Ultrafast interligand electron transfer in cis-
Ru(4,4′-dicarboxylate-2,2′-bipyridine)₂(NCS)₂]⁴⁻
and implications for electron injection limitations in
dye sensitized solar cells†

Belinda Pettersson Rimgard, a Jens Föhlinger, a, a Jonas Petersson, a
Marcus Lundberg, b ab Burkhard Zietz, a Ann Marie Woys, c Stephen A. Miller, c
Michael R. Wasielewski d, c and Leif Hammarström e, a

Interligand electron transfer (ILET) of the lowest metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) state of N712 (cis-[Ru(dcb)₂(NCS)]⁴⁻, where dcb = 4,4′-dicarboxylic acid-2,2′-bipyridine) in a deuterated acetonitrile solution has been studied by means of femtosecond transient absorption anisotropy in the mid-IR. Time-independent B3LYP density functional calculations were performed to assign vibrational bands and determine their respective transition dipole moments. The transient absorption spectral band at 1327 cm⁻¹, assigned to a symmetric carboxylate stretch, showed significant anisotropy. A rapid anisotropy increase (τ₁ ≈ 2 ps) was tentatively assigned to vibrational and solvent relaxation, considering the excess energy available after the excited singlet–triplet conversion. Thereafter, the anisotropy decayed to zero with a time constant τ₂ ≈ 240 ps, which was assigned to the rotational correlation time of the complex in deuterated acetonitrile. No other distinctive changes to the anisotropy were observed and the amplitude of the slow component at time zero agrees well with that predicted for a random mixture of MLCT localization on either of the two dcb ligands. The results therefore suggest that MLCT randomization over the two dcb ligands occurs on the sub-ps time scale. This is much faster than proposed by previous reports on the related N3 complex [Benkő et al., J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108, 2862, and Waterland et al., J. Phys. Chem. A, 2001, 105, 4019], but in agreement with that found by Wallin and co-workers [J. Phys. Chem. A, 2005, 109, 4697] for the [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ (bpy = 2,2′-bipyridine) complex. This suggests that electron injection from the excited dye into TiO₂ in dye-sensitized solar cells is not limited by ILET.

1 Introduction

Dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have since their introduction in the early 90’s been viewed as promising devices for solar energy conversion into renewable electricity and more recently also fuels.¹⁻³ The well-known, high performance DSSCs have often utilized ruthenium based dyes, where N₃ (Ru(dcb)₂(NCS)₂, dcb = 4,4′-dicarboxylic acid-2,2′-bipyridine) and its derivative N719 (Fig. 1) have been for many years the gold standard.¹ For that reason their excited state dynamics, such as the electron injection into semiconductors, have received much attention.⁴⁻²² The excitation of this class of ruthenium based dyes forms a MLCT state where the charge density transfers from the

---

Ru center to the dcb ligands.\textsuperscript{21–23} For N3 and N719 the HOMO has a significant density on NCS ligands making the transition a mixed [Ru(NCS)\textsubscript{2}]-to-[dcb] charge transfer.\textsuperscript{24,27} Whether the MLCT excitation is localized on one ligand or is delocalized over multiple ligands has been a long debated controversy. In [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{3+} intersystem crossing to a triplet MLCT state occurs in less than 100 fs,\textsuperscript{28–31} and several studies suggest that a \textsuperscript{3}MLCT state localized on one bpy ligand is formed on a sub-ps time scale.\textsuperscript{28,29,35} It is often believed that solvent stabilization is the cause for this localization on a single ligand. The localized MLCT state may then undergo interligand electron transfer (ILET), i.e. charge transfer between the two dcb ligands in the N3 family. ILET would cause a random mixture of charge localized MLCT states to form, independent on which ligand the initial excitation localized the charge. This process is a much less studied topic with little consensus on its time scale (see below).

Many studies of N3 and its derivatives, including experiments with a complete electrolyte mimicking the conditions of a complete solar cell, have shown predominantly ultrafast (sub-ps) electron injection into TiO\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{4,16,19,20} Meanwhile, others report exclusively, or predominantly, slower injection, on the time scale of ps to ns.\textsuperscript{17,18,21,35–37} If only one of the dcb ligands binds to TiO\textsubscript{2}, and ILET is slow compared to electron injection, then ILET may become a rate limiting factor. Other undesirable quenching processes could then compete with injection, consequently lowering the efficiency of the cell. One study reported that ca. 60% of the injection occurred with a 50 fs time constant, suggesting injection from the \textsuperscript{1}MLCT state, while ca. 40% injection occurred from the thermalized \textsuperscript{3}MLCT state with time constants in the ps regime.\textsuperscript{38} It was also suggested that the slow injection occurred in dyes where the initial excitation formed a localized MLCT state on a non-binding dcb ligand, and that the injection rate was limited by slow ILET.\textsuperscript{39} In general, slow ILET could limit the function of molecular assemblies that are based on electron transfer from Ru-poly-pyridine dyes.

A complicating factor for direct measurements of the ILET process in solution is that structurally identical ligands give identical spectroscopic signals in isotropic measurements. One readily available technique to circumvent this problem is anisotropic (polarized) transient absorption measurements. In a pump-probe experiment, the sample is excited and monitored with polarized light, and signals are recorded for both parallel and perpendicular polarizations of the two beams. These signals are used to construct the anisotropy, for which the extreme values for a random orientation of the molecules are given by the excited and probed transition dipole moments being either fully parallel (0.4) or perpendicular (−0.2) to each other. ILET, as well as the rotation of the entire molecule, will randomize the direction of the localized MLCT transition dipole moment relative to the polarization of the pump light and the observed anisotropy decays towards zero (see the more detailed description in Section 3.1).

ILET in [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{3+},\textsuperscript{21,31–34} [Os(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+},\textsuperscript{41–46} and other homoleptic and heteroleptic metal-poly-pyridyl complexes\textsuperscript{47–52} have been studied, both with spectroscopic measurements (isotropic as well as anisotropic) and with calculations. An ILET time constant of 47 ps and 130 ps, respectively, was reported for [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{3+} and [Os(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} in MeCN.\textsuperscript{24} Further studies however contradict these results. A study on the osmium complex conducted by Shaw et al. showed a much more rapid randomization of the localized MLCT state between three bpy ligands, with a time constant of 8 ps.\textsuperscript{54,55} Similarly for the ruthenium complex, Wallin et al. reported a sub-ps time scale for ILET, before complete thermal relaxation (ILET from hot vibrational states is here referred to as ILET\textsubscript{hot}), possibly already in the \textsuperscript{3}MLCT state.\textsuperscript{56} The sub-ps randomization for [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{3+} has been confirmed in a more recent paper by Stark et al. by anisotropic as well as isotropic measurements.\textsuperscript{42} They further studied heteroleptic complexes [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3} \textsubscript{n} \textsubscript{phen} \textsubscript{3} \textsubscript{n}]\textsuperscript{3+} (n = 1, 2; phen = 1,10-phenanthroline), and found that ILET from a fully thermalized MLCT state (ILET\textsubscript{T}) occurs on a 10 ps time scale, as shown by the rise of the isotropic absorption of the reduced bpy ligand. The explanation for the two observed ILET time constants is that the excess energy available at early times, before significant losses by vibrational relaxation, allows ILET\textsubscript{T} to occur as an activationless process between the degenerate MLCT states leading to a sub-ps time constant. As the MLCT state thermalizes, the ILET\textsubscript{T} barrier crossing becomes activated which results in a slower process (\textasciitilde 10 ps).

To our knowledge there have only been three reported studies of ILET in N3, which have been made by means of femtosecond transient absorption anisotropy measurements in the visible region, probing the electronic transitions of the molecule.\textsuperscript{15,53,54} All these studies assumed that excitation creates an initial excited state that is localized on one dcb ligand. Thus, with an initial photoexcitation of a localized MLCT transition, the anisotropy will decrease as ILET randomizes the MLCT over all ligands. On this basis, Waterland et al. reported an ILET time constant of 1.5 ns in methanol,\textsuperscript{53} which is much slower than the reported values for [Os(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} and [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{3+} above. This depolarization mechanism occurred in parallel with molecular rotation, reported as r\textsubscript{rot} = 400 ps. The value for ILET of 1.5 ns is thus strongly dependent on the accuracy of the rotational correlation lifetime of 400 ps. Benkó et al. reported an ILET time constant of about 20 ps,\textsuperscript{55} in sharp contrast to the 1.5 ns obtained by Waterland. The results by Benkó et al. were based on a TA anisotropy value that increased in magnitude, from −0.07 to −0.09 at 850 nm, during the proposed ILET process. At 850 nm there is neither ground state bleaching nor stimulated emission, and the randomization of the MLCT state between identical ligands can only lead to a loss in the magnitude of the ESA anisotropy signal, never an increase. Instead it is likely that 850 nm is at the isosbestic point of two ESA signals with transition dipole moments in different directions and that their relative TA magnitudes change on the time scale of ca. 10 ps because of vibrational and solvent relaxation. Indeed, relaxation processes in Ru(\textsubscript{n})-poly-pyridyl complexes are typically observed on this time scale by the resulting changes in the isotropic spectra.\textsuperscript{29,39,51} While the result by Waterland et al. therefore seems to be the preferable of the two studies, the reported ILET is unexpectedly slow compared to the results for [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{3+} and [Os(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+}. Probing the electronic transitions in the
visible region has the disadvantage that there are several overlapping absorption bands, and the direction of the transition dipole moments is not always clear. Here we therefore set out to probe the vibrational transitions in the IR region that are narrow and less congested. There has been no previously reported study of ILET in N3-type dyes that probes the vibrational transitions. The electron density on the dcb ligand should affect the carboxylate vibration, because an increased electron density is expected to downshift the vibrational frequency. The carboxylate IR bands and their anisotropic transient absorption should therefore be good reporters on the localization of the MLCT excitation.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Vibrational frequencies and prediction of the anisotropy

Time-independent DFT calculations were performed to establish the magnitude of the anisotropy associated with the initial charge localized MLCT state (localized) compared to that of the random mixture of the two possible MLCT states (randomized). The optimization and frequency calculations were performed for both the singlet ground state (S₀) and the first triplet state (T₁) using Gaussian 09 (revision D.01). The calculations were performed using the B3LYP functional and the DGDZVP basis set. The B3LYP functional has been used previously for similar metal centred systems with success and the basis set was deemed sufficient based on the computational cost and the incorporation of polarized double-zeta functions which are essential for the orbital mixing and response to the molecular environment. To incorporate solvation effects the polarizable continuum model (PCM) was used for both states. The calculations excluded symmetry to avoid the rotation of the molecule to simplify the analysis, and the vibrational transition dipole moment derivatives were determined. The electronic transition dipole moment was estimated by a vector bisecting one of the dcb ligands running through the metal center. The resulting FTIR spectra of the singlet and the triplet state were simulated from the frequency calculations using GaussView 5.0.8, a bandwidth of 12 cm⁻¹ (FWHM) and a step size of 3 cm⁻¹, to mimic the conditions of the experimental results and resolution.

2.2 Femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy with an IR-probe

The N712 sample was used as received (Solaronix, Ruthenizer 535-TBA). The absorbance at the excitation wavelength of 650 nm was ca. 0.3. The UV-vis is shown in Fig. S6† and the FTIR spectrum in Fig. 2 and S7.† The sample path length was 500 μm, set by using a Teflon spacer between two 2 mm thick CaF₂ windows (Crystran). All experiments were done in deuterated acetonitrile (MeCN-d₃) purchased from Sigma-Aldrich without further purification.

Femtosecond visible pump and mid-IR probe transient absorption anisotropy spectroscopy was performed both at Uppsala University (UU) and at Northwestern University (NU). The 1.5 mJ, 45 fs output of a 3(UU)/1(NU) kHz Ti:sapphire amplifier (Libra-USP-HE, Coherent/Spitfire Pro, Spectra-Physics) was split into two separate commercial optical parametric amplifiers (TOPAS Prime/TOPAS-C, Light Conversion), which generates the visible pump (650 nm) and the mid-IR probe (1000–4000 cm⁻¹). Prior to reaching the sample, the probe beam was split into a probe and a reference beam using a wedged ZnSe window. The pump beam was focused onto the second dcb ligand, L₂; purple: carboxylate stretches on both L₁ and L₂.
sample forming a ~230/70 μm spot size and its intensity was attenuated to approximately 1 mW. At UU only the probe passes through the sample, while at NU both the probe and the reference do; however only the probe interacts with the photoexcited volume. The probe and reference beams were dispersed by using a commercial monochromator (HR320/Triax 190, HORIBA Jobin Yvon) equipped with a 50/75 grooves per mm grating and detected on a dual array, 2 × 64 pixel mercury cadmium telluride detector (InfraRed Associates, Inc). The instrument response function for the experiments was approximately 300 fs. Anisotropy experiments were performed by running two separate measurements: parallel and perpendicular pump and probe polarizations altered by the use of a half-wave plate. To avoid possible artefacts due to the ZnSe wedge or the spectrometer, the polarization of the pump beam was rotated between experiments rather than the probe. The absence of sample degradation was confirmed by repeated steady-state UV-vis and FTIR measurements. Amplitude rescaling was performed in order to normalize parallel and perpendicular intensities at longer times (>2 ns), in agreement with previously reported procedures.\(^3\)

2.2.1 Analysis. The IR traces show signs of an initial artefact such as that previously observed by Koch et al.\(^6\) To avoid the influence of the artefact the traces were fitted after the initial 500 fs and therefore time zero was left unadjusted. The constructed anisotropies were subsequently fitted with a sum of exponentials convoluted with a Gaussian response. The individual fittings were used as the initial guesses for global analysis and the result is presented in the paper.

3 Results and discussion

The N3 complex and its semi- and fully deprotonated forms N719 and N712 (see Fig. 1) all have strong ground state IR absorptions as reported.\(^4\) In the IR region, however, the solvent interference must be kept low. The mentioned ILET studies of the N3 family have been performed in acetonitrile, methanol and ethanol, which have strong overlapping absorptions as reported.\(^7\) In the IR transient spectrum there is no SE (contrast to Fig. 3 and 6). Generally, the transient absorption band can be predicted from ground state bleaching (GSB), excited state absorptions as reported.\(^8\) In the IR region, however, the solvent interference must be kept low. The mentioned ILET studies of the N3 family have been performed in acetonitrile, methanol and ethanol, which have strong overlapping absorptions as reported.\(^7\) In the IR transient spectrum there is no SE (contrast to Fig. 3 and 6).

3.1 Anisotropy definition

The anisotropy of a transient absorption spectral band can be predicted from the relative angle between the transition dipole moment of excitation and the probed transitions according to eqn (1).\(^2\) The cosine of the angle between the vectors (here excitation and vibrational transition dipole moments) can be replaced by the dot product of the normalized vectors by using basic linear algebra.

\[
r = \frac{1}{3} \left( 3 \cos^2 \theta - 1 \right) = \frac{3}{5} \left( \mu_{el,norm} \cdot \mu_{vib,norm} \right)^2 - 1
\]

where \(\theta\) is the relative angle between the electronic and the vibrational transition dipole moment, which in turn can be expressed by the unit vectors, \(\mu_{el,norm}\) and \(\mu_{vib,norm}\). When several transitions are observed the resulting anisotropy will be the sum of all the contributing anisotropies, \(r_n\), weighted by their respective fractional \((f_i)\) intensities, \(I_i\).

\[
r_{obs} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i r_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{I_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i} \right) r_i, \quad n = m \quad (2)
\]

From eqn (1) and (2), the initial anisotropies \((r_0)\) for the localized and the randomized MLCT state were predicted. The anisotropy of the localized MLCT state originating from the initial photoselection is calculated from eqn (1) by the dot product of the dipole moment vectors determined computationally. The prediction of the anisotropy for the randomized MLCT state requires the use of eqn (2), which includes weighting of the two sources of anisotropy. Here the intensities of the corresponding carboxylate stretches on the two dbc ligands were used.

The experimental transient anisotropy is calculated from the transient absorption measurements according to eqn (3).

\[
r = \frac{\Delta A | \lambda \rangle - \Delta A \langle \lambda |}{\Delta A | \lambda \rangle + 2 \Delta A \langle \lambda |}
\]

When several overlapping absorption bands are present the observed anisotropy will be the sum of all contributing anisotropies weighted by their corresponding \(\Delta A\) values (isotropic spectrum), in accordance with eqn (2). Generally, the transient absorption anisotropy is given by eqn (4), where the contributions from ground state bleaching (GSB), excited state absorption (ESA) and stimulated emission (SE) are shown explicitly.\(^3\)

\[
r_{obs} = \frac{\sum_i (\Delta A_{ESA,i} r_{ESA,i}) + \sum_j (\Delta A_{GSB,j} r_{GSB,j}) + \sum_k (\Delta A_{SE,k} r_{SE,k})}{\sum_i (\Delta A_{ESA,i}) + \sum_j (\Delta A_{GSB,j}) + \sum_k (\Delta A_{SE,k})}
\]

In the IR transient spectrum there is no SE \((\Delta A_{SE} = 0)\) and GSB is usually well separated from the ESA (compare to Fig. 3 and 6). Note that close to isosbestic points between the GSB and ESA, the denominator in eqn (4) goes towards zero, and the anisotropy goes towards infinity. This is observed in the present experiments (Fig. 6), as well as in earlier studies in both visible and IR regions.\(^9,23\) At later times the anisotropy will decrease towards zero as the molecule rotates with a rate depending on the used solvent.
3.2 Computational section

To determine the active vibrational modes and their transition dipole moments, time-independent DFT calculations were performed for the singlet ground state and the first triplet excited state. It is assumed that the observed anisotropy is associated with the $^3$MLCT rather than the $^1$MLCT, due to rapid (<100 fs) inter-system crossing.28–34

3.2.1 Vibrational spectra of the ground state and excited state. The spectrum of the computed $S_0$ state was compared to the experimental FTIR spectrum (see Fig. 2), where good agreement was found. The experimental spectrum shows the symmetric stretch of the carboxylates to be placed in the region of 1347 cm$^{-1}$ and the asymmetric stretch at 1624 cm$^{-1}$. The CN stretch of the NCS ligands at 2108 cm$^{-1}$ is in a region of significant solvent absorption. The carboxylate stretches clearly dominate the ground state absorption of N712 in solution, both experimentally and computationally (Fig. 2).

Considering that the observed anisotropy is the sum of all contributing anisotropies in the system, having fewer overlapping bands simplifies the analysis. The determination of the lowest triplet excited state vibrational frequencies allowed for the construction of the difference spectrum which is relevant to establish regions of fewer overlapping bands between the two electronic states ($S_0$ and $T_1$). The observed transient signals in the symmetrical stretch region of N712 as well as the asymmetric stretch are compared to the calculated difference spectrum (see Fig. 3). According to the calculations, the carboxylate stretches show dominant peaks in the excited state just like in the ground state (Fig. 3). In Fig. 3a, the excited state absorption in the region of the ground state symmetric COO$^-$ stretch shows an intense peak at 1327 cm$^{-1}$, a downshift by 20 cm$^{-1}$ compared to the experimental ground state FTIR. This peak is assigned, with additional computational support, to the symmetric COO$^-$ stretch in the $^3$MLCT state. The peak at 1590 cm$^{-1}$ in the transient spectrum, assigned to the asymmetric stretch, is downshifted by roughly 30 cm$^{-1}$ compared to the FTIR spectrum. In addition to these ESA peaks, there is one located around 1267 cm$^{-1}$ experimentally and 1279 cm$^{-1}$ computationally. This peak and several other peaks in between 1400 and 1575 cm$^{-1}$ are associated with the vibrations of the bpy rings. In these regions many overlapping bands make them unsuitable for further analysis. We primarily focus on the carboxylate symmetric stretch due to its intense excited state absorption with little overlap between neighboring peaks, relative to that of the asymmetric stretch.

3.2.2 Transition dipole moment and predicted anisotropy. There is still no consensus on whether the initial MLCT excitation of Ru(n)opolypyridyl complexes in solution, at room temperature, is localized on one ligand or delocalized over several ligands. However, in an octahedral $D_3$-symmetric M(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ complex a delocalized transition is $x,y$-polarized. If this is localized randomly on any of the bpy ligands, the average MLCT excitation of the sample will still be $x,y$-polarized, and ILET will not change the anisotropy. In contrast, Shaw et al. observed an early-time change in the anisotropy after red-edge excitation of Os(bpy)$_3^{2+}$. The observed anisotropy was initially larger than that predicted for an $x,y$-polarized state, but decreased to the

![Fig. 5 Transition dipole moments used in the prediction of the anisotropy. Orange arrow parallel to the dcb ligand is the MLCT excitation transition dipole vector. Light blue arrow is the vibrational transition dipole moment of the symmetric anti-phase carboxylate stretch associated with the initially excited ligand (L1), while the magenta arrow is the corresponding transition dipole moment on the second dcb ligand (L2).](image-url)
predicted value with $\tau = 8$ ps. This was used to conclude that at least a large part of the initial excitation was localized on a single ligand, and that ILET with $\tau = 8$ ps decreased the anisotropy. Similarly, for N712 studied here, a delocalized MLCT transition would be directed between the dcb ligands. The predicted initial anisotropy, $r_0$, of the carboxylate IR absorption for that case would be much smaller ($r_0 = -0.03$) than the observed experimental value, as discussed below. Therefore, in line with the previous studies,$^{15,39,41,46,53,54}$ a localized MLCT transition directed from the metal to the center of one of the dcb ligands (orange arrow in Fig. 4c and 5) is assumed here.

The vibrational modes of the $S_0$ and $T_1$ state in the carboxylate stretching regions can be found in the ESI.† The transient $1327$ cm$^{-1}$ vibration has been associated with the computed vibrational mode $1319$ cm$^{-1}$ (scaled, see the ESI†). The mode involves both carboxylates on the formally reduced dcb ligand on which the MLCT state is localized. The carboxylates stretch symmetrically, but with an anti-phase as illustrated in Fig. 4. This greatly influences the sign of the anisotropy, because the resulting vibrational transition dipole moment depends on the individual carboxylate vibrations as shown in Fig. 4b. The resulting transition dipole moment of the anti-phase symmetric stretch is shown as a blue arrow in Fig. 4c and in Fig. 5. The corresponding vibrational dipole moment of the carboxylates on the spectator dcb ligand, L2, is shown in Fig. 5 in magenta. The ESA band for this mode is shifted to higher wavenumbers (Fig. 3) and does not interfere with the analysis (see the ESI† for mode $1347$ cm$^{-1}$, scaled). The mode has a much lower intensity compared to the L1 centered symmetric stretch and additionally shows some activity even on the L1 bpy-ring.

The normalized electronic and vibrational transition dipole moments were used to determine the anisotropy for the localized and the randomized MLCT state. The vectors and the calculations are given in the ESI.† The resulting initial anisotropies, $r_0$, are listed in Table 1, where the localized MLCT state presents an $r_0$ corresponding to the lower limit for a disordered solution, $-0.20$. This means that the angle between the excitation and vibrational dipole moments is close to $90^\circ$ as already established visually in Fig. 4 and 5. For a randomized state the computations predict $r_0 = -0.13$, which is based on the weighted sum of the respective anisotropies associated with each dcb ligand. Corrections for possible GSB overlap results in slightly less negative predicted anisotropy values (see the ESI†).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MLCT state</th>
<th>Localized</th>
<th>Randomized</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r_0$</td>
<td>$-0.20$</td>
<td>$-0.13$</td>
<td>$-0.095$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Experimental transient mid-IR absorption anisotropy

In order to minimize the excess excitation energy, hence limiting the number of possible transitions, N712 was excited at the red edge of the MLCT absorption band, 650 nm. The ground state UV-vis absorption is shown in Fig. S6.†

The transient experiments as depicted in Fig. 6 result in an anisotropy close to infinity in the proximity of the isosbestic point, $1340$ cm$^{-1}$, but at the ESA maximum, $1327$ cm$^{-1}$, the $3^{1}$MLCT band dominates and the signal is not significantly affected by the ground state bleaching. Fitting the anisotropy trace at various wavelengths through global analysis in the
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Figure 6: Transient femtosecond mid-IR spectra of N712 in MeCN-d$_3$ excited at 650 nm. Top: the transient spectrum of the total absorption (magic angle measurement) divided by three, in the region of the symmetric stretch, $1327$ cm$^{-1}$. Bottom: corresponding transient anisotropy spectrum in the same region.

Figure 7: Transient absorption anisotropy trace of N712 in MeCN-d$_3$ excited at 650 nm and probed in the proximity of the symmetric stretch, $1327$ cm$^{-1}$. The global analysis was performed after the initial $500$ fs (grey line) and the resulting time constants for the decay are $t_1 = 1.8$ ps and $t_2 = 241$ ps. The total absorption shows no significant amplitude changes on these time scales.
region of the symmetric stretch, as seen in Fig. 7, results in two time constants: 1.8 ps and 241 ps. The analysis was restricted to the frequencies that showed sufficiently good fitting with the mentioned time constants and had the least amount of error in the measurement (error analysis in the ESI†). The short time constant has a positive amplitude (0.04 at 1327 cm⁻¹) associated with an increase of the anisotropy magnitude. The reason for this is not clear. We note, however, that the vibrational relaxation of 3MLCT states in Ru(II)-polypyridine complexes is often seen on the 1–5 ps time scale,24,74,75 which would be consistent with the observed lifetime as well as the time constants found for the isotropic traces by global analysis (see the ESI†). Even though the excitation was performed in the tail band of the MLCT, the singlet-triplet conversion causes a non-thermalized state to form, meaning that the vibrational population distribution will change with time as the system equilibrates. At early times, different effects may reduce the anisotropy magnitude compared to the values calculated and measured for the thermalized 3MLCT state, for example vibrational coupling to other modes. We emphasize that MLCT randomization cannot explain an increase in the magnitude of the anisotropy (see above), meaning that ILET cannot be the origin of the fast component. A longer time constant of ~240 ps (amplitude at 1327 cm⁻¹: −0.095) leads to a loss of anisotropy. This time constant compares to the reported rotational correlation times for N3 in methanol (400 ps [ref. 53]) but is in this case smaller, probably due to the lack of hydrogen bonding in MeCN and therefore a presumed smaller solvent cage.76 Time-resolved photoluminescence studies verified the observed rotational correlation time with excellent agreement (see the ESI†).

The amplitude of the slow 240 ps component is −0.095 at 1327 cm⁻¹. This value agrees well with the r₀ value predicted for a thermally relaxed randomized 3MLCT state (see Table 1). The localized state, originating from the photoselection, is instead predicted to result in r₀ = −0.20. For our data to be consistent with that prediction, it would require a 20° error between the pump and probe. The relative angle between the pump and probe can be excluded as a source of such a significant error, as shown by the reference measurement of 9-cyanoanthracene (see ESI† Section 2). Moreover, the influence of possible probe laser fluctuations was estimated to a mere ±0.004 difference in the anisotropy (see the ESI†). The magnitude of the anisotropy also verifies that the assumption of a localized excitation, compared to that of a delocalized in between the dcb ligands, is legitimate. A delocalized excitation in between the dcb ligands would lead to equal anisotropies for the localized and the randomized state and it would not be useful to study this system. Furthermore, the predicted value of anisotropy would be −0.03, which is much lower in magnitude than the experimental value. The findings therefore suggest that N712 undergoes ultrafast ILET forming a randomized MLCT state from an initially localized excitation. This clearly contradicts the conclusions by Benkó et al. and Waterland and Kelley.55,31 for the N3 dye.

For experimental reasons the present study used the deprotonated complex N712 instead of N3, and deuterated acetonitrile instead of ethanol or methanol, but we do not believe that these differences can explain the large discrepancies in the conclusions of these studies. First, Benkó et al. and Waterland and Kelly strongly disagree on the time scale of ILET for N3 in the respectively used alcohols. As already pointed out in the Introduction, the ~20 ps process reported by Benkó et al. led to an increase in the anisotropy magnitude, which is inconsistent with a randomization process between identical ligands (ILET), and can instead be explained by an artefact from overlapping ESA bands. The τ ≈ 1.5 ns reported by Waterland and Kelly is much longer than the rotational correlation time of the entire complex. They based their result on an extrapolation of data to times after complete rotational randomization, which makes it very sensitive to inaccuracies in the rotational time constant. Also, they probed in a region of overlapping absorption bands, which adds uncertainty to predicted anisotropy values, and is something the present study avoided by probing a certain IR band. Thus, we believe that the present results are more reliable regarding the time scale of ILET in this family of complexes.

Our results compare well with those for [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ in acetonitrile by Wallin et al., who reported that the MLCT state was randomized over all ligands on a time scale shorter than 300 fs.39 The randomization time scale is so short that it must have involved ILET from hot states (ILET₁), as illustrated in Fig. 8 (left panel), and possibly also the 1MLCT. According to Stark et al. (see the Introduction), further ILET in the thermalized 3MLCT state (ILET₂) may be slower,42 but as the MLCT state is already randomized this cannot be detected by anisotropy measurements. The behavior of N712 seems to be very similar and it is therefore likely that N712 also experiences randomization before thermal relaxation and intersystem crossing.

*Fig. 8* Energy levels and competing processes occurring on the fs–ps time scale in the N712 dye. Left panel: in solution the dye has two degenerate MLCT states; the MLCT state is localized on one dcb ligand (L1 or L2). The excess energy available to the system causes vibrational relaxation (VR) to compete with hot interligand electron transfer ILET₁ on the sub-ps to the ~5 ps time scale. As the system thermalizes, ILET can also occur between the relaxed states (not observable in our experiments). Right panel: on the surface of a TiO₂, injection of the electron into the conduction band (CB) competes with VR as well as ILET. If only one ligand binds to the surface its energy is expected to be lowered compared to the non-attached ligand making ILET a downhill process towards the surface.
3.4 Relevance to electron injection in TiO₂

Our present results are in agreement with previous work by Wallin et al. where ILET was shown to occur on an ultrafast time scale for [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ in solution. Therefore, the MLCT localization is randomized over the bipyridine ligands before the MLCT state has thermally relaxed. We believe that it is unlikely that ILET limits electron injection so that it would be responsible for the slow (ps) injection component reported for the N3 family of dyes on TiO₂. ILET₇, which is ultrafast, is likely to become slower in a gradual fashion as the MLCT state thermalizes, developing a barrier for ILET₇. To observe a ~10 ps time scale for injection limited by ILET, the thermal relaxation of the hot MLCT state would have to be much faster than the ultrafast component of injection (50 fs), so that a MLCT population on the remote dcb ligand remains as a result of the formed barrier. In the more likely event that the relaxation is much slower than 50 fs, injection and ILET would occur during the MLCT relaxation. With ILET₇ being much faster than ~10 ps, the MLCT state would be depleted before thermal relaxation is complete. Similar considerations could be extrapolated to molecular donor–acceptor complexes based on Ru(II)-polypyridyl dyes, in cases where electron transfer occurs on time scales similar to or faster than thermal relaxation.

In solar cells, carboxylates of both dcb ligands are involved in binding to the TiO₂ surface, as indicated by FTIR studies and calculations. This removes the need for ILET to achieve 100% ultrafast injection. In the fraction of dyes where only one of the dcb ligands is bound to TiO₂ (proximal ligand), see Fig. 8, the MLCT state of that dcb ligand should be lower in energy than that of the deprotonated remote dcb, because of both electrostatic effects and electronic coupling to the conduction band. This would create a driving force for ILET to the proximal ligand and thus decrease the ILET₇ barrier. Therefore, ILET may be even less of a limitation than what could be predicted from its time scale in solution. The situation is different when ILET to the proximal ligand is significantly uphill. Typically, a rapid MLCT equilibrium between the proximal and remote ligand is established, and the kinetics follows a pre-equilibrium model. ILET can then limit the overall electron transfer process, but that is not because of a small frequency factor for ILET, but because the Boltzmann population of MLCT on the proximal ligand is small.

4 Conclusions

The interligand electron transfer (ILET) of N712 [cis-Ru(4,4’-dicarboxylate-2,2’-bipyridine)$_2$(NCS)$_3$]³⁻ in deuterated acetonitrile has been studied by means of femtosecond transient absorption anisotropy in the mid-IR. By observing the vibrational transitions in the IR region rather than the electronic transitions in the UV-vis region the potential problem of overlapping absorption bands was avoided. This allowed for a better comparison with predicted anisotropies. First, it was concluded that the initial anisotropy value for the anti-phase symmetric carboxylate stretch (~0.095) is inconsistent with the predicted value had the initial excitation been delocalized over both dcb ligands (~0.03). This suggests that the initial excitation is localized on a single dcb ligand. Second, it was concluded that ILET is rapid, occurring on a sub-ps time scale. Thus, before a thermally relaxed MLCT state is formed (~5 ps), the MLCT state is randomly localized on either of the two dcb ligands, irrespective of which ligand was involved in the initial excitation. The system has lost all memory of the initial photo-selection, so that further ILET in the thermally relaxed MLCT state cannot be probed by anisotropy measurements. Our results are in sharp contrast to previous reports from transient anisotropy studies of the N3 family, which suggested ILET on much longer time scales (τ = 20 ps (ref. 15) and 1.5 ns (ref. 53), respectively). Instead, our results agree with the results by Wallin et al., who reported sub-ps randomization of the MLCT state in [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺. Our results suggest that ILET is not likely to limit electron injection in dye-sensitized solar cells based on these dye complexes.
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