

Featuring work from the research group of Professor Laurence Hardwick, University of Liverpool, UK

Kerr gated Raman spectroscopy of LiPF_6 salt and LiPF_6 -based organic carbonate electrolyte for Li-ion batteries

Raman spectroscopy of cycled Li-ion battery electrode interfaces is often complicated by fluorescence emissions swamping any derived spectra. Here we demonstrate the application of Kerr gated Raman spectroscopy as an effective technique to characterise Li-ion battery electrolyte materials. Partial and complete suppression of the fluorescence signals originating from degradation products and impurities was achieved with the Kerr gate, revealing the Raman signals and chemical information hidden beneath. Alex Neale and Matt Adams are acknowledged for designing the cover image.

As featured in:



See Laurence J. Hardwick et al.,
Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,
2019, **21**, 23833.



ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

Celebrating
IYPT 2019

rsc.li/pccp

Registered charity number: 207890



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, 21, 23833

Received 29th April 2019,
Accepted 13th August 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9cp02430a

rsc.li/pccp

Kerr gated Raman spectroscopy of LiPF₆ salt and LiPF₆-based organic carbonate electrolyte for Li-ion batteries†

Laura Cabo-Fernandez,^a Alex R. Neale,^a Filipe Braga,^a Igor V. Sazanovich,^b Robert Kostecki^c and Laurence J. Hardwick ^a  

Fluorescent species are formed during cycling of lithium ion batteries as a result of electrolyte decomposition due to the instability of the non-aqueous electrolytes and side reactions that occur at the electrode surface. The increase in the background fluorescence due to the presence of these components makes it harder to analyse data due to the spectroscopic overlap of Raman scattering and fluorescence. Herein, Kerr gated Raman spectroscopy was shown to be an effective technique for the isolation of the scattering effect from the fluorescence enabling the collection of the Raman spectra of LiPF₆ salt and LiPF₆-based organic carbonate electrolyte, without the interference of the fluorescence component. Kerr gated Raman was able to identify POF₃ on the LiPF₆ particle surface, after the addition of trace water.

1. Introduction

Raman spectroscopy is an optical spectroscopic technique capable of probing the chemical composition and structure of molecules and materials.¹ The sample under study is illuminated by monochromatic laser light, the molecules within that sample interact with the incident photon through the virtual energy level and then another photon is scattered; therefore, scattering processes can be looked at as the absorption of one photon and the instantaneous emission of another photon, as seen in Fig. 1(a). The energy difference of the photons can be related to the vibrational and rotational transitions that occur in the molecule, providing structural information.¹ Other phenomena can also occur when the molecule is excited by an optical beam. During the absorption of a photon, a molecule can be excited from the ground electronic state (S₀) to a higher energy electronic state (e.g., S₁). There is then a relaxation from the higher vibrational level to the zero vibrational level of this excited state (S₁), and finally the emission of a photon during the relaxation from S₁ to S₀ as seen in Fig. 1(a). This process is known as fluorescence.^{1,2} The photon emitted during fluorescence has lower energy, thus the peak appears in the spectrum at longer wavelengths than the absorption peak; and this

difference in wavelength is known as Stokes shift.^{1,2} Electron excitation-based fluorescence and Raman scattering may originate from the same optical excitation process and they can spectroscopically overlap upon laser excitation leading to convoluted spectra, which can hamper the analysis of the material.³ The quantum yield (Q) of fluorescence could be several order of magnitude higher than the scattering, which indicates that even at low concentrations of fluorescent species (e.g., 1 ppm) fluorescence can easily overwhelm Raman scattering signal making it difficult to detect and analyse (see ESI† for further explanation).

Ageing mechanisms have a great impact into batteries calendar life and performance. A better understanding of these complex processes is critical to improve the lifetime of the battery for diverse application such as in automotive industry.⁴ Electrolyte ageing plays a key role in the decrease of capacity since insoluble species and gas by-products are formed during the electrochemical cycling of the battery.⁵ Electrolyte and surface films formed during electrolyte degradation can be analysed by on-line mass spectroscopy, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS), NMR, differential electrochemical mass spectrometry (DEMS), FTIR, gel permeation chromatography and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and gas chromatography (GC).^{5–11} Raman spectroscopy is sensitive to subtle changes in bonding, coordination and formation of products.¹² Thus, it is a powerful technique to monitor ageing of battery electrolytes and investigation of electrode surface films under *in situ* or *operando* conditions during electrochemical cycling.¹³ However, fluorescent species are often present in a conventional lithium batteries electrolyte and at the electrode/electrolyte interface as a result of degradation and ageing

^a Stephenson Institute for Renewable Energy, Department of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, Peach Street, Liverpool, L69 7ZF, UK. E-mail: hardwick@liverpool.ac.uk

^b Central Laser Facility, Research Complex at Harwell, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0QX, UK

^c Energy Storage and Distributed Resources Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9cp02430a






Fig. 1 (a) Representation of Raman and fluorescence phenomena upon laser excitation of a molecule, and (b) schematics of the timescale of Raman and fluorescence processes.

processes that occur during battery cycling under different conditions.^{4,11,14,15} The presence of these fluorescent species complicates the characterisation of the electrode interfaces by Raman spectroscopy due to the spectral time coincidence and photon energy overlapping of fluorescence and Raman signals under continuous-wave (CW) laser excitation. One solution to avoid fluorescence is to use a laser excitation in the near infrared (such as 1064 nm) to generate the Raman scattering.

Fourier transform (FT) Raman spectroscopy was developed to avoid the fluorescence problems that arise in conventional Raman spectroscopy and in particular has been utilised in the study of polymeric materials.¹⁶ In order to eliminate the fluorescence, lasers with excitation wavelengths in the near infrared region are used. The use of interferometers has some advantages, such as high efficiency during signal collection and wavelength precision. However, FT-Raman spectroscopy has also the following disadvantage whereby it is difficult to differentiate the weak Raman signal and the strong Rayleigh scattering that reaches the detector. As a result, an increase in the signal-to-noise ratio is distributed over the whole spectrum. Another drawback of this technique is the loss in sensitivity associated with the small

cross section for the scattering when using lasers with excitation wavelengths in the IR region.¹⁶

The strength of the Raman signal depends on the laser frequency as forth of the power (ϑ^4),¹⁷ therefore there is a considerable drop of the signal when lasers with excitation wavelengths in the near infrared region are used in comparison to lasers in the visible region (e.g. 400 nm).

$$\frac{I_{as}}{I_s} \propto \frac{(\vartheta_L + \vartheta_R)^4}{(\vartheta_L - \vartheta_R)^4} \quad (1)$$

where I_{as} and I_s are the intensities of the anti-Stokes and Stokes scattering, respectively, ϑ_L is the excitation laser frequency, and ϑ_R the Raman mode frequency.¹⁷

Kerr gated Raman is also an effective technique to suppress the fluorescence background in Raman experiments,^{18–21} thereby providing extra sensitivity *via* use of lower wavelength laser excitation in order to allow detection of surface layers and solid electrolyte interphase compounds on battery electrodes.

Kerr gated Raman is based on the different time-dependence of fluorescence and Raman scattering signals upon short-pulse optical excitation. While fluorescence has a finite lifetime in the



order of hundreds of picoseconds (ps) to nanoseconds (ns), Raman scattering is instantaneous and follows in time the initiating laser pulse within picoseconds (ps) or femtoseconds (fs) as seen in Fig. 1(b).^{1–3} Such distinct time-domains for these two processes opens up a technical opportunity for separating them, provided an ultra-fast gating mechanism of the optical signal is coupled with the excitation pulse. Kerr gated consists of a non-linear medium carbon disulphide (CS₂), which acts as a half-wave plate due to a transient anisotropy induced in the medium by a high-energy gating laser pulse ($\lambda = 800$ nm, 1 ps).^{3,20,21} When gating laser pulse and excitation laser are timed appropriately, the polarisation of the Raman signal is rotated by 90° vs. the slower fluorescence emission signal, resulting in an effective transmission of the Raman scattering by the two crossed polarisers, while the fluorescence is mostly blocked (Scheme 1).^{3,19–21}

Kerr gated Raman has been proven to be an efficient technique for the suppression of fluorescence emission in chemical and biological samples;^{19–24} however, its application may be limited by the use of a high-energy pulse laser that could damage or decompose the sample.³ To the best of our knowledge, Kerr gated Raman has not yet been used to mitigate fluorescence background from Raman studies of Li-ion battery electrode materials and interfaces. In the present work with the purpose of making the proof-of-concept, we study by Kerr gated Raman a conventional lithium salt in battery electrolytes, LiPF₆, and its 1 M solution in ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) mixture.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals


Lithium hexafluorophosphate salt (LiPF₆, battery grade, > 99.99% trace metals basis), ethylene carbonate (EC, anhydrous, 99%),

dimethyl carbonate (DMC, anhydrous, ≥ 99%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The commercial electrolyte 1 M LiPF₆ in 1:1 w/w EC/DMC (LP30) was supplied by BASF. These compounds were received in a can sealed under inert atmosphere and immediately inserted into an Ar-filled glovebox (H₂O and O₂ levels < 1 ppm) before breaking the seal. All compounds and electrolytes were stored, handled and prepared in the same glovebox to avoid atmospheric and moisture contamination. Since LiPF₆ can react with components from glass bottles, the lithium salt was stored in plastic containers.

2.2. Raman measurements

Since the samples under investigation were air-sensitive, they were assembled inside of sealed quartz cuvettes. Raman CW (continuous wave) measurements with laser wavelengths of 532 nm, 633 nm and 785 nm were run with an *in via* Renishaw Raman spectrometer with a Leica microscope focussing on the sample *via* 50× objective (Leica). For 1064 nm wavelength a FT-Raman (1064 nm) (Bruker, MultiRAM) was used in back-scattered mode.

Kerr gated Raman experiments (depicted in Scheme 1) were performed at ULTRA laser facilities (Central Laser Facility, STFC, Rutherford Appleton Laboratories).²⁵ The Kerr gating system was driven by a ps arm of a THALES dual-beam Ti:Sapphire laser producing 0.8 mJ at 800 nm at 10 kHz repetition rate (pulse duration tuneable between 1 to 3 ps).²⁶ The optical gating was achieved through inducing Kerr effect in carbon disulphide (CS₂) with focused fundamental beam of the laser. The 2 mm cell with CS₂ was placed between the two crossed polarisers, and the gating 800 nm beam was focused onto CS₂ into 0.5 mm spot (the pulse energy at the CS₂ cell was 0.25 mJ). The polarisation of the gating beam was set at 45° with respect to the polarisers. Raman signal of



Scheme 1 Schematic representation of Kerr gated Raman spectroscopy setup (adapted from Central Laser Facilities website <https://www.clf.stfc.ac.uk/Pages/Kerr-Gated-Raman-Spectroscopy.aspx>).

the samples was probed at 400 nm with picosecond laser pulses. To produce that 400 nm Raman probe beam, some of the fundamental output beam of the laser (at 800 nm) had been split with optical beamsplitter and sent into a β -barium borate crystal for frequency doubling. Raman probe beam was focused into 150 μm spot at sample, the pulse energy at the sample was kept below 0.5 μJ , and the Raman signal was detected at parallel polarisation. To match the arrival time of the Raman signal at CS_2 cell to the arrival of the gating pulse, the optical delay line used was composed of the computer-controlled motorised linear stage and a hollow retro-reflector. Due to dispersion of light, the arrival time of Raman signal to the gate varied across the spectral detection range by *ca.* 2 ps. To account for that, a number of time delays were scanned during experiment near optimal signal arrival time. Kerr gated Raman spectra were collected under rastering conditions with a laser power of 5 mW; spectra shown is an average of 5 to 10 repeats of 20 s to 60 s acquisition each, depending on the sample. Raman spectra shown in the present work are not baseline corrected in order to be able to observe the influence of the fluorescence in the spectrum background, unless otherwise indicated.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Kerr gated Raman studies of lithium hexafluorophosphate salt (LiPF_6)

Lithium hexafluorophosphate is commonly used as lithium salt in organic carbonate-based electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries. LiPF_6 is unstable at temperatures above 60 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and it readily reacts with trace amounts of water due to its high hydrophilicity, leading to several decomposition reactions.^{27–30}

Kock *et al.*³¹ reported that the Raman spectrum for pristine, anhydrous alkali metal- PF_6 salts presents four intense bands at 475, 560, 571 and 745 cm^{-1} assigned to the E_g , $\text{T}_{1\text{u}}$, $\text{T}_{2\text{g}}$ and $\text{A}_{1\text{g}}$ vibrations of PF_6^- anion, respectively.

Fig. 2 presents Raman spectra for pristine LiPF_6 salt measured with different laser wavelengths across the visible spectrum (400, 532, 633 and 785 nm). For 532, 633 and 785 nm, the four expected Raman bands mentioned above are observable. However, large background emission contributions at all four excitation wavelengths dominate the spectra in pristine sample, with the 400 nm spectra being completely featureless. In addition, it is possible to observe that there is a dependence on the laser wavelength; the spectra have similar shape to emission spectra as seen in Fig. S1 in the ESI.† According to the principles of the fluorescence excitation and emission mechanism, the signal should be independent of the excitation wavelength according to Kasha's rule;³² however, it has been recently published some cases in which Kasha's rule is not followed.^{32,33} In the present study, a dependence of the spectrum shape on the excitation wavelength is observed indicating that Kasha's rule is not applicable for LiPF_6 salt and the electrolyte samples. Possible reasons could be (i) the presence of several emitting species with different absorption and emission spectra, or (ii) the energy levels of the material are not strongly coupled to each other, and the




Fig. 2 CW Raman spectrum of pristine LiPF_6 salt collected with a laser wavelength of (a) 400 nm, (b) 532 nm, (c) 633 nm and (d) 785 nm.

emission might occur from different excited states depending on which one is excited. Recent work highlights that similar background emission seen in Li-ion battery electrolytes may originate from hydrogen bonding interactions between PF_6^- and the carbonate-based solvent, rather than electronic states.³⁴ A similar mechanism could be in play here between trace water (H_2O) and LiPF_6 .

The LiPF_6 salt is not anticipated to generate a fluorescence signal, as fluorescence occurs in general from compounds that



have conjugated groups within the structure.² Thereby, there are different products, as yet undetected and in low concentration, present on the sample surface which contribute to the observed background emission. The origin of fluorescence in Li-ion systems has been reported to occur from three types of species: conjugating double bounds, such as diketones,¹⁴ inorganic ligands, such as MnAcAc species,¹⁴ and non-stoichiometric inorganic compounds, such as halophosphates, $\text{Li}_x\text{P}_y\text{F}_z\text{O}$ (or $\text{Li}_x(\text{PO}_4)_y\text{F}_z$).^{35–38} As there are no metal or organic compounds present in the salt sample, it is consequently generally speculated that the halophosphate, $\text{Li}_x\text{P}_y\text{F}_z\text{O}$ present on the surface of the LiPF_6 powder from reaction with trace water is the source in this case for the observed fluorescence. As this a non-stoichiometric species with a low Raman cross-section, it could be therefore challenging to detect any bands, even with the Kerr gate.

Kerr gated Raman measurements of LiPF_6 salt were carried out to probe if the fluorescence emission can be minimised and peaks associated with LiPF_6 can be more clearly revealed. Under Kerr gate conditions, the background fluorescent emission was minimised significantly by a factor of *ca.* 1000, allowing the observation of several peaks in the spectrum as shown in Fig. 3, which can be treated with a baseline correction.

The peaks observed in the spectrum (Fig. 3(iii)) were fitted with Lorentzian function after baseline subtraction (Fig. S2 in ESI†). The peaks associated with LiPF_6 are observed at 475, 559, 572 and 772 cm^{-1} (Table 1 and Table S1 in ESI†). Two further peaks are observed at 1217 and 1593 cm^{-1} , where as they are absent in the spectra of LiPF_6 obtained with FT-Raman at 1064 nm (Fig. S3, ESI†). Measurements were carried out in a quartz cuvette and this baseline spectrum of the cuvette were taken into account in the fitting (see Table S2 and Fig. S4, S5 in ESI†). FT-Raman spectrum of LiPF_6 salt displayed the lowest background highlighting that fluorescence problems can be avoided for use of routine sample analysis. The two additional peaks are tentatively assigned as C=O and C–O vibrations

Table 1 Lorentzian fitting of Raman peaks observed in the spectra for LiPF_6 salt (Fig. S2 and S3(b) in ESI) and their assignment obtained with 400 nm (Kerr gated) and 1064 nm lasers



400 nm position/ cm^{-1}	1064 nm position/ cm^{-1}	Assignment ³¹
475	475	E_g
559	557	$(\text{T}_{1u})^a$
572	570	T_{2g}
772	771	A_{1g}
1217	—	—
1593	—	—

^a The T_{1u} infrared active band is observed in the Raman due to crystal symmetry distortions.

arising from trace organic solvent used to recrystallize LiPF_6 during the manufacturing process.³⁹ These peaks are detectable due to the higher sensitivity from the 400 nm excitation (eqn (1)) emphasising the opportunity to detect organic components of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI), but may also be revealing the potential origin of the widely observed fluorescent background.

To investigate the influence of trace water on the Raman spectrum of LiPF_6 using the Kerr gate, 100 μL of H_2O was added to 0.5 g of LiPF_6 (Fig. 4). Kerr gated spectra show the growth in the background emission from pristine to 15 h after 100 μL H_2O addition (red trace) and three days after H_2O addition (Fig. 4a(i)). The background growth highlights that the growth in emission cannot be attributed fully to the detected organic compounds left over in the salt, but must also occur from another source, such as $\text{Li}_x\text{P}_y\text{F}_z\text{O}$, as discussed earlier. The trend in the growth in background emission is similar, but minimal for the comparative FT-Raman measurement (Fig. 4a(ii)). The mains bands for LiPF_6 are observed for the pristine salt (Table 1) are observed in Fig. 4b(i) and (ii). However, after 3 days an additional weak band at 900 cm^{-1} has emerged from the background noise in the Kerr gated spectra (Fig. 4c(i)) and could be assigned as the stretching of P–F bond in the compound POF_3 .⁴⁰ In the FT-Raman a similar positioned weakly intense peak could be discerned, but it remains within the noise level (Fig. 4c(ii)).

POF_3 has been reported as a spontaneous decomposition products of LiPF_6 as a result of anion dissociation reactions in the presence of trace amounts of water.^{9,11,34}



Further reaction of POF_3 with water can lead to formation of other hydrolysis products such as HF and HPO_2F_2 , according to the equation, but bands for HPO_2F_2 (1167 and 1199 cm^{-1} symmetric and asymmetric stretch of PO_2 group) within the timescale of this measurement were not observed.³⁰



3.2. Kerr gated Raman studies of 1 M LiPF_6 in EC/DMC electrolyte

The effect of organic carbonate solvents (*i.e.*, EC and DMC) on the stability and degradation mechanism of LiPF_6 was studied in a similar sequence of conventional CW Raman and Kerr




Fig. 3 Raman spectrum of pristine LiPF_6 salt collected with a 400 nm laser wavelength in (i) CW, (ii) Kerr gated mode, (iii) baseline correction of Kerr gated spectrum. The intensity of the (i) CW spectrum has been scaled by $\times 0.001$ in order to observe all three spectra on the same axis.






Fig. 4 (i, left panels) Kerr gated Raman spectra and (ii, right panels) FT-Raman spectra of pristine LiPF_6 salt (black trace), and LiPF_6 salt 15 h after 100 μL H_2O addition (red trace) and three days after H_2O addition (blue trace). Note that H_2O was added under ambient atmospheric conditions, thus also introducing atmospheric contamination. Spectra in panels (a) normalised by intensity of primary PF_6^- band at 771 cm^{-1} and, subsequently, baseline-subtracted as shown in panels (b) and (c).

gated Raman spectroscopy measurements. Fig. 5 shows the CW spectra of (a) freshly prepared and (b) commercial electrolyte collected with 400, 532, 633 and 785 nm laser wavelength. Fluorescence emission backgrounds are observed in the spectra for all the laser wavelengths and are greater in the commercial electrolyte. The difference is likely due to length of time between the original electrolyte preparation, which was under a week for the freshly prepared and unknown in the commercial case. The baseline of the Raman spectra is consistent with those observed in Fig. 2 for LiPF_6 salt (see Fig. S6 in ESI[†]); therefore, these results suggest that the main source of fluorescence arise from the electrolyte salt. This is also supported by the Raman spectra of the solvents DMC, EC and EC/DMC mixture in the absence of the salt in Table S3 and Fig. S7–S9

(in ESI[†]), in which there is no fluorescence background as seen. Despite the background fluorescence, it is still possible to distinguish the typical peaks for DMC and EC at 518, 717, 723, 893, 904, 916, 973, 1220, 1455, 1487, 1750, 1808, 2849, 2888, 2935, 2967 and 3002 cm^{-1} . The A_{1g} vibration of the PF_6^- anion is detected at 741 cm^{-1} . The distinct observation of Raman bands, even at 400 nm excitation, is the result of the lower concentration of LiPF_6 in the electrolyte and thus a lower concentration of fluorescent species, resulting from as yet unidentified side reaction products (*i.e.*, salt concentration is 1 M in the electrolyte). From analysis of the spectra no additional peaks pertaining to decomposition products were observed. A higher wavelength excitation (1064 nm) was again used to examine the electrolyte and this is shown in Fig. S10 in ESI[†] At




Fig. 5 Stacked CW Raman spectra of (a) freshly prepared and (b) commercial 1 M LiPF₆ in EC/DMC (1:1 w/w) electrolyte collected with (i) 400 nm (ii) 532 nm, (iii) 633 nm and (iv) 785 nm laser wavelengths (no Kerr gating was used).

this higher wavelength, a fluorescence background is still observed, albeit much lower intensity, thereby allowing measurement of electrolyte Raman bands. In the next section it will be shown that Kerr gating can completely remove this background, giving a flat baseline.

The lower concentration of LiPF₆ in the electrolyte, when compared to the salt, could also be the reason for the complete suppression of the fluorescence from the spectra shown in Fig. S11(a) (in ESI†) collected during Kerr gated measurements. This probes the efficiency of Kerr gate technique in the removal of the fluorescence emission, allowing the observation of intense Raman peaks assigned to vibrational modes of LiPF₆, EC and DMC. Peak positions and assignment are summarised in Table S4 (in ESI†). Fig. S12 and S13 (in ESI†) show the individual spectra measured at (a) 400 nm, (b) 532 nm, (c) 633 nm, (d) 785 nm and (e) 1064 nm and the time delay collection, showing similar features to the commercial electrolyte.

Fig. 6 shows the complete removal of the emission background *via* use of the Kerr gate on commercial 1 M LiPF₆ in EC/DMC (1:1 w/w) electrolyte, giving a flat baseline. This result highlights that the emission background originates predominantly from fluorescence. Chemical stability and ageing of the electrolyte after exposure to ambient atmosphere for 11 minutes and after addition of 30% (v/v) of water (e.g., at $t = 0$ minutes and after 2 days) were also investigated. Kerr gated spectra of the electrolyte after exposure to ambient atmosphere for 11 minutes shown in Fig. S11(b) (in ESI†) are identical to those collected for fresh electrolyte (Fig. S11(a) in ESI†), indicating that there is no clear evidence of rapid electrolyte decomposition in the Raman spectra. In fact, there is no increase of the fluorescent background emission in the Raman spectra collected at different delay times. The baseline remains flat in all cases. After addition

of water into the electrolyte, a new band appears at approximately 3500 cm⁻¹ that is related to vibrational modes of O-H bonds.⁴¹ The rest of the spectroscopic features remain almost the same at the beginning of the ageing process and after 2 days of storage as observed in the spectra in Fig. 6a and b (Fig. S11(c) and (d) in ESI†). The main differences observed are within the region of C=O vibrational stretching between 1750–1805 cm⁻¹, and bending region *ca.* 900 cm⁻¹ as seen in Fig. 6c and d respectively. There is a slight shift towards higher frequencies of the band assigned to DMC from 1756 cm⁻¹ to 1760 cm⁻¹ after water addition. Regarding the bands assigned to EC, there is a broadening of the band at 1777 cm⁻¹ and a decrease in the relative intensity of the peak at 1805 cm⁻¹ and peak shape at 900 cm⁻¹. These changes could be tentatively associated to the coordination of the water molecule to C=O carbonate group of the solvent *via* hydrogen bonds. In the spectra of DMC and EC mixture in the absence of water contamination the relative intensity is similar for the peaks related to each solvent as seen in Fig. S10 (in ESI†). There were no additional peaks after water addition as in the case of LiPF₆ (Fig. 4).

It has been reported that EC hydrolyses in the presence of water, with the main hydrolysis products being CO₂ and ethylene glycol.⁴² The spectra were examined in order to ascertain if these products were present. Band assigned to the symmetric stretching of CO₂ is expected at 1388 cm⁻¹ and ethylene glycol spectrum has no bands within 1750–1803 cm⁻¹ region, in which the main spectral changes were observed.^{43,44} Thereby, these compounds are not observed in Kerr gated spectra. In addition, it has been reported that hydrolysis of EC occurs at temperatures above 40 °C in the absence of catalytically active OH⁻,⁴² and the electrolyte is stable at room temperature, becoming the hydrolysis more important with temperature.^{9,42} In the present work, measurements




Fig. 6 (a) Comparison of Kerr gated Raman spectra at 0 ps delay of 1 M LiPF₆ in EC/DMC (1:1 w/w) electrolyte fresh (solid black line), after 11 minutes exposure to air (solid red line), after addition of water (solid blue line) and after ageing for 2 days in water (dashed blue line). Enlargements of certain spectral regions are displayed (b) 2500–3600 cm⁻¹, (c) 1400–2000 cm⁻¹ and (d) 400–1100 cm⁻¹.

were carried out at room temperature without the presence of any catalytic surface (*i.e.*, electrode surface); therefore, the spectroscopic changes observed are not due to hydrolysis of electrolyte components.

Spectroscopic analysis of subtle changes in peak shape associated with changes to solvent–ion interactions would be impossible without the use of Kerr gated Raman and this result highlights the potential to carry out a series of systematic and

quantifiable experiments to understanding electrolyte ageing for practical battery electrolyte conditions. Furthermore, the data highlights that the yet undetectable species responsible for fluorescence is present in the fresh electrolyte and thereby its initial role and relevance in Li-ion battery chemistry remains an open question. Interestingly the concentration of fluorescent species did not increase significantly within the confines of the initial ageing experiments as the fluorescence background signal was not observed to increase at collection at 10 ps between fresh and aged electrolytes Fig. S11 (in ESI[†]).

The sensitivity of the Raman spectrometer depends on the cross-section and the scattering properties of the materials. The presence of surface films containing fluorescent properties, even at very low concentrations (*i.e.*, as previously explained due to the differences in the quantum yield of scattering and fluorescence processes), have a great influence in the spectrum. Overall the results for LiPF₆ salt show that it is possible to detect decomposition compounds and a surface film on pristine LiPF₆ particles by Kerr gated Raman spectroscopy. This would be otherwise impossible to observe due to the large background fluorescence emission that interferes with the scattering signal. The demonstration that the Kerr gated approach is effective in the suppression of background fluorescence emission in the spectra collected for the battery components (salt and electrolyte), highlights that this technique offers a great opportunity for detailed *ex situ* and *operando* Raman studies of electrode/electrolyte interfaces and monitoring degradation processes during Li-ion battery cycling and storage. Using a Kerr gate may offer a greater sensitivity in the detection of these trace compounds than that presently offered by FT-Raman at 1064 nm.

4. Conclusions

Fluorescence from standard Li-ion battery electrolyte components severely hamper the ability to examine them using visible wavelength Raman spectroscopy. Using IR excitation at 1064 nm it is possible to obtain Raman spectra above a diminished fluorescence background, but with a lower sensitivity. As an alternative method to removing the fluorescent background we have demonstrated with proof-of-concept experiments that the background fluorescence emission in the scattering spectrum of LiPF₆ as salt and in the conventional battery electrolyte with EC/DMC solvents can be minimised or completely suppressed by Kerr gated Raman. Fluorescent species are formed during cycling of lithium ion batteries as a result of electrolyte decomposition due to the instability of the non-aqueous electrolytes and side reactions that occur at the electrode surface. The increase in the background fluorescence due to the presence of these components makes it harder to analyse data due to the spectroscopic overlap of Raman scattering and fluorescence. It has been demonstrated that Kerr gated Raman is an effective technique for the isolation of the scattering effect from the fluorescence enabling the investigation of the decomposition of battery electrolyte components and potentially identifying the source of the fluorescent background from trace organics left over from the recrystallization of LiPF₆. In the spectra



collected for water contaminated LiPF_6 salt, not only was it possible to detect peaks within the region for those associated with PF_6^- , but also to POF_3 , a well-known degradation compound resulting for hydrolysis of LiPF_6 . Crucially this result highlights how Kerr gated Raman can be used to evaluate degradation reaction pathways as well as providing a method of quality control in detecting the surface speciation on LiPF_6 salt samples before electrolyte preparation.

Kerr gated measurements of 1 M LiPF_6 in EC/DMC electrolyte showed bands associated with each of the components when aged in air and a broad band at 3500 cm^{-1} and a change in the relative intensity ratio of the bands related to $\text{C}=\text{O}$ were observed due to the coordination of the water molecules after addition of water.

The present study highlights Kerr gated Raman as a powerful technique that can be applied in the investigation of electrode/electrolyte interfaces and the speciation of the solid electrolyte interphase of battery systems in *ex situ* or *operando* studies, even in the presence of fluorescent species formed during cycling due to the suppression of the background emission.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Support *via* the STFC Battery Network Proof of Concept Grant is gratefully acknowledged in initiating this research and for the beam time on ULTRA to carry out Kerr gated experiments. We would like to thank to Dr Alexander Cowan for helpful discussions of fluorescence. We further recognise the support of ISCF Faraday Challenge project: "Towards a Comprehensive Understanding of Degradation Processes in EV Batteries" and the financial support is gratefully acknowledged grant number EP/S003053/1. This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Vehicle Technologies Office, under the Advanced Battery Materials Research (BMR) Program, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231f.

References

- 1 E. C. Le Ru and P. G. Etchegoin, *Principles of Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy and Related Plasmonic Effects*, Elsevier, 2009.
- 2 B. Valeur, *Molecular Fluorescence: Principles and Applications*, Wiley-VCH Verlag, 2001.
- 3 D. Wei, S. Chen and Q. Liu, Review of Fluorescence Suppression Techniques in Raman Spectroscopy, *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.*, 2015, **50**, 387–406.
- 4 J. Vetter, P. Novak, M. R. Wagner, C. Veit, K. C. Moller, J. O. Besenhard, M. Winter, M. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, C. Vogler and A. Hammouche, Ageing mechanisms in lithium-ion batteries, *J. Power Sources*, 2005, **147**, 269–281.
- 5 Q. Badey, G. Cherouvrier, Y. Reynier, J.-M. Duffault and S. Franger, Ageing forecast of lithium-ion batteries for electric and hybrid vehicles, *Curr. Top. Electrochem.*, 2011, **16**, 65–79.
- 6 L. J. Hardwick, M. Marcinek, L. Beer, J. B. Kerr and R. Kostecki, An Investigation of the Effect of Graphite Degradation on Irreversible Capacity in Lithium-ion Cells, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2008, **155**, A442.
- 7 L. M. Thompson, W. Stone, A. Eldesoky, N. K. Smith, C. R. M. McFarlane, J. S. Kim, M. B. Johnson, R. Petibon and J. R. Dahn, Quantifying Changes to the Electrolyte and Negative Electrode in Aged NMC532/Graphite Lithium-Ion Cells, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2018, **165**, A2732–A2740.
- 8 C. Schultz, S. Vedder, B. Streipert, M. Winter and S. Nowak, Quantitative investigation of the decomposition of organic lithium ion battery electrolytes with LC-MS/MS, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 27853–27862.
- 9 S. Wiemers-Meyer, M. Winter and S. Nowak, Mechanistic insights into lithium ion battery electrolyte degradation—a quantitative NMR study, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 26595–26601.
- 10 S. Wilken, P. Johansson and P. Jacobsson, Infrared spectroscopy of instantaneous decomposition products of LiPF_6 -based lithium battery electrolytes, *Solid State Ionics*, 2012, **225**, 608–610.
- 11 S. Wilken, M. Treskow, J. Scheers, P. Johansson and P. Jacobsson, Initial stages of thermal decomposition of LiPF_6 -based lithium ion battery electrolytes by detailed Raman and NMR spectroscopy, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 16359–16364.
- 12 P. Radjenovic and L. J. Hardwick, Time-resolved SERS study of the oxygen reduction reaction in ionic liquid electrolytes for non-aqueous lithium–oxygen cells, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2018, **206**, 379–392.
- 13 L. Cabo-Fernandez, F. Mueller, S. Passerini and L. J. Hardwick, *In situ* Raman spectroscopy of carbon-coated ZnFe_2O_4 anode material in Li-ion batteries – investigation of SEI growth, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 3970–3973.
- 14 A. Jarry, S. Gottis, Y. S. Yu, J. Roque-Rosell, C. Kim, J. Cabana, J. Kerr and R. Kostecki, The Formation Mechanism of Fluorescent Metal Complexes at the $\text{Li}_x\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_{4-\delta}$ /Carbonate Ester Electrolyte Interface, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 3533–3539.
- 15 R. Kostecki, L. Norin, X. Song and F. McLarnon, Diagnostic Studies of Polyolefin Separators in High-Power Li-Ion Cells, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2004, **151**, A522.
- 16 P. B. Stockwell, *Introduction to Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000.
- 17 Q. Cen, Y. He, M. Xu, J. Wang and Z. Wang, Wavelength dependent resonance Raman band intensity of broadband stimulated Raman spectroscopy of malachite green in ethanol, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **142**, 114201.
- 18 A. Guéguen, D. Streich, M. He, M. Mendez, F. F. Chesneau, P. Novák and E. J. Berg, Decomposition of LiPF_6 in High Energy Lithium-Ion Batteries Studied with Online Electrochemical Mass Spectrometry, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2016, **163**, A1095–A1100.



19 P. Matousek, M. Towrie and A. W. Parker, Fluorescence background suppression in Raman spectroscopy using combined Kerr gated and shifted excitation Raman difference techniques, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2002, **33**, 238–242.

20 P. Matousek, M. Towrie, C. Ma, W. M. Kwok, D. Phillips, W. T. Toner and A. W. Parker, Fluorescence suppression in resonance Raman spectroscopy using a high-performance picosecond Kerr gate, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2001, **32**, 983–988.

21 P. Matousek, M. Towrie and A. W. Parker, Efficient Rejection of Fluorescence from Raman Spectra Using Picosecond Kerr Gating, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 1999, **53**, 1485–1489.

22 R. E. Littleford, P. Matousek, M. Towrie, A. W. Parker, G. Dent, R. J. Lacey and W. E. Smith, Raman spectroscopy of street samples of cocaine obtained using Kerr gated fluorescence rejection, *Analyst*, 2004, **129**, 505–506.

23 M. Gaft and L. Nagli, UV gated Raman spectroscopy for standoff detection of explosives, *Opt. Mater.*, 2008, **30**, 1739–1746.

24 M. D. Morris, A. E. Goodship, E. R. C. Draper, P. Matousek, M. Towrie and A. W. Parker, Kerr-gated picosecond Raman spectroscopy and Raman photon migration of equine bone tissue with 400 nm excitation, *Proc. SPIE-Int. Soc. Opt. Eng.*, 2004, **5321**, 164–169.

25 I. V. Sazanovich, G. M. Greetham, B. C. Coles, I. P. Clark, P. Matousek, A. W. Parker and M. Towrie, Facility development update: the Kerr-gated Raman/ultrafast time-resolved fluorescence instrument, *Cent. Laser Facil. Annu. Rep.*, 2014–2015, **44**.

26 G. M. Greetham, P. Burgos, Q. Cao, I. A. N. P. Clark, P. S. Codd, R. C. Farrow, M. W. George, P. Matousek, A. W. Parker, M. R. Pollard, A. Robinson, Z. Xin and M. Towrie, ULTRA: A Unique Instrument for Time-Resolved Spectroscopy, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2010, **64**, 1311–1319.

27 P. B. Balbuena and Y. Wang, *Lithium-Ion Batteries: Solid-Electrolyte Interphase*, Imperial College Press, 2004.

28 S. K. Balasubramanian, L. Yang, L.-Y. L. Yung, C.-N. Ong, W.-Y. Ong and L. E. Yu, Characterization, purification, and stability of gold nanoparticles, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**, 9023–9030.

29 D. Aurbach, B. Markovsky, I. Weissman, E. Levi and Y. Ein-Eli, On the correlation between surface chemistry and performance of graphite negative electrodes for Li ion batteries, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1999, **45**, 67–86.

30 M. Stich, M. Göttlinger, M. Kurniawan, U. Schmidt and A. Bund, Hydrolysis of LiPF₆ in Carbonate-Based Electrolytes for Lithium-Ion Batteries and in Aqueous Media, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 8836–8842.

31 L. D. Kock, M. D. S. Lekgoathi, P. L. Crouse and B. M. Vilakazi, Solid state vibrational spectroscopy of anhydrous lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆), *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2012, **1026**, 145–149.

32 A. K. Prasad and M. Jain, Breakdown of Kasha's Rule in a Ubiquitous, Naturally Occurring, Wide Bandgap Aluminosilicate (Feldspar), *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 810.

33 G. Brancato, G. Signore, P. Neyroz, D. Polli, G. Cerullo, G. Abbandonato, L. Nucara, V. Barone, F. Beltram and R. Bizzarri, Dual fluorescence through Kasha's rule breaking: an unconventional photomechanism for intracellular probe design, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2015, **119**, 6144–6154.

34 K. W. Schroder, A. G. Dylla, L. D. C. Bishop, E. R. Kamilar, J. Saunders, L. J. Webb and K. J. Stevenson, Effects of Solute–Solvent Hydrogen Bonding on Nonaqueous Electrolyte Structure, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2015, **6**, 2888–2891.

35 D. Aurbach, M. Moshkovich, Y. Cohen and A. Schechter, The study of surface film formation on noble-metal electrodes in alkyl carbonates/Li salt solutions, using simultaneous *in situ* AFM, EQCM, FTIR, and EIS, *Langmuir*, 1999, **15**, 2947–2960.

36 S. P. V. Nadimpalli, V. A. Sethuraman, S. Dalavi, B. Lucht, M. J. Chon, V. B. Shenoy and P. R. Guduru, Quantifying Capacity Loss due to Solid-Electrolyte-Interphase Layer Formation on Silicon Negative Electrodes in Lithium-ion Batteries, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **215**, 145–151.

37 B. S. Parimalam and B. L. Lucht, Reduction Reactions of Electrolyte Salts for Lithium Ion Batteries: LiPF₆, LiBF₄, LiDFOB, LiBOB, and LiTFSI, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2018, **165**, A251–A255.

38 Y. Yu, P. Karayaylali, Y. Katayama, L. Giordano, M. Gauthier, F. Maglia, R. Jung, I. Lund and Y. Shao-horn, Coupled LiPF₆ Decomposition and Carbonate Dehydrogenation Enhanced by Highly Covalent Metal Oxides in High-Energy Li-Ion Batteries, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 27368–27382.

39 J. Kamiya, T. Mitsui, M. Ooe and K. Sato, New Process of Synthesizing LiPF₆ in Organic Solvent for Electrolyte, *Meet. Abstr.*, 2015, **MA2015-02**, 136.

40 M. Feller, K. Lux and A. Kornath, Crystal Structure and Spectroscopic Investigations of POF₃, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2014, **640**, 53–56.

41 G. Socrates, *Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies Tables and Charts*, Wiley, 2001.

42 M. Metzger, B. Strehle, S. Solchenbach and H. A. Gasteiger, Hydrolysis of Ethylene Carbonate with Water and Hydroxide under Battery Operating Conditions, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2016, **163**, A1219–A1225.

43 K. Krishnan and R. S. Krishnan, Raman and Infrared spectra of ethylene glycol, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1966, **LXIV**, 111–123.

44 T. Azbej, M. J. Severs, B. G. Rusk and R. J. Bodnar, *In situ* quantitative analysis of individual H₂O–CO₂ fluid inclusions by laser Raman spectroscopy, *Chem. Geol.*, 2007, **237**, 255–263.