Ever since two-dimensional-transition (2D) metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) were discovered, their fascinating electronic properties have attracted a great deal of attention for harnessing them as critical components in novel electronic devices. 2D-TMDs endowed with an atomically thin structure, dangling bond-free nature, electrostatic integrity, and tunable wide band gaps enable low power consumption, low leakage, ambipolar transport, high mobility, superconductivity, robustness against short channel effects and tunneling in highly scaled devices. However, the progress of 2D-TMDs has been hampered by severe charge transport issues arising from undesired phenomena occurring at the surfaces and interfaces. Therefore, this review provides three distinct engineering strategies embodied with distinct innovative approaches to optimize both carrier injection and transport. First, contact engineering involves 2D-metal contacts and tunneling interlayers to overcome metal-induced interface states and the Fermi level pinning effect caused by low vacancy energy formation. Second, dielectric engineering covers high-κ dielectrics, ionic liquids or 2D-insulators to screen scattering centers caused by carrier traps, imperfections and rough substrates, to finely tune the Fermi level across the band gap, and to provide dangling bond-free media. Third, material engineering focuses on charge transfer via substitutional, chemical and plasma doping to precisely modulate the carrier concentration and to passivate defects while preserving material integrity. Finally, we provide an outlook of the conceptual and technical achievements in 2D-TMDs to give a prospective view of the future development of highly scaled nanoelectronic devices.

1. Introduction
Since Moore’s law was proposed in 1965 and with the advance of conventional Si technology, the semiconductor industry has
been consistently and prosperously developed over the past half-century. However, as Si technology is approaching the fundamental size scaling limit in the sub 10 nm regime, the material roughness and the short channel effects (SCEs) dominate the carrier transport, which could infer the end of Moore’s law. Moreover, it is crucial to reduce the power consumption without sacrificing device performance. Indeed, there exists a theoretical Boltzmann limit for subthreshold characteristics of FETs) of 60 mV dec⁻¹ at 300 K that can be overcome by tunneling transistors and negative capacitance transistors. Therefore, the major challenge of the semiconductor industry in the following years is to find novel sub-nanometer materials and transistor geometries that enable high performance and low power consumption devices. Toward this challenge, the superior electronic properties including the excellent electrostatic integrity, tunable bandgaps, high electron/hole mobility, and gate-tunable superconductivity of novel two dimensional-transition metal dichalcogenides (2D-TMDs) have enabled the development of nanoscale devices with exceptional transport properties, thus holding promise for the next-generation of semiconductor materials towards their applicability in diverse applications such as transistors, digital circuits, optoelectronic systems and chemical sensors. As charge carriers are strongly confined in atomically thin layers, the critical issues associated with SCEs can be completely eliminated, thereby broadening the range of applications toward highly energy efficient devices. Furthermore, the gate controllable atomically thin TMD channel enables a high electric field at the tunnel junction to strongly increase the on-current and to reduce the subthermionic SS down to 3.9 mV dec⁻¹, which provides a significant breakthrough for applications in the future semiconductor industry.

Despite the growing interest in 2D-TMD materials, there are several bottlenecks that block the progress as well as the integration of TMDs with modern semiconductor technology. Specifically, and as prescribed by the international technology roadmap for semiconductors (ITRS), the primary step toward highly efficient electronic devices is that the metal electrode/TMD contact resistance ($R_C$) should be as low as ~100 Ω µm. This is not the case for most 2D-TMD based devices fabricated so far due to their detrimental interface states. In addition, owing to the nature of the metal/TMD chemical bond, the contact geometry plays a key role in determining $R_C$. On the other hand, the carrier transport efficiency, mainly limited by carrier traps and phonon-scattering centers, can be notoriously affected by impurities or imperfections along the channel owing to the high surface-to-volume ratio of 2D-TMDs, hence becoming a cornerstone toward high mobility devices. In this regard, strategies engineering the surrounding gate dielectric as well as functionalizing the surface play a starring role in boosting the performance. Consequently, it is of paramount importance to deeply scrutinize not only the fundamental limitations of the current transport but also the strategies to overcome such limitations in order to precisely control the electronic properties of 2D-TMDs toward high performance devices.
performance electronic devices beyond scaling and physical limits.

Accordingly, we summarize recent advances and challenges encountered in the development of 2D-TMD based electronic devices. First, we provide insight on factors influencing the carrier injection such as the Fermi level pinning (FLP) or the Schottky barrier (SB), and accordingly how to precisely estimate $R_C$. Second, based on the scattering limited mobility edge concept, we discern the current transport mechanism along the channel and emphasize the carrier-density-dependent metal-to-insulator (MIT) transition as well as the ballistic transport of highly scaled devices. Third, we review how several strategies involving surface and interface chemistry can synergistically improve both carrier injection and current transport, highlighting the importance of doping and phase transformation. Finally, we provide an outlook of the major achievements and give a perspective of future research directions for developing high performance 2D-TMD based electronic devices.

2. Contact resistance and current transport in 2D-TMDs

To discuss the electronic properties of 2D-TMDs, we first discuss the theoretical framework, current transport mechanism and experimental accuracy to understand how the processability, low dimensionality and novel properties of 2D-TMDs limit the carrier injection due to high contact resistance and deviate the ideal phonon-limited mobility carrier transport due to scattering centers and imperfections in real devices with highly scaled dimensional features.

2.1. Metal/TMD contact and the Fermi level pinning effect

The metal/semiconductor (M/S) contact is one of the most critical aspects of electronic transport that explicitly determines the device performance such as contact resistance, carrier mobility, switching speed, on-state current, and so forth. Typically, the energy misalignment between the metal work function ($\phi_M$) and the semiconductor electron affinity ($\chi$) leads to a Schottky barrier height (SBH) at the M/S interface, $\phi_B = \phi_M - \chi$, that determines whether the contact resistance is either high and non-linear or low and linear. In this regard, Fig. 1a shows the band alignment between the semiconducting bandgaps (electron affinity and ionization potential) of 2D-TMDs and the work functions of commonly used metals. However, the electrostatic potential originated by the SBH can be modulated by metal-induced interface states within the semiconductor bandgap during the metal deposition, pinning the semiconductor Fermi level toward the charge neutrality level ($\phi_{\text{CNL}}$), and thus inducing a SBH displacement expressed as $\phi_B = S(\phi_M - \phi_{\text{CNL}}) + (\phi_{\text{CNL}} - \chi) = S\phi_M + b$, where $S = d\phi_B/d\phi_M$ is the pinning factor indicating strong ($S = 0$) or weak ($S = 1$) FLP, and $b$ is the energy above which the states of a neutral surface are empty; accordingly, $\phi_B = (\chi + b)/(1 - S)$. Therefore, the FLP effect dominates the charge injection at the M/S interface and
effectively modulates the SBH regardless of \( \phi_{\text{mt}} \), which suggests metal work function independent \( R_C \).

Indeed, several groups have reported that the low sulfur vacancy formation energy (1.6 eV) of MoS\(_2\) induces strong FLP regardless of the metal contact.\(^{24,44}\) Nevertheless, the FLP effect on MoS\(_2\) has evoked a great deal of discrepancy. For instance, if we only consider solely the difference between the MoS\(_2\) electron affinity and the metal work functions of Sc, Ti, Ni, and Pt, which spans from 3.5 to 5.9 eV (Fig. 2a), the corresponding transfer characteristics should look like Fig. 2b; however, experimental results show n-type behavior for all metals, indicating that the Fermi levels line-up close to the conduction band edge of MoS\(_2\) (Fig. 2c).\(^{25}\) Further simulations and experiments indicate that the FLP is dictated by the synergy between metal work function modification caused by dipole formation and the presence of a high density of interface gap states caused by chalcogenide-mediated hybridization.\(^{23,35-38}\) Conversely, theoretical calculations show that low (high) work function metals exhibit strong (weak) FLP due to the high density of interface states.\(^{39}\) To solve the discrepancy, one can depict as a figure-of-merit the theoretical and experimental SBH versus several metal work functions and calculate the pinning factor; if the pinning factors are significantly lower than theoretical predictions, the SBH does not follow the Schottky–Mott rule, as is the case of MoS\(_2\) (Fig. 2d and e) and MoTe\(_2\).\(^{24}\) However, the chemical disorder and FLP induced by high-energy metal deposition on MoS\(_2\) can be overcome by transferring metal electrodes, and thus form flawless van der Waals (vdW) M/S interfaces which follow the Schottky–Mott rule (see Section 3.1 and 3.2 for further details).\(^{40}\) On the other hand, all the other 2D-TMDs do not exhibit the FLP effect but rather exhibit ambipolar carrier transport due to the line up of their large vacancy formation energies near the mid-gap.\(^{24}\) Furthermore, although the thickness scaling effect leads to band gap increases with decreasing number of layers due to the quantum confinement, the pinning position between monolayer and few layer MoS\(_2\) remains roughly constant, revealing strong FLP; consequently, the SBH increases with decreasing layer thickness, and thus the contact resistance greatly enhances at the monolayer limit (Fig. 2f).\(^{40,41}\)

### 2.2. Contact resistance estimation

Typically, the dangling bond-free nature of 2D-TMDs should not lead to the formation of any chemical bond with metals, and thus increase the risk of high contact resistances \( (R_C) \). Nevertheless, the \( R_C \) values reported so far range from hundreds to millions of \( \Omega \mu m \) depending on the contact approach and the degree of accuracy of the selected method to estimate \( R_C \) as we next discuss.\(^{42}\) (i) Two-probe measurement assumes solely thermionic emission with a given SBH \( \leq 0.1 \) eV to evaluate \( R_C = (\hbar^2/4\pi e^3 m^* k_B T) \exp(q\phi_{\text{mt}}/k_B T) \), where \( \hbar \), \( k_B \), and \( t \) are Planck’s constant, Boltzmann constant, effective mass, and thickness, respectively.\(^{43}\) (ii) Two-probe FET measurements extract \( R_C \) by polynomial fitting \( b_1 + b_2/T + b_3/L^x \) of the total resistance \( (R_T) \) vs. \( V_{\text{gs}} \) as \( 2R_C = b_1.\(^{44}\) (iii) The transmission line model (TLM) based on a series of TMDs and two back-to-back contacts estimates \( R_C \) from \( R_T = 2R_C + R_i \), where \( R_i \) is the TMD resistance. When the current flows across the metal/TMD junction, a resistor ladder network composed of the sheet resistance \( R_{\text{sh}} \) and the SB-
induced specific contact resistivity \( \rho_c \) leads to \( R_C = (R_{2D}L_{\text{ch}}/W) \coth(L/L_T) \) where \( L \) is the contact length and \( L_T = \sqrt{\rho_c/R_{2D}} \) is the effective contact transfer length for the carrier injection, a parameter that describes the distance over which 1/e of the current is transferred to the metal contact (Fig. 3).\(^{45-47} \) For short \( L_T \), the current crosses around the contact edge, while for long \( L_T \), the current involves larger contact areas.\(^{46} \) This current injection phenomenon, termed as current crowding, imposes device scaling limits because if \( L < L_T \), \( R_C \) increases abruptly.\(^{48,49} \)

Therefore, keeping \( L \gg 1.5L_T \) is crucial to avoid high \( R_C \) and to keep \( L \)-independent \( R_C \) when downsizing devices; consequently, \( \coth(L/L_T) \sim 1 \), and thus \( R_C = R_{2D}L_{\text{ch}}/W \) and \( R_T = R_{2D}(2L_T + L_{\text{ch}})/W \), where \( L_{\text{ch}} \) is the channel length. The linear fit of \( R_T \) vs. \( L_{\text{ch}} \) plot allows the extraction of \( 2R_{2D}, 2L_T \) and \( R_{2D} \) from the interaction with the \( R_T \) axis, \( L_{\text{ch}} \) axis and the slope, respectively.\(^{46} \)

(iv) Four-probe measurements lead to \( R_C = (V_{2P}/I_{sd} - (V_{4P}/I_{sd})) \times \left( L_{2D}/L_{4P} \right) \times W \), where \( W \) is the channel width and \( I_{sd}, V_{2P} \) \((V_{4P}) \), and \( L_{2D} \left( L_{4P} \right) \) are the source-drain (probing contacts) current, voltage drops and length, respectively.\(^{50} \) (v) Four-probe FET measurement avoids the potential drop in the sensing probes, and thus \( R_C \) can be extracted independently for each lead.\(^{46} \) (vi) The Y-function method is more realistic since it allows the extraction of \( R_C \) from the FET linear region while considering phonon and surface scattering events as well as the voltage drop induced by the SB.\(^{51} \)

2.3. Carrier injection at the metal/TMD contact

In the presence of a moderate SB, the main transport mechanism across the metal/TMD interface is thermionic emission, which can be described as

\[
I = I_{2D} \exp(qV/nk_BT)[1 - \exp(-qV/k_BT)]
\]

\[
I_{2D} = W A_{2D} T^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{q\phi_B}{k_BT}\right),
\]

where \( I_{2D} \) is the saturation current, \( W \) is the junction length, \( q \) is the electron charge, \( \phi_B \) is the SBH, \( n \) is the ideality factor, \( V \) is the voltage bias, and \( A_{2D} = q^2 \sqrt{8\pi\hbar^3m^*/h^2} \) is the 2D equivalent Richardson constant with \( m^* \) being the electron effective mass (Table 1).\(^{24,49,52-54} \) It is also noteworthy that TMDs exhibit layer dependent effective mass, for instance the effective mass of MoS\(_2\) varies from 0.41\( m_0 \) to 0.57\( m_0 \) from monolayer to few-layer.\(^{55} \) At sufficient forward bias, the image charge-induced barrier lowering accounted for by the ideality factor can be extrapolated from \( q/2.3nk_BT \) = slope of the linear fitting of the semilog \( I \) vs. \( V \) or \( \log[I/(1 - \exp(-qV/k_BT))] \) vs. \( V \) plots.\(^{54} \)

Meanwhile, at sufficient reverse bias \( (qV \gg k_BT) \)

\[
I = WA_{2D} T^{1/2} \exp(-q\phi_B/k_BT); \text{ therefore, SBH can be calculated from current–temperature measurements as } \phi_B = (k_BT/q)(\Delta \ln(I/I_{2D}))^{1/2}/(\Delta T^{-1}) \text{ (Fig. 4a-c).}\(^{24,55} \)

However, the veracity of the as-extracted SBH should be revised when \( \phi_B < 3k_BT \) or \( \phi_B < 0 \) because such a SBH is not effective for carrier blocking.\(^{31,56,57} \)

Beyond thermionic emission, the applied bias configuration, doping level and temperature can enable direct tunneling (DT; field emission) through a wide-trapezoidal barrier at the quasi-Fermi level and/or thermally assisted Fowler-Nordheim tunneling (FNT; thermionic field emission) through a triangular barrier above the Fermi level but below the top of the SB.\(^{58} \) To discern whether DT or FNT dominates, the \( \ln(I/I_{2D}) \) vs. \( \ln(V) \) plots should be explored as follows

\[
I_{\text{DT}} = \frac{A_{2D} q^2 V \sqrt{2m^*\phi_B}}{h^2 d} \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi d \sqrt{2m^*\phi_B}}{h}\right) \]

\[
\ln\left(\frac{I_{\text{DT}}}{V^2}\right) \propto \ln\left(\frac{1}{V}\right) - \frac{4\pi d \sqrt{2m^*\phi_B}}{h} \tag{4}
\]

\[
I_{\text{FNT}} = \frac{A_{2D} q^2 m_0 V^2}{8\pi\hbar\phi_B^2 \hbar^2 m^*} \exp\left(-\frac{8\pi d \sqrt{2m^*\phi_B^3}}{3h^3 V}\right) \tag{5}
\]

\[
\ln\left(\frac{I_{\text{FNT}}}{V^2}\right) \propto -\frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{8\pi d \sqrt{2m^*\phi_B^3}}{3h^3 V}\right) \tag{6}
\]

where \( d \) is the SB width.\(^{59} \) Accordingly, the SBH can be evaluated by inspecting if the FNT (DT) shows a linear (exponential) trend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TMD</th>
<th>( E_g ) (eV)</th>
<th>( m^*_0/m_0 )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon ) ([\varepsilon_0] )</th>
<th>( \lambda ) [\text{nm}]</th>
<th>\text{SS} [\text{mV dec}^{-1}]</th>
<th>\text{DIBL} [\text{mV V}^{-1}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoS(_2)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>~60</td>
<td>~10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoSe(_2)</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>~65</td>
<td>~15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoTe(_2)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>~70</td>
<td>~20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS(_2)</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>~60</td>
<td>~7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSe(_2)</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>~63</td>
<td>~10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the \(\ln(I/V^2)\) vs. \((1/V)\) plot.\textsuperscript{39,60} In fact, several groups have combined tunneling transport and FLP at the mid-gap to elucidate both the truly SBH, that is the flat band potential \((V_{FB})\), and the ambipolar behavior.\textsuperscript{22–24,35,38–41} The method assumes thermally activated conduction through the channel as long as \(V_{gs}<V_{FB}\) that is why the activation energy is linear with \(V_{gs}\).\textsuperscript{35,61} Thereby, the linear slope of semilog \(I_{ds}\) vs. \(1/T\) plots elucidates the equivalent SB \((\phi_B^*)\) at different gate bias, and thus \(V_{FB}\) can be realized by finding at which \(V_{gs}\) the \(\phi_B^*\) vs. \(V_{gs}\) deviates from linearity (Fig. 4d–f). Alternatively, the thermally assisted tunneling transport can be described as \(I = I_s \exp(qV/\Phi_{00})\), where \(I_s\) is the saturation current at zero forward voltage and \(\Phi_{00}\) is the characteristic energy, both being related as \(I_s \propto \exp(\phi_B/\Phi_{00})\). Accordingly, \(I_s\) and \(\Phi_{00}\) can be extracted from the linear fit of \(\ln(I)\) vs. \(V\) at different temperatures, and \(\phi_B\) can be extracted by the semi-logarithmic slope of \(I_s\) vs. \(1/\Phi_{00}\) (Fig. 4g–i).\textsuperscript{35,64}

### 2.4. Carrier mobility and carrier scattering effects

To investigate the intrinsic charge transport properties of TMDs, it is of paramount importance to properly assess the effective carrier mobility of high quality samples only limited by phonon scattering events. Precise mobility assessment can be done by Hall measurements, the Y-function method, and four-probe or two-probe based transconductance measurements but without \(R_C\) effects as follows, \(\mu_{FE} = (L/C_0W)/(\partial(I(1/(R_T - 2R_C))/\partial V_{gs})\), where \(L\) and \(W\) are the channel length and width, respectively.\textsuperscript{51,65–67} Nevertheless, the presence of Coulomb impurities at the TMD/dielectric interface and defects in low quality samples as well as the substrate roughness affect notoriously the mobility.\textsuperscript{38} According to Matthiessen’s rule, the overall scattered-limited mobility becomes \(\mu(n,T)^{-1} = \mu_{Coulomb}(n,T)^{-1} + \mu_{ph}(T)^{-1} + \mu_{sr}\), where \(\mu_{Coulomb}, \mu_{ph}\) and \(\mu_{sr}\) are the Coulomb scattering, phonon scattering, and surface roughness phonon scattering, respectively.\textsuperscript{28,29,68} At low temperatures, the mobility is mostly limited by Coulomb scattering arising from ionized impurity charges fixed at the bottom substrate.\textsuperscript{69} Moreover, the Coulomb scattering is highly intensified in monolayer TMDs due to the short-interaction distance between impurities and carriers. Indeed, an increasing number of layers lead to a higher dielectric constant and charge redistribution far from the interface; as a result, the outer layer experiences reduced Coulomb scattering leading to mobility peaking at \(\sim 10–15\) layers.\textsuperscript{20,25,29,68,70–74} In this regard, experimental results have evidenced Hall mobilities up to 1000 \((34\ 000)\ cm^2/Vs\) for 1L (6L) MoS\(_2\) at 5 K.\textsuperscript{25,28,73} At higher temperatures, the electron–phonon interaction becomes dominant, leading to phonon-limited carrier mobility.\textsuperscript{76,77} The phonon scattering temperature dependence follows the power

---

**Fig. 4** SBH derivation of Co-contacted monolayer MoS\(_2\) from (a) the \(I–V\) curve, (b) the Arrhenius plot, and (c) the slope \((S)\) extracted from the Arrhenius plot.\textsuperscript{35} SBH derivation of Ni-contacted 3 nm MoS\(_2\) from (d) the transfer characteristics, (e) the Arrhenius plot, and (c) the extracted effective SBH as a function of the gate voltage.\textsuperscript{27} SBH derivation of graphene-contacted WSe\(_2\) from (g) the \(I–V\) curve, (h) the temperature dependent \(I–V\) plot, and (i) the slope extracted from the \(I_s/1/\Phi_{00}\) plot.\textsuperscript{64} Reproduced with permission from ref. 35 © 2013, American Chemical Society; ref. 23 © 2012, American Chemical Society; ref. 64 © 2014, American Chemical Society.
law $\mu_{\text{ph}} \propto T^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma$ ranging from 0.55 to 1.7 for MoS$_2$ (ref. 27, 75 and 78) and 0.73 to 1.75 for WS$_2$. The upper bond mobility limit is determined by the large effective mass and out-of-plane polar phonons (i.e. 410 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for 1L MoS$_2$). Nevertheless, the highest mobilities reported to date at room temperature are 190 (700) cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for monolayer (10 nm) MoS$_2$, and $\sim$250 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for monolayer WS$_2$. On the other hand, atomically flat TMDs exhibit negligible surface scattering, in contrast to the severe surface scattering exhibited by 5 nm rough ultrathin Si.

2.5. Carrier transport and the scattering limited mobility-edge concept

To this extent, the mobility-edge concept, a boundary in the band tail that discriminates between extended and localized states, establishes a useful tool to assess the transport mechanism. Extended states enable metallic band-like transport characterized by decreasing mobility with increasing temperature, whereas deep (local) localized states elucidate insulating variable range hopping (thermally activated) transport characterized by increasing mobility with increasing temperature (Fig. 5a). As the gate bias continuously modulates the Fermi energy from localized states to extended states, a metal-to-insulator transition (MIT) can be observed at the threshold carrier concentration. Below a certain carrier-density limit, the Fermi level lies on deep localized states caused by short-range disorder, leading to electrons flowing by phonon limited thermally activated nearest-neighbor hopping (NNH) conduction at high temperature, where $k_BT_i$ is the energy spacing between the nearest neighbors, or by weak temperature dependent variable-range hopping (VRH) conduction at low temperature, where $T_0$ is the correlation energy, and $d$ is the material dimensionality ($d = 2$ for 2D-TMDs). However, as the Fermi level moves toward the conduction band localized states are filled and when the Fermi level enters the band-tail carriers become mobile, enabling band-like conduction. Alternatively, thermally activated carriers from shallow localized states to the conduction band enable band-like conduction, where $E_a$ is the activation energy.

2.6. Short channel effects and ballistic transport

Typically, the carrier concentration of bulk semiconductors cannot be fully tuned by gate bias because the electric potential is not uniform across the thick bulk semiconductor. In contrast, the dangling-bond free nature and ultrathin structure of 2D-TMDs lead to highly confined carrier distribution close to the gate dielectric and highly uniform potential distribution along the channel, thus allowing homogeneous gating (Fig. 5b). Consequently, the drain–source electric field lines fall mostly on the surrounding environment, and the semiconductor depletion depth can be replaced by the TMD thickness ($t_{\text{body}}$) and the semiconductor dielectric constant can be regarded as the environment (Fig. 5b). The electrostatic integrity can be evaluated by the drain-induced barrier lowering, where low drain-induced barrier lowering (DIBL) yields robustness against short channel effects (SCE).

and hence preserve the long channel behavior, the channel length should be at least 4–5 times longer than the electrostatic natural scaling screening length, a figure of merit to distinguish between short and long channel behaviors, where $t_{\text{ox}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{ox}}$ are the thickness and dielectric constant of the gate oxide. Therefore, the rather low screening length enables gate tuning of the carrier concentration $n = C_{\text{g}}(V_{\text{th}} - V_{\text{th}} - V_{\text{th}})/q$, where the gate capacitance $C_{\text{g}}$ should include the oxide capacitance ($C_{\text{ox}}$), the TMD quantum capacitance ($C_{\text{q}}$), and the interface trap density capacitance, where $D_{\text{it}}$ is the trap density. Nonetheless, in a double-gated geometry $C_{\text{g}}$ should include both top and bottom gate capacitances. Furthermore, as the channel length is scaled down to the electron mean free path, electronic devices are pushed from the diffusion limit to the scattering-free ballistic limit, at which the quantum conductance can potentially reach $2e^2/h$ and the quantum contact resistance can reach $30 \, \Omega \, \mu\text{m}$ at a $n_{2D}$ of $10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$.

To gain further insight on the SCE and ballistic transport characteristics of TMDs, Table 1 shows the $\lambda$, SS and DIBL calculated from ballistic transport simulations of scattering-free
15 nm short channels of monolayer TMDs with 2 nm thick HfO₂ ($\epsilon_{\text{ox}} = 25$). The extremely low $\lambda < 0.5$ nm causes a highly intensified electric field at TMD/contact junctions, enabling the possibility to devise tunnel transistors with a high on-current of $\sim 500 \mu$A m⁻¹ by engineering the TMD bandgap and effective mass. In addition, negative differential resistance (NDR) can be found along ballistic transport due to the narrow energy dispersion of the TMD bands and the reduction of available states in the drain. Meanwhile, the SS of $\sim 60$ mV dec⁻¹ and DIBL of $\sim 10$ mV V⁻¹ suggest that at the monolayer limit the dielectric environment still dominates the vertical electric field regardless of the TMD dielectric constant. Among the TMDs, the lower electron effective mass and dielectric constant of MoS₂, WS₂ and WSe₂ than those of MoSe₂ and MoTe₂ lead to developed by top-gated FETs with 10 nm width air-oxidized Al dielectric environment still dominates the vertical electric field regardless of the TMD dielectric constant. The extremely low contact, dielectric and material (Fig. 6a). As low $\epsilon_{\text{ox}}$ causes a high $\epsilon_{\text{ox}}$ = 25. The extremely low $\lambda < 0.5$ nm causes a highly intensified electric field at TMD/contact junctions, enabling the possibility to devise tunnel transistors with a high on-current of $\sim 500 \mu$A m⁻¹ by engineering the TMD bandgap and effective mass. Third, we present distinct doping categories: contact, dielectric and material (Fig. 6a). As low $\epsilon_{\text{ox}}$ causes a high $\epsilon_{\text{ox}}$ = 25. The extremely low $\lambda < 0.5$ nm causes a highly intensified electric field at TMD/contact junctions, enabling the possibility to devise tunnel transistors with a high on-current of $\sim 500 \mu$A m⁻¹ by engineering the TMD bandgap and effective mass. Third, we present distinct doping categories: contact, dielectric and material (Fig. 6a).

### 3. Carrier injection and carrier transport engineering strategies

We next discuss several engineering strategies to overcome the aforementioned carrier injection and carrier transport limitations toward improving the electronic properties of TMDs. The strategies can be divided roughly into three engineering categories: contact, dielectric and material (Fig. 6a). As low $\epsilon_{\text{ox}}$ causes a high $\epsilon_{\text{ox}}$ = 25. The extremely low $\lambda < 0.5$ nm causes a highly intensified electric field at TMD/contact junctions, enabling the possibility to devise tunnel transistors with a high on-current of $\sim 500 \mu$A m⁻¹ by engineering the TMD bandgap and effective mass. Third, we present distinct doping categories: contact, dielectric and material (Fig. 6a).

#### 3.1. Contact geometry engineering

The metal/TMD contact geometry either face-contact or edge-contact also plays a key role in the contact properties. In the face-contact mode, the direct metal deposition onto TMD fractures covalent bonds and originates defects, enhancing $R_C$ due to FLP and high SB. However, transferred metals on 2D-TMDs circumvent the FLP, leading to well-controlled SBs by the Schottky–Mott rule. Alternatively, conductive 2D-metals with a wide range of work functions (Fig. 1) offer the possibility to eliminate metal-induced gap states and unbroken covalent bonds by vertically stacking them on 2D-TMDs via vdW forces, thus reducing FLP and enabling tunable SB for both electrons and holes (Fig. 7a–c). For example, thermally stable degenerate Nb₅₀W₂₅S₇₅/WSe₂ contacts offer an extremely low $R_C$ of 300 $\Omega$ μm, a world record on-off ratio of $10^5$, an on-current of 320 $\mu$A μm⁻¹ and mobilities of 200 and 2000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻² at 300 K and 5 K respectively. Similarly, 2D metallic NbSe₂/WSe₂ elucidates ohmic contacts from direct tunneling through lowered SB (Fig. 1). More interestingly, graphene as a face-contact material can reduce $R_C$ dynamically by pumping charge via band alignment modulation. On the other hand, edge-contact devised by atomically sharp interfaces strongly reduces the FLP and enables control over the SB. To realize edge-contact, several techniques have been formulated as follows (Fig. 7d–f). (i) BN-sandwiched TMDs provide 1D atomic edge ohmic contacts with superior mobility and stability. (vi) Aromatic molecule-assisted in-plane atomic stitching enables large-scale graphene/MoS₂ with a $R_C$ of 300 $\Omega$ μm. (vii) Epitaxial growth of lateral graphene/MoS₂, graphene/WSe₂ and graphene/WS₂ heterostructures results in $R_C$ as low as 11 kΩ μm, 50 kΩ μm and 30 kΩ μm, respectively. (iv) Degenerate edge contacts by alloying (i.e. W₉Nb₅S₁₆/WSe₂) or chemical doping (i.e. NO₂-doped p’ WSe₂, K-doped n’ WSe₂, and P-doped p’-MoS₂) lead to low interfacetransport density ohmic contacts. (vii) 2D-TMD lateral heterojunctions. (vi) Phase transformation yields low SB due to the close band alignment between the metallic-phase work function and the semiconducting-phase conduction band, enabling $R_C$ as low as 200 $\Omega$ μm. (vii) Semiconducting to metallic/semimetallic transitions from monolayer to multilayer in noble-TMDs such as PtSe₂ and PdS₂ owing to the strong interlayer bonding allow the fabrication of single-material nanoelectronic devices with low leakage current and extremely low contact resistance down to 70 $\Omega$ μm.

#### 3.2. Metal contact engineering

The dangling bond-free nature and ultra-thin structure of 2D TMDs do not lead to the formation of any chemical bond with metals; however, the native defects of TMDs induce Fermi level shifts of 1 eV over nanometer scale areas regardless of the metal contact work function (Fig. 1), providing low SBs that in turn approaches to tailor the electronic properties of TMDs. To grasp the great throughput of these strategies, Fig. 6b highlights the most remarkable achievements obtained by specific strategies that we detail in the next subsections.
reduce $R_C$ and boost the on-current via band-tunneling while preserving the SS value.\textsuperscript{120,122} Moreover, metal-induced doping via narrow bandgap or metallic surface state formation can also shift the Fermi level, and thus alleviate the interfacial barrier.\textsuperscript{41} For example, Al (Ag) onto monolayer WSe$_2$ greatly (slightly) shifts the Fermi level toward the conduction band, indicating weak (strong) electron doping characteristics due to the low (high) density of states of Al (Ag) near the WSe$_2$ Fermi level.\textsuperscript{122} Therefore, the prospective choice of metals with the optimal work function can engineer ohmic contacts with negligible $R_C$. For instance, In and Ag metals having a work function close to the electron affinity of WSe$_2$ form low resistant n-type ohmic contacts with ambipolar WSe$_2$, leading to an on-current of 210 $\mu$A $\mu$m$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{122} Similarly, Sc or Ti (Pt) metals with a low (high) work function of 3.5 or 4.3 eV (5.9 eV) contacting multilayer MoS$_2$ can form ohmic (Schottky) contacts; as a consequence, the Sc-ohmic contact affords highly effective mobilities of 700 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [(Fig. 7g)].\textsuperscript{23} More interestingly, Pd-contacted few-layer MoS$_2$ results in p-type doping, leading to the photovoltaic effect by contacting the other side with Au.\textsuperscript{122} Nevertheless, transferred Ag (Pt) electrodes on MoS$_2$ that avoid the FLP effect lead to 20 (67) meV electron (hole) SBs, enabling 260 (175) cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ electron (hole) mobilities and 0.66 (0.21) m$\text{A}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$ on-current.\textsuperscript{40} However, the Fermi level of bulk metals is fixed due to the vast sea of electron concentrations, and thus it is not tunable by electrostatic gating. Conversely, graphene offers not only a gate-tunable Fermi level but also an interfacial layer to avoid metal-induced damage, leading to an extremely low $R_C$ of 200 $\Omega$ $\mu$m (Fig. 7i).\textsuperscript{44,122} Furthermore, vacuum annealing engineering is a proven tool to improve $R_C$.\textsuperscript{123} For example, varying from ultra-high vacuum (10$^{-9}$ Torr) to high vacuum (10$^{-6}$ Torr) during Au, Cr, Ir, Sc metal deposition strongly influences $R_C$ due to the chemical nature of the interface (i.e., covalent bonds, vdW gaps, or permalloys).\textsuperscript{126,127}

### 3.3. Metal/TMD interface engineering

Interface engineering is a promising strategy to modulate the metal/TMD SB. As striking consequences, the $R_C$ can be highly reduced via FLP annihilation, and the channel can be populated with both electrons and holes toward ambipolar conduction. In fact, DFT calculations predict that 0.6 nm vdW-gaps can precisely tune the metal work function-dependent SB.\textsuperscript{18} Furthermore, electrons tunneling through dangling bond-free ultrathin boron nitride (BN) interlayers can annihilate the FLP and enable SB tunability while preserving the intrinsic MoS$_2$ band structure, thus leading to reversible gate tunable ambipolar p–n junctions (Fig. 7h).\textsuperscript{38,50,128} Alternatively, metal oxides provide a fruitful path to unpin the Fermi level. For example, high work function metal Pd/MoO$_3$/MoS$_2$ contacts or the Co catalytic oxidation of multilayer MoS$_2$ into MoO$_3$ nanoparticles can enable ambipolar transport owing to efficient hole injection via metal-induced doping.\textsuperscript{170} 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) based chemical doping\textsuperscript{169} surface charge-transfer via amorphous-titanium suboxide (a-TiO$_2$) capping layer,\textsuperscript{164} molecular NO$_2$ gas doping,\textsuperscript{92} and oxygen plasma treatment.\textsuperscript{191}
into MoS₂; consequently asymmetric Ni/MoS₂/MoOₓ/Pd diodes show a rectification ratio of 10⁵ and an ideality factor of 1.4. Furthermore, the insertion of TiO₂ or MgO thin films between a ferromagnetic Co electrode and MoS₂ significantly lowers the SB toward efficient spin tunnel contacts, leading to a 100-fold on-off ratio and 7-fold mobility enhancements. Similarly, 2 nm ultrathin TiO₂ or Al₂O₃ interlayers can unpin Ti, Ni, Au and Pd metals from MoS₂, and thus reduce the SB by shifting the Fermi level toward the conduction band; consequently, Lₜ substantially reduces to 280 nm, inducing 5–24 fold Rᵥ reduction as well as 10-fold mobility and 5-fold on-current enhancements. A more subtle technique to reversibly modulate the contact resistance by 4 orders of magnitude is by exerting vertical compression via the tip force of a conductive atomic force microscope owing to the enhanced quantum tunneling. For instance, depending on the compressive load applied on a MoS₂/graphene system a small band gap up to 12 meV can be induced within the band structure, thus significantly reducing the SBH and increasing the electron density which improve the current injection.

### 3.4. TMD surface engineering

The high surface-to-volume ratio and ultra-thin structure of TMDs make their intrinsic physical and chemical properties highly sensitive to perturbations in the lattice structure, similar to low-dimensionality oxide nanostructures. Particularly, the TMD work function governs the band bending at the metal/TMD interface and hence the charge transport. The MoS₂ work function highly varies with distinct atmospheric conditions (4.36 eV for ambient, 4.04 eV for UHV and 4.47 eV for O₂), indicating that adsorbents are strong enough to modulate the electronic energy levels in MoS₂; consequently, the charge transport under ambient, low vacuum, and high vacuum conditions is dominated by thermionic, thermionic-tunneling, and tunneling transport, respectively. In fact, physisorption and chemisorption of both water and oxygen have been reported to deplete electrons in n-type TMDs, thus inducing p-doping and weakening the electrostatic screening; conversely, holes are accumulated in p-type TMDs, leading to stronger p-type behavior. Consequently, the on-current of MoS₂ degrades by two orders of magnitude, suggesting that the adsorption of gas molecules at surface defects is an efficient molecular gating strategy with superior control over the charge density than electrostatic gating. Nonetheless, the device performance can be recovered by desorbing adsorbates via vacuum annealing. However, there is some discrepancy regarding the oxygen adsorption on MoS₂. For instance, Qi et al. pointed out that after diminishing the effect of physically adsorbed oxygen molecules almost to zero by using a UHV system with a well-controlled oxygen partial pressure, solely the effect of oxygen chemisorption on surface defects of few layer MoS₂ results in n-doping without mobility degradation owing to the dissociative adsorption of oxygen, which lowers the conduction band edge locally. Furthermore, Komsa et al. deliberately created sulfur vacancies on monolayer MoS₂ by electron-beam irradiation and studied whether they could be filled by oxygen and other species to induce doping; as
3.5. Solid state dielectric engineering

Typically, gate dielectrics are responsible for slow and fast charged traps that lead to severe reliability issues such as hysteresis and temperature instabilities, respectively. In this regard, pristine-BN substrates mitigate hysteresis via slow trap suppression but deteriorate the temperature dependence due to thermally activated traps. Alternatively, localized states owing to defective gate dielectrics have been regarded as the origin of the ambipolar conductivity of MoS₂ (Fig. 8a). Interestingly, multilayer MoS₂ on PMMA (SiO₂) exhibits ambipolar (unipolar) transport with high (low) mobilities, suggesting that (short) range disorder dominates PMMA (SiO₂) dielectrics. On the other hand, high-k gate dielectrics such as HfO₂, ZrO₂, and Al₂O₃ allow not only broad carrier density modulation (≈10¹¹–10¹³ cm⁻²) to enable band-transport, but also high carrier mobility enhancement due to the suppression of Coulomb scattering and optical phonon modes (Fig. 8b); for instance 10 nm Al₂O₃ (30 nm HfO₂) top gated 23L (1L) n-MoS₂ achieves a remarkable mobility of 517 (217) cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ with an on-off ratio of 10⁸. However, the high dissociative activation energy between HfO₂ and MoS₂ leads to substantial leakage current; as a solution, buffer layers such as Mg, Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃ improve the conformability between HfO₂ and MoS₂. Accordingly, Y₂O₃/HfO₂ stacks exhibit high-quality interfaces with a low Dₜ of ~2 × 10¹² cm⁻² eV⁻¹, thus yielding a SS of 65 mV dec⁻¹ and a saturation on-current of 526 μA μm⁻¹. An alternative to high-k dielectrics is atomically flat BN with a relative permittivity of 3.5 (close to 3.9 of SiO₂) enabling room temperature mobilities of 140, 214, 151 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ with on–off ratios of 10⁸ to 10⁹ in 3L p-WSe₂, 1L n-WS₂, and 1L n-MoS₂, respectively.

3.6. Ionic liquid and polymer electrolyte engineering

Ionic liquids (ILs) and polymer electrolytes (PE) are cationic-anionic binary organic salts and polymers that under positive (negative) gate bias can accumulate negative (positive) and positive (negative) charge on the gate or TMD channel, respectively (Fig. 8c). Consequently, ultrathin (~1 nm) spatial charge doublets form at the interface, leading to electric double layers (EDLs) that mimic nanogap capacitors with extremely high capacitance (~100 μF cm⁻²). The strong gate-channel coupling in combination with solid state back gates allows fine Fermi level tuning across the whole bandgap, and thus makes it possible to access quasi-continuously a large range of carrier densities up to 10¹⁴ cm⁻². Such fine carrier density tuning capability enables the investigation of novel intriguing properties and phenomena, as we next enumerate. (i) Charge transfer between the electrolyte and TMD takes place until the

![Fig. 8 Dielectric engineering](image-url)

- **a)** schematic of the MoS₂ band diagram on defective SiO₂ with either donor (green line) or acceptor (red line) states, showing the n- or p-type conduction modulation, and **b)** the net electron mobility at room temperature of monolayer MoS₂ surrounded by different dielectrics and considering different scattering mechanisms. Ionic gating: **c)** schematic of the EMIM-TFSI ionic liquid and anionic binary organic salts and polymers that under positive (negative) gate bias can accumulate negative (positive) and positive (negative) charge on the gate or TMD channel, respectively. **d)** device schematic of the left and right gate (LG, and RG) configuration, and **e)** color maps of the left and right gate transfer characteristics of WSe₂ highlighting the PP, NN, NP, and PN behaviors. Substitutional doping: **f)** schematic of a p-WSe₂:Ta/n-MoS₂ heterostructure, and **g)** the gate tunable rectifying characteristics. Reproduced with permission from ref. 145 © 2013, American Physical Society; ref. 69 © 2014, American Physical Society; ref. 157 © 2012, American Chemical Society; ref. 164 © 2014, American Chemical Society; ref. 170 © 2017, American Institute of Physics.
electrolyte redox potential aligns with the TMD Fermi level; consequently, the band bending induced by the space charge reduces the contact barrier and facilitates tunneling carrier injection, boosting the mobility and reducing the subthreshold slope down to 62 mV dec⁻¹.157,158,159 (ii) Ambipolar transport has enabled the possibility to find holes with higher mobility than electrons in MoS₂ as well as to quantitatively determine the band gap based on the electron–hole threshold voltage difference (Fig. 8d).157,158,159 (iii) Ambipolar transistors operating beyond the electron saturation regime, where electrons and holes are injected from opposite contacts, have been used to devise stable pn junctions at temperatures below the glass transition temperature that emit either unpolarized or Zeeman-type circularly polarized light.159–162 (iv) Gate-induced MIT transitions followed by superconductivity with enhanced transition temperature.155,156

3.7. Doping engineering

Doping is the most universal technique to control the carrier type and carrier concentration in the semiconductor industry and thus enable versatile electronic devices. Nevertheless, the conventional techniques used to dope bulk semiconductors (i.e. Si or GaAs) such as dopant diffusion or ion-implantation are not suitable for novel 2D materials such as layered TMDs due to the induced damage. Consequently, alternative doping strategies are required. Therefore, we next review the most successful engineering strategies to precisely control the doping level.

3.7.1. Electrostatic doping. Electrostatic doping, characterized by external perpendicular electric field-induced charge transfer, can tune the electrical transport without introducing disorder and causing defects. Moreover, the charge transfer is reversible and offers long-term stability. In this regard, the 2D nature and weak dielectric screening characteristics of TMDs enable improved electrostatic control than those of 3D materials to finely tune the Fermi level across the electronic bandgap. Therefore, symmetric dual local gate structures shed light to tailor the depletion width at the source and drain contacts independently, and thus reconfigure TMDs from metallic isotype (p–p, n–n) to rectifying anisotype (p–n and n–p) behaviors toward multifunctional devices (Fig. 8e and f).163–167 Additionally, owing to the thickness dependence of TMDs, the gate bias effect on \( L_T \) must be carefully addressed to avoid detrimental impact on \( R_C \) prospects.46,47,132

3.7.2. Substitutional doping. Substitutional doping, characterized by replacement of host atoms by dopant atoms, is one of the most common strategies. In this regard, controlled soft phosphor plasma can induce a broad range of p-type doping in MoS₂ with minimum surface damage, and thus form high quality pn junctions with unexposed n-MoS₂.113 Alternatively, adjusting the molar ratio of source materials or intercalating metal/dopant/metal stacks during chemical vapor growth could yield specific doping ratios of the desired crystals.108,109 On this point, Nb with a similar ionic radius and oxidation state to Mo, only one valence electron less than Mo, is a perfect acceptor candidate for either MoS₂ or MoSe₂; therefore, Nb–MoS₂ has enabled different degrees of p-type doping from degenerate for ohmic contacts to non-degenerate for pn junctions and ambipolar FETs.108,109 Similarly, Ta matches the ionic radius and oxidation state of W, leading to excellent p-type behavior; thereby, p-Ta₀.₀₁W₀.₉₉Se₂/n-MoS₂ yields an impressive rectification ratio of 10⁵ with an ideality factor of 1 (Fig. 8g and h).170

3.7.3. Chemical doping. Molecular absorption-induced chemical doping is a high-throughput tool that can modulate the carrier concentration and shift the Fermi level of the host material via surface charge-transfer while preserving the electronic properties without inducing defects. Considering that the electronegativity (χ) measures the electron attraction tendency of a medium, the surface charge-transfer process between two media can be understood by the electronegativity differences, which determine the net charge flow direction and thus which medium becomes the electron donor or acceptor. Therefore, the higher electronegativity medium withdraws electrons from the other, and the larger the electronegativity difference, the larger the amount of transferred electrons. The dopant molecule electronegativity is defined as \( \chi_{\text{mol}} = 1/2(\text{IP} + \text{EA}) \), where IP is the first ionization potential (the energy to withdraw an electron and form a cation, which is equal to the negative of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO)), and EA is the electron affinity (the energy needed to withdraw an electron from an anion, which is equal to the negative of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)).171 On the other hand, the TMD electronegativity \( \chi_{\text{TMD}} = E_{\text{VBM}} + E_e - 0.5E_{\text{VBM}} \), where \( E_{\text{VBM}} \) is the valence band maximum (VBM) potential against the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE), \( E_e \) (~4.5 eV) is the free electron energy on the hydrogen scale (i.e. the standard electrode potential on the NHE scale), and \( E_e \) is the bandgap. In this regard, Fig. 1 shows the band alignment of monolayer TMDs and the water reduction (H₂/H₂O) potential with respect to the vacuum level.172 It is noteworthy that the concomitant formation of large surface dipoles between charged dopant ions and oppositely charged TMDs alters the vacuum level across the interface, contributing additionally to the work function modulation (Fig. 9a).173 Therefore, dopant molecules with a higher (lower) electronegativity/reduction potential than the flat band potential of n-type TMDs work as electron donors (acceptors) by donating (withdrawing) electrons to/from the n-type TMD, which increases (decreases) the TMD electron carrier concentration; conversely, molecules with higher (lower) electronegativity than p-type TMDs withdraw (donate) electrons from/to the p-type TMD, which depletes (accumulates) holes and thus decreases (increases) its hole carrier concentration. Fig. 9b summarizes several reductant (oxidant) agents enabling n-type (p-type) doping in TMDs.20,139,174–181 For example, amorphous TiO₂-x (chloride) doping significantly reduces the \( R_C \) and \( L_T \) of MoS₂ down to 180 (500) \( \Omega \) µm and 15 (60) nm, respectively, which is one of the lowest \( R_C \) values reported to date, as a consequence of the substantial SB thinning induced by the heavy doping nearby the contact region.67,184,185 Meanwhile, NO₂ chemisorption leads to degenerate p-WSe₂, thus enabling hole tunneling injection into p-WSe₂ through a narrower SB which enables ohmic contacts for the inspection of a high hole mobility of 250 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, a SS of 60 mV dec⁻¹ and an
enhanced on–off ratio.\textsuperscript{82} Benzyl viologen can degenerate MoS\textsubscript{2} in the proximity of the metal contacts, leading to 3-fold \( R_C \) reduction and a SS of 77 mV dec\textsuperscript{−1}.\textsuperscript{186} Furthermore, Au nanoparticle (NPs) decoration \textit{via} AuCl\textsubscript{3} reduction on either n-MoS\textsubscript{2} or p-WSe\textsubscript{2} have shown p-type doping owing to the electron transfer from TMDs to high work function Au.\textsuperscript{78,158,187,188} Indeed, increasing the AuCl\textsubscript{3} dopant concentration from 5 M to 20 M yields degenerate p-type doping in MoS\textsubscript{2}, which has been used to devise CMOS inverters or nearly ideal rectifying pn diodes.\textsuperscript{79,188}

### 3.8. Plasma engineering

Plasma treatment is a versatile tool to control the electronic properties of TMDs. For example, O\textsubscript{2} plasma exposure oxidizes MoS\textsubscript{2} by knocking off sulfur atoms and creating defects, thus leading to MoO\textsubscript{3}. The higher work function of MoO\textsubscript{3} (6.9 eV) than MoS\textsubscript{2} (4.9 eV) creates a band offset between them; consequently, the interface between the exposed channel and the unexposed contacts forms a SB that acts as a tunneling barrier for electron injection.\textsuperscript{189,190} Similarly, the O\textsubscript{2} plasma oxidizes p-WSe\textsubscript{2} into high work function WO\textsubscript{3} (6.7 eV), which improves the hole injection \textit{via} SBH lowering, and thus reduces \( R_C \) and enhances mobility (Fig. 10a–c).\textsuperscript{191}

On the same line, selective contact area O\textsubscript{2} or Ar plasma exposure broadens the SBH distribution from 0.2–0.3 to 0.2–0.8 eV of MoS\textsubscript{2}, thus degrading the electron mobility but enabling hole injection toward ambipolar transport.\textsuperscript{192} Conversely, soft O\textsubscript{2}, Ar, UV/ozone plasma treatments can repair structural defects, remove contaminants and passive photo-excited traps, respectively, leading to enhanced mobility.\textsuperscript{193–195} Alternatively, the H\textsubscript{2} plasma promotes the formation of sulfur vacancies, which act as a double-edged sword because they reduce contact resistance but at the same time increase the number of scattering centers that eventually reduce the mobility.\textsuperscript{196} Additionally, contact-defect engineering by H\textsubscript{2} plasma treatment leads to air-stable degenerate n-WSe\textsubscript{2} with 2 orders of magnitude lower \( R_C \) compared to the pristine sample; consequently the on-current increases 20-fold and the SS reduces down to 66 mV dec\textsuperscript{−1}.\textsuperscript{197}

### 3.9. Phase transformation engineering

Beyond the conventional 2H-semiconducting phase of TMD materials, there exist several polymorphic phases with intriguing states of matter such as 2D topological insulators, superconductors and Weyl semimetals.\textsuperscript{198–200} Among them, the metallic phase characterized by V-shaped Dirac-Fermion like carriers, carrier concentrations higher than 10\textsuperscript{13} cm\textsuperscript{−2}, weak gate modulation and environmental stability can resolve the Schottky-limited transport in 2D-TMDs.\textsuperscript{201} For instance, seven monolayer 1T-metallic phase TMD/metal structures have been

---

**Fig. 9** (a) Schematic of the doping effect on the work function change (\( \Delta \Phi \)) by emptying/filling states (\( \Delta \Phi_{3D}\)) and creating surface dipoles (\( \Delta \Phi_{SD}\)).\textsuperscript{175} (b) Electronic properties of MoS\textsubscript{2} and WSe\textsubscript{2} before (filled symbols) and after (empty symbols) chemical doping by different dopants: dichloroethane (DCE),\textsuperscript{67} polyethylenimine (PEI),\textsuperscript{181} benzyl viologen (BV),\textsuperscript{186} amorphous-TiO\textsubscript{2} (ATO),\textsuperscript{184} polyvinyl alcohol (PVA),\textsuperscript{213} (3-mercaptopropyl)trimethoxysilane (MPS),\textsuperscript{25} aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES),\textsuperscript{214} gold chloride (AuCl\textsubscript{3}),\textsuperscript{78,158} (NO\textsubscript{2}),\textsuperscript{82} potassium (K),\textsuperscript{215} and octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS).\textsuperscript{214} Reproduced with permission from ref. 173 © 2017, American Chemical Society.
theoretically identified by Ouyang et al. as zero Schottky barrier
contacts owing to efficient interface charge transfer based on
the amount of interface orbital overlapping and lattice misfit.\\nIndeed, selective area semiconducting-to-metallic phase trans-
formation exhibits defect-free atomically sharp interfaces and
matched band alignment between the work function and the
conduction band energy, leading to seamlessly ohmic side
contacts with $R_C$ as low as 200–300 $\Omega \mu m$ as well as improved
device characteristics (Fig. 10d).\textsuperscript{52,91,201,203,204,205,206,91,207,208} In addition, the metallic-1T phases of MoS$_2$, MoTe$_2$ and WSe$_2$ are stable up to
100, 300 and 180 °C respectively, although further thermal
annealing or laser irradiation can reverse the transition back to
the 2H-semiconducting phase.\textsuperscript{203,205,206} Furthermore, experimental
and theoretical calculations of sub-10 nm 1T/2H/1T
MoS$_2$ structures exhibit an off-current of 10 pA $\mu m$\textsuperscript{-1}, an on-
off ratio of $10^8$–$10^9$, and a SS of 69–120 mV dec$^{-1}$, which are only
limited by intraband tunneling at 3.3 nm.\textsuperscript{91,208}

4. Conclusion, outlook and
perspectives

This review summarizes the impact of the compelling proper-
ties of 2D-TMDs including chemical inertness, atomically thin
nature, low DOS and large bandgaps on the carrier injection
and transport towards high performance electronic devices.
Although, the weak screening in 2D-TMDs enables fine carrier
density modulation up to $10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$, allowing metal-to-
insulator transitions with optimized superconducting tempera-
ture, we emphasis that utmost care should be taken first on
addressing the $R_C$, SB and FLP in order to achieve carrier
injection with ohmic-contacts, and second on suppressing
carrier traps and phonon scattering centers in order to achieve
high mobility carrier transport towards ballistic transport in
highly scaled devices.

To this extent, we survey several contact, dielectric and
material engineering strategies involving surface and interface
chemistry to optimize the charge injection and transport. The
most successful strategies optimizing charge injection include
graphene and BN 2D-interlayers, coplanar 2H-semiconducting/1T-metallic phase transitions, 1L-semiconducting/2L-metallic
transitions in PtSe$_2$, and amorphous TiO$_x$ capping layers;
consequently, $R_C$ can be reduced down to 300–70 $\Omega \mu m$, which is
in close proximity to the 100 $\Omega \mu m$ speculated by the ITRS
roadmap. Furthermore, metal-transferred electrodes and
alloyed 2D-metals not only provide low $R_C$ ohmic contacts but
also afford mobilities of 200–260 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and on-currents of
320–660 $\mu A$ $\mu m$\textsuperscript{-1}. On the other hand, the current transport can
be readily tailored from degenerate doping to ambipolar
transport by charge transfer via thiol-based self-assembled
monolayers, AuCl$_3$ or potassium chemical doping, NO$_2$ gas
exposure, and phosphor plasma among others. Furthermore,
few-layer BN and Y$_2$O$_3$/HfO$_2$ stacked gate dielectrics can
suppress phonon scattering and boost the mobility over 200

Fig. 10  Oxygen plasma exposure of WSe$_2$: (a) schematic showing the formation of WO$_3$ after O$_2$ plasma exposure, (b) improved hole injection via
SB reduction due to the formation of high work function WO$_3$, and (c) transfer characteristics before and after oxygen plasma treatment.\textsuperscript{191} (d)
Schematic of devices based on the 2H to 1T phase transformation of MoS$_2$ by argon plasma and the corresponding transfer characteristics.\textsuperscript{201,205,206} Reproduced with permission from ref. 191 © 2017, Elsevier; ref. 201 © 2017, American Chemical Society.
cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at RT, whereas, ionic electrolytes induce lowered SB via strong surface band bending, hence enabling tunneling currents that provide subthreshold swing slopes down to 60 mV dec⁻¹. Therefore, the remaining challenge for the next-generation of high performance electronic devices based on the unique properties of 2D-TMDs is to integrate the aforementioned strategies into large-scale and high-quality samples grown by either chemical-vapor-deposition techniques or alternatively by solution processing methods, which is certainly coming soon, but is not yet fully established.
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