# PCCP

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics rsc.li/pccp



ISSN 1463-9076



**PAPER** Alvaro Posada-Borbón and Henrik Grönbeck CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on hydroxylated In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110)

# PCCP

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2019, 21, 21698

Received 22nd July 2019, Accepted 20th August 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9cp04097h

rsc.li/pccp

# 1 Introduction

It has been suggested that anthropogenic climate change could be related to emissions of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere.<sup>1-4</sup> Catalytic recycling of greenhouse gases has been proposed as one pathway to mitigate carbon emissions to the atmosphere.<sup>5-13</sup> One example is conversion of CO2 into methanol (CH3OH) as a possible way to break from fossil-fuels and to move towards a closed carbon-loop for sustainable production of fuel and other addedvalue chemicals.5-10

The production of methanol from  $CO_2$  is a well-established industrial process, as it can be synthesized from syn-gas (CO/ CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>) over Cu/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts.<sup>14-17</sup> The process is operated at relatively high temperatures and pressures (473-573 K and 5-10 MPa) to favor the forward reaction. Although stepped copper surfaces are known to activate CO<sub>2</sub> with low barriers,<sup>18</sup> the copper-based catalyst faces many shortcomings, where one is deactivation by sintering.<sup>19,20</sup>

The search for alternative catalysts capable of CO<sub>2</sub> activation and hydrogenation has been active during the past decade.<sup>21-24</sup> One catalyst that has received special attention for methanol synthesis is In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, thanks to its high activity, selectivity and durability.<sup>24-27</sup> In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supported on ZrO<sub>2</sub> has shown 100% selectivity to methanol formation and only minor activity loss under reaction conditions (T = 573 K, P = 5.0 MPa, and  $H_2/CO_2 = 4:1$ ),<sup>24</sup> over 1000 hours of exposure. The high performance in ref. 24 was attributed to an oxygen-vacancy mediated mechanism, where the creation and annihilation of oxygen vacancies were suggested

Alvaro Posada-Borbón\* and Henrik Grönbeck •

Catalytic synthesis of methanol from CO2 is one route to produce added-value chemicals from a greenhouse gas. Here, density functional theory calculations and ab initio thermodynamics are used to study CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on  $In_2O_3(110)$  in the presence of  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$ . We find that the surface is heavily hydroxylated by either H<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O and that hydroxylation promotes H<sub>2</sub>-induced vacancy formation. Moreover, CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbs rather in a CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> configuration on hydroxylated  $In_2O_3(110)$  than on oxygen vacancy sites. The results suggest that hydroxylation-induced oxidation-state changes of In-ions play a significant role in CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and activation during methanol synthesis.

> to control the selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to methanol. Additionally, it was suggested that ZrO<sub>2</sub> hinders In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sintering.<sup>24</sup>

> The selectivity of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has been attributed to a suppression of the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction under industrially relevant conditions (473-573 K, and 3-5 MPa).<sup>25-27</sup> RWGS is generally considered as a competing reaction to the more direct formate-intermediate pathways for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to CH<sub>3</sub>OH.<sup>23</sup> Bielz et al.<sup>27</sup> explicitly investigated the reduction/ oxidation properties of  $In_2O_3$ , providing a possible explanation for the suppressed RGWS reaction. It was shown that CO may reduce the oxide and form CO<sub>2</sub>, whereas replenishment of the oxygen vacancies by CO<sub>2</sub> oxidation is strongly hindered.<sup>27</sup>

> Using density functional theory (DFT) calculations, Ye et al.28 proposed a reaction mechanism for CO2 hydrogenation to MeOH over  $In_2O_3(110)$  that relies on the creation of oxygen vacancies by hydrogen reduction, and subsequent replenishing during the catalytic cycle.<sup>28</sup> Building on previous work,<sup>29</sup> it was concluded that CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and subsequent hydrogenation would yield formate intermediates (HCOO).<sup>29</sup> HCOO formation is followed by HCOO reduction to a bidentate H<sub>2</sub>CO\* + O<sub>surf</sub>, where the Osurf effectively quenches the surface vacancy site. The hydrogenation continues by subsequent formation of H2CO, H3CO and H<sub>3</sub>COH.<sup>28</sup> A recent combined experimental and theoretical work by Frei et al.<sup>30</sup> addresses CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation on In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(111) with oxygen vacancies. In this study,<sup>30</sup> the hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> takes place next to vacancy sites. The putative mechanism includes the subsequent formation of formate (HCOO), formic acid (HCOOH), H<sub>2</sub>COOH, methanediol (H<sub>2</sub>COHOH), physisorbed formaldehyde (H<sub>2</sub>CO), H<sub>3</sub>CO and H<sub>3</sub>COH. The difference in putative reaction mechanisms between ref. 28 and 30 raises questions on the role of surface orientation and oxygen vacancies for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to methanol.

> It should also be noted that indium oxide is known to be hydroxylated already at atmospheric pressures of hydrogen.<sup>31</sup>



**View Article Online** 

Open Access Article. Published on 20 August 2019. Downloaded on 7/13/2025 5:42:12 PM

Department of Physics and Competence Centre for Catalysis, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden. E-mail: palvaro@chalmers.se,

ghj@chalmers.se

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Details of bulk calculations, thermodynamic analyses, and bond valence sum analysis. See DOI: 10.1039/c9cp04097h

 $CO_2$  adsorption on hydroxylated  $In_2O_3(110)$ 

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 20 August 2019. Downloaded on 7/13/2025 5:42:12 PM

However, the stability of adsorption of  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$  has been addressed in the literature only on  $In_2O_3(111)$ .<sup>32,33</sup> In ref. 32, oxygen vacancy formation is reported as a more stable state than complete surface hydroxylation with  $H_2$  under experimental conditions. Hydroxylation of the surface with  $H_2O$  was studied in ref. 33 and it was proposed that a coexistence of molecular and dissociated water should be expected at 300 K and pressures higher than  $10^{-4}$  mbar. Additionally, the degree of surface hydroxylation has not been considered explicitly in the modeling of possible reaction mechanisms for  $CO_2$  conversion to methanol. This is an effect that potentially could elucidate the role of oxygen vacancies at the surface.

Here, density functional theory calculations and *ab initio* thermodynamics are used to investigate the effect of surface hydroxylation with  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$  on the surface stability of  $In_2O_3(110)$ . We examine the creation of oxygen vacancies by hydrogen reduction and  $CO_2$  adsorption on both the pristine and defective  $In_2O_3(110)$  surfaces. The results suggest that oxygen vacancies do not play a significant role in  $CO_2$  adsorption and activation on  $In_2O_3(110)$ . Instead, we find that a hydroxylation-induced oxidation-state change of In promotes  $CO_2$  adsorption and  $CO_2^-$  formation.

# 2 Computational details

Density functional theory (DFT), within the generalized gradient approximation, is utilized as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package.<sup>34-37</sup> The exchange correlation energy is calculated using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) formulation.<sup>38</sup> The Kohn-Sham orbitals are expanded in a plane wave basis, truncated at an energy of 500 eV. Interactions between the valence electrons and the core are described by the use of projected augmented wave (PAW) potentials.<sup>39,40</sup> Hydrogen, carbon, oxygen and indium are described with one, four, six and thirteen valence electrons, respectively. Thus, indium is treated with a semi-core and 4d<sup>10</sup>5s<sup>2</sup> 5p<sup>1</sup> in the valence. A Monkhorst-Pack scheme is used<sup>41</sup> for finite sampling and integration over the Brillouin zone.  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  and  $3 \times 3 \times 1$  *k*-point grids are applied for the bulk and surface calculations, respectively. An energy convergence criterion of  $10^{-6}$  eV is used for the convergence of the electronic minimization. Structures are optimized by use of the conjugate gradient method and considered to be converged when forces are smaller than 0.01 eV  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$  for geometries and 0.05 eV  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$  for transition state structures.

In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110) is modeled with a  $(\sqrt{2} \times 1)$  supercell. The slab thickness is converged to describe oxygen vacancy creation within 0.01 eV. A four layered slab is found to be sufficient to meet this criterion. The bottom two layers are fixed to their bulk positions during the geometry optimizations. The slab models are separated by at least 15 Å of vacuum. The bond lengths for CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase are calculated to be 1.18 and 0.75 Å, respectively. Both values are in fair agreement with the experimental values of 1.14 Å (CO<sub>2</sub>) and 0.74 Å (H<sub>2</sub>). The gas-phase molecules are computed in a 11 × 12 × 13 Å<sup>3</sup> cell. Charge analysis is performed *via* the Bader charge method as calculated using the code developed by Henkelman and co-workers.  $^{\rm 42}$ 

To investigate the relative stability of  $In_2O_3(110)$  in a chemical environment ( $H_2$  or  $H_2O$ ), we calculate the Gibbs free energy of adsorption ( $\Delta G^{ads}(T,p)$ ) as a function of  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$  chemical potentials  $\mu_{H_2}(T,p)$  and  $\mu_{H_2O}(T,p)$ , respectively, using *ab initio* thermodynamics.<sup>43,44</sup> The Gibbs free energy of adsorption is computed as

$$\Delta G^{\rm ads}(T,p) = \frac{1}{A} \left[ \left( E_{\rm ads/surf} + N_{\rm ads} \left( E_{\rm ads}^{\rm ZPE} - TS_{\rm ads}^{\rm vib} \right) \right) - E_{\rm surf} - N_{\rm ads} \mu_{\rm ads}(T,p) \right]$$
(1)

The suffix ads is used to denote either H<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O. A refers to the area of the surface cell and  $N_{ads}$  is the number of adsorbate molecules adsorbed on the surface, either as two hydrogen atoms or a H/OH pair. The term in parentheses is the approximation of the Gibbs free energy for  $N_{ads}$  molecules adsorbed on In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110).  $E_{ads/surf}$  is the energy of the total system.  $E_{ZPE}^{ads}$  and  $TS_{ads}^{vib}$  are the zero point energy (ZPE) and harmonic entropy contributions of the adsorbates (H–O/H–O or H–O/OH–In), respectively.  $E_{surf}$  is the energy of the pristine In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110).  $N_{ads}\mu_{ads}(T,p)$ , refers to the ZPE corrected chemical potential of the adsorbates ( $\mu_{H_2}(T,p)$  or  $\mu_{H_2O}(T,p)$ ). The adsorbate chemical potential for H<sub>2</sub> is

$$\mu_{\rm H_2}(T,p) = E_{\rm H_2} + \mu_{\rm H_2}' + k_{\rm B} T \ln\left(\frac{p_{\rm H_2}}{p^0}\right) \tag{2}$$

where  $E_{H_2}$  is the total energy of the H<sub>2</sub> molecule in the gas phase.  $\mu_{H_2}'$  is the reference chemical potential of molecular H<sub>2</sub>. This term accounts for vibrational, rotational and translational entropy contributions for H<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase and is obtained from thermodynamic tables.<sup>45</sup> Finally, the logarithmic term accounts for the effect of pressure change in the entropy contributions for the gas-phase molecules, with respect to the standard state. The chemical potential for H<sub>2</sub>O is calculated in the corresponding way.

Relative energies are calculated using

$$E_{\rm rel} = (E_{\rm ads/In_2O_3(110)} - E_{\rm ref/In_2O_3(110)} - N_{\rm ads}E_{\rm ads})$$
(3)

Here,  $E_{\text{ref/In}_2O_3(110)}$  is the chosen reference state, which is specified when needed. A negative value indicates exothermic adsorption with respect to the reference.

Activation energy for  $CO_2$  adsorption into an activated state is calculated using the climbing-image nudge elastic band (CI-NEB)<sup>46</sup> technique employing at least seven images along the reaction coordinate. Transition states are confirmed by vibrational frequency analysis.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Bixbyite and In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110)

 $In_2O_3$  crystallizes in three polymorphs: two bixbyite structures and one corundum. We have considered the bixbyite structure with space group 206 as this structure was found to be active for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation in ref. 24 The bulk bixbyite *Ia* $\bar{3}$  (space group 206) structure, with 80 atoms in the unit cell, is optimized and used to construct the surface (see Fig. S1, ESI†). We find the equilibrium lattice constant to be 10.299 Å, in good agreement with a previous theoretical reported value of 10.306 Å using the same level of theory.<sup>47</sup> This is a slight overestimation with respect to the experimental value of 10.117 Å.<sup>48</sup>

Bixbyite  $Ia\bar{3}$  has two types of six-fold coordinated indium atoms, whereas the oxygen atoms are equivalent and four-fold coordinated. The interatomic distances for the main In–O structure are In–O<sub>1</sub> = 2.254 Å, In–O<sub>2</sub> = 2.239 Å and In–O<sub>3</sub> = 2.165 Å, whereas the second type of In–O structure has six equidistant In–O bond lengths of 2.213 Å. We calculate the band gap for the bulk oxide to be  $E_{bg}$  = 0.9 eV which is an underestimation due to the exchange–correlation functional with respect to the experimental value of 3.2 eV.<sup>49</sup> A Bader charge analysis shows that indium and oxygen atoms in the system have a charge of 1.83 *e*, 1.82 *e* and –1.22 *e*, for the main and secondary indium and oxygen, respectively. From the oxide stoichiometry, indium has a formal charge of +3, while oxygen has a charge of -2. Dividing the Bader charge by 0.61 yields an estimate of the formal charge.

The stable  $In_2O_3$  surface is  $In_2O_3(111)$  for which we calculate the surface energy to be 0.78 J m<sup>-2</sup>. However, this surface is chemically inert and we have therefore followed the literature<sup>28,29</sup> and studied In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110), which exposes undercoordinated sites. The surface energy of  $In_2O_3(110)$  is calculated to be 1.05 J m<sup>-2</sup>. The surface structure of  $In_2O_3(110)$  is shown in Fig. 1, where the computational  $(\sqrt{2} \times 1)$  supercell used is indicated with black lines. The surface is composed of stoichiometric layers, where each layer is constituted by a repeating chain with six and four non-equivalent oxygen and indium atoms, respectively. The chain can be described as two joint (In-O)2 diamonds connected with an O-In-O tail, see Fig. 1. All oxygen atoms are threefold coordinated to indium atoms. In<sub>1</sub> and In<sub>2</sub> are four-fold coordinated to oxygen, whereas In<sub>3</sub> and In<sub>4</sub> are five-fold coordinated. Upon relaxation, the topmost layer contracts towards the second layer by on average 0.14 Å, whereas the second layer expands slightly away from the layers constrained to the bulk positions by on average 0.06 Å.

### 3.2 H<sub>2</sub> adsorption

The stability of  $In_2O_3(110)$  as a function of hydrogen chemical potential is investigated by use of *ab initio* thermodynamics. The pristine surface is studied together with five hydrogen coverages, namely 16% (low coverage limit), 50%, 66%, 83% and full coverage, see top panel of Fig. 2. The low coverage limit corresponds to one dissociated H<sub>2</sub> molecule, whereas a full coverage is defined to be when all oxygen atoms in the topmost layer are occupied by hydrogen (six dissociated H<sub>2</sub> molecules). The stability as a function of coverage is studied restricting the adsorption to homolytic dissociation as this is generally preferred.<sup>29</sup> H<sub>2</sub> dissociation over  $In_2O_3(110)$  is facile and we calculate a barrier of only 0.3 eV when the molecule is dissociated over the  $In_2-O_4$  bridge. This is somewhat lower than a similar path on CeO<sub>2</sub>(111).<sup>50,51</sup>



Fig. 1 Structural model of  $\ln_2O_3(110)$ . The top figure shows the nonequivalent oxygen and indium sites in the chains that constitute the surface. A ball and stick representation is used to show the uppermost layer, while the other layers are presented as lines. The  $(\sqrt{2} \times 1)$  surface cell is indicated with black lines. The bottom figure shows a lateral view of the four layer slab. A ball and stick model is in this case used for the slab representation. Atomic color code: indium (grey) and oxygen (red).

We note that some of the formed OH-groups are displaced significantly from their oxygen position in the pristine slab. This is, in particular, true for  $O_3$  and  $O_4$ , connected to the undercoordinated indium atoms at the surface. For example,  $O_3$  at 50% coverage, is displaced 0.43 Å from its pristine position. Furthermore, this oxygen changes its In–O–In distance from 2.125 and 2.116 Å on the pristine surface to In–OH–In: 2.458 and 2.126 Å. Similarly,  $O_4$  is displaced by as much as 2.40 Å from its position in the pristine surface. The interatomic In–O–In distances in this case change from 2.142 and 2.084 to 2.040 and 3.336 Å, respectively, upon hydroxylation. This result shows that the oxygen has a pronounced structural flexibility at high hydrogen coverages.

The stability of the different coverages as a function of hydrogen chemical potential (or hydrogen pressure at T = 573 K) is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2. The Gibbs free energy of adsorption ( $\Delta G^{ads}$ ) is reported with reference to the pristine surface at zero energy. The experimentally relevant reaction conditions, 1 to 5 MPa, are highlighted in the figure. The phase diagram shows that the surface hydroxylates to 83% coverage under all relevant conditions. This surface coverage becomes the thermodynamically favorable condition already at a chemical potential of -0.98 eV ( $\sim 10^{-05}$  atm). These results are in qualitative agreement with experimental results of  $In_2O_3$  hydroxylation



**Fig. 2** Top: Structural models of  $In_2O_3(110)$  at various hydrogen coverages. Bottom: Surface stability of  $In_2O_3(110)$  as a function of hydrogen chemical potential ( $\mu_{H_2}$ ) or pressure at a temperature of 573 K. The results are given with respect to the pristine surface at zero energy. Experimental conditions, 1–5 MPa (10–50 bar), are indicated by a purple fringe. Labels are color-coded in accordance with the top image. Atomic color code: indium (grey), oxygen (red), and hydrogen (white).

upon hydrogen exposure.<sup>31</sup> The average hydrogen adsorption energy on the surface is calculated to be -2.24, -1.65, -1.34, -1.44 and -1.24 eV for 16%, 50%, 66%, 83% and 100% coverage, respectively. Thus, increasing hydrogen coverage has a strong effect on the average hydrogen adsorption energy, which is reduced close to linearly with the coverage.

The surface cell has six different types of oxygen sites. The dependence of the adsorption energy on which sites are occupied is shown in Fig. 3.

There are several possibilities for where to place the hydrogen atoms in the low coverage limit and we have explored 9 homolytic and 14 heterolytic configurations. We find that homolytic adsorption (OH–OH) is significantly more stable than heterolytic (OH–InH) adsorption. The adsorption energies for the OH–OH cases range from -1.73 to -2.36 eV. In comparison, heterolytic adsorption shows a much wider span of adsorption energies ranging from -0.02 to -1.23 eV. Homolytic adsorption on indium sites is in all cases unstable with respect to H<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase. The large difference in adsorption energy between heterolytic and homolytic adsorption indicates weak metal hydride formation.



**Fig. 3** Relative energy of  $H_2$  adsorption on  $In_2O_3(110)$  at different coverages. Top panel shows the adsorption on a pristine surface. Dashed line at zero indicates energy of the pristine surface and  $H_2(g)$ . Inset depicts the initial state of the surface with site labeling. The adsorption modes in the high coverage limit of the surface are shown in the bottom two panels. The zero line in homolytic adsorption is the 83% covered surface with the empty sites changing for the different configurations. Heterolytic adsorption is considered with 12 hydrogen atoms. The reference system (zero line) is the 83% covered surface (homolytic adsorption). Homolytic sites are shown in red, heterolytic sites are shown in black and In–In sites are shown in blue.

To further study the difference between homolytic and heterolytic dissociation, we explored the high coverage limit, see the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 3. The middle panel shows the relative energies for 9 different configurations with  $H_2$  dissociated homolytically on oxygen sites at a coverage of 83%, *i.e.* 5  $H_2$  molecules. The stability shows a marked dependence on the configurations with a separation of 1.15 eV between the most unstable and stable configurations. The stable configuration is shown in Fig. 2, whereas the most unstable configuration has empty oxygen sites at the bridge between the (In–O)<sub>2</sub> diamonds.

The possibility of heterolytic adsorption is investigated in the full coverage case (6  $H_2$  molecules) with the structure in Fig. 2 (homolytic adsorption) as a reference. In contrast with the low

### PCCP

coverage case, we find that having heterolytic hydrogen pairs could be preferred with respect to the all-oxygen case. In particular, it is configurations where the  $In_4$ -site is occupied that have an enhanced stability. The most stable configuration is preferred by 0.61 eV with respect to the all-oxygen case. It should be noted that most configurations with In-sites occupied are unstable with respect to the all-oxygen case. The least stable configuration is 1.02 eV over the reference and includes an In-site at the edge of the diamonds. Despite the preference for occupation of In-sites at full coverage, it should be noted that, for entropic reasons, the 83% surface coverage retains the stable surface structure under MeOH-synthesis reaction conditions (see Fig. S5, ESI†).

The large spread in relative stability for the configurations in Fig. 3 underlines that care must be taken when modeling reactions on oxide surfaces. In the case of  $In_2O_3(110)$ , the different cation sites have varying abilities to change oxidation state, which results in substantial energy differences between the different configurations.

The stabilization of heterolytic sites suggests a significant change in the electronic properties of  $In_2O_3(110)$  as the surface is hydroxylated. This is corroborated by the change in the band gap for the pristine and fully hydroxylated surfaces. The pristine surface has a band gap of 0.8 eV, which is close to the value for the bulk oxide, whereas the hydroxylated surface does not have any band gap. The total density of states (DOS) and the projected DOS (PDOS) are calculated for the bulk structure and pristine and hydrogen covered surfaces (all-oxygen configuration), see Fig. 4.

For the bulk system, the valence band has a spread of 5 eV and is dominated by O 2p with some hybridization with In 5s and 5p. The In 4d and O 2s states are located about 12 and 17 eV below the top of the valence band, respectively. The bands are widened for the  $In_2O_3(110)$  surface owing to the reduced coordination at the surface. Hydroxylation of the surface leads to a further widening where the valence band spans almost 10 eV. The H 1s contributions appear at the bottom of the valence band and above the Fermi energy.

A Bader charge analysis for the pristine and hydrogen-covered surface reveals a change in oxidation state of the undercoordinated indium sites ( $In_1$  and  $In_2$ ) upon hydroxylation. The charge difference for oxygen and indium atoms between the pristine and fully hydroxylated surface is shown in Fig. 5.

The charge of the undercoordinated indium sites changes from 11.2 to 12.2 electrons upon hydroxylation. Given the charge relation obtained for the bulk structure, dividing the difference in Bader charge by 0.61 yields a change in oxidation state from +3 to close to +1. The change in charge state of the other indium and oxygen atoms is within 0.1 electrons upon hydroxylation, except for the undercoordinated In atoms at the backside of the slab showing a charge accumulation of about 0.25 electrons. The change in oxidation state is structurally manifested in elongation of the corresponding In–O bonds and a bond valence sum (BVS) analysis.<sup>52,53</sup> The BVS of the undercoordinated In<sub>1</sub> and In<sub>2</sub> changes from ~2.7 in the pristine surface to 1.0 upon hydroxylation, suggesting a close to +1 oxidation state for full coverage. The five-fold coordinated In<sub>3</sub>



Fig. 4 Density of states analysis as a function of hydrogen coverage on  $In_2O_3(110)$ . Top panel shows DOS and PDOS of bulk structure. Middle and bottom panels show DOS and PDOS of pristine and fully hydroxylated  $In_2O_3(110)$ , respectively.

and  $In_4$  show a small positive increase in their BVS upon hydroxylation, see the ESI.<sup>†</sup>

Changes of oxidation state upon surface hydroxylation are well known for ceria  $(CeO_2)$ ,<sup>50,54</sup> which is a reducible oxide. The adsorption of hydrogen  $CeO_2(111)$  has been reported to be preferentially homolytic<sup>50,51</sup> at a coverage of 50% and the oxidation state in this case changes from +4 to +3. Instead, H<sub>2</sub> dissociation on non-reducible oxides such as MgO is heterolytic<sup>55</sup> as the charge on the metal site does not change upon hydrogen adsorption.



Fig. 5 Bader charge analysis of the topmost layer of hydroxylated In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110). Charge localization is shown in dark blue. The charge on the light blue atoms is about  $\pm$  0.05 e. Hydrogen atoms are shown in white.

### 3.3 H<sub>2</sub>O adsorption

It has been shown experimentally that, under methanol-synthesis conditions, the co-feeding of water into a  $H_2/CO_2$  mix decreases the synthesis of methanol by 20%.<sup>24</sup> To attain understanding of this behaviour, we have investigated the stability of  $In_2O_3(110)$  as a function of water chemical potential. Similar to hydrogen adsorption, we assume that water adsorption occurs dissociatively, where the  $H_2O$  molecule dissociates and hydroxylates an oxygen site, while the OH group adsorbs on the indium site.

Water adsorption has previously been shown to be preferably dissociative on  $In_2O_3(111)$ .<sup>33</sup> In the low coverage limit, the adsorption energies for molecular and dissociative adsorption were calculated to be -0.73 and -1.28 eV, respectively. For  $In_2O_3(110)$ , we calculate the corresponding energies to be -0.78 and -1.46 eV. (See ESI† for the investigation of different adsorption sites.)

Six different water coverages on  $In_2O_3(110)$  were investigated, see the top panel of Fig. 6. As in the case of hydrogen adsorption, a full monolayer is defined to be when all oxygen sites in the supercell are hydroxylated. In addition, we consider 8%, 33%, 50%, 66% and 83% of full coverage.

We find that surface relaxation is less dramatic upon  $H_2O$  adsorption than for  $H_2$  adsorption. The In–O bond lengths change only within 0.1 Å. The OH groups on the surface are found to align to form hydrogen bonds. This can be seen clearly at 8% coverage, where the OH group on  $In_4$  is oriented so that the hydrogen atom coordinates to the oxygen atom on the OH group at  $In_2$ . In the case of 83% coverage, the relaxed structure includes a coexistence of molecular and dissociated  $H_2O$ . In particular, the OH group placed at the  $In_3$  site picks up a hydrogen from the  $O_2$  site in the neighboring chain, forming  $H_2O$ . Although this is the only case where this is observed in the present calculations, it indicates that a dynamic coexistence of dissociated and molecular water is possible.

The phase diagram, shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 6, shows that the surface hydroxylates readily to 83% coverage



**Fig. 6** Top: Structural models of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110) at different H<sub>2</sub>O coverages. Bottom: Surface stability of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110) as a function of water chemical potential ( $\mu_{\rm H_2O}$ ) or pressure at a temperature of 573 K. The results are given with respect to the pristine surface at zero energy. Experimental conditions, 1–5 MPa (10–50 bar), are indicated by a purple fringe. Labels are color-coded in accordance with the top image. Atomic color code as in Fig. 2.

under relevant reaction conditions,<sup>24</sup> at a chemical potential from -0.36 to -0.28 eV (10–50 atm at 573 K). In the same way as for the H<sub>2</sub> adsorption, the 83% covered surface is the thermodynamically preferred coverage from a chemical potential of -0.32 eV. The average water adsorption energy, with respect to the gas phase molecule, for 8%, 33%, 50%, 66%, 83% and 100% is calculated to be, -1.46, -1.22, -1.15, -1.02, -0.96 and -0.80 eV, respectively. We notice that the variation in the average binding energy for water is smaller than that for the hydrogen adsorption case. An almost linear relation is found for the average adsorption energy of water as a function of water molecules on the surface ( $R^2 = 0.98$ ).

A Bader charge analysis was done on the 8%, 83% and full coverage surfaces. We find that, regardless of water coverage, charge transfer is minimal on the surface. All charge differences are within 0.1 electrons. This is consistent with the small structural changes in the surface upon water adsorption. The pronounced difference with respect to hydrogen adsorption is due to the fact that formation of  $O_s$ H-groups at the surface

(where  $O_s$  is a surface oxygen) involves charge transfer from the  $O_s$  site. In the case of hydrogen adsorption, the charge is transferred to indium whereas for water adsorption, the charge is transferred to the OH-group originating from water. The absence of changes in oxidation states for the indium cations upon water adsorption rationalizes the close to linear relation between average adsorption energy and coverage.

### 3.4 Oxygen vacancy creation by H<sub>2</sub> reduction

The formation of oxygen vacancies on  $In_2O_3(110)$  by  $H_2$  reduction was investigated on both the pristine and high coverage limit surface structures. This was done by evaluating the Gibbs free energies of the two elementary steps along the reaction,

$$\begin{split} In_2O_3(110) + H_2(g) &\to In_2O_3(110) + 2HO^*_{Surf} \\ &\to In_2O_3(110)_{O_2} + H_2O(g) \end{split} \tag{4}$$

The first step is dissociative adsorption of hydrogen forming a hydroxylated surface and the second step is the formation of water, which desorbs and forms an oxygen vacancy. Each nonequivalent oxygen site is considered for vacancy formation, see bottom panel of Fig. 7. On the pristine surface (top panel), the dissociated OH-OH configuration is in every case preferred with respect to water and vacancy formation. There is a considerable spread in the H<sub>2</sub> adsorption energies ranging from -1.22 (O<sub>6</sub>) eV to -0.50 (O<sub>4</sub>) eV. The formation of water and a surface vacancy is endothermic with respect to a hydroxylated surface. The lowest temperature where water formation is favored (for  $O_2$ ) is 833 K. The stability of the final state is also strongly dependent on partial pressure of  $H_2O$ . We calculate that a pressure of  $10^{-5}$  bar is needed for this step to become thermodynamically preferred for O<sub>2</sub> (see Fig. S8, ESI<sup>†</sup>). This means that, for the production of methanol from CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, this process becomes relevant only at very low conversion rates ( $\sim 10^{-5}$ %), which is estimated from Dalton's law with a total pressure of  $P_{\text{tot}} = 31$  bar.

Vacancy formation in the case of high hydrogen coverage is considered by adsorption at an 83% covered surface (two empty oxygen sites). Adsorption of one  $H_2$  molecule leads to a fully covered surface. The final structure after water formation is a hydroxylated surface with one vacancy and one empty oxygen site. Water can be formed by combining a range of different oxygen pairs. To guarantee that the most stable configuration is selected for each oxygen site, all contiguous oxygen pairs were examined for each oxygen, see the ESI.† The spread in stability is about 0.5 eV and the energetically preferred combination for each site is shown in Fig. 7.

The results at high hydrogen coverage are qualitatively different from the pristine surface. Firstly, the adsorption of hydrogen on these sites is in each case endothermic. Secondly, water formation is thermodynamically preferred and exothermic on three of the sites ( $O_3$ ,  $O_4$  and  $O_6$ ). Thus, our results suggest that  $H_2$  adsorption can lead to oxygen vacancies on a hydroxylated surface.

### 3.5 CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption

Adsorption of carbon dioxide on oxygen-vacancy defective and pristine  $In_2O_3(110)$  was investigated with and without hydroxylation. The results for  $CO_2$  adsorption on a surface with one



**Fig. 7** Gibbs free energy diagram for  $In_2O_3(110)$  reduction with  $H_2$ . Top panel shows the elementary steps of the reaction on a pristine surface. Middle panel shows the reaction taking place on an 83% H-covered surface. Colored lines are coded in accordance with oxygen sites in the bottom panel. The partial pressure of water is set to  $10^5$  Pa (1 bar).

vacancy in the absence of hydroxylation are shown in the top panel of Fig. 8.

The considered steps are:

$$In_2O_3(110)_{O_V} + CO_2(g) \rightarrow In_2O_3(110) + CO^*O_{Surf}$$
  
 $\rightarrow In_2O_3(110) + CO(g)$  (5)

The initial configuration is an oxygen-defective  $In_2O_3(110)$ and carbon dioxide in the gas-phase  $(In_2O_3(110)_{O_V} + CO_2(g))$ .  $CO_2$  is adsorbed at the oxygen-vacancy, forming adsorbed CO on the surface (CO\*O<sub>Surf</sub> on  $In_2O_3(110)$ ). The final configuration is gas-phase CO and a pristine surface. The Gibbs free energy change is calculated at 573 K and a pressure of 1 MPa for  $CO_2(g)$ and 0.1 MPa for CO(g), implying a relatively high conversion. The process was examined for each oxygen-vacancy site. We find that the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption onto the vacancies is thermodynamically



Fig. 8 Gibbs free energy diagram for oxygen vacancy quenching with  $CO_2$  on  $In_2O_3(110)$ . Top panel shows the elementary steps of the reaction on a pristine surface. Bottom panel shows the reaction taking place on an 83% H-covered surface. The site-labeling follows Fig. 7.

unfavorable. In fact, local minima on the potential energy surface for adsorbed  $CO_2$  are found only for three of the cases, namely O<sub>V2</sub>, O<sub>V3</sub> and O<sub>V4</sub>. Although they are local minima, the adsorption is endothermic with Gibbs free energies of 1.47, 0.60, and 0.71 eV, respectively. The corresponding electronic binding energies of  $CO_2$  are 0.29, -0.58 and -0.47 eV. The CO-O<sub>Surf</sub> structures formed at  $O_{V2},\,O_{V3}$  and  $O_{V4}$  have O–C–O angles of  $139^\circ,\,130^\circ$  and  $127^{\circ}$ , respectively. The bent structure signals that CO<sub>2</sub> is charged; the O–C–O angle for  $CO_2^-$  in the gas phase is 135°. The surface oxygen to carbon interatomic distance (C-O<sub>s</sub>) is found to be about 1.3 Å, whereas the O<sub>s</sub>C–O bond length is about 1.2 Å. The indium– carbon distance (In–C) is about 2.3 Å. The structure from  $CO_2$ adsorption at  $O_{V3}$  is shown in Fig. 9(a).

The oxygen sites that allow for the COO<sub>s</sub> state on the pristine surface are the sites with high vacancy formation energy (see ESI<sup>†</sup>).



Fig. 9 Top and side views of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110) under different surface conditions. The adsorption energy (eV) for each site is indicated. Site-labeling as in Fig. 1. Atomic color code as in Fig. 2.

For these sites, vacancy formation is accompanied by reduction of the neighboring indium atoms whereas the charge is delocalized over the entire slab for the three sites where CO<sub>2</sub> does not adsorb. Localization of charge on undercoordinated indium atoms appears to be a prerequisite for the formation of charged CO<sub>2</sub> species. Formation of CO in the gas-phase is in all cases endothermic with respect to CO2 in the gas phase. This is in agreement with the experimental results in ref. 27, clearly showing the low tendency for the RWGS reaction over In2O3.

CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption was examined also on the hydroxylated Ov-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110) surface at a coverage of 83% hydrogen. As a starting point, we used the six vacancy structures discussed in Fig. 7. We only find stable adsorption configurations for two of the investigated vacancies, namely Ov6 and Ov2. However, the adsorption is strongly endothermic, being 1.28 and 1.71 eV, with electronic binding energies of 0.08 and 0.52 eV, respectively. The OsCO structure formed at Ov6 has an O-C-O angle of  $\theta_{\rm O-C-O}$  = 124°, and O<sub>s</sub>-C and O<sub>s</sub>C-O bond lengths of 1.35 and 1.21 Å, respectively. The indium-carbon distance is in this case 2.24 Å. This structure is shown in Fig. 9(b). Adsorption at  $O_{V2}$ results in the OsCO structure that has a similar molecular structure but is located across the trench connecting two  $In_2O_3(110)$  chains.

Even if CO<sub>2</sub> does not adsorb at four of the investigated vacancies, it may still adsorb close to the vacancy. Such local minima are obtained for  $O_{V1}$ ,  $O_{V4}$  and  $O_{V5}$ . The electronic binding energy for CO<sub>2</sub> in these configurations is found to be -0.48, 0.76 and 0.20 eV, respectively. However, the configurations are endothermic by 0.71, 1.40 and 1.95 eV, respectively, under typical reaction conditions (573 K, 4.0 MPa, and  $H_2/CO_2 = 3:1$ ). An additional possibility is to adsorb far from the vacancy. The structure of such a case is shown in Fig. 9(c). This structure has an O<sub>V4</sub> vacancy and CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed between In<sub>1</sub> and In<sub>4</sub>. The electronic binding energy is -0.48 eV.

As adsorption at and close to oxygen vacancies appears to be arduous, the adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> on a defect-free surface is investigated. Adsorption on the pristine surface is investigated

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Table 1 Energetic and structural results for  $CO_2$  adsorption on nonequivalent oxygen sites on pristine, defect-free  $In_2O_3(110)$ . The Gibbs free energies are calculated at a temperature of 573 K and a pressure of 1 MPa

	$\Delta G$ (eV)	$E_{\rm b}$ (eV)	$\theta_{\text{O-C-O}}(^{\circ})$	C–O <sub>1</sub> (Å)	C–O <sub>2</sub> (Å)	C–O <sub>Surf</sub> (Å)
$O_1$	0.44	-0.75	126	1.32	1.23	1.40
$O_2$	0.64	-0.54	129	1.30	1.24	1.40
$O_3$	-0.19	-1.37	125	1.29	1.29	1.34
$O_4$	-0.06	-1.24	128	1.30	1.27	1.35
0 <sub>5</sub>	0.71	-0.47	128	1.29	1.25	1.40
$O_6$	-0.03	-1.21	122	1.29	1.29	1.34
$O_6$	-0.03	-1.21	122	1.29	1.29	1.34

exclusively over the oxygen sites, while adsorption on the fully hydroxylated surface is explored over indium sites. For the pristine surface, we find that  $CO_2$  binds at every oxygen site in a  $CO_3^{2-}$  configuration. The energetic and structural descriptors for the adsorbed molecule are presented in Table 1 and the case of adsorption at  $O_3$  is visualized in Fig. 9(d). Adsorption on all sites has a negative electronic binding energy and the Gibbs free energies are exothermic for  $O_3$ ,  $O_4$  and  $O_6$ . The adsorbed molecules could in these cases be characterized as carbonates; the O–C–O angles are close to  $120^\circ$  and the three C–O bonds are within 0.2 Å. Moreover, the excess charge on the  $O_sCO_2$  species is only 0.21 electrons with respect to the pristine surface and  $CO_2$  in the gas phase.

It is also possible that  $CO_2$  adsorbs at an OH group, forming a bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub>), which was investigated at the low hydrogen coverage limit (16%). However, we do not find any local minimum for  $CO_2$  adsorbed at an OH-group. Without exploring barriers, we find HCO<sub>3</sub> adsorbed at In–In bridge configurations involving O<sub>3</sub>–H or O<sub>6</sub>–H with adsorption energies of -0.10 and -0.13 eV, respectively.

The surface is hydroxylated under the reaction conditions, and as the next step, we investigated  $CO_2$  adsorption on the fully hydroxylated  $In_2O_3(110)$ . Assuming that  $CO_2$  is bridging two indium sites, there are four non-equivalent such sites per chain. For each site, the molecule can bind the carbon end of the molecule to two different indium sites, giving in total eight configurations, see Table 2. Four of the investigated adsorption configurations yield minima on the potential energy surface. To describe the configurations, we use the nomenclature  $In_iIn_j$ , where *i* and *j* indicate the indium to which carbon and oxygen bind, respectively. The indium atoms are numbered as in Fig. 1. An example of these configurations ( $In_1In_2$ ) is shown in Fig. 9(e).

We find that adsorption on the fully hydroxylated surface has electronic binding energies in the range from -0.45 to -0.99 eV. However, the configurations are endothermic under

Table 2 Energetic and structural results for CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on non-equivalent oxygen sites on a fully hydroxylated, defect-free,  $In_2O_3(110)$ . The Gibbs free energies are calculated at a temperature of 573 K and a pressure of 1 MPa

	$\Delta G$ (eV)	$E_{\rm b}$ (eV)	$\theta_{\mathrm{O-C-O}}\left(^{\circ}\right)$	C–O <sub>1</sub> (Å)	C–O <sub>2</sub> (Å)	C–O <sub>Surf</sub> (Å)
In <sub>1</sub> In <sub>2</sub>	0.19	-0.99	126	1.28	1.252	2.25
$In_2In_1$	0.47	-0.71	131	1.26	1.244	2.32
$In_3In_2$	0.67	-0.51	130	1.29	1.218	2.33
$In_1In_4$	0.73	-0.45	133	1.28	1.215	2.38

View Article Online

typical reaction conditions. All the four stable configurations involve the undercoordinated  $In_1$  and  $In_2$  sites. The adsorption energy is strongly dependent on the orientation of the molecule. One example is the  $In_1In_2$  site, where reorientation into  $In_2In_1$ makes the adsorption 0.26 eV less stable. Changing the orientation of  $In_3In_2$  and  $In_1In_4$  yields configurations that are not local minima on the potential energy surfaces. The results show a strong local dependence, again related to the ability of undercoordinated In-sites to change oxidation state.  $CO_2$  is adsorbed in a  $CO_2^-$  configuration as corroborated by the Bader analysis yielding one excess electron on the adsorbate. The charging of the  $CO_2$  is evident also in the structure where the  $\theta_{O-C-O}$  angle is about 130°.

The stable hydroxylation coverage was found to be 83% and we have considered CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption onto a surface with this coverage. The relaxed structure is shown in Fig. 9(f). The electronic binding energy is -0.08 eV and the adsorption is endothermic by 1.10 eV. This structure should, in similarity with Fig. 9(d), be characterized as a carbonate-like species. The  $\theta_{O-C-O}$  angle is 130° and the C–O bonds are 1.410, 1.277 and 1.258 Å for C–O<sub>s</sub> and the others, respectively.

Having explored  $CO_2$  adsorption on pristine and hydroxylated  $In_2O_3(110)$  with and without oxygen defects, we calculated the barrier for adsorption in three relevant cases, see Fig. 10. The three cases are: (I)  $CO_2$  adsorption at an oxygen vacancy for a hydroxylated surface, (II)  $CO_2$  adsorption on a hydroxylated surface with one oxygen vacancy but not at the vacancy, and (III)  $CO_2$  adsorbed on the fully hydroxylated surface without vacancies.

The starting point for the three paths is a hydroxylated surface at 83% coverage as that coverage is predicted to be the thermodynamically preferred situation under the reaction conditions, see Fig. 2. The first step in the reaction is  $H_2$  adsorption yielding a fully hydroxylated surface. This step is endothermic by 1.26 eV. In paths I and II, two different types of vacancies are



**Fig. 10** Gibbs free energy landscape for CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on three different In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110) surfaces. The free energies are calculated at T = 573 K,  $P_{H_2} = 3$  MPa,  $P_{H_2O} = 0.1$  MPa and  $P_{CO_2} = 1$  MPa.

created by the formation of water. The vacancy in I is created by removing  $O_6$ , which yields the most stable site for  $CO_2$  adsorption (Fig. 8). The most stable surface vacancy corresponds to removing  $O_4$ , which is done in path II. Path III proceeds without the formation of vacancies.

 $CO_2$  adsorption is associated with a barrier that is 1.67, 0.82 and 0.48 eV for the three paths I, II and III, respectively. The high barrier in the case of path I is related to the breaking of an In–In bond. The bond distance is 3.1 Å with the vacancy and 3.9 Å once it is filled with  $CO_2$ . The considerably lower barriers for adsorption along the paths of II and III can be understood by the fact that no bonds are broken along these paths. We attribute the low barrier in path III to the reduced state of the undercoordinated indium atoms (In<sup>1+</sup>), which upon  $CO_2$ adsorption again take an In<sup>3+</sup> state in combination with the possibility to make hydrogen bonds in the final state. The final configurations of the adsorbed  $CO_2^-$  are endothermic with respect to the fully hydroxylated surface for I and III, whereas it is exothermic for II. We note that desorption of  $CO_2$  should be facile for II while there are clear barriers for I and III.

Reduction of the  $In_2O_3(110)$  surface by  $H_2$  forming water is exothermic for some of the oxygen sites in the surface. However, the free energy diagram suggests that vacancies are not crucial for activation of  $CO_2$  on  $In_2O_3(110)$ . Instead, hydroxylation by  $H_2$ appears to be important as it reduces the oxidation state of indium surface atoms and provides possibilities for hydrogen bonding. In this sense, oxygen vacancies appear to be spectators in the  $CO_2$  adsorption process.

# 4 Conclusions

We used density functional theory calculations to investigate  $CO_2$  adsorption on  $In_2O_3(110)$ . The importance of  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$  hydroxylation as well as oxygen vacancies has been explored.

A thermodynamic analysis reveals that the surface is heavily hydroxylated under all relevant experimental conditions for methanol-synthesis. Hydroxylation from  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$  results in surfaces with significantly different chemical properties.  $H_2$ dissociates homolytically on the surface up to 83% coverage. The formation of surface OH-groups reduces In atoms at the surface, forming In<sup>1+</sup> sites. It is mainly undercoordinated surface atoms that are reduced. Water adsorption does not lead to a reduction of In-sites. Instead, all surface atoms retain the pristine oxidation state.

Creation of oxygen vacancies by water formation from adsorbed  $H_2$  is found to be thermodynamically preferred in the limit of high hydrogen coverages. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that  $In_2O_3(110)$  surfaces contain vacancies under the reaction conditions. However, we found that vacancies do not facilitate  $CO_2$  adsorption. The barrier for adsorption at the vacancy is high due to the breaking of In–In bonds, thus  $CO_2$  does not seem to quench oxygen vacancies.  $CO_2$  is instead preferably adsorbed on hydroxylated vacancy-free surfaces.  $CO_2$  is adsorbed by accepting one electron from the surface, a process that is facilitated by the reduced state of the surface atoms upon  $H_2$  hydroxylation. Our results are in agreement with experiments showing a suppression of the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction over  $In_2O_3$ .<sup>27</sup> The finding that oxygen vacancies do not play a significant role in  $CO_2$  adsorption but instead may hinder the reaction may elucidate the experimental observation that  $In_2O_3$  supported on  $ZrO_2$  increases the activity.<sup>24</sup> The role of  $ZrO_2$  could potentially be a reduction of the tendency to form vacancies in the  $In_2O_3$ -phase. Our results provide a handle to develop  $In_2O_3$ -based systems for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to  $CH_3OH$ . Doping of  $In_2O_3$  should be done in order to reduce vacancy formation while still allowing for a redox behaviour of the indium ions.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation through the project "Atomistic design of catalysts" (No: KAW 2015.0058) is gratefully acknowledged. Additional support has been obtained from the Swedish Research Council (2016-05234) and Swedish Energy Agency. The calculations have been performed at C3SE (Göteborg), Uppmax (Uppsala) and PDC (Stockholm) through a SNIC grant.

# Notes and references

- 1 S. Zimov, E. Schuur and F. Chapin, *Science*, 2006, 312, 1612–1613.
- B. Santer, M. Wehner, T. Wigley, R. Sausen, G. Meehl, K. Taylor, C. Ammann, J. Arblaster, W. Washington, J. Boyle and W. Bruggemann, *Science*, 2003, 301, 479–483.
- 3 G. Meehl and C. Tebaldi, Science, 2004, 305, 994-997.
- 4 J. Hansen, M. Sato, R. Ruedy, K. Lo, D. W. Lea and M. Medina-Elizade, *PNAS*, 2006, **103**, 14288–14293.
- 5 G. A. Olah, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 2636-2639.
- 6 G. A. Olah, A. Goeppert and G. K. S. Prakash, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 487–498.
- 7 G. A. Olah, G. K. S. Prakash and A. Goeppert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 12881–12898.
- 8 A. Goeppert, M. Czaun, J.-P. Jones, G. K. S. Prakash and G. A. Olah, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 7995–8048.
- 9 M. D. Porosoff, B. Yan and J. G. Chen, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 62–73.
- 10 A. Alvarez, A. Bansode, A. Urakawa, A. V. Bavykina, T. A. Wezendonk, M. Makkee, J. Gascon and F. Kapteijn, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 9804–9838.
- 11 S. Kattel, P. Liu and J. G. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 9739–9754.
- 12 R. M. Navarro, M. A. Pena and J. L. G. Fierro, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 3952–3991.
- 13 A. I. Olivos-Suarez, A. Szecsenyi, E. J. M. Hensen, J. Ruiz-Martinez, E. A. Pidko and J. Gascon, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 2965–2981.

- 14 K. Cheng, J. Kang, D. L. King, V. Subramanian, C. Zhou, Q. Zhang and Y. Wang, in Advances in catalysis for syngas conversion to hydrocarbons, *Advances in Catalysis*, ed. C. Song, 2017, vol. 60, pp. 125–208.
- 15 M. Behrens, F. Studt, I. Kasatkin, S. Kuehl, M. Haevecker, F. Abild-Pedersen, S. Zander, F. Girgsdies, P. Kurr, B.-L. Kniep, M. Tovar, R. W. Fischer, J. K. Norskov and R. Schloegl, *Science*, 2012, **336**, 893–897.
- 16 T. Reichenbach, K. Mondal, M. Jaeger, T. Vent-Schmidt, D. Himmel, V. Dybbert, A. Bruix, I. Krossing, M. Walter and M. Moseler, *J. Catal.*, 2018, **360**, 168–174.
- 17 M. Hus, D. Kopac and B. Likozar, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 105-116.
- 18 B. Hagman, A. Posada-Borbon, A. Schaefer, M. Shipilin, C. Zhang, L. R. Merte, A. Hellman, E. Lundgren, H. Gronbeck and J. Gustafson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 12974–12979.
- 19 J. Sun, I. Metcalfe and M. Sahibzada, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 1999, **38**, 3868–3872.
- 20 M. Twigg and M. Spencer, Top. Catal., 2003, 22, 191-203.
- 21 J. Graciani, K. Mudiyanselage, F. Xu, A. E. Baber, J. Evans, S. D. Senanayake, D. J. Stacchiola, P. Liu, J. Hrbek, J. Fernandez Sanz and J. A. Rodriguez, *Science*, 2014, 345, 546–550.
- P. Gao, S. Li, X. Bu, S. Dang, Z. Liu, H. Wang, L. Zhong, M. Qiu, C. Yang, J. Cai, W. Wei and Y. Sun, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, 9, 1019–1024.
- 23 S. Kattel, B. Yan, Y. Yang, J. G. Chen and P. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 12440–12450.
- 24 O. Martin, A. J. Martin, C. Mondelli, S. Mitchell, T. F. Segawa, R. Hauert, C. Drouilly, D. Curulla-Ferre and J. Perez-Ramirez, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 6261–6265.
- 25 T. Umegaki, K. Kuratani, Y. Yamada, A. Ueda, N. Kuriyama, T. Kobayashi and Q. Xu, *J. Power Sources*, 2008, **179**, 566–570.
- 26 H. Lorenz, W. Jochum, B. Kloetzer, M. Stoeger-Pollach, S. Schwarz, K. Pfaller and S. Penner, *Appl. Catal.*, A, 2008, 347, 34–42.
- 27 T. Bielz, H. Lorenz, P. Amann, B. Kloetzer and S. Penner, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 6622–6628.
- 28 J. Ye, C. Liu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 1296–1306.
- 29 J. Ye, C. Liu and Q. Ge, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 7817-7825.
- M. S. Frei, M. Capdevila-Cortada, R. Garcia-Muelas, C. Mondelli,
   N. Lopez, J. A. Stewart, D. C. Ferre and J. Perez-Ramirez, *J. Catal.*,
   2018, 361, 313–321.
- 31 T. Bielz, H. Lorenz, W. Jochum, R. Kaindl, F. Klauser, B. Kloetzer and S. Penner, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 9022–9029.
- 32 D. Albani, M. Capdevila-Cortada, G. Vile, S. Mitchell, O. Martin, N. Lopez and J. Perez-Ramirez, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 10755–10760.
- 33 M. Wagner, P. Lackner, S. Seiler, A. Brunsch, R. Bliem, S. Gerhold, Z. Wang, J. Osieck, K. Schulte, L. A. Boatner,

M. Schmid, B. Meyer and U. Diebold, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**(11), 11531-11541.

- 34 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1993, 47, 558–561.
- 35 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, **49**, 14251–14269.
- 36 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Comput. Mater. Sci., 1996, 6, 15–50.
- 37 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, 54, 11169–11186.
- 38 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- 39 P. E. Blochl, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, 50, 17953–17979.
- 40 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1999, **59**, 1758–1775.
- 41 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1976, 13, 5188–5192.
- 42 G. Henkelman, A. Arnaldsson and H. Jonsson, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2006, **36**, 354–360.
- 43 K. Reuter and M. Scheffler, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2002, **65**, 0354061.
- 44 Q. Sun, K. Reuter and M. Scheffler, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2003, **67**, 2054241.
- 45 P. J. Linstrom and W. G. Mallard, *NIST Chemistry WebBook*, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69; National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, http://webbook.nist.gov, 2005, [Online; Accessed 3-December-2018].
- 46 G. Henkelman, B. Uberuaga and H. Jonsson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **113**, 9901–9904.
- 47 P. Erhart, A. Klein, R. G. Egdell and K. Albe, *Phys. Rev. B:* Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2007, **75**, 1532051.
- 48 S. Z. Karazhanov, P. Ravindran, P. Vajeeston, A. Ulyashin, T. G. Finstad and H. Fjellvag, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2007, 76, 0751291.
- 49 T. de Boer, M. F. Bekheet, A. Gurlo, R. Riedel and A. Moewes, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2016, 93, 1552051.
- 50 J. Carrasco, G. Vile, D. Fernandez-Torre, R. Perez, J. Perez-Ramirez and M. Veronica Ganduglia-Pirovano, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 5352–5360.
- 51 M. Garcia-Melchor and N. Lopez, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 10921–10926.
- 52 I. D. Brown, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 6858-6919.
- 53 J. A. Enterkin, A. E. Becerra-Toledo, K. R. Poeppelmeier and L. D. Marks, *Surf. Sci.*, 2012, **606**, 344–355.
- 54 M. Van den Bossche and H. Grönbeck, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 8390–8398.
- 55 H.-Y. T. Chen, L. Giordano and G. Pacchioni, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 10623–10629.