RSC Advances

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 6387

Received 27th November 2018 Accepted 12th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra09762c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Ammonia (NH_3) is the most produced commercial chemical in the world with its production ever increasing, as in 2012 it was up to 160 million tons per annum.¹ Its significant use is in the fertilizer industry where over-manuring has caused a significant increase of the concentration of harmful nitrate ions (NO_3^-) in groundwater.² Nitrate ions and their derivatives are considered water pollutants as toxic levels have been shown to cause methemoglobinemia in infant children and act as a possible promotor of carcinogenesis.^{3,4} Release of nitrate into groundwater is well-known to cause eutrophication destroying ecosystems with higher levels of nitrate. This has led to considerable research into nitrate reduction via a range of different techniques including biological,⁵ electrochemical,⁶⁻⁸ and catalytic methods.⁹⁻¹¹ Among these methods photocatalytic reduction stands out as a sustainable, potentially scalable and environmentally friendly solution with the ability to reduce aqueous nitrate to nitrogen or ammonia utilising only a suitable photocatalyst, water and sunlight. Typical photocatalysts are low cost and non-toxic, enabling ammonia production without the need of high energy input as in Haber–Bosch processes $(i.e.$ high temperatures and pressures). This drastically reduces levels of $CO₂$ released into the atmosphere when the photocatalytic method is compared to Haber–Bosch process as well as other alternative methods.

A number of photocatalytic materials both doped and undoped; such as TiO_2 , $^{2,12-17}$ ZnO, $^{18-20}$ SrTiO $_3$, 21 CdS, $^{22-24}$ ZnS, 25,26 Fe_2O_3 ,^{19,27} and ZrO₂ (ref. 19) have been studied for

Microwave synthesised $Pd-TiO₂$ for photocatalytic ammonia production†

Jake M. Walls[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0323-115X) \mathbb{D}^* \mathbb{D}^* \mathbb{D}^* Jagdeep S. Sagu and K. G. Upul Wijayantha

Palladium doped anatase $TiO₂$ nanoparticles were synthesised by a rapid (3 min) one-pot microwave synthesis technique at low temperature and pressure. After being fully characterised by SEM, XRD, Raman, XPS and EDX, photocatalytic nitrate reduction and ammonia production were studied over various dopant levels between 0–3.97 wt% Pd and compared to similar previous literature. Improved yields of ammonia were observed with most dopant levels when compared to non-doped microwave synthesised TiO₂ with 2.65 wt% found to be the optimum dopant level producing 21.2 µmol NH₃. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of TiO₂ and Pd–TiO₂ photoelectrodes revealed improvements in charge transfer characteristics at high Pd dopant levels. **PAPER**
 CALCO ACCOUNT CONSULSERE CONTINUESSED POINT CONTINUESSED POINT CONTINUESSED POINT CONTINUESSED POINT CONTINUESSED POINT CONTINUESSED CRAFT AND A CONTINUES CRAFT AND A CONTINUES CRAFT AND A CONTINUEST CONTINUEST

photocatalytic nitrate reduction to date. Among them titanium dioxide (TiO₂) has been the most popular due to its good photocatalytic activity, stability within a wide pH window and nontoxicity in nature. $28,29$ Due to its large band-gap, the research focus to date has been to improve its light absorption characteristics by doping without compromising the photocatalytic performance.11,30–³² Palladium has been one of the common dopants which has been investigated for nitrate reduction due to its well-known catalytic properties and hydrogen adsorption capabilities,^{33,34} and has been shown previously to have photocatalytic nitrate reduction properties.^{2,31,35,36}

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

Microwave synthesis is an established technique today. Microwave heat generation in materials is fundamentally different to that of conventional heating methods such as radiant, conduction and convection heating. Conventional heating uses heated elements to transfer heat to the reaction vessel as a heat transfer process, whereas microwave irradiation is an energy conversion process where the heat is generated within the reaction mixture itself. Therefore, inorganic materials can be produced with unique properties using microwave synthesis that cannot be accomplished by other conventional thermal synthesis methods.³⁷ It has been reported that microwave synthesis of materials, including photocatalysts, can have many advantages including high efficiency, rapid synthesis capability which significantly improves mono-dispersity, controllability of morphology and high catalytic activity.³⁷–⁴⁰ In contrast, conventional methods for photocatalyst production typically involve lengthy heating procedures and often can lead to non-uniform crystallinity and dopant distribution, which reflect the non-uniform thermal distribution at microscopic scale.^{38,40} Although improved photocatalytic activity of microwave synthesised photocatalysts has been studied previously,³⁹ none have been applied to ammonia production via photocatalytic nitrate reduction.

Energy Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Loughborough University, Loughborough, LE11 3TU, UK. E-mail: j.m.walls2@lboro.ac.uk

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra09762c

Herein, we present the rapid microwave synthesis method of $Pd-TiO₂$ and its ability to conduct photocatalytic ammonia production. This is the first report where ammonia production via photocatalytic nitrate reduction is completed using microwave synthesised photocatalysts. In this study, we investigate the photocatalytic nitrate reduction properties microwave synthesised $TiO₂$ and various dopant levels of Pd–TiO₂ in comparison to previously reported literature methods of similar photocatalysts with conventional synthesis techniques. In addition, photocurrent and EIS studies of microwave synthesised $TiO₂$ and Pd–TiO₂ photoelectrodes were studied. These findings are particularly important in the context of current efforts to find a more sustainable means of sustainable ammonia production as well as reduction of nitrates in wastewater.

2. Experimental

2.1. Microwave synthesis of Pd-TiO₂

Titanium(v) isopropoxide (12.9 mmol, Sigma Aldrich 99.999% purity) and palladium (n) chloride $(0.4 \text{ mmol}, \text{Sigma}$ Aldrich, 99.999% trace metals purity) were fully dissolved in 20 mL of deionised water. The amount of palladium was varied for different dopant levels of Pd–TiO₂. This solution is vigorously stirred, before being placed in a 20 mL quartz microwave reaction vessel with a magnetic stirrer. In a typical reaction, the reaction vessel was held at 150 °C and \sim 11 bar overpressure for 3 minutes utilising a Biotage Initiator EXP 8 Reactor (Fig. S1†). The nanopowder suspension changes colour from white to cream when the titanium isopropoxide and palladium chloride are added respectively, and then cream to black before and after the microwave reaction respectively (Fig. S2†).⁴¹ Where no titanium isopropoxide is present, palladium (n) chloride is reduced in to Pd/PdO.

2.2. Characterisation of Pd–TiO₂ photocatalyst

Powders were characterised by a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer (XRD) with monochromatic Cu K α ($\lambda = 1.54$ Å) in reflection geometry using a Lynxeye PSD detector. Reflections were observed over a 2θ range of 10–80 \degree using a step size of $0.0039°$ and a time per step of 2.1 s. The phase and crystallinity of produced powders were then analysed and characterised in comparison to references from the inorganic crystal structure database (ICSD) for known reflections for each material and phase. The surface composition of photocatalytic powders was analysed using XPS analysis to reveal percentage levels of dopant on the surface of $TiO₂$ powders. Measurements were conducted with a thermo scientific spectrophotometer (model K- α) over a 400 μ m² area. Raman spectra were measured using a HORIBA Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR (632.8 nm He–Ne laser) Raman spectrometer across the wavenumber range of 100– 2000 cm^{-1} . A Leo 1530VP field emission gun (FEG)-SEM was used to examine the surface morphology at an accelerating voltage of 5 kV and a working distance of 5 mm. EDX spectroscopy was also carried out to determine the bulk Pd/Ti ratio to compare to XPS surface ratio.

2.3. Photocatalytic studies

Photocatalytic reactions were conducted in a 1000 ml photochemical reactor (Lelesil Innovative Systems, Fig. S3†) with a 400 W UV lamp. In a typical photocatalytic experiment 500 mg of photocatalyst was suspended in 400 ml of deionised water and stirred for 3 hours under irradiation and a flow of nitrogen $(500 \text{ ml } \text{min}^{-1})$. Each powder was additionally tested for photocatalytic nitrogen reduction, but no ammonia was detected without the presence of nitrate ions in solution. For each reaction 121 ppm of $KNO₃$ was added as the nitrogen source and the system was stirred at 600 rpm from the addition of the nitrate until the end of reaction. The system was flushed with nitrogen for 30 minutes before the reaction start and held throughout the reaction at a flow of 350 ml min^{-1} . Temperature was controlled to be 25 °C \pm 5 °C for each reaction. Samples of 5 mL, were taken before irradiation and every 30 minutes until completion and tested for ammonia. **EXAMPERTS:**

Decretion and has the published on 22 February 2019. The considerable considered on 22 February 2019. The published on 22 February 2019. The published on 22 February 2019. Due to the considered to the state

2.4. Ammonia detection

Ammonia was detected via the Berthelot colorimetric method reported by Grayer et al.^{42,43} This modified Berthelot reaction utilised two individual reagents that when mixed in a solution containing $NH₃$ (1-400 μ M) would change the colour of solution from to produce the dye molecule indophenol blue.⁴⁴ Phenol reagent: phenol (3.0 g, Sigma Aldrich, 98%) and sodium nitroferricyanide $(0.015 \text{ g}, \text{Sigma Aldrich}, \geq 99\%)$ were dissolved in 50 ml deionised water and stored at 3 °C. Sodium hypochlorite reagent: sodium hydroxide (1.5 g, Sigma Aldrich, \geq 97%) and sodium hypochlorite solution (2.4 ml, Sigma Aldrich, >8% active chlorine) were dissolved in 50 ml deionised water and stored at 3 \degree C. Procedure: 0.5 ml of both reagents were added to 2 ml of diluted sample and compared to calibration standards via the same method. Spectrophotometric measurements were conducted across wavelengths 400–800 nm on a Lambda 35 Perkin Elmer UV-Vis spectrophotometer and absorbance analysed at 630 nm specifically.

2.5. Electrochemical studies

Photocatalyst powders were ultrasonically dispersed in ethanol (150 mg, 150 ml) and drop cast onto roughly 2×1 cm Fluorinedoped Tin Oxide (FTO), for each electrode 3 ml of dispersion was added dropwise and ethanol allowed to evaporate. Electrochemical measurements were conducted on an Eco Chemie Autolab PGSTAT12 with a 150 W halogen lamp (Prior CL150) illumination source. The photoelectrodes were measured in a three-electrode mode configuration with Ag/AgCl 3 M KCl reference electrode and a platinum mesh counter electrode. The electrolyte was 0.2 M sodium sulphate (Sigma Aldrich, ACS reagent, 99.0%). A typical photocurrent response scan was held at 0.7 V vs. Ag/AgCl for a preconditioning step of 300 s before being measured for 240 s while illuminated every 20 s for 20 s. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were also conducted at 0.7 V vs. Ag/AgCl over a 5 mHz to 1 kHz frequency range and an amplitude of 0.01 V. The measured data was fitted and simulated using an equivalent circuit with two resistors, one in series and one in parallel, and a constant phase

element in parallel on Nova 2.0 Software (Metrohm Autolab B.V.). All electrochemical measurements were conducted in an argon atmosphere, unless otherwise stated. Diffuse Absorbance measurements were carried out on the thin films using a Lambda 35 Perkin Elmer UV/Vis Spectrophotometer using a range of 330– 800 nm at a scan rate of 420 nm min^{-1} .

3. Results and discussion

3.1. SEM analysis

Doped and undoped Pd–TiO₂ and TiO₂ nanoparticles were synthesised using a microwave reactor for investigation of the photocatalytic activity for ammonia production via nitrate reduction. SEM studies show the formation of nanoparticles made via microwave synthesis. The SEM images were also utilised to determine the approximate particle size of the photocatalytic powders. Fig. 1 shows a typical SEM image of 3.97 wt% $Pd-TiO₂$ powder at a couple of magnifications. Fig. 1b reveals that the approximate particle size is between 50–100 nm however it is observed that distinct particles can agglomerate more into larger particulates of 150–250 nm.

3.2. XRD analysis

To observe whether we have formed the anatase crystalline phase and assess crystallinity of the photocatalysts, XRD studies

were conducted both with and without the presence of the titanium precursor. This allows us to analyse the crystallinity of the photocatalyst as well as identifying all possible reflections that may be presented. Fig. 2a shows the XRD pattern of Pd/PdO nanopowder produced by reduction of $PdCl₂$ precursor solution in a typical 3 minute microwave synthesis producing a mixed phase of Pd/PdO. Reflections are seen at 40.1°, 46.7° and 68.1° which correspond to the (111) , (200) and (220) reflections of Pd, respectively (ICSD 00-046-1043). Furthermore, the presence of much weaker reflections at 33.9 $^{\circ}$, 54.8 $^{\circ}$ and 60.2 $^{\circ}$ correspond to reflections in (101) , (112) and (103) planes of PdO $(ICSD 00-043-$ 1024), however these reflections are particularly low in intensity with relation to the noise. This correlates with XPS data revealing the majority phase present in $Pd-TiO₂$ powders is the $Pd⁰$ metal with a small amount of PdO (see Fig. 4c). Fig. 2b displays a typical XRD pattern of microwave synthesised 3.97 wt% Pd-TiO₂, revealing reflections between 20° and 80° which are corresponding to the anatase phase of $TiO₂$ (ICSD 00-21-1272), with no evidence for the presence of rutile phase or Pd/PdO. The low intensity of the reflections is likely due to the Paper

Second on 2013 (Solven Retrohn Autobia Br.), were conducted both with and without the presence of the

All electrochemical interaction in a region theorem is the summer of the subsequent of the summer of the summary

Fig. 1 FEG-SEM images of microwave synthesised 3.97 wt% Pd-TiO₂

Fig. 2 XRD pattern of microwave synthesised (a) Pd/PdO from PdCl2 reduction and (b) 3.97 wt% Pd-TiO₂.

rapid synthesis process of the powders as seen previously in literature.⁴⁵ It is observed however that crystallinity slightly increases after microwave irradiation as shown by Fig. $S4\dagger$, which reveals an XRD spectra of the beige coloured intermediate Pd-TiO₂ before microwave irradiation. All similar reflections of anatase $TiO₂$ (ICSD 00-21-1272) as previously shown were present, with no presence of Pd/PdO reflections.

3.3. Raman analysis

Raman spectra were obtained to determine whether any amorphous phases of TiO₂ or Pd were present in the powders, as there was no evidence of Pd in the XRD spectra. The Raman spectrum shown in Fig. 3 correlates with previous XRD data that anatase $TiO₂$ is the majority phase present. Typical Raman spectra of anatase $TiO₂$ has five active bonding modes at approximately 144 cm⁻¹ (E_g), 197 cm⁻¹ (E_g), 397 cm⁻¹ (B_{1g}), 518 cm⁻¹ (A_{1g} + B_{1g}) and 640 cm⁻¹ (E_g). Fig. 3 is the Raman spectrum of 3.97 wt% Pd- $TiO₂$ which provides evidence for the presence of all the anatase active bonding modes stated above at around 153 cm^{-1} , $200\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, 399 cm^{-1} , 517 cm^{-1} and 642 cm^{-1} . In all spectra, the 1072 cm⁻¹ peak for palladium is potentially indicated to be present with a very small peak, however, is too small to be separately identified from the noise. The incorporation of palladium has been shown in literature to cause small shifts in Raman active peaks which is seen in Fig. 3 with slight peak shifts compared to typical $TiO₂$ bonding modes.^{46,47}

3.4. XPS analysis

XPS surface analysis was undertaken on $TiO₂$ and Pd doped $TiO₂$ powders to investigate the dopant level of Pd and the bonding modes of Pd, Ti and O. Powders varying from 0.27 wt% to 3.97 wt% Pd were analysed to determine whether the amount of Pd precursor utilised in the synthesis stage was still present in the doped $TiO₂$ powders. Fig. 4a shows a sharp Gaussian peak at \sim 458.5 eV corresponding to Ti 2p_{3/2} with a broader split spin

Fig. 3 Typical Raman spectra of as-synthesised $Pd-TiO₂$ powders.

orbit peak at Δ 5.7 eV from the initial peak at \sim 464.2 eV corresponding to Ti $2p_{1/2}$, both peaks can be attributed to the TiO₂ structure as reported throughout literature.^{48,49} XPS peak values for Ti 2p_{3/2} and Ti 2p_{1/2} in all powders were within \pm 0.3 eV from the values shown in Fig. 4a. Fig. 4b illustrates the O 1s surface analysis of 3.97 wt% Pd-TiO₂ revealing the typical O 1s peaks observed on all photocatalysts observed. The two peaks are denoted O_I and O_{II} relate to the O 1s and OH⁻ peaks respectively. The largest peak O_I at approximately 529.5 eV corresponds to the

Fig. 4 XPS analysis of (a) Ti 2p peaks, (b) O 1s peaks and (c) Pd 3d peaks of a typical microwave synthesised 3.97 wt% Pd–TiO₂ photocatalyst.

 O^{2-} within the TiO₂ anatase structure.⁴⁹ The peak O_{II} shown at \sim 531.3 eV correlates to the OH⁻ groups leftover on powders from the synthesis of $TiO₂$ from titanium isopropoxide precursor, which follows a known reaction (see eqn (1)).^{50–53}

$$
Ti(OCH(CH_3)_2)_4 + 2H_2O \to TiO_2 + 4(CH_3)_2CHOH
$$
 (1)

Additionally, palladium was observed within the region of 330–345 eV with 2 well defined spin–orbit doublets of Pd $3d_{5/2}$ and Pd $3d_{3/2}$ (see Fig. 4c.). All spectra revealed Pd peaks with small oxide peaks present on the powders revealing a mixture between Pd^0 and Pd^{2+} in the dopant, Pd^0 has been indicated to be active towards nitrate reduction.⁵⁴ In Fig. 4c, 4 peaks over the Pd region are denoted Pd_I, Pd_{II}, Pd_{III} and Pd_{IV}. The Pd_I and Pd_{II} are two components of the Pd $3d_{5/2}$ peak located at \sim 334.2 eV and \sim 335.8 eV respectively, with Pd_I representing the Pd⁰ and Pd_{II} representing $Pd²⁺$. Table 1 shows quantitative data observed from XPS showing surface Pd/Ti ratio in comparison to bulk Pd/Ti ratio analysed via EDX of doped Pd-TiO₂ powders.

3.5. EDX analysis

To determine where the palladium is present in the Pd-TiO₂ nanopowder, XPS and EDX studies on the doped Pd–TiO₂ were conducted and Pd/Ti ratio of both were compared to the Pd/Ti ratio added in the synthesis (see Table 1). Initially, we saw a larger Pd/Ti ratio on the surface than added into the synthesis from XPS analysis and a lower ratio in the bulk for all dopant levels other than 3.97 wt% Pd–TiO₂. This indicates that the palladium present in the powder is mainly situated on the surface (first 10 nm). However, a higher Pd/Ti ratio is observed in the bulk EDX measurement when observing the 3.97 wt% Pd– $TiO₂$ powder in comparison to the XPS, suggesting that at this point the surface is saturated with palladium and the rest is therefore observed in the bulk. Fig. S5† shows a typical 3.97 wt% EDX spectrum. The EDX analysis further confirms the presence of Pd throughout the structure of the photocatalysts. Paper

Open Kristin the Tion, and the Figure Montent uncertainty the present in the terms of the Higherian paper in the terms in the terms in the Uniter Higher department of the Commons Article is likely the terms of the

3.6. Photocatalytic activity

Yields of ammonia for each dopant level were analysed and compared over photocatalytic reactions for 3 hours in Fig. 5. Microwave synthesised TiO₂ (MW TiO₂) was produced via the same synthesis just without the presence of the palladium precursor. Increases in yield were only observed after the

dopant level was increased past 0.27 wt% with significant increases in yield in ammonia at with higher dopant levels of 2.65 wt% and 3.97 wt% Pd-TiO₂, however the optimum dopant level was found to be 2.65 wt% out of all the photocatalytic powders tested. At dopant levels higher than 1.99 wt% we see most of increased Pd content being incorporated into the bulk of the material (see Table 1) and inherently increasing absorption characteristics of the photocatalysts (see Fig. S9†). Therefore, by improving light absorption characteristics of the photocatalysts, higher concentrations of e^{-}/h^{+} pairs would be produced for use in photocatalytic nitrate reduction.

These experimental results concur with previous literature in that metal ion doping improves photocatalytic nitrate reduction ability of photocatalysts.^{2,55} In addition, it has been theorised that the metal ion dissociates the chemisorbed hydrogen to give rise to H_{ads} and thus reduce nitrate to ammonia with e^- and h^+ pairs as shown in eqn (2) and (3) below.¹¹ With increasing dopant concentration past 2.65 wt% yields begin to decrease with increasing palladium content, likely due to the higher recombination of e^{-}/h^{+} pairs at very high dopant concentrations.^{56,57}

Fig. 5 Yield of $NH₃$ changing over reaction time of typical photocatalytic reaction for various dopant levels of microwave synthesised Pd-TiO₂ (500 mg, 122 ppm $NO₃⁻$, 3 h irradiation time, 400 W UV lamp).

^a Measured around the limit of detection.

$$
NO_3^- + 9H^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow NH_3 + 3H_2O
$$
 (2)

$$
4H_2O + 8h^+ \to 2O_2 + 8H^+ \tag{3}
$$

Table 2 compares the yield after reaction and shows how varying the dopant level affects the yield of $NH₃$. The activity of photocatalyst powders were calculated normalising for time of reaction and amount of photocatalyst used to allow comparison to previous similar works. The activity of the as-synthesised microwave photocatalysts show vast increases in activity when compared to conventionally made powders when subjected to similar photocatalytic experimental conditions.^{31,35} However, some current studies have looked into co-doping, hole scavengers, higher nitrate concentration and smaller particles sizes to show improved yields.² Ethanol and iso-propanol were investigated as hole scavengers in an attempt to improve ammonia yields, however this led to no signicant yields of ammonia being observed. This could be due to increased selectivity towards nitrogen as the final reaction product instead of the ammonia in solution as seen in literature.⁵⁸ All photocatalysts

showed reproducible results with no observable degradation between photocatalytic reactions, revealing a reusability of recovered photocatalyst powder. As seen in Fig. S6,† where the same 2.65 wt% $Pd-TiO₂$ photocatalyst powder underwent identical photocatalytic experiments with little variation in yield across the 4 repeated experiments.

3.7. Electrochemical analysis

For electrochemical analysis of the photocatalysts, the efficiency of charge transfer and photocurrent response of both $MW-TiO₂$ and 3.97 wt% $Pd-TiO₂$ photoelectrodes were investigated. All measurements were conducted at 0.7 V vs. Ag/AgCl due to having the highest photocurrent response when held at this voltage compared to all others. It was assumed that the electrodes have a similar mass loading due to being produced via the exact same method, therefore it is possible to compare the performance of both MW-TiO₂ and 3.97 wt% Pd-TiO₂ photoelectrodes. As presented in Fig. 6a, a photocurrent response is seen, with 3.97 wt% Pd–TiO₂ showing better performance

Fig. 6 (a) Electrochemical photocurrent of MW-TiO₂ and 3.97 wt% Pd–TiO₂ photoelectrodes at 0.7 V vs. Ag/AgCl (b) Nyquist plot comparison for MW-TiO₂ and 3.97 wt% Pd-TiO₂ photoelectrodes, under 150 W halogen lamp illumination at 0.7 V vs. Ag/AgCl.

 a Activity was calculated using experimental information given in previous literature. b Only the best performing Pd–TiO₂ powders were used in comparison.

starting with approximately 0.3 μ A cm⁻¹ and decaying to 0.2 μ A cm⁻¹ over the measurement. Meanwhile, MW-TiO₂ starts with a response of approximately 0.2 μ A cm⁻¹ and decays to 0.1 μ A cm $^{-1}$. Due to the photostability of the photocatalyst shown earlier and the lack of evidence for palladium oxidation seen in cyclic voltammetry (see Fig. S6 and S7†), it is assumed that the mass loss, as a result of poor adhesion of the photocatalyst on the FTO glass substrate, is the cause of this degradation in photocurrent observed. Oxygen reduction appears to be observed when measurements were conducted in air at negative potentials but is not observed in an argon atmosphere.

Fig. 6b shows the Nyquist plots for both $MW-TiO₂$ and 3.97 wt% Pd–TiO₂ at 0.7 V vs. Ag/AgCl and 150 W halogen lamp illumination, similar to previous works in the field conducting EIS at a potential.⁵⁹⁻⁶² The data was fitted and simulated with an equivalent circuit with components for the charge transfer resistance, $R_{\rm ct}$, solution resistance, $R_{\rm s}$ and a constant phase element for the semiconductor–electrolyte interface. The charge transfer resistance between the electrode–electrolyte interface can be calculated by the difference between both high and low frequency x-axis intercepts. $63,64$ Therefore as shown by 3.97 wt% $Pd-TiO₂$ much smaller arc, it has a much smaller charge transfer resistance of 70 k Ω whereas, MW-TiO₂ has a charge transfer resistance of 178 k Ω . This indicates that the doping with palladium reduces the charge transfer resistance and improves the activity of the catalyst, this could be a result of better conductivity or electron mobility, and thus correlates with higher photocatalytic activity noticed with higher dopant concentrations. Fig. S8† shows Nyquist plot comparisons between light and dark measurements for both $MW-TiO₂$ and 3.97 wt% Pd–TiO₂ photoelectrodes at 0.7 V vs. Ag/AgCl. Both showed smaller arcs in the light showing a decrease in charge transfer resistance while illuminated as expected, $MW-TiO₂$ decreased from 195 k Ω to 178 k Ω where 3.97 wt% Pd-TiO₂ decreased from 84 kQ to 70 kQ. Fig. S9[†] shows the diffuse absorbance measurements of the photoelectrodes revealing a typical strong UV absorption of $TiO₂$ powders, however a large increase in baseline absorption is also observed as expected with Pd doping of $TiO₂$ powders.⁶⁵ Paper

SEA Are the monotonically 0.5 p.4 cm⁻³ and decembe, MVHV₁, stars and has the star star in photonically are

not consider the monotonical scale of the scheme of the scheme of the star in photonical scheme of the

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have reported a rapid one-pot microwave synthesis method to produce $Pd-TiO₂$ anatase nanoparticles, utilising low temperature and pressure. The nanoparticles were then characterised *via* SEM, XRD and Raman revealing 50–100 nm particles with a few large agglomerates and an anatase majority phase powder. Surface analysis via XPS showed the presence of Pd^0 and Pd^{2+} dopant in addition to TiO2 signature titanium and oxygen peaks. Photocatalytic nitrate reduction over various Pd dopant levels were conducted and yields of ammonia were compared to previous literature using similar photocatalysts revealing a vastly improved photocatalytic performance. Photoelectrodes were produced and analysed for electrochemical photocurrent and impedance spectroscopy revealing vast differences in charge transfer resistance with higher dopant concentrations. Finally,

this is the first report of using microwave synthesised photocatalysts for use in photocatalytic ammonia production via nitrate reduction, with improved yields and a simple synthesis to be of significant interest to this field.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (Grant no. EP/L015749/1) through the PhD studentship with the Centre for Doctoral Training in Fuel cells and their Fuels. The authors would like to acknowledge the Loughborough Materials Characterisation Centre (LMCC) and the members of the Radiochemistry Group, Department of Chemistry for the use of their facilities. We acknowledge Dr Benjamin Buckley for providing access to the Biotage EXP 8 Microwave Reactor and Dr Simon Kondrat for his contributions in knowledge. We would also like to thank Patricia Cropper and Sabrina Yan from the LMCC for their help and expertise on XPS and EDX techniques. Thanks to all the past and present members of the Energy Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Loughborough University for their expertise and support.

References

- 1 M. Kitano, Y. Inoue, Y. Yamazaki, F. Hayashi, S. Kanbara, S. Matsuishi, T. Yokoyama, S.-W. Kim, M. Hara and H. Hosono, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 934–940.
- 2 M. Yamauchi, R. Abe, T. Tsukuda, K. Kato and M. Takata, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 1150–1152.
- 3 World Health Organization, Nitrate and nitrite in drinkingwater, 2011.
- 4 W. J. Rogan and M. T. Brady, Pediatrics, 2009, 123, 1599– 1605.
- 5 P. J. Gonz´alez, C. Correia, I. Moura, C. D. Brondino and J. J. G. Moura, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2006, 100, 1015–1023.
- 6 C. Polatides and G. Kyriacou, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2005, 35, 421–427.
- 7 M. Dortsiou and G. Kyriacou, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2009, 630, 69–74.
- 8 D. H. Robertson, J. Q. Chambers and D. T. Hobbs, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1988, 135, 1154–1158.
- 9 U. Prüsse and K. D. Vorlop, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2001, 173, 313–328.
- 10 F. Epron, F. Gauthard and J. Barbier, J. Catal., 2002, 206, 363–367.
- 11 K. T. Ranjit, T. K. Varadarajan and B. Viswanathan, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 1995, 89, 67–68.
- 12 J. a. Anderson, Catal. Today, 2011, 175, 316–321.
- 13 L. Li, Z. Xu, F. Liu, Y. Shao, J. Wang, H. Wan and S. Zheng, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2010, 212, 113–121.
- 14 J. Sá, C. A. Agüera, S. Gross and J. a. Anderson, Appl. Catal., B, 2009, 85, 192–200.
- 15 Y. Li and F. Wasgestian, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 1998, 112, 255–259.
- 16 Y. a. Shaban, A. a. El Maradny and R. K. Al Farawati, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2016, 328, 114–121.
- 17 H. Hirakawa, M. Hashimoto, Y. Shiraishi and T. Hirai, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 3713–3720.
- 18 R. Tiwari and S. C. Ameta, Orient. J. Chem., 2011, 27, 741–744.
- 19 K. T. Ranjit, B. Viswanathan and T. K. Varadarajan, Indian J. Chem., Sect. A: Inorg., Bio-inorg., Phys., Theor. Anal. Chem., 1996, 35A, 177–181.
- 20 A. F. Shojaei and F. Golriz, Bulg. Chem. Commun., 2015, 47, 509–514.
- 21 M. Halmann, J. Tobin and K. Zuckerman, J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem., 1986, 209, 405–411.
- 22 S. Tawkaew, S. Uchida, Y. Fujishiro and T. Sato, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Sci. Technol., Sect. A, 2000, 341, 237–242.
- 23 S. Tawkaew, Y. Fujishiro, S. Yin and T. Sato, Colloids Surf., A, 2001, 179, 139–144.
- 24 B. a. Korgel and H. G. Monbouquette, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1997, 101, 5010–5017.
- 25 M. Halmann and K. Zuckerman, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 455.
- 26 K. T. Ranjit, R. Krishnamoorthy and B. Viswanathan, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 1994, 81, 55–58.
- 27 M. Penpolcharoen, R. Amal and M. Brungs, J. Nanopart. Res., 2001, 3, 289–302.
- 28 Sigma-Aldrich, Titanium (IV) Dioxide Safety Data Sheet, 2016, pp. 1–9.
- 29 U. Diebold, Surf. Sci. Rep., 2003, 48, 53–229.
- 30 D. D. B. Luiz, S. L. F. Andersen, C. Berger, H. J. José and R. D. F. P. M. Moreira, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2012, 246, 36–44.
- 31 K. T. Ranjit and B. Viswanathan, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 1997, 107, 215–220.
- 32 A. Sowmya and S. Meenakshi, J. Water Process Eng., 2015, 8, e23–e30.
- 33 D. Astruc, F. Lu and J. R. Aranzaes, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 7852–7872.
- 34 T. Mitsu, M. K. Rose, E. Fomin, D. F. Ogletree and M. Salmeron, Nature, 2003, 422, 705–707.
- 35 A. Kudo, K. Domen, K. Maruya and T. Onishi, Chem. Lett., 1987, 1019–1022.
- 36 N. Wehbe, M. Jaafar, C. Guillard, J. M. Herrmann, S. Miachon, E. Puzenat and N. Guilhaume, Appl. Catal., A, 2009, 368, 1–8.
- 37 D. S. Y. Jayathilake, T. A. N. Peiris, J. S. Sagu, D. B. Potter, K. G. U. Wijayantha, C. J. Carmalt and D. J. Southee, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 4820–4829.
- 38 N. Dahal, S. García, J. Zhou and S. M. Humphrey, ACS Nano, 2012, 6, 9433–9446.
- 39 X. J. Wang, W. Y. Yang, F. T. Li, Y. Bin Xue, R. H. Liu and Y. J. Hao, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2013, 52, 17140–17150.
- 40 B. L. Hayes, Microwave Synthesis Chemistry at the Speed of Light, CEM Corp., Matthews, 2002.
- 41 N. Peiris, Microwave-assisted Processing of Solid Materials for Sustainable Energy Related Electronic and Optoelectronic Applications, PhD thesis, Loughborough University, 2014. **BSC** Advances Wewketh Commons Communication Communica
	- 42 S. Grayer and M. Halmann, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1984, 170, 363–368.
	- 43 M. W. Weatherburn, Anal. Chem., 1967, 39, 971–974.
	- 44 K. W. Kimble, J. P. Walker, D. N. Finegold and S. A. Asher, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2006, 385, 678–685.
	- 45 D. G. Calatayud, T. Jardiel, M. Peiteado, A. C. Caballero and D. Fernández-Hevia, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2014, 9, 273.
	- 46 U. S. Ozkan, M. W. Kumthekar and G. Karakas, Catal. Today, 1998, 40, 3–14.
	- 47 A. T. Kuvarega, R. W. M. Krause and B. B. Mamba, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 22110–22120.
	- 48 H. Znad, M. H. Ang and M. O. Tade, Int. J. Photoenergy, 2012, 2012, 1–9.
	- 49 A. M. Venezia, G. Di Carlo, L. F. Liotta, G. Pantaleo and M. Kantcheva, Appl. Catal., B, 2011, 106, 529–539.
	- 50 P. Babelon, A. S. Dequiedt, H. Mostefa-Sba, S. Bourgeois, P. Sibillot and M. Sacilotti, Thin Solid Films, 1998, 322, 63–67.
	- 51 X. Pan and Y. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 17996–18005.
	- 52 H. H. Huang, X. Ye, H. H. Huang, L. Zhang and D. Y. C. Leung, Chem. Eng. J., 2013, 230, 73–79.
	- 53 D. A. H. Hanaor, I. Chironi, I. Karatchevtseva, G. Triani and C. C. Sorrell, Adv. Appl. Ceram., 2012, 111, 149–158.
	- 54 S. Hamid, S. Bae, W. Lee, M. T. Amin and A. A. Alazba, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2015, 54, 6247–6257.
	- 55 G. N. Schrauzer and T. D. Guth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 7189–7193.
	- 56 Y. Liu, P. Fang, Y. Cheng, Y. Gao, F. Chen, Z. Liu and Y. Dai, Chem. Eng. J., 2013, 219, 478–485.
	- 57 H. Khan and D. Berk, React. Kinet., Mech. Catal., 2014, 111, 393–414.
	- 58 O. S. G. P. Soares, M. F. R. Pereira, J. J. M. Orfao, J. L. Faria and C. G. Silva, Chem. Eng. J., 2014, 251, 123–130.
	- 59 A. Shi, H. Li, S. Yin, Z. Hou, J. Rong, J. Zhang and Y. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 235, 197–206.
	- 60 W. Zhao, J. Zhang, X. Zhu, M. Zhang, J. Tang, M. Tan and Y. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2014, 144, 468–477.
	- 61 Z. Geng, Z. Chen, Z. Li, X. Qi, X. Yang, W. Fan, Y. Guo, L. Zhang and M. Huo, Dalton Trans., 2018, 47, 11104–11112.
	- 62 Z. Zhang and P. Wang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 2456.
	- 63 C. Ding, J. Shi, D. Wang, Z. Wang, N. Wang, G. Liu, F. Xiong and C. Li, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 4589.
	- 64 A. McInnes, S. R. Plant, I. M. Ornelas, R. E. Palmer and K. G. U. Wijayantha, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2017, 1, 336– 344.
	- 65 A. A. A. El-Rady, M. S. A. El-Sadek, M. M. El-Sayed Breky, F. H. Assaf, M. M. E.-S. Breky and F. H. Assaf, Adv. Nanopart., 2013, 2, 372–377.