Journal of Materials Chemistry A

CORRECTION

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 10149

Correction: Balancing electrical and optical losses for efficient 4-terminal Si-perovskite solar cells with solution processed percolation electrodes

César Omar Ramírez Quiroz,*[a] Yilei Shen,[a] Michael Salvador,[ab] Karen Forberich,[a] Nadine Schrenker,[c] George D. Spyropoulos,[a] Thomas Heumüller,[a] Benjamin Wilkinson,[d] Thomas Kirchartz,[ef] Erdmann Spiecker,[c] Pierre J. Verlinden,[g] Xueling Zhang,[g] Martin A. Green,[d] Anita Ho-Baillie[d] and Christoph J. Brabec*[ah]

DOI: 10.1039/c8ta90069h

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

Correction for 'Balancing electrical and optical losses for efficient 4-terminal Si-perovskite solar cells with solution processed percolation electrodes' by César Omar Ramírez Quiroz *et al.*, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 3583–3592.

The authors regret inconsistent labelling of the J_{sc} column in Table 1 of the original manuscript. The experimental conditions are now described correctly in the caption of the updated Table 1, shown below, as indicated by superscripts "b" and "c".

Table 1 Key metrics of best performing devices[a]

HTL	ETL/electrode	J_{sc} (mA cm^{-2})	V_{oc} (V)	FF (%)	PCEs
CuSCN	$PC_{60}BM$–ZnO:Al/Ag[b]	22.5	1.101	81.1	**20.1**
PEDOT:PSS	$PC_{60}BM$–ZnO:Al/Ag[b]	19.4	0.901	80.2	**14.0**
CuSCN	$PC_{60}BM$–ZnO:Al/AgNW[b]	21.0	1.098	74.1	**17.1**
PEDOT:PSS	$PC_{60}BM$–ZnO:Al/AgNW[b]	18.2	0.902	74.5	**12.1**
PERL (bare)[c]		41.5	0.680	79.5	**22.4**
PERL filtered w/CuSCN cell[c]		17.7	0.674	80.1	**9.6**
PERL filtered w/PEDOT:PSS cell[c]		16.0	0.673	80.1	**8.6**
4-Terminal tandem PERL; CusCN-based					**26.7**/26.9[d]
4-Terminal tandem PERL; PEDOT-based					**20.9**
IBC (bare)[c]		41.3	0.651	75.1	**20.2**
IBC filtered w/CuSCN cell[c]		17.0	0.633	75.2	**8.1**
IBC filtered w/PEDOT:PSS cell[c]		15.2	0.634	75.2	**7.3**
4-Terminal tandem IBC; CusCN-based					**25.2**
4-Terminal tandem IBC; PEDOT-based					**19.5**

[a] All values derived from *J*–*V* and EQE characterization represent the best performing devices from the same experimental run comprising 12 cells per experiment. [b] J_{sc} and PCE values determined under AM 1.5 irradiation at 0.1 W cm^{-2} intensity. [c] J_{sc} (EQE corrected) and PCE values determined under AM 1.5 irradiation at 0.1 W cm^{-2} intensity. [d] Measured efficiency when implementing 165 nm of MgF_2 as antireflective (see Fig. S13).

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

[a]*Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Institute of Materials for Electronics and Energy Technology (I-MEET), Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Erlangen, Germany. E-mail: omar.quiroz@fau.de*

[b]*Kaust Solar Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Saudi Arabia*

[c]*Center for Nanoanalysis and Electron Microscopy (CENEM), Department Werkstoffwissenschaften, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Cauerstraße 6, 91058 Erlangen, Germany*

[d]*The Australian Centre for Advanced Photovoltaics (ACAP), School of Photovoltaic and Renewable Energy Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia*

[e]*IEK-5 Photovoltaik, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52428 Jülich, Germany*

[f]*Faculty of Engineering, CENIDE, University of Duisburg-Essen, Carl-Benz-Straße 199, 47057 Duisburg, Germany*

[g]*Trina Solar, No. 2 Trina Road, Trina PV Industrial Park, Xinbei District, Changzhou, Jiangsu 213031, China*

[h]*Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Bavarian Center for Applied Energy Research (ZAE Bayern), Erlangen, Germany*