ-supported catalysts were active towards CO₂ methanation between 200–400 °C and showed a typical Arrhenius behavior below 250 °C. Higher CO₂ conversion was observed for encapsulated Ni(4.4)@CZ in comparison to Ni/CZ. In addition, NiNP encapsulation in CZ led to a lower CO selectivity as well. Reaction rates normalized to total Ni content were determined at conversions below 10% to ensure differential conditions in the reactor. Kinetic results are summarized in Table 3. Thus, CH₄ production rates for encapsulated Ni(4.4)@CZ were more than two times higher than for impregnated Ni/CZ. Similar trends were also observed from the turnover frequencies (TOFs) determined from H₂ chemisorption data (ESI). However, the excess H₂ chemisorbed on CZ supported catalysts meant that it was not possible to compare catalytic activities normalized to

![Fig. 5](image_url) Depth profile of impregnated Ni/CZ and encapsulated Ni(4.4)@CZ derived from Ni/(Ce + Zr) surface ratios measured by XPS. Catalysts were exposed to 5 iterations of Ar⁺ sputtering (60 s each) to obtain a depth profile.

![Fig. 6](image_url) STEM-EDX images showing the elemental maps of Ni, Ce, and Zr for Ni/CZ after reduction at 500 °C.

### Table 2  Catalyst reducibility and available active sites determined by H₂-TPR and total H₂ chemisorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>H₂-TPR (mmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Excess H₂ consumed* (mmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>H₂ chemisorption (mmol g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/CZ</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(4.4)@CZ</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(4.4)@SiO₂</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Estimated from the difference between total H₂ consumption and H₂ required to fully reduce Ni²⁺ during H₂-TPR. See previous work ref. 49.
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available active sites. Nevertheless, the improved activity is also reflected in the apparent activation energy for the encapsulated NiNPs. Importantly, the apparent activation energy (calculated with respect to CH₄ formation) of Ni(4.4)@CZ (68 kJ mol⁻¹) is in line with literature values for CO₂ methanation on Ni-based systems, thereby demonstrating that these catalysts are not affected by diffusion limitations.⁵⁸ A support effect can be noted by comparing the catalytic activity of the two catalysts prepared via NiNP encapsulation in CZ and SiO₂, respectively. Rates over Ni(4.4)@CZ were almost an order of magnitude higher than those calculated for Ni(4.4)@SiO₂, highlighting a significant increase in activity attributable to the CZ support.

Similar trends were observed during the 60 h stability test at 350 °C. All catalysts showed CO₂ conversions below the thermodynamic equilibrium (79%). Fig. 8c shows that Ni(4.4)@CZ maintained 58% conversion throughout the stability study. In contrast, impregnated Ni/CZ had a lower initial activity (37%) and gradually deactivated, reaching 33% conversion after 60 h. Encapsulation also suppressed the formation of CO, with Ni(4.4)@CZ maintaining CO selectivity below 2.5%. On the contrary, Ni/CZ saw a gradual increase in the CO selectivity from 15% to 23%. Notably, both catalysts prepared by encapsulation maintained their activity during the stability test at 350 °C. In line with the initial temperature programmed reaction data, the CO selectivity of Ni@SiO₂ remained between 43–47%, which is considerably higher than that of Ni@CZ.

An important advantage of using colloidal synthetic approaches to prepare well-defined catalysts is that support effects can be probed directly, with catalysts being prepared using the exact same batch of colloidal NiNPs. The Ni(4.4)@CZ and Ni(4.4)@SiO₂ catalysts were prepared using the exact same colloidal NiNPs, differing only in the nature of the support. For both materials, the NiNPs maintained their original particle size. We can make a direct assessment of the support effects from the CZ and SiO₂ encapsulated NiNPs,
Table 3  Kinetic results for supported catalysts for CO2 methanation at 250 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>CH4 selectivity (%)</th>
<th>Rates (10^{-3} mol CH4 per mol Ni per s)</th>
<th>Eapp (kJ mol^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni/CZ</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2.35 (+0.02)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(4.4)@CZ</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.15 (+0.04)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(4.4)@SiO2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.64 (+0.03)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See previous work ref. 49.

4. Conclusion

Ceria–zirconia supported Ni catalysts were synthesized by introducing colloidal Ni nanoparticle dispersion in a CZ sol–gel synthesis protocol to obtain CZ encapsulated NiNPs. Encapsulation prevented sintering of the NiNPs for Ni@CZ, while the dispersion was higher than for impregnated Ni/CZ.
Compared to impregnated Ni/CZ, encapsulated Ni@CZ catalysts yielded higher activity and better stability in CO₂ methanation. We could also compare Ni@CZ to a Ni@SiO₂ prepared from the same initial NiNPs dispersion. Keeping all other parameters the same, CO₂ methanation rates were an order of magnitude higher for Ni@CZ than for Ni@SiO₂. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the preparation of CZ supported Ni catalysts with accurate particle size control. Our approach shows that by combining the synthesis protocols of colloidal NiNPs preparation and sol-gel CZ synthesis, catalysts may be tailored to obtain efficiently designed methanation catalysts. Beyond our initial work, we expect the benefits of CZ-encapsulation to also be realized under more demanding conditions and reactions, including high pressure methanation reactions and also methane reforming reactions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank NWO and BASF for a TA-CHIPP grant. The authors thank Jiadong Zhu (TU Eindhoven) for bright-field TEM measurements and Mengyue Wu (TU Delft) for STEM-EDX measurements of CZ supported samples. We thank Adelheid Elemans-Mehring (TU Eindhoven) for performing the elemental analysis.

References