Non-invasive characterization of hybrid gelatin:poly-L-lactide electrospun scaffolds using second harmonic generation and multiphoton imaging†
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Hybrid scaffolds composed of synthetic polymers and naturally occurring components have become more relevant in the field of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Synthetic polymers are responsible for scaffold durability, strength and structural integrity, however, often do not provide biological signals. Introducing a biological component leads to more advanced and biocompatible scaffolds. In order to use these scaffolds as implants, a deeper knowledge of material characteristics and the impact of the biological component on the scaffold mechanical properties are required. Furthermore, it is necessary to implement fast, easy and non-invasive methods to determine material characteristics. In this work, we aimed to generate gelatin-poly-L-lactide (PLA) hybrids via electrospinning with defined, controllable and tunable scaffold characteristics. Using Raman microspectroscopy, we demonstrated the effectiveness of the cross-linking reaction and evaluated the increasing PLA content in the hybrid scaffolds with a non-invasive approach. Using multiphoton microscopy, we showed that gelatin fibers electrospun from a fluorinated solvent exhibit a second harmonic generation (SHG) signal typical for collagen-like structures. Compared to pure gelatin, where the SHG signal vanishes after cross-linking, the signal could be preserved in the hybrid scaffolds even after cross-linking. Furthermore, we non-invasively imaged cellular growth of human dermal fibroblasts on the hybrid electrospun scaffolds and performed fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy on the cell-seeded hybrids, where we were able to discriminate between cells and scaffolds. Here, we successfully employed non-invasive methods to evaluate scaffold characteristics and investigate cell–material interactions.

1. Introduction

The extracellular matrix (ECM) is a three-dimensional (3D), complex fibrous network consisting of various proteins, such as glycoproteins, proteoglycans, collagens, elastic fibers, as well as growth factors, cytokines and other important small molecules.1,2 The ECM serves as a scaffold for cells and additionally provides biochemical signals, which impact cellular behavior.3–6 Blend nanofibrous electrospun scaffolds represent an emerging class of nanosteuctures that can mimic the ECM of native tissues, and thus help to support damaged organs and favor their regeneration.7–10 Hybrid scaffolds with unique mechanical, biochemical and structural properties can be generated by combining two or more polymers.11 Blend electrospun scaffolds have already been applied in the field of tissue engineering and as drug delivery systems.9,12 Many scientists have demonstrated that nanofibrous scaffolds are capable of mimicking and reorganizing the ECM to sustain damaged or pathological areas.13 In addition, it has been shown that they favor tissue regeneration and induce healing processes.14–17 The electrospinning process leads to 3D structures with a high surface to volume ratio, composed of nanofibers and pores with variable sizes.18–22 Electrospun scaffolds exhibit strong mechanical properties while maintaining a very low density.23,24 Moreover, their degradation profile can be predicted before being implanted in the human body or even...
modified later in situ. Thus, the implanted scaffolds slowly break down into non-toxic fragments in a predictable manner, while the native tissue gradually grows and replaces the scaffold. To date, many different synthetic and natural or naturally derived polymers have been electrospun into nanofibrous scaffolds. Among them, the most widely electrospun synthetic polymers are linear aliphatic polyesters like polylactic acid, polyglycolic acid (PGA) and their copolymer, poly(lactic-co-glycolic) acid (PLGA). All of these materials are biocompatible, can be easily obtained from cost-effective raw material sources, and have already been widely used in soft tissue regeneration. Synthetic materials are strong, cheap and reliable but they unfortunately share no biochemical signatures. This aspect is desired in some cases but in others a specific interaction with the target tissue is required. To obtain biomimetic nanofibers, an appealing approach has been the direct electrospinning of natural or naturally derived polymers. To date, collagens, gelatin, fibrinogen, chitosan and alginate have all been used as polymers to obtain nanofibrous structures by electrospinning. Many of these macromolecules retain cell-binding sites and biomolecular signatures that can favor cell–material interactions. The harvesting and processing of natural polymers, however, is not as straightforward as for synthetic polymers, since their unique and specific properties are strongly influenced by temperature and solvent interaction. Thus, a greater attention should be paid when working with these polymers in order to not alter or denature them. In the last years, there is a growing interest in hybrid scaffolds, since they have certain advantages compared to single-component systems. By blending two or more polymers the resulting scaffolds combine the characteristics of the different polymers or even exhibit new unique features. While each single polymer unlikely shares the chemical composition and the structural properties of the native tissue, polymers used in combination can better recapitulate the complexity of the ECM. Starting from blend solutions also enables the electrospinning of polymers that are challenging to process alone. However, the development of well-blended hybrid scaffolds by electrospinning is difficult due to the poor miscibility of the different polymers. Insufficiently blended polymeric nanofibers exhibit weak mechanical strength and have unpredictable material properties as a result of inhomogeneity. Thus, the production of hybrid electrospun nanostructures, which benefit from the favorable biological properties of the natural polymers and from the mechanical properties of the synthetic polymers still remains a great challenge in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. In this work, we present the development and characterization of different hybrid electrospun scaffolds composed of blended gelatin (GE) and PLA, a natural and a synthetic polymer, respectively. GE consists of a mixture of water-soluble protein fragments, with the same amino acid composition as collagen from which it is obtained through partial hydrolysis. GE has been successfully employed in the production of biomaterials profiting from its biodegradability, biocompatibility and commercial availability at low cost. GE has advantages over collagen, such as lower immunogenicity and better solubility in aqueous systems. Unmodified GE increases cell adherence and proliferation, but has unfortunately low mechanical resistance. Due to its high water solubility, which represents an advantage for processing, cross-linking is mandatory for further application in biomedicine. Even though various harmless, clean methodologies are available, cross-linking potentially alters material properties and biocompatibility. GE can be obtained from different animal collagens. Mammalian GE is rich in domains able to bind to cell–surface receptors and to other ECM proteins, such as fibronectin, thus offering an excellent substrate for attachment and proliferation of adherent cells. In addition, GE undergoes collagenase-mediated hydrolysis, which allows biologically driven remodeling of GE-based scaffolds in vivo, and material resorption without toxic residuals. Cell adhesion on PLA is instead low, but its mechanical resistance and elasticity are higher compared to GE. PLA is a widely used aliphatic polyester, which can be chemically synthesized either by polycondensation of lactic acid or by ring-opening polymerization of lactide, a cyclic dimer of lactic acid. One attractive feature of PLA is that it is resorbed by the human body following hydrolytic and enzymatic digestion. In vivo, PLA hydrolysis occurs by the cleavage of its ester bonds resulting in non-toxic lactic acid, which is finally eliminated as CO₂ and H₂O via the Krebs cycle. Amorphous poly-lactide is eliminated within a year, while isotactic PLA full bio-resorption requires a longer time. Thus, PLA is the polymer of choice for the preparation of biomaterials when a longer exploitation time is required.

In this work, we aimed to produce and characterize hybrid GE:PLA scaffolds with controlled and tunable porosity, wettability and structural properties in order to be able to produce well-defined ECM mimicking hybrid structures.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Electrospinning

PLA (Sigma-Aldrich #93578; Mₙ 59000, M_w 101 kDa) and GE (gelatin from bovine skin, type B, cell culture tested, Mₙ 50–100 kDa, Bloom strength ~225 Bloom) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). After polymer addition the solutions were incubated at 37 °C under permanent magnetic stirring for 24 hours. A 1,1,1,3,3,3-Hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFP, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as solvent for all experiments. All electrospinning experiments were performed with a customized electrospinning device. The parameters used for the electrospinning experiments are reported in Table 1. In all cases, an 18G stainless steel needle was employed at a distance of 19 cm from the collector. The applied voltage was 19.5 kV. The used collector was round with a diameter of 9 cm.

2.2. Cross-linking procedure

All solvents and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless stated otherwise. For cross-linking, a solution of N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N′-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride
Finally immersed in 70% EtOH/ddH₂O for 30 minutes, then water was removed using a filter paper. The scaffolds were shaken in the cross-linking mixture at room temperature (r.t.) for 24 hours. After the washing procedure, the excess of water was gently removed using filter paper. The scaffolds were finally immersed in 70% EtOH/ddH₂O for 30 minutes, then dried on filter paper and allowed to stay overnight at r.t. to complete evaporate any solvent residual.

### 2.3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

The morphology of the electrospun scaffolds was determined using a scanning electron microscope (1530 VP, Zeiss, Jena, Germany). After platinum sputter coating, images were acquired at a distance of 8 mm from the detector, a voltage of 15 kV and different magnifications. The ImageJ software supplied with the DiameterJ plug-in was used for fiber diameter and pores size analyses.

### 2.4. Raman microspectroscopy

The inverse Raman system and acquisition parameters used in this study were previously described. Briefly, a 784 nm diode laser with an output laser power of 85 mW was focused through a water-immersion objective (60×, NA 1.2, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The total acquisition time per spectrum was 100 s (10×10 s acquisitions). All Raman spectra were analyzed in the range from 400 to 1800 cm⁻¹. Spectra were background-subtracted, baseline-corrected using OPUS (Bruker Optics, Ettlingen, Germany) and vector-normalized using Unscrambler X 10.3 (Camo, Oslo, Norway) as previously described. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using Unscrambler X 10.3 to reduce spectral variables and identify spectral differences among the compared samples. Seven PCs were calculated for each PCA. PC loadings were considered in detail to identify the molecular components that were relevant for the comparison of the spectra.

### 2.5. Swelling test analysis

For each sample 16 different pieces obtained from four different scaffolds were employed. Each scaffold piece was immersed in 10 mL ddH₂O for 1 hour at r.t. using an orbital shaker (60 rpm). In case water drops on the scaffold surface were clearly visible, the excess water was gently removed using filter paper. The rate of water absorbed by each piece was calculated according to following formula (Scheme 1):

\[
\text{Swelling (\%)} = \frac{\text{wet scaffold } w (\text{mg}) - \text{dry scaffold } w (\text{mg})}{\text{dry scaffold } w (\text{mg})} \times 100
\]

### 2.6. Liquid displacement method

The porosity of the scaffolds was estimated using the liquid displacement method, as previously described. Absolute ethanol was used as the displacement liquid. Scaffold samples were immersed in a cylinder containing a known volume of absolute ethanol (V₁) for 30 minutes. After that time, the scaffold was gently pressed to remove air bubbles and the final volume represented by ethanol and ethanol-impregnated scaffold was recorded as V₂. Finally, the ethanol-impregnated scaffold was removed and the residual ethanol volume in the cylinder was recorded as V₃. The porosity was calculated as follows (Scheme 2):

\[
\text{Porosity (\%)} = \frac{V_1 - V_3}{V_2 - V_3} \times 100
\]

### 2.7. Contact angle measurements

Hydrophilicity of the electrospun substrates was analyzed using contact angle measurements with an OCA 40 device (DataPhysics Instruments GmbH, Filderstadt, Germany). A water drop with the volume of 2 μL was placed onto the sample and the contact angle was measured 10 seconds after water deposition using a video setup and the SCA20 software (DataPhysics Instruments) as previously described. Final results were calculated from 16 measurements obtained from 4 different scaffold pieces for each sample.

### 2.8. Uniaxial tensile testing

Electrospun scaffolds were cut into 10 mm × 20 mm rectangular pieces and clamped into the uniaxial tensile testing device (Electroforce 5500, ElectroForce® Systems Group, Bose Corporation, Minnesota, USA). The exact sample dimensions were determined before each measurement and recorded with the software for further calculations of the Young’s modulus and the tensile strength. The scaffolds were pulled to failure by applying a stretch of 0.025 mm s⁻¹. The Young’s modulus was calculated from the initial linear slope of the stress versus strain curve for each measurement. Measured values are presented as average ± standard deviation from 16 measurements obtained from 4 different scaffold pieces for each sample.

### 2.9. Cell culture and seeding

All research was carried out in compliance with the rules for investigation of human subjects, as defined in the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was carried out in accordance with the institutional guidelines and was approved by the local research Ethics Committee (F-2012-078). Human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs) were isolated by enzymatic digestion as previously described. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium

### Table 1 Parameters used for electrospinning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer(s)</th>
<th>Final w/v%</th>
<th>Final volume (mL)</th>
<th>Flow-rate (mL h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GE</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE:PLA = 4:1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE:PLA = 5:2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE:PLA = 1:1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was employed. The spectral emission filter ranged from 425 to 710 nm and a laser power of 18 mW (Spectra Physics, Santa Clara, USA) as previously described. 82 An excitation wavelength of 760 nm and a laser power of 18 mW was employed, while a 380/20 bandpass emission filter and a 435 nm long pass filter were used to detect second harmonic generation (SHG) and autofluorescence (AF), respectively. For the mean gray value intensity (GVI) analysis, ImageJ was used as software to process and analyze the images.

2.12. Fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM)

FLIM was performed to assess reduced (phosphorylated) nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD(P)H) or gelatin mean fluorescence lifetime using time correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) at an excitation wavelength of 710 nm and a laser power of 18 mW. Analyzed samples were handled as described for MPM in Section 2.11. FLIM data were recorded at an acquisition time of 180 s for 512 × 512 pixels with 64 time channels. The instrument response function was recorded using urea crystals (Sigma-Aldrich) at an excitation wavelength of 920 nm and a laser power of 4.5 mW for 120 s. The FLIM images were analyzed using the SPCImage software (Becker & Hickl GmbH, Berlin, Germany). A biexponential decay fitting model (Scheme 4) was employed at each pixel since NAD(P)H has two different lifetimes represented by \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \). A \( \chi^2 \) < 1.1 was accepted for a good fitting. For gelatin analysis the mean fluorescence lifetime \( \langle \tau_m \rangle \) and \( \langle \tau_s \rangle \) after the biexponential decay fitting was instead considered. A binning factor of 5 was used in the analysis. For each sample 4 different dishes were used and 6 images were acquired per dish.

2.13. Data analysis

All the reported graphs were plotted using Microsoft Excel. All data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 4, unless stated otherwise in the materials and methods). Statistical significance was determined by a Student’s two-tailed unpaired t-test. \( p \leq 0.05 \) (*) was defined as statistically significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Generation of electrospun scaffolds

For the production of the scaffolds, various electrospinning parameters were tested and those leading to a stable Taylor’s cone and jet, as well as to smooth and uniform fibers, were then selected.
used throughout the study. The conditions were first defined for pure PLA and GE, and then adapted for the hybrid scaffolds. To date, some attempts have already been made to produce well-blended GE:PLA scaffolds.\textsuperscript{85–90} It has been demonstrated that HFP is a suitable solvent to process GE and PLA.\textsuperscript{85–89} By using HFP we were able to dissolve GE and PLA, either alone or together in different ratios, and to process the resulting blend solutions by electrospinning. Three different GE : PLA (w : w) ratios, 4 : 1, 5 : 2 and 1 : 1, were chosen for scaffolds production, characterization and comparison. Generally, we noticed that when using a concentration higher than 10% w/v for GE the electrospinning process was not stable and the solution jellified at room temperature. When using the two highest GE : PLA ratios (4 : 1 and 5 : 2), the relative GE concentration was 10% w/v, which is the threshold value that, according to our observations, avoided its jellification during the electrospinning experiment. For PLA we noticed that a final polymer concentration higher than 15% w/v led to unstable electrospinning processes with inhomogeneous scaffolds. Cross-linking caused scaffold shrinkage, but this effect was more evident when having a higher GE content (Table 2). No significant shrinkage and weight loss occurred for pure PLA electrospun scaffolds (Table 2). Thus, the lower final GE content in the hybrid scaffolds is due to the cross-linking that leads to a water loss after intramolecular reaction (Fig. S1, ESI\textsuperscript{†}) between GE aspartic (\textsuperscript{L}-Asp)/glutamic (\textsuperscript{L}-Glu) acidic residues and the free amines of GE lysines.\textsuperscript{52–54} Nevertheless, this GE loss is not significant (Table 2), so it was decided to always refer to the initial GE : PLA (w : w) ratio when characterizing the hybrid scaffolds.

All electrospun scaffolds were characterized by SEM in order to assess the fiber quality after the electrospinning experiments and after the cross-linking reactions (Fig. 1 and Fig. S2, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). Pure GE showed a homogeneous random-oriented fiber distribution (Fig. S2, ESI\textsuperscript{†}), with a normally distributed fiber size around the mean value [Fig. S2B, ESI\textsuperscript{†} 334 ± 35 nm]. After cross-linking, the highly porous structure, which is typical for electrospun scaffolds, was no longer visible, and fiber size distributions could not be assessed (Fig. S2C, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). Blend electrospun scaffolds often lack homogeneity,\textsuperscript{46,47} and the cross-linking reaction strongly alters their porous structure.\textsuperscript{54–56} When blending GE together with PLA in different ratios (4 : 1, 5 : 2 and 1 : 1) we were able to generate scaffolds with a random fiber orientation (Fig. 1A–F). In all conditions, we obtained uniform fibers with no beads showing a normal size distribution (Fig. S2D–I, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). When increasing the PLA content, the mean fiber diameter of the hybrid scaffolds significantly increased (Fig. 1G) probably due to higher final polymer concentration and blend solution viscosity.\textsuperscript{85} The obtained values for the mean fiber diameters are reported in the ESI\textsuperscript{†} together with the histograms (Fig. S2D–I, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). After the cross-linking the mean fiber diameter generally increased but changes were not significant (in all cases \( p > 0.05 \)). These results are in accordance with the data generated by Moon et al.\textsuperscript{85} Wang et al.\textsuperscript{86} and Hoveizi et al.\textsuperscript{87} Kim et al.\textsuperscript{90} demonstrated that by using 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol as a solvent for the electrospinning experiments fiber sizes decrease with increasing PLA content. These results indicate that the choice of solvent plays an important role for polymers processing and needs to be carefully considered.\textsuperscript{85–90} Compared to pure GE, there are no significant morphological differences between the cross-linked and non-cross-linked PLA containing samples (Fig. 1). Non-cross-linked GE scaffolds dissolve in aqueous solutions. For tissue engineering applications however, non-water soluble scaffolds are mandatory. After cross-linking, all of the scaffolds were water resistant.

### 3.2. Non-invasive assessment of cross-linking

In order to demonstrate cross-linking properties of the electrospun scaffold, we analyzed the generated GE:PLA scaffolds.
before and after cross-linking using Raman microspectroscopy. According to the obtained spectra, the region of interest was found to be between 740 and 920 cm\(^{-1}\). Particularly, the signals at 743 cm\(^{-1}\) and at 876 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4, downwards arrows) were attributed to vibrations of the free carboxylic groups of L-Glu\(^{91,92}\) and L-Asp\(^{91,93}\) respectively. Both peaks were only present in the spectra of the uncross-linked GE containing scaffolds. After the cross-linking both signals disappeared, since L-Glu and L-Asp are involved in the formation of new amide bonds after the cross-linking reaction\(^{45-47}\). These results indicate that the cross-linking process was successful. The signal at ca. 895 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4, asterisks) was instead assigned to the C–COO stretching\(^{94-96}\) of the polyester PLA, and so used to identify the increasing PLA content in the hybrid scaffolds. The relative intensity of this signal in the normalized spectra is higher the more PLA is present in the hybrid scaffolds (Fig. 2A). We performed PCA (Fig. 2B–G) to confirm these observations. Firstly, we were able to separately group the samples, before and after cross-linking (Fig. 2B). In this case, the two considered components for the loadings (Fig. 2C and D) both contained the peaks at 743 cm\(^{-1}\) and at 876 cm\(^{-1}\), which disappear after cross-linking. Thus, the cross-linked samples are grouped in the negative quarter of the correlation (Fig. 2B). The first considered component (Fig. 2C) includes the signal at 895 cm\(^{-1}\) reflecting the PLA content and so moving the hybrid samples towards the right with the increasing PLA percentage (Fig. 2B). Separately, PCA allowed us to detect the increasing PLA content in the final cross-linked hybrid scaffolds (Fig. 2E). In this case, pure PLA was used as reference, reflecting in the two considered components (Fig. 2F and G). The signal mainly contributing to the evaluation of the PLA content is the one at ca. 895 cm\(^{-1}\) as observed in the spectra (Fig. 2A), bringing pure PLA to the positive part of the correlation (Fig. 2E). The hybrid

Fig. 2  (A) Raman spectra of GE:PLA hybrid electrospun scaffolds and of pure PLA, showing a peak for PLA (asterisks) and two peaks for cross-linking (downwards arrows). (B) Score graph after PCA analysis including all the hybrid GE:PLA scaffolds, showing a clear separation of cross-linked and uncross-linked samples. (C and D) Loadings for PC-1 (C) and for PC-2 (D); the wavenumbers with high (absolute) loadings are identified as belonging to uncross-linked GE (743 cm\(^{-1}\) and 876 cm\(^{-1}\)) and PLA (895 cm\(^{-1}\)). (E) Score graph after PCA analysis of the hybrid cross-linked GE:PLA scaffolds, showing the increase in PLA content (arrow). (F and G) Loadings for PC-1 (F) and for PC-2; the wavenumber with highest (absolute) loading is identified as belonging to PLA (895 cm\(^{-1}\)).
cross-linked samples are instead gradually shifted towards the negative quarter with the increasing GE percentage (Fig. 2E).

In addition to Raman microspectroscopy we employed MPM and FLIM to assess whether these methods can also be used to investigate proper cross-linking. Type B gelatin exhibits a broad fluorescence emission spectrum. By using two-photon excitation we were able to induce GE autofluorescence (AF) between 710 and 800 nm. As previously observed,97 pure electrospun PLA exhibits instead no AF (Fig. S3B, ESI†). Moreover, we were also able to detect a second harmonic generation (SHG) signal from the fibers in the GE scaffolds electrospun from HFP (Fig. 3F–J). Electrospun PLA fibers exhibit as expected no SHG (Fig. 3B). While the SHG signal for pure GE vanishes (Fig. 3F), the AF signal is stronger after cross-linking (Fig. S3F, ESI†). However, when imaging the hybrid scaffolds we noticed that the SHG signal in the cross-linked scaffolds could be more preserved when having a higher PLA content (Fig. 3G–I). According to these results we suggest that the presence of PLA helps to slow down the cross-linking reaction in the blend scaffolds helping to retain GE initial structure. To quantitatively evaluate this property we decided to compare the gray value intensities (GVI) of the SHG and AF images and defined the SHG to AF index (SAI). Before cross-linking, all hybrid scaffolds showed a SAI value, which was not significantly different from the one of pure electrospun GE (SAI GE: 41 ± 3%; Fig. S3J, ESI†). Pure cross-linked GE showed instead a SAI close to zero (SAI GE after cross-linking: 3 ± 1%; Fig. 3J). However, this parameter significantly increases for the hybrid cross-linked GE:PLA scaffolds with the increasing amount of PLA (SAI GE:PLA 4:1 = 22 ± 6% versus GE:PLA 5:2 = 36 ± 1%, p = 0.006; GE:PLA 1:1 = 39 ± 2%, p = 0.002; GE:PLA 1:1 = 39 ± 2% versus GE:PLA 4:1 = 22 ± 6%, p = 5 × 10⁻⁶; Fig. 3J). Interestingly, the hybrid cross-linked scaffold with an equal ratio between GE and PLA showed a SAI which was even not significantly different from the one of pure electrospun GE (GE, 41 ± 3% versus GE:PLA 1:1, 39 ± 2%, p = 0.37). It has been previously demonstrated by Bigi et al. that there is a direct relationship between the physical properties of GE structures and the triple-helix content, which decreases or even disappears after the cross-linking, depending on the used conditions.98 Similar results are reported for collagen after in vitro and in vivo measurements of the SHG-to-AF aging index of dermis (SAAIID).83,99–101

Using FLIM, we evaluated the fluorescence lifetimes of the cross-linked hybrid scaffolds and compared them to those of pure GE scaffolds, either before (Fig. S3K, ESI†) or after the cross-linking process (Fig. 3K). To compare the decay time of the scaffolds, the mean fluorescence lifetime (τm, Scheme S1, ESI†) after a biexponential decay curve fitting was considered. Before cross-linking, all hybrid scaffolds showed a τm which is not significantly different from the one of electrospun GE (τm GE = 2.33 ± 0.06 ns; Fig. S3K, ESI†). τm significantly decreases for GE after the cross-linking (GE = 1.71 ± 0.02 ns, p = 3 × 10⁻⁶). When analyzing the cross-linked hybrid scaffolds we could observe that τm significantly increases for the hybrid scaffolds with the increasing PLA percentage. Specifically, the higher the PLA percentage, the closer the τm is to the one of uncross-linked GE (GE:PLA 1:1 = 2.17 ± 0.11 ns versus 5:2 = 1.99 ± 0.07 ns, p = 0.004; 1:1 = 2.17 ± 0.11 ns versus 4:1 = 1.83 ± 0.03 ns, p = 7 × 10⁻⁴; Fig. 3J):

![Fig. 3](https://example.com/fig3.png)

(A–E) SHG images of non-cross-linked electrospun GE (A), PLA (B) and GE:PLA (C–E). (F–I) SHG images of cross-linked electrospun GE (F) and GE:PLA (G–I). (J) SHG to AF index (SAI) of GE-containing electrospun cross-linked scaffolds after two-photon excitation at 760 nm. (K) Mean fluorescence lifetime (τm) of GE-containing electrospun cross-linked scaffolds after two-photon excitation at 710 nm. Scale bars equal 30 μm. *p ≤ 0.05.
Moreover, in all cases, $t_m$ was at the same time significantly longer when compared to the cross-linked GE. These results show that by blending GE with PLA in electrospun scaffolds it is possible to partially retain the initial GE $t_m$ even in the cross-linked samples (1:1 = 2.17 ± 0.11 ns versus GE = 1.71 ± 0.02 ns, $p = 0.004$; 5:2 = 1.99 ± 0.07 ns versus GE = 1.71 ± 0.02 ns, $p = 0.014$; 4:1 = 1.83 ± 0.03 ns versus GE = 1.71 ± 0.02 ns, $p = 0.026$). However, for all the hybrid cross-linked scaffolds, the mean fluorescence lifetime $t_m$ was still significantly shorter when compared to the uncross-linked GE (1:1 = 2.17 ± 0.11 ns versus GE = 2.33 ± 0.06 ns, $p = 0.037$; 5:2 = 1.99 ± 0.07 ns versus GE = 2.33 ± 0.06 ns, $p = 0.002$; 4:1 = 1.83 ± 0.03 ns versus GE = 2.33 ± 0.06 ns, $p = 6 \times 10^{-5}$). To summarize, we were able to evaluate structural properties of GE-containing electrospun scaffolds in a fast and non-destructive way using MPM and FLIM techniques. Nevertheless, differential scanning calorimetry and X-ray diffraction may be suitable analyses to evaluate the triple-helix content in the electrospun scaffolds and correlate the results to our observations.98

3.3. Impact of various GE:PLA ratios on the mechanical properties

As described above, for tissue engineering applications water insoluble scaffolds are mandatory. After we demonstrated proper cross-linking, we used the cross-linked and non-soluble samples for further investigations. According to the scaffold morphology (Fig. 1) the cross-linked hybrid scaffolds with a higher GE content showed a higher porosity. This observation could be confirmed with DiameterJ analysis of the SEM images. According to this analysis, the mean pore size increased with an increasing amount of PLA (Fig. 4A), while the number of pores (expressed as number of pores per $\mu m^2$; Fig. 4B) decreased with increasing PLA content. These microscopical properties of the hybrid cross-linked scaffolds correlate with the results obtained with the swelling test and the liquid displacement method to determine their wettability (Fig. 4C) and porosity (Fig. 4D), respectively. According to our observations, the scaffolds with higher GE content and a greater number of pores retained more water (Fig. 4C). These results are in accordance with previous studies.99–101 Furthermore, the amount of GE is correlated with the resulting scaffold porosity (Fig. 4D). Moreover, we could also infer that a higher porosity reflects in a higher amount of water retained by the cross-linked hybrid scaffolds. As previously demonstrated, these swelling properties are also directly related to the GE content.102,103 The scaffolds wettability was also evaluated by measuring the contact angle. Both 4:1 and 5:2 GE:PLA scaffolds were highly hydrophilic, while the 1:1 GE:PLA blend was highly hydrophobic. The obtained contact

Fig. 4 Comparison of the mechanical properties of the cross-linked hybrid GE:PLA scaffolds. (A) Pores mean size and (B) mean number of pores divided per the scaffold surface. Both calculated from SEM images. (C) Swelling properties. (D) Porosity percentage according to the liquid displacement method. (E and F) Comparison of tensile strength (E) and E-modulus (F) among the cross-linked scaffolds with different GE:PLA ratios before and after swelling. *$p \leq 0.05$. 
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angle values were of $0^\circ$ for the first two scaffolds (4:1 and 5:2) and $129^\circ \pm 3^\circ$ for the 1:1 GE:PLA blend. This value is very close to the one of pure PLA.57

Furthermore, we investigated the tensile strength and the elastic modulus (E-modulus), before and after swelling, for cross-linked hybrid GE:PLA scaffolds (Fig. 4E and F) as well as for pure electrospun PLA and cross-linked GE. In accordance with previous studies$^{104-106}$ all hybrid scaffolds show a much greater elongation at break after swelling (elongation (%) $> 100$%; Fig. S4, ESI†). However, no significant differences could be determined when comparing the same hybrid scaffolds, before and after swelling in both, tensile strength (Fig. 4E) and E-modulus (Fig. 4F). Jing et al. described the same characteristics when blending GE with polypropylene carbonate.106 We observed the same behavior for the E-modulus of pure PLA (PLA before swelling: 26.8 ± 4.5 MPa versus PLA after swelling: 27.1 ± 3.2 MPa; $p = 0.62$). Pure cross-linked GE was instead significantly more elastic after swelling (E-modulus GE before swelling: 26.6 ± 2.2 MPa versus GE after swelling: 1.22 ± 0.34 MPa, $p = 3 \times 10^{-6}$). According to our measurements, the tensile strength of the hybrid scaffolds is not significantly affected by the different polymer ratios (Fig. 4E). Generally, the obtained values for the tensile strength of the hybrid scaffolds are close to the one of pure PLA (PLA before swelling: 1.88 ± 0.2 MPa; PLA after swelling: 1.94 ± 0.21 MPa) and cross-linked GE (GE before swelling: 2.63 ± 0.54 MPa; GE after swelling: 2.12 ± 0.31 MPa). In contrast, the E-modulus depends on the PLA content. The higher the PLA amount in the scaffolds, the higher the E-modulus in both conditions, dry and after swelling (Fig. 4F). In general, GE stiffness increases after its cross-linking.$^{52-56}$ As already observed with MPM and FLIM analyses, we believe that the presence of PLA helps to slow down the cross-linking reaction. Thus, the higher the PLA percentage the more elastic result the final hybrid cross-linked scaffolds. With these results, we clearly demonstrated that wettability, porosity but also the stiffness of scaffold can be tuned by varying the ratio of synthetic and natural polymers. However, E-modulus of pure cross-linked electrospun GE may represent a threshold value when aiming to increase/decrease scaffold stiffness, as it showed the lowest elasticity when measured dry, as well as the highest one after swelling.

3.4. Cell–material interactions and biocompatibility

Biocompatibility of the GE-containing scaffolds was confirmed employing an MTS proliferation assay (Fig. S5, ESI†). According to the ISO 10993-5 standard, a proliferation higher than 80% indicates no cytotoxic effects. According to the results, neither the cross-linking reaction nor the polymers have cytotoxic effects on HDFs. We demonstrated the biocompatibility of pure PLA in a previous work.$^{57}$ In order to detect cellular adhesion and growth of human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs) on the different hybrid electrospun scaffolds, we employed MPM, which represents a non-invasive, marker-free methodology.$^{107-110}$ All the cross-linked hybrid GE:PLA scaffolds with a different ratio between the two polymers were investigated. MPM images of electrospun scaffolds seeded with HDFs are presented in Fig. 5. Gelatin is reported to exhibit autofluorescence at an excitation wavelength of 355 nm.$^{111}$ Thus, using a two photon excitation, we detected an autofluorescence signal at a wavelength of 710 nm. By 710 nm we were also able to image HDFs within the scaffolds, since NAD(P)H autofluorescence occurs at the same wavelength (Fig. 5A–D).$^{83}$ Interestingly, these images clearly show that HDFs adhere not only on the scaffold surface, but mainly within the fibrous structure.

Furthermore, after MPM imaging of HDFs within the fibrous hybrid GE:PLA scaffolds, we investigated the same samples

![Fig. 5](A–D) MPM imaging of HDFs seeded on hybrid cross-linked GE:PLA scaffolds (A–C) or on glass (D). (E–H) FLIM false color coded imaging of HDFs seeded on hybrid GE:PLA scaffolds (E–G) or on glass (H) after biexponential decay fitting. (I–L) 2D-correlation between $t_1$ and $t_m$ after biexponential decay curve fitting of the FLIM data. Scale bar equals 25 μm.
using FLIM (Fig. 5E–J), which allows the analysis of the metabolism of the target cells.112 Interestingly, despite the strong autofluorescence of GE, we were able to distinguish cells from the scaffolds by a false color-coding, based on a 2D-correlation using a biexponential decay curve fitting. The cells show a much shorter $t_1$ than the scaffolds, due to the fluorescence lifetime of free NAD(P)H.83,112 This value also leads to a shorter mean fluorescence lifetime ($t_m$), which is directly correlated to the $t_1$ values.113 Shorter $t_1$ and $t_m$ values were colored yellow, and longer $t_S$ and $t_m$ were colored blue (Fig. 5E–G). Looking at the 2D-correlation, where $t_1$ and $t_m$ are plotted as variables on the $x$ and $y$ axes (Fig. 5I–K), the pixels obtained from the cells lay in the lower left part of the plot, while those due to scaffold contribution in the upper right one. When analyzing HDFs on glass (Fig. 5H), all the values lay in the lower left part of the plot, with more than the 95% of the points having $t_1 < 1000$ ps and $t_m < 1750$ ps (Fig. 5L). To conclude, we successfully demonstrated that the non-invasive methods MPM and FLIM could be used to display cells in and on electrospun scaffolds. Cells interact with and migrate into the electrospun scaffolds.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we demonstrated how different GE : PLA ratios impact the characteristics and mechanical properties of electrospun scaffolds. GE and PLA are both bioresorbable and their properties vary depending on their molecular weight and the manufacturing technique. Thus, after properly choosing the starting materials and their processing conditions, it is possible to obtain electrospin blend scaffolds with unique features. By changing the ratio between the natural (here GE) and the synthetic (here PLA) polymer, we were able to obtain scaffolds with different porosities, which directly correlate with their swelling properties. In particular, the porosity and the swelling properties of the scaffolds increased when using a higher GE content, so were their stiffness profiles. Furthermore, we implemented non-invasive methods to characterize electrospun scaffolds. Raman microspectroscopy was used to assess cross-linking of the scaffold, while we employed MPM and FLIM to non-invasively visualize and monitor cells on and in the electrospun scaffolds. We were able to discriminate the signals of cellular NAD(P)H of HDFs from the signals of the scaffolds, which will enable the online in vitro detection of the glycolytic activity in future applications. To conclude, we were able to tune the properties of hybrid GE:PLA electrospun scaffolds, and we characterized these scaffolds in a non-destructive, marker-independent way.
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