An N-heterocyclic carbene ligand promotes highly selective alkyne semihydrogenation with copper nanoparticles supported on passivated silica†
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We report a surface organometallic route that generates copper nanoparticles (NPs) on a silica support while simultaneously passivating the silica surface with trimethylsiloxy groups. The material is active for the catalytic semihydrogenation of phenylalkyl- and dialkyl- and diaryl-alkynes and displays high chemoselectivity and stereoselectivity at full alkyne conversion to corresponding (Z)-olefins in the presence of an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligand. Solid-state NMR spectroscopy using the NHC ligand 15C-labeled at the carbonic carbon reveals a genuine coordination of the carbene to Cu NPs. The presence of distinct Cu surface environments and the coordination of the NHC to specific Cu sites likely account for the increased selectivity.

Introduction

N-Heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) belong to a class of prominent ligands, especially in homogeneous catalysis, primarily due to their strong σ-donor properties that yield organometallic complexes with very stable metal–carbon bonds. NHC ligands have been utilized to improve the performance of numerous molecular catalysts in a broad range of applications such as olefin metathesis, cross-coupling and hydrogenation reactions, to mention just a few. NHCs also bind to the surfaces of metallic nanoparticles (NPs) and wafers, which was initially demonstrated for Au NPs and recently exploited in catalytic applications, such as electrocatalytic reduction of CO2. Another example is the use of Pd NPs decorated with NHC ligands for the Buchwald–Hartwig amination of aryl chlorides.

Selective semihydrogenation of alkenes to alkenes is an important process used in both industry and academia. The corresponding catalysts are typically based on Pd, among which the Lindlar catalyst is most frequently used, even though it relies on scarce and expensive Pd metal and requires toxic Pb additive to achieve high selectivity. Copper-based materials are known to catalyze semihydrogenation of alkenes under flow conditions. In this context, our group has recently reported that copper nanoparticles supported on a partially dehydroxylated silica (Cu/SiO2-700) efficiently catalyze batch semihydrogenation of alkenes in the presence of ligands (e.g. PCy3) with performances comparable to those of the Lindlar catalyst. However, with certain substrates these earth-abundant Cu catalysts still display limited selectivity at full alkyne conversion due to the secondary hydrogenation of formed alkenes.

We reasoned that ligand functionalization of NPs would improve chemoselectivity towards alkenes through competitive adsorption or poisoning of unselective sites by strong σ-donor ligands such as NHCs. However, free NHCs are strong bases (imidazolium/-ylidene couples typically have pKa values in the 20–29 range) and as such deprotonate the surface silanols of silica. In order to favor the selective coordination of an NHC ligand on the Cu sites, we use Surface Organometallic Chemistry (SOMC) with a tailored molecular precursor, [Cu4(HMDS)4] [HMDS = N(SiMe3)2] to synthesize Cu nanoparticles on passivated silica (that is silica where surface silanols are replaced by trimethylsilyloxy groups, SiO2-TMS). The resulting material (Cu/SiO2-TMS) is a highly active alkyne hydrogenation catalyst that becomes selective towards semihydrogenation by addition of a prototypical NHC ligand, IMes through the binding of IMes to mostly Cu surface sites as revealed by solid-state NMR spectroscopy.

Results and discussion

Preparation of Cu/SiO2-TMS

The SOMC approach exploits the reactivity of [Cu4(HMDS)4] that was prepared on the gram scale from [Cu2(COD)2Cl2] (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) and NaHMDS (39% yield, see ESI† for details). This Cu precursor reacts with SiO2-700 (2.1 equiv. Cu
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per surface ≈Si–OH site) in THF at 60 °C (Scheme 1) to yield a material containing 2.12, 1.81, 0.29 and 0.26 wt% loadings of Cu, C, H and N, respectively, by elemental analysis.

IR spectroscopy (Fig. 1) reveals that isolated silanols are fully consumed when [Cu4(HMDS)4] is contacted with SiO2-700 (disappearance of the sharp band at 3747 cm⁻¹ with concomitant appearance of C–H stretching bands at 2902 and 2954 cm⁻¹ associated with SiMe3 groups). The 1H, 13C and 29Si solid-state NMR spectra of the grafted material indicate the presence of SiMe3 groups in two different chemical environments (1H: 0.8 and 0.5 ppm, 13C: 7 and 0 ppm and 29Si: 2 and 15 ppm, Fig. S1) corresponding to N(SiMe3)2 and OSiMe3, respectively.40

These observations are consistent with the formation of isolated multinuclear Cu along with ≈Si–OSiMe3 surface sites by grafting of [Cu4(HMDS)4] on SiO2-700 via protonolysis, releasing HN[TMS]2 that further passivates remaining free silanols40,41 ([Cu4HMDS]/SiO2-TMS Scheme 1). Treatment of [Cu4HMDS]/SiO2-TMS under a flow of H2 at 300 °C results in a color change from white to dark red and affords Cu/SiO2-TMS (1). The HR-TEM images of 1 show the presence of small, well-dispersed Cu NPs with a narrow size distribution of 2.0 ± 0.6 nm (Fig. 1e and f). Elemental analysis of 1 indicates a Cu loading of 2.31 wt%, and H2 chemisorption gives ca. 0.07 mmol Cusurface g⁻¹ in Cu surface sites (Fig. S2). This loading is lower compared to that obtained using the [Cu5(Mes)5] precursor (5.5 wt%, 0.31 mmol Cusurface g⁻¹),29 in agreement with the competing passivation of silanol sites by Me3Si groups that consequently limits the density of Cu sites upon grafting.

The IR spectrum of 1 displays a strong C–H stretching band at 2967 cm⁻¹, along with a weak band at 3747 cm⁻¹ that is associated with the presence of a small amount of residual ≈Si–OH sites (ca. 10% with respect to initial silanols, Fig. 1d). NMR analysis (Fig. S1f) shows that 1 features a single set of peaks at 0.5 ppm (1H), 0 ppm (13C) and 15 ppm (29Si), pointing to a single chemical environment for SiMe3 groups. These observations are consistent with the formation of Cu NPs from ≈Si–O–[Cu4(HMDS)4]m sites upon H2 treatment, regenerating surface silanols that are mostly directly passivated into ≈Si–O–SiMe3 sites by released HN(SiMe3)2.

Activity in alkyne hydrogenation

Next, we recorded H2 consumption profiles during hydrogenation of a prototypical substrate 1-phenyl-1-propyne (S1) catalyzed by 1 (20 bar H2, 60 °C, 1.8 mol% Cu5(C014mol% CuCatal)), both with or without additional ligands in the reaction media (Fig. 2). Two subsequent linear trends are observed in the H2 consumption profile for the unmodified catalyst 1 (Fig. 2c, black trace). The first linear slope of ca. 4.3 × 10⁻² mol H2 L⁻¹ h⁻¹ (1.2 × 10⁻⁴ mol H2 L⁻¹ s⁻¹) at early stages is associated with hydrogenation of S1 to the (2Z)-S1H. Following the full hydrogenation to S1H, the overhydrogenation of S1H to S14H occurs at a faster rate (second, steeper linear slope) of ca. 1.8 × 10⁻¹ mol H2 L⁻¹ h⁻¹ (5.0 × 10⁻⁵ mol H2 L⁻¹ s⁻¹). GC analysis of the reaction mixture after 16 h confirms quantitative conversion of S1 to S14H (Fig. 2c, black, and Table 1, entry 1). The two-step behavior of the process likely originates from inhibition of the overhydrogenation by the starting alkyne until the latter gets depleted, and only then formation of S14H proceeds. The fast rate of overhydrogenation at high conversion is thus responsible for the quick deterioration of chemoselectivity in the desired cis-olefinic product.

Introducing 2 mol% of IMes (ca. 1:6 Cu/Catal/IMes ratio) to the catalytic mixture does not significantly change the initial rate of hydrogenation of 1-phenyl-1-propyne, as follows from a very similar slope in the H2 uptake profile (5.0 × 10⁻² mol H2 L⁻¹ h⁻¹ and 1.4 × 10⁻⁴ mol H2 L⁻¹ s⁻¹; Fig. 2c, red trace). These unaltered rates suggest no poisoning of catalytic sites by IMes binding. However, the overhydrogenation to S14H is fully
Fig. 2 Hydrogenation of alkenes with catalyst 1: (a) General reaction scheme, (b) substrate scope, (c) H2 consumption profiles for hydrogenation of 1-phenyl-1-propane (S1, 400 μmol) at 20 bar, 60 °C in toluene over unmodified 1 (black, 1.8 mol% Cu_total) or in the presence of IMes (red) or PCy3 (blue) using a ligand/S1 molar ratio of 1: 50, and (d) selectivity to (Z)-S1_2H and S1_4H (selectivity[(Z)-S1_2H/S1_4H]) at the final time (16 h).

Table 1 Selectivities among hydrogenation products (S1_2H and S1_4H) for the hydrogenation of S1 (20 bar, 60 °C, 16 h) with catalyst 1 at 1.8 mol% Cu_total loading. All reactions lead to complete conversion (>99%) of S1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>I/S1 ratio</th>
<th>Sel. S1_2H (Z/E) (%)</th>
<th>Sel. S1_4H (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>97 (99:1)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>95 (98:2)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>12 (58:42)</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PCy3</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>66 (89:11)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

inhibited in the presence of IMes and the chemoselectivity to [Z]-S1_2H remains at 97% even after 16 h of reaction (Fig. 2c, red, and Table 1, entry 2). The high [Z]-S1_2H chemoselectivity is also retained at a lower IMes/S1 ratio of 1:100 (Table 1, entry 3 and Fig. S3†). Furthermore, GC analysis using an internal standard reveals only minor amounts of unidentified side products (1%, Table S1, entry 2), possibly oligomers, confirming the very high overall selectivity to (Z)-S1_2H with added IMes.

Hot filtration experiments showed no further conversion of S1 after removal of catalysts from the reaction mixture with or without added IMes, thereby demonstrating that no leaching of copper occurs, consistent with our previous observations.29

Control experiments reveal that while [Z]-S1_2H is readily hydrogenated by 1, introducing IMes (2 mol% with respect to [Z]-S1_2H) completely shuts down the conversion of the alkene (Table S2†). This result clearly demonstrates that the NHC ligand inhibits hydrogenation of [Z]-S1_2H to S1_2H, thereby avoiding overhydrogenation. For comparison, when IMes is replaced by tricyclohexylphosphine (2 mol% PCy3), overhydrogenation is not completely hindered, thus compromising the selectivity, in particular at high conversion (Fig. 2c and d, blue traces, and Tables 1, entry 5, and S2†).

To illustrate that IMes generally improves selectivity for semihydrogenation, we submitted several additional phenyl-, dialkyl- and diarylalkynes† (S2–S7, Fig. 2b) to hydrogenation over 1 with or without added IMes. We optimized conditions (1 : 50 IMes/substrate ratio, 20 bar, 60 °C, 24 h, 9 mol% Cu_total, 2 mol% Cu_surface) to ensure full conversion of the starting alkyne, which was successfully achieved for all substrates except S7 (vide infra, Table 2). At this extended reaction time and significantly higher catalyst loading, S1 retains good selectivity to S1_2H (88%) in the presence of IMes that is however completely lost due to S1_4H (>99%) when IMes is not added (Table 2, entries 1–2). Being structurally related to S1, 1-phenyl-1-hexyne (S2) likewise displays high selectivity for semihydrogenation to S2_2H (91%) only in the presence of IMes, whereas complete overhydrogenation to the corresponding alkane is observed using pristine 1; both reactions proceed without detected side-products (Table 2, entries 3–4). The selectivity to the (Z)-olefin is very high for the aliphatic alkyne S3 (99% to S3_2H, >99 : 1 Z/E ratio) when IMes is added to the reaction mixture, in contrast to what is observed in the absence of the ligand, where lower Z selectivity (Z/E = 9 : 1) and substantial by-product formation occur (17%), presumably due to oligomerization (Table 2, entries 5–6).

The semihydrogenation of diphenylacetylenes S4 and S5 in the presence of IMes provides respective (Z)-olefins in very high yields (>96%); in contrast, complete overhydrogenation to the corresponding diphenylethanes occurs when IMes is not added (>99% selectivity, Table 2, entries 7–10). The chloro-derivative S6 yields mostly S6_4H (72% selectivity) over the unmodified catalyst 1 while S6_2H is obtained with 28% selectivity. Interestingly, S6_4H formed with pristine 1 is roughly an equal mixture of Z- and E-isomers (Table 2, entry 11). Addition of the IMes ligand alleviates low chemo- and stereoselectivities, yielding Z-stilbene S6_2H in 93% yield (Table 2, entry 12). The bromo-derivative S7 does not reach full conversion both with or without added IMes (66 and 79% conversion, respectively) and features high selectivity to (Z)-S7_2H in both cases (>99%, entries 13–14). This high chemoselectivity to (Z)-S7_2H likely originates from the remaining alkyne S7, consistent with the inhibition of the
overhydrogenation by the starting alkyne, as was also reported previously.\textsuperscript{29} We note that both halogen-containing substrates \textit{S6} and \textit{S7} react slower over catalyst \textit{1}, possibly due to the interaction of the Cu surface with the halogen substituent. The latter effect would also be consistent with the high fraction of a chloro-stilbene (\textit{E}-\textit{S6}\textsubscript{2H}) formed with pristine catalyst \textit{1}, probably through a secondary isomerization of (\textit{Z}-\textit{S6}\textsubscript{2H}) on a Cu surface that had possibly been modified by an interaction with the Cl-substituent (Table 2, entry 1). Overall, these results demonstrate that the enhancement in selectivity provided by IMes can be extended to phenylalkyl, dialkyl and diaryl internal alkynes. These findings further underline the impressive effect of IMes on inhibiting the undesired overhydrogenation of internal olefins and also hindering side reactions such as oligomerization and olefin isomerization, especially at elevated temperature (60 °C).\textsuperscript{29}

### Characterizing the ligand–particle interaction

To understand the origin of the improved chemoselectivity, we studied the nature of the IMes–Cu NP interaction at the molecular level using solid-state NMR. Contacting \textit{1} with a toluene solution of IMes\textsuperscript{*}, \textsuperscript{13}C-labeled at the carbenic carbon, followed by washing of the resulting material with toluene and pentane and drying under vacuum yields IMes\textsuperscript{*}–Cu/SiO\textsubscript{2}-TMS (Z, the labeled analogue of 2, Scheme 1). Elemental analysis of Z\textsuperscript{*} gives 1.82, 3.37, 0.48 and 0.44 wt% Cu, C, H and N, respectively, consistent with the immobilization of IMes\textsuperscript{*} on Cu/SiO\textsubscript{2}-TMS (Table S3\textsuperscript{†}). Elemental analysis data allow estimating the Cu/surface/IMes ratio to be ca. 1 : 1.4, suggesting concomitant binding of IMes\textsuperscript{*} on both Cu NPs and the support. The IR spectrum of 2 contains bands reminiscent of the NHC ligand, confirming adsorption of IMes onto 1 (Fig. S4\textsuperscript{†}). Exposure of 1 to 33 mbar of CO (Fig. S5\textsuperscript{†}) gives a broad IR band between 2000–2200 cm\textsuperscript{-1} that can be decomposed into 3 main components centered at 2119, 2095 and 2055 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. Repeating the experiment with 2 (Fig. S5\textsuperscript{†}) produces CO bands that are less intense, possibly because its adsorption is hindered by the bulky NHC ligand, as already observed with PCy\textsubscript{3}-functionalized non-passivated Cu/SiO\textsubscript{2}-700.\textsuperscript{28} Moreover, the feature at 2119 cm\textsuperscript{-1} disappears whereas a new band appears at 2004 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, resulting in an overall red shift of CO adsorption modes. This red shift points to a stronger back-donation to CO, consistent with more electron-rich Cu NPs as expected from binding of an IMes ligand to the Cu surface. Furthermore, X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy of 1 and 2 demonstrates a slight change in the Cu-K white line from 8996.5 to 8996.7 eV that also supports binding of IMes on Cu NPs (Fig. S6\textsuperscript{†}).

NMR spectroscopy is particularly instrumental in elucidating the coordination of ligands, including NHCs, on transition-metal NPs.\textsuperscript{18,19,22,24,46–48} The \textsuperscript{13}C direct excitation spectrum of Z\textsuperscript{*} (Fig. 3b) reveals a major, broad peak centered at 178 ppm and a shoulder at 189 ppm, which are also present in \textsuperscript{13}C cross-polarization (CP) spectrum (Fig. 3c). In contrast, these peaks disappear in the \textsuperscript{13}C CP spectrum of 2 (Fig. 3e) and can thus be attributed \textsuperscript{13}C-labeled carbons in 2.\textsuperscript{†} In addition, the intensity of the peak at 178 ppm relative to that of TMS groups of the support (−2 ppm) increases in the direct excitation spectrum compared to the CP one (Fig. 3b and c\textsuperscript{†}), pointing to carbon atoms not directly bonded to protons. With these observations, we assign the peak at 178 ppm to (\textsuperscript{13}C-labeled) carbene carbon coordinated to Cu NPs. This assignment is further supported by the upfield shift of this peak compared to the carbenic carbon in free IMes\textsuperscript{*} (219 ppm, Fig. 3a\textsuperscript{†}) and by the similarity of the observed shifts to values reported for NHC bound to Cu sites in [Cu(IMes)(L)] molecular complexes.\textsuperscript{28} In the same ppm range, the shoulder at 189 ppm is also attributed to Cu-bound carbenic carbons, likely in a different environment. The relative intensity of this peak is similar in the direct excitation and CP spectra (Fig. 3b and c\textsuperscript{†}), suggesting the presence of protons in the vicinity of the carbene ligand, possibly associated with Cu sites closer to the interface with the SiO\textsubscript{2}-TMS support. Alternative interpretations are that distinct resonances at 178 and 189 ppm arise from IMes bound

### Table 2

Conversions and overall selectivities for hydrogenation (20 bar, 60 °C, 24 h) with catalyst \textit{1} (9 mol% Cu\textsubscript{total}) in the presence or absence of IMes using a IMes/substrate molar ratio of 1 : 50 (see ESI for details)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>Sel. S\textsubscript{3H} (%)</th>
<th>S\textsubscript{2H} Z/E ratio</th>
<th>Sel. others (S\textsubscript{4H}) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;99 (&gt;99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>90 : 10</td>
<td>&lt;1 (&lt;—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;99 (&gt;99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91 : 9</td>
<td>9 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>91 : 9</td>
<td>17 (&lt;1)\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>&gt;99 : 1</td>
<td>1 (&lt;1)\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;99 (&gt;99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>97 : 3</td>
<td>&lt;1 (&lt;—)</td>
<td>&gt;99 (&gt;99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>48 : 52</td>
<td>72 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98 : 2</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>99 : 1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>99 : 1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>S7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>99 : 1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>S7</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>99 : 1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Mass balance indicates the formation of undetected side products, likely oligomers.
on (111) and (200) facets, which are expected to be present on Cu nanoparticles, or from high (terraces) and low (corners or edges) coordination sites present on Cu NPs.

The peak at 175 ppm in the CP spectrum of 2 (Fig. 3c) is attributed to the 13C-labeled carbenic carbon of IMes* in interaction with the support, as this peak is also found for the fully passivated SiO2-TMS support contacted with IMes*IMes*/SiO2-TMS (Fig. 3d and S7f) and it may be due to the carbene coordinated to Si atoms in TMS groups or siloxane bridges. Peaks at 133 and 145 ppm in the CP spectrum of 2 (Fig. 3c) are also observed for non-passivated SiO2-700 treated with IMes* (Fig. S7f); they are assigned to 13C-labeled imidazolium species formed on the support upon deprotonation of residual silanols by IMes*. The observation of peaks attributed to surface species on the support itself is also consistent with elemental analysis of 2, which shows the presence of an excess of the ligand per available surface Cu atom.

Collectively, these results prove the immobilization of IMes in 2 with a partial coverage of the support and actual ligand–particle interaction through genuine coordination of the carbenic carbon to the copper particle.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we report the controlled synthesis of small Cu NPs supported on Me3Si-passivated SiO2-700 through SOMC. The supported Cu NPs are highly active for the hydrogenation of alkynes, and introduction of an NHC ligand greatly improves the selectivity of these particles for semihydrogenation of phenylalkyl, dialkyl and diaryl internal alkynes generating the corresponding cis-olefins with very high selectivities (>95%) at full conversion. This increased selectivity likely arises from the binding of the IMes ligand to Cu NPs, in a genuine coordination which has been confirmed by 13C NMR spectroscopy. This work shows that inexpensive and readily available supported Cu nanoparticles can be turned into highly selective catalysts by choosing appropriate coordinating ligands to modulate their activity and selectivity. Our group is currently exploring this research direction.
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