


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 33659

Rational design of near-infrared dyes based on boron dipyrromethene derivatives for application in organic solar cells†

 Man Zhang and Ruifa Jin *

With the aim to further improve the light-absorption efficiency of organic solar cells (OSCs), we have designed a series of novel pyrrolopyrrole boron dipyrromethene (BODIPY) derivatives by replacing the sulfur atom and introducing different fused aromatic heterocycle end-caps. The optical, electronic, and charge transporting properties of the designed molecules have been systematically investigated by applying density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) methodologies. The calculated the frontier molecular orbital (FMO) energies and spectral properties showed that the designed molecules exhibit narrower band gaps and strong absorption in the red/near-infrared (NIR) region, which led to the higher light-absorbing efficiency. Furthermore, the calculated reorganization energies show that the designed molecules are expected to be promising candidates for hole and/or electron transport materials. The results reveal that the designed molecules can serve as high-efficiency red/NIR-active donor materials as well as hole and/or electron transport materials in OSC applications.

Received 20th August 2018

Accepted 20th September 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra06940a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

In recent years, near-infrared (NIR) dyes (with a wavelength about 700 nm) have received considerable attention owing to their wide range of applications, such as organic solar cells (OSCs), photodynamic therapy, two-photon absorption, and nonlinear optics.¹ Accordingly, various NIR dyes have been reported.² Among them, boron dipyrromethene (BODIPY) derivatives are very promising NIR dyes because of their excellent properties such as high molar extinction coefficient, good photo and chemical stability, and excellent light-harvesting ability.³ Furthermore, a donor-acceptor conjugation structure (D-A) is a general way to develop novel OSC materials with a low band gap because this construct enables the energy and electrons to transfer after excitation with light.⁴ It has been reported that D-A construct NIR dyes of BODIPY exhibit high charge separation and recombination efficiencies.⁵ In addition, BODIPY derivatives possess low-lying frontier molecular orbitals (FMO)


energies, including the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO).⁶ The deep HOMO of donor materials assures a relatively high open circuit potential (V_{oc}). With the aim to harvest more sunlight, the energy gaps of the designed donor materials should be decreased, which results in an increase in the short circuit current density (J_{sc}).⁷ Therefore, these outstanding optoelectronic properties of BODIPY derivatives demonstrate that the materials containing BODIPY units are expected to be promising candidates for donor materials with narrow band gap and excellent light-harvesting ability for OSCs. Nowadays, to improve the NIR-active BODIPY dyes, many strategies have been used to red-shift the low-energy absorption band to capture NIR solar photons through a variety of chemical modifications, such as extending the π -system, ring fusion/annulation, introduction of substituents, replacement of the fluorine atoms by other groups or the meso carbon by nitrogen (*cf.* aza-BODIPY).^{8,9} It is noticeable that the solar energy is concentrated mostly in the NIR region. Therefore, we will focus on the light-trapping structures of BODIPY derivatives to get the best absorption of solar energy.

With the aim to explore a new class of NIR-active dyes for improving the efficiency of OSCs, a series of novel NIR-active dyes based pyrrolopyrrole BODIPY have been designed by replacing sulfur atom and introduction of different fused aromatic heterocycles end-cappers (Scheme 1). Furthermore, in order to get a further insight on the relationship between topologic structure and optical as well as charge transporting properties, we investigated the optical, electronic, and charge transporting properties of designed molecules. This may

Inner Mongolia Key Laboratory of Photoelectric Functional Materials, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Chifeng University, Chifeng 624000, China. E-mail: Ruifajin@163.com

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Table S1: Calculated the λ_{abs} and λ_{fl} of parent molecule **1** by various methods with 6-31G(d,p) basis set, along with available experimental data, Table S2: Calculated the λ_{abs} and λ_{fl} of **PPB** by various methods with 6-31G(d,p) basis set, along with available experimental data, Table S3: The absorption wavelengths λ_{abs} and f of the first fifteen excited states for the designed compounds, Table S4: The Cartesian coordinates of **1-8** in S_0 at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level, Table S5: The Cartesian coordinates of **1-8** in S_1 at the TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level, Fig. S1: Geometries of **PPB**. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra06940a





Scheme 1 Molecular structures of the investigated molecules.

provides a demonstration for the rational design of promising candidate for high efficiency OSCs. The FMOs (E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO}) energies, the HOMO–LUMO gaps (E_g), the absorption and fluorescence spectra as well as the reorganization energy were calculated by applying density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) methodology.

Computational methods

Geometry optimization for the ground state (S_0) and the lowest singlet excited state (S_1) were performed with the DFT and TD-DFT method using Gaussian 09 code,¹⁰ respectively. The harmonic vibrational frequency calculations obtained at the same theoretical level were used to confirm that the optimized molecules are stable structures. Based on the optimized geometries in the S_0 and S_1 , the absorption and fluorescent spectra of the compounds under investigation were predicted using the TD-DFT method, respectively. In order to evaluate the reliability of the selected approach, various functionals including B3LYP,¹¹ PBE0,¹² LC- ω PBE,¹³ ω B97XD,¹⁴ M062X,¹⁵ and CAM-B3LYP¹⁶ were employed to optimize the geometries of the parent molecule **1** in S_0 and S_1 states. On the basis of the optimized geometry for S_0 and S_1 states, the absorption and fluorescence were predicted using the polarized continuum model (PCM)¹⁷ in the chloroform solvent. The longest wavelengths of absorption and fluorescence (λ_{abs} and λ_{fl}) in chloroform as well as the experimental data are given in Table S1 in the ESI.[†] The λ_{abs} values for various functionals are plotted in Fig. 1. As showing Fig. 2 and Table S1,[†] among the various levels of theory tested, the calculated λ_{abs} and λ_{fl} at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) (658 and 697 nm) level provided a better agreement with the experimental values (655 and 672 nm)⁹ than those obtained with other levels of theory, with the deviation of 3 and 25 nm, respectively. With the aim to testify a further the validity of the selected approach, we take a pyrrolopyrrole aza-BODIPY analogue (PPB) as an example because it possesses a similar structure to our designed molecules. The sketch map of the structure of PPB is shown in Fig. S2 in the ESI.[†] The predicted longest λ_{abs} and λ_{fl} in chloroform at different theory levels as well as the experimental data¹⁸ are given in Table S2 in ESI.[†] It also suggested that the B3LYP functional is well suitable to our designed molecules. Therefore, B3LYP functional is reasonable to investigate the current system. All calculations in this paper were performed using the 6-31G(d,p) basis set. 0–0 transition energies ΔE^{0-0}




Fig. 1 Calculated absorption wavelengths (λ_{abs}) of **1** in chloroform using various functionals, together with the experimental results.

were also obtained for all species using the B3LYP functional. The 0–0 transition energy is the energy difference between the optimized S_1 and S_0 states, including the corresponding zero point energy difference of those two states.

According to the Marcus theory,¹⁹ the reorganization energy (λ) is the most important parameter for effective transport. The lower the reorganization energy values, the higher the charge transfer rate. In this work, we only pay attention to the internal reorganization energy because the external reorganization energy in organic crystals are much smaller than their counterparts and can be neglected.²⁰ The internal reorganization energy can be expressed as:

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = (E_0^+ - E_{\pm}^+) + (E_{\pm}^0 - E_0^0) \quad (1)$$

Here, E_0^+ and E_{\pm}^+ are the energies of the charged states with the optimized neutral and charged geometry, respectively. E_{\pm}^0 and E_0^0 represent the energy of the neutral species with the optimized geometry of the charged and neutral species, respectively. The reorganization energy for electron (λ_e) and hole (λ_h) were calculated directly from the adiabatic potential energy surfaces at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.²¹ This method can evaluate the internal reorganization energy accurately.²²

The stability is the most important criteria to determine the nature of devices for photoelectric materials. On the basis of the molecular orbital theory, the absolute hardness (η) was applied to explore the stability of the designed molecules. The η values of the designed molecules were calculated using equation:²³

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial N} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial N^2} \right) = \frac{\text{AIP} - \text{AEA}}{2} \quad (2)$$

Here, μ and N represent the chemical potential and total electron number, respectively. AIP and AEA are the adiabatic ionization potential and electron affinity, which were determined by $\text{AIP} = E_{\text{cr}} - E_{\text{p}}$ and $\text{AEA} = E_{\text{p}} - E_{\text{ar}}$, respectively, here, E_{p} , E_{cr} and E_{ar} correspond to the energies of the neutral molecule and its cation and anion radical generated after electron transfer, respectively.






Fig. 2 The electronic density contours of the frontier orbital for the studied compounds at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

Results and discussion

Frontier molecular orbitals level and band gaps

It is noteworthy that the FMOs energies (E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO}) and band gaps (E_g) are closely related to optical, electronic, and carrier transport properties. The Cartesian coordinates of the designed molecules for the S_0 and S_1 states are listed in Tables S2 and S3 in the ESI,[†] respectively. The calculated values of E_{HOMO} , E_{LUMO} , and E_g are given in Table 1. In order to gain insight into the optical, electronic, and carrier transport properties, the distribution patterns of the HOMOs and LUMOs in S_0 for 1–8 are plotted in Fig. 2. As visualized in Fig. 2, both the HOMOs and LUMOs of 1–8 exhibited π orbital features. Additionally, since the planar and rigid conjugated molecular geometry of the designed compounds, their HOMOs and LUMOs spread over the whole molecule. As shown in Table 1, the E_{HOMO} values of 1–8 decreases in the order of $7 > 3 > 6 > 5 > 1 > 4 > 2 > 8$, while the corresponding values of E_{LUMO} are in the sequence of $3 > 7 > 1 > 5 > 4 > 6 > 2 > 8$. Therefore, the E_g values are in the order of $3 > 4 > 1 > 5 > 2 \approx 8 > 7 > 6$. One can find that both the E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} values of 3 and 7 increase, while the corresponding values of 2, 4 and 8 decrease compared with those of 1, respectively. However, for 5 and 6, the E_{HOMO} values increase, whereas the corresponding values of E_{LUMO} decrease

compared with those of 1, respectively. This shows that the molecules with replacing of nitrogen (3) and with replacing of carbon and pyrrole aromatic end-capper (7) can increase the E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} values compared with those of 1, respectively. Molecules with pyrazine end-capper (2) and with replacing of nitrogen and pyrazine end-capper (4) and with replacing of carbon atom and with 1,2,5-thiadiazole end-capper (8) have lower the E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} values than those of 1, respectively. For molecules with replacing of carbon atom and with thiophene (5) and thieno[3,2-*b*]thiophene (6) end-cappers, they exhibit higher E_{HOMO} and lower E_{LUMO} values than those of 1, respectively. It implies that the replacing of nitrogen (3 and 4) can increase E_g values slightly, whereas molecule with pyrazine end-capper (2) and molecules with replacing of carbon and thiophene (5), thieno[3,2-*b*]thiophene (6), pyrrole (7), and 1,2,5-thiadiazole (8) end-capper possesses narrow E_g . The above results indicates that the replacing sulfur atom and introduction of aromatic heterocyclic groups as end-capper can broaden absorption spectrum and improve absorptions in the NIR region.

Absorption and fluorescence spectra

The maximum absorption wavelengths λ_{abs} (nm), vertical excitation energies E (eV), 0–0 transitions ΔE^{0-0} (eV), oscillator strength f , the main assignments, and the absorption region R for 1–8 in chloroform are listed in Table 2. R corresponds to the difference of the longest and shortest wavelength values with oscillator strength larger than 0.01 considering the first fifteen excited states. The corresponding λ_{abs} and f of the first fifteen excited states for the designed compounds are listed in Table S3 in ESI.[†] The simulated absorption and fluorescence spectra of 1–8 in chloroform are shown in Fig. 3 and 4 respectively, which were plotted by using the GaussSum 1.0 program.²⁴ As can be seen in Table 2, the absorption maximum of designed molecules are assigned to the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ electronic transitions, which mainly originates from HOMOs \rightarrow LUMOs excitations. The λ_{abs} of 2 and

Table 1 The calculated FMOs energies E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} , and HOMO–LUMO gaps E_g (eV) of 1–8 at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level

| Species | E_{HOMO} | E_{LUMO} | E_g |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 1 | −5.09 | −3.00 | 2.09 |
| 2 | −5.34 | −3.30 | 2.04 |
| 3 | −4.87 | −2.65 | 2.22 |
| 4 | −5.18 | −3.04 | 2.14 |
| 5 | −5.06 | −3.02 | 2.04 |
| 6 | −5.04 | −3.07 | 1.97 |
| 7 | −4.71 | −2.72 | 1.99 |
| 8 | −5.54 | −3.50 | 2.04 |



Table 2 Predicted λ_{abs} (in nm), E (in eV), ΔE^{0-0} (in eV), f , R (in nm), and main assignments for **1–8** in chloroform at the TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level, along with available experimental data

| Species | λ_{abs} | E | ΔE^{0-0} | f | Assignment | R |
|------------------|------------------------|-------|------------------|------|--------------------------|-----|
| 1 | 658 | 1.883 | 1.836 | 0.94 | H \rightarrow L (0.71) | 362 |
| 2 | 672 | 1.846 | 1.794 | 0.93 | H \rightarrow L (0.71) | 359 |
| 3 | 623 | 1.990 | 1.919 | 0.93 | H \rightarrow L (0.71) | 340 |
| 4 | 638 | 1.944 | 1.881 | 0.96 | H \rightarrow L (0.71) | 330 |
| 5 | 677 | 1.832 | 1.794 | 0.81 | H \rightarrow L (0.71) | 364 |
| 6 | 719 | 1.725 | 1.622 | 0.80 | H \rightarrow L (0.70) | 395 |
| 7 | 718 | 1.725 | 1.627 | 0.74 | H \rightarrow L (0.71) | 399 |
| 8 | 667 | 1.859 | 1.805 | 0.87 | H \rightarrow L (0.71) | 364 |
| Exp ^a | 655 | 1.893 | 1.680 | | | |

^a Experimental data in chloroform were taken from ref. 9.

5–8 exhibit bathochromic shifts 14, 19, 61, 60, and 9 nm (317, 427, 1290, 1270, and 205 cm^{-1}) compared with that of the parent compound **1**, respectively. However, the λ_{abs} of **3** and **4** show hypsochromic shifts 35 and 20 nm (853 and 476 cm^{-1}) compared with that of the parent compound **1**, respectively. This can be explained by the fact that the molecular structures and nature of the substituent groups. The designed compounds possess planar and rigid conjugated molecular geometry. The HOMOs \rightarrow

LUMOs excitations results in transfer of electron density from the two aromatic end-capper fragments to dimeric aza-BODIPY centrals for the designed π -conjugated ladder molecules (see Fig. 2). It is worth noting that the photophysical properties of intramolecular charge transfer are highly dependent on the electron donor/acceptor strength.²⁵ Therefore, replacing of nitrogen (**3** and **4**) and carbon (**5–8**) in five-membered heterocyclic ring affects the intramolecular charge transfer. The electron-donating ability of nitrogen is lower, while the corresponding ability of carbon is higher than that of sulfur, respectively. Accordingly, the electron can move more fluently from the electron donor to the electron acceptor. It indicates that the molecular π -conjugation in **5–8** becomes higher than that of **1**, which led to the bathochromic shift compared with the parent compound **1** in the absorption spectra for **5–8**. On the contrary, the lower electron-donating ability of nitrogen prevents the electron movement from the electron donors to the electron acceptors for **3** and **4**. As a consequence, the λ_{abs} of **3** and **4** exhibit hypsochromic shifts compared with that of **1**. The different molecular structure between **1** and **2** is only the end-capper groups (see Scheme 1). So, the λ_{abs} of **2** has slight bathochromic shift compared with **1**. Furthermore, the introduction of different aromatic end-capper groups also affects the absorption spectra. For the molecules with replacing of carbon (**5–8**), the




Fig. 3 The calculated absorption spectra of the investigated molecules (value of full width at half maximum is 3000 cm^{-1}). (a) Molecules **1–4**; (b) molecules **5–8**.




Fig. 4 The calculated fluorescence spectra of the investigated molecules (value of full width at half maximum is 3000 cm^{-1}). (a) Molecules **1–4**; (b) molecules **5–8**.



order of electron-donating ability of end-capper groups is thieno [3,2-*b*]thiophene (**6**) > 1*H*-pyrrole (**7**) > thiophene (**5**) > 1,2,5-thiadiazole (**8**), which is in agreement with their λ_{abs} values. For **1** and **2** as well as **3** and **4**, the λ_{abs} of **2** and **4** are larger than those of **1** and **3** respectively, which may be attributed to the electron donor nature for the lone pair electrons of two nitrogen's in pyrazine rings for **2** and **4**. Therefore, the λ_{abs} values of **1–8** are in the sequence of **6** > **7** > **5** > **2** > **8** > **1** > **4** > **3**, which is almost in agreement with the corresponding reverse order of their E_{g} values. Furthermore, we find in Table 2 that the designed molecules possess large oscillator strengths. The oscillator strengths values of **2–8** are almost equal to that of the parent compound **1**. The large oscillator strengths can enhance the light-absorption efficiency,²⁶ which led to improvement of short-circuit current J_{SC} for OSCs. At the same time, the designed molecules have large absorption region R (330–399 nm). The R values of **2–4** are slightly smaller, whereas the corresponding values of **5–8** are larger than that of parent compound **1**. It suggests that the replacing of nitrogen (**3** and **4**) in five-membered heterocyclic ring results in slight smaller R values, while the replacing of carbon (**5–8**) in five-membered heterocyclic ring lead to the increase of R values compared with parent molecule **1**. Moreover, in order to maximize the power conversion efficiencies of OSCs, it is necessary that a good overlap between the absorption spectrum of the designed molecules and the solar emission spectrum, particularly in NIR region. As mention above, the absorption bands of the designed molecules were red shifted, which is beneficial for the higher light-absorbing efficiency. These results imply that the designed compounds have strong absorption in NIR region and are expected to be the promising candidates for donor materials in OSCs applications.

To assess the impact of the vertical approximation on the theoretically determined absorption maxima, ΔE^{0-0} energies were obtained using the B3LYP method. The 0–0 transition ΔE^{0-0} of the parent molecule **1** provided a better agreement with the experimental value⁹ (1.680 eV) than that obtained with vertical excitation energy E ; the deviations are 0.156 and 0.203 eV, respectively. It is well-known that the 0–0 transition energies are more reliable and accurate than that raw vertical transition energy compared with the experimental data.²⁷ It has been shown that the vertical excitation energies E are slightly larger than those of ΔE^{0-0} values, the deviations are 0.038–0.103 eV. In most cases, charge transfer is reflected in underestimations of the TD-DFT excitation energies.²⁸ However, the studied species do not exhibit significant charge transfer (see Fig. 2). In the present work the use of B3LYP functional leads to a slightly overestimation of the vertical excitation energies.

Table 3 collected the longest fluorescence wavelengths λ_{fl} (in nm) main assignments, and the oscillator strength f for **1–8** in chloroform. From Table 3, one can find that the fluorescence of the designed molecules are assigned to the $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ electronic transitions and LUMOs \rightarrow HOMOs transitions play a dominant role. As their absorption, the λ_{fl} of **2** and **5–8** show bathochromic shifts, while the corresponding values of **3** and **4** exhibit hypsochromic shifts compared with that of the parent compound **1**. Furthermore, The Stokes shifts of **1–8** are 39, 30, 47, 35, 107, 184, 87, and 29 nm (851, 636, 1126, 815, 2016, 2834, 1506, and

Table 3 Predicted λ_{fl} (in nm), f , and main assignments of **1–8** in chloroform at the TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level, along with available experimental data

| Species | λ_{fl} | f | Assignment |
|------------------|-----------------------|------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 697 | 0.90 | L \rightarrow H (0.71) |
| 2 | 702 | 0.90 | L \rightarrow H (0.71) |
| 3 | 670 | 0.89 | L \rightarrow H (0.71) |
| 4 | 673 | 0.93 | L \rightarrow H (0.71) |
| 5 | 784 | 0.55 | L \rightarrow H (0.70) |
| 6 | 903 | 0.40 | L \rightarrow H (0.70) |
| 7 | 805 | 0.67 | H \rightarrow L (0.71) |
| 8 | 696 | 0.85 | H \rightarrow L (0.71) |
| Exp ^a | 672 | | |

^a Experimental data in chloroform were taken from ref. 9.

645 cm^{-1}), respectively. The oscillator strengths f values of **2–4**, **7**, and **8** are almost equal to that of **1**, while the corresponding value of **5** and **6** are slightly smaller than that of **1**, respectively.

The results displayed in Tables 2 and 3 reveal that the introduction of different aromatic heterocyclic end-capper and/or replacing sulfur atom can tune absorption and fluorescence spectra of the designed molecules. It indicates that the designed molecules have smaller band gaps with extended absorption and fluorescence spectra skewed toward NIR region, which is beneficial improving the efficiency of OSCs.

Reorganization energy

Table 4 collected the calculated reorganization energies for hole (λ_{h}) and electron (λ_{e}) of **1–8**. The computed λ_{e} values of the designed molecules are smaller than that of the typical electron transport material tris(8-hydroxyquinolino)aluminum(III) (Alq_3 , $\lambda_{\text{e}} = 0.276$ eV).²⁹ It displays that the electron transfer rates of the designed molecules might be higher than that of Alq_3 . For the computed λ_{h} , the values of **5–7** are smaller than that of the typical hole transport material *N,N'*-diphenyl-*N,N'*-bis(3-methylphenyl)-(1,1'-biphenyl)-4,4'-diamine (TPD, $\lambda_{\text{h}} = 0.290$ eV),³⁰ whereas the corresponding values of **1–4** and **8** are larger than that of TPD. It indicates that the hole transfer rates of **5–7** might be higher, while the corresponding hole transfer rates **1–4** and **8** might be lower than that of TPD. Reorganization energies are estimated according to the eqn (1). The lower the energy values of cation/anion species, the lower the $\lambda_{\text{h}}/\lambda_{\text{e}}$ values. For the designed compounds possess planar and rigid conjugated

Table 4 Calculated molecular λ_{e} and λ_{h} (both in eV) of **1–8** at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level

| Species | λ_{h} | λ_{e} |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 0.300 | 0.239 |
| 2 | 0.332 | 0.219 |
| 3 | 0.298 | 0.259 |
| 4 | 0.336 | 0.235 |
| 5 | 0.259 | 0.233 |
| 6 | 0.200 | 0.235 |
| 7 | 0.231 | 0.227 |
| 8 | 0.331 | 0.229 |



molecular geometry, the electron spread over the whole molecule for anion species, which led to the lower energies value of anion species. Therefore, the designed compounds have lower λ_e values and are expected to be the promising candidates for electron transport materials. The cation species are generated after electron transfer. As mentioned above, the molecular π -conjugation in 5–7 exhibit higher than that of other molecules, which result in the easier for charge transfer. On the other hand, for 1–4 and 8, the lower molecular π -conjugations prevent the charge transfer, which result in the higher energies for cation species. Accordingly, 1–4 and 8 have large λ_h values than those of 5–7 and TPD. The calculated λ_h and λ_e values are in the order of $4 > 2 > 8 > 1 > 3 > 5 > 7 > 6$ and $3 > 1 > 4 \approx 6 > 5 > 8 > 7 > 2$, respectively. Above results indicates that molecules with pyrazine end-capper (2) and with replacing of nitrogen and pyrazine end-capper (4) and with replacing of carbon atom and 1,2,5-thiadiazole end-capper (8) can increase the λ_h values, while molecules with replacing of nitrogen (3) and with replacing of carbon and thiophene (5), thieno[3,2-*b*]thiophene (6), pyrrole (7) end-capper decrease the λ_h values compared with that of 1, respectively. For the computed λ_e , the designed molecules can decrease the λ_e values except 3 can increase the λ_e value compared with that of 1, respectively. It suggests that 5–7 are expected to be the promising candidates for hole as well as electron transport materials, whereas 1–4 and 8 can serve as electron transport materials only.

Ionization potential, electron affinity and stability properties

As we know, the AIP and AEA are the very important properties to shed light on the charge transfer behavior for organic materials. The lower AIP and higher AEA are the fundamental parameters for effective electron and hole transport, respectively.²⁶ The calculated AIP, AEA, and η of all systems are collected in Table 5. It is clear from Table 5 that the AIP values show the decreasing sequence of $8 > 2 > 4 > 1 > 5 > 6 > 3 > 7$. On the other hand, the AEA values are predicted in decreasing order of $8 > 2 > 6 > 4 > 1 > 5 > 7 > 3$. Molecules 1, 4 and 8 can increase both the AIP and AEA values, while molecules 3, 5 and 7 decrease both the AIP and AEA values compared with those of 1, respectively. For molecules 6, its AIP and AEA values are smaller and larger than those of 1, respectively. It is noticeable that the introduction of different aromatic heterocyclic end-

capper and/or replacing sulfur atom can affect the AIP and AEA of the designed molecules.

Generally, the absolute hardness η can be used to evaluate the stability of materials. The results displayed in Table 5 show that the η values of 2–5 are larger than the value of 1, and the corresponding value of 7 is equal to that of 1, respectively. The η value of 6 is smaller slightly than the value of 1. It suggests that the introduction of different aromatic heterocyclic end-capper and/or replacing sulfur atom do not significantly affect the stability of the designed molecules.

Conclusions

In this article, we have designed a series of novel pyrrolopyrrole BODIPY molecules by replacing sulfur atom and introduction of different fused aromatic heterocycles end-cappers. The optical, electronic, and charge transporting properties of designed molecules have been systematically investigated by applying DFT and TD-DFT methodology. The calculated the FMOs energies and absorption spectra properties turned out that the λ_{abs} of 2 and 5–8 exhibit bathochromic shifts whereas 3 and 4 show hypsochromic shifts compared with original molecule 1. The designed compounds exhibit narrower band gaps and strong absorption in red/NIR region, which led to the higher light-absorbing efficiency. Furthermore, the calculated reorganization energies show that 5–7 are expected to be the promising candidates for hole as well as electron transport materials, whereas 1–4 and 8 can serve as electron transport materials only. Finally, the stability of the designed molecules does not significantly affected by the introduction of different end-capper or replacing sulfur atom. Hence, the designed molecules can serve as high-efficiency red/NIR-active donor materials as well as hole and/or electron transport materials in OSCs applications.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial supports from the NSFC (No. 21563002), the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (No. 2015MS0201), and the Research Program of Sciences at Universities of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (No. NJZZ235) are gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- (a) J. Roncali, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1719–1730; (b) A. Poirel, A. de Nicola and R. Ziessel, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 5696–5699; (c) L. Yao, S. Zhang, R. Wang, W. Li, F. Shen, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2119–2123; (d) J. Fabian, H. Nakazumi and M. Matsuoka, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 1197–1226; (e) A. Kamkaew, S. H. Lim, H. B. Lee, L. V. Kiew, L. Y. Chung and K. Burgess, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 77–88; (f) T. Li, J. Wang, H. Chen,

Table 5 Calculated molecular AIP, AEA, and η (all in eV) of 1–8 at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level

| Species | AIP | AEA | η |
|---------|-------|-------|--------|
| 1 | 5.951 | 2.119 | 1.916 |
| 2 | 6.193 | 2.413 | 1.890 |
| 3 | 5.745 | 1.750 | 1.996 |
| 4 | 6.047 | 2.134 | 1.956 |
| 5 | 5.946 | 2.088 | 1.929 |
| 6 | 5.884 | 2.177 | 1.853 |
| 7 | 5.616 | 1.784 | 1.916 |
| 8 | 6.418 | 2.580 | 1.919 |



- P. Cheng, S. Huang, Y. Lin, H. Yu and X. Zhan, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2017, **137**, 553–559.
- 2 (a) H. Xiang, J. Cheng, X. Ma, X. Zhou and J. J. Chruma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6128–6185; (b) G. Qian and Z. Y. Wang, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2010, **5**, 1006–1029.
 - 3 (a) A. Sutter, P. Retailleau, W. C. Huang, H. W. Lin and R. Ziessel, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, **38**, 1701–1710; (b) H. Zhao, B. Wang, J. Liao, H. Wang and G. Tan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, **54**, 6019–6022.
 - 4 (a) C. Dou, X. Long, Z. Ding, Z. Xie, J. Liu and L. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 1436–1440; (b) S. Li, W. Liu, M. Shi, J. Mai, T. K. Lau, J. Wan, X. Lu, C. Z. Li and H. Chen, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 604–610; (c) C. Zhan, X. Zhang and J. Yao, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 93002–93026; (d) Y. Lin, J. Wang, Z. G. Zhang, H. Bai, Y. Li, D. Zhu and X. Zhan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 1170–1174.
 - 5 M. E. El-Khouly, S. Fukuzumi and F. D'Souza, *ChemPhysChem*, 2014, **15**, 30–47.
 - 6 (a) H. Lu, J. Mack, Y. Yang and Z. Shen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 4778–4823; (b) W. Liu, J. Yao and C. Zhan, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 74238–74241.
 - 7 (a) Z. Zhang, Z. Zhou, Q. Hu, F. Liu, T. P. Russell and X. Zhu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 6213–6219; (b) S. Loser, S. J. Lou, B. M. Savoie, C. J. Bruns, A. Timalsina, M. J. Leonardi, J. Smith, T. Harschneck, R. Turrissi, N. Zhou, C. L. Stern, A. A. Sarjeant, A. Facchetti, R. P. H. Chang, S. I. Stupp, M. A. Ratner, L. X. Chen and T. J. Marks, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 9217–9232.
 - 8 (a) C. Zhang, J. Zhao, S. Wu, Z. Wang, W. Wu, J. Ma, S. Guo and L. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 10566–10578; (b) N. F. Montcada, B. Pelado, A. Viterisi, J. Alberio, J. Coro, P. D. L. Cruz, F. Langa and E. Palomares, *Org. Electron.*, 2013, **14**, 2826–2832; (c) J. You, L. Dou, K. Yoshimura, T. Kato, K. Ohya, T. Moriarty, K. Emery, C. C. Chen, J. Gao, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1446; (d) S. Brück, C. Krause, R. Turrissi, L. Beverina, S. Wilken, W. Saak, A. Lutzen, H. Borchert, M. Schiek and J. Parisi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 1067–1077.
 - 9 S. Shimizu, T. Iino, A. Saeki, S. Seki and N. Kobayashi, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 2893–2904.
 - 10 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. A. Montgomery Jr, R. E. Stratmann, J. C. Burant, S. Dapprich, J. M. Millam, A. D. Daniels, K. N. Kudin, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, M. Cossi, R. Cammi, B. Mennucci, C. Pomelli, C. Adamo, S. Clifford, J. Ochterski, G. A. Petersson, P. Y. Ayala, Q. Cui, K. Morokuma, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, J. V. Ortiz, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, C. Gonzalez, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, M. Head-Gordon, E. S. Replogle and J. A. Pople, *Gaussian 09*, Gaussian, Inc, Wallingford, CT, 2009.
 - 11 (a) A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 5648–5652; (b) C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1988, **37**, 785–789.
 - 12 C. Adamo and V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1999, **110**, 6158–6170.
 - 13 Y. Tawada, T. Tsuneda, S. Yanagisawa, T. Yanai and K. Hirao, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, **120**, 8425–8433.
 - 14 J. D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 6615–6620.
 - 15 Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2008, **120**, 215–241.
 - 16 T. Yanai, D. P. Tew and N. C. Handy, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2004, **393**, 51–57.
 - 17 (a) M. Cossi, V. Barone, R. Cammi and J. Tomasi, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 1996, **255**, 327–335; (b) D. Fan, Y. Yi, Z. Li, W. Liu, Q. Peng and Z. Shuai, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2015, **119**, 5233–5240.
 - 18 S. Shimizu, T. Iino, Y. Araki and N. Kobayashi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 1621–1623.
 - 19 (a) R. A. Marcus, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 1993, **65**, 599–610; (b) R. A. Marcus, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 1964, **15**, 155–196.
 - 20 (a) D. L. Cheung and A. Troisi, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 20479–20488; (b) S. Di Motta, E. Di Donato, F. Negri, G. Orlandi, D. Fazzi and C. Castiglioni, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 6591–6598.
 - 21 M. E. Köse, W. J. Mitchell, N. Kopidakis, C. H. Chang, S. E. Shaheen, K. Kim and G. Rumbles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 14257–14270.
 - 22 J. Sancho-García, *Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **331**, 321–331.
 - 23 (a) R. G. Pearson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 6801–6806; (b) M. S. Stark, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1997, **101**, 8296–8301.
 - 24 N. M. O'Boyle and J. G. Vos, *GaussSum 1.0*, Dublin City University, Dublin, 2003.
 - 25 W. Huang, X. Zhang, L. Ma, C. Wang and Y. Jiang, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, **352**, 401–407.
 - 26 G. Li, R. Zhu and Y. Yang, *Nat. Photonics*, 2012, **6**, 153–161.
 - 27 D. Jacquemin, A. Planchat, C. Adamo and B. J. Mennucci, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2012, **8**, 2359–2372.
 - 28 A. Dreuw and M. Head-Gordon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 4007–4016.
 - 29 B. C. Lin, C. P. Cheng, Z. Q. You and C. P. Hsu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 66–67.
 - 30 N. E. Gruhn, D. A. da Silva Filho, T. G. Bill, M. Malagoli, V. Coropceanu, A. Kahn and J. L. Bredas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 7918–7919.

