Chemical Science

CORRECTION

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 3893

Correction: Spectroscopic observation of twocenter three-electron bonded (hemi-bonded) structures of $(H_2S)_n^+$ clusters in the gas phase

Dandan Wang and Asuka Fujii 💷*

DOI: 10.1039/c8sc90072h

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Dpen Access Article. Published on 03 April 2018. Downloaded on 7/13/2025 12:03:26 PM.

Correction for 'Spectroscopic observation of two-center three-electron bonded (hemi-bonded) structures of $(H_2S)_n^+$ clusters in the gas phase' by Dandan Wang *et al.*, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 2667–2670.

The authors regret that some important references were omitted from the original article. These references are presented herein.

The experimental observation of the sulfur–sulfur hemi-bond was pioneered by Asmus and coworkers.¹⁻⁶ They observed transient absorption due to the $\sigma^*-\sigma$ electronic transition in solution. Moreover, they observed the transient absorptions of the hemibonds of sulfa with a variety of counter atoms as well as those of N–N and I–I hemi-bonds.⁷⁻¹¹ The electronic spectrum of $(H_2S)_2^+$ in aqueous solution was also reported by Asmus, though detailed structural information is difficult to extract from the broadened electronic transition.³

The S–S hemi-bond in gas phase molecules was first reported using mass spectrometry.⁵ The stable dimer cation formation of bis(isopropyl)sulfide was observed and hemi-bond formation was proposed on the basis of the fragmentation pattern. Gas phase dimerization equilibrium measurement of dimethyl sulfide cations has also suggested formation of the S–S hemi-bond.¹² Very recently, infrared Stark spectroscopy was applied to Cl–NH₃ in He droplets,¹³ and hemi-bond formation was concluded by the shift of the NH stretch and dipole moment measurements. This result is consistent with the prediction by high level computation of similar systems.¹⁴

Theoretical calculations of the S–S hemi-bond were first performed by Clark for $(H_2S)_2^+$, ¹⁵ and the series of his study has been extended to a variety of hemi-bonded systems.^{16–18}

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

References

- 1 D. Bahnemann and K.-D. Asmus, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1975, 238-239.
- 2 K.-D. Asmus, Acc. Chem. Res., 1979, 12, 436-442.
- 3 S. A. Chaudri and K.-D. Asmus, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1981, 20, 672–673.
- 4 M. Göbl, M. Bonifačić and K.-D. Asmus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 5984-5988.
- 5 T. Drewello, C. B. Lebrilla, H. Schwarz, L. J. de Koning, R. H. Fokkens, N. M. M. Nibbering, E. Anklam and K.-D. Asmus, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1987, 1381–1383.
- 6 K.-D. Asmus, in *Sulfur-Centered Reactive Intermediates in Chemistry and Biology, NATO-ASI Series, Series A: Life Sciences*, Springer, 1990, pp. 155–172.
- 7 M. Bonifačić and K.-D. Asmus, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1980, 758-762.
- 8 K.-D. Asmus, M. Göbl, K.-O. Hiller, S. Mahling and J. Mönig, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1985, 641–646.
- 9 H. Hungerbühler, S. N. Guha and K.-D. Asmus, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 999–1001.
- 10 R. W. Alder, M. Bonifačić and K.-D. Asmus, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1986, 277-284.
- 11 H. Mohan and K.-D. Asmus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 4745-4746.
- 12 A. J. Illies, P. Livant and M. L. McKee, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 7980-7984.
- 13 C. P. Moradi, C. Xie, M. Kaufmann, H. Guo and G. E. Douberly, J. Chem. Phys., 2016, 144, 164301.
- 14 J. L. Li and H. Guo, J. Chem. Phys., 2013, 138, 141102.

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan. E-mail: asukafujii@m.tohoku.ac.jp

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

Chemical Science

- 15 T. Clark, J. Comput. Chem., 1981, 2, 261-265.
- 16 T. Clark, J. Comput. Chem., 1982, 3, 112-116.
- 17 T. Clark, J. Comput. Chem., 1983, 4, 404-409.
- 18 T. Clark, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 1672-1678.