Experimental and theoretical 2p core-level spectra of size-selected gas-phase aluminum and silicon cluster cations: chemical shifts, geometric structure, and coordination-dependent screening†


We present 2p core-level spectra of size-selected aluminum and silicon cluster cations from soft X-ray photoionization efficiency curves and density functional theory. The experimental and theoretical results are in very good quantitative agreement and allow for geometric structure determination. New ground state geometries for Al12 2+, Si15 2+, Si16 2+, and Si19 2+ are proposed on this basis. The chemical shifts of the 2p electron binding energies reveal a substantial difference for aluminum and silicon clusters: while in aluminum the 2p electron binding energy decreases with increasing coordination number, no such correlation was observed for silicon. The 2p binding energy shifts in clusters of both elements differ strongly from those of the corresponding bulk matter. For aluminum clusters, the core-level shifts between outer shell atoms and the encapsulated atom are of opposite sign and one order of magnitude larger than the corresponding core-level shift between surface and bulk atoms in the solid. For silicon clusters, the core-level shifts are of the same order of magnitude in clusters and in bulk silicon but no obvious correlation of chemical shift and bond length, as present for reconstructed silicon surfaces, are observed.

1 Introduction

The investigation of materials properties at the nanoscale remains a very attractive field of study not only because of potential applications such as in semiconductor information technology but also because it allows for obtaining fundamental knowledge on the interplay of electronic and geometric structure, which is essential for the improvement of theoretical modeling that has become an indispensable tool in materials research. The regime of small clusters is particularly interesting because one can learn about electronic and structural properties in a size-range where many unusual structures exist and electronic properties can be very different from bulk. For this reason, size-selected clusters have been studied as model systems in order to obtain information on, e.g., ionization energies, fragmentation pathways, reactivity towards gaseous molecules, or interaction with a surface or support. Experimental exploration of the most stable structures of small metal or semiconductor particles is a rather difficult task as the particles are typically too small to be observed directly, in spite of a remarkable progress in this direction. Therefore joint efforts are made for experiments and simulations to relate observables to structural properties. Typical methods used in...
this context are electron diffraction,\textsuperscript{12} ion mobility mass spectrometry,\textsuperscript{13–15} infrared, visible, and ultraviolet photodissociation spectroscopy,\textsuperscript{16–18} valence band photoionisation,\textsuperscript{2} as well as ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{19–22} Recently, core-level spectra, which are known to provide direct information about the local electronic structure,\textsuperscript{23} have also been introduced for geometric structure determination of size-selected gas-phase clusters.\textsuperscript{24}

Aluminum and silicon, as neighbouring elements in the periodic table, are very different materials: aluminum is a trivalent metal with free-electron like bands and a complex Fermi surface whereas silicon is a semiconductor with directional covalent bonding because of tetrahedral sp\textsuperscript{3} hybridization. These differences are reflected in very different atomic packing factors of 0.74 for fcc aluminum but only 0.34 for diamond-lattice silicon. Not only the bulk solids but also clusters of aluminum and silicon have very different structural and electronic properties. Medium-sized aluminum clusters generally prefer rather compact structures.\textsuperscript{21,25} Smaller aluminum clusters with ≤21 atoms adopt structures that are based on icosahedral growth patterns,\textsuperscript{25} where anionic Al\textsubscript{13}\textsuperscript{−} forms a perfect icosahedron because of electronic and geometric shell closure.\textsuperscript{2} Larger clusters already show first expression of the bulk fcc arrangement, albeit still in competition with decahedral or disordered structures.\textsuperscript{25} With respect to the electronic structure of aluminum clusters, it was found that at least some cluster sizes express an electronic shell structure as expected from a simple spherical jellium model, in spite of the trivalent nature of aluminum, although the model does not deliver a correct description for all cluster sizes.\textsuperscript{21} The bonding can thus be described as non-directional and metallic. Medium-sized silicon clusters with less than 30 atoms, on the other hand, are characterized by rich growth patterns due to directional bonding that lead to a variety of different structures with similar total energy.\textsuperscript{14} Geometric structures that are based on a nine-atom tricapped trigonal prism unit\textsuperscript{26} have often been assigned as low-lying isomers of silicon clusters. The same structural motif exists in the trigonal prism Si\textsubscript{9} cluster for which a relatively large binding energy of 3.42(4) eV per atom is reported.\textsuperscript{27} In the size range of ≈20–30 atoms per cluster, the stacking of tricapped trigonal prism units presumably results in the extended, prolate structures before the observed prolate-to-spherical or extended-to-compact transition of the cluster geometries takes place.\textsuperscript{13–15,28,29} Smaller silicon clusters with n < 20 form relatively open structures in general, such that Si\textsubscript{13}\textsuperscript{+}, e.g., does not possess icosahedral geometry.\textsuperscript{18,24}

Synchrotron based core-level spectroscopy techniques, such as X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, have been used to study free clusters of rare gases, molecules and metals.\textsuperscript{30–33} For metallic clusters in neutral cluster beams, the average cluster size of the broad size distribution in these experiments has, however, typically been very large, with cluster sizes of up to 1000 atoms. Free size-selected clusters are more challenging to study due to the low sample density, but core level binding energy spectra have nevertheless been obtained using vacuum-ultraviolet, extreme-ultraviolet, and soft-X-ray radiation from free-electron lasers\textsuperscript{14,25} or storage rings.\textsuperscript{36} These investigations also include vacuum-ultraviolet and soft X-ray photoionization studies of size-selected silicon clusters.\textsuperscript{24,37–39}

Depending on the level of spectral resolution and inhomogeneous broadening, most of these studies either focus on the size-dependent electrostatic charging energy contribution to ionization potentials\textsuperscript{40,41} or decompose the spectra into known surface and bulk contributions to the electron binding energy as a way to determine cluster and nanoparticle radii. Only recently an example has been given of geometric structure determination from a detailed analysis of core-level binding energy shifts in size-selected silicon clusters.\textsuperscript{24}

Here we present a detailed study of 2p core-level binding energy spectra of size-selected aluminum and silicon cluster cations in combination with density functional theory calculations. While core-level shifts in aluminum clusters can be easily understood in terms of structural parameters, no simple explanation for the strong variation of core-level shifts in silicon clusters\textsuperscript{24} can be given so far. Nevertheless, the experimental spectra can in all cases be well reproduced by theory for the energetically lowest lying isomer, confirming the structural sensitivity of core-level binding energy spectra.

2 Experimental and theoretical methods

2.1 Cluster source and ion trap setup for spectroscopy with synchrotron radiation

The experimental studies were performed in a dedicated setup for core-level spectroscopy of size-selected cluster ions with synchrotron radiation.\textsuperscript{42} Aluminum and silicon cluster ions were generated by magnetron sputtering of high-purity (99.999%) aluminum and p-type silicon sputtering targets with mixed argon (flow rate $\approx 0.1$ l min$^{-1}$) and helium (flow rate $\approx 0.5$ l min$^{-1}$) gas at typical pressures of $\approx 0.1–1$ mbar in a liquid-nitrogen-cooled gas-aggregation cluster source. High-purity (99.999%) gases were used in all cases. Cationic clusters were collected at the cluster source exit diaphragm and were guided by a radio-frequency hexapole ion guide through a differential pumping stage into a radio-frequency quadrupole mass filter. Beams of mass-selected cluster ions were guided by electrostatic ion lenses into a liquid-nitrogen cooled linear quadrupole ion trap where they were thermalized by collisions with $\approx 10^{-4}$ mbar helium buffer gas. Extreme-ultraviolet to soft X-ray radiation from BESSY II undulator beamline U125/2/SGM was coupled into the ion trap on-axis for maximum overlap with the ion cloud.\textsuperscript{42,43} Photon-energy dependent photoionization and photofragmentation mass spectra\textsuperscript{24} were recorded by sweeping the photon energy across the aluminum and silicon 2p edges with photon energy bandwidth and step width of 50–100 meV, where a step size of 100 meV was used for overview scans while 50 meV steps were used for a detailed investigation in the vicinity of the continuum step edge that is used to determine the 2p electron binding energy. The accuracy of the absolute photon energy calibration was 200 meV and 300 meV for the aluminum and silicon 2p edges, respectively, as verified for the helium double resonance excitation.\textsuperscript{44} Product ions that
were generated in the photoexcitation and decay processes were collected in the ion trap along with the parent ions and extracted as ion bunches by a pulsed exit aperture. The extracted ion bunches were then deflected by 90° by an electrostatic quadrupole deflector and guided, by electrostatic ion lenses, into the first acceleration stage of a reflectron time-of-flight mass spectrometer for detection. The photon energy dependence of the individual product ion intensities gave the partial ion yield spectra that were analyzed to obtain the 2p core-level spectra from photoionization efficiency curves.

2.2 Core-level spectra from photoionization efficiency curves

The determination of core-level electron binding energies from 2p photoionization efficiency curves has already been reported in detail for size-selected pristine and doped silicon cluster cations; these therefore only a brief summary will be given here. Resonant 2p → nd, (n + 1)s photoexcitation and direct 2p → cl photoionization of size-selected aluminum and silicon cluster cations occur at photon energies above the respective thresholds. Both processes are followed by Auger decay of the 2p core-excited state. For the excitations considered here, Auger decay leads to up to triply charged cluster ions with the same number of atoms as in the parent ion. The resulting excited cluster ions typically carry sufficient internal energy to undergo dissociation, resulting in complex photoionization mass spectra. Both, ion intensity and mass-to-charge distribution of the product ions, change markedly as a function of photon energy for aluminum and silicon cluster 2p excitation. These Al7 partial ion yield spectra are exemplarily shown in Fig. 1 for 2p excitation of the parent ion Al14.

Similar to the case of silicon clusters, also for aluminum clusters the direct 2p photoionization shows up as a pronounced step edge at threshold only in specific ion yield channels as shown in the upper traces of Fig. 1 for Al14. As summarized in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 1, these are mainly Al153+, Al13−2+1, and Al12−2+ product ions for Al7+ parent ions in the size range that is investigated here, i.e., these product ion channels are linked by the sequential loss of Al+ ions. Direct 2p photoionization of Al+ and subsequent LVV Auger decay creates two additional holes in the 3s and 3p derived valence states, in addition to the valence hole that is already present in the monocation parent. In contrast to Si10+ clusters, where directional bonding results in complex fragmentation patterns, relaxation of the excited state in Al7+ occurs via loss of Al+, by asymmetric fission, or by evaporation of aluminum atoms from the thermally excited cluster after internal vibrational relaxation.

This is exemplarily shown for 2p excitation of the Al14+ parent ion in Fig. 1 by the Aln+ (n = 5–12) ion yield channels. Since aluminum is a mononuclidic element, Al(n−1)2+ signals coincide in the mass spectrum with Aln−2+ for odd numbers of atoms n in the parent ion Aln+. This complicates the analysis, because the partial ion yield contributions of doubly charged species that contain information on the direct 2p photoionization process have to be separated from the overlapping contributions of singly charged species that contain information on the resonant X-ray absorption process. This separation is achieved by subtracting partial ion yield signals that only contain the resonant part of the spectrum, typically Al[(n−1)/2]2+−1, from ion yield curves that show strong direct photoionization steps but are masked by resonant photoionization. Even though 2p excitation energies of aluminum and silicon are of the same order of magnitude, and clusters of similar size are studied here, direct and resonant photoionization channels were separated more clearly in the
2.3 Global optimization of cationic aluminum and silicon clusters

For comparison with the experimental data, available ground state geometries of aluminum and silicon cluster cations and neutrals in the size-range of interest were reoptimized and tested. In cases where no reported structures were available or where reported geometries failed to reproduce the experimental 2p binding energy spectra, two variants of global optimization were applied. Global minima of Si clusters with \( n = 14, 15, 16, 18, 19 \) were searched for using the genetic algorithm implemented by Vilhelmsen and Hammer, \(^{45} \) detailed parameters of which are summarized in the ESI.† This structure search was performed with spin-paired density functional theory calculations as implemented in GPAW \(^{46,47} \) within the projector augmented wave formalism. \(^{16} \) The exchange correlation energy was evaluated in the approximations devised by Perdew, Becke and Ernzerhof (PBE) and the smooth part of the Kohn–Sham states and the density were represented on real space grids with grid spacing of 0.2 Å for the wave functions and 0.1 Å for the density. The grid was ensured to contain a spatial region of at least 4 Å around each atom; Dirichlet (zero) boundary conditions were applied outside. The structures were considered to be relaxed when all forces were below 0.05 eV Å\(^{-1} \). The 1s, 2s, and 2p electrons were treated in the frozen core approximation. \(^{16} \)

Several of these global optimization runs were performed for each size, viz. 3 runs each with about 400 candidates for \( \text{Si}_{14}^{+} \); 2 runs each with about 600 candidates for \( \text{Si}_{15}^{+} \); 2 runs each with about 400 candidates for \( \text{Si}_{16}^{+} \); 6 runs each with 1000 to 1700 candidates for \( \text{Si}_{18}^{+} \); and 7 runs each with 700 to 1200 candidates for \( \text{Si}_{19}^{+} \). While for the smaller sizes \((n = 14–16)\) all runs ended up in the same global minimum structure, the search turned out to be much more challenging for the two larger sizes. In the case of \( n = 19 \), only a single run found the presumed global minimum, while five runs indicate the same local minimum, namely isomer 19-B described below, as the best structure. Similarly, the lowest energy structure \( \text{Si}_{18}^{+} \), described by Lyon \textit{et al.}, \(^{13} \) was found by one of the runs only, while the other runs resulted in an energetically higher isomer which has the same geometry as the one proposed by Ho \textit{et al.} \(^{13} \) to be the global minimum for neutral \( \text{Si}_{18} \).

In order to determine the ground state geometry of \( \text{Al}_{12}^{+} \), global optimization by simulated annealing coupled to molecular dynamics simulations was performed, followed by optimization with gradient based techniques and characterization of the stationary points by vibrational frequency calculation. Gradients for molecular simulations have been obtained using the Becke exchange functional \(^{50} \) combined with the Perdew correlation functional \(^{51} \) (BP86) or the Lee–Yang–Parr correlation functional \(^{50,52} \) (BLYP) together with the split valence plus polarization (def2-SVP) basis set \(^{53} \) and employing the resolution of identity approximation. This structure search was based on density functional theory as implemented in TURBOMOLE \(^{54,55} \) and started from re-optimized structures that were obtained by removing one atom from the known structure of \( \text{Al}_{13}^{+} \). \(^{25} \)

Cluster geometry files for all \( \text{Si}_{n}^{+} \) and \( \text{Al}_{n}^{+} \) clusters considered here, including higher isomers, are given as ESI.†

2.4 Calculation of core-level electron binding energy and infrared spectra.

In order to calculate 2p core-level spectra, all trial structures have been relaxed with GPAW as described above, independent of the specific method that was employed to identify candidates for the global minimum. Spin-polarized density functional theory was used in case of open electronic shells to obtain the relaxed configuration.

2p core-electron binding energies for a given cluster were evaluated in a final-state approach as the energy differences between the cationic ground state and the dicationic 2p core-hole excited state in the geometry of the monocation parent. \(^{56} \) The energy differences between ground and core hole excited states are corrected by an empirical shift \( \delta \) that accounts for our approximations in our definition of the core hole and possible inaccuracies of the functional approximation applied. The empirical shift \( \delta \) was extracted from a comparison of theoretical and tabulated experimental core-electron binding energies of molecular systems and depends only on the element and excitation edge, \( \delta(\text{Al } 2p_{3/2}) = 0.74 \pm 0.06 \text{ eV} \) and \( \delta(\text{Si } 2p) = 0.76 \pm 0.06 \text{ eV} \). \(^{56} \) The approach of using the ground-state geometry of the cation also for the core-hole excited state is based on the sudden approximation \(^{57–59} \) and is justified by the
much faster time scale of electron motion as compared to nuclear motion. This description of core-level photoionization, where the core-hole is explicitly modeled in the final state, correctly describes the adiabatic photoionization process.\textsuperscript{58–61} For each atom of the cluster, the final core-hole excited state is approximated as the lowest-energy electronic state of the cluster with an electron removed from the 2p state in the frozen core of this given atom.\textsuperscript{47,62} In our simplified approximation the 2p core hole is spherically symmetric and carries no spin, resulting only in a single 2p binding energy for each atom in the cluster.

Since our calculations do not consider spin–orbit-coupling, the separation into \(2p_{1/2}\) and \(2p_{3/2}\) contributions has to be introduced ex post in order to compare the calculated energies to experimental core-level binding energy spectra.\textsuperscript{56} For this, we have used the experimental 2p spin–orbit splittings of 0.44 eV for aluminum\textsuperscript{63,64} and 0.6 eV for silicon.\textsuperscript{65–68} The intensities are modeled according to the statistical weight of \(2/3\) and \(1/3\) of \(j = 3/2\) and \(j = 1/2\) core hole states, respectively.

To better illustrate the distribution of 2p binding energies within the cluster, the calculated 2p binding energies were binned with an energy interval of ±0.08 eV and the difference of the local 2p binding energy at a given atom to the most frequent 2p electron binding energy of all atoms in this cluster has been calculated. Using this 2p binding energy difference removes the size-dependent electrostatic charging energy contribution\textsuperscript{24,39} and allows us to directly compare the binding energy distribution in clusters of the same element but of different size. The binding energy difference of each atomic site is color coded in the cluster geometries shown in Fig. 3 and 8.

Calculated infrared spectra for comparison with published experimental data\textsuperscript{18} were obtained by finite difference calculations\textsuperscript{69} within the framework described above, where the subsequent relaxation has been refined until all forces were below a threshold of 0.01 eV Å\(^{-1}\). The infrared spectra have been broadened by Gaussians of 8 cm\(^{-1}\) width to simulate finite experimental resolution. No scaling of calculated vibrational energies was applied.

3 Results

We will first present the results on silicon clusters as the experimental 2p core-level binding energy spectra of Si\(_n^+\) have already been reported\textsuperscript{24} for \(n = 9–27\) and have been discussed in detail for compact structures of \(n = 9–13\), for which proposed ground state geometries\textsuperscript{13,18,24} were shown to reproduce the experimental 2p binding energy spectra within a simple initial-state approach. The focus therefore will be on larger clusters in the size range of \(14 \leq n \leq 19\) where the structures are predominantly prolate\textsuperscript{15,28} and where we can contribute with new ground state structures.\textsuperscript{24}

3.1 Silicon clusters

3.1.1 2p electron binding energies of Si\(_n^+\). The 2p binding energy spectra of silicon clusters show a significant variation with cluster size as is visible in Fig. 3. While previous results\textsuperscript{24} for Si\(_6^+\), where \(n = 9–13\), in an initial-state approach largely agree with the correct final-state description that produces the calculated spectra shown in Fig. 3, the larger size-range that is analyzed here allows us to see the more complex behaviour of silicon clusters that did not become obvious from a limited size-range analyzed earlier.\textsuperscript{24}

For the size range of \(n = 9–19\), calculated 2p core-level binding energy spectra are compared to the experimental data in Fig. 3. The calculated spectra are all rigidly shifted in energy by ~0.3 eV to match the experimental spectra. The predicted absolute energies thus agree well with the experimental values as this difference in energy equals the experimental uncertainty of the photon energy calibration. As can be seen from Fig. 3, the theoretical 2p core-level spectra are in very good agreement with experiment. In particular the spectra of smaller clusters with previously assigned ground state structures,\textsuperscript{13,18,24} Si\(_9^+\) to Si\(_{14}^+\) match very well, as do the spectra of Si\(_{15}^+\) and Si\(_{16}^+\) for which new structures are found. In the case of Si\(_{15}^+\), the structure proposed here is related to the structure proposed by Lyon et al.\textsuperscript{18} but does not contain a tetracapped trigonal prism subunit and is thus of lower symmetry, \(C_6\) instead of \(C_{3v}\). The agreement is good for Si\(_{18}^+\), for which our global minimum search confirms the proposed structure,\textsuperscript{18} as well as for Si\(_{19}^+\) where we propose a global minimum structure that was not reported before.
From our calculations, individual 2p binding energies can be assigned to each constituent atom in the Siₙ⁺ clusters. The variations of 2p binding energies within a given cluster are visualized by color coding of the individual atoms in Fig. 3, where the color scale represents the deviation from the most frequent 2p binding energy within each cluster, after binning with an energy interval of ±0.08 eV. This visualization shows that a correlation of 2p binding energy and coordination number is not very pronounced but strongly varies with cluster size. For example, the structures of Si₁₀⁺ and Si₁₁⁺ are based on a tetracapped trigonal prism geometry. The 2p binding energies at different sites in Si₁₅⁺ are identical to within ±0.09 eV. To form Si₁₁⁺, an extra atom that caps a facet of the original Si₁₀⁺ is added with 0.2 eV higher relative 2p binding energy. The relative 2p binding energy of the adjacent capping Si atom is slightly reduced by 0.15 eV while the other sites are left unaffected. Contrastingly, in Si₁₃⁺ the four Si atoms with fivefold coordination have the highest 2p binding energy.²⁴ This correlation can still be discerned in Si₁₄⁺ with two atoms at the center of the cluster with eightfold coordination having the highest relative 2p binding energy. For Siₙ⁺ with n = 15–19 no obvious correlation of coordination and relative 2p binding energy is found and only a slight tendency of sites with similar relative 2p binding energy to be geometrically grouped together can be identified. In general, the 2p binding energy of atoms situated at convex vertices seems to be systematically lower than that of atoms at concave vertices. For Si₁₈⁺ and Si₁₉⁺, it can be seen that the 2p binding energy at most of the sites in the midsection of these elongated structures is higher than at sites located at both ends. Note that in the midsection a six-atom motif is discernible, indicated by black lines in the structure of Si₁₉⁺ in Fig. 6, which is related to the six-atom ‘chair’ in the diamond structure of bulk silicon.⁷⁰

Core-level shifts have been studied in detail for reconstructed silicon surfaces.⁵⁸,⁶₀,⁶₁,⁶₆–⁶₈,⁷¹ While for these reconstructed surfaces, correlations of the 2p electron binding energy with bond lengths or distances to Wannier centers have been proposed,⁶¹ we did not observe any significant correlation with simple structural parameters for silicon clusters as is shown in the ESL.† It is interesting to note that 2p core-level shifts of −0.6 eV to +0.5 eV in Siₙ⁺ clusters are of the same order of magnitude as those observed on silicon surfaces, which range from −0.5 eV to +0.225 eV for Si(001) and −0.7 eV to +0.53 eV for Si(111).⁵⁸,⁶₀,⁶₁,⁶₆–⁶₈,⁷¹ At the same time, the range of coordination numbers, 3–8, and the variation of bond lengths, 2.35–2.60 Å, in the clusters studied here is noticeably larger than in silicon surfaces. A more detailed study of the local electronic structure at each atomic site in Siₙ⁺ is beyond the scope of the present study but might explain the seemingly unsystematic variation of 2p binding energies in silicon clusters.

3.1.2 Structure determination of Si₁₅⁺, n = 15, 16, 18, and 19. Previously, an assignment for the ground-state structure of Si₁₅⁺ has been based on comparison of measured and simulated infrared absorption spectra.¹⁸ Through our global geometry optimization, we have found a new, lower lying isomer for which the core-level binding energy and infrared absorption spectra show an improved agreement in comparison to the spectra of the previously proposed structure 15-B as shown in Fig. 4.

Structural assignment is more difficult for Si₁₆⁺ because the two lowest energy isomers show very similar core-level and infrared spectra in spite of their different geometric structure. The ground-state structure of Si₁₆⁺ that is proposed here has not been found in previous calculations reported in the literature,¹³,¹⁸ but shows good agreement in the 2p core level spectrum between calculation and experiment and also the infrared spectra from our simulation and the experiment of Lyon et al. match very well, in particular around 500 cm⁻¹, as shown in Fig. 5. This further confirms our structural assignment.

For Si₁₈⁺, Fig. 6 compares calculated 2p core level spectra of the two lowest lying isomers of our global optimization to our experimental data. Isomer 18-A, the lowest energy structure that we found, is identical to the structure proposed by Lyon et al., while isomer 18-B, which is identical to the structure proposed by Ho et al. for neutral Si₁₈⁺ turned out to be 0.1 eV higher in energy. Both, our 2p binding energy spectra and the reported infrared photodissociation spectra, show better agreement with experiment for isomer 18-A than for isomer 18-B. This confirms the previous assignment¹⁸ and indicates a pronounced change of geometry upon ionization of Si₁₈⁺.

For neutral Si₁₉⁺, Ho et al. found a compact symmetric cage-like structure as the lowest energy isomer in their LDA calculations. In contrast, all our lowest isomers consist of joined subunits of varying symmetry. Calculated spectra for our isomers 19-A, as well as 19-B,
19-C, and 19-D, which are 0.11 eV, 0.14 eV, and 0.17 eV higher in energy than our proposed ground state 19-A, are compared to experimental spectra in Fig. 7. Interestingly, the lowest isomer 19-A is the least symmetric of all structures but fits best the 2p core-level spectrum, while isomers 19-B, 19-C, and 19-D of Si$^{19+}$ disagree in their calculated 2p core-level spectra with experiment. Isomers 19-A and 19-D are visually remarkably similar and only differ in the capping position of the extra atom on the tricapped trigonal prism. Despite the structural similarity between 19-A and 19-D, the differences in their 2p spectra are significant enough as to exclude isomer 19-D where the one facet of the tricapped trigonal prism is doubly capped. This demonstrates the sensitivity of core-level binding energy spectra for structure determination of clusters.

The assignment of the structural ground state, isomer 19-A, is further corroborated by the agreement of our calculated infrared absorption spectrum with the infrared photodissociation spectrum reported by Lyon et al., shown in panel b of Fig. 7.

### 3.2 Aluminum clusters

Fig. 8 shows the calculated 2p core-level spectra of Al$^{n+}$ in comparison to the experimental data. The calculated spectra require a shift in energy of only $0.2$ eV to match the experimental spectra, which is within the experimental photon energy uncertainty and once again demonstrates the level of accuracy of the theoretical approach to predict absolute 2p binding energies.

In contrast to silicon clusters with $n=12–15$, the 2p core-level binding-energy spectra of Al$^{n+}$ in the same size range
display much less variation. As can be seen in Fig. 8, the spectra for \( n = 13–15 \) show a clearly resolved 2p spin–orbit splitting of the main peak. In addition, a well separated line is visible at 0.73–0.84 eV lower average excitation energy, \( i.e. \), lower than the main line by a significantly higher energy difference than the value of the aluminum 2p spin–orbit splitting of 0.44 eV. In contrast to spectra for \( n = 13–15 \), this pre-peak is less well separated in the 2p binding energy spectrum of Al\(_{12}^+\), resulting only in a shoulder in the low energy tail of the 2p\(_\text{1/2}\) main line. In addition, the line width is broader and the spin–orbit splitting is less well resolved in the main line of Al\(_{13}^+\) than for the other aluminum clusters, indicating contributions to the spectrum of several lines that are all within an excitation energy range in the order of the 2p spin–orbit splitting. For all cases shown in Fig. 8, the theoretical and experimental spectra are in very good agreement and all main features, in particular the separation and intensity of the low binding-energy peak for \( n = 13–15 \), are well reproduced by the calculations.

For a quantitative comparison to calculated data, experimental core-level binding energy shifts of the low-energy component in the spectra of Al\(_{n}^+\) were obtained from energy differences of the fitted Voigt profiles as illustrated in Fig. S4 of the ESI.\(^\dagger\) Comparison to theoretical values shows that predicted and observed core-level binding energy shifts are in very good agreement, as summarized in Table 2. Fig. S4 of the ESI,\(^\dagger\) also indicates that the integrated intensity of the low binding energy peak in relation to the main peak for Al\(_{n}^+\) roughly matches the expected intensity ratio of \( 1/(n-1) \) for 2p photoemission from a single atom of the \( n \)-atom cluster.

The color-coded view of Al\(_{n}^+\) clusters in Fig. 8 visualizes the strong anticorrelation of the 2p binding energy with the coordination number, where highly coordinated atoms have low 2p binding energies and lower coordination leads to higher binding energy. In particular, this color code visualization shows that the weak line at low 2p binding energy can indeed be assigned to the central atom of Al\(_{n}^+\), \( n = 13–15 \), whereas the intense main peak is composed of the binding energy contributions of the atoms in the first shell around the central atom. In agreement with the experimental data, this colour coding reveals that also Al\(_{12}^+\) contains one atom with significantly lower 2p binding energy although this contribution to the spectrum could not be fully resolved but only leads to a shoulder which is at 0.44 ± 0.19 eV lower binding energy than the main peak, \( i.e. \), lower in energy by a difference of the same order of magnitude as the 2p spin–orbit splitting. This is because isomer 12-A of Al\(_{12}^+\) does not contain a true central atom or a closed first shell, but still one particular atom with higher coordination number. As shown in Fig. 8, isomer 12-A of Al\(_{12}^+\) can be derived from Al\(_{13}^+\) when one atom is removed from the six-membered ring at the base of Al\(_{13}^+\). As a consequence, this now five-membered ring opens up and, for Al\(_{12}^+\), exposes the atom marked in blue that would correspond to the central atom in Al\(_{13}^+\).

For the size-range investigated here, static dipole polarizabilities of aluminum clusters are lower than predicted by the jellium model.\(^\dagger\)\(^\dagger\) This would agree with our findings of significantly reduced 2p core hole screening for the atoms in the outer shell, which leads to higher core-electron binding energies as shown in Fig. 8. Very recently, the existence of a pre-peak in the X-ray photoelectron spectrum of Al\(_{13}^+\) synthesized in solution was proposed but could not be resolved experimentally.\(^\dagger\)\(^\dagger\) This pre-peak as well as its origin is clearly evidenced by the data presented here.

In line with the reasoning above, the two atoms in the second shell of Al\(_{13}^+\) show the highest 2p electron binding energies in this cluster. Apparently, a 2p core hole cannot be screened nearly as efficiently in these low-coordinated sites as it can be in the highly-coordinated central atom, leading to a blue-shift in the calculated binding energy of 0.37 eV that again cannot be resolved in the spectrum because of the low relative intensity, of 1/7 of the main line, as well as because the separation from the other components of the main line is less than the 2p spin–orbit splitting.

While our results confirm the reported structures\(^\dagger\) of Al\(_{n}^+\), \( n = 13–15 \), by Aguado and López, the structure of Al\(_{12}^+\) was not known before to the best of our knowledge, but a similar structure has been reported\(^\dagger\) for neutral Al\(_{12}\). The comparison between experimental and theoretical 2p binding energy spectra of the two lowest energy isomers found for Al\(_{12}^+\) is shown in Fig. 9. Isomer 12-B is 146 meV higher in energy than isomer 12-A. The good match of the experimental and theoretical 2p binding energy spectra gives confidence that we have indeed found the structural ground state of Al\(_{12}^+\). The similarity of the geometric structure of neutral and cationic Al\(_{12}\) also indicates that only little rearrangement takes place upon ionization of

### Table 2 Core-level binding energy shifts between the low energy component of the central atom to the average value of outer-shell atoms in the experimental and theoretical 2p core-level spectra of Al\(_{n}^+\). The standard deviation that is given for theoretical shifts describes the width of the 2p binding energy distribution in the surface shell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average 2p core-level shift [eV]</th>
<th>Theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_{12}^+)</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_{13}^+)</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_{14}^+)</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_{15}^+)</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 9](image_url) Core level binding energy spectra of two isomers 12-A and 12-B of Al\(_{12}^+\) in comparison to experimental data confirm the assignment of isomer 12-A as the global minimum.
aluminum clusters, in contrast to silicon clusters. This might be related to the compact, close-packed structures that are present in aluminum clusters as a result of non-directional bonding in aluminum in contrast to directional bonding in silicon. Notably, the surface core-level shift of $-0.73$ to $-0.84$ eV relative to the central atom in $\text{Al}_{15}^+$ ($n = 13–15$) is about one order of magnitude larger but of opposite sign than the experimental surface core-level shift of $96 \pm 5$ meV for Al(100), and the even smaller surface core-level shift of only $\leq 27$ meV for Al(111). Similarly, small surface core-level shifts of Al(111) and Al(100) are found by theoretical calculations within the $Z + 1$ approximation$^{79}$ or a final state approximation$^{78}$ as well as within our theoretical description, as illustrated in the ESI.$^\dagger$

It can furthermore be seen from Fig. 8 that the positive electrostatic charging-energy contribution to the 2p electron binding energy$^{24,39}$ is very similar, within 0.05 eV, for $n = 12–14$ but decreases significantly, by 0.21 eV, when going from $n = 14$ to $n = 15$, resulting in a general shift of 2p electrons to lower binding energy that is typically attributed to changes in the radius of curvature in the spherical metallic particle model.$^{40,41}$

In agreement with this macroscopic model, the additional atom in $\text{Al}_{15}^+$ in comparison to $\text{Al}_{14}^+$ leads to a rather protuberant structure of larger volume, with, on average, longer bond lengths between central atom and the surrounding atoms (2.89 $\pm$ 0.44 Å and 2.77 $\pm$ 0.19 Å in $\text{Al}_{15}^+$ and $\text{Al}_{14}^+$, respectively) and thus a larger average radius of curvature than $\text{Al}_{14}^+$ with its rather compact, spherical shape.

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, the analysis of core-level spectra of size-selected clusters is a sensitive tool for the exploration of structural motifs that are tightly interlinked with electronic properties. We have shown that the final state description in combination with the empirical fitting of the element and state dependent energy scale is able to predict core-level spectra in very good quantitative agreement with experiment. Using this approach in combination with global optimization, we have found new ground state structures for $\text{Al}_{12}^+$, $\text{Si}_{15}^+$, $\text{Si}_{16}^+$, and $\text{Si}_{19}^+$ for which calculated 2p binding energies are in good quantitative agreement with 2p core electron spectra and, in the case of silicon, also previously reported infrared spectra can be well reproduced. In all cases the comparison of simulated and experimental spectra confirm the lowest lying isomer as the ground state. In general, aluminum clusters prefer compact structures and thus high average coordination numbers while silicon clusters prefer more extended geometries and thus lower average coordination numbers. This is reflected by the dependency of the 2p binding energy on the atomic site. In aluminum clusters the variation of 2p binding energies reflects the clear distinction of atomic sites into shell and central ones. Contrastingly, in silicon clusters the 2p binding energy cannot be classified in a simple geometrical scheme. These observations indicate that already at these smallest cluster sizes, the electronic configuration with one additional 3p electron per silicon atom, which results in the strong energetic favoring of $sp^3$ hybridization and directional bonding in bulk silicon, plays a crucial role for the electronic and geometric structure. Core-level binding energy spectra from photoionization efficiency curves of size-selected clusters should also be a powerful tool to investigate the changes in electronic structure that occur between exohedral and endohedral doped silicon clusters.$^{80}$

It might be particular useful to elucidate the degree of valence electron delocalization, which should have a pronounced effect on electron binding energies and core-level excitation energies via charge screening,$^{43,81}$ but also on the quenching of local magnetic moments,$^{80,82–85}$
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