

Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, 7, 21244

Improved cycling stability in high-capacity Li-rich vanadium containing disordered rock salt oxyfluoride cathodes†

Christian Baur, ^{a*} Ida Källquist, ^b Johann Chable,^a Jin Hyun Chang, ^c Rune E. Johnsen, ^c Francisco Ruiz-Zepeda, ^d Jean-Marcel Ateba Mba,^d Andrew J. Naylor, ^e Juan Maria Garcia-Lastra, ^c Tejs Vegge, ^c Franziska Klein,^a Annika R. Schür,^a Poul Norby,^c Kristina Edström,^e Maria Hahlin ^b and Maximilian Fichtner ^{af}

Lithium-rich transition metal disordered rock salt (DRS) oxyfluorides have the potential to lessen one large bottleneck for lithium ion batteries by improving the cathode capacity. However, irreversible reactions at the electrode/electrolyte interface have so far led to fast capacity fading during electrochemical cycling. Here, we report the synthesis of two new Li-rich transition metal oxyfluorides $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ using the mechanochemical ball milling procedure. Both materials show substantially improved cycling stability compared to $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$. Rietveld refinements of synchrotron X-ray diffraction patterns reveal the DRS structure of the materials. Based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations, we demonstrate that substitution of V^{3+} with Ti^{3+} and Fe^{3+} favors disordering of the mixed metastable DRS oxyfluoride phase. Hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy shows that the substitution stabilizes the active material electrode particle surface and increases the reversibility of the $\text{V}^{3+}/\text{V}^{5+}$ redox couple. This work presents a strategy for stabilization of the DRS structure leading to improved electrochemical cyclability of the materials.

Received 12th June 2019
Accepted 24th August 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ta06291b

rsc.li/materials-a

Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are the most widely used energy storage systems for applications in electric transportation and

portable electronic devices.^{1,2} Cathode materials for LIBs need to meet high energy and power density criteria for several applications and are the main focus of current research activities.^{1,3,4} In 2015, the lithium-rich disordered rock salt (DRS) $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ was identified as a promising cathode material with a high theoretical capacity of 462 mA h g^{-1} by Chen *et al.*⁵ This compound exhibits a DRS structure where Li and V ions appear to be randomly distributed at the same crystallographic site in the crystal structure.⁶ The lithium-excess (Li : TM-ratio (transition metal) > 1) in DRS structures enables percolating pathways for Li-ions to diffuse through the structure, facilitating their use as battery materials with relatively high capacities.^{7,8} $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ was the first material reported in the class of Li-rich DRS materials and the first material to incorporate F^- by partly substituting O^{2-} to lower the oxidation state of the transition metal cation and to increase the average discharge potential.⁹ The capacity is expected to originate from the two-electron redox couple, $\text{V}^{3+}/\text{V}^{5+}$, leading to practical capacities over 300 mA h g^{-1} , considerably higher than what is observed for conventional cathode materials such as LiCoO_2 and LiFePO_4 (140 mA h g^{-1} and 170 mA h g^{-1}).¹⁻³

Many different compounds with the Li-rich DRS phase have been reported since the original study. Materials like $\text{Li}_{1.3}\text{Nb}_{0.3}\text{TM}_{0.4}\text{O}_2$ (TM = Fe^{3+} , Mn^{3+} , V^{3+}) and $\text{Li}_{1.2}\text{Ni}_{1/3}\text{Ti}_{1/3}\text{Mo}_{2/15}\text{O}_2$ have been synthesized using a classical high-temperature annealing solid-state approach.¹⁰⁻¹²

^aHelmholtz Institute Ulm for Electrochemical Energy Storage, Helmholtzstraße 11, 89081 Ulm, Germany. E-mail: christian.baur@kit.edu

^bUppsala University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, P. O. box 256, SE-751 05 Uppsala, Sweden

^cTechnical University of Denmark, Department of Energy Conversion and Storage, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

^dNational Institute of Chemistry, Hajdrihova 19, P. O. box 660, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

^eDepartment of Chemistry, Ångström Laboratory, Uppsala University, Box 538, 75121 Uppsala, Sweden

^fInstitute of Nanotechnology, Karlsruhe Institute for Technology, P. O. box 3640, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: PXRD pattern of ceramic synthesis attempts; structural parameters of the Rietveld refinements; PXRD pattern of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ with Rietveld refinement; Williamson-Hall-plots; TEM and EDX analysis; SQS of $\text{Li}_2\text{TMO}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{TM}_{1.0.5}\text{TM}_{2.0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$; ordered structures of $\text{Li}_2\text{TM}_{1.0.5}\text{TM}_{2.0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$; table of energy difference between the ordered/decomposed state and disordered state; table of oxidation states of TMs; voltage profiles of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ half-cells cycled up to 4.1 V; PXRD pattern of cycled electrodes; HAXPES Fe 2p peak fitting; HAXPES survey of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ and fluorine plasmon overlaps with the Fe 2p_{3/2} peak; core level photoelectron spectra of Fe 2p and Ti 2p; cycling performance of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ half-cells cycled up to 4.5 V. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ta06291b

These materials exhibit a relatively stable electrochemical behavior for more than 20 cycles. Fluoride-containing Li-rich DRS materials, such as $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{V}_x\text{O}_2\text{F}$ ($x = 0-1$), $\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{MoO}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_{2.1}\text{Ti}_{0.2}\text{Mo}_{0.7}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, have on the other hand only been synthesized by high-energy ball milling, leading to nano-sized particles with crystallographic defects.^{5,13-17} Ball milled DRS oxyfluorides generally suffer from fast capacity fading and the reason for the capacity fading is still not fully understood.^{5,15,16,18} Recent publications indicate that such DRS phases might be metastable.^{10,14,19} A recent study of Källquist *et al.* identified irreversible reactions at the surface of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ with the electrolyte as the possible cause of the capacity fading.²⁰ Herein, we propose that the key to understand the degradation mechanism of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ and other disordered rock salt oxyfluorides is linked to the metastable structure and surface stability of the DRS phase. Even though $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ has a lower average discharge voltage compared to other DRS materials, its high theoretical and practical discharge capacities make it an attractive material to study. We report the synthesis of two new Li-rich DRS oxyfluoride phases, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$. We also elucidate alternative synthesis approaches towards a conventional solid-state synthesis of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ and substituted Li-rich DRS oxyfluorides (see ESI†). LiF cannot be incorporated stoichiometrically into the structure under conventional solid-state synthesis conditions. Thus, the isovalent substituted $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ compounds are synthesized by a high energy ball milling approach and the properties of the compounds are compared with those of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$. The structural stability of the materials is investigated by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Further, we analyze the electrochemical performance by galvanostatic charge-discharge experiments and atomic level information from the material surface is obtained from *ex situ* hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (HAXPES).

Experimental

Synthesis procedures

The compounds were prepared by using a dry ball milling procedure in two steps (each step involves milling at 600 rpm for 20 h using a Fritsch Pulverisette 6 classic line containing an 80 mL Si_3N_4 jar and 25 balls of 10 mm diameter under the conditions of a ball to powder ratio of 10 : 1 and the total amount of powder of approx. 4.5 g). All compounds were added into an air-tight Si_3N_4 jar under inert conditions in an argon-filled glovebox with water and oxygen levels below 0.1 ppm.

In the first step, Li_2O (10% excess to compensate loss during the synthesis,²¹ Alfa Aesar, 99.7%) and the corresponding metal oxide precursors, V_2O_3 (Alfa Aesar, 99.7%), and Ti_2O_3 (Alfa Aesar, 99.8%) or Fe_2O_3 (Alfa Aesar, 99.9%), were milled to form the intermediate products DRS LiVO_2 , $\text{LiV}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ or $\text{LiV}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, respectively. In the second step LiF (Alfa Aesar, 99.9%) was added and subsequently milled to stoichiometrically form $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ or $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, respectively. After ball milling, powders were handled in a glovebox and were used without further purification.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD)

Synchrotron PXRD patterns were recorded at beamline 11-ID-B at the APS Argonne National Lab using a PerkinElmer flat panel detector (XRD1621) with a pixel size of $200 \times 200 \mu\text{m}$. The powdered samples were placed in 1.1 mm borosilicate glass capillaries inside an argon-filled glovebox and sealed before they were measured in transmission geometry. A sample-to-detector distance of 641.010 mm and a wavelength of 0.21280 Å were used for data acquisition with a summed exposure time of 30 s per diffraction pattern. The diffraction data were integrated using the Fit2D.²²⁻²⁴ Rietveld refinements were conducted using TOPAS version 5.²⁵ The instrumental resolution function was determined using the CeO_2 standard. For the Rietveld refinement, the *b*-factors were refined for the 4a and 4b Wyckoff positions and not for each element individually. The occupancies of O and F were fixed to 2/3 for O and 1/3 for F, respectively. The TM and Li occupancies were restrained to result in 1 and refined.

Ex situ PXRD patterns of cycled electrodes after 100 cycles were recorded in reflection geometry using a STOE STADI-p diffractometer with Mo $K_{\alpha 1}$ radiation (0.70932 Å), equipped with a DECTRIS MYTHEN 1K strip detector. The electrodes were covered with Kapton® tape to prevent oxidation in air.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

TEM characterization and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) mapping were performed using a Cs corrected JEOL ARM CF operating at 200 kV, equipped with an SSD Jeol EDX spectrometer.

DFT calculations

DFT calculations were carried out using the Vienna *Ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP)²⁶⁻²⁹ using the projector augmented-wave (PAW) method.³⁰ The generalized gradient approximation as parametrized by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof³¹ was used as the exchange-correlation functional. The plane-wave cutoff of 600 eV was used, and both the cell and atomic positions were fully relaxed such that all the forces are smaller than $0.02 \text{ eV } \text{\AA}^{-1}$. A rotationally invariant Hubbard *U* correction^{32,33} was applied to the d orbitals of V, Ti and Fe with the *U* values of 3.25, 3.50 and 4.30 eV, respectively. Integrations over the Brillouin zone were carried out using the Monkhorst-Pack scheme³⁴ with a grid with a maximal interval of $0.04 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$.

Electrochemical measurements

Electrodes were prepared by pre-mixing $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_x\text{TM}_{1-x}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ (TM = Ti, Fe, $x = 1$ or 0.5) with carbon black (acetylene black, Alfa Aesar) in the ball mill to form a composite (300 rpm for 3 h). The obtained composite was mixed with polyvinylidene difluoride binder (PVDF) (Solvay 6050) and *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, Alfa Aesar, 99.5%) solution to obtain a slurry with a weight ratio of 70/20/10. The slurry was coated on aluminum foil acting as the current collector and subsequently dried under vacuum at stepwise increasing temperatures up to a maximum of 120 °C for 12 h. Afterwards electrodes of 12 mm diameter were



punched out. The active material mass loading ranges from 1.4 to 1.9 mg cm⁻² with a dry film thickness between 12 and 21 μm.

For the electrochemical measurements, 2-electrode Swagelok-type cells were assembled using a lithium metal counter electrode, a Li₂VO₂F working electrode, 200 μL LP30-electrolyte (1 M LiPF₆ in an ethylene carbonate (EC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC) mixture (1 : 1 by volume, Sigma Aldrich)) and two Whatman glass fiber separators. These Li half-cells were assembled in a glovebox under an argon atmosphere. Galvanostatic charge–discharge tests were conducted in the 2-electrode setup with an ARBIN BT2000 battery testing system. All electrochemical cycling tests were carried out with a C/5-rate in a voltage range of 1.3 V to 4.1 V vs. Li/Li⁺. Cycling was performed at room temperature.

HAXPES analysis

For HAXPES analysis, pouch-type cells were prepared in an argon-filled glovebox (O₂ < 2 ppm, H₂O < 1 ppm). 13 mm diameter electrodes of Li₂VO₂F, Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F or Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F were used as working electrodes with a lithium metal (125 μm thick, Cyprus Foote Material) counter electrode and Solupor separator (20 μm thick) soaked in 50 μL LP30-electrolyte. Galvanostatic charge–discharge was performed using a Digatron BTS 600 galvanostat under the same conditions as describe above.

HAXPES was performed on pristine, fully charged and fully discharged electrodes cycled 5 or 50 times. These are abbreviated P, Ch5, DCh5, Ch50, and DCh50 respectively. HAXPES samples were prepared by disassembling the pouch cells, rinsing the working electrode with DMC to remove salt residues, and mounting a piece of the electrode on a sample holder using conductive Cu or carbon tape. All sample transfers were made under an Ar atmosphere. For Li₂VO₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F, measurements were performed at the KMC-1 beamline at BESSY II, Germany³⁵ using photon energies of 2005 eV. X-rays were monochromatized using a Si(111) double-crystal monochromator and a Gammadata Scienta R-4000 hemispherical analyzer was used to record the photoelectron spectra. Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F samples were measured at the I09 beamline at Diamond light source, UK³⁶ using photon energies of 2350 eV. X-rays were monochromatized using a Si(111) double-crystal monochromator and a Scienta EW4000 high-voltage electron analyzer was used to record the photoelectron spectra. The probing depth was estimated to be approximately 10 nm using three times the inelastic mean free path (IMFP) for Li₂VO₂F derived from the NIST database³⁷ using material parameters from The Materials Project.³⁸ HAXPES data analysis and curve-fitting were performed using Igor Pro 6.37 software. All spectra were intensity normalized to the highest intensity peak and binding energy calibrated by shifting the carbon black peak to 284.4 eV. To curve fit the transition metal spectra, the spin orbit splitting of the 2p_{3/2} and 2p_{1/2} doublet peaks was locked to established values (7.3 eV for V, 5.7 eV for Ti, and 13.6 for Fe) while the absolute binding energy values were allowed to vary. In the case of vanadium, only one spin orbit split doublet peak was used to fit the spectra, and its binding energy was used to determine the oxidation state. For V 2p and Ti 2p peaks,

parameters from the work by Biesinger *et al.*³⁹ were used for the fitting. The Fe 2p peaks partially overlap with the plasmon of the F 1s peak.⁴⁰ By comparing the survey measurements of Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂VO₂F (ESI, Fig. S11†) it was determined that the Fe 2p_{1/2} peak lies above the fluorine plasmon and can thus be used to evaluate iron. The Fe 2p_{1/2} was fitted using established peak parameters.^{41,42} Further details on the fitting can be found in the ESI.† To evaluate the composition of the samples, peak areas are calculated and normalized by the photoionization cross section. Ratios between different elements are calculated according to eqn (1):

$$\frac{n_i}{n_j} = \frac{A_i/\sigma_i}{A_j/\sigma_j} \quad (1)$$

where A_{ij} is the peak area of elements i and j and σ_{ij} is the theoretical absorption cross section of the corresponding elements as calculated according to the work by Scofield.⁴³

Results

We synthesized the target compounds Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F by a high energy ball milling approach, similar to the reported synthesis of Li₂VO₂F.⁵ High energy ball milling is often used to synthesize metastable phases or to stabilize phases that normally exist at higher temperatures or pressures.⁴⁴ The synthesis procedures reported in the literature so far synthesize the DRS compounds in a one-step process, which in some cases leads to impurities of unreacted precursor compounds.^{5,15} In the case of Li₂VO₂F, a total ball milling time of 40 hours is suggested.⁵ Here, we introduce a two-step approach. In the first step, we synthesized a LiTMO₂ species by using Li₂O and the corresponding TM₂O₃ oxide already exhibits the DRS structure.⁴⁵ In a second step, LiF was introduced into the structure as a solid solution by ball milling. This approach has the advantage of significantly reducing the transition metal oxide precursor impurities in the product. Additionally, we changed the ball mill jar and ball material from tungsten carbide (WC) to less abrasive silicon nitride (Si₃N₄), which reduces the amount of impurities in the synthesized compound. Following this approach, we successfully synthesized two new Li-rich DRS materials, Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F, and compared them with the original Li₂VO₂F compound.

Rietveld refinements based on the synchrotron PXRD of Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F, both mixed with carbon black, are presented in Fig. 1. The broad diffraction peaks of both compounds indicate the nanocrystalline nature of the material, as known for Li₂VO₂F (ESI, Fig. S2†) and similar ball milled materials.^{5,9,15,21,45} Rietveld refinements are based on the DRS phase ($Fm\bar{3}m$) for both Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F and yield in good fits. The Rietveld refinements show that the synthesized products have high purity (the products contain below 1 wt% of Si₃N₄ impurity). No transition metal precursors (TM₂O₃) were found. The refinements yield lattice parameters of $a = 4.1342(6)$ Å for Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F and $a = 4.1388(6)$ Å for Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F, which are slightly larger compared to that of the unsubstituted compound Li₂VO₂F ($a = 4.1169(4)$ Å) due to the






Fig. 1 (a) PXRD pattern of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ mixed with carbon black with Rietveld refinement and the Bragg position of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$. (b) PXRD pattern of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ mixed with carbon black with Rietveld refinement and the Bragg position of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$. $\lambda = 0.21280 \text{ \AA}$. Inset: schematic illustration of the DRS crystal structure.




Fig. 2 TEM analysis of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$. (a) TEM image, (b) SAED pattern, and (c) high-resolution STEM ADF image with corresponding FFT (d).

larger ionic radii of Ti^{3+} and Fe^{3+} .⁴⁶ The refined occupancies of the transition metal positions differ only marginally from the ideal stoichiometry for the Ti and Fe containing phases. Detailed structural parameters obtained from the refinement are given in ESI Table S1.† The crystallite size (between 12 and 14 nm) and strain (below 0.6%) were determined from Williamson–Hall plots (ESI, Fig. S3†).⁴⁷

The particle size and morphology of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ were investigated by TEM. The results for $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ are presented in Fig. 2. The results for $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ are given in the ESI (ESI, Fig. S4–S6†). The sample is composed of agglomerated nanocrystalline particles (Fig. 2a). Similar microstructures have been found for $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$. The lattice d -values obtained by selected area electron diffraction (SAED) (Fig. 2b) correspond to the metrics of the DRS structure ($Fm\bar{3}m$) and confirm the results of the Rietveld refinement. The high-resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy annular dark field image (STEM-ADF) in Fig. 2c reveals several nanocrystalline (5–10 nm) and some amorphous domains. The corresponding fast Fourier transformation (FFT) of one nanocrystallite is shown in Fig. 2d, matching the orientation along the [101] zone axis of the disordered structure. Despite the local non-uniform mass-thickness contrast in the image, it is possible to identify some differences in the intensity of the atomic columns, indicating variations of the transition metal atomic content. Similar results have been obtained for $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy mapping (EDX) of the materials shows a uniform distribution of elements. However, in the case of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ the EDX map reveals a small fraction of V-enriched areas of 40–80 nm size,

which are not present in the X-ray diffraction pattern (ESI, Fig. S6†).

To shed light on the structural properties of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ we investigated the relative structural stability of DRS oxyfluoride phases using DFT calculations by comparing the energies of Special Quasi-random Structures (SQS) and ordered prototype structures. These are derived from known ordered oxide structures such as $\alpha\text{-NaFeO}_2$ and $\gamma\text{-LiFeO}_2$, which are known to be the ground state structure of many lithium transition metal oxides (ESI, Fig. S7 and S8†).^{48,49} The relative structural stability of DRS oxyfluoride phases is determined *via* the energy difference between the SQS and the most stable ordered structure, ΔE , defined as

$$\Delta E = E_{\text{SQS}} - \min(E_{\text{ordered}}) \quad (2)$$

where E_{SQS} and $\min(E_{\text{ordered}})$ are the total energies of SQS and the most stable ordered structure in meV per atom, respectively. A positive (negative) value of ΔE indicates that the disordered phase is energetically less (more) stable compared to an ordered phase.

The ordered phase is expected to be more structurally stable than the disordered phase for all considered compounds, because the DRS oxyfluorides are in the metastable phase achieved using a mechanochemical ball milling procedure. Furthermore, the decomposition of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ into LiVO_2 and LiF upon heating⁵⁰ indicates that the considered compounds may be metastable in general, irrespective of the ordered or disordered phase. Such metastability of the compounds makes it difficult to investigate their relative structural stabilities. However, the ordering propensity, the extent to which the ordered phase is preferred compared to the disordered phase, can be used to assess the relative stability of the compared DRS



oxyfluoride compounds.⁴⁹ In other words, the relative values of ΔE in eqn (2) are used to assess how stable the compounds are in the disordered phase compared to the ordered phase. The value of ΔE is 179, 144 and 147 meV per atom for $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, respectively. The ordered phase is energetically preferred for all three compounds, and the disordered phase is metastable as expected. More interestingly, the ΔE values of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ are lower than those of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ by more than 30 meV per atom, indicating enhanced structural stability of the disordered phase. Consequently, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ are more likely to retain the disordered phase upon cycling than $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$. It should be noted that the relative energies of the decomposed products of the compounds ($\text{LiF} + \text{LiTMO}_2$ for $\text{Li}_2\text{TMO}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{LiF} + 0.5\text{LiTM1O}_2 + 0.5\text{LiTM2O}_2$ for $\text{Li}_2\text{TM1}_{0.5}\text{TM2}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, where more stable $\alpha\text{-NaFeO}_2$ and $\gamma\text{-LiFeO}_2$ type oxide structures are considered) are also compared (ESI, Table S2†). All of the compounds are found to be most stable in the decomposed state, which aligns with the challenges faced in synthesizing the compounds in conventional techniques.

In addition to the relative stabilities of the disordered and ordered phases, DFT calculations reveal that the disorder leads to a distribution of oxidation states of the transition metal ions in the compounds. The oxidation states of TM in $\text{Li}_2\text{TMO}_2\text{F}$ and TM1 and TM2 in $\text{Li}_2\text{TM1}_{0.5}\text{TM2}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ (TM, TM1 and TM2 = V, Ti, Fe, respectively) are always 3+ for all of the ordered structures. The oxidation states of V ions are distributed between 2+, 3+ and 4+ in the SQS of $\text{Li}_2\text{TMO}_2\text{F}$ (the distribution of oxidation states of the transition metals of the SQS is shown in Table S3 of ESI†). Furthermore, it is observed that the substitution of V with Ti leads to a downward shift in the oxidation state distribution of V ions (between 2+ and 3+) while Ti ions have oxidation states of 3+ and 4+. The opposite happens when V ions are substituted with Fe ions; oxidation states of V ions are distributed between 3+ and 4+ while they are distributed between 2+ and 3+ for Fe ions. A constant value of oxidation states in the ordered phase and its distribution pattern in the disordered phase can be used to determine the extent to which the material is disordered, albeit to a first order approximation.

The electrochemical performance of the new DRS oxyfluoride compounds $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ was investigated. The proposed theoretical capacity of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ is 462 mA h g^{-1} based on a 2 e^- redox process of the $\text{V}^{3+}/\text{V}^{5+}$ couple. $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ has a theoretical capacity of 350 mA h g^{-1} based on a 1.5 e^- redox process assuming additional redox activity of $\text{Ti}^{3+}/\text{Ti}^{4+}$ in the low voltage range between 1.5 and 2.0 V.⁵¹ $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ has a theoretical capacity of 226 mA h g^{-1} assuming electrochemical inactivity of Fe^{3+} (2 e^- redox process of 50% $\text{V}^{3+}/\text{V}^{5+}$). Galvanostatic charge–discharge tests of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ half cells have been conducted. The cycling performance is shown in Fig. 3. The materials have been cycled within a potential range of 1.3 and 4.1 V vs. Li/Li^+ with a C/5-rate. $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ shows the highest first discharge capacity of all three compounds (Fig. 3a) of around 330 mA h g^{-1} , which is in good agreement with the literature, accompanied by rapid capacity fading known from previous reports.^{5,14} 45% of the initial discharge capacity is lost




Fig. 3 (a) Cycling performance (filled symbols: charge capacity, hollow symbols: discharge capacity) and coulombic efficiency of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ (black), $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ (blue) and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ (red) as a function of cycle number for half-cells cycled within a potential range of 1.3–4.1 V vs. Li/Li^+ with C/5-rate at 25 °C. (b) Corresponding discharge capacity retention of the compounds.

after 25 cycles. After 50 cycles the discharge capacity is already below 150 mA h g^{-1} , which corresponds to less than 40% capacity retention (Fig. 3b). Both substituted compounds, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, exhibit a lower discharge capacity of 285 mA h g^{-1} and 218 mA h g^{-1} in the first cycle, respectively. The discharge capacity of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ is 67 mA h g^{-1} higher compared to $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, which may be explained by additional contribution to the capacity of the $\text{Ti}^{3+}/\text{Ti}^{4+}$ redox couple. The capacity fading is significantly reduced for both substituted compounds; $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ retain 81% and 66% and 73% after 50 cycles, respectively. The coulombic efficiency (Fig. 3a) is improved for both new materials (around 97% for 50 cycles) compared to $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ (around 93%). Altogether, the substitution of V with 50% Ti or Fe clearly improves the cycling performance compared to $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$. $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ shows the best cycling stability over 50 cycles, whereas $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ exhibits the highest overall discharge capacities. The corresponding voltage profiles of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ exhibit a steep and sloping profile shape enhanced by the disorder suggesting a single-phase insertion process for Li^+ (ESI, Fig. S9†).^{5,6,14} No voltage plateaus are observed. The average discharge voltage of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ is about 2.53 V with a voltage



hysteresis of 0.51 V. Both substituted compounds exhibit a slightly lower average discharge voltage, 2.31 V for $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and 2.45 V for $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$. The voltage hysteresis for $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ is 0.59 V, which is the highest of all three compounds. $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ shows the smallest voltage hysteresis of 0.43 V.

To understand the redox processes occurring during electrochemical cycling the differential capacity dQ/dV plots are shown in Fig. 4. In $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, the oxidation of V^{3+} to V^{4+} is located in the area of 2.6 V and that of V^{4+} to V^{5+} is located above 3.5 V (indicated with dashed lines).^{14,15} For $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, the assumed redox peaks of vanadium (dashed lines) are slightly shifted to higher voltages and exhibit the highest overpotentials, which may be related to kinetic effects. Furthermore, additional peaks in the charge and discharge directions are observed at 2.2 V and 1.8 V, respectively (dotted lines). These peaks are not present in the samples that do not contain Ti ($\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$) and thus are expected to originate from the $\text{Ti}^{3+}/\text{Ti}^{4+}$ redox couple leading to an additional discharge capacity. $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ shows the smallest overpotential and behaves like $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ in the low voltage regime indicating a similar redox behavior, but differs in the voltage region above 3.5 V during charging. This deviation may be related to processes at high voltages associated with irreversible reactions affecting the cycling stability. Upon extended cycling

the dQ/dV plot of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ tends to a flattening differential capacity peak response, indicating a loss of V-redox activity.²⁰ In contrast, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ preserve the characteristic redox peaks for a longer cycling period. This suggests that the maintained electrochemical activity of the TM is related to the improved cycling stability of the materials. Like for $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, the *ex situ* PXRD pattern of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ after extended cycling does not exhibit any development of new crystalline phases (ESI, Fig. S10†).⁵

To further analyze the materials' surface stability, HAXPES was used to probe the redox activity of the transition metals and the surface layer evolution. Since HAXPES is a surface sensitive technique it has commonly been used to study the surface layers built up on the active material, known to be crucial for the cycling performance.^{52,53} Thus, to understand the improved capacity retention of the substituted materials the O 1s, V 2p and C 1s spectra are analyzed for pristine (P) and samples cycled 5 or 50 times in both charged (Ch5 and Ch50, respectively) and discharged (DCh5 and DCh50, respectively) states. The photon energy for the measurements is chosen so that both the outer layers of the active material and the surface layer can be probed.

The O 1s and V 2p spectra are shown in Fig. 5. Five different peaks are used to fit the data, from left to right corresponding to carboxyl/hydroxyl compounds (~ 534 eV), carbonates (~ 532 eV), metal oxide (530 eV) and vanadium that is detected with a spin orbit splitting of 7.33 eV at ~ 517 and 524 eV according to peak parameters summarized by Biesinger *et al.*³⁹ The energy difference of the O 1s metal oxide peak and V 2p_{3/2} can be used to determine the oxidation state of V, where a larger value corresponds to a lower oxidation state. The values obtained from the fitting are presented in Table 1. The pristine samples show differences in the average vanadium oxidation state depending on the material. $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ show vanadium in a mix of V^{3+} and V^{4+} , while $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ contains a mix of V^{4+}




Fig. 4 Differential capacity curves of (a) $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$, (b) $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and (c) $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ half-cells cycled within a potential range of 1.3–4.1 V vs. Li/Li^+ with C/5-rate at 25 °C.




Fig. 5 O 1s and V 2p photoelectron spectra of the three different materials in pristine, charged and discharged states after 5 and 50 cycles, obtained using a photon energy of 2005 eV (a and c) or 2350 eV (b). Dotted lines indicate reference values for metal oxide (530 eV) and different oxidation states of vanadium.³⁹



Table 1 Binding energy difference (Δ BE) between the metal oxide and V 2p_{3/2} peak and FWHM for the V 2p_{3/2} peak for different samples^a

Material	Li ₂ VO ₂ F		Li ₂ V _{0.5} Ti _{0.5} O ₂ F		Li ₂ V _{0.5} Fe _{0.5} O ₂ F	
	Δ BE	FWHM	Δ BE	FWHM	Δ BE	FWHM
P	14.2	3.3	14.5	2.7	13.3	2.5
Ch5	12.9	2.3	13.2	2.0	12.9	1.8
DCh5	13.0	4.3	14.4	2.6	13.5	3.2
Ch50	12.7	3.0	13.3	2.0	13.5	3.3
DCh50	12.7	3.2	13.4	2.0	13.5	3.3

^a Corresponding Δ BE values for V³⁺, V⁴⁺ and V⁵⁺ in vanadium oxide references are 14.7, 14.2 and 12.8 eV, respectively.³⁹

and V⁵⁺ in the region probed. The deviation from an average oxidation state of 3+ might be related to surface oxidation, as seen also for other vanadium oxides.³⁹ The mix of oxidation states is seen from the rather large FWHM of the V 2p peaks. This can be expected due to the disordered structure, where vanadium can be found with a different coordination of oxygen and fluorine, affecting the binding energy. Upon fifth charge all samples are as expected close to a fully oxidized V⁵⁺ state. After the following fifth discharge Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F return close to their respective pristine state, while the vanadium in Li₂VO₂F is no longer redox active and stays in a highly oxidized state. For the Li₂VO₂F material this reduced redox activity of vanadium has previously been suggested to be linked to a partial oxidation of oxygen forming reactive compounds that leads to a breakdown of the active material, starting at the surface.²⁰ In this context both the Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F materials clearly show improved reversibility of the vanadium redox behavior. Still, after 50 cycles the materials show less to no redox activity of vanadium in the depth region probed by HAXPES. This trend can also be followed in the FWHM, which changes upon cycling. Especially on fifth discharge a broadening can be seen, indicating that some of the material can no longer return to its original state. This broadening is most significant for the unsubstituted material. The smaller FWHM after 50 cycles can be explained by a more uniform V⁵⁺ state of the probed material, in combination with that V⁵⁺ exhibits narrower peaks than V⁴⁺ and V³⁺, since V⁵⁺ does not have any unpaired valence electrons.³⁹

To gain a deeper insight into the improved redox activity of the substituted materials it is interesting to look at the intensity ratio between vanadium and the metal oxide (MO) peak.²⁰ In Table 2 the V : MO ratios are presented, with the oxygen content normalized to two for easy comparison. According to the structural formulas, the V content should be 1 for Li₂VO₂F and 0.5 for Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F. For the pristine materials the ratio is slightly higher than expected for the Li₂VO₂F material, while for the Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F materials the ratio is slightly lower. Comparing charged and discharged samples, it is seen that the relative ratio is higher in the discharged samples for Li₂VO₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F, while the Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F material shows a small but opposite trend. For Li₂VO₂F the V : MO ratio increases

Table 2 Theoretical and experimentally measured ratios between vanadium and metal oxide for different samples^a

Material	V : MO		
	Li ₂ VO ₂ F	Li ₂ V _{0.5} Ti _{0.5} O ₂ F	Li ₂ V _{0.5} Fe _{0.5} O ₂ F
Ratio	1.0 : 2	0.50 : 2	0.50 : 2
P	1.2 : 2	0.33 : 2	0.42 : 2
Ch5	1.5 : 2	0.41 : 2	0.43 : 2
DCh5	1.9 : 2	0.35 : 2	0.74 : 2
Ch50	2.6 : 2	0.31 : 2	0.53 : 2
DCh50	5.2 : 2	0.28 : 2	0.75 : 2

^a To facilitate comparison the oxygen content is normalized to two according to the structural formula.

significantly during cycling together with a binding energy shift of the MO peak. As discussed in detail in another study,²⁰ the relative increase and decrease of vanadium compared to oxygen can be coupled both to possible oxygen redox processes as well as the formation and dissolution of a surface layer containing vanadium. The same trend cannot be seen for the Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F and Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F materials. Only a slight increase of the V : MO ratio is seen for Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F, while for Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F a close to constant ratio is obtained up to 50 cycles. Additionally, only small binding energy shifts of the MO peak are seen (<0.2 eV). These results clearly indicate that substitution with iron and titanium mitigates the detrimental reactions causing vanadium dissolution and incorporation in the surface layer and thus improves the chemical stability of the materials.

Looking further at the other transition metals (ESI, Fig. S12†), both iron and titanium are found to be partially redox active at the surface. Iron is found in a mix of Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺ in the pristine material and upon cycling the Fe³⁺ content increases after fifth charge while more Fe²⁺ is found after subsequent discharge. Titanium is predominately found in the Ti⁴⁺ state for the pristine material, with some amount of Ti³⁺ upon discharge. As already mentioned for vanadium, the deviation from the 3+ oxidation state indicates that an oxidized surface layer is present already after the synthesis of the materials. This kind of passivating surface film is often seen on cathode materials.^{54,55}

The surface layer evolution during cycling is evaluated using the carbon spectra, as shown in Fig. 6. Here it is particularly interesting to look at the relative intensities between the carbon black (CB) bulk peak (shaded in red) and the hydrocarbon (C–H) surface peak. A relatively lower CB peak intensity signifies a thicker surface layer. Starting with the unsubstituted material (Fig. 6a), a buildup of a surface layer is seen upon charge, followed by its partial dissolution upon discharge. This is consistent with previous results for Li₂VO₂F.²⁰ The substituted samples on the other hand show a stabilized surface after 50 cycles (similar Ch50/DCh50 spectra). Especially Li₂V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂F (Fig. 6b) shows a rather thin and stable surface layer with similar spectra for all samples. For Li₂V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O₂F the surface layer is of similar thickness compared to the Li₂VO₂F sample, but the layer is more stable and no dissolution is observed after 50 cycles.






Fig. 6 C 1s photoelectron spectra of the three different materials in pristine, charged and discharged states after 5 and 50 cycles, obtained using a photon energy of 2005 eV (a and c) or 2350 eV (b). Dotted lines are a guide to the eye to track shifts in the hydrocarbon (C–H) peak relative to the carbon black (CB) peak.

The other peaks in the C 1s spectra stem from the PVDF binder (two peaks at ~ 286 and ~ 290 eV) and different carbon oxygen compounds (at ~ 286.5 , 288 and 290 eV), typically stemming from electrolyte degradation. The surface layers are seen to consist of mostly hydrocarbons and some C–O compounds. On $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ (Fig. 6c) the surface layer is built up with a relatively larger amount of C–O compounds, probably stemming from electrolyte breakdown. The presence of a surface layer indicates that some side reactions occur for all materials, but a more stable layer, as found on the substituted materials, can limit the extent of these reactions by passivating the surface.

Discussion

Combining the results of the synthesis, the DFT calculations, the electrochemical experiments and the HAXPES analysis, the results can be discussed related to disorder of the structure and related to the electrochemical cycling behavior of the materials. The disorder in the crystal structure of the pristine TM-oxyfluorides leads to a distribution of oxidation states deviating from the original $3+$ state in the ordered structures for the presented compounds as has been revealed by the DFT calculations. The computed mixed $2+$, $3+$, and $4+$ oxidation states for vanadium in $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ are consistent with the HAXPES results, considering additional contribution of Li-deficiency and oxidation at the surface.^{14,20} The DFT and HAXPES results further agree with the mixed $2+$ and $3+$ oxidation states of iron in $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, which lead to a shift for vanadium to $4+$ and $5+$. For $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, where the titanium oxidation state is $3+$ and $4+$, the substitution instead lowers the oxidation state of vanadium to $3+$. In addition, the DFT calculations showed that the metastable disordered rock salt structure was stabilized when partially substituting vanadium with iron or titanium.

The electrochemical cycling behavior of the substituted materials differs from that of $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$. Both substituted materials exhibit a significantly more stable cycling behavior but a lower discharge capacity. The differential capacity analysis reveals additional capacity contributions of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ compared to $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ indicating redox activity of titanium in the bulk. Whittingham *et al.* determined the oxidation state of vanadium in $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ in the charged state by X-ray absorption spectroscopy. They observed an average oxidation state of only $4.2+$ when charged to 4.1 V vs. Li/Li^+ .¹⁴ Complete oxidation to V^{5+} could not be achieved. The HAXPES results of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ confirm a partial redox activity of iron and titanium at the surface (ESI, Fig. S12†). Furthermore, we believe that some of the additional capacity of $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ compared to $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ stems from the fact that substitution with titanium promotes a complete use of the $\text{V}^{3+}/\text{V}^{5+}$ redox couple. Further analysis by X-ray absorption techniques may shed light on the different redox reactions occurring in the bulk material.

In recent reports, DRS materials are cycled to high potentials up to 4.8 V vs. Li/Li^+ , which facilitates anionic redox activity of oxygen in the lattice that leads to additional capacity contribution.^{11,14–16,21} Materials containing Ti, $\text{Li}_{1.2}\text{Ti}_{0.4}\text{Mn}_{0.4}\text{O}_2$ for instance, experimentally sustain a stable oxygen-redox reaction above 4.1 V vs. Li/Li^+ .¹⁰ The observed shift in binding energy of the MO peak together with the relative changes in intensity between the MO peak and vanadium indicates that such anionic redox activity occurs in the surface region of the $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ material already when cycling to 4.1 V vs. Li/Li^+ .⁵⁶ In $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ this is believed to create highly reactive oxygen atoms in the lattice leading to the instability of the surface, as discussed in more detail in the work of Källquist *et al.*²⁰ The reaction between the oxidized lattice oxygen and the electrolyte creates an interfacial layer rich in vanadium in oxidation state $5+$. $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ show a higher reversibility of the V redox reaction according to the differential capacity analysis and the HAXPES results. In the dQ/dV plot, the substituted materials show a reduced irreversible capacity contribution above 3.5 V up to 4.1 V vs. Li/Li^+ . At the same time, the HAXPES data only show small changes of the V : MO-ratio and the binding energy of the MO peak after 50 cycles for $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$. Together this suggests a reduced reactivity of the lattice oxygen species at the surface in these new materials when cycled to 4.1 V vs. Li/Li^+ . We propose this as an explanation for the improved cycling stability. This is further supported by the cycling performance when the materials are cycled up to 4.5 V vs. Li/Li^+ . These results (see ESI, Fig. S13†) show additional contribution to the capacity for all three compounds, possibly originating from anionic redox activity, but are also accompanied by a reduced cycling stability.

Additional contribution to the cycling stability likely comes from mitigation of the dissolution and rebuilding of the surface layer for the substituted materials that otherwise is seen on $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$. Although the thickness of the surface layers on $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ varies, both are to a large part preserved during cycling. This is in agreement with a recent



study of Takeda *et al.* on $\text{Li}_{2.1}\text{Ti}_{0.2}\text{Mo}_{0.7}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ showing a reduced dissolution of Mo when Ti is in the structure.¹⁷

Coupling these results to the electrochemical performance the improved cycling behavior of the Fe- or Ti-substituted materials can be summarized by a mitigated reactivity of the surface that previously has been perceived as one of the main reasons for capacity fading in Li-rich DRS materials.^{10,20,57}

Conclusions

We have demonstrated the successful approach of improving the cycling stability of Li-rich vanadium disordered rock salt oxyfluoride cathodes by stabilization of the structure with Ti^{3+} or Fe^{3+} . Two new disordered rock salt compounds, $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$, have been successfully synthesized by mechanochemical ball milling. The materials were characterized by synchrotron X-ray diffraction followed by an extensive analysis of the crystal structure by Rietveld refinement and transmission electron microscopy. By using the special quasi-random structure approach in density functional theory calculations, a distribution of oxidation states of the transition metal in the structure was revealed. The theoretical model further predicted an improvement of the structural stability for disordered rock salt $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{V}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\text{F}$ compared to unsubstituted $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}_2\text{F}$. The stabilizing effect on the structure further manifests in a significantly improved cycling stability over 50 cycles. HAXPES analysis of cycled electrodes supports our hypothesis and shows improved surface stability of the substituted materials. The cycling stability of the substituted compounds is significantly improved and supports our hypothesis of stabilizing the disordered rock salt structure of oxyfluorides in the bulk and at the surface with elements promoting the DRS structure (Fe^{3+} and Ti^{3+}). Optimizing the TM stoichiometry by reducing the amount of stabilizing elements (*e.g.* Ti^{3+} or Fe^{3+}) will help to increase discharge capacities. This work is one step further in understanding the structural and electrochemical cycling stability of DRS materials as a promising class of Co-free Li-ion insertion cathode materials.

Author contributions

CB and JC planned the project. JC proposed the idea of DRS structure and capacity stabilization through substitution. CB, JC and AS synthesized the materials. CB performed the electrochemical measurements with the help of FK. The PXRD synchrotron data were acquired by REJ with the help of CB and PN. The PXRD data were analysed by CB with the help of REJ and JC. HAXPES samples were prepared by IK and measurements were performed by IK, AN and MH. HAXPES analysis was conducted by IK. Computational investigations were performed by JHC. TEM data were acquired by FRZ with the help of JMA. The manuscript was written by CB with the help of IK, JHC and MH. The manuscript was revised with the help of all authors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (FET-OPEN project "LiRichFCC") under grant agreement No. 711792. This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory and was supported by the U.S. DOE under contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. DANSCATT is acknowledged for covering travel expenses in relation to the synchrotron experiment. The research leading to the HAXPES result has been supported by the project CALIPSOplus under the Grant Agreement 730872 from the EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation HORIZON 2020. We acknowledge Diamond Light Source for time on Beamline I09 under Proposal 19602 and HZB for allocated synchrotron radiation beamtime on beamline KMC-1 at BESSY II. The work is part of the platform CELEST (Center for Electrochemical Storage Ulm-Karlsruhe).

Notes and references

- 1 J. B. Goodenough and K.-S. Park, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1167–1176.
- 2 M. Armand and J.-M. Tarascon, *Nature*, 2008, **451**, 652–657.
- 3 M. Winter, J. O. Besenhard, M. E. Spahr and P. Novák, *Adv. Mater.*, 1998, **10**, 725–763.
- 4 J. B. Goodenough and Y. Kim, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 587–603.
- 5 R. Chen, S. Ren, M. Knapp, D. Wang, R. Witter, M. Fichtner and H. Hahn, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, **5**, 1401814.
- 6 A. Abdellahi, A. Urban, S. Dacek and G. Ceder, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 5373–5383.
- 7 J. Lee, A. Urban, X. Li, D. Su, G. Hautier and G. Ceder, *Sci.*, 2014, **343**, 519–522.
- 8 A. Urban, J. Lee and G. Ceder, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, **4**, 1400478.
- 9 R. Chen, S. Ren, M. Yavuz, A. A. Guda, V. Shapovalov, R. Witter, M. Fichtner and H. Hahn, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 17288–17295.
- 10 N. Yabuuchi, M. Nakayama, M. Takeuchi, S. Komaba, Y. Hashimoto, T. Mukai, H. Shiiba, K. Sato, Y. Kobayashi, A. Nakao, M. Yonemura, K. Yamanaka, K. Mitsuhashi and T. Ohta, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 13814.
- 11 N. Yabuuchi, M. Takeuchi, M. Nakayama, H. Shiiba, M. Ogawa, K. Nakayama, T. Ohta, D. Endo, T. Ozaki, T. Inamasu, K. Sato and S. Komaba, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2015, **112**, 7650–7655.
- 12 J. Lee, D. H. Seo, M. Balasubramanian, N. Twu, X. Li and G. Ceder, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 3255–3265.
- 13 N. Takeda, S. Hoshino, L. Xie, S. Chen, I. Ikeuchi, R. Natsui, K. Nakura and N. Yabuuchi, *J. Power Sources*, 2017, **367**, 122–129.



- 14 X. Wang, Y. Huang, D. Ji, F. Omenya, K. Karki, S. Sallis, L. F. J. Piper, K. M. Wiaderek, K. W. Chapman, N. A. Chernova and M. S. Whittingham, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2017, **164**, A1552–A1558.
- 15 S. Ren, R. Chen, E. Maawad, O. Dolotko, A. A. Guda, V. Shapovalov, D. Wang, H. Hahn and M. Fichtner, *Adv. Sci.*, 2015, **2**, 1500128.
- 16 R. A. House, L. Jin, U. Maitra, K. Tsuruta, J. W. Somerville, D. P. Förstermann, F. Massel, L. Duda, M. R. Roberts and P. G. Bruce, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 926–932.
- 17 N. Takeda, I. Ikeuchi, R. Natsui, K. Nakura and N. Yabuuchi, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 1629–1633.
- 18 T. Matsuhara, Y. Tsuchiya, K. Yamanaka, K. Mitsuhara, T. Ohta and N. Yabuuchi, *Electrochemistry*, 2016, **84**, 797–801.
- 19 M. Freire, N. V. Kosova, C. Jordy, D. Chateigner, O. I. Lebedev, A. Maignan and V. Pralong, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 173–177.
- 20 I. Källquist, A. J. Naylor, C. Baur, J. Chable, J. Kullgren, M. Fichtner, K. Edstrom, D. Brandell and M. Hahlin, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 6084–6096.
- 21 J. Lee, D. A. Kitchaev, D.-H. Kwon, C.-W. Lee, J. K. Papp, Y.-S. Liu, Z. Lun, R. J. Clément, T. Shi, B. D. McCloskey, J. Guo, M. Balasubramanian and G. Ceder, *Nature*, 2018, **556**, 185–190.
- 22 A. P. Hammersley, *ESRF Intern. Rep.*
- 23 A. P. Hammersley, S. O. Svensson, M. Hanfland, A. N. Fitch and D. Hausermann, *High Pressure Res.*, 1996, **14**, 235–248.
- 24 A. P. Hammersley, S. O. Svensson and A. Thompson, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 1994, **346**, 312–321.
- 25 A. A. Coelho, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2018, **51**, 210–218.
- 26 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1993, **47**, 558–561.
- 27 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, **49**, 14251–14269.
- 28 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 1996, **6**, 15–50.
- 29 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169–11186.
- 30 P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, **50**, 17953–17979.
- 31 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865–3868.
- 32 V. I. Anisimov, J. Zaanen and O. K. Andersen, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1991, **44**, 943–954.
- 33 M. Cococcioni and S. de Gironcoli, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2005, **71**, 035105.
- 34 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 1976, **13**, 5188–5192.
- 35 F. Schaefer, M. Mertin and M. Gorgoi, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2007, **78**, 123102.
- 36 T.-L. Lee and D. A. Duncan, *Synchrotron Radiation News*, 2018, **31**, 16–22.
- 37 U. Guide, C. J. Powell, M. S. Division and A. Jablonski, *Nat'l Std. Ref. Data Ser. (NIST NSRDS)*.
- 38 K. A. Persson, D. Skinner, W. Chen, S. Cholia, G. Hautier, D. Gunter, S. P. Ong, S. Dacek, W. D. Richards, A. Jain and G. Ceder, *APL Mater.*, 2013, **1**, 011002.
- 39 M. C. Biesinger, L. W. M. Lau, A. R. Gerson and R. S. C. Smart, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2010, **257**, 887–898.
- 40 J. F. Moulder, W. F. Stickle, P. E. Sobol, K. D. Bomben and J. Chastain, *Handbook of X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy A Reference Book of Standard Spectra for Identification and Interpretation of XPS Data*, Perkin-Elmer Corporation, 1992.
- 41 T. C. Lin, G. Seshadri and J. A. Kelber, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 1997, **119**, 83–92.
- 42 M. C. Biesinger, B. P. Payne, A. P. Grosvenor, L. W. M. Lau, A. R. Gerson and R. S. C. Smart, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2011, **257**, 2717–2730.
- 43 J. H. Scofield, *Theoretical Photoionization Cross Sections from 1 to 1500 keV*, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, 1973.
- 44 V. Šepelák, A. Düvel, M. Wilkening, K. D. Becker and P. Heitjans, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 7507–7520.
- 45 C. Baur, J. Chable, F. Klein, V. S. K. Chakravadhanula and M. Fichtner, *ChemElectroChem*, 2018, **5**, 1484–1490.
- 46 R. D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffraction, Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.*, 1976, **32**, 751–767.
- 47 G. Williamson and W. Hall, *Acta Metall.*, 1953, **1**, 22–31.
- 48 A. Urban, A. Abdellahi, S. Dacek, N. Artrith and G. Ceder, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2017, **119**, 1–6.
- 49 A. Urban, I. Matts, A. Abdellahi and G. Ceder, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, **6**, 1600488.
- 50 X. Wang, Y. Huang, D. Ji, F. Omenya, K. Karki, S. Sallis, L. F. J. Piper, K. M. Wiaderek, K. W. Chapman, N. A. Chernova and M. S. Whittingham, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2017, **164**, A1552–A1558.
- 51 R. Chen, R. Witte, R. Heinzmann, S. Ren, S. Mangold, H. Hahn, R. Hempelmann, H. Ehrenberg and S. Indris, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 7695–7701.
- 52 E. Peled and S. Menkin, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2017, **164**, A1703–A1719.
- 53 B. Philippe, M. Hahlin, K. Edström, T. Gustafsson, H. Siegbahn and H. Rensmo, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2015, **163**, A178–A191.
- 54 K. Xu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 11503–11618.
- 55 D. Aurbach, B. Markovsky, G. Salitra, E. Markevich, Y. Talyossef, M. Koltypin, L. Nazar, B. Ellis and D. Kovacheva, *J. Power Sources*, 2007, **165**, 491–499.
- 56 G. Assat, D. Foix, C. Delacourt, A. Iadecola, R. Dedryvère and J.-M. Tarascon, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 2219.
- 57 J. Lee, J. K. Papp, R. J. Clément, S. Sallis, D.-H. Kwon, T. Shi, W. Yang, B. D. McCloskey and G. Ceder, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 981.

