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A Mn(n) complex featuring a terminal oxo ligand, [MnIV(O)(ditox)]3[K(15-C-5)]2 (3; ditox = ‘BuMeCOH’, 15-C-5 = 15-crown-5-ether) has been isolated and structurally characterized. Treatment of the colorless precursor [MnII(ditox)]3[K(15-C-5)]2 (2) with iodosobenzene affords 3 as a green free-flowing powder in high yields. The X-ray crystal structure of 3 reveals a pseudotetrahedral geometry about the central Mn, which features a terminal oxo (d(Mn–Oterm) = 1.628(2) Å). EPR spectroscopy, SQUID magnetometry, and Evans method magnetic susceptibility indicate that 3 consists of a high-spin S = 5/2 Mn(n) metal center. 3 promotes C–H bond activation by a hydrogen atom abstraction. The [MnIV(O)(ditox)]2− furnishes a model for the proposed terminal oxo of the unique manganese of the oxygen evolving complex of photosystem II.

The preponderance of metal-oxo complexes, including that for Mn19–22 feature a pseudo-octahedral or tetragonal ligand field,24 beginning with the early description of the electronic structure of the vanadyl oxo.25 When metals have higher d electron counts, the oxo is better stabilized in the more uncommon trigonal ligand field,26–27 as demonstrated by the C3 symmetry of the [H3buen]13− ligand.28 We have explored the exceptionally weak trigonal ligand field engendered by the tris(alkoxide) platform of ditox (Hditox = ‘BuMeCOH’)29 to support the metal-oxo unit.26–28 The steric bulk of the ditox ligand is less than that of the related tritox26,27 ligand as a result of the replacement of one tert-butyl group by one methyl group. The ditox ligand allows for the preferential formation of tris(alkoxide) metal complexes, which readily accommodate a terminal-oxo in a pseudotetrahedral ligand field upon oxygen-atom transfer, as has been demonstrated for a series of 3d transition metals (M = V, Cr, Fe).26–34 Herein, we show that a trigonal ligand field of the ditox ligand can support a Mn(n) terminal oxo. The isolation, structural characterization and reactivity of [Mn(O)(ditox)]3[K(15-C-5)]2 (3) provides a benchmark for Mn(n) in an exclusive oxygen ligand field, as is the case for the Mn(n) centers of the oxygen evolving complex (OEC) of photosystem II.

The preparative reaction chemistry utilized to obtain Mn(n) and Mn(n)-oxo compounds in the tris(ditox) environment is outlined in Fig. 1. The addition of three equivalents of K(ditox) to MnCl2 in THF at ambient temperature readily furnishes a colorless solid and a faint yellow solution of MnII(ditox)−K(THF)2 (1). Filtration, removal of solvent in vacuo, and crystallization from pentane at −40 °C gives analytically pure colorless crystals of 1 in 54% yield. The potassium salt, [MnII(ditox)]3[K(15-C-5)]2 (2), is prepared by treatment of a pentane solution of 1 with two equivalents of 15-C-5 at room
temperature, quantitatively furnishing 2 as a colorless fine powder. Crystals of 2 suitable for X-ray diffraction can be obtained from a concentrated Et2O solution cooled at −40 °C overnight. Treating 2 with one equivalent of PhIO in THF at room temperature rapidly generates a dark green solution of [MnIV(O)(ditox)]2[K(15-C-5)]2 (3). Filtration, removal of solvent in vacuo, and multiple pentane washings of the resultant solid furnishes 3 as an intensely dark green powder in 79% yield following drying in vacuo. Solutions of 3 stored under an inert atmosphere at ambient temperature change from dark green to brown over the course of a few hours, indicating the decomposition of 3, which can be stored indefinitely as a solid in the dark at −40 °C. The negative mode ESI-MS spectrum of 3 (Fig. S1†) exhibits a prominent ion peak at m/z 542.4 with mass and isotopic distribution patterns corresponding to [MnIV(O)(ditox)]2+ (calcd m/z 542.4). A mass shift from m/z 542.4 to 544.4 was observed when 3 was generated using 18O-enriched PhIO (46% 16O: 54% 18O). Crystals of 3 suitable for X-ray diffraction can be obtained from a concentrated Et2O solution of 3 cooled overnight at −40 °C.

Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies were performed on compounds 1–3. Details of the data collection are provided in Table S1† and X-ray crystal structures are shown in Fig. 2 and in Fig. S2–S4,† which also provide selected bond lengths and angles for the complexes. Compound 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c and exhibits a Y-shaped geometry about manganese with the potassium cation coordinated directly to two ditox ligands (Fig. 2). The average Mn–Oalk bond distance is 1.941 ± 0.052 Å. The sum of the Oalk–Mn–Oalk bond angles of 1 is 359.2°, highlighting the planar nature of the compound and indicating that the manganese center resides in the plane of the alkoxides. However, the O(1)–Mn(1)–O(1A) bond angle of 97.64(8)° in 1 is significantly contracted relative to the two other O–Mn–O angles in the trigonal plane. One ditox ligand of 1 was disordered over two positions in a 53 : 47 ratio, and each THF molecule was disordered over two positions in a 50 : 50 ratio.

Compound 2 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P21/c as a discrete anion/cation pair (Fig. 2). The average Mn–Oalk bond distance is 1.925 ± 0.003 Å, and the sum of the Oalk–Mn–Oalk bond angles is 359.8°, indicating the trigonal planar nature of 2. The sequestration of the potassium counterion by two crown ether molecules prevents distortion of the Oalk–Mn–Oalk bond angles and renders a pseudo-$D_{3h}$ symmetry about manganese in which each angle is very nearly 120°.

Compound 3 crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1 as a discrete anion/cation pair (Fig. 2). The manganese center exhibits a slightly axially distorted pseudotetrahedral geometry with approximate $C_{3v}$ symmetry. The terminal Mn–Oterm function has a bond length of 1.628(2) Å and is bent at an angle of 85.86(8)° relative to the plane defined by the alkoxide oxygen atoms. The average Mn–Oalk bond distance is 1.849 ± 0.024 Å, which is notably shorter than the average Mn–Oalk bond distance of 1 and 2, consistent with the higher oxidation state of manganese in 3. The average Oterm–Mn–Oalk bond angle is observed to be 111.23 ± 4.52° while the average Oalk–Mn–Oalk bond angle is 107.71 ± 3.69°, an angle that is consistent with a pseudotetrahedral geometry. One of the crown ether molecules is disordered over two positions in a 50 : 50 ratio.

The nature of the Mn(nv)–Oterm is in line with a wide range of metal oxo complexes. Table 1 lists structural metrics for a series of related compounds to 3. Beginning with a trigonal ditox ligand platform, the Mn–Oterm bond distance in 3 is similar to its V and Cr counterparts of d0 and d1 electron counts.
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Preparative reactions of Mn ditox compounds, where OR = ditox and 15·C·5 = 15–crown–5–ether.
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X-ray structures of Mn(n) complexes 1 and 2 and Mn(nv)-oxo complex 3. Selected bond distances and angles are provided in the ESI†.
Inasmuch as the $d^0$-$d^4$ systems occupy the same e-orbital set of primarily $d_{x^2-y^2}$ character, the similarity of the d($M$-$O_{term}) bond distances for $M$ = V, Cr and Mn is unsurprising. The d($M$-$O_{term}) bond distance, which is much shorter than that of hydroxides bound to metals in a ditox trigonal field as reflected by Fe($\text{iii}$)-OH(ditox)$_n$, lies between the Mn($\text{iv}$)-oxygen single bond distance and the Mn($\text{iv}$)-oxygen triple bond distance of structurally characterized manganese complexes with a terminal oxygen ligand (Table 1). Additionally, though not crystallographically characterized, Mn($\text{iv}$)=O bond lengths have been determined by EXAFS for two Mn($\text{iv}$) oxo compounds (see Table 1), and they are in line with that observed for 3.

The FTIR spectra of 1–3 are reproduced in Fig. S5.† The M–OR stretching region of 1 shows a strong absorption at 575 cm$^{-1}$ as well as two weak absorptions at 637 cm$^{-1}$ and 659 cm$^{-1}$. The addition of 15-C-5 to 1 to furnish 2 results in the lowest-energy band of 1 shifting from 575 cm$^{-1}$ to 583 cm$^{-1}$ and the two higher-energy bands of 1 coalescing into a single band at 646 cm$^{-1}$. The expansion of one of the Oalk-Mn-Oalk bond angles of 2 relative to 1 appears to be correlated with a higher-energy M–OR feature in 2. The lower-energy band of 2 shifts from 583 cm$^{-1}$ to 591 cm$^{-1}$ and the higher-energy band shifts from 646 cm$^{-1}$ to 649 cm$^{-1}$ upon its reaction with PhIO to give 3. These shifts to higher energy are consistent with the higher oxidation state of 3 and the attendant decrease in the Mn–Oalk bond distance. The FTIR spectra of 3 and its $^{18}$Ooxo-isotopomer (Fig. S6†) display Mn–Ooxo stretching frequencies at 845 cm$^{-1}$ and 809 cm$^{-1}$ ($\rho$(Mn$^{16}$O)/$\rho$(Mn$^{18}$O) = 1.04; calcd 1.06), respectively.

Solution susceptibility measurements of 1 and 2 at 298 K yield $\mu_S$ values of 6.03 $\mu_B$ and 5.77 $\mu_B$, respectively, which is consistent with both complexes possessing a high-spin $S$ = 5/2 spin state ($\mu_{\text{spin-only}}$ = 5.92 $\mu_S$). The solution susceptibility measurement of 3 at 298 K yields a $\mu_S$ value of 4.54 $\mu_B$, which is comparable to values for other observed Mn($\text{iv}$)-oxo complexes possessing a spin state of $S$ = 3/2 ($\mu_{\text{spin-only}}$ = 3.87 $\mu_B$). SQUID DC magnetic susceptibility of powdered 3 from 2–298 K confirms a high-spin configuration, which is consistent with the weak-field nature of the ditox ligand. SQUID magnetometry at 20°C provides a solid-state susceptibility of 4.75 $\mu_B$ for 3, which is significantly greater than the spin-only susceptibility of 3.87 $\mu_B$ expected for an isotropic $S$ = 3/2 system (Fig. S7†) and is more in-line with a highly anisotropic species. Indeed, X-band EPR spectroscopy performed in perpendicular mode on 3 shows a pseudo-axial signal at $g_s$ = 4.135, $g_f$ = 3.860, and $g_z$ = 1.980 (Fig. 3). Sharp hyperfine coupling can be observed at $g_s$ yielding $A_s$ = 299 MHz. A plot of $\chi T$ versus $T$ for 3 shows antiferromagnetic behavior with $\chi T$ decreasing steadily as temperature decreases to ~20 K, after which $\chi T$ drops quickly to a minimal value of 0.35 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 2 K (Fig. S7†). The large temperature dependence of $\chi T$ suggests the presence of significant magnetic anisotropy, as anisotropic spins possess energy separations between their $M_S$ levels that are often within an order of magnitude of $k_B T$, leading to temperature dependent behavior. Attempts to model the $\chi T$ versus $T$ data without considering magnetic anisotropy were unsuccessful. In order to attempt to quantify the axial zero-field splitting parameter $D$, the $\chi T$ versus $T$ data (Fig. S8†) were fit to the Hamiltonian: $H = D S^z_z + \mu_B S \cdot H$, where $D$ is the zero-field splitting, $S$ is the spin operator, $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, $g_s$ is the Landé g-factor, $S$ is the spin, and $H$ is the magnetic field. The model employs only axial $D$ and $g$-tensors, ignoring any transverse anisotropy ($E$) since including this term did not improve the fit to the data and resulted in $g$-factors that varied significantly from those determined via EPR spectroscopy. The best fit to the data produced $g_s$, $g_f$, and $g_z$ values of 4.15, 3.57, and 1.92, respectively, and a value of $D$ of $-12.31$ cm$^{-1}$, all of which are in good agreement with the values obtained from EPR. To more accurately determine the magnitude of $D$ and $E$, we collected low-temperature magnetization data at various applied DC fields. The resulting plot of reduced magnetization for 3 is shown in Fig. S8† to
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 quantify the effect of strong magnetic anisotropy, the data were
modeled according to the Hamiltonian: $H = D\hat{S}_z^2 + E(\hat{S}_x^2 - \hat{S}_y^2) + 
\mu_B g \vec{S} \cdot \vec{H}$ which is similar to the above mentioned model but
contains an additional transverse zero-field splitting parameter, $E$. Fits to the data using PHI\(^7\) and an average $g$-factor of 3.13
give axial and transverse zero-field splitting parameters of $D =
-23.4$ cm\(^{-1}\) and $|E| = 6$. This $D$-value is several orders of
magnitude larger than those reported for a suite Mn(nv)(OH)
compounds.\(^\text{38,39}\)

Compound 3 can be oxidized under mild conditions. Cyclic voltagomograms of 3 in a 0.2 M [TBA][PF\(_6\)]/THF solution show
an electrochemically reversible oxidation wave with an $E_{1/2}$
value of $-0.65$ V versus Fe\(^{3+/0}\) that we attribute to the Mn(nv/niv)
couple (Fig. 4). A cathodic sweep to negative potentials engen-
ders an irreversible reduction wave with a peak current at
$-2.27$ V versus Fe\(^{3+/0}\). This irreversible reduction wave is
accompanied by the visible formation of a brown insoluble
solid.

Complex 3 reacts with the relatively weak C–H bonds of 9,10-
dihydroanthracene (76.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\))\(^\text{30}\) and 1,4-cyclohexadiene
(CHD) (76.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\))\(^\text{30}\) and produces the Mn(nv) hydroxide, as
the products of the property in color and solubility are similar to
with the previously reported Fe\(^{2+/3+}\)-OH ditox compound.\(^\text{30}\) The
reaction between 3 and excess CHD generates nearly half of an
equivalent of benzene and trace amounts of 1,3-cyclohexadiene
(Fig. S10\(T\)). Attempts to oxidize stronger C–H bonds, such as
those of ethyl benzene (85.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\))\(^\text{30}\) show no reaction by
\(^{3}H\) NMR. Notably 3 also does not react with oxygen-atom
acceptors. For instance, treatment of 3 with a ten-fold excess of
PPh\(_3\) shows no evidence of the oxygen-atom transfer product,
OPPh\(_3\), or any other phosphorous-containing products, as
indicated by \(^{31}P\)(\(^{1}H\)) NMR. This lack of oxygen-atom transfer
reactivity is similar to that observed for the nucleophile Cr d\(^{1}\)
complex [CoCr\(_{2}\)[O\(_2\)Cr(NBAR)\(_2\)] \(^\text{39}\), prepared by Cummins and
coworkers,\(^\text{41}\) who contrast the reactivity of their nucleophile Cr
d\(^{1}\) complex against that of the electrophilic Re d\(^{1}\) complex
[HB(pz)\(_3\)]ReO\(_2\)Cl prepared by Mayer and coworkers\(^\text{42}\) who

\[\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{ditox})_3\text{K}^{15-}\text{C}-\text{S}_2\text{][3]}\text{ in THF/TBA}[\text{PF}_6]\text{.} \]
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