ChemComm

CORRECTION

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 6134

DOI: 10.1039/c9cc90196e

rsc.li/chemcomm

Correction: NiS_2 nanodotted carnation-like CoS_2 for enhanced electrocatalytic water splitting

Weili Xin,[a] Wen-Jie Jiang,[b] Yajuan Lian,[a] Hui Li,[a] Song Hong,[a] Sailong Xu,*[a] Hong Yan[a] and Jin-Song Hu*[b]

Correction for 'NiS_2 nanodotted carnation-like CoS_2 for enhanced electrocatalytic water splitting' by Weili Xin *et al.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 3781–3784.

The authors regret that there was an error in the sentence in lines 4–7 in the left column on page 3783 of the original article. The text originally read, "The ECSA of as-prepared $NiS_2/CoS_2/C$ electrode is 37.03 cm^2 (Fig. S9a and d, ESI†), which is much larger than those of the CoS_2/C electrode (28.11 cm^2) (Fig. S9b and d, ESI†) and $Co(OH)_2$ (8.19 cm^2) (Fig. S9c and d, ESI†)." This sentence should read, "The C_{dl} of the as-prepared $NiS_2/CoS_2/C$ electrode is 74.06 mF cm^{-2}, which is much larger than those of the CoS_2/C electrode (56.22 mF cm^{-2}) and $Co(OH)_2$ (16.38 mF cm^{-2}) (Fig. S9, ESI†)."



Fig. S9 CV curves measured at scan rates varying from 20 to 100 mV s^{-1} for $NiS_2/CoS_2/C$ (a), CoS_2/C (b) and $Co(OH)_2$ (c). (d) Current density at 0.2 V *vs.* SCE plotted as a function of scan rates.

Accordingly, Fig. S9 in the original ESI should be replaced with the following revised Fig. S9. The ESI has been updated online to reflect this change.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

[a] *State Key Laboratory of Chemical Resource Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China. E-mail: xusl@mail.buct.edu.cn*
[b] *CAS Key Laboratory of Molecular Nanostructure and Nanotechnology, Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Beijing 100190, China. E-mail: hujs@iccas.ac.cn*