



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 8290

Received 8th April 2019,  
Accepted 20th June 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9cc02720c

rsc.li/chemcomm

## A novel ammonium pentaborate – poly(ethylene-glycol) templated polymer-inclusion compound†

Anna R. Ploszajski,<sup>a</sup> Matthew Billing,<sup>b</sup> Neal T. Skipper<sup>cd</sup> and  
Jeremy K. Cockcroft<sup>b</sup>

**A new hydrogen-bonded supramolecular framework is reported, consisting of ammonium pentaborate, containing poly(ethylene-glycol) chains extending down tubular cavities in the structure. The crystal architecture is templated by the presence of the polyether chains, analogous to template synthesis of zeolites and metal organic frameworks. The ammonium pentaborate is formed by the thermolysis of ammonia borane, followed by hydrolysis of the dehydrogenation products by ambient water. This structure represents the first known example of a borate-based polymer inclusion compound.**

There has been much interest in the use of polymers to template materials such as zeolites, metal organic frameworks (MOFs), and even organic co-crystals. The polymer may form an intrinsic part of a co-crystal structure<sup>1</sup> or it may simply act as a template for crystal growth in the synthesis of new polymorphs.<sup>2</sup> Alternatively, the polymer may act as a template for the formation of novel supramolecular structures. To the best of our knowledge, the ammonium pentaborate – poly(ethylene-glycol) templated polymer-inclusion compound reported here is the only borate-based inclusion compound to host organic guest molecules.

Poly(ethylene-glycol) (PEG, C<sub>2n</sub>H<sub>4n+2</sub>O<sub>n+1</sub>) is known to form co-crystals with a great many guest molecules,<sup>3</sup> such as 2-methyl-resorcinol,<sup>4</sup> *para*-dibromobenzene,<sup>5</sup> mercuric chloride,<sup>6,7</sup> resorcinol,<sup>8,9</sup> *p*-nitrophenol,<sup>10</sup> hydroquinone,<sup>11</sup> *p*-dihalogenobenzene,<sup>12</sup> various isomers and derivatives of dihydroxybenzene,<sup>13</sup> urea and thiourea<sup>14</sup>

and griseofulvin.<sup>15</sup> It was recently shown that it is possible to form a stable co-crystal of PEG with ammonia borane (AB, NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>),<sup>1</sup> a material commonly studied as a potential hydrogen storage material.<sup>16</sup> Powder X-ray diffraction studies show that this combination is capable of producing at least one other crystalline polymorph.<sup>17</sup>

In an attempt to isolate new AB-polyether polymorphs, recrystallisation was attempted from a heated mixture of AB and PEG (*M<sub>w</sub>* 1500 Da) powder. However, gas release was observed from the mixture upon melting (Fig. 1), suggestive of decomposition of AB within the sample to form H<sub>2</sub>. The sample was cooled and left on the laboratory bench. One week later, square plate-shaped crystals had formed as well as spheroids (Fig. 2). The square plate-shaped crystals were analysed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. As a test for reproducibility, several crystals were examined and similar unit cells were obtained for each of the crystals with the same morphology. Some crystals were twinned and the best data on a non-twinned crystal is reported here. Given that the bulk sample is a mixture of more than one crystal morphology plus liquid phase, it was not practical to analyse the bulk sample, either *via* chemical (*e.g.* mass spectroscopy) or diffraction (*e.g.* PXRD) methods.




Fig. 1 Optical micrograph of the mixture of AB (bright needles) and PEG (molten liquid) just after heating, showing bubbles of the released gas.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, University College London, Roberts Building, Torrington Place, London WC1E 7JE, UK.

E-mail: anna.ploszajski@ucl.ac.uk

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK

<sup>c</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

<sup>d</sup> London Centre for Nanotechnology, 17-19 Gordon Street, London, WC1H 0AH, UK

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional experimental detail, crystallographic tables, and additional supporting figures are supplied. CCDC 1903934. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c9cc02720c






Fig. 2 Optical micrograph of square-shaped crystals observed to form one week after a mixture of AB and PEG was heated and gas release observed.

Single-crystal diffraction measurements show that the structure of this material was composed of a hydrogen-bonded network of pentaborate anions  $[\text{B}_5\text{O}_6(\text{OH})_4]^-$  and ammonium cations  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  (Fig. 3) in which there are four large channels per unit cell, which contain disordered PEG molecules. This material may therefore be classified as a polymer inclusion compound. The structure is monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$  and unit cell dimensions are  $a = 9.2922(2)$  Å,  $b = 17.3394(5)$  Å,  $c = 16.3648(5)$  Å,  $\beta = 91.234(2)^\circ$ ,  $V = 2636.12(13)$  Å<sup>3</sup> at 150 K. This unit cell does not match other crystalline material derived from combinations of AB and PEO seen in previous work.<sup>17</sup> Further details about the structure can be found in the tables of crystallographic coordinates and molecular geometry in the ESI.†

The basic motif forming the framework of the polymer-inclusion compound consists of two ammonium cations and two




Fig. 3 Unit cell showing the four open channels formed by co-ordinating pentaborate anions and ammonium cations. Two ammonium ions per unit cell are orientated into each channel, inviting hydrogen bonding (indicated).

pentaborate anions (Fig. S1, ESI†). The two crystallographically-distinct anions are structurally similar to each other and are consistent with previously reported structures of the pentaborate anion.<sup>18</sup> Similar structures composed of pentaborate anions have been observed for crystal systems containing substituted nitrogen-based non-metal cations.<sup>19–25</sup>

This supramolecular structure has four channels which run through each unit cell (Fig. 4 and Fig. S2, ESI†). Extended PEG chains exist in these channels, as evidenced by the calculated pore volume (215.7 Å<sup>3</sup>) and the number of electrons present in each channel (75.4 e<sup>-</sup>), corresponding to approximately 3.5 monomers per channel. The exact location of the polymer is difficult to determine due to extensive crystallographic disorder. Nevertheless, “squeezing” the structure ensures that the framework may be determined accurately.<sup>26</sup> Because of this disorder, the precise crystallographic location of the PEG molecules is unknown.

Temporary guest molecules can be used as templates to create large voids in the synthesis of other framework structures such as zeolites<sup>27</sup> and metal organic frameworks (MOFs)<sup>28</sup> either through hydrogen-bonded or covalent interactions. In this unit cell, two ammonium ions per channel extend towards the centre (indicated with arrows in Fig. 3), forming hydrogen bonds with the ether oxygen atoms in the polymer to provide stability to the structure. It is believed that these interactions play a major role in templating the crystal architecture observed.

Although numerous examples of polymer-inclusion compounds have been reported previously for well-known host materials such as urea<sup>29</sup> and cyclodextrins,<sup>30</sup> borate-based inclusion compounds have rarely been reported in the literature. The only known examples contain simple inorganic salt guests (*e.g.* LiF, NaCl).<sup>31,32</sup>

The first step to creating this inclusion compound is to heat a powdered mixture of AB and PEG powders. The PEG powder melts and the AB molecules decompose to create aminoborane compounds, which rapidly oligomerise to form polyaminoborane compounds. Competing hydrogen transfer reactions and dehydrogenation processes then produce borazine species. These polymeric residues then undergo hydrolysis due to the presence of ambient moisture to form hydrogen gas and ammonium




Fig. 4 View showing extended channels running through the structure in which the crystallographically disordered PEG molecules sit.



borate. Additional reactions with water form ammonium tetrahydroxyborate, and the condensation reaction between the tetrahydroxyborate anion and the neutral boric acid present from hydrolysis forms the pentaborate anion. A detailed discussion of the potential chemistry of formation may be found in the ESI.†

Demirci *et al.* previously explored the feasibility of combining thermolysis and hydrolysis to achieve the rapid release of three equivalents of hydrogen from AB at moderate temperatures.<sup>33</sup> In their studies, the final products were reported to be amorphous ammonium borate materials, whereas the material reported here is highly crystalline. The well-defined nature of the ammonium pentaborate observed in this work could inform future studies on closing the hydrogen cycle for AB-polyether materials. A number of regeneration protocols are known which reduce borate species to sodium borohydride (an important reagent for the synthesis of AB),<sup>34</sup> but, despite recent progress in dramatically reducing the cost of borate reduction,<sup>35</sup> no method has yet achieved yields sufficient for AB-polyether materials to become widespread recyclable hydrogen storage solutions for portable applications. This remains an important challenge for AB-polyether materials.

Experimental: ammonia borane (Boron Specialities, 97%) and PEG (Sigma Aldrich,  $M_w = 1500$  Da) were purchased and used without further purification. The two powders were combined with a composition weight ratio of 30% AB to 70% PEG and the mixture was ground using a pestle and mortar for 1 minute. The mixture was aged overnight and then placed onto glass slides. The loaded slides were placed onto a hot plate and heated from room temperature to 45 °C. Upon melting, a gas was released, and the hot plate was subsequently cooled to 35 °C. The samples were left at this temperature exposed to the ambient atmosphere for 1 week. Single crystals were observed under the microscope to have a square plate-like morphology. A suitable crystal was selected and single crystal X-ray diffraction was performed using an Agilent SuperNova diffractometer. Further details of all experiments are available in the ESI.†

In conclusion, the structure of an ammonium pentaborate-polyethylene glycol inclusion compound has been reported which, to the best of our knowledge, is the first example of a borate-based polymer inclusion compound and the first borate inclusion compound hosting organic guest material. In this structure, the pentaborate anions form a hydrogen-bonded supramolecular framework, and extended PEG chains exist within cavities in the structure. The formation of this structure is analogous to synthesis by templating in other materials with porous molecular structures such as zeolites and MOFs. Given recent interest in borate materials for their porous,<sup>36</sup> non-linear optical,<sup>37</sup> piezoelectric<sup>38</sup> and luminescent<sup>39</sup> properties, the study of borate-based polymer inclusion compounds such as this may be an interesting new avenue of research.

The authors are grateful for funding from the “EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Molecular Modelling and Materials Science” (EP/L015862/1), the EPSRC for funding the X-ray diffractometers (EP/K03930X/1) and Cella Energy.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## References

- 1 A. R. Ploszajski, M. Billing, J. K. Cockcroft and N. T. Skipper, *CrystEngComm*, 2018, **20**, 4436–4440.
- 2 S. Askin, J. K. Cockcroft, L. S. Price, A. D. Gonçalves, M. Zhao, D. A. Tocher, G. R. Williams, S. Gaisford and D. Q. M. Craig, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2019, **19**, 2751–2757.
- 3 P. Damman, L. Paternostre, J. Moulin and M. Dosiere, *Macromol. Symp.*, 1999, **138**, 57–71.
- 4 L. Paternostre, P. Damman and M. Dosie, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 153–161.
- 5 J. J. Point and C. Coutelier, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Phys. Ed.*, 1985, **23**, 231–239.
- 6 R. Iwamoto, Y. Saito, H. Ishihara and H. Tadokoro, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A-1: Polym. Chem.*, 1968, **6**, 1509–1525.
- 7 A. A. Blumberg, S. S. Pollack and C. A. J. Hoeve, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A-1: Polym. Chem.*, 1964, **2**, 2499–2502.
- 8 E. Delaite, J. J. Point, P. Damman and M. Dosiere, *Macromolecules*, 1992, **25**, 4768–4778.
- 9 R. M. Myasnikova, E. F. Titova and E. S. Obolonkova, *Polymer*, 1980, **21**, 403–407.
- 10 J. J. Point and P. Damman, *Macromolecules*, 1992, **25**, 1184–1188.
- 11 L. Paternostre, P. Damman and M. Dosiere, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.*, 1999, **37**, 1197–1208.
- 12 J. J. Point and P. Damman, *Macromolecules*, 1991, **24**, 2019–2023.
- 13 L. A. Belfiore and E. Ueda, *Polymer*, 1992, **33**, 38.
- 14 F. E. Bailey and H. G. France, *J. Polym. Sci.*, 1961, **49**, 397–406.
- 15 Z. Zhong, C. Guo, L. Chen, J. Xu and Y. Huang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 6375–6378.
- 16 F. H. Stephens, V. Pons and R. T. Baker, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 2613–2626.
- 17 A. R. Ploszajski, M. Billing, A. S. Nathanson, M. Vickers and S. M. Bennington, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, **43**, 5645–5656.
- 18 V. R. Hathwar, A. K. Paul, S. Natarajan and T. N. Row, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2011, **115**, 12818–12825.
- 19 M. Z. Visi, C. B. Knobler, J. J. Owen, M. I. Khan and D. M. Schubert, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2006, **6**, 538–545.
- 20 D. A. Kose, M. A. Beckett and N. Colak, *Hacettepe J. Biol. Chem.*, 2012, **40**, 219–225.
- 21 M. A. Beckett, P. N. Horton, M. B. Hursthouse, D. A. Knox and J. L. Timmis, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 3944–3951.
- 22 M. A. Beckett, P. N. Horton, M. B. Hursthouse and J. L. Timmis, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 15185–15191.
- 23 M. Wiebecke, C. C. Freyhardt, J. Felsche and G. Engelhardt, *Z. Naturforsch.*, 1993, **48**, 978–985.
- 24 P. Li, C. H. Fan and J. F. Ge, *Z. Kristallogr. – New Cryst. Struct.*, 2016, **231**, 537–539.
- 25 M. A. Beckett, P. N. Horton, M. B. Hursthouse and J. L. Timmis, *Polyhedron*, 2014, **77**, 96–102.
- 26 A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem.*, 2014, **71**, 9–18.
- 27 A. Sachse and J. Garcia-Martinez, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 3827–3853.
- 28 C. Duan, F. Li, H. Zhang, J. Li, X. Wang and H. Xi, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 52245–52251.
- 29 P. Eaton, N. Vasanthan, I. D. Shin and A. E. Tonelli, *Macromolecules*, 1996, **29**, 2531–2536.
- 30 C. C. Rusa, C. Luca and A. E. Tonelli, *Macromolecules*, 2001, **34**, 1318–1322.
- 31 H. Yu, H. Wu, S. Pan, Y. Wang, Z. Yang and X. Su, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 5359–5365.
- 32 C. Bai, S. Han, S. Pan, B. Zhang, Y. Yang, L. Li and Z. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 12416–12422.
- 33 U. B. Demirci, S. Bernard, R. Chiriac, F. Toche and P. Miele, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 279–286.
- 34 O. T. Summerscales and J. C. Gordon, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 10075–10084.
- 35 L. Z. Ouyang, H. Zhong, Z. M. Li, Z. J. Cao, H. Wang, J. W. Liu, X. K. Zhu and M. Zhu, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **269**, 768–772.
- 36 Z. H. Liu, J. J. Zhang and W. J. Zhang, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2006, **359**, 519–524.
- 37 J. Di, X. Xu, C. Xia, H. Zeng, Y. Cheng, D. Li, D. Zhou, F. Wu, J. Cheng and J. Xu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2012, **536**, 20–25.
- 38 W. R. Cook and H. Jaffe, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1957, **10**, 705–707.
- 39 A. Akella and D. A. Keszler, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 1995, **30**, 105–111.

