ChemComm

Chemical Communications rsc.li/chemcomm



ISSN 1359-7345



COMMUNICATION

Kevin D. Raney *et al.* DEAD-box RNA helicases Dbp2, Ded1 and Mss116 bind to G-quadruplex nucleic acids and destabilize G-quadruplex RNA

ChemComm



View Article Online

COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 4467

Received 20th December 2018, Accepted 14th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8cc10091h

rsc.li/chemcomm

DEAD-box RNA helicases Dbp2, Ded1 and Mss116 bind to G-quadruplex nucleic acids and destabilize G-quadruplex RNA⁺

Jun Gao, D^a Alicia K. Byrd,^a Boris L. Zybailov,^a John C. Marecki,^a Michael J. Guderyon,^a Andrea D. Edwards,^a Shubeena Chib,^a Kirk L. West,^a Zachary J. Waldrip,^a Samuel G. Mackintosh,^a Zhaofeng Gao,^b Andrea A. Putnam,^b Eckhard Jankowsky^b and Kevin D. Raney^{*a}

We identified 29 G-quadruplex binding proteins by affinity purification and quantitative LC-MS/MS. We demonstrated that the DEADbox RNA helicases Dbp2, Ded1 and Mss116 preferentially bind to G-quadruplex nucleic acids *in vitro* and destabilize RNA quadruplexes, suggesting new potential roles for these helicases in disruption of quadruplex structures in RNA.

G-quadruplexes (G4) are non-canonical, four-stranded nucleic acid structures, consisting of stacked planar guanine tetrads, in which guanines are held together by Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds.¹ G4 structures are of important biological significance in processes such as regulation of gene transcription and translation.^{2,3} They are also associated with genetic instability and human diseases.^{4,5} Several proteins have been reported to bind to G4. These proteins include but are not limited to the RNA helicases DHX36/RHAU,⁶ DHX9,⁷ and DDX21,⁸ and the DNA helicases XPB,⁹ XPD,⁹ BLM,¹⁰ and Pif1^{11,12} and the nucleolar protein nucleolin,¹³ transcription factor SP1,¹⁴ yeast tRNA binding protein Arc1/G4p1,¹⁵ yeast transcription coactivator Sub1 and its human homolog PC4.¹⁶ Herein, we report that DEAD-box RNA helicases Dbp2, Ded1, and Mss116 preferentially bind to both G4DNA and G4RNA and destabilize G4RNA.

Using affinity purification from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* whole cell lysates with a G4DNA bait followed by quantitative LC-MS/MS analysis¹⁶ (Fig. 1), we systemically identified 29 proteins as G4 binding proteins (Table 1). The complete list of proteins identified by mass spectrometry is in Table S2 (ESI†). Arc1/G4p1 is a known G4DNA binding protein,¹⁵ and we recently characterized the G4DNA interaction of Sub1, a global transcriptional coactivator, and confirmed that it preferentially binds

(Slot 516), Little Rock, Arkansas 72205, USA. E-mail: RaneyKevinD@uams.edu; Fax: +1-501-686-8169; Tel: +1-501-686-5244



Fig. 1 Scheme of experimental strategy. Lysates of YPH499 yeast cells were incubated with G4DNA or in a separate experiment, ssDNA. Isolated proteins were identified by quantitative mass spectrometry to determine those proteins enriched upon binding to G4DNA.

to G4DNAs.¹⁶ The sequences of G-quadruplex DNA interacting proteins identified in our proteomics screen were analysed with the MEME package,¹⁷ an online motif finder tool. A discriminatory search was performed using non-G4 binding sequences.¹⁸ The RGG motif was the top scoring motif found in seven of the G4DNA binding proteins (Fig. S1, ESI[†]), which is consistent with previous reports that RGG motifs in proteins such as nucleolin and FMRP can interact with G-quadruplexes.^{19–21} The next two most highly enriched motifs are conserved motifs from the DEAD-box family of RNA helicases²² (Fig. S1, ESI[†]). In Table 1, the most highly enriched protein in the G4DNA sample was the DEAD-box RNA helicase Dbp2. In addition to Dbp2, three other DEAD-box RNA helicases (Ded1, Dbp1, and Mss116) were enriched in the G4DNA pull-down samples.

DEAD-box RNA helicases, characterized by the conserved "Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp" (DEAD) motif, form a large protein family which is found in nearly all organisms.^{23,24} They play important roles in numerous essential cellular processes^{23,24} such as gene transcription, mRNA processing and translation, stress granule and P-body formation,²⁵ and ribonucleoprotein (RNPs) remodelling.^{26,27} This family of helicases is also involved in disease (such as cancer) development and progression,^{28,29} viral infection and replication,^{30,31} as well as host innate immune responses.^{32,33}

We therefore purified recombinant Dbp2, Ded1 and Mss116, and measured their binding affinity to different conformations of DNA (Fig. 2a and Fig. S2a, ESI[†]). Dbp2 binds to tailed cMYC G4DNA very tightly, with a dissociation

^a Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, 4301 West Markham Street

^b Center for RNA Science and Therapeutics, Department of Biochemistry,

School of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106, USA † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental methods, supplementary tables and figures. See DOI: 10.1039/c8cc10091h

Table 1 Results from proteomics screen for G4DNA binding proteins ^a

Name	Description	T15	G4	<i>p</i> -value
DBP2	ATP-dependent RNA helicase DBP2	6	178	9.4×10^{-12}
KSP1	Serine/threonine-protein kinase KSP1	3.1	98	$2.5 imes10^{-7}$
RPL2A	60S ribosomal protein L2	15	177	$2.7 imes10^{-7}$
MSC3	Meiotic sister-chrom. recomb. protein	0.2	53	$2.8 imes10^{-5}$
RPS6A	40S ribosomal protein S6	18	143	$3.1 imes10^{-5}$
DED1	ATP-dependent RNA helicase DED1	14	128	$3.2 imes 10^{-5}$
SUB1	RNA pol II transcriptional coactivator	1.1	51	$2.6 imes 10^{-5}$
SBP1	Single-stranded nucleic acid-binding	0.2	35	$5.7 imes10^{-4}$
GUS1	Glutamyl-tRNA synthetase	1.1	46	0.0018
YIF5	Uncharacterized protein	0.2	26	0.0033
RPS8A	40S ribosomal protein S8	6	64	0.0034
SGV1	Cyclin (Bur2p)-depend. protein kinase	0.2	27	0.0043
PRP42	U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein	9.1	18	0.0060
RPL4A	60S ribosomal protein L4-A	21	119	0.0061
RPL21A	60S ribosomal protein L21-A	25	122	0.0067
RIE1	Putative RNA-binding protein	67	238	0.012
NPL3	Nucleolar protein 3	17	92	0.016
DBP1	ATP-dependent RNA helicase DBP1	8	57	0.020
SSB2	Heat shock protein SSB2	0.2	17	0.020
BRE1	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase BRE1	0.2	21	0.028
RPL34A	60S ribosomal protein L34-A	1.1	21	0.029
ARC1	Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase cofactor	0.2	28	0.029
AIR2	Protein AIR2	0.2	19	0.031
RPL3	60S ribosomal protein L3	14	70	0.034
MSS116	ATP-dependent RNA helicase MSS116	0.2	16	0.034
NOP1	rRNA 2'-O-methyltransferase fibrillarin	0.2	14	0.037
YRA1	RNA annealing protein YRA1	0.2	14	0.041
RPL5	60S ribosomal protein L5	0.2	21	0.042
RPL13B	60S ribosomal protein L13-B	7	81	0.046

^a Table 1 contains proteins which were significantly (*p*-value less than 0.05) enriched on G4DNA (G4) relative to ssDNA (T15) by spectra counting. Numbers indicated in T15 and G4 columns represent the total number of spectra obtained for that protein when enriching with the corresponding oligonucleotide. A value of 0 was replaced with 0.1 in each replicate to allow the log of the value to be calculated in subsequent calculations. Proteins characterized in this study are italicized.

constant (K_d) of 0.55 \pm 0.1 nM (Fig. 2b). Binding to tailed G4DNA is about three-fold tighter than binding to the tailless cMYC G4DNA (K_d = 1.9 ± 0.3 nM), 10 fold tighter than binding to the ssDNA (K_d = 5.4 \pm 0.9 nM), and 62 fold tighter than its binding affinity for the duplex DNA (K_d = 34 ± 11 nM). Dbp2 also tightly binds to tailless ScTEL G4DNA (K_d = 0.72 \pm 0.28 nM), and tailless hTEL G4DNA (K_d = 3.0 ± 0.4 nM). Thus, Dbp2 binds preferentially to G4DNAs, including parallel and hybrid G4DNAs.

Ded1 also binds to tailed cMYC G4DNA ($K_d = 1.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ nM}$), 2.5 fold tighter than its binding affinity to the tailless cMYC G4DNA (K_d = 3.4 ± 0.7 nM), 4.5 fold tighter than binding to the SCTEL G4DNA (K_d = 5.8 ± 2.5 nM), and 21 fold tighter than binding to the ssDNA (K_d = 27 ± 3 nM) (Fig. 2c). Ded1 does not show affinity to duplex DNA nor to hTEL G4DNA. These results demonstrate that Ded1 preferentially binds to G4DNAs, including parallel and some hybrid G4DNAs.

Mss116 protein shows little to no binding to the ssDNA, duplex DNA, tailless ScTEL or tailless hTEL (Fig. 2d). However, Mss116 binds to both the tailed cMYC G4DNA ($K_d = 10 \pm 1 \text{ nM}$) and the tailless cMYC G4DNA (K_d = 19 \pm 5 nM). The affinity of Mss116 for cMYC G4DNAs is also tighter than that for dsRNA ($K_d \approx 120$ nM).³⁴ Thus, Mss116 preferentially binds to parallel G4DNA.

All three DEAD-box helicases (Dbp2, Ded1, and Mss116) bind to tailed cMYC G4DNA with higher affinity than that of

b Tailed 0.25 22 nt cMYC 0.2 Tailless hTEL Tailed cMYC 5' F 21 nt 0.15 CMYC 0.1 0 4 nM Tailless ScTEL Tailless cMYC 0.05 dsDNA F 16 bp nM 20T 15 Blunt duple> SSDNA Dbp2, nM d 0.2 0.2 Tailed cMYC Tailed cMYC 0.15 SDNA 0.15 cMYC cMYC .3 ± 0.2 nM 0. 0 10 ± 1 nN nTE 0.0 19 ± 5 nN 3 nM hTEL ssDNA dsDNA dsDNA 60 80 100 120 100 150 nM Mss116, nM

View Article Online

ChemComm

Fig. 2 Dbp2, Ded1 and Mss116 bind to G4DNA structures. (a) Diagrams illustrating the DNA substrates. Dbp2 (b), Ded1 (c) and Mss116 (d) binding curves. Fluorescence anisotropy of 1 nM tailed cMYC (black triangles), tailless cMYC (green diamonds), tailless hTEL (purple triangles), tailless ScTEL (orange triangles), ssDNA (red circles), or dsDNA (blue squares), with increasing concentrations of Dbp2 (b), Ded1 (c), or Mss116 (d) was measured. The tailed G4DNA concentration was 0.2 nM for the Dbp2 experiment. Data were fit to the quadratic equation using the program KaleidaGraph. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent experiments.

tailless G4DNA (Fig. 2), suggesting the tail or the junction between the tail and G4DNA may also contribute to the binding. However, each of these helicases also bind the tailless cMYC G4DNA with high affinity indicating that the G4 structure itself recognized by the helicases. Although these three DEADbox helicases bind to G4DNAs preferentially, none of them is capable of unfolding a G4DNA substrate containing either a 5'- or 3'-ssDNA extension (Fig. S3, ESI[†]).

Next, we conducted a bioinformatic search of yeast transcriptome,³⁵ and found that the most frequently occurring G4RNA sequences in the yeast transcriptome are two-tetrad ribonucleotide sequences (Table S3, ESI⁺). We selected the top four G4RNA sequences from yeast transcriptome, and confirmed that they form stable G4RNA structures by circular dichroism spectra analysis (Fig. S2b, ESI†). The YNL098C G4RNA is the most common G4RNA sequence present in the yeast transcriptome, and it forms a parallel G4 structure *in vitro* (Fig. S2b and c, ESI⁺).

We measured the binding affinities of these three DEAD-box RNA helicases to G4RNA vs. ssRNA (Fig. 3). Dbp2 binds to YNL098C G4RNA very tightly ($K_d = 0.84 \pm 0.12$ nM), more than 200 fold tighter than that of ssRNA ($K_d = 210 \pm 20$ nM) (Fig. 3b). Ded1 also binds to YNL098C G4RNA very tightly ($K_d = 1.18 \pm 0.5$ nM), 14 fold tighter than that of ssRNA (K_d = 16.6 ± 3.3 nM) (Fig. 3c). For Mss116, binding to YNL098C G4RNA (K_d = 43 ± 10 nM, Fig. 3d) is approximately 4 fold tighter than binding to the ssRNA $(K_{0.5} = 180 \pm 10 \text{ nM}, \text{ Fig. S4, ESI}^{\dagger})$. Thus, Dbp2, Ded1, and Mss116 bind preferentially to G4RNA.

We then examined whether binding of these DEAD-box RNA helicases to G4RNA destabilizes the G4RNA structures



Fig. 3 Dbp2, Ded1 and Mss116 bind to G4RNA. (a) Diagram of substrates. (b–d) The binding curves of Dbp2 (b), Ded1 (c), and Mss116 (d) to G4RNA (blue squares) and ssRNA (red dots). Data were fit to the quadratic equation. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent experiments.

(Fig. 4 and Fig. S5, ESI[†]). In the Dbp2 reaction buffer (Fig. 4b), the trap can slowly destabilize G4RNA with a rate constant of $0.32 \pm 0.01 \text{ min}^{-1}$. However, in the presence of both Dbp2 and ATP, G4RNA is destabilized about 8 fold faster, with a rate constant of 2.40 \pm 0.21 min⁻¹ (Fig. 4b). Surprisingly, G4RNA destabilization by Dbp2 in the presence of ATP is indistinguishable from that in the absence of ATP ($k = 2.22 \pm 0.24 \text{ min}^{-1}$). Ded1 stimulates destabilization of G4RNA about 2-3 fold, with a rate constant of 0.64 \pm 0.06 min⁻¹ in the presence of ATP, or $0.82 \pm 0.06 \text{ min}^{-1}$ in the absence of ATP (Fig. 4c). Mss116 stimulates destabilization of G4RNA about 5 fold, with a rate constant of 0.44 \pm 0.18 min⁻¹ in the presence of ATP, or 0.42 \pm 0.1 min⁻¹ in the absence of ATP (Fig. 4d). G4RNA destabilization was completely abolished by the presence of NMM, a G4specific stabilizing agent, demonstrating that the formation of the RNA:DNA hybrid duplex product was specifically due to the destabilization of the G4RNA structure in the reaction. These results demonstrate that these three DEAD-box RNA helicases stimulate the destabilization of G4RNA in an ATP-independent manner. Similar to the tailless G4RNA, each of these three DEAD-box RNA helicases is able to destabilize 3'-tailed G4RNA in an ATP-independent manner (Fig. S6, ESI⁺). In contrast, Dbp2, Ded1, and Mss116 unwind RNA duplex or RNA:DNA hybrid duplex (Fig. S7, ESI[†]) in an ATP-dependent manner.^{36–38}

Two different mechanisms of ATP-independent G4 destabilization have been proposed. DHX36 helicase has been suggested to partially unfold a quadruplex in an ATP-independent manner due to repetitive opening of the helicase core.³⁹ Pif1 helicase was reported to trap quadruplex thermal melting products in an ATPindependent manner resulting in quadruplex unfolding.⁴⁰ Either of these mechanisms could be involved in the ATP-independent G4RNA destabilization by DEAD-box RNA helicases.

In conclusion, we have identified 29 G4 binding proteins by affinity purification using G4DNA bait and LC-MS/MS analysis. The diversity of proteins enriched on G4DNA implies that G4



Fig. 4 Dbp2, Ded1 and Mss116 destabilize tailless G4RNA structure. (a) Diagram illustrating the experiments. ³²P-labelled tailless G4RNA was preincubated with Mg²⁺, in the presence or absence of ATP. Helicases were added to initiate the reaction, along with a DNA trap (Qtrap). The destabilized G4RNA will be single-stranded and rapidly complemented with Qtrap to form a stable RNA:DNA duplex (blue and black duplex). At increasing times, the reactions were quenched by adding excess Ctrap with EDTA and SDS. The Ctrap will form a duplex with the leftover Qtrap (blue and green duplex). (b–d) Tailless G4RNA was unfolded by Dbp2 (b), Ded1 (c) or Mss116 (d). Panels are the graphs of the reaction progress curves. The representative gel images are in the Fig S5 (ESI†). Data were fit to a single exponential. The rate constants represent the average and standard deviation from three independent experiments.

structures play critical roles in multiple biological functions. We demonstrated three DEAD-box RNA helicases (Dbp2, Ded1, and Mss116) bind preferentially to G4DNA and G4RNA *in vitro*. Binding of Dbp2, Ded1 or Mss116 to G4DNA does not affect G4DNA structure. However, their binding destabilizes G4RNA in an ATP-independent manner. Our observation that binding of these proteins to G4RNA is sufficient to unfold the structure, and provides one possible explanation for the recent observation that G4RNAs are globally unfolded in eukaryotic cells.⁴¹ A recent X-ray crystal structure and single-molecule analysis captured a G4DNA which was partially unfolded in a transient ATP-independent manner due to binding of the RNA helicase, DHX36.⁴⁰ Our findings suggest possible new roles for DEAD-box RNA helicases through interaction with G4DNAs and disruption of G-quadruplex structures in RNAs.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants (R01 GM098922, R01 GM117439, and R35 GM122601 to K. D. R.) and the UAMS Research Council. The University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS) Proteomics Core and DNA Sequencing Core are supported by the Arkansas IDeA Network for Biomedical Research Excellence (National Institutes of Health Grant P20 GM103429), the University of Arkansas Center for

View Article Online

Protein Structure and Function (National Institutes of Health Grant P30 GM103450), the UAMS Center for Microbial Pathogenesis and Host Inflammatory Responses (National Institutes of Health Grant P20 GM103625), and the UAMS Translational Research Institute (National Institutes of Health Grant UL1TR000039). We thank Dr Elizabeth Tran for the pET28a-DBP2 plasmid and helpful suggestions, and Dr Galina Glazko and Dr Stephanie Byrum for helpful discussions and technical consultation.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

Dpen Access Article. Published on 11 March 2019. Downloaded on 7/18/2025 9:52:41 PM

- 1 G. N. Parkinson, M. P. H. Lee and S. Neidle, *Nature*, 2002, 417, 876–880.
- 2 M. L. Bochman, K. Paeschke and V. A. Zakian, *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 2012, 13, 770–780.
- 3 P. Murat and S. Balasubramanian, *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, 2014, 25, 22–29.
- 4 Y. Wu and R. M. Brosh Jr, FEBS J., 2010, 277, 3470-3488.
- 5 N. Maizels, EMBO Rep., 2015, 16, 910-922.
- 6 J. P. Vaughn, S. D. Creacy, E. D. Routh, C. Joyner-Butt, G. S. Jenkins, S. Pauli, Y. Nagamine and S. A. Akman, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2005, 280, 38117–38120.
- 7 P. Chakraborty and F. Grosse, DNA Repair, 2011, 10, 654-665.
- 8 E. K. S. McRae, E. P. Booy, A. Moya-Torres, P. Ezzati, J. Stetefeld and S. A. McKenna, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2017, **45**, 6656–6668.
- 9 L. T. Gray, A. C. Vallur, J. Eddy and N. Maizels, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2014, **10**, 313–318.
- 10 H. Sun, J. K. Karow, I. D. Hickson and N. Maizels, J. Biol. Chem., 1998, 273, 27587–27592.
- 11 C. M. Sanders, *Biochem. J.*, 2010, **430**, 119–128.
- 12 K. Paeschke, M. L. Bochman, P. D. Garcia, P. Cejka, K. L. Friedman, S. C. Kowalczykowski and V. A. Zakian, *Nature*, 2013, **497**, 458–462.
- 13 L. A. Dempsey, H. Sun, L. A. Hanakahi and N. Maizels, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1999, **274**, 1066–1071.
- 14 E.-A. Raiber, R. Kranaster, E. Lam, M. Nikan and S. Balasubramanian, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2012, **40**, 1499–1508.
- 15 J. D. Frantz and W. Gilbert, J. Biol. Chem., 1995, 270, 20692-20697.

- 16 J. Gao, B. L. Zybailov, A. K. Byrd, W. C. Griffin, S. Chib, S. G. Mackintosh, A. J. Tackett and K. D. Raney, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 7242–7244.
- 17 T. L. Bailey and M. Gribskov, Bioinformatics, 1998, 14, 48-54.
- 18 T. L. Bailey, M. Bodén, T. Whitington and P. Machanick, BMC Bioinf., 2010, 11, 179.
- 19 L. A. Hanakahi, H. Sun and N. Maizels, J. Biol. Chem., 1999, 274, 15908-15912.
- 20 A. T. Phan, V. Kuryavyi, J. C. Darnell, A. Serganov, A. Majumdar, S. Ilin, T. Raslin, A. Polonskaia, C. Chen, D. Clain, R. B. Darnell and D. J. Patel, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, 2011, 18, 796–804.
- 21 K. Takahama, A. Miyawaki, T. Shitara, K. Mitsuya, M. Morikawa, M. Hagihara, K. Kino, A. Yamamoto and T. Oyoshi, ACS Chem. Biol., 2015, 10, 2564–2569.
- 22 M. E. Fairman-Williams, U.-P. Guenther and E. Jankowsky, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 2010, **20**, 313–324.
- 23 S. Rocak and P. Linder, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 2004, 5, 232-241.
- 24 P. Linder and E. Jankowsky, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 2011, 12, 505–516.
- 25 C. Beckham, A. Hilliker, A.-M. Cziko, A. Noueiry, M. Ramaswami and R. Parker, *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 2008, **19**, 984–993.
- 26 E. Jankowsky and H. Bowers, Nucleic Acids Res., 2006, 34, 4181-4188.
- 27 R. Russell, I. Jarmoskaite and A. M. Lambowitz, RNA Biol., 2013, 10, 44-55.
- 28 F. V. Fuller-Pace, RNA Biol., 2013, 10, 121–132.
- 29 R. Bish and C. Vogel, Mol. Cells, 2014, 37, 357-364.
- 30 J.-L. Chong, R.-Y. Chuang, L. Tung and T.-H. Chang, Nucleic Acids Res., 2004, 32, 2031–2038.
- 31 A. Garbelli, M. Radi, F. Falchi, S. Beermann, S. Zanoli, F. Manetti, U. Dietrich, M. Botta and G. Maga, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2011, 18, 3015–3027.
- 32 S. R. Paludan and A. G. Bowie, Immunity, 2013, 38, 870-880.
- 33 J. Wu and Z. J. Chen, Annu. Rev. Immunol., 2014, 32, 461-488.
- 34 W. Cao, M. M. Coman, S. Ding, A. Henn, E. R. Middleton, M. J. Bradley, E. Rhoades, D. D. Hackney, A. M. Pyle and E. M. De La Cruz, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2011, 409, 399–414.
- 35 P. Dhapola and S. Chowdhury, Nucleic Acids Res., 2016, 44, W277-W283.
- 36 W. K. Ma, S. C. Cloutier and E. J. Tran, J. Mol. Biol., 2013, 425, 3824–3838.
- 37 Q. Yang and E. Jankowsky, Biochemistry, 2005, 44, 13591–13601.
- 38 C. Halls, S. Mohr, M. Del Campo, Q. Yang, E. Jankowsky and A. M. Lambowitz, J. Mol. Biol., 2007, 365, 835–855.
- 39 M. C. Chen, R. Tippana, N. A. Demeshkina, P. Murat, S. Balasubramanian, S. Myong and A. R. Ferré-D'Amaré, *Nature*, 2018, 558, 465–469.
- 40 A. K. Byrd, M. R. Bell and K. D. Raney, J. Biol. Chem., 2018, 293, 17792–17802.
- 41 J. U. Guo and D. P. Bartel, Science, 2016, 353, aaf5371.