Exchange coupling and single molecule magnetism in redox-active tetraoxolene-bridged dilanthanide complexes†
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Tetraoxolene radical-bridged lanthanide SMM systems were prepared for the first time by reduction of the respective neutral compounds. Magnetic measurements reveal the profound influence of the radical center on magnetic behavior. Strong magnetic couplings are revealed in the radical species, which switch on SMM behavior under zero applied field for DyIII and TbIII compounds. HFPEPR spectra unravel the contributions of the magnetic coupling and the magnetic anisotropy. For GdIII this results in much more accurate magnetic coupling parameters with respect to bulk magnetic measurements.

Introduction

Tetraoxolene ligands and its derivatives, where one or more of the oxygens are replaced by nitrogen with an appropriate R-group, have been extensively used as bridges in the assembly of transition metal complexes showing peculiar magnetic and/or conducting properties. 1–3 One major reason is their fascinating electronic structures arising from the redox-rich properties, and extensive tuning of chemical and physical properties is available as a result. 4,5 In particular, upon either oxidation or reduction, these ligands can form a delocalized π radical system, which can lead to strong exchange couplings with paramagnetic ions and can efficiently transmit electronic effects between the bridged metal centers, as revealed in a number of transition metal dimers. 2–8 Nevertheless, tetraoxolene-based, radical-bridged systems have not been reported in lanthanide chemistry. To date, only mono-semiquinonato lanthanide systems were presented, 9,10 as well as tetraoxolene-bridged lanthanide dimers with closed-shell bridges. 11,12 Significantly, a strong antiferromagnetic coupling of over 10 cm–1 was achieved in a gadolinium–semiquinone complex, 11,12 whilst the experimental and DFT investigations of the YIII analogue revealed significant spin delocalization of the oxygen toward the yttrium site, 13 suggesting the strong ability of dioxolene and, by extension, tetraoxolene radicals to mediate magnetic exchange couplings between lanthanide ions. Therefore, tetraoxolene radical bridges are quite promising for achieving strong magnetic coupling in polynuclear lanthanide-based system with the aim of improving single molecule magnet (SMM) properties.

In fact, the development of exchange coupled polynuclear lanthanide clusters with radical bridges is seen as one of the most efficient routes to a high-performance SMM since the discovery of N2––radical-bridged lanthanide SMM showing many times less efficient tunneling than in single ion systems. 16–18 Nevertheless, different from the common lanthanide SMMs, experiencing an unparalleled growth recently, 9,10 synthetic difficulty leads to slow progress in radical bridge-containing systems with only a few successful examples, such as N2–, bpym –, tpbz –, bptz –, ind–, HAN–, bpz– and Y, HBpz3 –. 19–23 All these bridging ligands are nitrogen-based. Here we synthesized a family of tetraoxolene bridged lanthanide dimers, [(HBpz3)2Ln(μ-CA)Ln(HBpz3)]2·2CH2Cl2 (1Ln, Ln = Dy, Tb, Gd, Y, HBpz3 – = hydrotri(pyrazol-1-yl)borate, CA– = chloroanilate). Chemical reduction by cobaltocene leads to the isolation of radical bridged species, [(HBpz3)2Ln(μ-CA *)Ln(HBpz3)]2–·[Co(Cp)3]– (2Ln, Ln = Dy, Tb, Gd, Y). Magnetic measurements reveal strong magnetic coupling between the radical and the lanthanide ions (J = 4.17 cm–1 for 2Dy), promoting the appearance of SMM behavior in zero applied field for 2Dy and
21b. Furthermore, the magnetic coupling in 1Gd and 2Gd was studied by means of high-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance (HFEPR) spectroscopy, which gives more accurate information on the magnetism than magnetic measurements.

Results and discussion

Syntheses, structures, and electrochemistry

The non-radical bridged lanthanide dimers 1Ln were synthesized from an aqueous solution of H2CA, K(HBpz3) and LnCl3·xH2O in a 1 : 4 : 2 stoichiometric ratio. The recrystallization of the crude products in dichloromethane affording single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis. Compounds 1Ln are isostructural, and crystallize in monoclinic space group P21/n (Table S1†). This structure has been previously reported for 1Dy and 1Y.11 The molecular structure of 1Dy is shown in Fig. 1, where two eight-coordinate Dy ions are related by a crystallographic inversion center and each is surrounded by a distorted square-antiprismatic environment (SAP). Furthermore, the conjugated CA2− ligand connects two Dy centers with a Dy–Dy distance of 8.64(9) Å, which is much longer than in the oxalate-bridged Dy2 compound reported in 2010.26 Therefore, the magnetic interaction is expected to be very weak in these compounds. The CA2− bridge has the advantage over oxalate in being (electro)chemically reducible in a facile manner, as seen in Scheme 1. The generation of a radical species is expected to enhance the magnetic exchange coupling between lanthanide ions despite the large separation.

To investigate their redox properties, electrochemical measurements were carried out. Cyclic voltammograms reveal that all compounds display two one-electron reduction steps (Fig. 2 and S1†) related to CA2− bridge in CH2Cl2/0.1 M Bu4NPF6 with the parameters shown in Table 1. Significantly, a reversible reduction is observed near –1.05 V vs. Fe/Fc, and the peak current ratios close to 1 reveal the electrochemical stability of the CA3− radical species formed upon reduction. Furthermore, the first reduction process is reversible in different solvents (Fig. S2†), which is promising for isolation of the chemically reduced species. The UV/vis spectrum of 1Ln displays a strong absorption band in the near UV, which is also seen at shorter wavelengths in the H2CA ligand (Fig. S3†). UV/vis spectrophotometric measurements reveal the decrease of this band upon reduction and the concurrent appearance of a new band between 430 and 490 nm, which we attribute to a radical-based π–π* transition.

Encouraged by these results, we attempted the chemical reduction of all compounds employing cobaltocene (CoCp2/C0Cp2*, E1/2 = –1.33 V) as reducing agent. The treatment with cobaltocene (CoCp2) leads to precipitation of the desired products.

Table 1 Redox potentials versus Fe0/+.1 obtained from cyclic voltammetry experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Red 1</th>
<th>Red 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E1/2/N</td>
<td>Epc/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Dy</td>
<td>–1.09</td>
<td>–1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Tb</td>
<td>–1.07</td>
<td>–1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Gd</td>
<td>–1.14</td>
<td>–1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Y</td>
<td>–1.10</td>
<td>–1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* E1/2 for reversible processes, in CH2Cl2/0.1 M Bu4NPF6 at 298 K glassy carbon working electrode, Pt counter electrode, Ag reference electrode.
species as green powders. The advantage of employing cobaltocene is that the resultant cation \([\text{CoCp}_2]^+\) is a diamagnetic species and silent to all the spectroscopic and magnetic measurements discussed hereafter.\(^{27}\) Even though only low quality crystals could be obtained by recrystallization in acetonitrile, the results from ESI-MS (Fig. S4–S8†) and elemental analysis confirm the clean formation of \([\text{[HBpz}_3]_2\text{Ln(µ-CA')}\text{Ln(HBpz}_3]_2\])\(^{†}\)–[\text{CoCp}_2]\) (2Ln). Taking 2Dy as an example, the ESI-MS (Fig. 3) under negative mode reveals a peak at \(m/z = 1384\), corresponding to the molecular ion of the anion complex, which exhibits the same mass and splitting pattern as the non-radical compound 1Dy. Furthermore, IR spectra of the reduced compounds (Fig. 4) are similar to those of the non-reduced compounds but with an additional strong absorption at \(~1450\) cm\(^{-1}\), which is ascribed to the stretching vibration of \(–\text{C–O}^–\) radical.\(^{8}\) In addition, the spectra show weak absorptions of \([\text{CoCp}_2]\)\(^2–\) at 1022 and 828 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{28}\)

**Static magnetic susceptibility**

Static magnetic measurements for all compounds were carried out in an applied field of 1000 Oe between 1.8 and 300 K with a Quantum-Design MPMS magnetometer (Fig. 5). The \(\chi_{MT}\) values of complexes 1Dy, 1Tb, 1Gd at room temperature are 29.5, 24.2, and 15.50 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\), close to the theoretical values of 28.34, 23.64, and 15.76 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) for two non-interacting Dy\(^{III}\), Tb\(^{III}\), and Gd\(^{III}\) ions, respectively. On decreasing the temperature to 1.8 K, the \(\chi_{MT}\) values for 1Dy and 1Tb decrease, which we attribute to the depopulation of excited crystal field states. Similar results were recently obtained.\(^{14}\) For 1Gd no such decrease is observed in agreement with the essentially isotropic electronic ground state of Gd\(^{III}\), and the absence of strong magnetic couplings in this compound. Starkly different static magnetic behavior is found for the reduced compounds 2Ln. As shown in Fig. 5, the \(\chi_{MT}\) values at room temperature are slightly higher than that in the corresponding non-reduced compounds due to the additional radical centre (Curie constant at room temperature: 0.375 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\)). Upon lowering the temperature, the \(\chi_{MT}\) values decrease more rapidly than in the corresponding neutral complexes, reaching minimum values at 50 K and 20 K for 2Dy and 2Tb respectively. Remarkably, an increase of \(\chi_{MT}\) is observed upon further lowering the temperature, clearly connected with strong magnetic interactions between lanthanide centers and the delocalized \(π\) radical. Below 6 K, 2Dy exhibits a sudden decrease of the \(\chi_{MT}\) value that indicate a possible magnetic blocking behavior, as observed for other radical bridged SMMs.\(^{17,22}\) Given the large magnetic anisotropy arising from strong spin orbital interactions for Dy\(^{III}\) and Tb\(^{III}\) ions it is impossible to accurately quantify the magnetic coupling parameters from susceptibility data alone. The room temperature \(\chi_{MT}\) value for 2Gd is again slightly higher than that of the neutral complex 1Gd, but in contrast, it decreases upon lowering the temperature before increasing again. The absence
of first order orbital angular momentum for Gd compounds\textsuperscript{29-31} allows employing a spin-only Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = J_s \mathbf{S}_\text{rad} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\text{dil}}$ to fit the data. A value of $J_s = 4.17$ cm\textsuperscript{-1} was obtained, revealing substantial antiferromagnetic coupling between the Gd\textsuperscript{III} centers and the radical bridge (Fig. S10$^\dagger$). The magnitude is smaller than in the case of the mono-semiquinonato system ($J_s = 11.4$ cm\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{33} and it is relatively small compared with the N-bearing radical bridged systems (12–54 cm\textsuperscript{-1}), which can possibly be ascribed to the less diffuse orbitals and stronger electronegativity of oxygen donor.\textsuperscript{5} However, it is beneficial to stabilize such a radical species, and indeed the solid compounds exhibit the enhanced stability even upon exposure to the atmosphere. Finally, the temperature-independent $\chi M T$ value of 0.35 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} is consistent with the expected value of 0.375 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} for 2Y.

Magnetization data at fields of 0–70 kOe below 5 K (Fig. S11$^\dagger$) was also collected, and the comparison to non-reduced compounds reveals faster increase of magnetization at low field and lower high-field magnetization for radical-bridged species 2Ln, which is completely consistent with the strong antiferromagnetic exchange between lanthanide and radical. The saturation magnetization of 12.7 μB reveals an $S = 13/2$ ground state in 2Gd. The saturation value of 1Ln and 2Ln (Ln = Dy, Tb) is also consistent with the ground state essentially consisting of the largest $m_j$ state (see \textit{ab initio}).

### Dynamic magnetic susceptibility

Given the fascinating relaxation behavior of magnetization in radical-bridged dilanthanide systems,\textsuperscript{16-18} variable-frequency ac susceptibility measurements were performed at variable temperatures (Fig. 6 and S12$^\dagger$). As shown in Fig. 6a and d, slow relaxation of the magnetization was detected at 1.8 K in both 1Dy and 2Dy as strong frequency- and field-dependent peaks of the out-of-phase ($\chi''$) susceptibility. Significantly, at zero dc field, only 2Dy shows a $\chi''$ peak, and no peak was detected in 1Dy in the measured frequency range from 0.1 Hz to 1000 Hz. This is indicative of improved SMM properties as a result of strong magnetic couplings between the Dy and radical centers in 2Dy. Furthermore, the application of a dc field leads to the second relaxation process occurring at lower frequency in both compounds. The fast relaxation process remains on the edge of the frequency window for compound 1Dy, while two relaxation processes could be nicely resolved in 2Dy. The fast relaxation process in 2Dy is progressively quenched with increasing the field and disappears completely for fields stronger than 1000 Oe. Similar relaxation behavior was also observed in mononuclear [Dy(DOTA)],\textsuperscript{32} a dinuclear, Cl-bridged cyclopentadienyl-dysprosium compound\textsuperscript{33} and U(H\textsubscript{2}BP\textsubscript{6})\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{4} but the relaxation mechanism is still unclear. Here, two series of relaxation times (τ) can be extracted by the sum of two modified Debye functions for 2Dy (Fig. S13, S14 and Table S2$^\dagger$). More importantly, the field dependence of slow relaxation process is drastically different from that observed for compound 1Dy especially below 2000 Oe, suggesting an important effect from radical coupling.

The ac susceptibility was also investigated as a function of temperature in compounds 1Dy and 2Dy under 0 and 1000 Oe (optimum) field (Fig. 6). In zero dc field the $\chi''$ signals in 2Dy show well-resolved relaxation peaks between 1.8 and 3.2 K (Fig. 6b), but only slightly shifted in temperature, characteristic of the quantum tunneling regime.\textsuperscript{35} The tunneling relaxation time is extracted to be 0.97 ms (Fig. 6f).

![Fig. 6](Image) Fig. 6 (a) Field and frequency dependence of $\chi''$ at 1.8 K for 2Dy. (b) Temperature dependence of $\chi''$ in zero dc field for 2Dy. (c) Temperature dependence of $\chi''$ in a 1000 Oe dc field for 2Dy. (d) Field and frequency dependence of $\chi''$ at 1.8 K for 1Dy. (e) Temperature dependence of the $\chi''$ susceptibility in a 1000 Oe external field for 1Dy. (f) Plots of ln $\tau$ versus $T^{-1}$ for 1Dy, 2Dy in 0 and 1000 Oe dc fields. The solid lines correspond to the Arrhenius fit.
In a dc field of 1000 Oe compounds 1Dy and 2Dy both show slow relaxation of magnetization, as indicated by the temperature-dependent $\chi''$ peaks (Fig. 6c and e). The relaxation times can be extracted with a generalized Debye model, and an Arrhenius fit of temperature dependence gives their effective barriers ($U_{\text{eff}}$), $39 \pm 2$ and $31 \pm 1$ K, with $\tau_0 = 5.46 \times 10^{-6}$ and $7.33 \times 10^{-9}$ s for 1Dy and 2Dy, respectively. A slightly different effective energy barrier value was reported for 1Dy, due to the different frequency range employed there.11 The relatively low values of the effective barriers already suggest that the Orbach relaxation pathway is not efficient for these systems in such temperature range, as commonly reported for Ln complexes.26–28 In particular, the relaxation behavior persists in wider temperature range in compound 1Dy but it exhibits a poor linear relationship in ln($\tau$) vs. $T^{-1}$ plots and uncommonly large $\tau_0$ value (Fig. 6f). To explore the presence of other relaxation pathways we also tentatively fitted the temperature dependence of the relaxation times with a power ($T^p$) function obtaining $n = 5.6$ for 1Dy and $n = 13.0$ for 2Dy (Fig. S15†). These result clearly suggests that a Raman process could be responsible for the slow relaxation for 1Dy, while the unphysically large exponent in 2Dy indicates that the situation is more complicated, e.g. due to the participation of optical phonons/molecular vibrations.16,29

Furthermore, ac magnetic susceptibility data collected for 2Tb also display out-of-phase susceptibility signals with a frequency and temperature dependence indicative of slow relaxation of magnetization (Fig. S16†). The effective barrier cannot be extracted given that only three data points available for which a maximum is observed. Even so it still reveals the large contribution of strong magnetic coupling on relaxation behaviour.

A careful comparison between the systems reported here and the Ln radical-bridged systems previously reported in literature can shed light on possible magnetochemical relations. The effective barrier obtained for 2Ln is generally lower than the ones in N$_2$–, bpym– and tpdz– bridged compounds. One key reason is the limitation of local coordination environment around lanthanide center, which leads to the weak crystal field splitting and large transverse anisotropy (ab initio calculations below), as indicated by the similar Dy$^{III}$ SMMs with HBpz$_3$– ligands.26,40,41 Recently an air stable tetrazine radical-bridged Dy$^{III}$ SMM and its neutral counterpart by Dunbar et al. also exhibited the redox switching of magnetic relaxation dynamics.23 In the same way the use of β-diketonate leads to a weak blocking behavior. The strong contrast to the radical systems with cyclopentadienyl ligand (Cp)$_2$ suggests that it is better to use Cp as ancillary ligands, which supports a stronger axial crystal field.

Remarkably, ac susceptibility measurements on 2Y reveal a field-induced slow relaxation behavior of magnetization (Fig. 7), which is unexpected in light of the diamagnetic nature of the Y$^{III}$ ion. Furthermore, in a dc field of 5000 Oe $\chi''$ shows temperature-dependent relaxation peaks until 15 K (Fig. S17†). The relaxation times were extracted and an Arrhenius fit of the high temperature region gives unphysical parameters of $U_{\text{eff}} = 20$ K and $\tau_0 = 1.20 \times 10^{-4}$ s.38 Here the in-phase component of the ac susceptibility decreases to close to zero at low temperatures. To have a quantitative idea of the fraction of the sample that relaxes slowly we can compare the dc susceptibility and the $(\chi''-\chi_s)$ value from ac measurements. It reveals more than 90% of the sample is slowly relaxing given the different applied dc field (Fig. S17†). This excludes the possibility of the relaxation arising from a magnetic impurity. To further characterize the observed slow relaxation, pulsed Q-band EPR was carried out on a frozen solution sample of 2Y at 7 K.24 The spin–lattice relaxation time $T_1$ was determined by means of the inversion recovery sequence. As shown in Fig. 7, the data can be fitted by a biexponential function, which gives two $T_1$ times (Table S3†). Notably, the slow relaxation time $T_{1S}$ is in the same order of magnitude as ac susceptibility measurement (1.8 ms at 5000 Oe and 7 K). This demonstrates that the slow relaxation observed for 2Y is due to the unpaired electron on the radical bridge. Furthermore, the coherence time $T_M$ can also be detected by means of the Hahn echo sequence (Fig. S18 and Table S3†). The fit to a biexponential function revealed a slow decoherence time constant of 9 ± 2 µs, demonstrating that 2Y is also a molecular quantum bit.

**Ab initio calculations**

In order to determine the electronic structures experimentally and establish the relaxation mechanism, we attempted to perform optical studies (MCD and luminescence), but no usable data was obtained due to the CA$^{2–}$/CA$^{3–}$ absorption in the
visible region precluding investigations of f–f transitions (Fig. S3†). Therefore, multi-reference ab initio calculations (LDF-CAHF + CASCI/SI-SO, detailed information in ESI†) were performed, which gave important information on local ground and excited states of 1Dy and 1Tb.46,47 The \( g_z \) values of ground doublet states are indicative of easy-axis anisotropy for 1Dy (19.76) and 1Tb (17.26), approaching values expected for a \( m_s = \pm 15/2 \) and \( \pm 6 \) ground state, respectively. The local easy axes point toward the CA \(^2\) ligand (Fig. S19†), which exhibits shorter bond distances i.e. stronger crystal field interactions to lanthanide centers than HHBPz\(^{--}\) ligands. The angle between the \( g_z \) orientation and the vector connecting two lanthanide centers is smaller in 1Dy (9°) than 1Tb (31°). More importantly, non-negligible transverse components of the \( g \)-tensor \( (g_x = 0.029, g_y = 0.036) \) are found in the ground Kramers doublet of 1Dy, which leads to the strong quantum tunneling of magnetization as a result of mixed microstates (Table S4†). As a non-Kramers ion, the ground pseudo-doublet of 1Tb can be split in a low-symmetry crystal field and we find a large intrinsic tunneling gap of 2.5 cm\(^{-1}\) (Table S5†). This is consistent with the absence of SMM behavior in zero field for 1Tb. Furthermore, the total splitting for the ground multiplets of the Dy\(^{III}\) and Tb\(^{III}\) ions is below 500 cm\(^{-1}\), indicating a weak crystal field environment. For 1Dy the first excited doublet is on 84 cm\(^{-1}\) (120 K), which is much higher than \( U_{\text{eff}} \) from ac susceptibility measurements suggesting the inefficiency of Orbach process here. The large size of the magnetic cores and the absence of molecular structures preclude ab initio calculations of complexes 2Ln. Instead we obtain the effective information of ground states via electron paramagnetic resonance (HF-EPR) spectroscopy.

Multifrequency high-field electron paramagnetic resonance (HF-EPR) spectroscopy

EPR proved to be a powerful method to detect the geometric and electronic structures of paramagnetic systems,48 but it is rarely applied in lanthanide systems due to their EPR-silence or weak signals.49,50 An exception is the spin-only Gd\(^{III}\) ion with half-filled f-shell, which gives well resolved EPR signals, thus providing rich information on the crystal field environment.51 Measuring EPR spectra at high fields and frequencies often simplifies the analysis because the Zeeman term becomes dominant in the spin Hamiltonian.52 Here we mainly focus on the investigations on Gd compounds from diluted sample Gd@1Y to the undiluted sample 1Gd and then the reduced sample 2Gd, which provides detailed information on the local crystal field of lanthanide center and exchange coupling interaction between them. Notably, this is the first time that the exchange coupling in a radical-bridged dinuclear lanthanide system is investigated in detail by means of HF-EPR.

Fig. S20† shows the temperature-dependence of HF-EPR spectra collected at 320 GHz for diluted sample Gd@1Y. Here the parallel transitions appear at low field and the spectral weight increases towards low field on decreasing temperature, which corresponds to a negative zero field splitting parameter \( D \). Furthermore, the high field perpendicular transitions reveal small splittings, typical of rhombic distortion. Therefore, a suitable spin Hamiltonian is \( H_{\text{SGd}} = \mu_{\text{B}} \cdot g \cdot \vec{H} + D \vec{S}_z^2 + E(\vec{S}_x^2 - \vec{S}_y^2) \). Best simulation parameters are \( D = -0.13 \) cm\(^{-1}\), \( E = 0.014 \) cm\(^{-1}\) and \( g_{xx} = 1.991, g_y = 1.987, g_z = 1.986 \) (Fig. 8). Similar values were reported in a preliminary study in literature.53 When compared with the mononuclear compound Gd(HBPz)\(_3\)(Trp) (Trp = 2-hydroxy-2,4,6-cycloheptantriene, \( D = -0.123 \) cm\(^{-1}\), \( E/D = 0.22 \),54,55 the magnitude of \( D \) value is comparable, while \( E/D \) is much smaller. This suggests that the axioid of the crystal field is improved when substituting the Trp with a CA ligand. However, the high \( E/D \) value is indicative of a large transversal component in such a crystal field environment, and may further explain the modest SMM behaviour of 1Dy.

In contrast, the HF-EPR spectra of undiluted 1Gd exhibit further splitting of the resonance lines arising from the weak magnetic interaction between Gd centers, as is shown in Fig. 9 and S21.† A Hamiltonian that includes all relevant terms is \( H = H_{\text{Gd1}} + H_{\text{Gd2}} + H_{\text{Gd1,Gd2}, \vec{S}_{\text{Gd1}} \vec{S}_{\text{Gd2}}} \), where the single ion Hamiltonians are strictly equal due to the inversion symmetry of the complexes. On the basis of this Hamiltonian, good simulations of the experimental spectra at different frequencies were obtained for \( J = 0.009 \) cm\(^{-1}\), and the same single ion parameters as for the dilute compound. Such a weak interaction cannot be easily determined from bulk magnetic measurements (Fig. 5).48,49 Naturally, more complicated spectra are observed in the reduced Gd compound 2Gd, which are clearly distinct from those recorded 1Gd (Fig. S22†). The absence of resonance lines attributable to 1Gd underlines the purity of 2Gd. The temperature-dependent spectra at 290 GHz (Fig. S22†) reveal a fine structure progression and increasing weight towards low field on decreasing temperature, suggesting the presence of zero field splitting. Using the Hamiltonian \( H = J \vec{S}_{\text{Gd1}} \vec{S}_{\text{Gd2}} + g \cdot \vec{H} + \sum D \vec{S}_z^2 \) for simulations (Fig. 9 and S23†) gave the following parameters: \( g = 1.976, J = 3.1 \) cm\(^{-1}\), \( B_{\text{Gd}} = -0.11 \) cm\(^{-1}\). Inclusion of a rhombic zero-field splitting term did not improve results. The values are reasonable when compared with the above results, and they give good simulations of the temperature-dependent \( \chi_M(T) \) values and low-temperature magnetization (Fig. S10 and S24†). Therefore, we consider
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HF-EPR spectra of sample Gd@1Y recorded at 5 K and various frequencies. The black lines illustrate the experimental spectra while red lines correspond to simulations using a suitable spin Hamiltonian (see text).
these parameters to be more reliable than those obtained from magnetic measurements alone.

For 1Dy, no EPR was observed, due to the strong axiality of the ground Kramers doublet leading to the intra-ground doublet transition being strongly forbidden. This is in agreement with literature reports. In contrast, HFEPR measurements on a powder sample of 1Tb revealed a weak but clear resonance line with large effective g-value (Fig. S25†). A linear fit of field–frequency plot gave a g-value of 16.1 indicative of a highly axial ground state, where the g-value found is only slightly smaller than that calculated (see above). Extrapolation of the field–frequency plot gave a zero field resonance frequency of 46 GHz (1.5 cm\(^{-1}\)), in good agreement with \(ab initio\) calculations. Interestingly, clear HFEPR spectra could also be obtained for 2Tb (Fig. 10), which are to the best of our knowledge the first EPR spectra recorded for terbium–radical complexes. These spectra display four distinct features. The strongest resonance line (I in Fig. 10) has an effective g-value of 2.06 ± 0.02 and a zero energy gap at zero field. Such a resonance line was not observed for 1Tb and must therefore attributed to the radical species. The significant difference of the g-value from that of the free electron reveals the participation of the terbium ion in the orbital carrying the unpaired electron. Second, a resonance line (II) with large effective g-value (11.8 ± 0.8) and a sizable zero field gap of 72 ± 17 GHz (2.35 cm\(^{-1}\)) is observed, which we attribute to the intra-pseudo-doublet transition. The different zero-field gap and g-values reveal the influence of the reduction of the bridging ligand on the terbium crystal field splitting. Finally, two weaker resonance lines are observed (III, IV) with decreasing resonance fields with increasing microwave frequencies and finite zero-field gaps of 12 and 22 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively. We attribute these finite gaps to the exchange splittings of the energy levels resulting from the presence of a radical bridge. A more quantitative analysis would require consideration of the full exchange coupled system, employing a suitable Hamiltonian featuring exchange coupling, crystal field, and Zeeman terms. Unfortunately, the amount of data available precludes such an analysis. Note that we cannot approximate the lanthanide ions by effective \(S = 1/2\) spins, due to the non-Kramers nature of terbium(II). Also, the exchange couplings are typically highly anisotropic. Furthermore, we have no information on the energetic position of the excited pseudo-doublets of the terbium ions, and cannot calculate these. Finally, in the absence of structural data, we cannot assume there to be an inversion center between the two terbium centers, which may therefore be inequivalent. A simplified fit employing two \(S = 1\) effective spins with very large D values to simulate the ground pseudo doublets of the terbium ions did reproduce some of the salient features of the spectra, notably the strong resonance line I (Fig. S26†). Here, we used the same Hamiltonian as for 2Gd, \(\hat{\mathcal{H}} = J \hat{S}_{\text{rad}} (\hat{S}_1 + \hat{S}_2) + \mu_B \hat{g} \cdot \hat{H} + \sum_{\mu_d} \hat{D}_{\mu_d} \hat{S}_{\mu_d}^2\) with \(\hat{S}_{\text{rad}} = 1/2, \hat{S}_1 = \hat{S}_2 = 1, D = -2000\) cm\(^{-1}\), \(E = 1\) cm\(^{-1}\), \(\mu_d = 2.07\) cm\(^{-1}\), \(g_{\text{rad}} = 2.07, g_{\text{TB}} = (0.1, 0.1, 4.0), J = 4.3\) cm\(^{-1}\).

**Conclusions**

We have presented an in-depth study of tetraoxolene bridged dinuclear lanthanide complexes. Successful chemical reduction of the bridging ligand gives rise to strongly coupled radical-bridged systems with improved SMM properties. HFEPR measurements elucidated the magnetic coupling in these systems. The modest SMM behavior is most likely due to limited axiality of the local crystal field which may be improved by using \(\text{Cp}\) rather than \(\text{HBpz}_3\) as ancillary ligands and we are currently pursuing the synthetic work involved in this direction.
Experimental section

The chemical reductions described below were performed under nitrogen with rigorous exclusion of air and water using Schlenk, vacuum line, and glovebox techniques. Solvents were dried with sodium benzophenone ketyl or CaH2 under pure argon atmosphere prior to use. Cobaltocene was purchased from Alfa Aesar and used as received. Elemental analyses were performed in a Perkin Elmer CHNS/O Analyzer. IR spectra were recorded on Bruker ALPHA-T spectrometer with KBr pellets. UV-vis spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer UV/Vis Spectrometer in CH3CN solution. The ESI mass spectra were recorded on Bruker microTOF-Q ESI-MS with the non-radical and radical compounds in positive and negative modes, respectively. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded with three electrode setup (glassy carbon working electrode, Pt counter electrode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode) using a Metrohm Autolab potentiosstat. The potentials are referenced against an internal ferrocene/ferrocenium redox couple. Spectroelectrochemical measurements were carried out using an optically transparent thin layer electrochemical (OTTLE) cell.55

\[
[(HBpz3)_2Ln(\mu-CA)[Ln(HBpz3)_2] \cdot 2CH2Cl2 \ (1Ln)\]

A solution of K0.5CA was prepared by the reaction of H2CA (0.105 g, 0.5 mmol) and KOH in 10 ml H2O. It was added to a stirred aqueous solution (20 ml) of lanthanide chloride hydrate (1 mmol) as well as an aqueous solution (10 ml) of K(HBpz3) (0.5 g, 2 mmol). The solution was stirred for 15 min. The resulting purple precipitate was filtered off, washed three times with water, and dried under vacuum. The crude product was recrystallized from dichloromethane/acetonitrile several times (yield = 40%). Single crystals, suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis, were obtained by slow evaporation of the solvent in dichloromethane–acetonitrile solution. Elemental analysis (%) results are listed in Table S6.† Mass and infrared spectra were discussed above.

Synthesis of diluted sample Gd@1Y

The procedure was the same as that used for the above except that accurately measured 50 : 1 molar ratios of yttrium(III) and gadolinium(III) chloride was used as rare-earth salt.

\[
[(HBpz3)_2Ln(\mu-CA)[Ln(HBpz3)_2] \cdot [CoCp2]^+ \ (2Ln)\]

Compounds 1Ln were dried under vacuum before being transferred into the glovebox. A sample (0.1 mmol) of 1Ln was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (20 ml), to which a tetrahydrofuran solution of cobaltocene (0.1 mmol, 10 ml) was added slowly. Immediately, a green precipitate was obtained. The reaction mixture was stirred for another two hours. The precipitate was filtered off, washed several times with tetrahydrofuran, and dried under vacuum. Elemental analysis results are listed in Table S6.† Mass and infrared spectra were discussed above.

X-ray data collection and structure determinations

Crystallographic data of complexes 1Dy, 1Tb and 1Y were collected on a Bruker Kappa APEXII Duo diffractometer with a monochromatic Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at 156 (2) K. For complex 1Gd, the data was collected on STOE IPDS II diffractometer equipped with Mo-Kα radiation, a nickel filter and an image plate detector at room temperature. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined on F2 by full-matrix least squares by means of SHELXS-2013 and SHELXL-2013 programs.56 The location of lanthanide atoms was easily determined, and B, Cl, O, N and C atoms were subsequently determined from the difference Fourier maps. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The H atoms were introduced in calculated positions and refined with fixed geometry with respect to their carrier atoms. CCDC-1568172 (1Dy), 1568173 (1Tb), 1568174 (1Gd), 1568175 (1Y).† The 1Dy and 1Y were also reported recently by Boskovic et al.11

Magnetic measurements

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out using a Quantum Design MPMS3 SQUID magnetometer equipped with a 7 T magnet. The direct current (dc) measurements were performed with an external magnetic field of 1000 Oe in the temperature range 1.8-300 K, and the alternating-current (ac) measurements were measured in a 3.0 Oe ac field oscillating at different frequencies from 0.1 to 1000 Hz. The experimental magnetic susceptibility data are corrected for the diamagnetism estimated from Pascal’s tables57 and sample holder calibration.

EPR measurements

High-frequency EPR (HF EPR) spectra at 5 K and frequencies between 110 and 450 GHz were recorded on a home-built spectrometer featuring a VDI signal generator, a VDI amplifier-multiplier chain, a Thomas Keating quasi-optical bridge, an Oxford Instruments 15/17 T solenoid cryomagnet and a QMC Instruments InSb hot electron bolometer. The sample was studied as pressed Teflon-wrapped powder pellets. EPR spectra were simulated using the Easyspin program.58 All pulsed measurements were performed on a home-built Q-band EPR spectrometer,59 where an Oxford Instruments CF935 continuous flow helium cryostat was used. The sample was dissolved in acetonitrile (1 mM), filled in quartz tubes, degassed by three freeze–pump–thaw cycles followed by flame sealing. Relaxation measurements were performed at the field position of the most intense resonance line. Spin–lattice relaxation times were measured by inversion recovery, phase memory times via Hahn echo pulse sequences; both were fitted with exponential functions.
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