Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 38614Received 24th August 2018
Accepted 26th October 2018
DOI: 10.1039/c8ra07090c
rsc.li/rsc-advances

Correlation between hydrogen yield and product distribution in algae conversion through an isopropanol/water system†

Chunze Liu, Liping Kong, Anaerguli Wufuer, Yuanyuan Wang * and Liyi Dai

This work reports a catalytic decomposition of algae to bio-oil over an isopropanol and water mixture system. The H₂ yield was adjusted by changing the ratio between isopropanol and water. In this system, the introduction of formic acid was helpful to extend the yield range. Five noble metal supported catalysts were applied and Rh/C was proved to give the highest oil yield. A correlation was established between hydrocarbon yield and H₂ yield. It was useful in predicting product distribution and helping to obtain desired products. EA, GC and GC-MS were applied in product analysis.

1. Introduction

With the depletion of fossil fuels, renewable sources such as biomass have attracted research interest worldwide. As a third generation bioenergy, decomposing algae to fuels and chemicals has become a hotspot in recent years for its advantages such as higher photosynthesis efficiency, higher lipid content, higher growth rate and cultivation on saline-alkali land.^{1–3} Various conversion methods have been applied for the algae to bio-oil process including liquefaction, pyrolysis and hydrogenation. Among these, the liquefaction by sub- and supercritical fluid technologies has been widely applied in the algae conversion process to generate fuels and value-added chemicals.^{4–6} Gases and liquids are the most important products in algae bio-oil and always accompany and influence each other. In gas products, H₂ often occupies a higher proportion and plays an important role in hydrogenation procedure, therefore could generate more alkanes in liquid oil and promote a high heating value (HHV) of the formed oil. Also, as a clean fuel source, H₂ could be used directly.⁷

Early studies indicated that noble metal supported catalysts performed activities in the hydrogenation of algae products under high H₂ pressure and temperatures. It is generally known that there are many heterogeneous atom compounds in algae cells and they can decrease oil quality dramatically.² Catalytic hydrogenation combined with the existence of H₂ could reduce heterogeneous atom content effectively. Common noble metals (Pd, Pt, Rh and Ru) supported on active carbons were widely applied in algae hydrogenation reactions to form high yield high quality bio-oil.⁴

In hydrogenation reaction, hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) was the most important technology for its advantages, such as avoiding large energy consumption process. However, it still remained some problems. As we all know, algae bio-oil consisted by large amount of organic compounds, such as hydrocarbons, phenols, acids, esters, amides and other heterogeneous atoms compounds. Most of them couldn't dissolve in water, caused the reaction and separation problems.⁷

Alcohols as a series of protic solvents, can give more protons in hydrogenation reactions than water. As an organic solvent, it has better solubility for bio-oil than water. Also, it's cheap and can avoid dry process either. In previous research, methanol,^{8,9} ethanol,^{9,10} propanol,¹¹ isopropanol¹¹ and ethylene glycol¹² were investigated in algae conversion reaction and proved to having satisfied results. Especially, Duan and co-authors investigated them in thermal-chemical conversion of microalgae.¹¹ In his work, five kinds of alcohols were applied and ethanol was proved to be the best. Nevertheless, isopropanol was noticed by our research group in recent years, for it could decompose to generate H₂ and acetone.^{7,13} The H₂ yield was much higher than methanol and ethanol for its unique structure. Also, it is cheap and can access easily. For its unique properties, it being thought to be a perfect H₂ donator and solvent in algae decomposition process. In our previous work of lignin depolymerization, different ratios between isopropanol and water could cause different H₂ selectively. Also, a correlation was established between liquid product distribution and H₂ selectively.⁷ This result was helpful in predicting product distribution and the obtained desired product just through changing the ratio between isopropanol and water.

In this research, the influence on oil yield by different reaction conditions were investigated and then, different proportion of isopropanol and water were applied under catalytic liquefaction procedure. The composition and quality of formed bio-oil and H₂ yield were detected. The connection between liquid

College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China Normal University, 500 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200241, China. E-mail: ecnu_yywang@163.com; Fax: +86 21-54340133; Tel: +86 21-54340133

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra07090c

Table 1 Characteristics of spirulina

Lipid (%)	Protein (%)	Carbohydrate (%)	C (%)	H (%)	N (%)
7.60 ± 1.20	67.10 ± 8.00	15.30 ± 2.00	48.45 ± 0.31	7.13 ± 0.19	10.13 ± 0.20

products distribution and H₂ yield was given and formic acid was introduced to extend the variation range of H₂ yield.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Spirulina was purchased from Fuqing Xindaze Spirulina Co. The composition and results of the elemental analysis are listed in Table 1. Dichloromethane (DCM), formic acid (HCOOH, 98%), palladium nitrate [Pd(NO₃)₂], and Pd/C, Pt/C, Rh/C and Ru/C (all element content of 5%) were purchased from Sino-pharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. Absolute ethanol and anhydrous magnesium sulfate (MgSO₄) were purchased from Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. All the reagents were analytically pure (AR grade) and used directly without any purification. Characteristics of spirulina was shown in Table 1.

3. Experimental procedure

3.1. Synthesis of Pd/HZSM-5

HZSM-5 (Si/Al ratio of 80) was synthesized according to our previous work.¹³ Pd(NO₃)₂ (0.6 g) in a water solution (15 mg g⁻¹) and 0.3 g of HZSM-5 was added to a beaker with 10 ml of water. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Then, the solution was placed in an oven at 120 °C for 10 h. The dry powders were washed with water and filtered. After filtering, the catalyst was calcined in H₂ at 400 °C for 4 h.

3.2. Catalytic conversion of algae

A 304-stainless steel reactor with a volume of 30 ml was purchased from the Yantai Muping Shuguang precision instrument factory. Algae (0.5 g), solvent, and catalyst were added to the reactor. Then, the reactor was placed in a salt bath consisting of sodium nitrite, sodium nitrate, and potassium nitrate in the mass ratio 40 : 7 : 53.¹⁴ Then, the reactor was heated to the specified temperature and kept for the desired residence time. After it was complete, the reactor was quenched by cool water for 40 min and then, gases were collected for the compositional analysis. 150 ml DCM was used to wash the reactor so that all the products could be washed out. The mixture was filtered by a membrane with an aperture of 0.45 μm. After filtering, the solution was dried by 2 g of MgSO₄. After another filtering by the membrane, the liquid was evaporated at 60 °C to remove the solvent, and the bio-oil product was obtained. Each experiment was repeated twice, and the average values of the oil yield are reported. The formulas for the oil and coke yields are given as

$$X_{\text{Oil yield}} (\text{wt}\%) = \left(\frac{\text{weight of bio-oil}}{\text{weight of algae powder}} \right) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

4. Characterization techniques

4.1. Elemental analysis

The elemental compositions (C, H, and N) of the spirulina and bio-oil were determined using a Vario EL III instrument. For each experiment, the oil obtained from two experiments in parallel was mixed to obtain the results. The HHV was estimated using the Dulong formula:¹⁵

$$\text{HHV} (\text{MJ kg}^{-1}) = 0.338\text{C} + 1.423\text{H} - 0.154\text{O} - 0.145\text{N}, \quad (2)$$

$$\text{O} = 100 - \text{C} - \text{H} - \text{N} \quad (3)$$

where C, H, N, and O are the weight percentages of each atom in the bio-oil.

4.2. GC-MS analysis

GC-MS analysis was performed using an Agilent Technologies 7890A-5975C instrument equipped with an auto-sampler and auto-injector. An Agilent HP-5MS column was used to separate the constituents. One microliter of a bio-oil solution with the DCM solvent was injected into the column. To protect the filament, a 3 min solvent delay was necessary. The column temperature was initially held at 40 °C for 3 min; it was then ramped to 250 °C at 4 °C min⁻¹ and held there for 10 min, for a detection time of 65.5 min. The analysis time was long enough to separate all the constituents. Helium served as the carrier gas. A Wiley mass spectral library was used to identify all the compounds. For each experiment, the oil obtained from two experiments in parallel was mixed to obtain the GC-MS results.

4.3. GC analysis of product gases

GC analysis of product gases was performed using an Tianmei GC 7890 with TCD detector. A Carboxen 1000 column was used to separate the constituents. For different product gases, different carrier gases were selected and the details were shown in Table 2. The gas sample was injected through six-way valve, split stream sampling was applied, split ratio was 3 : 1. The operation temperature of detector was 200 °C and the speed of carrier gas was 5 ml min⁻¹. The temperature of column was held at 70 °C and the totally analysis time was 60 min.

Table 2 Parameters setting for GC

Product gas	Carrier gas	Background gas	Electric current of TCD/mA
H ₂	Ar	N ₂	70
Carbon containing gases	H ₂	He	120

Fig. 1 Results of oil yield by different HTL reaction conditions. ((a) 0.5 g algae + 10 ml isopropanol + 2 h; (b) 0.5 g algae + 360°C + 10 ml isopropanol; (c) 0.5 g algae + 360 °C + 2 h; (d) Pd/C catalyst, 0.5 g algae + 360°C + 10 ml isopropanol + 2 h.)

Table 3 Oil yield by different catalysts

Catalyst	Pd/HZSM-5	Pd/C	Pt/C	Rh/C	Ru/C
Oil yield/%	37.4 ± 7.1.2	48.6 ± 8.3.6	43.0 ± 3.2.2	50.6 ± 0.0.4	45.6 ± 5.2.8

5. Results and discussion

5.1. Results of reaction conditions optimization

In our previous research work, isopropanol had not been applied in algae conversion reaction. Therefore, different reaction conditions were investigated first and the results were given in Fig. 1. In previous work, researchers found that

temperature was the mainly influence fraction in bio-oil production, our former work also confirmed this.² So, in Fig. 1a, 0.5 g algae and 10 ml isopropanol was used in different temperatures. In Fig. 1a, when temperature increased from 300 °C to 360 °C, oil yield increased from 49.2% to 68%, revealed that high temperature was conducive to algae cell decomposition and isopropanol could receive higher oil yield

Fig. 2 Oil yield and HHV by different ratio of isopropanol to water.

Fig. 3 H₂ yield by different ratio of isopropanol to water.

than water, for it can polymerize to form larger molecules and stay in formed bio-oil. When temperature rise further to 380 °C, the oil yield decreased to 53.6%, caused by re-polymerization of products. Therefore, 360 °C was selected to be the optimal. Then, the effect of reaction time was investigated and the results were shown in Fig. 1b. It was found that 2 h obtained the highest oil yield and prolonging reaction time caused oil yield decreased. The reason may be that the algae first decomposed to form bio-oil and then re-polymerized after 2 h. Hence, in Fig. 1c, 2 h was chosen as the best and the effect of different isopropanol volume was given. When isopropanol volume increased from 4 ml to 10 ml, the oil yield increased substantially from 30.8% to 68%. But when 12 ml isopropanol was hired in the reaction, the oil yield decreased to 49.6%. It may be because that high isopropanol volume could cause high reaction pressure, this will accelerate the decomposition rate. In Fig. 1d, Pd/C was hired in catalytic decomposition reaction and the effect of catalyst dosage was given. In Fig. 1d, 0.04 g catalyst obtained the highest oil yield of 48.6%. On the basis of these results and for economic reasons, we chose a reaction temperature of 360 °C, a reaction time of 2 h, an isopropanol volume of 10 ml and a catalyst dosage of 0.04 g as the optimal reaction conditions.

In Fig. 1, it was found that the oil yield decreased when adding catalyst. It was considered to the decomposition effect of catalyst on isopropanol, that is to say, the existence of catalyst can promote the decomposition of isopropanol to generate H₂ rather than itself polymerization. Based on these results, Pt/C, Ru/C, Rh/C and Pd/HZSM-5 were applied in catalytic conversion of algae. The results were given in Table 3 and Rh/C showed the best catalytic effect and obtained 50.6% bio-oil. In our previous research, Rh supported catalyst showed excellent effect in lignin depolymerization and deoxygenation.¹⁵ Therefore, Rh/C was hired in the next.

5.2. Adjustability of the product distribution

Based on the different H₂-donating capabilities of isopropanol and water, different isopropanol/water ratios were used to adjust the proportion of H₂ in the system. In the following experiments, 0.5 g algae, 360 °C reaction temperature, 0.04 g Rh/C catalyst and 2 h reaction time and never changed. Also, the total volume of solvent was 10 ml. The oil yield and HHV were measured at nine isopropanol/water ratio. Also, system of pure water and pure isopropanol were conducted at blank experiments. These results were shown in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2, with the increasing of water volume, the oil yield decreased from 50.6%

Table 4 Composition of bio-oil by different ratio of isopropanol to water

Ratio of i-PrOH ^a to water	Hydrocarbons	Phenols	Acids	Esters	Nitriles	Amides
10 : 0	11.72	11.88	29.7	7.46	0.84	23.17
9 : 1	9.42	11.7	32.72	4.39	0	25.04
8 : 2	13.41	14.39	28.65	2.67	1.13	22.47
7 : 3	15.26	12.39	29.52	6.24	0	12.76
6 : 4	18.08	14.98	17.23	2.71	1.61	31.79
5 : 5	22.96	15.55	17.57	1.33	0	23.45
4 : 6	11.98	13.9	23.76	3.05	1.48	18.64
3 : 7	14.89	12.64	30.95	1.91	0	25.39
2 : 8	18.29	4.2	46.79	2.77	0	11.74
1 : 9	16.23	9.24	33.09	3.47	0	24.49
0 : 10	15.88	9.35	28.44	4.75	0	21.54

^a Isopropanol.

Fig. 4 Oil yield and HHV by different ratio of isopropanol to water with formic acid.

to 31.4%. When the proportion of water increased to 40%, the oil yield decreased obviously. This was attributed to the difference decomposition abilities between isopropanol and water. As the proportion of water further increased, the oil yield slowly decreased. It revealed that the depolymerization of isopropanol could increase oil yield and much more water had little effect on oil yield. At the same time, HHV of formed oil changed little with the changing of the isopropanol/water proportion, revealed that the effective of hydrogenation in this reaction system was limited, thus caused low oil yield and HHV.

H₂ yield by different ratio of isopropanol to water were shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3, H₂ yield decreased from 7.13 to 0.27 mmol with the increasing of water volume. When water content reached to 50%, the H₂ yield decreased dramatically with the increasing of water content. When the water content increased after 70%, the H₂ yield was lower than 0.55 mmol, caused by the low H₂-donating ability of the solvent. As we mentioned before, the H₂-donating ability of the isopropanol and water were different, isopropanol was easily decomposed to form hydrogen. Therefore, when the ratio of isopropanol/water decreased, the H₂-donating ability and the H₂ yield of this system decreased.

The composition of formed bio-oil was determined by GC-MS and the results were shown in Table 4. The content of formed bio-oil from Table 4 changed little with the changing of water volume. It may cause by the lack of H₂-donating ability of the reaction system. Acids and amides occupied almost a half in bio-oil, indicated that the effect of hydrogenation was not satisfied. Also, the content of hydrocarbons and esters were low, it revealed that in catalytic liquefaction reaction, H₂-donating ability of isopropanol was limited. As an *in situ* H₂ donor, formic acid can decompose to generate H₂ and it had been widely applied in hydrogenation reactions and received satisfied results.^{16–19} Based on these, formic acid was introduced in the reaction system to improve H₂-donating ability and received better results.

5.3. Effect of formic acid on the adjustability of the product distribution

When formic acid was introduced in the reaction, results of oil yield and HHV were shown in Fig. 4. Compared to Fig. 2, the introducing of formic acid can increase the oil yield and HHV obviously. Oil yield of isopropanol increased from 50.6% to 70.8% and also, oil yield decreased with the increasing of water volume. Besides, the oil yield had greater range of variation.

Fig. 5 H₂ yield by different ratio of isopropanol to water with formic acid.

Table 5 Composition of bio-oil by different ratio of isopropanol to water with formic acid

Ratio of i-PrOH ^a to water	Hydrocarbons	Phenols	Acids	Esters	Nitriles	Amides
10 : 0	63.21	5.21	6.74	5.21	0.59	4.74
9 : 1	52.07	7.07	10.78	6.03	0	7.24
8 : 2	44.25	9.34	14.16	5.77	0.26	10.61
7 : 3	26.19	11.65	16.25	4.93	0	16.38
6 : 4	19.25	11.92	18.06	5.04	0.62	18.26
5 : 5	14.06	13.79	16.3	5.32	2.31	18.03
4 : 6	13.27	12.69	24.82	4.29	0	21.08
3 : 7	13.3	15.33	27.61	1.98	0.82	22.36
2 : 8	11.73	16.13	22.62	2.49	0	24.49
1 : 9	13.1	12.11	32.54	3.15	0	22.37
0 : 10	13.98	12.44	28.14	2.65	0.99	18.75

^a Isopropanol.

When the proportion of water increased to 30%, the oil yield decreased from 70.8% rapidly to 47.0%. As we mentioned before, adding water could decrease the H₂-donation ability and the re-polymerization of isopropanol was limited, thus caused the decreasing of oil yield. When the proportion of water further increased to 80%, oil yield changed little. Similar results were obtained in the oil yield without formic acid. When isopropanol was removed in the system, the oil yield decreased to 35.2% and it was 12.1% higher than that without formic acid. Nevertheless, the oil yield by isopropanol was 39.9% higher than that without formic acid, revealed that formic acid could have greater influence in the solvent which had better H₂-donation ability. Also, in Fig. 4, with the introduction of water and removal of isopropanol, the HHV was decreased and isopropanol received the highest HHV of 36.21%. But the HHV changed little when the proportion of water was greater than 20%.

H₂ yield with formic acid were shown in Fig. 5 and the composition of formed bio-oil was shown in Table 5. Compared to Fig. 3, it can be observed that H₂ yield (68.76 mmol) increased dramatically. Also, with the increasing of water volume, H₂ yield decreased quickly. The trend is the same as before. After the proportion of water higher than 40%, the range of H₂ yield changed little, may cause by the decreasing of solvent H₂-donating ability. In this system, H₂ yield could be controlled between 68.76 to 4.16 mmol, which was larger than that without formic acid.

In this system, adding formic acid could receive boarder range of H₂ yield and this was similar with our former results. Therefore, GC-MS was applied in oil yield quality characteristics. The GC-MS results were shown in Table 5. In this Table, it was observed that in isopropanol oil, hydrocarbons yield was 63.21%, it was much higher than that without formic acid. Also, the yields of phenols, acids, esters, nitriles and amides were both lower, indicated that this system had excellent

hydrogenation ability. Besides, with the proportion of water increasing, the yield of hydrocarbons decreased and the yield of acids and amides increased. At the same time, after the proportion of water higher than 40%, the composition of formed oil changed little, this can match our previous conclusion. Based on these results, a correlation between H₂ yield and the product distribution could be built. With the proportion of water decreasing, H₂ yield from this reaction system increased and with the help of noble supported catalyst, hydrodenitrogenation and hydrodeoxygenation reactions were occurred to generate more hydrocarbons. Therefore, based on the ratio of isopropanol and water, we can give a prediction on product distribution and the relationship can help us to understand these complex reactions. This correlation between H₂ yield and the product distribution furtherly emphasized the important of hydrogenation reaction in algae conversion process. Former, in the research of lignin decomposition by our research group, the correlation between H₂ selectivity and the product distribution was given.⁷ But in algae decomposition process, the correlation between H₂ yield (not selectivity) and the product distribution was established. It may be because that algae had more complex composition.

For comparison, blank experiments were carried out and the results were shown in Table 6. From this Table, it was observed that H₂-donating ability of isopropanol was low and formic acid could decompose to generate more H₂. Also, adding catalyst could promote the decomposition step of isopropanol and formic acid. Compared to Fig. 5, it revealed that algae could generate H₂ in catalytic liquefaction, but limited. Therefore, in algae catalytic liquefaction reaction, isopropanol, formic acid and catalyst were both required.

6. Conclusion

Catalytic liquefaction of algae to bio-oil was investigated in isopropanol/water system at 360 °C for 2 h. Different isopropanol/water ratio obtained different H₂ yield and product distribution. The H₂ yield was ranged from 7.05 to 0.27 mmol by changing the isopropanol/water ratio. The introduction of formic acid was conducive to extend the H₂ yield from 68.76 to 4.16 mmol. Also, oil yield and HHV by isopropanol was as high

Table 6 H₂ selectivity and yield by solvent

	H ₂ selectivity/%	H ₂ yield/mmol
Isopropanol	7.52	0.80
Isopropanol + HCOOH	30.30	13.46
Isopropanol + HCOOH + catalyst	68.89	46.34

as 70.8% and 36.21 MJ kg⁻¹, respectively. Besides, the relationship between H₂ yield and product distribution was investigated and the correlation was proposed. It was helpful in predicting the composition of formed bio-oil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Science Foundation of Shanghai (No. 18ZR1411100).

References

- 1 Y. Chen, Y. L. Wu, D. R. Hua, C. Li, M. P. Harold, J. L. Wang and M. D. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 18673.
- 2 C. Z. Liu, L. P. Kong, Y. Y. Wang and L. Y. Dai, *Algal Res.*, 2018, **33**, 156.
- 3 Y. Pierson, X. Chen, F. D. Bobbink, J. G. Zhang and N. Yan, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2014, **2**, 2081.
- 4 Z. D. Huang, A. Wufuer, Y. Y. Wang and L. Y. Dai, *Process Biochem.*, 2018, **69**, 136.
- 5 M. Olkiewicz, M. P. Caporgno, J. Font, J. Legrand, O. Lepine, N. V. Plechkova, J. Pruvost, K. R. Seddon and C. Bengoa, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 2813.
- 6 P. G. Duan and P. E. Savage, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **50**, 52.
- 7 J. Yang, S. T. Liu, C. Z. Liu, Y. Y. Wang and L. Y. Dai, *Chem. Eng. Technol.*, 2018, **41**, 867.
- 8 P. D. Patil, V. G. Gude, A. Mannarswamy, S. G. Deng, P. Cooke, S. Munson-McGee, I. Rhodes, P. Lammers and N. Nirmalakhandan, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 118.
- 9 X. Z. Yuan, J. Y. Wang, G. M. Zeng, H. J. Huang, X. K. Pei, H. Li, Z. F. Liu and M. H. Cong, *Energy*, 2011, **36**, 6406.
- 10 H. J. Huang, X. Z. Yuan, G. M. Zeng, J. Y. Wang, H. Li, C. F. Zhou, X. K. Pei, Q. You and L. Chen, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2011, **92**, 147.
- 11 P. G. Duan, B. B. Jin, Y. P. Xu, Y. Yang, X. J. Bai, F. Wang, L. Zhang and J. Miao, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2013, **133**, 197.
- 12 S. P. Zou, Y. L. Wu, M. D. Yang, C. Li and J. M. Tong, *Energy Fuels*, 2009, **23**, 3753.
- 13 J. Yang, L. Zhao, C. Z. Liu, Y. Y. Wang and L. Y. Dai, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2016, **218**, 926.
- 14 Z. Q. Hou, L. G. Luo, K. Liu, C. Z. Liu, Y. Y. Wang and L. Y. Dai, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, **236**, 415.
- 15 J. Yang, L. Zhao, S. T. Liu, Y. Y. Wang and L. Y. Dai, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2016, **212**, 302.
- 16 Q. Y. Bi, J. D. Lin, Y. M. Liu, H. Y. He, F. Q. Huang and Y. Cao, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **328**, 463.
- 17 X. Liu, L. He, Y. M. Liu and Y. Cao, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 793.
- 18 Q. Y. Bi, J. D. Lin, Y. M. Liu, F. Q. Huang and Y. Cao, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2016, **41**, 21193.
- 19 J. G. Zhang and N. Yan, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 5050.