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We employ a combination of linear spectroscopy, electrochemistry, and transient absorption spectroscopy to characterize the interplay between electron transfer and singlet fission dynamics in polycrystalline-based dyes attached to nanostructured TiO₂. For trisopropyl silylethynyl (TIPS)-pentacene, we find that the singlet fission time constant increases to 6.5 ps on a nanostructured TiO₂ surface relative to a thin film time constant of 150 fs, and that triplets do not dissociate after they are formed. In contrast, TIPS-pentacene singlets quickly dissociate in 2 ps at the molecule/TiO₂ interface, and this dissociation outcompetes the relatively slow singlet fission process. The addition of an alumina layer slows down electron injection, allowing the formation of triplets from singlet fission in 40 ps. However, the triplets do not inject electrons, which is likely due to a lack of sufficient driving force for triplet dissociation. These results point to the critical balance required between efficient singlet fission and appropriate energetics for interfacial charge transfer.

Introduction

Solar energy conversion devices have the opportunity to surpass fundamental thermodynamic limits for Shockley–Queisser power conversion efficiency through multi-exciton generation (MEG), which generates multiple charges per incident photon.¹,² MEG has pushed external quantum efficiencies past 100% in solar fuel generation,³ quantum dot photovoltaics,⁴,⁵ and organic semiconductors.⁶,⁷ Singlet fission (SF) in particular holds great promise due to the molecular nature of the chromophore, offering potential for control of the SF process and tuning of electronic interactions. While much effort and progress has been made determining the mechanism of SF,⁸–¹² devices typically feature low overall efficiencies.¹³–¹⁷ For devices based on bilayers or blends, the competitive interplay between SF, triplet transport, and exciton dissociation can be difficult to control because each depends crucially on the details of intermolecular coupling.¹⁸ For example, in tetracene polycrystalline films, exciton transport and SF are highly coupled, and both require specific molecular orientations for optimization that may not be compatible.¹⁹–²¹ These limitations are compounded by competition with singlet energy or charge transfer, and may be responsible for low triplet transfer efficiencies from tetracene to silicon.²² Two recent works have demonstrated triplet energy transfer from acenes to semiconductor nanocrystals.²³,²⁴ However, these studies utilized polycrystalline material and their eventual utility in device architectures may be limited by the same effects previously discussed. Recent work on covalent dimers²⁵–⁴⁰ and polymers⁴¹–⁴² offers a pathway to potentially overcome some limitations of morphology-dependent SF, but many of these systems have their own drawbacks, e.g. limited triplet lifetimes.

Triplet diffusion issues can be eliminated in systems where SF chromophores are arranged in a monolayer on an electron acceptor surface. Wide band gap semiconducting electrodes can be used for this purpose, and although they are nanostructured in order to be practical, they allow for fundamental study of SF and charge injection. The commonly studied dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC) features self-assembled chromophores covalently linked to transition metal oxide surfaces. DSSCs traditionally utilize ruthenium-based chromophores that benefit from significant triplet injection from intersystem crossing.⁴³,⁴⁴ Therefore, these systems are promising for triplet injection from singlet fission. A series of pentacene derivatives linked to titanium dioxide (TiO₂) has been studied via DSSC device performance.⁴⁵ Working devices were fabricated using this approach, but at best only 5% incident photons were converted to current. Weak overlap between relevant orbitals of the
dyes and the TiO$_2$ conduction band was blamed for the poor performance. No consideration was made for triplet excitons formed from SF. A later study utilizing 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) and TiO$_2$ focused on a fundamental examination of the interplay between SF and charge injection dynamics. A small increase in photocurrent was observed upon reducing the electron injection rate with a zirconia barrier, which allowed SF to produce multiple triplet excitons that could dissociate. However, the intermolecular geometries appropriate for SF with DPBF are restrictive, which most likely reduced the photocurrent enhancement.

Here, we specifically focus on spectroscopically characterizing the charge generation process, which has not been well-understood using full devices. We utilize the DSSC architecture with dyes based on pentacene (Pc) and tetracene (Tc) chromophores. Both pentacene and tetracene derivatives possess triplet energies capable of pushing solar cell efficiencies past 40% for a simple parallel tandem device, which is a significant gain over the singlet-junction thermodynamic efficiency limit of 33%. Both Pc and Tc are also ideal model systems because they display robust and efficient SF across a variety of solid-state and solution environments. They have similar molecular and crystal structures, but exhibit distinct SF rates, oxidation potentials, and triplet energies, allowing us to explore in detail how these parameters affect the interplay between electron injection and SF. We have chosen Pc and Tc modified with trisopropylsilylthethyl (TIPS) groups for increased solubility and stability, and carboxylic acid (COOH) groups for attachment to a TiO$_2$ surface. Through the use of cyclic voltammetry (CV) and spectroelectrochemistry (SEC), we arrive at a model for the energetic landscapes of the two acene/TiO$_2$ systems, including the charge-transfer species. In order to judge the influence of the local monolayer environment on SF, we compare TIPS Pc and TIPS Tc in polycrystalline thin films and TIPS Pc COOH and TIPS Tc COOH linked to TiO$_2$. Transient absorption (TA) spectroscopy is then used to distinguish between charge and triplet generation processes, and to develop a kinetic model that is consistent with our picture of the energetics.

**Experimental**

**Chromophore synthesis**

TIPS Pentacene was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. TIPS Pc COOH and TIPS tetracene were synthesized according to previously reported procedures. The synthesis procedure for TIPS Tc COOH is detailed by Kroupa et al. Spectroelectrochemistry

Samples for SEC were prepared using the same acene/TiO$_2$ assembly method detailed in “Film Preparation” on FTO substrates. SEC measurements were done using a 1 cm$^2$ quartz cuvette and 0.1 M bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonimide lithium salt in dry acetonitrile. All measurements were done in an argon-filled glove box with electrical and fiber optic pass-through junctions. A Princeton Applied Research Model 263 potentiostat was used with a three-electrode configuration: a silver wire pseudoreference electrode, a Pt wire counter electrode, and the sample as the working electrode. Ferrocene was used as an internal standard. Potentiostatic bias was applied in either 50 or 100 mV increments. UV-VIS-NIR data was recorded with an OceanFX spectrometer (Ocean Optics, UV-VIS) and a NIRQuest 512-2.2 spectrometer (Ocean Optics, NIR), with an Ocean Optics DH-2000-BAL light source. The UV-VIS-NIR spectrum at open-circuit potential was subtracted from the spectrum at a given applied potential to find the change in absorbance with applied bias.

**Cyclic voltammetry**

Cyclic voltammetry was performed by dissolving the acenes in a 0.1 M solution of tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate in degassed dichloromethane with platinum working and counter electrodes and a Ag/AgNO$_3$ reference electrode. Oxidation potentials were converted to ionization potentials for comparison with TiO$_2$. Spectroscopy

Solution UV-VIS linear absorption spectra were collected with a Cary 500 spectrometer. Film UV-VIS linear absorption spectra were acquired with a Varian Cary-6000i equipped with an integrating sphere. For films, the absorbance was calculated as
Transient absorption datasets were acquired using a Coherent Libra Ti:sapphire laser, with an output of 800 nm at 1 kHz. A TOPAS-C OPA was used to generate the ~150 fs pulse pump (center wavelength 530–650 nm). A small amount of 800 nm light was used to pump a CaF2 crystal to generate 330–850 nm probe light for UV-VIS TA or a 1 cm thick sapphire crystal to generate 750–1640 nm probe light for NIR TA. A second TOPAS-C OPA was used to generate ~150 fs 5–6 μm probe pulses for MIR TA. The instrument response function was ~150–250 fs depending on the probe wavelength. A Janis VPF 100 Cryostat was used for all TA measurements under vacuum. A solution cell was assembled with an o-ring and sapphire windows in a glovebox for measurements with solvent and electrolyte present. All datasets were analyzed using Surface Xplorer software. Charge injection yields were determined by comparing the maximum signal of a Z907/TiO2 sample to the maximum signal of an acene/TiO2 sample of interest under identical excitation conditions.

Results

The mesoporous TiO2 films were found to be deeply colored after immersion in concentrated TIPS Pc COOH or TIPS Tc COOH solutions for 12 hours. Additional soaking time did not produce additional dye adhesion, and extensive washing in ethanol did not reduce the intensity of the dye stain, which is a strong indication of a covalently bound monolayer. The driving force for electron transfer between the covalently bound acenes and nanostructured anatase TiO2 was investigated using a combination of SEC, CV, and steady-state absorption spectroscopy. The acene ionization potentials, TiO2 electron affinity from the literature,53 exciton energies, and driving forces for charge transfer are shown in Table 1. Singlet energies were determined from the intersection between absorption and photoluminescence spectra (ESI Fig. S1†), while triplet energies were estimated from literature at 0.87 eV for pentacene48 and 1.25 eV for tetracene.12,54,55

The Gibbs free energy for electron transfer can be approximated using the equation:56

$$\Delta G = E_{\text{final}} - E_{\text{initial}} = E_{\text{IP}} - E_{\text{EA}} - E_{\text{exciton}}$$ (1)

where the exciton energy of either the singlet or triplet is used to determine their relative driving forces for charge injection. Additional adjustments can be made for considerations like the Coulombic attraction between charges, but in this case, the errors in experimental values are likely larger than the magnitude of this correction as a result of the high-dielectric environment. The assumptions needed to calculate these terms also do not rigorously describe our system. Electron injection from the singlet state of either TIPS Tc COOH or TIPS Pc COOH has a driving force of ~1 eV, whereas electrons in the triplet states of the molecules have little to no driving force for injection. However, the reduction potential of TiO2 is known to be reduced by up to hundreds of millivolts upon dye adsorption,37 which could create a larger driving force for electron injection from the triplet state in both systems than is estimated theoretically. Furthermore, we believe the limitations of the Gibbs free energy equation necessitate the experiments we perform in this work. The driving forces for triplet dissociation determined here are a starting point, but are certainly not conclusive predictors. This is the case across many different singlet fission harvesting schemes, where triplet dissociation has been widely reported in systems like pentacene/C60, which has a predicted driving force of zero.39 Therefore, we focus in particular on experimental mapping of charge injection rates and yields across a wide range of energetic and chemical landscapes.

### TIPS pentacene

We compare the photophysics of TIPS Pc with TIPS Pc COOH in both solution and solid-state in order to assign spectral features to singlets, triplets, and charges, as well as measure shifts that occur upon intermolecular interaction. The absorption spectra are shown in Fig. 1. In solution there is a redshift and slight broadening of TIPS Pc COOH relative to TIPS Pc. In a polycrystalline TIPS Pc thin film, the absorption is significantly broadened and red-shifted, with redistributed oscillator strength. These spectral changes reflect strong electronic coupling, which leads to new exciton states. In contrast, TIPS Pc COOH linked to TiO2 shows only slight broadening and almost no shift with respect to solution, indicating weak electronic coupling.

For both TIPS Pc and TIPS Pc COOH, solution-phase transient absorption (TA) spectroscopy (see ESI Fig. S2†) reveals an excited-state species that we assign as the lowest excited singlet S1. The spectra consist of a large photoinduced absorption (PIA) peak near 450 nm that overlaps with the ground state bleach (GSB), and a stimulated emission (SE) feature near 700 nm. All features decay with 12–13 ns lifetimes in solution.

The TIPS Pc excited-state dynamics measured in the solid-state via TA are dramatically different from solution dynamics. Instead of a nanosecond lifetime, the singlet in polycrystalline TIPS Pc has a lifetime of ~150 fs due to rapid and efficient SF, similar to previous results from TIPS Pc and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Calculation of approximate driving force for singlet and triplet injection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{IP}}$ (acene)/eV vs. vacuum</td>
<td>$E_{\text{EA}}$ (TiO2)/eV vs. vacuum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIPS Pc COOH/TiO2</td>
<td>−5.24 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIPS Tc COOH/TiO2</td>
<td>−5.50 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
derivatives (Fig. 2a and b). Specifically, the broad, low amplitude NIR singlet PIA decays in 150 fs (Fig. 2a) and is correlated with the growth of PIA features near 510 nm (Fig. 2a) and 750–950 nm (Fig. 2b). These latter features have previously been attributed to triplets in TIPS Pc thin films. In particular, the feature near 510 nm closely matches the sensitized TIPS Pc triplet spectrum in solution (dashed curves, Fig. 2a). The TIPS Pc cation spectrum, which was measured by TIPS Pc solution oxidation, features a relatively narrow PIA feature peaked near 970 nm. This feature is not evident in the TIPS Pc film raw spectra nor in the decay associated spectra (DAS) from global analysis (see ESI Fig. S3†), implying charge separation is a minority decay pathway for singlets and triplets in TIPS Pc thin films. After the initial sub-ps kinetics, there is a secondary growth of triplet PIA in the visible and NIR, which has been attributed to triplet–triplet separation.

Fig. 1 (a) UV–VIS absorption spectra of TIPS Pc in solution and polycrystalline film, as well as TIPS Pc COOH in solution and attached to TiO₂. (b) Crystal structure of TIPS Pc film. (c) Molecular structure of TIPS Pc COOH.

Fig. 2 TIPS Pc thin film (a) visible and (b) NIR TA spectra; TIPS Pc COOH/TiO₂ (c) visible and (d) NIR TA spectra.
When TIPS Pc COOH is attached to TiO₂, we observe spectral peaks that rise and decay with timescales distinct from both solution and TIPS Pc thin films (Fig. 2c and d). A SE feature near 700 nm decays in ~6.5 ps, concurrent with a slight narrowing and growth of the PIA feature near 510 nm. There is a broad-low-amplitude NIR PIA feature in the 45 ps spectral slice covering the region where we would expect a cation PIA feature. However, the amplitude is very small compared to the cation peak observed in the TIPS Tc COOH/TiO₂ system, vide infra, indicating that cationic TIPS Pc COOH is a minority species. Instead, the predominant species after singlet decay is most likely triplets formed via SF, as evidenced by the PIA feature near 510 nm.

**TIPS tetracene**

Similar to TIPS pentacene, we study TIPS Tc and TIPS Tc COOH in solution and the solid-state. Unlike the TIPS Pc system, which
undergoes dramatic changes in linear absorption between solution and polycrystalline thin film, the TIPS Tc thin film absorption exhibits a small red-shift and two small additional shoulders between the 0-0 and 0-1 absorption peaks (Fig. 3a). The subtle changes indicate weak intermolecular electronic coupling resulting from reduced molecular overlap in the crystal structure (Fig. 3b) and limited film crystallinity compared to TIPS Pc [ESI Fig. S3†]. The broadening and slight spectral red-shift in absorption between TIPS Tc COOH in solution and TIPS Tc COOH linked to TiO₂ mirrors the behavior in the TIPS Pc COOH system with increased broadening and a slight spectral red-shift in the linked absorption spectrum (Fig. 3a).

Similar to the TA spectra of pentacene derivatives in solution, both TIPS Tc and TIPS Tc COOH in solution show evidence of a singlet excited-state species with a large PIA feature from 450–550 nm and an SE feature near 585 nm that decay with 11–12 ns lifetimes [ESI Fig. S2†].

Despite weak coupling in TIPS Tc polycrystalline films, SF still occurs in 17.5 ps, which is faster than SF in polycrystalline crystals, both TIPS Tc and TIPS Tc COOH in solution show evidence (Fig. 3a).

We assign the peak at 1000 nm to the TIPS Tc COOH/TiO₂ cation, whereas the peaks at 860 and 980 nm match peaks (Fig. 4a and b).

The PIA at 515 nm matches the sensitized triplet PIA in solution, whereas the peaks at 860 and 980 nm match peaks previously reported for TIPS Tc triplets. However, unlike Stern, et al.63 and Pun, et al.,68 we do not find evidence of an initial sub-ps SF mechanism. This could be due to the decreased time resolution in the measurements reported here or limited crystallinity of our thin films. We also detect no evidence of cation formation in TIPS Tc polycrystalline thin films.

The TIPS Tc COOH/TiO₂ system produces a distinct set of dynamics (see Fig. 4c and d). TIPS Tc COOH/TiO₂ spectra at 0.5 ps and 20 ps are overlaid with the sensitized TIPS Tc COOH triplet spectrum in solution and the cation spectrum from SEC. We track the singlet state through the SE feature at 600 nm and PIA peaks at 450 nm and 1300 nm, which decay on a ~2 ps timescale. This decay is accompanied by the growth of a PIA peak at 1000 nm (Fig. 4d). Dynamics at later times involve subtle peak shifts and further peak decay, but no substantial changes. There are potential spectral matches to triplet peaks in the visible, but there is no significant growth of triplet PIA features seen in polycrystalline films or sensitized TIPS Tc COOH solution spectra. This is likely because of a kinetic competition between charge injection and SF, which produces a small yield of triplets (<10%, estimated by comparing injection rate versus apparent SF rate on the nanoparticle surface, vide infra).

We assign the peak at 1000 nm to the TIPS Tc COOH cation, though it is Offset from the SEC cation peak by ~70 nm (compare Fig. 4d, 20 ps and gray spectra). In Fig. 5a, we show that the 1000 nm PIA feature shifts to match the PIA feature measured via SEC in the presence of the same solvent and electrolyte. This is consistent with previous observations of a strong correlation between solvent polarity and charge transfer energetics. While the cation peak noticeably shifts wavelength in the presence of solvent, the dynamics of charge transfer do not change dramatically. Samples both with and without solvent produce a charged species on a timescale of ~2 ps (Fig. 5b). There is no evidence of significant additional charge injection on a longer timescale, either with or without solvent.

In order to quantify charge injection efficiency, we probed with mid-IR (MIR) pulses centered at ~5500 nm after the initial photoexcitation near the acene absorption peak. The resulting PIA signal is associated with shallowly trapped electrons in the conduction band of anatase TiO₂, and correlates linearly with extracted charge.66 Because we selectively excite the acene molecules in all of our experiments, this signal exclusively corresponds to electrons transferred from TIPS Tc COOH molecules to TiO₂. Measurements on bare TiO₂ films produce negligible signal. The MIR TA signal rises on the same ~2 ps time scale as the NIR PIA, further corroborating the cationic nature of the NIR PIA. We used the ruthenium-based dye Z907 as a reference, which is known to inject electrons into TiO₂ at a ~85% yield.64 By comparing the MIR signal of Z907/TiO₂ sample to that of the acene/TiO₂ samples under identical excitation conditions, we find an injection efficiency of 66 ± 5% in the TIPS Tc COOH/TiO₂ system.
undergo SF, but at a slower rate than TIPS Pc. However, the greater exciton delocalization has been correlated with faster SF in pentacene nanoparticles. The much smaller population of charges formed with the alumina layer simplifies the spectral assignments in the visible region, which now closely matches the sensitized TIPS Tc COOH triplet spectrum at later times (Fig. 6b). This demonstrates the unequivocal formation of triplets \textit{via} SF. We find a SF time constant of 40 ± 10 ps (ESI Fig. S4†).

**Discussion**

The degree of intermolecular electronic coupling in TIPS Pc and TIPS Tc polycrystalline thin films determines the shifts and distortions of the absorption spectra relative to solution. TIPS Pc films exhibit characteristics of strong coupling, such as Davydov splitting. For TIPS Tc, the shifts and distortions are much smaller. Strong electronic coupling responsible for greater exciton delocalization has been correlated with faster SF in pentacene nanoparticles. In TIPS Pc, excited singlets undergo sub-ps SF, which is consistent with strong electronic coupling and an exoergic mechanism. Singlets in TIPS Tc also undergo SF, but at a slower rate than TIPS Pc. However, the coupling elements responsible for efficient SF are not always correlated with the coupling elements responsible for changes in absorption spectra, as evidenced by faster SF in disordered TIPS Tc films (~17.5 ps) relative to more ordered Tc films (~70 ps).

When the acenes are covalently attached to TiO₂ instead of deposited in a film, the dielectric environment changes along with the molecular packing. TIPS Pc COOH is particularly sensitive to this change in coupling because the TIPS Pc molecules in films are arranged with a high degree of order in a close, face-to-face arrangement (Fig. 1b). TIPS Pc COOH exhibits a significantly increased SF time constant of 6.5 ps when tethered to TiO₂ (compared to 150 fs in a neat film), which is likely a product of reduced intermolecular coupling for chromophores with limited flexibility to arrange in tightly packed and ordered arrays. Some control over the TIPS-Pc SF rate has been achieved by inducing amorphous film growth, but the rate measured here is the slowest reported to our knowledge. The significant slowing may be assisted by the lack of fast singlet energy transfer to preferred SF sites for the quasi-2D assembly of dyes on the TiO₂ surface compared to faster 3D transport in crystalline films. Interestingly, the secondary stage of triplet formation often observed for TIPS Pc films is absent in the TIPS Pc COOH/TiO₂ samples, which may reflect the immediate formation of quasi-isolated triplets.

Curiously, SF outcompetes singlet charge injection in the TIPS Pc COOH/TiO₂ film even with the increased SF time constant and a strong driving force for singlet injection. The low charge injection efficiency (<10%) in TIPS Pc COOH/TiO₂ films may be due to the strong coupling between TIPS Pc COOH molecules relative to the coupling between TIPS Pc COOH and the TiO₂ surface. Large intermolecular electronic coupling between molecular sensitizers is associated with strong intermolecular charge-transfer character, and thus, decreased power conversion efficiencies in DSSCs. The requirement to have some degree of molecular coupling in order to achieve singlet fission at a reasonable rate necessarily introduces intermolecular coupling pathways that may decrease injection efficiencies. Differences in intermolecular coupling between TIPS Pc COOH and TIPS Tc COOH may account for the differences in singlet injection efficiencies.

This explanation is further supported by the fact that the driving force for singlet charge injection is predicted to be nearly identical (~1 eV) in both acene systems. However, unlike the TIPS Pc COOH/TiO₂ system, TIPS Tc COOH singlets inject electrons efficiently into TiO₂ with a time constant of ~2 ps. The coupling between the singlet excited state of TIPS Tc COOH molecules and the conduction band states at the TiO₂ surface may thus be more optimized compared to TIPS Pc COOH. The electron injection process outcompetes SF, which slows to 40 ps in the dye monolayer (compared to 17.5 ps in the thin film) as a likely result of reduced electronic coupling and increased disorder on the TiO₂ surface compared to the thin film. The influence of disorder is not as large as in the pentacene case, which is probably a reflection of more weakly coupled and disordered molecular arrangements for SF in the TIPS Tc thin film. The link between intermolecular coupling, intermolecular charge transfer character, and charge injection efficiency in SF chromophores warrants future study.

**Fig. 6** Addition of alumina layer slows electron injection and allows triplet formation \textit{via} SF. (a) NIR showing singlet decay and slight charge formation, highlighted in grey. (b) Triplet formation (arrows).
There is no evidence of considerable cation growth for either film past the picosecond timescale, which makes significant injection from triplet states unlikely. In the TIPS Tc COOH case, there are likely few triplets remaining after 10 ps because of the dominant charge-transfer process from the singlet, whereas for TIPS Pc COOH, the triplets are present but likely have insufficient driving force for triplet injection. The excited state dynamics with the Al2O3 spacer layer between TIPS Tc COOH and TiO2 clearly demonstrates that singlet injection is hindered and that a significant population of triplets can be generated via SF. However, there is no evidence of further charge injection from these triplet states, suggesting that the main obstacle to utilizing SF in the present system is insufficient driving force for electron injection from the triplet. Triplet exciton dissociation at an interface has been rarely studied, and it is possible that knowledge of driving force alone as calculated by eqn (1) may be insufficient to predict triplet dissociation. The nature and density of states may help drive the dissociation process. For nanostructured oxides, there is a variety of procedures for adjusting the conduction band energetics, including the use of rutile TiO2, the addition of a Li salt, or the use of semiconductor dopants. Furthermore, turning to a semiconductor with a more negative conduction band position, such as SnO2, may also produce a significant driving force that allows the two triplet excitons generated after photon absorption to be dissociated and harvested.

Conclusions

We have systematically examined the changes in intermolecular electronic coupling and SF dynamics that accompany molecular dye assembly on high-surface area nanostructured metal oxide surfaces that are commonly used as photo-electrodes. We electrochemically characterized these systems and mapped relative driving forces for singlet and triplet dissociation. We found that both pentacene and tetracene derivatives are able to undergo SF in a heterogeneous, disordered environment, though neither are able to efficiently inject charges into TiO2 from their triplet states. Our experiments emphasize the importance of simultaneously optimizing SF rate, driving force for triplet injection, and molecular coupling with the acceptor surface. Though structurally similar, pentacene and tetracene display dramatically different singlet charge injection efficiencies independent of their SF rates. Therefore, subtleties in organic–inorganic electronic coupling likely play a crucial role in promoting electron transfer. Understanding the nature of this variation in rates and how it can be controlled through molecular structure or acceptor modification would provide an opportunity to independently tune injection rates while maintaining efficient SF, leading toward a novel route for harvesting multiple electron–hole pairs from a single photon.
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