



Cite this: *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, 9, 5186

Received 13th June 2019,
Accepted 22nd August 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9cy01170f

rsc.li/catalysis

Application of metal oxide semiconductors in light-driven organic transformations

Paola Riente and Timothy Noël *

The search for greener alternatives to perform organic reactions has become the order of the day in the chemistry community. In this regard, the use of heterogeneous photocatalysts has emerged as a powerful alternative to replace transition metal-based complexes and organic dyes to enable light-driven organic transformations. Within this realm, metal oxide semiconductors (MOS) have become increasingly popular due to their recyclability, availability, energy efficiency, photo- and chemical stability and generally low toxicity. Here, we cover the most relevant literature related to the use of MOS as photocatalysts to light induce organic reactions, including oxidations, C–C and C–heteroatom bond formations. We also discuss the mechanisms involved in these processes, as well as the hitherto best known MOS modifications able to enhance their photocatalytic performance.

1. Introduction

Throughout history, humanity has been inspired by Nature to find elegant solutions for complex problems in their daily life. In the same way that natural photosynthesis drives

chemical processes using solar light, photocatalysis has emerged as a Nature-inspired approach for harvesting and converting solar energy to enable challenging synthetic transformations.¹ Defined by IUPAC Gold Book as ‘a change in the rate of a chemical reaction or its initiation under the action of ultraviolet, visible or infrared radiation in the presence of a substance—the photocatalyst—that absorbs light and is involved in the chemical transformation of the reaction partners’,² the concept of photocatalysis may be traced back to Becquerel in

Micro Flow Chemistry and Synthetic Methodology, Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology, Het Kranenweld, Bldg 14 - Helix, 5600 MBEindhoven, The Netherlands. E-mail: t.noel@tue.nl



Paola Riente

supported (organo)catalysts and semiconductor photocatalysis. Currently, she is a Marie Curie postdoctoral fellow in the Noël Research Group at the Eindhoven University of Technology (The Netherlands). Her research interests are mainly focused on the application of metal oxide semiconductors in photocatalysis.

Paola Riente received her B.Sc. degree (2001) in Industrial Pharmacy from the Federal Fluminense University (Brazil) and Ph.D. degree (Summa Cum Laude) in Organic Chemistry from Alicante University (Alicante-Spain) in 2009 under the supervision of Profs. Miguel Yus and Francisco Alonso. After that, she moved to the Pericàs group at ICIQ (Tarragona-Spain) to work, as a postdoctoral fellow, with magnetic nanoparticles-



Timothy Noël

currently holds a position as an associate professor (UHD1) and he chairs the Micro Flow Chemistry & Synthetic Methodology group at Eindhoven University of Technology. His research interests are flow chemistry, synthetic methodology and chemical engineering. His research on photochemistry in microfluidic reactors was awarded the DECHEMA award 2017 and he is the editor in chief of Journal of Flow Chemistry.

Timothy Noël received in 2004 his MSc degree (Industrial Chemical Engineering) from the KaHo Sint-Lieven in Ghent. He then moved to Ghent University to obtain a PhD under the guidance of Professor Johan van der Eycken at the Laboratory for Organic and Bioorganic Synthesis (2005–2009). Next, he moved to Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) as a Fulbright Postdoctoral Fellow with Professor Stephen L. Buchwald. He



1839 with his demonstration of the photovoltaic effect.³ In this work, he observed the effect of sunlight irradiation over silver halogen electrodes to establish a potential difference and an electric current. The keyword photocatalysis was first mentioned in 1911 in a scientific report where ZnO was used as a photocatalyst to bleach dyes.⁴ Although this seminal work can be regarded as a breakthrough discovery, it was not given much attention until the late 1960s, when Honda and Fujishima carried out their pioneering work on the electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode.⁵ Following the work of Honda and Fujishima, heterogeneous photocatalysis based on metal oxide semiconductors (MOS) has gained enormous traction giving rise to a wide variety of applications, including water splitting, CO₂ reductions, photovoltaic cells, and pollutant degradation.⁶ However, only in 1998, their potential as catalytic platforms in organic synthesis was demonstrated by Albini and coworkers. In their initial work, these researchers used TiO₂ as a photocatalyst to induce C–C bond formation under solar irradiation.⁷ Another decade later, the scientific contributions of MacMillan,⁸ Yoon⁹ and Stephenson¹⁰ demonstrated the utility of homogeneous transition metal-based photocatalysts for synthetic organic chemistry. Their findings proved to be the spark that ignited the field resulting in the development of a massive amount of synthetic methodologies based on photocatalysis.¹¹


However, in a tireless effort to find greener alternatives for visible-light photoredox catalysis,¹² the use of heterogeneous photocatalysts have received significant amounts of attention.¹³ More specifically, metal oxide based semiconductors (MOS) have emerged as promising candidates to substitute expensive and toxic transition metal-based complexes and or-

ganic dyes. MOS-based photocatalysts offer several advantages over these homogeneous variants, such as (i) high recyclability and reusability; (ii) high abundance (most of them are earth-abundant) and thus low cost; (iii) low toxicity; (iv) high chemical stability and (v) tunability of electronic and optical properties.

This review focuses on the application of MOS as photocatalysts to drive synthetically useful transformations. In section 2, we introduce different MOS and highlight their unique electronic structure and properties, as well as, potential modifications to enhance their visible light absorption capacity. Section 3 focuses on the most applied wide and narrow bandgap MOS and their applications as photocatalysts in organic synthesis.

2. Background: metal oxide semiconductors (MOS)

Metal oxide semiconductors (MOS) are mainly characterized by a large band gap (>3.0 eV) (Scheme 1). They have been widely applied in the photodegradation of organic pollutants in water and air, energy conversion, biosensing, microelectronics, optoelectronics, and storage.¹⁴ Usually, most of the MOS are inexpensive, stable, safe, and earth-abundant. Also, due to their solid insoluble nature, chemical and photo-stability, they can be easily removed from the reaction media and be reused in subsequent catalytic processes. MOS also present great ability to form both oxidizing and reducing species under appropriate irradiation, being a promising greener alternative to the use of expensive and toxic transition metal-based complexes to promote important organic transformations.



Scheme 1 Representative diagram of the redox potentials of valence and conduction bands and bandgap energies estimated at pH 7 of a range of MOS presented in this review and relationship between band gap and wavelength.



Typically, the electronic structure of MOS is composed of a valence band (VB, highest occupied energy band), a conduction band (CB, lowest unoccupied energy band) and a band gap (E_g , forbidden energy zone). If the energy of the incident photons matches or is higher than that of E_g , a charge separation takes place leading to an electron-hole (e^-h^+) pair. Once these photogenerated electrons and holes are formed, they become usually trapped at the surface of the MOS which, by interfacial electron transfer (IFET), can reduce or oxidize substrates with appropriate redox potentials (Scheme 2a).


Despite the positive aspects of the use of MOS in photo-redox processes, their unique electronic structure could generate some drawbacks that could hamper their practical photocatalytic performance. Mainly, these drawbacks are associated with their wide band gaps, which may reduce their capacity to absorb visible light. Another remarkable drawback is the fast charge carrier recombination that can eventually reduce the number of electrons and holes available to carry out the photocatalytic process. Finally, photostability can become an important obstacle which can significantly limit the application of MOS.¹⁵ Other aspects which may negatively affect the photocatalytic performance of MOS are related to their atomic structure parameters, such as geometry, crystalline phases, surface defects, specific surface area and particle sizes.¹⁶

As previously stated, most of the MOS have large band gaps and are mainly photoexcited by UV irradiation to form the required electron-hole pairs which can subsequently trigger the photocatalytic processes. Additional drawbacks associated with the use of UV irradiation have to be taken into consideration as well. These high energy photons can directly activate chemical bonds of some organic molecules to form radical intermediates giving rise to non-selective reactions. Also, the use of UV light implies the use of more expensive light sources and specialized glassware. The most employed strategies to overcome these drawbacks and to enhance their visible light photocatalytic activity are based on tuning or

doping of the surface of the MOS. Chemical doping with metals, non-metal based dopants or surface loading of the MOS with visible light sensitizers have been intensely studied.¹⁷ Amongst these alternatives, the photosensitization of MOS using dyes or ligand-to-metal-charge transfer (LMCT) constitute the most employed strategies to increase the visible light response of the MOS.

Pioneered by Grätzel and O'Regan, the dye-sensitization strategy is widely applied in solar cells, water splitting and the photodegradation of organic pollutants.¹⁸ This strategy is based on the attachment or adsorption of a dye or sensitizer (metal complex, metal-free organic dye, noble metals) onto the surface of the MOS. After visible light irradiation, the dye undergoes a HOMO-LUMO photoexcitation generating electron-hole pairs.¹⁹ Next, the photogenerated electrons are injected into the CB of the MOS forming conduction band electrons (e^-_{CB}). These electrons can be transferred to an electron acceptor and the oxidized dye can be regenerated by the presence of a sacrificial electron donor (Scheme 2b). In this process, the CB of the MOS mediates the electron transfer from the dye, or sensitizer, to the substrate extending indirectly the visible light photo-response of the wide band gap semiconductors. Apart from the positive aspects of the use of dye-sensitization, some fundamental requisites have to be taken into account in the selection of an appropriate dye: (i) the dye should possess a broad range absorption in the visible region (ii) its LUMO must be more negative than the CB of the semiconductor, and its HOMO more positive than the sacrificial electron donor redox potential; (iii) the dye should display high photostability towards long-term light irradiation and (iv) the dye should exhibit extended excited state lifetimes. Despite ruthenium-based polypyridyl complexes and other metal complexes being the most used dyes for sensitization of MOS,²⁰ there is a recent trend to use more eco-friendly and low-cost metal-free organic dyes.²¹

Ligand-to-metal-charge transfer (LMCT) is another method to enable a visible light induced charge transfer. This type of sensitization is less studied than dye-sensitization but has



Scheme 2 A representative illustration of MOS photocatalytic mechanisms. (a) General MOS photocatalytic mechanism: (I) photoexcitation and charge generation, (II) electron transfer to an acceptor, (III) oxidation of a donor (IV) charge recombination. (b) Dye photosensitization: (I) visible light photoexcitation, (II) electron transfer from the excited state of the dye to MOS CB, (III) electron transfer to an acceptor, (IV) charge recombination, (v) regeneration of the sensitizer by an electron donor. (c) LMCT photosensitization: (I) visible light induced LMCT transfer, (II) electron transfer to an acceptor, (III) charge recombination, (IV) regeneration of adsorbates by an electron donor or in its absence degradation of adsorbates into small molecules. A and D represent electron acceptor and the electron donor, respectively.



great potential for visible light photocatalytic applications.²² LMCT is extensively used for degradation of pollutants adsorbed onto the surface of TiO₂.²³ Unlike dye-sensitization, the compounds adsorbed onto the surface of the MOS do not absorb visible light and the excited state of the adsorbates is not involved in the process. The electron is photoexcited directly from the ground state of the adsorbate to the MOS CB. The oxidized adsorbate can be degraded in small molecules or be regenerated by recombination with the photoexcited electrons or by the presence of an electron donor in the reaction medium (Scheme 2c). The formation of CT complexes on MOS is generally characterized by the appearance of a visible light absorption band which is not observed with either the adsorbate or the MOS alone. A wide range of organic and inorganic compounds with an appropriate HOMO level can become suitable LMCT sensitizers. For instance, electron-rich adsorbates containing hydroxyl and carboxyl linkers, such as catechol, EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid), ascorbic acid and salicylic acid can be LMCT sensitizers. Also, substrates containing heteroatoms (X = S, N or O) may adsorb onto the surface of the MOS by weak interaction and can subsequently inject electrons into the CB of MOS giving rise to a weak light absorbance and activation of the substrates (Scheme 3).


In both strategies, the efficiency of the photosensitization process relies on several parameters, including (i) the way that the foreign compounds are connected to the semiconductor (physically or chemically bonded), (ii) the nature of the anchored groups, (iii) the distance between the compound and the MOS surface and (iv) the electronic nature of functional groups on the anchored compound.

3. Application of MOS as photocatalysts in organic transformations

Despite TiO₂ being by far the most used MOS due to its low toxicity, low cost, high photoreactivity and -stability, a variety of different MOS have emerged as potential alternatives to TiO₂. Particularly, ZnO and other narrow bandgap semiconductors, such as bismuth-based oxides, have received quite some attention. In this section, we will highlight selected examples of the most used MOS capable of driving specific organic transformations either under UV or visible light irradiation. Moreover, we will also detail the different strategies to increase the visible-light response of wide bandgap MOS.

3.1. TiO₂

The photosensitization of TiO₂ dates back to the early 20th century.²⁴ However, the interest in TiO₂ as a photocatalyst only started to grow after the appearance of the paper by Fujishima and Honda in 1972.⁵ Since then, TiO₂ has been intensely studied triggering numerous applications in the photocatalysis field.²⁵ Among these applications, its use as photocatalyst to promote organic transformations specifically has emerged only in the last 20 years as a great alternative to replace the use of toxic and expensive transition metal complexes. Mainly this augmented interest can be attributed to its low cost, low toxicity, photo-stability and -reactivity and abundance. However, its large band gap (3.2 eV for anatase and brookite, 3.0 eV for rutile) together with a fast charge recombination limited its application potential in



Scheme 3 Most common dyes (top) and adsorbates (bottom) used in sensitizer-MOS for photocatalytic applications.



photocatalytic processes. In this respect, we direct the reader to a number of interesting reviews, detailing the tuning and/or doping of the TiO₂ surface to enhance its visible light absorption and/or reduce charge recombination.²⁶

Oxidations. TiO₂ has been widely applied to carry out oxidations using oxygen as oxidant and light as a driving force. In aerobic conditions, the irradiation of TiO₂ with an appropriate wavelength (generally UV irradiation) generates electron/hole pairs, which eventually can interact with oxygen or water to produce reactive species, such as superoxides (O₂^{•-}) and hydroxyl radicals (•OH), respectively. These reactive oxygen species (ROS) are strong oxidants and can enable the oxidation of organic molecules.²⁷ Although the formation of oxygen singlet (¹O₂) from photoexcited MOS is less studied, it is known that these compounds can act as photosensitizers to also form this non-radical ROS.^{28,29} The reason why this is less studied in MOS resides in the difficulties to detect ¹O₂ in suspension and also to the competition with other efficient processes such as interfacial electron transfer. The formation of ¹O₂ can significantly affect the selectivity of the reactions carried out by MOS as reported in some previous works.³⁰ However, the main problem associated with the combination of TiO₂ and UV irradiation is the formation of strong oxidative holes in the valence band of TiO₂ that can oxidize both starting materials and products in a non-selective fashion. As mentioned before, to alleviate this drawback, several approaches were developed to extend its visible light response (Scheme 4).


In 2008, Zhao and co-workers reported the selective aerobic oxidation of alcohols in benzotrifluoride (BTF) using alizarin red (AR)-sensitized TiO₂ under visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 450$ nm).³¹ The nitroxyl radical TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl) was used as co-catalyst and acted as a sacrificial electron donor to prevent the degradation of the dye. The photocatalytic system composed by AR/TiO₂/TEMPO/O₂ successfully promoted the oxidation of a variety of functionalized benzylic alcohols to the corresponding aldehydes with good to excellent conversions (23 to 100%) and high selectivities (up to 99%). Aliphatic and cyclic secondary alcohols were also oxidized under the optimized photocatalytic conditions to the corresponding carbonyl compounds albeit in low conversion. For the proposed mechanism, the authors suggested that the excited state of the dye injects electrons in the CB of the TiO₂ furnishing the dye rad-

ical. This radical species promotes the oxidation of TEMPO which selectively oxidizes the alcohols to the corresponding carbonyl products by a two-electron-transfer mechanism. In turn, the reduction of oxygen leads to the formation of O₂^{•-} species (that further reacts to form H₂O and H₂O₂) which could promote the regeneration of the dye (Scheme 5).

In a similar approach, Lang and co-workers recently reported an exhaustive study of the use of eosin Y as a sensitizer for TiO₂.³² In this work, the eosin Y-TiO₂/TEMPO system was very effective to oxidize alcohols in acetonitrile, such as benzylic alcohols in short reaction times (1 to 4 hours) under visible light irradiation (green light, $\lambda \approx 530$ nm). However, moderate to poor conversions were obtained when the system was applied to the oxidation of allylic, aliphatic and secondary alcohols.


The formation of charge transfer complexes between colorless adsorbates and MOS to extend their visible light response through LMCT is also a widely used approach to promote the oxidation of alcohols under visible light irradiation. For instance, Higashimoto and co-workers reported the photooxidation of alcohols into the corresponding carbonyl compounds using unmodified TiO₂, under both visible and UV light irradiation.³³ In both cases, the developed method showed excellent efficiency and selectivity in the photooxidation of benzylic alcohols (up to 99% in yields and selectivities) using acetonitrile as solvent. To explain the photo-reactivity of the system under visible light irradiation, they proposed that the interaction of the starting benzylic alcohols with the surface of the semiconductor enables visible light absorption mediated through LMCT.

Zhao *et al.* carried out successfully the selective oxidation of amines to imines using air as oxidant and TiO₂ as the photocatalyst under UV irradiation (500 W Xe lamp, cutoff filter $\lambda < 350$ nm).³⁴ A range of benzylamines, including heterocyclic benzyl amines, were converted into the desired imines generally with high conversions (44 to 100%) and selectivities (up to 94%). Next, they proposed the use of visible light to induce this oxidation.³⁵ They observed that the benzylic amines adsorbed onto the surface of the TiO₂ could extend the light absorption into the visible region by LMCT. The underlying idea was to test whether the photooxidation could be carried out under visible light irradiation. Remarkably, a great variety of imines derivatives, ranging from primary and secondary benzylamines, were prepared in high yields and selectivities using a 300 W Xe lamp with a cutoff filter $\lambda < 420$ nm. However, the photooxidation of disubstituted benzylamines yields the formation of a mixture of aldehydes, symmetric and unsymmetric imines. The proposed mechanism comprises the activation of the CT complex by visible light irradiation to generate electron-hole pairs. The holes locate on the adsorbed amine and promote its oxidation to furnish a carbon-center radical. The corresponding electrons are localized in the CB of the TiO₂ and form Ti³⁺ species. The interaction between the carbon-centered radical, Ti³⁺ and oxygen lead to the formation of an oxygen bridged intermediate which undergoes cleavage of both C-N and O-O bonds to



Scheme 4 Generation of reactive oxygen species in MOS photocatalysis.






Scheme 5 The proposed mechanism for the oxidation of alcohols photocatalyzed by AR/TiO₂/TEMPO under visible light irradiation.

yield the aldehyde intermediate. The condensation of the latter intermediate with the free amine yields the desired imine. Next, TiO₂ is regenerated from the complex with the assistance of H⁺, thus completing the catalytic cycle (Scheme 6).

Li *et al.* anticipated that the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ may be strongly affected by its different crystalline phases.

For this study, they prepared mixed-phase TiO₂ nanowires with different ratios of TiO₂(B)/anatase.³⁶ The best photocatalytic performance was demonstrated with a mixed-phase TiO₂(B)/anatase TiO₂ (TW-550, 65%/35%, respectively) in the oxidation of benzylic amines (46 to 95% in conversions) under visible light irradiation. Also, the results obtained using



Scheme 6 Proposed mechanism for the oxidation of amines to imines photocatalyzed by TiO₂ under visible light irradiation.




this mixed-phase photocatalyst showed higher activity in comparison with bare TiO₂ or pure TiO₂ anatase. The authors suggested that the higher photocatalytic activity of TW-550, compared to other mixed phase TiO₂ compositions, is mostly due to the optimal surface-phase junctions between TiO₂(B) and anatase which can efficiently promote the interfacial charge separation by their staggered band gap energy. Moreover, the good adsorption ability of the TiO₂(B) in comparison with anatase TiO₂, allows enhanced adsorption of the amines onto their surface favoring the key charge transfer event (Scheme 7). As mentioned in the latter report, the crystalline phase of the semiconductor not only can affect their optical properties but also its surface structural arrangements which can lead to different surface reactivity hindering the selectivity of the reaction.³⁷ A good example of this effect is described in the sub-section Miscellaneous transformations.

Similar to dye sensitization, another strategy to overcome intrinsic drawbacks associated with the use of wide bandgap semiconductors photocatalysts comprises the deposition of noble metal plasmonic nanoparticles (PNP), such as Au and Ag, onto their surfaces to form so-called plasmonic photocatalytic composites. These systems exhibit efficient visible light absorption over a wide wavelength range and also reduces the carrier recombination rate.³⁸ In these composites, the plasmon activation of the PNP onto the surface of the semiconductor by visible light generates positive charges on the PNP and e⁻_{CB} on the nearby semiconductor. Shiraishi *et al.* described the application of the plasmonic photocatalyst Pt/TiO₂ (Degussa P25) for the visible light aerobic photooxidation of aniline to nitrosobenzene.³⁹ Higher yields and selectivities (~90%) were observed compared to other photocatalytic systems, which contain either P25, Au₂/P25 or Pd/P25 and Pt/anatase or rutile. The authors proposed that the Lewis base sites located on the Pt nanoparticles promote

the reductive deprotonation of aniline to form the corresponding anion. This further reacts with hydroperoxides species, which are formed through photogenerated electrons in the CB of TiO₂, furnishing the targeted nitrosobenzene and water. They also claimed that the photocatalytic activity of the composite was strongly dependent on the amount of Pt loaded onto the TiO₂, the temperature and the particle size.


The photooxidation power of TiO₂ was also demonstrated in other organic oxidations. For instance, Cong and co-workers reported visible light-mediated selective aerobic oxidation of benzyl ethers to benzoates using an eosin Y-sensitized TiO₂ (P25).⁴⁰ The photocatalytic system showed high efficiency and allowed to obtain the corresponding benzoates in high yields (up to 92%). The transformation displayed also high functional and protect groups tolerance, including alkyl bromide, alkyl nitrile, pyridyl, silyl ether and acetal among others and could be used to modify natural products, such as serine, threonine and monosaccharide derivatives. Based on kinetic studies and EPR (electron paramagnetic resonance) experiments, the authors suggested that the reaction mechanism goes through a single electron transfer (SET)-mediated oxidation of benzyl ethers. The homolytic cleavage of the O–O bond of the pre-formed peroxide intermediate (A) by TiO₂ photocatalysis, could result in the formation of radical species. Subsequently, these species would react with acetone (solvent) or (B) during the radical chain propagation, including a series of hydrogen atom transfer processes (HAT), to yield the corresponding benzoates (Scheme 8).

Phenol is an important chemical compound widely applied in the chemical and pharmaceutical industry. There are several methods for the synthesis of phenol starting from benzene, such as cumene–phenol process, thermal catalysis, oxidation with H₂O₂ and Fenton process. However, most of



Scheme 7 Proposed mechanism for the oxidation of benzylic amines into imines on mixed-phase TiO₂(B)/anatase TiO₂ (TW-550) under visible light irradiation. The staggered gap at the interface is clearly observed in the scheme.






Scheme 8 Oxidation of benzyl ethers photocatalyzed by eosin Y-sensitised TiO_2 . (a) Selected scope; (b) proposed mechanism.


these methods require harsh reaction conditions in combination with toxic reagents. More recently, the application of semiconductor photocatalysis has emerged as a greener alternative for the synthesis of phenol. The mechanism for the

photooxidation of aromatics into phenols involves the activation of the unsaturated $\text{Csp}^2\text{-H}$ bonds by hydroxyl radicals or holes to form radical cationic benzene species. Next, these radical cations are attacked by active oxygen species leading



Scheme 9 Proposed mechanism for the photooxidation of benzene into phenol photocatalyzed by Au@TiO_2 under visible light.





Scheme 10 Proposed mechanism for the aerobic oxidation of thioanisole photocatalyzed by TiO_2 under visible light irradiation and a tertiary amine as the redox mediator.

to the desired phenol. For instance, Di Paola *et al.* promoted the hydroxylation of various aromatic compounds using TiO_2 under UV irradiation (125 W Hg lamp, $\lambda \approx 360 \text{ nm}$).⁴¹ Interestingly, benzene derivatives bearing electron donor groups favor the oxidation of *ortho*- and *para*-positions, while electron withdrawing groups result in unselective reactions furnishing all possible isomers.

Huang *et al.* reported the synthesis and use of metal plasmonic photocatalysts (M@TiO_2 , $\text{M} = \text{Au}, \text{Pt}, \text{Ag}$) to promote the visible light induced photooxidation of benzene to phenol in water (300 W Xe arc lamp with UV cutoff filter, $\lambda \geq 400 \text{ nm}$).⁴² The best performance was achieved using Au@TiO_2 , obtaining phenol in good yield (63%) and selectivity (91%). They suggested that the photoexcitation of the plasmonic photocatalyst induces an electron transfer from the plasmonic metal to the semiconductor by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effects, leading to oxygen reduction and an electron deficient Au. The electron-depleted Au subsequently adsorbs phenoxy anions (present in the reaction medium) and provoke their oxidation to form free phenoxy radicals. These radical species are able to oxidize benzene to phenol regenerating the anion. The key step in this photocatalytic process is the oxidation of the adsorbed phenoxy anion, which is strongly influenced by the electronegativity of the metal ($\text{Au} > \text{Pt} > \text{Ag}$) (Scheme 9).

It is well known that carbon allotropes, such as C60, carbon nanotubes (CNT) and graphene (GR) may improve the photocatalytic performance of MOS due to their excellent electron conductivity, which may increase the lifetime of the photoexcited electron-hole pairs by charge separation.⁴³ In an interesting example, Shiraishi *et al.* developed a photocatalytic system containing reduced graphene oxide (rGO) and TiO_2 to carry out the photooxidation of cyclohexane into cyclohexanone using UV irradiation (2 kW Xe lamp, $\lambda > 300$

nm).⁴⁴ The system displayed higher yields and selectivities (>80%) in comparison to the use of bare TiO_2 (*ca.* 60%). They proposed that the enhancement of the selectivity is due to efficient charge separation promoted by rGO, which traps the e_{CB}^- of TiO_2 after being photoexcited, favoring the selective formation of the cyclohexanone. This event avoids the formation of single electron reduced species (*e.g.* $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$), *via* the reduction of oxygen by the e_{CB}^- in TiO_2 . These species are known to be involved in the photodecomposition of cyclohexanone. Similar studies also describe the use of hybridized rGO/ TiO_2 to promote photooxidations of alcohols⁴⁵ and reduction of nitrosobenzene.⁴⁶

The oxidation of organic sulfur compounds is a cornerstone in organosulfur chemistry.⁴⁷ Especially sulfoxides have received increasing amounts of attention due to their presence in biologically active compounds. The oxidation of sulfides using oxygen is the most straightforward approach to obtain these important moieties. The oxidation of sulfides into sulfoxides using light to activate oxygen was achieved by Chen and co-workers. Based on their experience in the synergistic photocatalytic oxidation of sulfides and the oxidative formylation of amines using TiO_2 ,⁴⁸ they explored the synergy between triethylamine (Et_3N) and TiO_2 for the oxidation of sulfides.⁴⁹ With a system comprising $\text{Et}_3\text{N}/\text{TiO}_2/\text{O}_2$, they successfully achieved the photooxidation of several thioanisole derivatives in moderate to excellent conversions (33 to 86%) and selectivities (79 to 98%). The presence of a strong electron withdrawing groups, such as $-\text{NO}_2$ group, resulted in lower conversions. They suggested that the presence of the $-\text{NO}_2$ negatively affected the Et_3N stability. However, when they increased the amount of Et_3N (from 0.03 mmol to 0.1 mmol), the desired sulfoxide was obtained in higher conversion (33 to 62%). Based on control experiments and DFT




calculations, the authors could unravel the role of Et_3N and CH_3OH in the photocatalytic process. They proposed that the interaction between Et_3N and TiO_2 favors the LMCT and results in an increased visible light response. After irradiation, the electrons in the HOMO of the adsorbed amine are excited and injected into the CB of the TiO_2 . The hole located on the tertiary amine acts as a redox mediator and favors the oxidation of thioanisole to form the corresponding sulfide cationic radical, thus regenerating the amine. Subsequent oxygen atom transfer to the sulfide restores the TiO_2 electronic state and after further electron and proton transfer (from the methanol), the targeted sulfoxide is formed (Scheme 10).

Rezaeifard and co-workers reported that Co-ascorbic acid complex-sensitized- TiO_2 ($\text{TiO}_2\text{-AA-Co}$) is able to induce a variety of organic transformations when subjected to visible light irradiation. The photocatalyst was prepared through incorporation of $\text{Co}(\text{acac})_2$ into ascorbic acid-surface-modified- TiO_2 nanoparticles. Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) data revealed a synergetic effect between Co-ascorbic acid and TiO_2 , resulting in an enhanced visible light response due to a decrease of the MOS band gap (~ 0.4 eV). The nano-hybrid photocatalyst was successfully applied to promote the aerobic oxidation of benzylic alcohols, benzylic hydrocarbons, and olefins in the presence of *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (NPHI) as a co-catalyst.⁵⁰ More recently, they expanded the reaction scope of this catalytic system to promote a cross-dehydrogenative-coupling (CDC) between aldehydes and *N*-hydroxyimides. The photocatalyst showed excellent recycla-

bility and retained a good stability and activity after several cycles (Scheme 11).

Photoinduced C–C bond formation. Besides the ability of TiO_2 to form reactive oxygen species, a photogenerated electron-hole pair under anaerobic conditions can also promote the generation of C-centered radicals, which enable C–C bond forming reactions. Albini and co-workers pioneered the use of TiO_2 to establish C–C bonds. In this seminal work, the authors promoted the radical alkylation of electron withdrawing substituted olefins using as alkylated agent 4-methoxybenzyl(trimethyl)silane and maleic acid or maleic anhydride as acceptor in the presence of TiO_2 under solar irradiation.⁷

Scaiano and co-workers reported the use of Pd@TiO_2 to enable a photochemical Ullmann reaction between two aryl iodides using both visible and UV irradiation.⁵¹ They claimed that, by subjecting Pd@TiO_2 to two-color light sources, enhanced selectivity and yields were obtained for the corresponding cross-coupled biaryl compound. According to the authors, upon UV irradiation, the photoexcited TiO_2 facilitated the formation of THF radical species (I), which are key for the photocatalytic process.⁵² This radical species subsequently transfers an electron to the aryl iodide bearing electron withdrawing groups to form a short-lived aryl radical (II), which generates the oxidative addition complex with palladium. Next, the aryl iodide bearing electron donor groups associates with the surface of the Pd or TiO_2 . Through visible light induced photoreductive elimination, the desired cross-



Scheme 11 Selected examples for the aerobic oxidation and CDC photocatalyzed by $\text{TiO}_2\text{-AA-Co}$ under visible light.




coupled product is formed (Scheme 12). Various aryl iodides could be cross-coupled using this method with yields up to 94%.

Yoshida *et al.* described the cross-coupling between tetrahydrofuran and a variety of alkanes *via* UV-induced C–H bond activation using Pt/TiO₂ as a photocatalyst (xenon lamp, $\lambda \geq 350$ nm).⁵³ They proposed that the Pt nanoparticles have a dual role in this process: (i) reducing the recombination of the photogenerated electron–hole pairs and; (ii) acting as metal catalyst to activate the Csp³–H bond of the THF or alkane molecules. Next, the same authors reported the cross-coupling between benzene and cyclohexane using metal modified-TiO₂ (metal = Rh, Pt, Au, Pd, Ag, Ni, Co) under UV and visible light irradiation (xenon lamp with a long pass filter, $\lambda > 350$ or 400 nm).⁵⁴ Pd modified-TiO₂ exhibited higher selectivity for the formation of phenylcyclohexane than other metals when UV irradiation was used. A further enhancement of the selectivity towards the desired cross-coupled product was observed when the system was irradiated with visible light ($\lambda > 400$ nm). The authors disclosed that the high selectivity observed for the Pd/TiO₂ catalytic system could be attributed to the LMCT excitation, established through π -interaction between the benzene complex and the TiO₂ surface. Based on these observations, they proposed that under


visible light irradiation the CT complex favors the formation of a benzene radical cation which can selectively activate cyclohexane to yield the cyclohexyl radical. Next, the cyclohexyl radical attacks the benzene molecule through addition–elimination and yields the desired cross-coupled product. Conversely, under UV irradiation, the formation of cyclohexyl and benzene radicals is caused by the holes on the VB of TiO₂ and the coupling between these radicals decreases the selectivity of the reaction (Scheme 13).

The light-induced radical decarboxylation of carboxylic acids is another valuable approach to obtain carbon-centered radicals. Inspired by the photo-Kolbe reaction,⁵⁵ Walton and co-workers reported the decarboxylation of carboxylic acids by photolysis using TiO₂ (P25 Degussa) under UVA irradiation (15 W Cleo tubes, $\lambda \approx 350$ nm), to obtain a range of C–C coupled products.⁵⁶ The photocatalytic protocol was successfully applied for the photolysis of a variety of carboxylic acids furnishing the corresponding homocoupled products in moderate to good yields (38–83%) and high selectivity. In this case, Pt (0.1%) was used to enhance the photocatalytic activity of the TiO₂. They also extended the scope of the decarboxylation reaction to promote the alkylation of electron-deficient alkenes using TiO₂ (P25). In all cases, the formation of moderate amounts of the corresponding reduced alkenes



Scheme 12 Dual light enables to induce Ullmann reaction photocatalyzed by Pd@TiO₂.






Scheme 14 Alkylation of alkenes with carboxylic acids promoted by TiO_2 . (a) Alkylation of *N*-phenylmaleimide with carboxylic acids; (b) addition-cyclization reaction; (c) proposed mechanism.

irradiation, the excited state of the modified- TiO_2 induces the formation of the corresponding aryl radical species (III) through SET. The aryl radical subsequently reacts with the heteroarene to yield a biaryl radical species (IV). The oxidation of the biaryl radical (IV) results in the formation of the carbocation ion (V) and is followed by proton removal and rearomatization, yielding the corresponding heterobiaryl compound (Scheme 16).

Wang and co-workers prepared a ternary system composed of a polyaniline (PANI)-graphitic-carbon nitride ($g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$) and TiO_2 composite (PANI- $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ - TiO_2). The photocatalytic activity of this composite was demonstrated for the arylation of terminal alkynes, using aryl diazonium salts as the aryl source, under visible light irradiation (14 W CFL).⁶¹ Although

the reaction worked smoothly with a variety of functionalized aryl diazonium salts and phenylacetylene derivatives leading to the corresponding α -chloro aryl ketones in good yields (up to 78%), the reaction failed when electron-rich aryl diazonium salts were employed. The difference in potentials of the band edges of the three components forms the basis of the operative mechanism and can explain these observations. After irradiation, the photogenerated electrons in PANI sequentially decay into the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ CB first, and then into the TiO_2 CB, so gradually reducing their energy. The electrons in the TiO_2 CB are able to reduce the aryl diazonium salts to form the corresponding aryl radical species. This aryl radical adds subsequently to the alkyne system. Simultaneously, the holes on the TiO_2 migrate back to the VB of the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ and to the





Scheme 15 Proposed mechanism for the decarboxylative alkylation of C–H bond photocatalyzed by erythromycin B-sensitized TiO_2 .


π -orbital of PANI, promoting the oxidation of the radical VI to furnish the desired α -chloro aryl ketone. Therefore, the lack of reactivity for the electron-rich aryl diazonium salts can be explained by the low edge oxidation potential of the π -orbital of PANI, which is unable to oxidize intermediate (VI). Nevertheless, it cannot be excluded that the oxidation can be carried through the VB of the g- C_3N_4 instead of the VB of the PANI (Scheme 17). The same group extended the application potential of this photocatalytic ternary system PANI-g- C_3N_4 - TiO_2 to visible light induced C–H arylation of heteroarenes such as enol acetates or benzoquinones using *in situ* generated diazonium salts in aqueous conditions.⁶²

The photocatalytic functionalization of C–H bonds adjacent to a nitrogen atom has been thoroughly studied in the last 10 years.⁶³ The importance of this transformation resides in that valuable building blocks can be accessed in a single step using this approach. Shen and co-workers contributed to this field by demonstrating the application of MOS to promote the cyclization of tertiary anilines with maleimides through C–H bond activation under visible light irradiation (3 W blue LED).⁶⁴ For that purpose, they decorated the surface of TiO_2 with highly dispersed NiO particles, extending



the light response of the MOS to the visible region and also reducing the hole–electron pair recombination. A strong correlation between the amount of NiO nanoparticles loaded onto the TiO_2 surface and the photocatalytic activity of the system was observed. Starting from a wide range of tertiary anilines and maleimides, a large variety of tetrahydroquinolines was synthesized in good to excellent yields (45 to 93%) in relatively short reaction time (12 h). The TiO_2 /NiO photocatalyst could be recycled and reused for at least nine consecutive cycles without significant detrimental effect on its photocatalytic activity. In the proposed mechanism, a SET from the tertiary amine to the hole of the photoexcited photocatalyst occurs, after which a proton transfer of the cationic radical (I) to the superoxide anion happens, yielding the α -amino radical species (II). The addition of this species to the Michael acceptor is followed by an intramolecular cyclization leading to radical intermediate (IV). Subsequent oxidation of IV, by oxygen or photogenerated electrons of the photocatalyst, and deprotonation yields the target product (Scheme 18).

The reaction of tertiary amines with cyanide sources affords α -amino nitriles which are versatile building blocks for






Scheme 16 α -Arylation of heteroarenes photocatalyzed by TiO₂ under visible light. (a) Proposed mechanism and (b) formation of TiO₂-diazoether.



Scheme 17 Proposed mechanism for the arylation of phenylacetylene derivatives photocatalyzed by PANI-g-C₃N₄-TiO₂.






Scheme 18 Proposed mechanism for the synthesis of α -chloro ketones using TiO_2/NiO photocatalysis under visible light irradiation.

the synthesis of nitrogen-containing compounds. Especially the Strecker reaction⁶⁵ and transition metal catalyzed C–CN bond formation⁶⁶ are the most straightforward methodologies to obtain α -amino nitriles. Using light to drive these transformations was first reported by Santamaria *et al.* in 1990⁶⁷ and, since then, various reports were published on the use of photoredox catalysis to promote the cyanation of amines to aminonitriles.⁶⁸ One elegant example of the application of MOS to light-induce cyanation of amines was reported recently by Opatz and Tremel and co-workers.⁶⁹ They anchored a 6,7-dihydroxy-2-methylisoquinolinium (DHMIQ) chromophore onto the surface of TiO_2 nanoparticles (DHMIQ– TiO_2) triggering a panchromatic sensitization of the photocatalyst which was able to promote the aerobic photocyanation of tertiary amines. With this catalyst, they were able to synthesize a variety of α -aminonitriles with excellent yields (up to 97%) starting from a range of tertiary amines using trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN) and oxygen under visible light irradiation ($\lambda = 462 \text{ nm}$). From a mechanistic point of view, they proposed that, after the irradiation of the photocatalytic system, the catechol-based ligand is excited and is able to inject an electron into the TiO_2 CB. The reduc-

tion of O_2 by the e_{CB}^- leads to the formation of the superoxide radical anion $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ that can displace CN^- from TMSCN, yielding a TMS-peroxy species. These species can accept an H-atom from the amine radical cation to form an iminium ion which can be subsequently be trapped by the CN^- furnishing the desired α -aminonitrile compound (Scheme 19).

Scaiano *et al.* reported the use of Pt nanoparticles-decorated TiO_2 as a photoredox catalyst to successfully promote the reductive dehalogenation–cyclization of aryl and alkyl iodides under UVA/visible light irradiation (solar simulator with 375 nm cut off filter).⁷⁰ The desired products were obtained in good to excellent yields (up to 87%). Despite the fact that the catalyst could be reused for 4 cycles, poor recyclability was observed, which can be attributed to the agglomeration of the Pt nanoparticles. The approach was also extended to carry out intramolecular cyclization of bisenones. However, for this reaction, the corresponding products were obtained with moderate conversions and low selectivity. The authors surmised that TiO_2 may present a dual role in this reaction: (i) photoinduced the electron transfer; and (ii) act as a weak Lewis acid, which could activate the enone favoring the formation of the radical anion upon electron reduction.






Scheme 19 Proposed mechanism for the cyanation of amines photocatalyzed by DHMIQ- TiO_2 under visible light.

Also, they claimed that the presence of Pt nanoparticles doping the TiO_2 surface could enhance the catalytic activity of TiO_2 and increase its visible light response (Scheme 20).

More recently, the same research group reported the [4 + 2] cycloaddition of indoles and electron-rich dienes promoted by Pt nanoparticles supported on TiO_2 under visible light irradiation (10 W LED, $\lambda = 460\text{ nm}$).⁷¹ The reaction worked successfully for a variety of indoles and *cis*-1,3-dienes providing the corresponding alkaloids in moderate to good yields (up to 72%) and stereoselectivities. The heterogeneous photocatalyst could be easily recuperated and reused for three cycles. However, a deleterious effect on the photoactivity of the catalyst was observed. The proposed mechanism starts with the adsorption of the indole nitrogen onto the surface of the MOS leading to a weakly visible light absorbance. Upon irradiation, the nitrogen of the indole injects electrons into the CB of the semiconductor, which are trapped by the Pt nanoparticles and subsequently quenched by either $MeNO_2$ or O_2 , decreasing the probability of electron-hole recombination. Once the indole radical-cation is formed, it can undergo an [4 + 2] cyclo-


addition with 1,3-cyclohexadiene, which is followed by reduction of the as-formed tetrahydrocarbazole radical cation with an electron originating from the $Pt@TiO_2$. The rapid acylation of the tetrahydrocarbazole prevents the overoxidation and cycloreversion of the reaction products (Scheme 21).

Inspired by the last findings, Scaiano *et al.* reported the heterogeneous dual photoredox-Lewis acid catalysis.⁷² For this purpose, they supported samarium oxide nanoparticles onto nanostructured TiO_2 and CeO_2 semiconductors. In a first attempt, the efficiency of the photocatalytic system was studied in the reductive cyclization of chalcones under visible light irradiation (90 W LED, $\lambda = 400\text{ nm}$) using diisopropylethyl amine as an electron donor. The best yield was achieved with $Sm_xO_y@TiO_2$ for the formation of the corresponding cyclopentanol (70%). The authors proposed that the modest reactivity of the $Sm_xO_y@CeO_2$ (up to 40%) in this transformation can be attributed to the lower response in the visible light region compared to $Sm_xO_y@TiO_2$, which possesses a narrower bandgap. The narrower band gap observed for the latter nanocomposite is related to the presence of in-gap electronic states corresponding to the 4f manifold of Sm, with the filled states near the valence edge of TiO_2 , which reduces the band gap effectively. Based on these results, they investigated the scope of the reaction for functionalized chalcone derivatives and the recyclability of the photocatalytic system. The corresponding cyclic dimers could be obtained in modest to excellent yields (27 to 90%) and diastereoselectivities ($>10:1$). Only traces of [2 + 2] cycloaddition products and pinacol coupling, as by-products, were observed. In some cases, the yields were superior to the ones obtained with previously reported dual homogeneous



Scheme 20 Selected example for the hydrodehalogenation and cyclization of iodine compound photocatalyzed by $Pt@TiO_2$.





Scheme 21 Proposed mechanism for the Diels–Alder reaction photocatalyzed by Pt@TiO₂.

photoredox catalysis. Using the same photocatalytic system, they also investigated the [2 + 2] photocycloaddition of (bis) enones using Sm_xO_y@TiO₂ as the photocatalyst and DABCO as a sacrificial electron donor. The desired cycloadduct products were obtained in modest to good yields (4 to 72%) and selectivities (10 to 81%). In both cases, the nanocomposite photocatalyst could be reused and recycled without apparent loss in reactivity. Regarding the mechanism, a SET from the photoexcited nanocomposite to the Lewis acid-activated substrate was suggested to yield the radical anion species. Thereafter, an intramolecular addition Michael and subsequent cyclobutanation lead to the samarium coordinate ketyl radical, which could release one electron back to the TiO₂ nanocomposite yielding the cycloadduct (Scheme 22).


Finally, Okada and coworkers demonstrated that TiO₂ can successfully photocatalyze radical cation Diels–Alder reactions and [2 + 2] cycloadditions using LiClO₄ and a nitromethane electrolyte solution under visible light irradiation.⁷³

Photoinduced C–X bond formation. The feasibility of TiO₂ to enable C–X bond formation has been also reported and have led to several synthetically valuable approaches. For instance, the α -oxidation of aldehydes is an important method to obtain asymmetric oxygen-bearing stereocenters. After the seminal contributions of Sibi and MacMillan in the asymmetric α -oxyamination of aldehydes using chiral imidazolidinones, several other approaches were reported in this field.⁷⁴ In 2009, Koike and Akita merged photoredox and amine catalysis to promote the racemic version of the α -oxyamination of aldehydes using a Ru-bipyridyl complex,

as the photocatalyst.⁷⁵ Jang *et al.* reported an enantioselective protocol for this reaction combining TiO₂, chiral secondary amines and UV irradiation (50–500 W Newport mercury-lamp).⁷⁶ The reaction was conducted using two different chiral diphenylprolinol derivatives (A and B) as organocatalysts to enhance the yields (up to 88%) and enantioselectivities (up to 78% in ee) of the corresponding α -oxy aldehydes. Based on control experiments and electrochemical data, it was suggested that the semiconductor could be involved in the oxidation of the enamine, to form the enamine radical, and in the oxidation of TEMPO leading to the corresponding cation in the photocatalytic process (Scheme 23).

Organofluorides play a pivotal role in the pharmaceutical and agrochemical sector.⁷⁷ Hence, the development of novel or improved synthetic approaches install fluorine into organic molecules is a contemporary research objective. Especially because conventional methods to incorporate fluorine typically suffer from low selectivities and poor functional group tolerance. In this regard, the conversion of carboxylic acids into the corresponding fluorinated-compounds by photoredox catalysis has emerged in the last years as a very mild way to obtain organofluorides.⁷⁸ For instance, Hammond and co-workers promoted the C–F bond formation using TiO₂ (P25), as a photocatalyst, by decarboxylative electrophilic fluorination of a wide range of aliphatic carboxylic acids using selectfluor as the fluorine source.⁷⁹ In addition to the very high turnover frequencies (up to 1050 h⁻¹), the photocatalyst could be recovered and reused during four cycles without a significant loss in catalytic performance.





Scheme 22 Proposed mechanism for the cycloaddition of (bis)enones photocatalyzed by $\text{Sm}_x\text{O}_y@TiO_2$



However, the use of more challenging primary aliphatic carboxylic acids, as starting materials, proved ineffective. Spectroscopic and mechanistic studies, suggested that two mechanisms could be involved in the photocatalytic process. First, the photogenerated holes could promote the oxidation of the carboxylate species, which are adsorbed onto the TiO_2 surface, affording the formation of the carboxyl radical. After decarboxylation, the corresponding alkyl radical can be fluorinated by selectfluor. The selectfluor cationic species can be next trapped by free electrons generated after the photoexcitation of TiO_2 yielding the more stable reduced species. In addition to the main photocatalytic cycle, another free-radical pathway process could be involved. In this case, the selectfluor radical cation could react with other species, such as carboxylate ions or adsorbed carboxylates leading to the alkyl radical and CO_2 (Scheme 24). The authors also proposed that the base may have a dual role in the mechanism: it can deprotonate the carboxylic acid and can act as a sacrificial electron donor.

Sulfoxidation of hydrocarbons is a widespread and well-known method for the synthesis of alkyl sulfonic acids.⁸⁰ Normally, this reaction requires the excitation of the SO_2 by UV or the presence of radical initiators at high-temperature. The use of visible light is appealing as a desired green alternative for the synthesis of organosulfur compounds. For in-

stance, Kisch *et al.* promoted the photosulfoxidation of alkanes using TiO_2 under visible light irradiation ($\lambda \geq 400 \text{ nm}$).⁸¹ According to the authors, the visible light response of the photocatalyst is due to the formation of a charge-transfer (CT) complex between the surface of the TiO_2 and sulfur dioxide generating a CT band in the visible region of the solar spectrum. The photocatalytic system composed by $\text{TiO}_2/\text{O}_2/\text{SO}_2$ worked smoothly using *n*-heptane, cyclohexane and adamantane, as starting alkanes, showing high chemoselectivity for the formation of the alkyl sulfonic acids. Moreover, the photocatalyst could be reused after washing with methanol. Based on experimental evidence, the authors suggested that, after visible light irradiation of the $\text{TiO}_2\text{-SO}_2$ complex, electrons are transferred into the CB of the TiO_2 , through LMCT, promoting the oxygen reduction to furnish superoxides. The SO_2 radical cation oxidizes the alkane to give the C-centered radical and a proton, which could induce a radical chain mechanism (Scheme 25).

The classical thiol-ene reaction is an anti-Markovnikov radical addition of a thiyl radical to non-activated alkenes. Similar to sulfoxidation, the reaction is generally initiated by UV irradiation, heat or radical initiators. Yoon and Stephenson's groups pioneered the application of visible light photocatalysis to induce this transformation.⁸² Inspired by this work, Greaney and co-workers used TiO_2 nanoparticles





Scheme 23 Proposed mechanism for the α -oxyamination of aldehydes with TEMPO photocatalyzed by TiO_2 .

and visible light (20 W light) (Scheme 26).⁸³ They were able to successfully click a variety of allyl and vinyl alkenes with thiols in acetonitrile using TiO_2 (1 equiv. or 0.1 equiv.) under visible light irradiation. The authors also suggested that the intriguing visible light response of the TiO_2 could be associated with the interactions between the substrates and the surface of the MOS.

Photoredox-mediated Csp²-P bond formation can be regarded as a strategy for the phosphorylation of arenes using the narrow bandgap $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}/\text{TiO}_2$ composite under visible light irradiation.⁸⁴ Starting from arylhydrazines and a range of trialkylphosphites, a variety of arylphosphonate derivatives was prepared in good to excellent yields (up to 94%). Moreover, the photocatalyst could be easily recovered and reused without significant loss in activity. The authors claimed that the synergetic combination of Cu_2O with TiO_2 may prevent the fast recombination of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs due to the electron transfer from Cu_2O to the CB of TiO_2 . The mechanism involves an aryl radical species (I), formed after the reduction of arylhydrazine by the e_{CB}^- of TiO_2 , which reacts with trialkylphosphite furnishing the phosphoryl radical (II). Then, the desired product was generated after a displacement step generating an ethyl radical (III) (Scheme 27).


Miscellaneous transformations. The self-condensation of amines is a very attractive method for the synthesis of sym-

metric secondary amines. This transformation is usually carried out using transition metal-based complexes under harsh reaction conditions.⁸⁵

Naka and co-workers reported a mild approach to promoting the self-condensation of primary amines in cyclopentyl methyl ether (CPME) using Pd/TiO_2 , as a photocatalyst, under ultraviolet irradiation (300 W Xe lamp, $\lambda = 365 \text{ nm}$).⁸⁶ The photocatalytic self-condensation afforded a variety of secondary amines in moderate to excellent yields (52 to 96%). Moreover, the protocol was extended to the one-pot photocatalytic synthesis of Alverine, a drug used for irritable bowel syndrome (Scheme 28).

The use of CT complexes to enable the C-H functionalization of tertiary amines was reported by Rueping *et al.* using TiO_2 and visible light (11 W lamp).⁸⁷ The α -functionalization of *N,N*-dimethylaniline and its derivatives with isocyanides furnished the corresponding amino amides with moderate to good yields (40 to 82%). After separation by centrifugation, the semiconductor could be reused five times without deleterious effect on the reactivity and selectivity. The proposed mechanism goes through the photogeneration of electron-hole pairs which could reduce oxygen and oxidize the starting amine into iminium ion (II), respectively. Nucleophilic attack with isocyanide leads to the formation of the nitrilium ion (III). After tautomerization, the corresponding α -amino amido product is formed (Scheme 29).






Scheme 24 Selected example and the proposed mechanism for the decarboxylative fluorination of carboxylic acids photocatalyzed by TiO₂.

Atom transfer radical addition (ATRA), also known as the Kharasch reaction, is an efficient reaction for the synthesis of difunctionalized alkanes (or alkenes) by the addition of haloalkanes across carbon-carbon double (or triple) bonds.⁸⁸ Typically, the photoinduced ATRA reaction is carried out using transition metal complexes. However, Stephenson *et al.* developed a protocol to carry out the ATRA reaction using ruthenium and iridium-based photocatalysts in the presence of a Lewis acid.⁸⁹ Next, other groups also made important advances to drive this photocatalytic reaction using Cu- or EDA-complexes and visible light irradiation.⁹⁰ Cong and Mao combined a TiO₂ semiconductor with hypervalent iodine(III) reagents, such as Togni I or PIDA ((diacetoxyiodo)benzene), as co-initiators to drive the ATRA reaction using visible light irradiation (blue LED).⁹¹ The reaction system worked smoothly


using alkyl halides containing different functional groups and unactivated olefins (up to 95% in yields). Also, a more challenging ATRA donor could be used under the optimized conditions: chloroform was used as both alkyl halide partner and solvent leading to the corresponding hydrotrichloromethylation product with good yield (62%). The reaction takes place through reduction of the Togni reagent I by the photogenerated electrons in the TiO₂ CB to yield the benzoate anion (II) and trifluoromethyl radical species (I). The latter is added to the alkene to generate the new alkyl radical (III), which reacts with the atom transfer reagent to form the byproduct (IV) and the alkyl radical (V). This radical (V) is finally involved in a radical chain pathway (Scheme 30).

Bahnemann and co-workers reported a valuable study concerning the effect of the crystalline phase of the



Scheme 25 Proposed mechanism for the primary reaction steps for the alkane sulfoxidation photocatalyzed by TiO₂.






Scheme 26 Selected scope for the thiol-ene reaction photocatalyzed by TiO_2 under visible light irradiation.


semiconductor in its surface properties.⁹² In this work, they investigated the selectivity of four different types of TiO_2 (rutile, anatase, aeroxide P25 and mesoporous anatase) and its surface properties towards the photocatalytic conversion of nitroaromatic derivatives into nitrogen-containing compounds using ethanol as solvent and hole scavenger. In this

study, they observed that the selectivity of the reaction was closely linked to the surface properties of the crystalline phase of the TiO_2 used in the photocatalytic process. By measuring the acidic properties of the surface sites of the photocatalysts, they disclosed that this property had a strong influence on the selectivity of the reaction. For instance, the




Scheme 27 Proposed mechanism for the phosphorylation of arenes photocatalyzed by $\text{Cu}_2\text{O/TiO}_2$ under visible light irradiation.





Scheme 30 ATRA reaction photocatalyzed by TiO_2 in the presence of additives. (a) Selected scope; (b) proposed mechanism.

microreactor technology showed a significant enhancement of the performance of the reaction in contrast to the batch synthesis. For justify that, they calculated the specific reactor




Catalyst	Yields (%)		
	I	II	III
Rutile	72	2	7
Anatase	49	44	4
Aeroxide P25	21	13	31
Mesoporous anatase	32	44	3
Mixture anatase + rutile	48	47	5

Scheme 31 Results for the photocatalytic conversion of *m*-nitrotoluene in EtOH using TiO_2 photocatalysts under UVA irradiation ($\lambda > 320$ nm).


performance for the batch system and the continuous flow reactor. They evaluated the performance using as a reaction model the synthesis of 2-(4-chlorophenyl)pyridine, starting from 4-chlorobenzenediazonium salt with pyridine. For the flow synthesis, the performance was estimated to be around 6000 higher than the used batch system.⁶⁰

Another example of flow photocatalysis involves the aerobic synthesis of disulfides using TiO_2 as a photocatalyst (Scheme 33).⁹⁷ The photocatalyst was loaded into a packed bed type-reactor together with glass beads and irradiated with visible light using white LEDs. Interestingly, the presence of TMEDA induced the agglomeration of TiO_2 nanoparticles thus avoiding the leaching of the particles and subsequent clogging of the capillaries. The use of continuous-flow resulted in a substantial rate acceleration compared to batch (hours to minutes). Moreover, the photocatalyst could be reused and recycled in both batch (10 consecutive times) and flow (28 h) and the reactions could be carried out at full conversion without appreciable deactivation of the catalyst.





Scheme 32 C–H arylation of heteroarenes photocatalyzed by TiO_2 immobilized in a continuous flow reactor. (a) FFMR reaction plate with TiO_2 immobilized in 32 microchannels; (b) SEM image of TiO_2 surface in the microchannels; (c) set up of the reaction (Fig. 32a–c: reprinted from ref. 96 published by the Royal Society of Chemistry).




Scheme 33 Synthesis of disulfides photocatalyzed by TiO_2 /TMEDA in flow under visible light irradiation. (a) Selected example; (b) schematic view of the packed bed reactor; (c) picture of the packed bed reactor (Fig. 33b and c: reprinted from ref. 97 Copyright (2016), with permission from John Wiley and Sons).

The synthesis of *L*-pipecolic acid from *L*-lysine was achieved by a photocatalytic process using TiO_2 /Pt nanoparticles supported on the channel walls in a Pyrex microreactor (Scheme 34).⁹⁸ The reaction mixture was irradiated with a high-pressure mercury lamp with UV transmitting filter (110 mW cm^{-2}) to obtain the desired product in 52 sec-

onds of residence time (14% yield, 50% ee). Despite the similar yield and enantioselectivity compared to batch, the conversion rate in the microreactor was 70 times larger.

Kappe *et al.* developed a scalable continuous-flow process to prepare TiO_2 nanocrystals (NCs). Monodisperse TiO_2 NCs with a rod or spherical shape in various, tunable sizes were






Scheme 34 Synthesis of L-pipecolic acid photocatalyzed by Pt/TiO₂. (a) Pt/TiO₂-modified microchannel chip and; (b) cross-sectional SEM images of the channel (Fig. 34a and b: reprinted from ref. 98 Copyright (2005), with permission from Elsevier).

synthesized. Their photocatalytic activity was investigated in flow using as a model reaction the tandem addition–cyclization reaction of *N,N*-dimethylaniline and *N,N*-methylmaleimide under ultraviolet irradiation (Scheme 35).⁹⁹ The best result was achieved using spherical TiO₂ NCs (91% in yield) with 0.5 mL min⁻¹ flow rate for 5 hours. It should be noted that TiO₂ was used as a colloidal suspension.

The transmission, as well as the uniform distribution of photons in the design of a photoreactor, are crucial factors to carry out an effective photocatalytic process.^{93b} An interesting approach, based on internal illumination, was developed by Bloh and co-workers for the photo-reduction of nitrobenzene to aniline in isopropanol using TiO₂.¹⁰⁰ For that aim, they integrated the heterogeneous photocatalyst into light emitter



Scheme 35 Tandem addition–cyclization reaction photocatalyzed by TiO₂ NCs in a continuous-flow photoreactor setup (figure reprinted from ref. 99 Copyright (2013), with permission from Springer Nature).



units by embedded wireless light emitters (WLEs), previously coated with a cyclic olefin polymer, with a multilayer $\text{SiO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ (Scheme 36). The photocatalytic spheres powered wirelessly by inductive coupling demonstrated high photonic efficiency (26%), reusability and maintain free mobility within the reaction media.

Finally, the combination of enzymes and photocatalysts to perform photo-biocatalysis is a highly valuable approach for the sustainable synthesis of relevant organic compounds. The integration of photocatalysts, such as organic dyes, quantum dots or MOS into photo-biocatalysis has shown high potential when light-insensitive enzymes are present. In this regard, the widespread applications of TiO_2 as a photocatalyst were also demonstrated in biocatalysis. Recently, very important contributions were reported in this topic and we encourage the readers to check them (Table 1).¹⁰¹


3.2. Bismuth(III)-Based semiconductors

Although Bi is ranked as the 69th most abundant element in the earth's crust, a large amount of Bi is obtained each year as a side product in the refining other metals, such as copper, lead, tin, and tungsten. The estimated world reserves exceed 320 000 tons. Therefore, bismuth and its derivatives are quite inexpensive.

Bismuth-Based semiconductors, such as $\text{BiO}(X)$ ($X = \text{Cl}$, Br and I), Bi_2MO_6 ($M = \text{Cr}$, Mo , W), BiVO_4 and Bi_2O_3 , have been widely applied in several areas such as photocatalytic water splitting and photocatalytic decontamination of wastewater and air.¹⁰² More recently, Bi semiconductors have emerged as a very promising alternative to promote photocatalytic processes in organic synthesis, including oxidations, C–C and C–X bond formation, *etc.* The hybridization of 6s Bi and 2p O orbitals at the top of the valence band allows for a narrow band gap (generally $E_g < 3.0$ eV) and also helps to increase the mobility of the photo-generated charge carriers. Their excellent electronic properties, in combination with their low toxicity, makes them good candidates to carry out organic reactions driven by visible light.

3.2.1. Bismuth oxide. Bismuth(III) oxide is an important MOS, mostly found in nature as the mineral bismite or sphalerobismite. However, it can also be achieved as a side product of melting copper and lead ores. The narrow bandgap ($E_g = 2.1$ to 2.8 eV), which makes Bi_2O_3 a visible light-responsive compound, together with its high refractive index, good photoconductivity and low toxicity makes this MOS an attractive compound for applications in synthesis. Although well-known in material science its application as a photocatalyst was only reported for the first time by Wang *et al.* in 2006.¹⁰³ They prepared Bi_2O_3 nanocrystals *via* a sonochemical approach and applied the photocatalyst in the photodegradation of methyl orange under visible light irradiation.

While the application of Bi_2O_3 as a photocatalyst in organic synthesis is quite recent, valuable synthetic methodologies have been developed in recent years. Pericàs *et al.* were the pioneers in the use of Bi_2O_3 as a photocatalyst to light induce an organic transformation. Inspired by previous work on dual organo-photocatalysis,⁸ they disclosed the use of commercially available Bi_2O_3 bulk or Bi_2S_3 nanoparticles to promote the visible light-enabled asymmetric α -alkylation of aldehydes.¹⁰⁴ Despite both semiconductors showing excellent activities at low concentrations, Bi_2O_3 was generally more active in the photocatalytic process. The authors suggested that this higher reactivity was due to the solubility of this MOS in the reaction medium. Moreover, when bromomalonate was used as an alkylated agent, the results obtained with the above mentioned bismuth-based semiconductors showed slightly higher enantioselectivity and were faster compared to the Ru-based homogeneous system.⁸ Analogous to the proposed mechanism for homogeneous photocatalysis, the photo- α -alkylation of aldehydes by MOS may involve the reduction of the α -bromocarbonyl compounds to generate the alkyl radicals (**I**). These radicals react with the chiral enamine leading to an α -amino radical (**II**) that delivers an electron to the hole of the MOS closing the catalytic cycle (Scheme 37). Based on the work of Melchiorre and co-workers,^{12b} Pericàs



Scheme 36 Photo-reduction of nitrobenzene using $\text{TiO}_2/\text{SiO}_2$ supported onto WLEs. (a) Photograph and schematic view of the modified photoreactor containing WLEs; (b) WLE; (c) coated-WLE and; (d) schematic view of WLE and coating. (Fig. 36a–d: reprinted from ref. 100 published by the Royal Society of Chemistry).




Table 1 Summary of the reactions photocatalyzed by TiO₂ described in this review

Reaction type	Photocatalyst/modifications	Irradiation	Ref.
Oxidation of alcohols	Dye-sensitized-TiO ₂	Vis	31, 32
	TiO ₂ /LMCT	Vis	33
	rGO/TiO ₂	Vis	45
	Co-Ascorbic acid/TiO ₂	Vis	50a
Oxidation of amines	TiO ₂ anatase	UV	34
	TiO ₂ /LMCT	Vis	35
	TiO ₂ (B)/anatase	Vis	36
	Pt/TiO ₂	Vis	39
	TiO ₂	UV	41
Oxidation of hydrocarbons	M@TiO ₂ (M = Au, Pt, Ag)	Vis	42
	Co-Ascorbic acid/TiO ₂	Vis	50a,b
	rGO/TiO ₂	UV	44
Other oxidations			
Oxidation of benzyl ether	Dye-sensitized-TiO ₂	Vis	40
Oxidation of sulfides	TiO ₂ -LMCT	Vis	49
C-C bond formation			
Cross-coupling	TiO ₂	Vis	7, 60
	Pt/TiO ₂	UV/vis	53
	Pd/TiO ₂	UV/vis	51
	M@TiO ₂ (M = Rh, Pt, Au, Pd, Ag, Ni, Co)	UV/vis	54
Decarboxylative alkylation	TiO ₂	UVA	56
	Dye-sensitized-TiO ₂	Vis	57
Arylation of unsaturated systems	TiO ₂	Vis	60, 96
	PANI-g-C ₃ N ₄ -TiO ₂	Vis	61, 62
	DHMIQ-TiO ₂	Vis	69
Cyanation of amines	TiO ₂	Vis	124
α-Alkylation of aldehydes	TiO ₂	Vis	71
[4 + 2] cycloaddition	Pt@TiO ₂	Vis	73b
	TiO ₂ /LiClO ₄ /CH ₃ NO ₂	Vis	72
	Sm _x O _y @TiO ₂	Vis	73a
[2 + 2] cycloaddition	TiO ₂ /LiClO ₄ /CH ₃ NO ₂	Vis	70
	Pt@TiO ₂	UVA/vis	
Dehalogenation-cyclization			
C-O bond formation			
α-Oxyamination of aldehydes	TiO ₂	UV	76
C-S bond formation			
Thiol-ene	TiO ₂	Vis	83
Sulfoxidation of HCs	TiO ₂ -LMCT	Vis	81
C-F bond formation			
Decarboxylative fluorination	TiO ₂	Solar simulator	79
C-P bond formation			
Phosphorylation of arenes	Cu ₂ O/TiO ₂	Vis	84
Miscellaneous			
ATRA	TiO ₂	Vis	91
Self-condensation of amines	Pd/TiO ₂	UV	86
CDC	Co-Ascorbic acid/TiO ₂	Vis	50c
	TiO ₂	Vis	131
Cyclization of tertiary anilines with maleimides	TiO ₂ /NiO	Vis	64
	TiO ₂	UV	99
	TiO ₂ -LMCT	Vis	97
Synthesis of disulfides	TiO ₂	Vis	87
Multicomponent reaction	Pt/TiO ₂	UV	98
Synthesis of L-pipecolic acid	rGO/TiO ₂	UV	46
Reduction of nitro aromatics	TiO ₂	UVA	92
	TiO ₂ /WLEs	UVA	100
	CuO _x /TiO ₂	UVA	148
CuAAc	TiO ₂	Vis	101
Biocatalysis			

et al. also proposed a secondary competitive cycle involving the formation of an electron-donor-acceptor (EDA) complex

which does not include the participation of any external photocatalyst. However, based on control experiments, the





Scheme 38 Thiol-ene reaction photoinitiated by Bi_2O_3 . (a) Selected scope for the thiol-ene reaction and; (b) proposed reaction mechanism.


the cation furnishes the target arylated product (Scheme 39). In a competitive pathway, a radical chain propagation mechanism could be also involved.

Pericàs *et al.* also demonstrated that the commercially available Bi_2O_3 semiconductor could be an efficient photocatalyst in the ATRA of bromoalkenes across double bonds and triple bonds.¹⁰⁷ A variety of organobromides and olefins were subjected to the reaction conditions yielding the desired ATRA products in good to excellent yields (up to 91%). The reaction worked particularly well when using dialkyl bromomalonate, as ATRA donor, and could be carried out using solar light. Mechanistically, the authors suggested that both radical-polar crossover and radical chain propagation routes could be involved in the photocatalytic process. Therefore, the photogenerated electron would reduce the organobromide compound forming the carbon-centered radical (I). This radical species is subsequently added to the double bond of the olefin giving rise to radical (II). In a radical-polar crossover pathway, radical (II) is oxidized by the holes of the valence band of Bi_2O_3 resulting in the formation of a carbocation (III). This carbocation ultimately reacts with bro-

mid forming the target ATRA product. Alternatively, radical (II) could subtract a bromine atom from the starting material leading directly to the desired product and regenerating the radical (I) that continues the chain (Scheme 40).

Free radicals are well-known species able to induce a polymerization process. Typically the radical precursor is thermally decomposed to yield the free-radical initiators. However, the poor control over chain length makes this approach less efficient and selective for industrial applications. To overcome this drawback, atom-transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) or reversible addition fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) have been developed to generate uniform polymer chain growth.¹⁰⁸ Generally, these polymerization methodologies make use of stoichiometric amounts of transition metals and high reaction temperatures, hindering their application in industry. Recently, the introduction of light enabled new modes of reactivity, such as single electron transfer (PET) processes.¹⁰⁹ Light activation is also an attractive alternative for the existing classical methods offering a low-cost, eco-friendly and energy-efficient approach to initiate polymerizations.





Scheme 39 Proposed reaction mechanism for α -arylation of heteroarenes photocatalyzed by Bi_2O_3 .


Müllner *et al.* demonstrated that Bi_2O_3 can photo-induce polymerizations (Scheme 41).¹¹⁰ The synthesis of di-block copolymers with different activated monomers and narrow molecular weight distribution was achieved using very mild conditions (room temperature, visible light irradiation). Interestingly, the polymerization could be carried out in a range of different solvents, including water. Moreover, the photocatalytic protocol displayed excellent control over degenerative chain transfer polymerizations, such as PET-MADIX and PET-RAFT. The catalyst could be easily recycled and reused for four cycles without a significant loss in catalytic performance.

3.2.2. Bismuth oxide-based semiconductors. Bi_2WO_6 belongs to the Aurivillius family of structurally related oxides with general formula $\text{Bi}_2\text{A}_{n-1}\text{B}_n\text{O}_{3n+3}$ (A = Sr, Ba, Pb, Bi, Na, K and B = W, Ti, Nb, Ta, Mo, Fe). It is better known for its ferroelectric properties and is widely applied in electronic devices. Its performance as a visible light photocatalyst has been demonstrated in water splitting and photodecomposition of organic pollutants.¹¹¹ Although Bi_2WO_6 offers a favorable valence band edge position to promote oxida-


tions, its potential as a photocatalyst to drive catalytic transformations has been sparingly explored.

One of the first applications of Bi_2WO_6 in photocatalysis was presented by Xu *et al.* in 2013.¹¹² They reported a chemoselective aerobic oxidation of glycerol to dihydroxyacetone (DHA) in water using flower-like Bi_2WO_6 crystals irradiated with visible light ($\lambda > 420$ nm). The most optimal result yielded the target compound in 87% yield and in high selectivity (91%), with glyceraldehyde being the main byproduct. Moreover, the photocatalyst could be recycled and reused for six consecutive cycles without significant loss in reactivity. Based on control experiments, the high selectivity in the formation of DHA could be explained by the absence of non-selective $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical species in the photocatalytic process (the redox potential of the photogenerated holes in the valence band of Bi_2WO_6 are more negative than that of $\cdot\text{OH}/\text{OH}^-$). Therefore, the proposed mechanism relies on the direct oxidation of the adsorbed glycerol by the photogenerated positive holes on the Bi_2WO_6 valence band and subsequent formation of the corresponding intermediate. The reaction between the intermediate and oxygen, or activated oxygen





Scheme 40 Bi_2O_3 photocatalyzed ATRA reaction. (a) Selected scope and; (b) proposed mechanism.



Scheme 41 PET-MADIX polymerization of vinyl acetate photocatalyzed by Bi_2O_3 under visible light irradiation.


(e.g. $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$), furnishes the desired DHA product (Scheme 42). Inspired by these results, they extended the protocol to promote the oxidation of benzylic alcohols also under visible light irradiation ($\lambda = 420\text{--}760\text{ nm}$).¹¹³

3.2.3. BiVO_4 . Bismuth vanadate (BiVO_4) is a wide band gap semiconductor ($E_g = 2.4\text{--}2.5\text{ eV}$) that presents several benefits such as low-toxicity, high photostability and resistance to corrosion. Since its first application as a photocatalyst for solar-assisted water splitting,¹¹⁴ researchers in material science, physical chemistry and chemistry have turned their attention

to this MOS.¹¹⁵ In addition, the position of its valence band edge (near $+2.5\text{ eV vs. NHE}$) makes this material a promising candidate to promote oxidations of organic compounds.

The synergistic effect between MOS and metal complexes can form highly efficient photocatalysts with a strong absorption in a broad spectral range. This type of photocatalysts has received special attention in the last years, especially in the photogeneration of H_2 and reduction of CO_2 .¹¹⁶ As an example, Naya *et al.* demonstrated that monoclinic sheelite BiVO_4 containing bis(acetylacetonate)copper(II) binuclear complexes






Scheme 42 Proposed mechanism for the oxidation of glycerol to DHA photocatalyzed by Bi_2WO_6 under visible light.

$(\text{Cu}(\text{acac})_2/\text{ms-BiVO}_4)$ on the surface constitute an efficient electrocatalyst for the multi-electron reduction of O_2 under visible-light irradiation, which shows high activity for the oxidation of amines, imines and alcohols.¹¹⁷ They observed that the addition of trimethylstearylammmonium chloride (C_{18}TAC) drastically enhanced the activity and selectivity of the $\text{Cu}(\text{acac})_2/\text{ms-BiVO}_4$ towards the photooxidation of benzylalcohol analogs to the corresponding benzaldehydes. Although the reaction was highly dependent on the stirring speed, the authors claimed that a C_{18}TAC monolayer was eventually growing on the ms-BiVO_4 surface, thus forming an adsorption bilayer or admicelle. This bilayer allowed for a high concentration of substrates near the ms-BiVO_4 provoking a good particle dispersity in water by electrostatic repulsion between surface charges.


Next, Li *et al.* prepared BiVO_4 crystals selectively doped in the (010) and (110) facets using Pt as reduction cocatalyst and MnO_x as oxidation cocatalyst.¹¹⁸ They demonstrated that the spatial separation of reduction and oxidation sites, avoided recombination and reversed reactions, improving conversions and selectivities for the oxidation benzyl alcohols (Scheme 43).

Similarly, Li *et al.* reported the application of BiVO_4 to drive the oxidation of amines.¹¹⁹ BiVO_4 crystals with more reactive (040) and (110) facets, using a hydrothermal procedure, were prepared. The photocatalytic activity of the crystals towards the oxidation of a variety of benzylic primary and secondary amines was investigated using visible light irradiation (xenon lamp, $\lambda > 420$ nm) and oxygen as the oxidant. The developed approach gave the corresponding target imines in high yields and selectivities (up to 99%), even when the sterically hindered *N-t*-butyl benzylamine was used (92% in yield and selectivity). BiVO_4 could be separated from the reaction media by filtration and could be reused (seven cycles) without significant activity loss. Regarding the mechanism, it was suggested that the photooxidation with BiVO_4 may have a different pathway than with TiO_2 . In TiO_2 photooxidation catalysis, the formation of the amide, *via* the adsorbed amine, is a pre-requisite in the photocatalytic process. However, based on kinetic experiments, the photogenerated electrons in the CB of BiVO_4 reduce dioxygen, forming activated oxygen species. At the same time, the amine is oxidized by the holes in the VB to form the carbocation radical intermediate. Removal of the protons yield the corresponding imine (Scheme 44).



Scheme 43 Oxidation of benzylic alcohol to benzaldehyde photocatalyzed by BiVO_4 under visible light. (a) SEM images of BiVO_4 crystals after selective deposition of Pt and MnO_x on {010} and {110} facets and; (b) schematic mechanism (Fig. 43a: reprinted from ref. 118 Copyright (2018), with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry).






Scheme 44 Proposed mechanism for the oxidation of amines into imines photocatalyzed by BiVO_4 under visible light.

Barlett *et al.* compared the reactivity of CuWO_4 and BiVO_4 powders in the photooxidation of benzylamine under visible light irradiation (blue LED, $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 460 \text{ nm}$).¹²⁰ Despite the fact that both showed near quantitative yields and high selectivity for the formation of the *N*-benzylidenebenzylamine (98 to 99% in yields), it was observed that BiVO_4 catalyzed the reaction at about twice the rate ($0.34 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ for CuWO_4 and $0.70 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ for BiVO_4). They asserted that the differences in the electronic structures of the employed photocatalysts might explain the difference in CuWO_4 and BiVO_4 reaction rates. More specifically, BiVO_4 showed a larger absorption coefficient due to a direct band transition, which is 0.2 eV greater than the indirect electronic bandgap.

3.2.4. Bismuth oxyhalides. Bismuth oxychloride (BiOCl) possesses a wide band gap ($E_g \approx 3.4 \text{ eV}$) and is the most com-


mon and representative compound of the bismuth oxyhalides family (general formula $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_m\text{X}_n$). It is a highly active photocatalyst for the degradation of dyes, water splitting, CO_2 reduction, N_2 fixation and also organic transformations.¹²¹

One elegant example of the application of BiOCl as a photocatalyst for light-driven organic transformations was reported by Li *et al.* After using BiVO_4 as the photocatalyst for the oxidation of amines,¹¹⁹ the authors exploited the potential of BiOCl to carry out the same transformation (Scheme 45). The importance of the structure of the MOS on its photocatalytic activity was shown using ultrathin graphene-like BiOCl nanosheets (BiOCl C-UTNSs).¹²² The unique layered structure enables the recombination of the photogenerated charge carriers and reduces their surface trapping. Moreover, this



Scheme 45 Oxidation of benzylamines to imines photocatalyzed by BiOCl -UTNSs under visible light. (a) TEM images of BiOCl -UTNSs and; (b) selected scope (Fig. 45a: reprinted from ref. 122 published by the Royal Society of Chemistry).





Scheme 46 α -Alkylation of octanal with organobromides using PbBiO_2Br .

behavior explains the intriguing visible light response (in contrast to the bulk material which is ultraviolet responsive). This is due to the abundant presence of oxygen vacancies in the nanosheets, which create a new impurity band between the VB and CB thus efficiently reducing the energy gap.¹²³


Regarding oxybromides, König *et al.* applied perovskite-like semiconductors, PbBiO_2Br , as the photocatalyst. This catalyst was combined with chiral imidazolone under visible light irradiation (high-power LED, 3 W, LUXEOM) to promote the asymmetric α -alkylation of aldehydes.¹²⁴ The starting octanal was alkylated with organobromo derivatives leading to the corresponding chiral alkylated aldehydes in good yields and enantioselectivities (Scheme 46).

Su *et al.* described the selective photooxidation of primary alcohols to aldehydes in air using $\text{Bi}_{24}\text{O}_{31}\text{Br}_{10}(\text{OH})_8$ as a photocatalyst.¹²⁵ The photocatalyst was prepared using a microwave-assisted method with $\text{Bi}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as a salt precursor in an alkaline aqueous solution. The microstructure analysis revealed that the material was highly porous which favors the diffusion of bulky alcohols to the active sites. Under visible light (LED, $\lambda = 450 \text{ nm}$), a series of primary, secondary and aromatic alcohols were successfully oxidized to the corresponding aldehydes or ketones in excellent conversions and selectivities (up to 99%). Notably, the quantum efficiency for the photooxidation of isopropanol was reported

to be high (71% and 55% at 410 and 450 nm, respectively) (Scheme 47). In this study, it was also observed that aromatic alcohols reacted faster than their aliphatic counterparts. This could be attributed to the presence of conjugated systems leading to a stronger adsorption onto the surface of the photocatalyst. Based on spectroscopic and spectrometric analysis, they proposed that the surface basic sites and active lattice oxygen (O_1) on the photocatalyst have a pivotal role in the dehydrogenation of the alcohols. This favors the oxidation process even in the absence of oxygen. Hence, $\text{Bi}_{24}\text{O}_{31}\text{Br}_{10}(\text{OH})_8$ first promotes the dehydrogenation of the alcohol, leading to the absorption of hydrogen on the photocatalyst.¹²⁶ Then, the O_1 species of the photocatalyst is involved in the oxidation yielding the formation of water, aldehydes and oxygen vacancies. These vacancies can be restored in the presence of oxidants (O_2 or benzoquinone) (Table 2).

3.3. ZnO

ZnO is a white powder mostly obtained from metallurgical processes based on the roasting of zinc ore. However, in Nature, it can occur as a rare mineral named zincite. Due to its low toxicity and favorable medicinal properties, ZnO is widely used in domestic care products and food factory, such as baby cream, sunscreen, calamine lotion, oral care products,



Scheme 47 Oxidation of alcohols photocatalyzed by $\text{Bi}_{24}\text{O}_{31}\text{Br}_{10}(\text{OH})_8$ under visible light irradiation. (a) TEM image and (b) band positions of the $\text{Bi}_{24}\text{O}_{31}\text{Br}_{10}(\text{OH})_8$ sample. The redox potentials of O_2 and isopropanol are also shown as references and; (c) scope for the reaction (Fig. 47a and b: reprinted from ref. 125 Copyright (2019), with permission from John Wiley and Sons).



food additive, *etc.*¹²⁷ As a semiconductor, it is widely applied in electronic and photonic devices and used for environmental photocatalysis.¹²⁸ Despite its apparent advantages, the use of ZnO as a photocatalyst to drive organic transformations is less investigated. This is mainly due to the fact that ZnO has a low visible light response ($E_g \approx 3.4$ eV, $\lambda \approx 380$ nm) and also displays fast charge recombination, limiting the overall photocatalytic efficiency. However, similarly to TiO₂, ZnO can be doped (*e.g.* sensitizers, metals, *etc.*) or tuned, allowing to extend its absorption from the UV to the visible light region and to decrease the charge recombination rate.¹²⁹

Inspired by the work of Zhao on the use of dyes to sensitize TiO₂,³¹ Robinson *et al.* used ZnO for the photooxidation of organic molecules. The photocatalytic system was composed of an alizarin (Ar) sensitized-ZnO/AgNO₃/TEMPO and was able to promote the aerobic oxidation of alcohols under visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 450$ nm).¹³⁰ The versatility of the protocol was demonstrated in the oxidation of a variety of benzylic alcohols and cinnamyl alcohol leading the corresponding aldehydes in high yields (82–99%). Remarkably, the photooxidation of more challenging secondary alcohols such as 1-phenyl ethanol gave rise to acetophenone in good yield (67%) after 2 hours reaction time. However, the photocatalytic system proved to be sluggish in the photooxidation of cyclohexanol (12% yield). The authors claimed that the presence of AgNO₃ had a dual rule in the photocatalytic process: (i) preventing the detachment of the dye and; (ii) increasing the power of the photooxidation system by its high electron acceptance capacity.

Another interesting example on the use of ZnO as a photocatalyst was reported by Rueping *et al.*¹³¹ The photooxidation of tertiary amines to form highly reactive iminium ions intermediates was possible with this photocatalyst. The iminium intermediates can be subsequently trapped with various nucleophiles leading to the formation of C–C and C–heteroatoms coupled products. This includes oxidative aza-

Henry, Mannich, cyanation reactions as well as phosphonylation of amines. Although ZnO showed interesting photocatalytic activity toward cross dehydrogenative coupling reactions, lower conversions or even decomposition of the starting material were typically observed compared to TiO₂. Nevertheless, ZnO showed higher photoactivity in the phosphonylation of tetrahydroisoquinolines yielding the amino-phosphonate adducts in moderate to excellent yields (40 to 96%) (Scheme 48). In addition, both ZnO and TiO₂ could be recycled by simple centrifugation and could be reused in consecutive cycles without apparent loss in reactivity and selectivity.


More recently, Hosseini-Sarvari and Bazayr reported the photocatalytic activity of Pd nanoparticles-supported onto ZnO semiconductors (Pd/ZnO). This catalyst system could light promote cross-coupling reactions, such as Suzuki–Miyaura, Hiyama and Buchwald–Hartwig reactions as well as carbonylation of aryl halides using visible light irradiation (11 W lamp).¹³² Moreover, the photocatalyst showed good chemical and photostability. The authors surmised that the intriguing visible-light response of the nanocomposite could be ascribed to the SPR effect of the Pd nanoparticles due to the interaction of the conduction band with the incident photons on the surface of the ZnO. Also, in the proposed mechanism for the carbonylation, they suggested that Pd(0) nanoparticles could favor the oxidative addition (Scheme 49).

Yagci and co-workers applied ZnO nanoparticles to the atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) of methyl acrylate (MMA).¹³³ Two different systems, composed either of ZnO or Fe-doped ZnO/CuBr₂/N,N,N',N',N''-penta-methyldiethylenetriamine (PMDETA), were used to furnish the corresponding polymers under UV light ($\lambda > 350$ nm) using α -bromoisobutyrate as a radical initiator. Moreover, the growth of the polymer chains could be tuned by controlling the irradiation time. Regarding the mechanism, the authors suggested that the reduction of Cu(II)/PMDETA to Cu(I)/

Table 2 Summary of the reactions photocatalyzed by bismuth-based semiconductors described in this review

Reaction type	Photocatalyst/modifications	Irradiation	Ref.
Oxidation of alcohols	Bi ₂ WO ₆	Vis	112, 113
	Cu(acac) ₂ /ms-BiVO ₄	Vis	117b
	Pt/MnO _x /BiVO ₄	Vis	118
	Bi ₂₄ O ₃₁ Br ₁₀ (OH) ₆	Vis	125
Oxidation of amines	BiVO ₄	Vis	119, 120
	BiOCl	Vis	122
	Cu(acac) ₂ /ms-BiVO ₄	Vis	117a
Oxidation of imines	Cu(acac) ₂ /ms-BiVO ₄	Vis	117a
C–C bond formation			
Arylation of unsaturated systems	Bi ₂ O ₃	Vis	106
α -Alkylation of aldehydes	Bi ₂ O ₃	Vis	104
	PbBiO ₂ Br	Vis	124
C–S bond formation			
Thiol–ene	Bi ₂ O ₃	Vis	105
Miscellaneous			
ATRA	Bi ₂ O ₃	Vis	107
Polymerization	Bi ₂ O ₃	Vis	110





Scheme 48 Phosphonylation of tetrahydroisoquinoline photocatalyzed by ZnO under visible light irradiation.



PMETA by e_{CB}^- of ZnO could activate the alkyl bromide initiator. Based on these results, they also reported the application of ZnO or Fe-doped ZnO, as photocatalysts, to promote the aerobic free-radical polymerization of vinyl monomers in both aqueous and organic media under UV irradiation (350 nm).¹³⁴

The same authors described the application of homemade ZnO nanoparticles in the presence of CuCl₂ and PMDETA to promote the copper-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) reaction in acetonitrile under visible light irradiation ($\lambda = 400\text{--}500\text{ nm}$).¹³⁵ A range of aromatic and aliphatic azides and alkynes compounds were subjected to the photocatalytic protocol giving the corresponding adducts in moder-

ate to excellent yields (up to 98%) (Scheme 50). Remarkably, in control experiments, both the absence of light or ZnO inhibited the reaction and only traces of the final product were observed.


3.4. Nb₂O₅

Niobium pentoxide (Nb₂O₅) is another wide band gap semiconductor ($E_g \approx 3.4\text{ eV}$) with a conduction band formed by empty Nb⁵⁺ 4d orbitals.¹³⁶ Due to its remarkable acidic properties, its chemical and thermal stability and low toxicity, Nb₂O₅ is widely used as a solid acid catalyst to drive important organic transformations.¹³⁷ Nb₂O₅, as a photocatalyst, is



Scheme 49 Selected example and the proposed mechanism for the carbonylation of aryl iodides using tertiary amines photocatalyzed by Pd/ZnO under visible light irradiation.






Scheme 50 Selected scope for the visible-light-induced CuAAC photocatalyzed by ZnO under visible light irradiation.

widely applied in gas sensing and energy storage as well as in degradation of organic pollutants.¹³⁸ It can also be used as a dopant of other semiconductors to form heterojunctions (e.g. Nb₂O₅/TiO₂, g-C₃N₄/Nb₂O₅, Nb₂O₅/Bi₂WO₆).¹³⁹ These heterojunctions show a better photocatalytic activity than the isolated systems by decreasing the electron-hole recombination rate. Although the application of Nb₂O₅ to drive photocatalytic organic processes is not common, few examples have been already reported. As an example, Tanaka *et al.* contrib-

uted to demonstrate the feasibility of Nb₂O₅ to promote the photooxidation of alcohols using molecular oxygen and solvent-free conditions.¹⁴⁰ They suggested that the photooxidation of alcohols over Nb₂O₅ follows a different reaction pathway than observed with TiO₂. They proposed that the adsorption of the alcohol onto the Nb₂O₅ surface as an alcoholate species is the rate-determining step. Thus, this intermediate would transfer an electron to the conduction band of the MOS under appropriate irradiation, leading to its



Scheme 51 Proposed mechanism for the oxidation of alcohols photocatalyzed by Nb₂O₅.



reduction to Nb^{4+} and to the formation of a hole on the alcoholate. Then, the alkenyl radical is dehydrogenated to form the carbonyl compound. Re-oxidation of Nb^{4+} to Nb^{5+} happens with molecular oxygen (Scheme 51). To further improve the photocatalytic activity of Nb_2O_5 , they doped its surface with small amounts of copper. As a result, they could enhance significantly the conversions by increasing the desorption rate of the formed carbonyl compounds.¹⁴¹ Encouraged by the results, the authors also used Nb_2O_5 to improve the photocatalytic activity of TiO_2 for the photooxidation of alcohols.¹⁴² The amount of Nb_2O_5 loaded onto the surface of TiO_2 has a strong impact on the selectivity of the reaction and the photocatalytic activity of the composite. The enhancement of the selectivity can be attributed to the inhibition of ozonide ion (O_3^-) formation, by surface coverage of TiO_2 with Nb_2O_5 . This avoids further oxidation of the carbonyl compounds to carbon dioxide.

Another remarkable example of the combination of Nb_2O_5 and TiO_2 was reported by Li *et al.*¹⁴³ The photocatalytic activity of the $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5/\text{TiO}_2$ heterojunction was successfully demonstrated in the photocatalytic oxidation of primary and secondary aromatic alcohols as well as in the photocatalytic reforming of methanol. The conversions obtained with the heterojunction were higher than with pure TiO_2 or Nb_2O_5 . Regarding the mechanism, upon ultraviolet irradiation (200 W, 320–780 nm), electron–hole pairs are generated. Due to the difference of the valence band edges of the semiconductors (2.81 eV for TiO_2 and 2.75 eV for Nb_2O_5), the photogenerated electrons in the conduction band of Nb_2O_5 can be transferred to the CB of TiO_2 . Simultaneously, the photogenerated holes can move from the TiO_2 to the Nb_2O_5 . Therefore, the heterojunction has a dual role: Nb_2O_5 acts as an oxidant and TiO_2 as the reducing agent in the photocatalytic process (Scheme 52).

Another photochemical transformation with Nb_2O_5 as a photocatalyst is the aerobic oxidation of amines to imines. A range of primary, secondary, cyclic and benzylic amine derivatives were converted into the corresponding imines generally with high conversions and selectivities under UV irradiation ($\lambda > 300$ nm).¹⁴⁴ Although primary benzylamines were converted into the corresponding imines with high yields and selectivities, the oxidation of primary alkyl amines or more sterically hindered amines, such as *N*-phenyl- or *N*-tert-butyl benzylamines, led to the corresponding imines in moderated selectivity (61%) or in low conversions (up to 30%) (Scheme 53). The reaction was also carried out using visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 390$ nm), even though lower conversions were observed (up to 30%). A complete mechanistic study was reported using a combination of experimental techniques and theoretical calculations.¹⁴⁵ Similar to the reaction pathways for the photooxidation of alcohols catalyzed by Nb_2O_5 , the rate-determining step is the adsorption of the amine onto the MOS surface.


Yoon *et al.* reported the formation of CT complexes through adsorption of polycyclic hydrocarbons onto the surface of TiO_2 .¹⁴⁶ Inspired by these findings, Tanaka *et al.* de-

scribed the photooxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons into carbonyl compounds using Nb_2O_5 under visible light irradiation (Hg–Xe lamp with cutoff filter, $\lambda > 390$ nm).¹⁴⁷ A vacuum heat treatment of Nb_2O_5 enhanced the photocatalytic activity of the system by favoring the LMCT between the semiconductor surface and small aromatic hydrocarbons adsorbed onto its surface. Similar to other LMCT-based photocatalytic systems, the mechanism is based on the reduction of oxygen by the electrons injected in the CB of the Nb_2O_5 . These reduced radical species are next able to dissociate the benzylic C–H bond to form the benzylic radical and $\cdot\text{OOH}$. The oxidation of the benzylic radical, by O_2 , gives rise the benzylperoxy radicals which further decompose in benzaldehyde (Scheme 54).

Scaiano *et al.* reported the use of TiO_2 and Nb_2O_5 semiconductors doped with CuO_x nanostructures to carry out aerobic copper-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition reactions under UVA irradiation.¹⁴⁸ The authors claimed that the CuO decorated onto the surface of the MOS trap the electrons, thus decreasing the electron–hole recombination and yielding Cu(I) . They argued that the presence of an amine in the system is required to act as a hole scavenger hindering the oxidation of Cu(I) . Both semiconductors showed excellent versatility with a great variety of substituted alkynes and azides leading to 1,4-disubstituted-1,2,3-triazole derivatives in moderate to excellent yields (up to 99%). Also, both catalysts showed good stability and could be reused in four consecutive runs.


3.5. WO_3

Tungsten trioxide (WO_3) is a stable and non-toxic semiconductor with a narrow band gap ($E_g \approx 2.8$ eV), which can absorb light up to 480 nm. A large variety of applications of this semiconductor have been reported, including solar cells components, gas sensors and water splitting.¹⁴⁹ For these



Scheme 52 Schematic diagram of $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5/\text{TiO}_2$ heterojunction and relative CB and VB structure and charge-transfer of the photo-generated electrons and holes.





Scheme 53 Selected scope for the oxidation of amines photocatalyzed by Nb_2O_5 .


reasons, it is an excellent candidate for applications in visible light photocatalysis. However, WO_3 exhibits a relatively positive conduction band (e.g., +0.5 V vs. NHE for WO_3) that limits its capacity to reduce O_2 , thus hindering its application in the oxidation of organic compounds by light. Moreover, it displays a rapid recombination of the photogenerated charges, limiting further its application in photocatalysis. The improvement of its photocatalytic activity can be achieved by loading its surface with metals.¹⁵⁰ This allows to construct catalytic materials which are able to promote the multi-electron reduction of O_2 .

Abe *et al.* reported the oxidation of benzene to phenol by using Pt loaded onto WO_3 , as a visible light photocatalyst.¹⁵¹ The authors observed that the system composed by Pt/ WO_3 showed higher selectivity for the phenol production (74% of selectivity) when compared with platinumized or bare TiO_2 . It was hypothesized that the high selectivity is due to the presence of the platinum co-catalyst, which favors the two-electron reduction of O_2 .¹⁵² This results in the formation of mainly H_2O_2 and thus avoids the formation of stronger oxidizing radical species, such as $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$ and $\cdot\text{HO}_2$. The formed H_2O_2 can be rapidly decomposed in water and oxygen, consequently, having an insignificant effect on the oxidation pathway (Scheme 55). Moreover, since benzene and phenol show less affinity with the WO_3 surface than with the TiO_2 surface,

the photogenerated holes on WO_3 mainly react with H_2O to form $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical species, which subsequently react with benzene to produce selectively phenol.


Next, Abe *et al.* expanded the approach for the photooxidation of 2-propanol to acetone using WO_3 loaded with palladium oxide (PdO_x/WO_3).¹⁵³ This photocatalytic system demonstrated higher selectivity under UV-visible light irradiation (300 W Xe lamp, $300 < \lambda < 500$ nm) compared to other photocatalysts, such as Pt/ WO_3 , Pd/ TiO_2 or Pt/ TiO_2 . Similar to the case of Pt-loaded WO_3 , the role of the PdO_x on the photocatalytic composite was to promote the highly selective two-electron reduction of O_2 to form H_2O_2 . Next, it reacts with the holes generated in PdO_x/WO_3 system, suppressing the overoxidation of the formed acetone. Moreover, the higher selectivity observed in PdO_x/WO_3 seems to be related to the photogenerated holes in WO_3 . Due to the low affinity of the WO_3 surface with the starting material and acetone, the photogenerated holes engage preferentially with water molecules generating $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical species that react specifically with alcohols to produce acetone (Scheme 56).

Wang *et al.* developed an efficient photocatalytic system for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehydes replacing the use of noble metals as a doping agent by fluor anion. The as-prepared fluorinated-mesoporous WO_3 (Fm- WO_3)



Scheme 54 Proposed mechanism for the visible light photooxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons via LMCT on the surface of Nb_2O_5 .





Scheme 55 Proposed mechanism for phenol production from benzene photocatalyzed by Pt/WO₃.


showed good conversion (>57%) and excellent selectivity (>99%) towards the photooxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde. The effect of fluorination in the MOS included the enhancement of the adsorption of the benzyl alcohol on Fm-WO₃ surface through H-F bond formation triggering an efficient photocatalytic process. Moreover, its large surface area, furnish more active sites for O₂ absorption which benefit efficient multi-electron reduction of O₂ to H₂O₂ and, therefore, its photocatalytic activity.¹⁵⁴

The creation of defect states in MOS, such as oxygen vacancies, by defect engineering, can have a significant impact on its electronic structure, ionic/electronic conductivity and catalytic activity. Regarding the creation of oxygen vacancies, such defects can modify the bulk material changing the interactions of the catalyst with the substrates enhancing the performance of the MOS.¹⁵⁵ For instance, Xiong and co-workers demonstrated that the creation of oxygen vacancies in WO₃ nanosheets led to numerous coordinatively unsaturated sites on its surface for oxygen activation.¹⁵⁶ As a proof of concept,

the as-formed MOS was used as a photocatalyst to promote the aerobic coupling of amines into imines under visible light. The photocatalytic system showed high efficiency, enhancing the kinetic rate six times compared to defect-deficient WO₃. Moreover, its high stability was demonstrated in recycling-reuse experiments. They asserted that the oxygen chemisorption, favored at the defect sites, yielded the formation of superoxide radicals in a chemisorbed state (O₂^{•-}). In a subsequent step, these radical species could react with the amines adsorbed at the neighboring sites (Table 3).

4. Outlook

In recent years, the application of heterogeneous metal oxide semiconductors (MOS) in photocatalytic organic synthesis has gained considerable traction as a viable alternative for the use of homogeneous transition metal-based complexes and organic dyes. The most attractive features of MOS



Scheme 56 Proposed mechanism for the oxidation of 2-propanol into acetone photocatalyzed by PdO_x/WO₃.



Table 3 Summary of the reactions photocatalyzed by Nb₂O₅, ZnO or WO₃ semiconductors described in this review

Reaction type	Photocatalyst/modifications	Irradiation	Ref.
Oxidation of alcohols	Dye-sensitized-ZnO	Vis	130
	Nb ₂ O ₅ /TiO ₂	UV	142, 143
	Nb ₂ O ₅	UV	140
	Cu/Nb ₂ O ₅	UV	141
	PdO _x /WO ₃	Vis	153
Oxidation of amines	Fm-WO ₃	Vis	154
	Nb ₂ O ₅	UV/vis	144, 145
Oxidation of hydrocarbons	Nb ₂ O ₅ /LMCT	Vis	147
	Pt/WO ₃	Vis	152
C–C bond formation			
Cross-coupling	Pd/ZnO	Vis	132a,b
C–N bond formation			
Cross-coupling	Pd/ZnO	Vis	132a
C–P bond formation			
Phosphonylation	ZnO	Vis	131
Miscellaneous			
CuAAC	ZnO	Vis	135
	CuO _x /Nb ₂ O ₅	Vis	148
CDC	ZnO	Vis	131
Polymerization	ZnO or Fe/ZnO	UV	133, 134
Condensation of amines	WO ₃	Vis	156

photocatalysts are their heterogeneous nature, abundance, low cost, low toxicity, and unique and tunable electronic and optical properties. Moreover, most MOS catalysts display also a high chemical and thermal stability, which enables their use even under aggressive reaction conditions.

Despite those apparent advantages, there are still some considerable challenges which hamper their use in all potential photocatalytic transformations. These hurdles for further implementation of MOS include (i) the – sometimes – low chemical selectivity, which is mostly associated with the photogeneration of high-energetic holes in wide bandgap semiconductors; (ii) the limited capacity of visible light absorption due to their wide bandgap; (iii) the charge-carrier and fast charge recombination which may also hinder their photon-efficiency and; (iv) the non-ideal band edge potentials. Considerable research efforts should be devoted to these specific aspects to increase the application potential of MOS photocatalysis in organic synthesis. Given the interdisciplinarity of the application of MOS photocatalysis, collaborative efforts between, amongst others, organic chemists, catalysis experts and material scientists will be required to solve these important challenges.

Concerning the band edge potentials, MOS possess valence band edges with sufficiently high potentials to oxidize most of the organic molecules. However, the energy of their conduction bands is frequently lower than the HOMO of these molecules. The latter aspect may hamper MOS-based photocatalytic processes involving reduction pathways.

Finally, recent advances in continuous-flow processes have allowed the use of heterogeneous reagents and catalysts, even in microchannels. Despite this progress, the application of MOS in flow is still rare. However, the combination of an im-

proved irradiation of the MOS photocatalyst in flow together with increased mass transfer characteristics should allow for more selective and faster photochemical processes. Hence, we do expect that more flow applications will be reported in due course.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support from the Dutch Science Foundation (NWO) for a VIDI grant for T. N. (SensPhotoFlow, No. 14150). P. R. received financial support from EU through the MSCA Individual Fellowship program (MOSPhotocat, Grant No. 793677).

References

- For reviews on solar photochemistry, see: (a) M. Oelgemoeller, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 9664–9682; (b) D. Cambié and T. Noël, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2018, **376**, 45.
- PAC, 2007, **79**, 293, *Glossary of terms used in photochemistry*, IUPAC Recommendations, 3rd edn, 2006, p. 384.
- (a) A. E. Becquerel, *Comptes Rendus de L'Academie des Sciences*, 1839, **9**, 561–567; (b) A. E. Becquerel, *Comptes Rendus de L'Academie des Sciences*, 1839, **9**, 145–149.
- (a) L. Bruner and J. Kozak, *Z. Elektrochem. Angew. Phys. Chem.*, 1911, **17**, 354–360; (b) A. Eibner, *Chem.-Ztg.*, 1911, **35**, 753–788; (c) A. Eibner, *Chem.-Ztg.*, 1911, **35**, 774–776; (d) A. Eibner, *Chem.-Ztg.*, 1911, **35**, 786–788.



- 5 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1972, **238**, 37–38.
- 6 For reviews on applications of MOS, see: (a) A. Kudo and Y. Miseki, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 253–278; (b) S. N. Habisreutinger, L. Schmidt-Mende and J. K. Stolarczyk, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7372–7408; (c) A. Hagfeldt, G. Boschloo, L. Sun, L. Kloo and H. Pettersson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6595–6663; (d) C. Chen, W. Ma and J. Zhao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 4206–4219.
- 7 L. Cermenati, C. Richter and A. Albini, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 805–806.
- 8 D. A. Nicewicz and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Science*, 2008, **322**, 77–80.
- 9 M. A. Ischay, M. E. Anzovino and T. P. Yoon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 12886–12887.
- 10 J. M. R. Narayanam, J. W. Tucker and C. R. J. Stephenson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 8756–8757.
- 11 For selected reviews on photoredox catalysis, see: (a) N. A. Romero and D. A. Nicewicz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10075–10166; (b) C.-S. Wang, P. H. Dixneuf and J.-F. Soullé, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **16**, 7532–7585; (c) C. Bottecchia and T. Noël, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 26–42.
- 12 (a) K. Fidaly, C. Ceballos, A. Falguières, M. S.-I. Veitia, A. Guy and C. Ferroud, *Green Chem.*, 2012, **14**, 1293–1297; (b) E. Arceo, I. D. Jurberg, A. A. Fernández and P. Melchiorre, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 750–756; (c) M. Silvi, E. Arceo, I. D. Jurberg, C. Cassani and P. Melchiorre, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 6120–6123.
- 13 For reviews, see: (a) A. M. Fox and M. T. Dulay, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 341–357; (b) D. Friedmann, A. Hakki, H. Kim, W. Choi and D. Bahnemann, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 5391–5411; (c) J. Chen, J. Cen, X. Xu and X. Li, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **6**, 349–362; (d) C. Huang, X.-B. Li, C.-H. Tung and L.-Z. Wu, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 11530–11534; (e) H. Hao and X. Lang, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, **11**, 1378–1393.
- 14 For reviews, see: (a) M. R. Hoffmann, S. Martin, W. Choi and D. W. Bahnemann, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **96**, 69–96; (b) X. Yu, T. J. Marks and A. Facchetti, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 383–396; (c) Y. S. Rim, H. Chen, B. Zhu, S.-H. Bae, S. Zhu, P. J. Li, I. C. Wang and Y. Yang, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **4**, 1700020.
- 15 For review, see: B. Weng, M.-Y. Qi, C. Han, Z.-R. Tang and Y.-J. Xu, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 4642–4687.
- 16 For selected examples, see: (a) F. Amano, A. Yamakata, K. Nogami, M. Osawa and B. Ohtani, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 17650–17651; (b) A. McLaren, T. Valdes-Solis, G. Li and S. C. Tsang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 12540–12541; (c) F. Amano, E. Ishinaga and A. Yamakata, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 22584–22590; (d) X. Zhang, J. Qin, Y. Xue, P. Yu, B. Zhang, L. Wang and R. Liu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 4596.
- 17 For review, see: (a) C. Gao, J. Wang, H. Xu and Y. Xiong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 2799–2823; (b) C. Xu, P. R. Anusuyadevi, C. Aymonier, R. Luque and S. Marre, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019, **48**, 3868–3902.
- 18 B. O'Regan and M. Grätzel, *Nature*, 1991, **353**, 737–740.
- 19 For reviews, see: (a) M. Grätzel, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., C*, 2003, **4**, 145–153; (b) X. Zhang, T. Peng and S. Song, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 2365–2402.
- 20 For reviews, see: (a) N. A. Anderson and T. Lian, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **248**, 1231–1246; (b) M. Watanabe, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **18**, 705–723.
- 21 For review, see: A. Mishra, M. K. R. Fischer and P. Bäuerle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 2474–2499.
- 22 For review, see: G. Zhang, G. Kim and W. Choi, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 954–966.
- 23 For selected examples, see: (a) W. Zhao, W. Ma, C. Chen, J. Zhao and Z. Shuai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 4782–4783; (b) Y. Zhang, Z.-R. Tang, X. Fu and Y.-J. Xu, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 7303–7314; (c) X. Zhang, X. Li and N. Deng, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **51**, 704–709; (d) X. Xiao, W.-W. Zhu, Y.-B. Lei, Q.-Y. Liu, Q. Lia and W.-W. Li, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 35449–35454.
- 24 C. F. Goodeve and J. A. Kitchener, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1938, **34**, 570–579.
- 25 For reviews, see: (a) X. Chen and S. S. Mao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2891–2959; (b) U. I. Gaya and A. H. Abdullah, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., C*, 2008, **9**, 1–12; (c) M. Nasr, C. Eid, R. Habchi, P. Miele and M. Bechelany, *ChemSusChem*, 2018, **11**, 3023–3047.
- 26 For reviews, see: (a) R. Asahi, T. Morikawa, H. Irie and T. Ohwak, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 9824–9852; (b) H. Xu, S. Ouyang, L. Liu, P. Reunchan, N. Umezawa and J. Ye, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 12642–12661; (c) H. Park, H.-I. Kim, G.-H. Moon and W. Choi, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 411–433; (d) M. Humayun, F. Raziq, A. Khan and W. Luo, *Green Chem. Lett. Rev.*, 2018, **11**, 86–102; (e) H. Hao, L. Zhang, W. Wang and S. Zeng, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **8**, 1229–1250.
- 27 Y. Nosaka and A. Y. Nosaka, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 11302–11336.
- 28 For reviews, see: (a) M. C. De Rosa and R. J. Crutchley, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **233–234**, 351–371; (b) C. Schweitzer and R. Schmidt, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 1685–1757; (c) A. A. Ghogare and A. Greer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 9994–10034.
- 29 (a) R. Konaka, E. Kasahara, W. C. Dunlap, Y. Yamamoto, K. C. Chien and M. Inoue, *Free Radical Biol. Med.*, 1999, **27**, 294–300; (b) Y. Nosaka, T. Daimon, A. Y. Nosaka and Y. Murakami, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, **6**, 2917–2918; (c) T. Daimon and Y. Nosaka, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 4420–4424; (d) A. V. Demyanenko, A. S. Bogomolov, N. V. Dozmorov, A. I. Syatova, A. P. Pyryaeva, V. G. Goldort, S. A. Kochubei and A. V. Baklanov, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, **123**, 2175–2181.
- 30 For selected examples, see: (a) A. Jańczyk, E. Krakowska, G. Stochel and W. Macyk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 15574–15575; (b) M. Buchalska, M. Kobielski, A. Matuszek, M. Pacia, S. Wojtyła and W. Macyk, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 7424–7431; (c) C. Cantau, T. Pigot, J.-C. Dupin and S. Lacombe, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2010, **216**, 201–208; (d) H. Wang, D. Yong, S. Chen, S. Jiang, X. Zhang, W. Shao, Q. Zhang, W. Yan, B. Pan and Y. Xie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 1760–1766.
- 31 M. Zhang, C. Chen, W. Ma and J. Zhao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 9730–9733.



- 32 Y. Zhang, Z. Wang and X. Lang, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, 7, 4955–4963.
- 33 S. Higashimoto, N. Kitao, N. Yoshida, T. Sakura, M. Azuma, H. Ohue and Y. Sakata, *J. Catal.*, 2009, 266, 279–285.
- 34 X. Lang, H. Ji, C. Chen, W. Ma and J. Zhao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 3934–3937.
- 35 X. Lan, W. Ma, Y. Zhao, C. Chen, H. Ji and Z. Zhao, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, 18, 2624–2631.
- 36 J. Dai, J. Yang, X. Wang, L. Zhang and Y. Li, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, 349, 343–352.
- 37 (a) D. A. H. Hanaor and C. C. Sorrell, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2011, 46, 855–874; (b) E. Oi, M.-Y. Choo, H. V. Lee, H. C. Ong, S. B. A. Hamid and J. C. Juan, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 108741–108754.
- 38 For reviews, see: (a) P. Wang, B. Huang, Y. Daia and M.-H. Whangbo, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 9813–9825; (b) K. H. Leong, A. A. Aziz, L. C. Sim, P. Saravanan, M. Jang and D. Bahnemann, *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*, 2018, 9, 628–648.
- 39 Y. Shiraishi, H. Sakamoto, K. Fujiwara, S. Ichikawa and T. Hirai, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, 4, 2418–2425.
- 40 L. Ren, M.-M. Yang, C.-H. Tung, L.-Z. Wu and H. Cong, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 8134–8138.
- 41 G. Palmisano, M. Addamo, V. Augugliaro, T. Caronna, A. Di Paola, E. G. López, V. Loddo, G. Marci, L. Palmisano and M. Schiavello, *Catal. Today*, 2007, 122, 118–127.
- 42 Z. Zheng, B. Huang, X. Qin, X. Zhang, Y. Dai and M.-H. Whangbo, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, 21, 9079–9087.
- 43 W. Tu, Y. Zhou and Z. Zou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, 23, 4996–5008.
- 44 Y. Shiraishi, S. Shiota, H. Hirakawa, S. Tanaka, S. Ichikawa and T. Hirai, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 293–300.
- 45 (a) M.-Q. Yang, N. Zhang and Y.-J. Xu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, 5, 1156–1164; (b) L. Yuan, Q. Yu, Y. Zhang and Y.-J. Xu, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 15264–15270.
- 46 (a) C. Xu, Y. Yuan, R. Yuan and X. Fu, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, 3, 18002–18008; (b) X. Pan, M.-Q. Yang, Z.-R. Tang and Y.-J. Xu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, 118, 27325–27335.
- 47 For reviews, see: (a) A. Levai, *ARKIVOC*, 2003, 14, 14–30; (b) I. Barnes, J. Hjorth and N. Mihalopoulos, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, 106, 940–975; (c) H. Mutlu, E. B. Ceper, X. Li, J. Yang, W. Dong, M. M. Ozmen and P. Theato, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2019, 40, 1800650.
- 48 X. Lang, W. R. Leow, J. Zhao and X. Chen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 1075–1082.
- 49 X. Lang, W. Hao, W. R. Leow, S. Li, J. Zhao and X. Chen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 5000–5005.
- 50 (a) M. Jafarpour, F. Feizpour and A. Rezaeifard, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 54649–54660; (b) M. Jafarpour, F. Feizpour and A. Rezaeifard, *Synlett*, 2017, 28, 235–238; (c) M. Jafarpour, F. Feizpour and A. Rezaeifard, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, 42, 807–811.
- 51 N. Marina, A. B. Lanterna and J. C. Scaiano, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, 8, 7593–7597.
- 52 A. Hainer, N. Marina, S. Rincon, P. Costa, A. E. Lanterna and J. C. Scaiano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, 141, 4531–4535.
- 53 A. Tyagi, A. Yamamoto, T. Kato and H. Yoshida, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, 7, 2616–2623.
- 54 A. Yamamoto, T. Ohara and H. Yoshida, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, 8, 2046–2050.
- 55 B. Kraeutler, C. D. Jaeger and A. J. Bard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, 100, 4903–4905.
- 56 (a) D. W. Manley, R. T. McBurney, P. Miller, R. F. Howe, S. Rhydderch and J. C. Walton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 13580; (b) D. W. Manley, R. T. McBurney, P. Miller and J. C. Walton, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 79, 1386–1398.
- 57 L. Ren and H. Cong, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, 20, 3225–3228.
- 58 For reviews, see: (a) M. M. Conn and J. Rebek Jr, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, 97, 1647–1668; (b) R. Capdeville, E. Buchdunger, J. Zimmermann and A. Matter, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2002, 1, 493–502; (c) A. R. Murphy and J. M. J. Fréchet, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, 107, 1066–1096; (d) C. Wang, H. Dong, W. Hu, Y. Liu and D. Zhu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 112, 2208–2267.
- 59 For reviews, see: (a) F. Shibahara and T. Murai, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 2, 624–636; (b) H. Bonin, M. Sauthier and F.-X. Felpin, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, 356, 645–671; (c) R. Rossi, M. Lessia, C. Manzinia, G. Marianetti and F. Bellina, *Synthesis*, 2016, 48, 3821–3862; (d) P. Gao, Y.-R. Gu and X.-H. Duan, *Synthesis*, 2017, 49, 3407–3421; For a recent example, see: H. P. L. Gemoets, I. Kalvet, A. V. Nyuchev, N. Erdmann, V. Hessel, F. Schoenebeck and T. Noël, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 8, 1046–1055.
- 60 J. Zoller, D. C. Fabry and M. Rueping, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, 5, 3900–3904.
- 61 W. Liu, C. Wang and L. Wang, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2017, 56, 6114–6123.
- 62 L. Wang, J. Shen, S. Yang, W. Liu, Q. Chen and M. He, *Green Chem.*, 2018, 20, 1290–1296.
- 63 For reviews, see: (a) K. R. Campos, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, 36, 1069–1084; (b) L. Shi and W. Xia, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, 41, 7687–7697.
- 64 J. Tang, G. Grampp, Y. Liu, B.-X. Wang, F.-F. Tao, L.-J. Wang, X.-Z. Liang, H.-Q. Xiao and Y.-M. Shen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 80, 2724–2732.
- 65 For review, see: V. V. Kouznetsov and C. E. P. Galvis, *Tetrahedron*, 2018, 74, 773–810.
- 66 For review, see: Y. Ping, Q. Ding and Y. Peng, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, 6, 5989–6005.
- 67 J. Santamaria, M. T. Raddachi and J. Rigaudy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, 314735–314738.
- 68 For selected examples, see: (a) S.-I. Murahashi, N. Komiya and H. Terai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 6931–6933; (b) M. Rueping, S. Zhua and R. M. Koenigsa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 12709–12711; (c) Y. Pan, S. Wang, C. W. Kee, E. Dubuisson, Y. Yang, K. P. Loh and C.-H. Tan, *Green Chem.*, 2011, 13, 3341–3344; (d) D. B. Ushakov, K. Gilmore, D. Kopetzki, D. T. McQuade and P. H. Seeberger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 557–561.
- 69 A. M. Nauth, E. Schechtel, R. Dören, W. Tremel and T. Opatz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, 140, 14169–14177.



- 70 C. D. McTiernan, S. P. Pitre, H. Ismaili and J. C. Scaiano, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 2819–2824.
- 71 S. P. Pitre, J. C. Scaiano and T. P. Yoon, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 6440–6444.
- 72 G. K. Hodgson and J. C. Scaiano, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 2914–2922.
- 73 (a) Y. Okada, N. Maeta, K. Nakayama and H. Kamiya, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**, 4948–4962; (b) K. Nakayama, N. Maeta, G. Horiguchi, H. Kamiya and Y. Okada, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 2246–2250.
- 74 (a) M. P. Sibi and M. Hasagawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 4124–4125; (b) S. P. Simonovich, J. F. Van Humbeck and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 58–61.
- 75 T. Koike and M. Akita, *Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **38**, 166–167.
- 76 X.-H. Ho, M.-J. Kang, S.-J. Kim, E. D. Park and H.-Y. Jang, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **1**, 923–926.
- 77 For reviews, see: (a) K. Müller, C. Faeh and F. Diederich, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 1881–1886; (b) S. Purser, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow and V. Gouverneur, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 320–330; (c) A. Harsanyi and G. Sandford, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 2081–2086.
- 78 For review, see: J. Xuan, Z.-G. Zhang and W.-J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 15632–15641.
- 79 G. Tarantino and C. Hammond, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 10321–10333.
- 80 C. Platz and K. Schimmelschmidt, *Chem. Abstr.*, 1940, **38**, 1249.
- 81 (a) F. Parrino, A. Ramakrishnam and H. Kisch, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 7107–7109; (b) F. Parrino, A. Ramakrishnam, C. Damn and H. Kisch, *ChemPlusChem*, 2012, **77**, 713–720.
- 82 (a) E. L. Tyson, M. S. Ament and T. P. Yoon, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 2046–2050; (b) E. L. Tyson, Z. L. Niemeyer and T. P. Yoon, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 1427–1436; (c) M. H. Kaylor, J. E. Park, C.-J. Wallentin and C. R. J. Stephenson, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 4264–4269.
- 83 V. T. Bhat, P. A. Duspara, S. Seo, N. S. B. A. Bakar and M. F. Greaney, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 4383–4385.
- 84 M. H. Sarvari, F. Jafari, A. Mohajeri and N. Hassani, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **8**, 4044–4051.
- 85 For selected examples: (a) A. Prades, E. Peris and M. Albrecht, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 1162–1167; (b) R. D. Patil and S. Adimurthy, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 1695–1700; (c) W. He, L. Wang, C. Sun, K. Wu, S. He, J. Chen, P. Wu and Z. Yu, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2011, **18**, 13308–13317; (d) S. Zhao, C. Liu, Y. Guo, J.-C. Xiao and Q.-Y. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 8926–8931.
- 86 L.-M. Wang, K. Kobayashi, M. Arisawa, S. Saito and H. Naka, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 341–344.
- 87 C. Vila and M. Rueping, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 2056–2059.
- 88 (a) M. S. Kharasch, E. V. Jensen and W. H. Urry, *Science*, 1945, **102**, 128; (b) M. S. Kharasch, P. S. Skell and P. Fisher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1948, **70**, 1055–1059.
- 89 (a) J. D. Nguyen, J. W. Tucker, M. D. Konieczynska and C. R. J. Stephenson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 4160–4163; (b) C.-J. Wallentin, J. D. Nguyen, P. Finkbeiner and C. R. J. Stephenson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 8875–8884.
- 90 (a) M. Pirtsch, S. Paria, T. Matsuno, H. Isobe and O. Reiser, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 7336–7340; (b) S. Paria, M. Pirtsch, V. Kais and O. Reiser, *Synthesis*, 2013, **45**, 2689–2698; (c) E. Arceo, E. Montroni and P. Melchiorre, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 12064–12068.
- 91 L.-L. Mao and H. Cong, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 4461–4464.
- 92 A. Hakki, R. Dillert and D. W. Bahnemann, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 2992–3002.
- 93 For reviews, see: (a) Y. Su, N. J. W. Straathof, V. Hessel and T. Noël, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 10562–10589; (b) D. Cambiè, C. Bottechia, N. J. W. Straathof, V. Hessel and T. Noël, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10276–10341; (c) T. Noël, *J. Flow Chem.*, 2017, **7**, 87–93; (d) J. Yue, *Catal. Today*, 2018, **308**, 3–19.
- 94 For review, see: R. L. Hartman, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2012, **165**, 870–887.
- 95 For selected examples: (a) R. F. P. Nogueira and W. F. Jardim, *Sol. Energy*, 1996, **56**, 471–477; (b) J. Grzechulska and A. W. Morawski, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2003, **46**, 415–419; (c) M. A. Ferguson and J. G. Hering, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2006, **40**, 4261–4267; (d) M. Dilla, A. E. Becerikli, A. Jakubowski, R. Schlögl and S. Ristig, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2019, **18**, 314–318.
- 96 D. C. Fabry, Y. A. Ho, R. Zapf, W. Tremel, M. Panthöfer, M. Rueping and T. H. Rehm, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 1911–1918.
- 97 C. Bottechia, N. Erdmann, P. M. A. Tijssen, L.-G. Milroy, L. Brunsveld, V. Hessel and T. Noël, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, **9**, 1781–1785.
- 98 G. Takei, T. Kitamiro and H.-B. Kim, *Catal. Commun.*, 2005, **6**, 357.
- 99 M. Bagbanzadeh, T. N. Glasnov and C. O. Kappe, *J. Flow Chem.*, 2013, **3**, 109–113.
- 100 B. O. Burek, A. Sutor, D. W. Bahnemann and J. Z. Bloh, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **7**, 4977–4983.
- 101 For reviews, see: (a) L. Schermund, V. Jurkaš, F. F. Özgen, G. D. Barone, H. C. Büchenschütz, C. K. Winkler, S. Schmidt, R. Kourist and W. Kroutil, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 4115–4144; (b) C. J. Seel and T. Gulder, *ChemBioChem*, 2019, **20**, 1871–1897.
- 102 For review, see: X. Meng and Z. Zhang, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2016, **423**, 533–549.
- 103 L. Zhang, W. Wang, J. Yang, Z. Chen, W. Zhang, L. Zhou and S. Liu, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2006, **308**, 105–110.
- 104 P. Riente, A. M. Adams, J. Albero, E. Palomares and M. A. Pericàs, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 9613–9616.
- 105 O. O. Fadeyi, J. J. Mousseau, Y. Feng, C. Allais, P. Nuhant, M. Z. Chen, B. Pierce and R. Robinson, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 5756–5759.
- 106 L. Buglioni, P. Riente, E. Palomares and M. A. Pericàs, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, 6986–6990.
- 107 P. Riente and M. A. Pericàs, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 1841–1844.



- 108 For review, see: (a) K. Matyjaszewski and N. V. Tsarevsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 6513–6533; (b) C. Boyer, N. A. Corrigan, K. Jung, D. Nguyen, T.-K. Nguyen, N. N. M. Adnan, S. Oliver, S. Shanmugam and J. Yeow, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 1803–1949.
- 109 For a review on photo-induced polymerizations, see: (a) M. Chen, M. Zhong and J. A. Johnson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10167–10211; (b) Q. Michaudel, V. Kottisch and B. P. Fors, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 9670–9679.
- 110 K. Hakobyan, T. Gegenhuber, C. S. P. McErlean and M. Müllner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 1828–1832.
- 111 T. Saison, P. Gras, N. Chemin, C. Chanéac, O. Durupthy, V. Brezová, C. Colbeau-Justin and J.-P. Jolivet, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 22656–22666.
- 112 Y. Zhang, N. Zhang, Z.-R. Tang and Y.-J. Xu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 1820–1824.
- 113 Y. Zhang and Y.-J. Xu, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 2904–2910.
- 114 A. Kudo, K. Ueda, H. Kato and I. Mikami, *Catal. Lett.*, 1998, **53**, 229–230.
- 115 For reviews, see: (a) H. L. Tan, R. Amal and Y. H. Ng, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 16498–16521; (b) B. Lamm, B. J. Trzėsniowski, H. Döscher, W. A. Smith and M. Stefik, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2018, **3**, 112–124; (c) A. Malathi, J. Madhavan, M. Ashokkumar and P. Arunachalam, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2018, **555**, 47–74.
- 116 For reviews, see: (a) M. Ni, M. K. H. Leung, D. Y. C. Leung and K. Sumathy, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2007, **11**, 401–425; (b) R. M. N. Yerga, M. C. Á. Galván, F. del Valle, J. A. V. de la Mano and J. L. G. Fierro, *ChemSusChem*, 2009, **2**, 471–485; (c) S. R. Lingampalli, M. M. Ayyub and C. N. R. Rao, *ACS Omega*, 2017, **2**, 2740–2748.
- 117 (a) S.-I. Naya, T. Niwa, R. Negishi, H. Kobayashi and H. Tada, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 13894–13897; (b) R. Negishi, S.-I. Naya and H. Tada, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 11771–11776.
- 118 X. Jin, R. Li, Y. Zhao, X. Liu, X. Wang, H. Jiao and J. Li, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **8**, 6173–6179.
- 119 B. Yuan, R. Chong, B. Zhang, J. Li, Y. Liu and C. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 15593–15596.
- 120 A. D. Proctor, S. Panuganti and B. M. Barlett, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 1101–1104.
- 121 (a) W. Zhang, Q. Zhang and F. Dong, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**, 6740–6746; (b) H. Li, J. Li, Z. Ai, F. Jia and L. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 122–138.
- 122 Y. Wu, B. Yuan, M. Li, W.-H. Zhang, Y. Liu and C. Li, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 1873–1878.
- 123 X. Zhang, L. Zhao, C. Fan, Z. Liang and P. Han, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2012, **61**, 180–184.
- 124 M. Cherevatskaya, M. Neumann, S. Földner, C. Harlander, S. Kümmel, S. Dankesreiter, A. Pfitzner, K. Zeitler and B. König, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 4062–4066.
- 125 Y. Dai, P. Ren, Y. Li, D. Lv, Y. Shen, Y. Li, H. Niemantsverdriet, F. Besenbacher, H. Xiang, W. Hao, N. Lock, X. Wen, J. P. Lewis and R. Su, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 6265–6270.
- 126 Y. Dai, C. Li, Y. Shen, S. Zhu, M. S. Hvid, L.-C. Wu, J. Skibsted, Y. Li, J. W. H. Niemantsverdriet, F. Besenbacher, N. Lock and R. Su, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 16711–16719.
- 127 J. Liu, Y. Wang, J. Mab, Y. Peng and A. Wang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, **783**, 898–918.
- 128 For reviews, see: (a) C. Klingshirn, *ChemPhysChem*, 2007, **8**, 782–803; (b) X. Gu, C. Li, S. Yuan, M. Ma, Y. Qiang and J. Zhu, *Nanotechnology*, 2016, **27**, 402001; (c) C. B. Ong, L. Y. Ng and A. W. Mohammad, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2018, **81**, 536–551.
- 129 J. Wang, R. Chen, L. Xiang and S. Komarneni, *Ceram. Int.*, 2018, **44**, 7357–7377.
- 130 V. Jeena and R. S. Robinson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 299–301.
- 131 M. Rueping, J. Zoller, D. C. Fabry, K. Poschary, R. M. Koenigs, T. E. Weirich and J. Mayer, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 3478–3481.
- 132 (a) M. Hosseini-Sarvari and Z. Bazayar, *ChemistrySelect*, 2018, **3**, 1898–1907; (b) Z. Bazayar and M. Hosseini-Sarvari, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, 2282–2288.
- 133 S. Dadashi-Silab, M. A. Tasdelen, A. M. Asiri, S. B. Khan and Y. Yagci, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2014, **35**, 454–459.
- 134 S. Dadashi-Silab, A. M. Asiri, S. B. Khan, K. A. Alamry and Y. Yagci, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **52**, 1500–1507.
- 135 O. Yetiskin, S. Dadashi-Silab, S. B. Khan, A. M. Asiri and Y. Yagci, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **4**, 442–444.
- 136 I. Nowak and M. Ziolk, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 3603–3624.
- 137 S. M. A. Siddiki, M. N. Rashed, M. A. Ali, T. Toyao, P. Hirunsit, M. Ehara and K. Shimizu, *ChemCatChem*, 2018, **11**, 383–396.
- 138 For selected examples, see: (a) A. G. S. Prado, L. B. Bolzon, C. P. Pedroso, A. O. Moura and L. L. Costa, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2008, **82**, 219–224; (b) L. Yan, X. Rui, G. Chen, W. Xu, G. Zou and H. Luo, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 8443–8465; (c) B. Boruah, R. Gupta, J. Modak and G. Madras, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, **1**, 2748–2760.
- 139 (a) J. Wu, J. Li, J. Liu, J. Baia and L. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 51046–51054; (b) I. Khan, N. Baig and A. Qurashi, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 607–615.
- 140 T. Shishido, T. Miyatake, K. Teramura, Y. Hitomi, H. Yamashita and T. Tanaka, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 18713–18718.
- 141 S. Furukawa, A. Tamura, T. Shishido, K. Teramura and T. Tanaka, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2011, **110**, 216–220.
- 142 S. Furukawa, T. Shishido, K. Teramura and T. Tanaka, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 175–179.
- 143 J. Ya, G. Wu, N. Guan and L. Li, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **152**, 280–288.
- 144 S. Furukawa, Y. Ohno, T. Shishido, K. Teramura and T. Tanaka, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **1**, 1150–1153.
- 145 S. Furukawa, Y. Ohno, T. Shishido, K. Teramura and T. Tanaka, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 442–450.
- 146 Y. S. Seo, C. Lee, K. H. Lee and K. B. Yoon, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 910–913.



- 147 K. Tamai, K. Murakami, S. Hosokawa, H. Asakura, K. Teramura and T. Tanaka, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 22854–22861.
- 148 B. Wang, J. Durantini, J. Nie, A. E. Lanterna and J. C. Scaiano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 13127–13130.
- 149 P. Dong, G. Hou, X. Xi, R. Shao and F. Dong, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2017, **4**, 539–557.
- 150 For selected examples: (a) R. Abe, H. Takami, N. Murakami and B. Ohtani, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 7780–7781; (b) J. Kim, C. W. Lee and W. Choi, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, **44**, 6849–6854; (c) S. Mohammadi, M. Sohrabi, A. N. Golikand and A. Fakhri, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B*, 2016, **161**, 217–221.
- 151 O. Tomita, R. Abe and B. Ohtani, *Chem. Lett.*, 2011, **40**, 1405–1407.
- 152 O. Tomita, B. Ohtani and R. Abe, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 3850–3860.
- 153 O. Tomita, T. Otsubo, M. Higashi, B. Ohtani and R. Abe, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 1134–1144.
- 154 Y. Su, Z. Han, L. Zhang, W. Wang, M. Wang, M. Duan, X. Li, Y. Zheng, Y. Wang and X. Lei, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **217**, 108–114.
- 155 For review, see: Y. Zhu, X. Liu, S. Jin, H. Chen, W. Lee, M. Liu and Y. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 5875–5897.
- 156 N. Zhang, X. Li, H. Ye, S. Chen, H. Ju, D. Liu, Y. Lin, W. Ye, C. Wang, Q. Xu, J. Zhu, L. Song, J. Jiang and Y. Xiong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 8928–8935.

