

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, 9, 105

Metal-dependent allosteric activation and inhibition on the same molecular scaffold: the copper sensor CopY from *Streptococcus pneumoniae*†

Hendrik Glauninger,^a Yifan Zhang,^{ab} Khadine A. Higgins,^{ac} Alexander D. Jacobs,^a Julia E. Martin,^a Yue Fu,^{ab} H. Jerome Coyne, 3rd,^a Kevin E. Bruce,^d Michael J. Maroney,^e David E. Clemmer,^a Daiana A. Capdevila^{*a} and David P. Giedroc ^{*ab}

Resistance to copper (Cu) toxicity in the respiratory pathogen *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is regulated by the Cu-specific metallosensor CopY. CopY is structurally related to the antibiotic-resistance regulatory proteins Mecl and Blal from *Staphylococcus aureus*, but is otherwise poorly characterized. Here we employ a multi-pronged experimental strategy to define the *Spn* CopY coordination chemistry and the unique mechanism of allosteric activation by Zn(II) and allosteric inhibition by Cu(I) of *cop* promoter DNA binding. We show that Zn(II) is coordinated by a subunit-bridging 3S 1H₂O complex formed by the same residues that coordinate Cu(I), as determined by X-ray absorption spectroscopy and ratiometric pulsed alkylation-mass spectrometry (rPA-MS). Apo- and Zn-bound CopY are homodimers by small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS); however, Zn stabilizes the dimer, narrows the conformational ensemble of the apo-state as revealed by ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS), and activates DNA binding *in vitro* and in cells. In contrast, Cu(I) employs the same Cys pair to form a subunit-bridging, kinetically stable, multi-metallic Cu-S cluster ($K_{Cu} \approx 10^{16} \text{ M}^{-1}$) that induces oligomerization beyond the dimer as revealed by SAXS, rPA-MS and NMR spectroscopy, leading to inhibition of DNA binding. These studies suggest that CopY employs conformational selection to drive Zn-activation of DNA binding, and a novel Cu(I)-mediated assembly mechanism that dissociates CopY from the DNA *via* ligand exchange-catalyzed metal substitution, leading to expression of Cu resistance genes. Mechanistic parallels to antibiotic resistance repressors Mecl and Blal are discussed.

Received 10th October 2017
Accepted 8th November 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7sc04396a

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Transition metals are harnessed by enzymes as critical components of both their catalytic function and structural stability. It is estimated that approximately a quarter of all proteins require metals as essential cofactors.¹ The same reactivity responsible for their enzymatic utility also serves to make transition metals potentially toxic, and as a result, all cells encode machinery that regulates the bioavailability of transition

metals in cells *via* both uptake and efflux mechanisms.² Metalloregulation of the transcription of genes encoding metal uptake and export transporters is particularly important for colonization and adaptation of pathogens in the vertebrate host. This adaptation features microbial defense mechanisms that either limit the availability of required transition metals in a process termed nutritional immunity,³ or induce metal intoxication,⁴ depending on the microenvironmental niche.⁵ In the case of Cu, there is emerging evidence to suggest that Cu is actively used to kill pathogenic invaders.^{6–9} Prokaryotic metalloregulatory proteins control the expression of genes responsible for the maintenance of appropriate intracellular metal levels *via* allosteric regulation of the DNA operator–promoter binding by metals, and thus are metal-regulated transcriptional “switches”.^{10–12}

Streptococcus pneumoniae is a commensal Gram-positive respiratory pathogen that colonizes the upper respiratory tract in humans and is a primary cause globally of bacterial pneumonia,¹³ with $\approx 30\%$ of severe *S. pneumoniae* infections

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-7102, USA. E-mail: giedroc@indiana.edu; dacapdev@iu.edu; Tel: +1-812-856-3178; +1-812-856-6398

^bDepartment of Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Salve Regina University, Newport, RI 02840, USA

^dDepartment of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

^eDepartment of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7sc04396a



possessing resistance to at least one clinically important antibiotic. In the serotype 2 *Streptococcus pneumoniae* D39 strain, CopY is a Cu(i)-sensing metalloregulatory repressor that regulates the expression of the *cop* operon, encoding CopY, the membrane-anchored copper chaperone CupA,¹⁴ and the Cu-effluxer CopA.¹³ The *cop* operon contributes to virulence of *S. pneumoniae* in a lung infection mouse model where the $\Delta cupA$ and $\Delta copA$ strains are attenuated for virulence; furthermore, the operon is induced in the nasopharynx and the lungs and as such, a $\Delta copA$ strain exhibits poor colonization of the nasopharynx.¹³ Transcriptional analysis by real time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR)¹³ and a transcriptomic analysis of a $\Delta copY$ deletion strain¹⁴ collectively reveal that the *cop* operon is autoregulated by CopY. However, the details of regulatory mechanism used by *Spn* CopY in the pneumococcus remain unclear.

CopY is representative of a small family of copper-specific metalloregulatory proteins, initially characterized in *Enterococcus hirae*.^{15,16} CopY is a Cu(i)-sensing repressor that binds to one or more *cop* box sequences in the promoter and transcriptionally regulates the expression of downstream genes in

response to cellular Cu(i) toxicity. The DNA-binding domain is a canonical winged-helical motif, as determined by the solution structure of the N-terminal domain of *Lactococcus lactis* CopR.¹⁷ CopY is proposed to be member of methicillin resistance/ β -lactamase (MecI/Blal) family repressors, based both on the sequence similarity of the N-terminal DNA binding domain and similar 5'-TACAxTGTA *cop* box palindromic operator sequences (Fig. 1A).¹⁸ The C-terminal regulatory domain functions as the dimerization domain,¹⁹ is of unknown structure, and is thought to coordinate both Zn(II) and Cu(I).

CopY regulates copper efflux and the oxidative stress response in a small number of bacteria from closely related Firmicutes, derived from the *Lactococcus*, *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus* spp. (Fig. 1B).²⁰ CopYs conserve one or two C-terminal CxC (C, Cys; x, any amino acid) motifs that are projected to coordinate Cu(I) or Zn(II). Zn(II) is reported to function as an allosteric activator of DNA binding required for full repression of the *cop* operon in the absence of Cu(I) stress. As Cu(I) levels rise in the cell, two Cu(I) have been shown to displace each Zn(II) to form a luminescent Cu₂-S₄ cluster that impairs DNA binding.²⁸ This mechanism of allosteric Cu(I)-




Fig. 1 Dimeric CopY structural model. (A) Ribbon representation of the superposition of the solution structure bundle of the *L. lactis* CopR DNA binding domain (*salmon*)¹⁷ on the crystallographic structure of *S. aureus* Blal (*green*, DNA-binding domain; *grey*, regulatory domain).²⁴ The approximate positions of the five *Spn* CopY Cys are indicated, with the C128–C130 pair just beyond the solved structure of the Blal determined by a multiple sequence alignment (not shown). (B) Multiple sequence alignment of CopY proteins from different bacteria. The conserved Cys residue proposed to be involved in copper and zinc binding are highlighted with asterisks. Note that *S. pneumoniae* CopY only contains two of the four Cys residues near the C-terminus. The C-terminus of the *L. lactis* CopR DNA binding domain model (see panel A) is E74 (T69 in *Spn* CopY), with the last residue of the β 2 strand R70 (S65 in *Spn* CopY). K119 in the *Spn* CopY sequence defines the C-terminus of the Blal model²⁴ shown in panel A.



induced inhibition and Zn(II)-induced activation of DNA binding is unique among metal efflux regulators, in that the binding of an alternative or non-cognate metal generally has a neutral or similar impact on DNA binding affinities relative to the cognate metal.²¹ Although early studies of CopY have qualitatively characterized the functional outcomes of binding of Zn(II) vs. Cu(I), the extent to which these coordination complexes differ from one another is unknown. This information is needed to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of metal-dependent allosteric activation vs. inhibition of DNA binding by CopY and how this impacts *cop* operon expression.

In this work, we present a comprehensive multi-pronged analysis of the different metal-bound states of CopY, particularly in terms of coordination chemistry and metal-induced global structural and dynamical changes. We uncover novel insights into the allosteric activation and inhibition of DNA binding of *S. pneumoniae* CopY by Zn(II) and Cu(I), respectively, and place these studies in the context of CopY-like repressors that regulate methicillin and β -lactam antibiotic resistance in other human microbial pathogens.^{22–26}

Results and discussion

Coordination chemistry of *Spn* CopY

Copper and zinc binding affinities and stoichiometries of *Spn* CopY. CopY from *S. pneumoniae* D39 has high sequence similarity with the previously characterized CopY from *E. hirae*^{16,27} and CopR from *L. lactis* (Fig. 1B).^{17,18,20} This multiple sequence alignment (Fig. 1B) also reveals that C52 and C101 are not conserved in other CopYs, and we therefore use C101A CopY interchangeably with the wild-type protein (*vide infra*). A major finding is that *Spn* CopY retains just two of the four Cys residues near the C-terminus found in all other CopYs. This suggests that the stoichiometry of metal binding in *Spn* CopY may well be

distinct from *E. hirae* and other 4-Cys (CxC...CxC)-containing CopYs (Fig. 1B). *E. hirae* CopY binds 2 Cu(I) per protomer or four per dimer,²⁸ forming a luminescent binuclear S_4 -Cu₂ cluster. This is not expected in the case *Spn* CopY, since it lacks the second CxC sequence. In addition, the Zn stoichiometry is 1 per protomer or two per dimer in 4-Cys-containing CopYs, although the identity of the cysteines bound to Zn(II) is not known.²⁸ Zn(II) is also known to bind *Spn* CopY and is required for complete repression of the *cop* operon in *S. pneumoniae*.¹³ This result suggests that the two conserved Cys are sufficient for binding Zn. However, the Zn stoichiometry for *Spn* CopY has not been measured and little is known about Zn coordination in CopYs. Furthermore, while the DNA binding activities of different CopYs have been investigated,¹⁸ only relative metal binding affinities have been reported.²⁸

The addition of Cu(I) to a solution of apo-CopY with monitoring of Cu–S charge transfer absorption (240 nm (ref. 14 and 29)) reveals saturation at a stoichiometry of 1 per protomer or 2 per dimer (Fig. S1†). The Cu(I) complex is not strongly luminescent at room temperature in aqueous buffers (data not shown), which contrast the findings for 4-Cys containing CopYs and suggests that the cluster is probably solvent exposed and not found within a compact protein environment.³⁰ In order to determine the equilibrium constant for Cu binding (K_{Cu}), we next anaerobically titrated apo-C101A CopY into a mixture of Cu(I) and BCS ($\beta_{2,Cu} = 10^{19.8} M^{-2}$)³¹ and monitored disassembly of the preformed Cu(I) : BCS₂ complex which absorbs at 483 nm (Fig. 2A). The solid lines represent a simultaneous fit to three different experiments obtained at different Cu(I) and BCS concentrations to two identical Cu(I) sites model on the dimer. These data give $\log K_{Cu} = 16.6 (\pm 0.1)$. This value is ≈ 1 –2 orders of magnitude weaker than other Cu(I) binding repressors that have been previously characterized, including CsoR and CueR.^{8,32,33} This result makes the prediction that the




Fig. 2 Metal binding affinities of C101A CopY. (A) Representative binding curves obtained from an anaerobic Cu(I) chelator competition to measure the Cu(I) binding affinity of CopY. Apo CopY (monomer units) was titrated into a mixture of Cu(I) and BCS at pH 7.0 in three independent experiments. Closed symbols, A₄₈₃ values for the Cu(I)–(BCS)₂ complex. The continuous line represents the results of a global analysis of all experiments to a simple 1 : 1 binding model assuming Cu binding sites in each protomer of the homodimer are identical and independent, such that $K_{Cu1} = K_{Cu2} = K_{Cu}$. Red, 22 μ M CuCl and 60 μ M BCS; green, 25 μ M CuCl and 75 μ M BCS; blue, 29 μ M CuCl and 90 μ M BCS, with $\log K_{Cu} = 16.6 (\pm 0.1)$. (B) Representative Zn(II) binding curve obtained from a chelator competition assay. ZnSO₄ was titrated into a solution of apo-C101A CopY (12.0 μ M dimer) and mag-fura-2 (mf2) (16 μ M) at pH 7.0. Open symbols, A₃₆₆; closed symbols, A₃₂₅ for the Zn(II)–mf2 complex. The continuous line represents the results of a global fit to 1 : 1 (1 Zn : 1 dimer) binding model. Only a lower limit of K_{Zn} could be obtained from this experiment, $K_{Zn} \geq 10^9 M^{-1}$, since the binding is essentially stoichiometric.



concentration of free cytoplasmic Cu(I) in *S. pneumoniae* might be higher than in other pathogens that harbor a Cu(I) sensor from the CsoR or MerR families (see Conclusions).^{12,21} Interestingly, the *Spn* CopY Cu(I) binding affinity is comparable to the high affinity site on the N-terminal metal-binding domain of *Spn* CopA¹⁴ but larger than K_{Cu} for Cu chaperone CupA that harbors the functionally essential low affinity Cu(I) binding site ($\log K_{Cu} = 14.8$).¹⁴ This is consistent with a model proposed for other Cu chaperone-Cu-sensing repressor pairs in which the repressor is capable of stripping Cu(I) from the Cu chaperone suggesting that the copper chaperones, transporters and transcriptional repressors have coevolved to maintain this hierarchy in metal affinities.^{28,34}

We next titrated Zn(II) into a solution of apo-C101A CopY and the modest affinity chelator mag-fura-2 (mf2; $K_{Zn} = 5.0 \times$

10^7 M^{-1}) (see Fig. 2B for a representative experiment), since previous studies on other CopY repressors reveal that active DNA-operator binding form is Zn-CopY.^{16,28,35} These experiments show that the stoichiometry for Zn(II) binding is 1 Zn per dimer or 0.5 Zn per protomer, with only a lower limit of $K_{Zn} \geq 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$ obtained from these experiments. These results reveal that Zn and Cu are likely coordinated by ligands derived from both subunits, contrary to what has been previously proposed for the 4 Cys-containing CopYs³⁵ and further suggest that two Cys per protomer are necessary and sufficient to mediate CopY-dependent biological regulation by Cu or Zn.

X-ray absorption spectroscopy

These metal titrations define the stoichiometry and affinities of Cu(I) and Zn(II) binding to apo-CopY; however, the metal




Fig. 3 X-ray absorption spectroscopy of (A) Cu₂ CopY in NaCl-containing buffer (Cu₂ CopY-Cl) and (B) Zn₁ CopY acquired in NaBr-containing buffer (Zn₁ CopY-Br) C101A CopY. In each panel the X-ray absorption near-edge spectrum (XANES) is shown in the upper left, the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) and *k*-space spectra shown in upper right, and lower right, respectively, with cartoon models of each coordination structure consistent with the spectroscopy (red continuous lines in the EXAFS and *k*-space spectra, respectively; black, best fits to the data) shown in the lower left. The Cu(I) XAS spectra are consistent with the same chemical environment around each of the two bound Cu(I) ions containing 3 Cu-S bonds ($d = 2.27 \text{ \AA}$) and one Cu-Cu scatterer ($d = 2.70 \text{ \AA}$), features consistent with the binuclear cluster model shown. The Zn(II) XAS is consistent with a subunit-bridging cysteine-rich site conforming to a 3S 1H₂O tetrahedral complex containing 3 Zn-S bonds ($d = 2.29 \text{ \AA}$). See Table 1 for a summary of the best fit parameters and Tables S1-S3† for fits for all possible coordination models.



coordination and ligand identity remain unknown. Thus, we next investigated the coordination structure of the Cu₂ (1 : 1 protomer; 2 per dimer) and Zn₁ (0.5 : 1; 1 per dimer) complexes by X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) on the Cu (Fig. 3A) and Zn (Fig. 3B) edges. For the Cu(I) complex, the XANES spectrum is fully compatible with a trigonally coordinated Cu previously reported for 4-Cys containing CopYs.³⁶ The 1s → 4p transition has a normalized absorption amplitude of 0.51 at 8982.8 eV for Cu₂ CopY-Br and 0.52 at 8984.1 for Cu₂ CopY-Cl. The EXAFS spectrum is well-described by three sulfur scatterers at 2.27 Å and a single Cu-Cu interaction at ≈ 2.7 Å (Table 1), in general agreement with previous work on *E. hirae* CopY.²⁸ These spectra are compatible with a Cu₂-S₄ binuclear cluster model shown in which the C-terminal Cys pair (C128, C130 on both protomers) donates the four sulfur ligands to the complex. Despite the fact that single Cu-Cu interaction can also be modeled as a Cu-Br interaction in samples acquired in NaBr-containing buffers (Fig. S2; Table S2†), the Cu-Br bond vector at 2.65 Å (Table S2†) is far too long and we see the same spectrum in the presence of NaCl or NaBr. Thus, we favor the cluster model shown. We note that these spectra cannot be readily distinguished from higher nuclearity clusters of Cu and S, e.g., Cu₄·S₆ “adamantane-like” structures common in cysteine sulfur-rich peptides that lack stable secondary structure.^{37,38} Since *Spn* CopY harbors only 2 Cys per protomer, the formation of higher nuclearity clusters would induce oligomerization beyond the dimer, and this would be functionally relevant since only the dimer is expected to bind DNA (*vide infra*).

Table 1 Fitted parameters obtained from the Cu(I)- and Zn(II)-bound forms of *S. pneumoniae* CopY^a

Sample	CN	Shell	<i>r</i> (Å)	σ^2 ($\times 10^{-3}$ Å ⁻²)	ΔE_0 (eV)	% <i>R</i>
Cu(I), NaBr	3	3 S	2.269(4)	5.0(3)	-6.8(7)	1.44
		1 Cu	2.703(5)	3.9(5)		
Cu(I), NaCl	3	3 S	2.265(5)	5.1(3)	-5(1)	2.82
		1 Cu	2.71(1)	7(1)		
Zn(II), NaBr	4	3 S	2.29(1)	3.4(5)	-12(2)	0.77
		1 Br	2.48(1)	4(1)		

^a Spectra and fits for the first Cu(I) sample entry and the Zn(II) entry are shown in Fig. 3 and S2.

Table 2 Calculated and observed masses of H₅-NEM and d₅-NEM-derivatized Lys-C and AspN digested peptides from *Spn* C101A CopY^a

Peptide	Amino acid sequence	Mass (Da)	Cys	Modification	Mass of modified peptides (Da)	
					Calc'd	Obs'd
83-95	DKVCSRRIRNLLA	1543.88	C86	H ₅ -NEM	1668.93	1668.94
				d ₅ -NEM	1673.97	1673.99
120-131	SSAVTEVRCNCM	1299.55	C128, C130	H ₅ -NEM/H ₅ -NEM	1549.64	1549.71
				d ₅ -NEM/H ₅ -NEM	1554.69	1554.73
				d ₅ -NEM/d ₅ -NEM	1559.73	1559.72

^a Carried out as described in Materials and methods with representative data shown in Fig. 4.

The Zn₁ CopY complex, in contrast, shows a single broad maximum in the post-edge XANES region with normalized intensity of 1.3, consistent with a tetrahedral complex composed of soft ligand donor atoms (Fig. 3B).³⁹ The EXAFS analysis is characterized by one or more strong scatterers with no significant outer shell contributions, indicating the absence of ligands such as His. We acquired these spectra in the presence of NaBr rather than NaCl in an effort to probe the Zn(II) site for the presence of a ligand (Br⁻ or H₂O) from the solvent, since Cl⁻ is not readily distinguished from S. These data acquired in NaBr-containing buffers are best described by a tetrahedral 3S 1Br complex (Table S3†), in which the conserved C128 and C130 from each of the two subunits are the anticipated thiolate ligands, with one coordination site occupied by Br⁻. This result suggests a solvent-accessible or open coordination site on the Zn(II). These data provide the first evidence that a change in the coordination number and geometry distinguishes CopY allosteric activation from allosteric inhibition.

Ratiometric pulsed alkylation mass spectrometry (rPA-MS) of CopY metallostates

The XAS data suggest that one of the two C-terminal Cys (C128 or C130) from one protomer within the dimer is not coordinated in the Zn(II)-bound state resulting in an open coordination site on the metal (Fig. 3B). In order to confirm this and identify which Cys is not coordinated, we measured the differential reactivity of Cys residues toward an electrophile, e.g., *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM), as a reporter of the stability of single metal-ligand coordination bonds,^{40,41} with metal coordination attenuating the reactivity of the cysteine thiolate anion. We subjected various metallostates of CopY to a pulse of excess d₅-*N*-ethylmaleimide (d₅-NEM) for time *t*, following by a chase with a vast excess of protiated NEM (H₅-NEM) under denaturing conditions, thereby encoding a mass shift of 5.0 Da. Proteins are then digested with a suitable protease, and deuterated and protiated peptides resolved by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Table 2).

MALDI-TOF data as a function of pulse time, *t*, for the apo, Zn₁ and Cu₂ states reveals C128 and C130 are both highly reactive in the apo-state (Fig. 4A), with nearly full deuteration observed at pulse time of 30 s, and that this reactivity is fully quenched in the Cu₂ complex (Fig. 4C). In contrast, the Zn






Fig. 4 Ratiometric pulsed alkylation-mass spectrometry analysis of *Spn* C101A CopY in the apo-state (A and E), the Zn₁ (per dimer) state (B and F) and the Cu₂ (per dimer) (C and G) allosteric states. rPA-MS time course profiles for AspN-derived peptide 120-131 (panels A–C) and LysC-derived peptide 83-95 containing C86 (panels E–G) (see Table 2 for exact masses). (D) Relative quantification of d₅-NEM alkylation events at C128 vs. C130 in the Zn₁ state as a function of d₅-NEM pulse time in the doubly alkylated d₅/H₅ peptide 120-131 quantified as described in Fig. S3.† Note that C130 is more reactive than C128 at all pulse times.

complex is kinetically or thermodynamically less stable than the Cu₂ complex but these Cys are protected relative to the apo-state, revealing detectable singly-deuterated (d₅/H₅) and double-deuterated (d₅/d₅) NEM-containing peptides at intermediate pulse times, $t = 240$ s (Fig. 4B). This result reveals that Cu binding is fully protective on the cysteine ligands and Zn fails to protect the four cysteine residues involved in Cu(I) coordination.

The results from rPA-MS are consistent with the XAS but do not indicate which Cys residues are more strongly bound to the Zn. This can be determined by subjecting the singly-deuterated (d₅/H₅) peptide to tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)

(Fig. S3†). Analysis of these data reveals derivatization of both C128 and C130 in the resulting fragment ions, with the C130-d₅-NEM γ - and β -ions consistently accumulating to higher levels than the C128-d₅-NEM ions (Fig. 4D). These data therefore support a model in which C128 from both protomers is strongly coordinated to the Zn(II), while only one of the two C130 side chains is coordinated in the CopY homodimer (see Fig. 3B).⁴¹

Additionally, rPA-MS can potentially report on changes in cysteine reactivity in cysteines that are not involved in metal binding but are differentially exposed to solvent. The reactivity of C86 is also somewhat sensitive to metal binding, and is



differentially reactive in the Zn- vs. Cu-bound states (Fig. 4F and G). Zn slightly attenuates the reactivity of C86 relative to apo-CopY, while Cu(I) appears nearly fully protective. These results suggest that in addition to differences in the first coordination shell, Cu(I) and Zn(II) may well trigger distinct conformational changes in the CopY dimer.

DNA-binding properties of the apo-, Zn(II) and Cu(I)-coordinated CopY

With the distinct coordination chemistries of the Zn and Cu-bound states of CopY established by our metal affinity, XAS and rPA-MS experiments, we next aimed to relate these metal binding differences to the *cop* operator DNA binding affinities. Previous work on *E. hirae* CopY suggests that Zn(II) coordination is essential for DNA binding, while Cu(I) allosterically inhibits DNA binding^{28,35} with the latter inducing Cu-dependent transcriptional derepression.¹⁵ We reconstituted Zn₁ and Cu₂ C101A CopYs and measured their DNA binding affinities for a DNA duplex containing a single *cop* box sequence using either a fluorescence anisotropy (FA)-based assay (Fig. 5A)⁴² or an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) (Fig. 5B). The FA-based assays reveal

that Zn(II) indeed functions as an allosteric activator of DNA binding, but only increases the apparent affinity ≈ 4 -fold at equilibrium relative to the apo-state, with $K_a \approx 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ under these conditions (pH 7.0, 0.23 M NaCl, 25 °C). The EMSA experiments largely recapitulate the FA-based assays, except that the apo-CopY complex appears significantly more kinetically labile than the Zn-CopY complex, with only small amounts of DNA complex formation up to 1 μM protein (Fig. 5B). We could observe no complex formation for the Cu(I)-bound state, consistent with allosteric inhibition of *cop* box DNA binding by Cu(I).

Functional characterization of CopY mutants in cells

In order to validate our *S. pneumoniae* CopY coordination models and *cop* operator DNA binding experiments, we constructed *S. pneumoniae* D39 strains harboring mutant CopYs and measured their resistance to cellular copper toxicity (Fig. S4†) and transcriptional regulatory activity (Fig. 6). The addition of 0.2 mM Cu(II) to the growth medium in mid-log cells results in a ≈ 100 -fold induction of *copA* expression, confirming the Cu-inducibility of the *cop* operon (Fig. 6, WT). In contrast, a ΔcopY strain is characterized by high level, constitutive *copA* expression that is unchanged by the addition of Cu. Mutant pneumococcal strains harboring C52A, C101A and C52A/C101A *copY* alleles are also strongly Cu-inducible, to an extent similar to the wild-type strain, findings consistent with the non-essentiality of non-conserved cysteines in CopY, C52 and C101. The strain harboring C86A CopY is also Cu-inducible consistent with no direct role in Cu sensing, but gives higher levels of basal *copA* expression (Fig. 6). The differential reactivity or solvent accessibility of C86 in the Zn vs. apo allosteric states (see Fig. 4) may be related to this partial loss of repressor function and, thus may be reporting on the importance of the conformation of this linker region for allosteric communication (see Fig. 1). Finally, the quadruple C52A/C101A/C128A/C130A mutant shows no significant Cu regulation, consistent with




Fig. 5 *cop* operator DNA binding activities of various C101A CopY metallostates. (A) Fluorescence anisotropy (FA)-based DNA binding experiment for Zn₁ (black) and apo-states (red) of C101 CopY, with the continuous line a fit to 1:1 non-dissociable dimer-DNA binding model. $K_a = 1.0 (\pm 0.2) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $\Delta r = 0.014 (\pm 0.03)$ for Zn₁ CopY and $K_a = 2.8 (\pm 0.6) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $\Delta r = 0.017 (\pm 0.03)$ for apo-CopY, for $\Delta G_c = 0.6 (\pm 0.1) \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. Conditions: 0.23 M NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 2 mM TCEP, 40 μM ZnSO₄ or 2 mM EDTA, 25 °C. (B) Representative electrophoretic mobility shift analysis (EMSA). Top, Zn₁ C101 CopY dimer; middle, apo-state; bottom, Cu₂ C101A CopY. B, bound; F, free DNA. The equilibrium Zn₁ CopY-dimer DNA binding affinity, K_a , estimated from these data is $2.0 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$, consistent with the FA-binding data in panel A. Solution conditions: 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl (chelexed), 5 mM MgCl₂, 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ BSA, 25 °C. Apo-CopY samples contained 5 mM EDTA.




Fig. 6 Relative transcript levels (RTL) from qRT-PCR analysis of *copA* transcription. Exponentially growing wild-type (WT), ΔcopY and *copY* mutant allelic cells were diluted into BHI and allowed to grow to approximately 0.2 OD₆₂₀. Cultures were then spiked with (grey) or without (black) 200 μM Cu and incubated for 1 h before harvesting cells and RNA extraction. The average and s.d. of three biological replicates are shown.



the requirement that the C-terminal Cys pair (C128, C130) be available to coordinate the allosteric activator Zn, required to obtain full repression of *copA* expression in the absence of Cu stress in cells. All strains grow with WT-like growth kinetics and are unaffected by copper stress, in contrast to the *copA* deletion strain, as expected (Fig. S4†).¹⁴ Thus, unregulated *cop* operon expression is not deleterious to pneumococcal growth under these growth conditions.

Structural analysis of CopY metallostates

While the above data clearly show that Zn(II) and Cu(I) form distinct coordination complexes that oppositely impact *cop* operator DNA binding affinity *in vitro* and in cells, the structural basis for these effects is unknown. In fact, there is no structure available for any full length CopY, with the only high resolution structure of the N-terminal DNA binding domain of *L. lactis* CopR (Fig. 1B).¹⁷ We therefore investigated the solution structural properties of the apo-, Zn₁ (1 : 1 per dimer) and Cu₂ (2 : 1 per dimer) complexes using ¹H-¹⁵N NMR spectroscopy, small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS), discussed in turn.

NMR studies of wild-type and C52A/C101A CopY metallostates

We first used NMR spectroscopy to characterize the different metallostates of *Spn* CopY, since this method potentially provides both high resolution and site-specific information on both structure and dynamics. ¹H-¹⁵N TROSY or ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra were acquired for both wild-type and C52A/C101A CopYs (30.9 kDa; Fig. 7). A comparison of the spectra obtained for the apo- and Zn-saturated forms of the repressor reveal that the apoprotein is characterized by considerable conformational dynamics, as very few cross peaks are observed

in these spectra. The addition of 0.5 mol protomer·equiv. Zn(II) sharpens these spectra significantly, and reveals that the structures of the wild-type and C52A/C101A CopYs are substantially identical, consistent with the fact that these two non-conserved Cys are dispensable for CopY function in cells (Fig. 6). However, the relative intensities of the cross peaks vary dramatically even in Zn₁ CopY and not all anticipated cross peaks are visible, indicative of substantial dynamics in specific regions of the molecule. This severely limits efforts to obtain sequence-specific resonance assignments even with extensively deuterated samples (spectra not shown), which were ultimately unsuccessful. Strikingly, anaerobic addition of 1 mol protomer·equiv. (2 per dimer) Cu(I) to either the apo-CopY or a pre-formed Zn₁ CopY dimer results in significant spectral line broadening, far more so than is observed in the apoprotein (Fig. S5†).

In an effort to improve spectral quality, we also acquired ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra for what we anticipated would correspond to the C-terminal, metal binding regulatory domain, encompassing residues 68-131 (Fig. S5†). Unfortunately, these spectra, like that of the intact CopY, yielded very little additional information, again due to severe spectral line broadening. The largest number of cross peaks are again obtained with the Zn(II)-bound regulatory domain, and the majority of the observable cross peaks can be superimposed on those found in the Zn₁-CopY dimer spectrum. Severe spectral line broadening induced specifically by Cu(I) is fully consistent with some combination of extensive conformational dynamics within a Cu(I)-bridged CopY dimer and oligomerization beyond the dimer to higher order assemblies, also bridged by Cu-S bonds.

Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) analysis

We next employed small angle X-ray scattering (Fig. 8) in an effort to understand changes in the global shape that occur upon metal coordination. SAXS scattering curves were obtained for the apo-, Zn₁ and Cu₂ states, and apo and Zn₁-states show Guinier plots indicative of monodispersity and a similar radius of gyration (R_g , Fig. 8A and B; Table 3). In contrast, the Guinier plot of the Cu₂ state shows clear evidence of a heterogeneous sample containing higher order oligomers (Fig. 8C) and as a result, detailed structural analysis of this state was not further carried out. The apo and Zn₁-states are readily distinguished from one another in the raw scattering profiles (to $q = 0.5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$) as well as in the PDDF plots ($p(r)$ vs. r) (Fig. 8E), with the scattering of the Zn bound state consistent with the prediction based on the previously published crystal structures of BlaI and MecI (Fig. 8D and E). Furthermore, the molecular envelope calculated as an *ab initio* bead model by DAMMIF for the Zn-bound state shows that structure in solution is consistent with a model of CopY based on previously published structures of BlaI and MecI (Fig. 8F), while fully recapitulating the experimental scattering curve (Fig. 8D). Moreover, a qualitative analysis of the Kratky plots suggests that the apo- and Cu₂ forms of CopY are less structured overall than the Zn bound form (Fig. 8A-C), consistent with the reduced number of cross peaks




Fig. 7 ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of intact wild-type (WT) (A) and C52A/C101A (B) *Spn* CopY in the apo-state (blue contours) and the Zn₁ allosteric state (red contours). Spectra were acquired at 30 °C in 20 mM HEPES, 0.2 M NaCl, 5 mM TCEP, pH 6.0. Additional NMR spectra of Cu-bound CopY and the C-terminal regulatory domain fragment (68-131) are shown in Fig. S5†






Fig. 8 Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) analysis of C101A CopY in various allosteric states. Guinier plots and associated linear fits (where possible) of the composite raw scattering curves (panel D) obtained from the apo- (red) (A), Zn₁ (black) (B) and Cu₂ (blue) (C) C101 CopYs, with associated Kratky plots shown in the inset. (D–F) Quantitative analysis of Zn₁ C101 CopY. (D) Composite scattering curve (log *I* vs. *q*) for Zn₁ CopY (black), with a curve calculated from the best-fit *ab initio* model (F) (green), shown alongside those for apo- (red) and Cu₂ (blue) CopYs. (E) Pairwise distribution histogram plot from the data shown in panels A (apo CopY; red) and B (Zn₁ CopY; black), with a best-fit DAMMIF *ab initio* model shown for the Zn₁ CopY (E).⁵⁴ The hydrodynamic parameters obtained for apo- and Zn₁ CopYs from these data are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 SAXS structural parameters obtained for the apo and Zn₁ metallostates of *Spn* CopY^a

	apo CopY	Zn ₁ CopY
R_g (Å) ^b (Guinier)	26.3 ± 1.1	24.3 ± 1.0
R_g (Å) ^c (GNOM)	24.3 ± 0.4	22.5 ± 0.6
D_{max} (Å)	76	77
MW (kDa) ^d	24.7 (30.9)	26.0 (30.9)
MW discrepancy	20.2%	15.9%

^a The SAXS data for the Cu(i) binding metallostate was not further analyzed due to the extreme nonlinearity in the Guinier plots (Fig. 8C). ^b Derived from Guinier fitting.⁵² ^c Derived from GNOM analysis.⁵¹ ^d Molecular weight calculated using the MoW2 server,⁵³ with theoretical molecular weight calculated from protein sequence (dimer) shown in parentheses.

in the ¹H–¹⁵N HSQC spectra of these states relative to the Zn-bound CopY dimer.

Ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS)

One interpretation of the SAXS and NMR data is that the apo-form of CopY is partially or transiently unfolded, particularly in the C-terminal regulatory domain. To test this, we analyzed the CopY metallostates by ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS), an experimental technique that can be used to examine partially unfolded states, as recently described for ubiquitin.⁴³ We therefore acquired *m/z* spectra (Fig. 9A) and ion mobility distributions (Fig. 9B and S6†) for the apo-, Zn₁- and Cu₂-complexed forms of CopY that collectively provide insights into monomer stability and mobility profiles of these allosteric

states, using methods analogous to those previously applied to the Cu-sensing repressor CsoR.⁴⁴

The mass spectral data (Fig. 9A and S6†) are characterized by three different regions: an area of highly charged monomer from 1000 to 1600 *m/z* ([M + 15H]¹⁵⁺ to [M + 10H]¹⁰⁺), a region of low charged monomer from ≈ 1600 to 2200 *m/z* ([M + 9H]⁹⁺ to [M + 7H]⁷⁺) and a region of dimer from 2200 *m/z* and greater (principally [2M + 13H]¹³⁺ to [2M + 11H]¹¹⁺). The relative abundance of the dimer peaks compared to the low-charged monomer peaks reports on the stability of the CopY dimer. For example, in apo-CopY, the low charge states of the monomer, from [M + 9H]⁹⁺ to [M + 7H]⁷⁺, dominate the distribution relative to the three readily visible dimer peaks, [2M + 13H]¹³⁺, [2M + 12H]¹²⁺ and [2M + 11H]¹¹⁺. On the other hand, the coordination of Zn(ii) to the intersubunit 3S 1H₂O site results in an increase in the dimer (D) peaks relative to the monomer (M) peaks, with significant suppression of the [M + 8H]⁸⁺ and [M + 7H]⁷⁺ charge states. All the monomer (M) peaks are clearly metal-free (as evidenced by the same M masses in each CopY preparation) and this may well derive from electrospray ionization-mediated dissociation of the Zn₁-CopY complex during transition to the gas phase.⁴⁵ This suggests that Zn binds only to the dimeric CopY, fully consistent with a subunit-bridging coordination model (Fig. 3; *vide infra*). The Cu₂ CopY complex (Fig. 9A) *m/z* spectra are qualitatively similar to that of the Zn-CopY state, except that these spectra are characterized by a very low signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio. Low S/N can either be traced to a low concentration relative to other higher oligomer forms of CopY that predominate in these mixtures (*vide infra*), and/or relatively poor desolvation of the Cu-bound dimer when electrosprayed. Based on the SAXS and NMR analysis, we favor the former interpretation.






Fig. 9 Ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS) of C101A *Spn* CopY in various allosteric states. Mass (*m/z*) spectra (A) and background subtracted mobility distributions for [2M + 11H]¹¹⁺, [2M + 12H]¹²⁺ and [2M + 13H]¹³⁺ dimer charge states (B) for the Zn₁ state (left) and Cu₂ state (middle) and apo state (right). Monomer (highlighted in red) and dimer (black) regions are shown in panel A. In panel B, the experimental data are in black, and each distribution envelope is fit to the minimum number of Gaussians (red or blue) required to satisfactorily describe the full envelope (grey discontinuous line through the envelope). See Fig. S6† for complete 2D drift plots for these CopY metallostates.

Mobility distributions for the [2M + 13H]¹³⁺, [2M + 12H]¹²⁺ and [2M + 11H]¹¹⁺ charge states derived from the apo and the metallated CopY dimers are shown in Fig. 9B. Overall, the apo-, Zn₁ and Cu₂ states show two major features that correspond to an extended and compact set of conformations, ≈2500 and ≈3200 Å², respectively. The relative abundance of each conformation depends primarily on the charge state as a result of the increase in coulombic repulsion, *i.e.*, the compact conformation is more prevalent in the lower charge states. This coulombic repulsion may also explain the small increase in collision cross-section for each conformation with increasing number of charges. Although these gas-phase conformations are not necessarily present in solution, the SAXS and NMR data suggest that CopY exists as a heterogeneous ensemble of different structures, particularly in the apo-state. An extended conformation (≈3400 Å²) is indeed more prevalent in the apo-state distributions compared to the Zn₁-state (Fig. 9B), a finding more evident for the [2M + 13H]¹³⁺ charge state where the apo-state is exclusively extended. Overall, Zn coordination by the CopY dimer stabilizes a more compact form that likely resembles the SAXS model (see Fig. 8F) and is structurally compatible with DNA binding. In the case of the Cu₂-metallostate, the dimer is likely not the most abundant oligomeric state in solution, but we could not find any evidence of higher oligomerization states in these ion mobility spectra. These results support the idea that Zn(II) coordination to the subunit-bridging site restricts access to an extended conformation that is likely

partially unfolded and, in this way, enhances DNA binding affinity of the Zn-bound repressor relative to the apo-repressor.

Conclusions

In this work, we have determined the Cu and Zn binding affinities, stoichiometries and coordination structures of *Spn* CopY using chelator competition assays, X-ray absorption spectroscopy and rPA-MS. We have also used NMR spectroscopy, SAXS and IM-MS to define the differences in protein structure and dynamics between the metal-free apo state, allosterically activated (relative to DNA binding) Zn(II) bound CopY, and the allosterically inhibited Cu(I)-bound CopY. Our findings reveal that *Spn* CopY binds up to one dimer mol·equiv. of Zn(II) or up to two dimer mol·equiv. of Cu(I), respectively. Both metal complexes are subunit-bridging, and involve exclusively coordination by the C-terminal C128–x–C130 pair. There is no spectroscopic evidence for coordination by the C-terminal M131 residue or C86, with the coordination models consistent with mononuclear coordinately unsaturated 3S 1H₂O Zn(II) complex and a binuclear Cu₂–S₄ Cu(I) or multinuclear Cu_{*n*}–S_{*m*} complex (where *n* < *m*). Although XAS data consistent with a binuclear Cu₂–S₄ cluster have been previously reported for *E. hirae* CopY,²⁸ our SAXS show clear evidence of a heterogeneous sample containing higher order oligomers. This is further supported by the low signal-to-noise in our IM-MS spectra on the Cu₂ CopY complex, the significant decrease in the number of observable cross peaks in the NMR spectra of this complex, as well as the



rPA-MS findings, which reveal that all the solvent-exposed Cys are essentially fully protected by Cu(I) coordination. Although our results cannot clearly distinguish the degree to which Cu-bound oligomers form beyond that of the tetramer, this is the first direct evidence that the metallostructures must be subunit-bridging in any CopY. Further, this work suggests that Cu-mediated oligomerization beyond the dimer is an important aspect of this regulatory process (Fig. 10A).

The average Cu(I) binding affinity of *Spn* CopY, although lower than those measured for other Cu(I)-sensing metalloregulators,^{32,46} is consistent with a “threshold” model of cellular Cu resistance defined by a set-point of $\approx 10^{-16}$ M free metal in the pneumococcus (Fig. 10B). In this model, the Cu

affinity of CopY is tuned such that it is weaker than the high affinity S1 site in metallochaperone CupA, comparable to that of N-terminal metal-binding domain of CopA, but greater than that of functionally critical S2 site in CupA.¹⁴ In this way, the Cu resistance system is transcriptionally switched “on” at $\approx 10^{-16}$ M bioavailable Cu(I), which exceeds the capacity of the existing CupA and CopA molecules produced as a result of “leaky” transcription to buffer Cu(I).⁴⁷ This results in the synthesis of many molecules of CopY, CupA, and CopA, which in turn triggers CupA–CopA-mediated Cu export, and a subsequent drop in cellular Cu to less than 10^{-18} M Cu. A report that appeared during the review of this manuscript which investigates the role of CupA on CopY function in pneumococcal cells




Fig. 10 (A) Allosteric model of *Spn* CopY highlighting allosteric activation of DNA operator binding by apo-CopY by Zn, and allosteric inhibition of DNA binding by Cu(I), consistent with the work presented here. We compare metal-dependent CopY regulation with proteolysis-induced regulation of DNA (MecR2; BlaR2) binding by dimeric MecI/BlaI (see text for details). Higher order oligomeric states are represented only as tetramers for clarity. (B) Threshold model for Cu(I) sensing and detoxification in *S. pneumoniae*. The Zn and Cu(I) binding affinities, stoichiometries and coordination structures determined here are placed into the context of previously published work on the membrane-bound Cu chaperone, CupA, and the P-type ATPase efflux pump, CopA.^{14,55} The Zn(II) binding affinity of CopY is such that there is sufficient free Zn in the cell, governed by the relative affinities of the Zn uptake repressor, AdcR,⁵⁶ and the Zn efflux regulator, SczA,⁵ to ensure that any CopY expressed under uninduced conditions will be Zn bound and bound to the *cop* box. As free Cu(I) rises to greater than 10^{-16} M, this is sufficient to trigger Cu binding by CopY ($\log K_{Cu}$ 16.3) leading to transcriptional derepression; as Cu levels continue to rise, the low affinity S2 Cu site on CupA is filled ($\log K_{Cu}$ 14.8), which along with CopA is required for cellular Cu resistance. CupA and CopA collaboratively function to lower cellular Cu, and at $[Cu] \leq 10^{-19}$ M, the regulatory system resets.



under conditions of copper toxicity adds additional detail to this threshold model.⁴⁸

How CopY incorporates allosteric activation of DNA binding by Zn(II) can be explained by a conformational selection mechanism based on the ion mobility experiments where the population of an extended, partially unfolded, weakly DNA-binding conformation(s) present in the apo state is significantly quenched upon Zn binding. Ligand-dependent activation of DNA binding has been attributed to conformational selection for several transcription regulators that repress or activate gene expression,^{49,50} with the catabolite activator protein in *E. coli* the best characterized example. This mechanism has been previously suggested for MecI/BlaI based on the different orientations of the DNA binding motifs in BlaI structures from two different organisms (Fig. S8†).^{25,26} However, this idea has not been further evaluated since the regulation of DNA binding of MecI/BlaI is thought to occur mainly *via* selective proteolytic cleavage in the regulatory domain that destabilizes the dimer and dissociates the repressor-operator complex (Fig. 10A).^{22,23} In the case of CopY, the weaker DNA binding affinity of the apoprotein is enhanced by Zn coordination at the C-terminal CxC pair, which not only stabilizes the CopY homodimer (Fig. 9) but also selects a compact conformation that it is poised to bind DNA (Fig. 8F). Moreover, the NMR structure of *Lactococcus lactis* CopR¹⁷ (Fig. 1B) suggests that the relative orientations of the DNA binding helices relative to the rest of the winged helical domain are similar to that found in the intact MecI structure from *S. aureus*²³ which are not oriented such that the reading heads “fit” into consecutive major grooves (Fig. S7†). This reinforces the idea that conformational plasticity and marginal stability of the regulatory domain must be important aspects of allosteric activation of DNA operator binding of CopY by Zn (Fig. 10A).

Allosteric inhibition by Cu, on the other hand, relies on the formation of a kinetically stable, multi-metallic Cu·S cluster(s) that may well destabilize the dimer by forming higher order oligomers, likely by means of Cu·S·Cu bridges. As discussed above, the mechanism of derepression proposed for MecI posits a proteolysis-dependent change in the stability of the dimer near the residue corresponding to C101 (Fig. 1A); however, in this case, the signaling event (proteolysis) leads to dissociation of dimers to weakly DNA-binding monomers (Fig. 10A).^{22,23} On the contrary, Cu leads to the formation of CopY oligomers that are aggregation-prone (Fig. 8C, 10A). It has been shown previously that Cu induces derepression of *E. coli* MarR-regulated genes by a tetramer assembly mechanism;⁵¹ however, in this case, the oligomers that form are mediated by oxidation of a single Cys in the DNA binding domain to a disulfide, forming crosslinked tetramers and thereby occluding the DNA-binding helices from interacting with the DNA operator. In our CopY model, stable Cu-S coordination bonds may drive assembly beyond the dimer, particularly at excess Cu (Fig. 10B). Our Cu(I)-mediated assembly-inhibition model also readily rationalizes the observation that CopYs contain variable numbers of clustered Cys residues in the C-terminus (Fig. 1B), leading to distinct metal stoichiometries and nuclearities of their multi-nuclear Cu(I)-thiolate complexes.²⁸ All Cu(I) needs to do is drive

polynuclear Cu-S cluster formation, sufficient to induce structural and/or dynamical changes in the C-terminal regulatory domain, leading to oligomerization and ultimately DNA dissociation. Zn(II), in contrast, must be subunit-bridging in a way that stabilizes the dimer and quenches conformational heterogeneity, thereby activating DNA binding. Our finding of a coordinately unsaturated Zn complex likely lowers the kinetic barrier and enhances the rate of metal-exchange between the more weakly bound Zn and more strongly bound Cu(I), thus facilitating transcriptional derepression of the CopY-regulated Cu-resistance genes by increased cellular copper. We propose that nature exploits a marginally kinetically or thermodynamically stable all- α -helical C-terminal regulatory domain on a common N-terminal DNA binding domain as a key feature of CopY and BlaI/MecI-family repressors to effect allosteric and biological outputs in distinct ways.

Methods

See compiled ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge support from the US National Institutes of Health from grants R01 GM042569 and R35 GM118157 (to D. P. G.) and the Pew Charitable Trusts (to D. A. C.). We also thank Dr My Le for early help in analysis of the SAXS data, Mr Goran Tumbic and Dr Katie Edmonds for helpful discussions of the IM-MS and NMR data, respectively, and Dr Lixin Fan of the Small-Angle X-ray Scattering Core Facility, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD for acquiring the SAXS data.

References

- 1 W. Maret, *Metallomics*, 2010, **2**, 117–125.
- 2 C. A. Wakeman and E. P. Skaar, *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 2012, **15**, 169–174.
- 3 M. I. Hood and E. P. Skaar, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2012, **10**, 525–537.
- 4 K. Y. Djoko, C.-l. Y. Ong, M. J. Walker and A. G. McEwan, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2015, **290**, 18954–18961.
- 5 J. E. Martin, K. A. Edmonds, K. E. Bruce, G. C. Campanello, B. A. Eijkelkamp, E. B. Brazel, C. A. McDevitt, M. E. Winkler and D. P. Giedroc, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2017, **104**, 636–651.
- 6 K. Y. Djoko, C. L. Ong, M. J. Walker and A. G. McEwan, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2015, **290**, 18954–18961.
- 7 R. A. Festa and D. J. Thiele, *PLoS Pathog.*, 2012, **8**, e1002887.
- 8 Y. Fu, F. M. Chang and D. P. Giedroc, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 3605–3613.



- 9 C. White, T. Kambe, Y. G. Fulcher, S. W. Sachdev, A. I. Bush, K. Fritsche, J. Lee, T. P. Quinn and M. J. Petris, *J. Cell Sci.*, 2009, **122**, 1315–1321.
- 10 D. P. Giedroc and A. I. Arunkumar, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, **29**, 3107–3120.
- 11 D. A. Capdevila, J. Wang and D. P. Giedroc, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2016, **291**, 20858–20868.
- 12 D. A. Capdevila, K. A. Edmonds and D. P. Giedroc, *Essays Biochem.*, 2017, **61**, 177–200.
- 13 S. Shafeeq, H. Yesilkaya, T. G. Kloosterman, G. Narayanan, M. Wandel, P. W. Andrew, O. P. Kuipers and J. A. Morrissey, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2011, **81**, 1255–1270.
- 14 Y. Fu, H.-C. T. Tsui, K. E. Bruce, L.-T. Sham, K. A. Higgins, J. P. Lisher, K. M. Kazmierczak, M. J. Maroney, C. E. Dann, M. E. Winkler and D. P. Giedroc, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2013, **9**, 177–183.
- 15 D. Strausak and M. Solioz, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1997, **272**, 8932–8936.
- 16 P. Cobine, W. A. Wickramasinghe, M. D. Harrison, T. Weber, M. Solioz and C. T. Dameron, *FEBS Lett.*, 1999, **445**, 27–30.
- 17 F. Cantini, L. Banci and M. Solioz, *Biochem. J.*, 2009, **417**, 493–499.
- 18 R. Portmann, K. R. Poulsen, R. Wimmer and M. Solioz, *BioMetals*, 2006, **19**, 61–70.
- 19 K. O. Pazehoski, P. A. Cobine, D. J. Winzor and C. T. Dameron, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2011, **406**, 183–187.
- 20 D. Magnani, O. Barré, S. D. Gerber and M. Solioz, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2008, **190**, 536–545.
- 21 H. Reyes-Caballero, G. C. Campanello and D. P. Giedroc, *Biophys. Chem.*, 2011, **156**, 103–114.
- 22 R. Garcia-Castellanos, A. Marrero, G. Mallorqui-Fernandez, J. Potempa, M. Coll and F. X. Gomis-Ruth, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2003, **278**, 39897–39905.
- 23 R. Garcia-Castellanos, G. Mallorqui-Fernandez, A. Marrero, J. Potempa, M. Coll and F. X. Gomis-Ruth, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2004, **279**, 17888–17896.
- 24 M. K. Safo, Q. Zhao, T. P. Ko, F. N. Musayev, H. Robinson, N. Scarsdale, A. H. Wang and G. L. Archer, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2005, **187**, 1833–1844.
- 25 J. Boudet, V. Duval, H. Van Melckebeke, M. Blackledge, A. Amoroso, B. Joris and J. P. Simorre, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2007, **35**, 4384–4395.
- 26 C. Sala, A. Haouz, F. A. Saul, I. Miras, I. Rosenkrands, P. M. Alzari and S. T. Cole, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2009, **71**, 1102–1116.
- 27 D. Strausak and M. Solioz, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1997, **272**, 8932–8936.
- 28 P. A. Cobine, G. N. George, C. E. Jones, W. A. Wickramasinghe, M. Solioz and C. T. Dameron, *Biochemistry*, 2002, **41**, 5822–5829.
- 29 T. Liu, A. Ramesh, Z. Ma, S. K. Ward, L. Zhang, G. N. George, A. M. Talaat, J. C. Sacchettini and D. P. Giedroc, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2007, **3**, 60–68.
- 30 D. R. Winge, C. T. Dameron, G. N. George, I. J. Pickering and I. G. Dance, in *Bioinorganic Chemistry of Copper*, ed. K. D. Karlin and Z. Tyeklar, Springer, Dordrecht, 1993, pp. 110–123.
- 31 Z. Xiao, J. Brose, S. Schimo, S. M. Ackland, S. La Fontaine and A. G. Wedd, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2011, **286**, 11047–11055.
- 32 A. Changela, K. Chen, Y. Xue, J. Holschen, C. E. Outten, T. V. O'Halloran and A. Mondragon, *Science*, 2003, **301**, 1383–1387.
- 33 Z. Ma, D. M. Cowart, B. P. Ward, R. J. Arnold, R. D. DiMarchi, L. Zhang, G. N. George, R. A. Scott and D. P. Giedroc, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 18044–18045.
- 34 A. K. Chaplin, B. G. Tan, E. Vijgenboom and J. A. R. Worrall, *Metallomics*, 2015, **7**, 145–155.
- 35 P. A. Cobine, C. E. Jones and C. T. Dameron, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2002, **88**, 192–196.
- 36 L. S. Kau, D. J. Spira-Solomon, J. E. Penner-Hahn, K. O. Hodgson and E. I. Solomon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 6433–6442.
- 37 X. Chen, H. Hua, K. Balamurugan, X. Kong, L. Zhang, G. N. George, O. Georgiev, W. Schaffner and D. P. Giedroc, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2008, **36**, 3128–3138.
- 38 K. R. Brown, G. L. Keller, I. J. Pickering, H. H. Harris, G. N. George and D. R. Winge, *Biochemistry*, 2002, **41**, 6469–6476.
- 39 K. Clark-Baldwin, D. L. Tierney, N. Govindaswamy, E. Gruff, C. Kim, J. M. Berg, S. Koch and J. E. Penner-Hahn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 8401–8409.
- 40 J. L. Apuy, X. Chen, D. H. Russell, T. O. Baldwin and D. P. Giedroc, *Biochemistry*, 2001, **40**, 15164–15175.
- 41 J. L. Apuy, L. S. Busenlehner, D. H. Russell and D. P. Giedroc, *Biochemistry*, 2004, **43**, 3824–3834.
- 42 D. A. Capdevila, J. J. Braymer, K. A. Edmonds, H. Wu and D. P. Giedroc, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2017, **114**, 4424–4429.
- 43 T. J. El-Baba, D. W. Woodall, S. A. Raab, D. R. Fuller, A. Laganowsky, D. H. Russell and D. E. Clemmer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 6306–6309.
- 44 A. D. Jacobs, F. M. Chang, L. Morrison, J. M. Dilger, V. H. Wysocki, D. E. Clemmer and D. P. Giedroc, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2015, **54**, 12795–12799.
- 45 R. R. Hudgins and M. F. Jarrold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 3494–3501.
- 46 M. Zimmermann, O. Clarke, J. M. Gulbis, D. W. Keizer, R. S. Jarvis, C. S. Cobbett, M. G. Hinds, Z. Xiao and A. G. Wedd, *Biochemistry*, 2009, **48**, 11640–11654.
- 47 Y. Fu, K. E. Bruce, H. Wu and D. P. Giedroc, *Metallomics*, 2016, **8**, 61070.
- 48 M. J. Neubert, E. A. Dahlmann, A. Ambrose and M. D. L. Johnson, *mSphere*, 2017, **2**, e00372-17.
- 49 S. R. Tzeng and C. G. Kalodimos, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2013, **9**, 462–465.
- 50 A. J. Guerra, C. E. Dann and D. P. Giedroc, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 19614–19617.
- 51 Z. Hao, H. Lou, R. Zhu, J. Zhu, D. Zhang, B. S. Zhao, S. Zeng, X. Chen, J. Chan, C. He and P. R. Chen, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2013, **10**, 21–28.
- 52 P. V. Konarev, V. V. Volkov, A. V. Sokolova, M. H. J. Koch and D. I. Svergun, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2003, **36**, 1277–1282.
- 53 H. Fischer, M. D. Neto, H. B. Napolitano, I. Polikarpov and A. F. Craievich, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2010, **43**, 101–109.



- 54 D. Franke and D. I. Svergun, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 342–346.
- 55 P. Gourdon, X. Y. Liu, T. Skjorringe, J. P. Morth, L. B. Moller, B. P. Pedersen and P. Nissen, *Nature*, 2011, **475**, 59–64.
- 56 H. Reyes-Caballero, A. J. Guerra, F. E. Jacobsen, K. M. Kazmierczak, D. Cowart, U. M. Koppolu, R. A. Scott, M. E. Winkler and D. P. Giedroc, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2010, **403**, 197–216.

