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The effects of \((\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\) \((n = 1–3)\) clusters on the \(\text{HO}_2 + \text{NH}_2 \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + {^3}\text{O}_2\) reaction have been investigated by employing high-level quantum chemical calculations with \(\text{M06}-2\text{X}\) and \(\text{CCSD(T)}\) theoretical methods, and canonical variational transition (CVT) state theory with small curvature tunneling (SCT) correction. The calculated results show that two kinds of reaction, \(\text{HO}_2\cdots\text{(H}_2\text{O})_n\) \((n = 1–3) + \text{NH}_2\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{N}\cdots\text{(H}_2\text{O})_n\) \((n = 1–3) + \text{HO}_2\), are involved in the \((\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\) \((n = 1–3)\) catalyzed \(\text{HO}_2 + \text{NH}_2 \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + {^3}\text{O}_2\) reaction. Due to the fact that \(\text{HO}_2\cdots\text{(H}_2\text{O})_n\) \((n = 1–3)\) complexes have much larger stabilization energies and much higher concentrations than the corresponding complexes of \(\text{H}_2\text{N}\cdots\text{(H}_2\text{O})_n\) \((n = 1–3)\), the atmospheric relevance of the former reaction is more obvious with its effective rate constant of about 1–11 orders of magnitude faster than the corresponding latter reaction at \(298\) K. Meanwhile, due to the effective rate constant of the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdots\text{HO}_2 + \text{NH}_2\) reaction being respectively larger by 5–6 and 6–7 orders of magnitude than the corresponding reactions of \(\text{HO}_2\cdots\text{(H}_2\text{O})_3 + \text{NH}_2\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{N}\cdots\text{(H}_2\text{O})_3 + \text{NH}_2\), the catalytic effect of \((\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\) \((n = 1–3)\) is mainly taken from the contribution of the water monomer. In addition, the enhancement factor \((k'_i(\text{WM1a})/k_{\text{tot}})\) of the water monomer is \(10.06–13.30\%\) within the temperature range of \(275–320\) K, which shows that at whole calculated temperatures, a positive water effect is obvious under atmospheric conditions.

1. Introduction

The hydroperoxy radical (\(\text{HO}_2\)) and amidogen radical (\(\text{NH}_2\)) are two important reactive radicals in atmospheric processes and combustion chemistry. As one of the most abundant free radicals in the atmosphere, \(\text{HO}_2\) is a very important and reactive intermediate in atmospheric pollutant degradation, photo-catalysis, and molecular oxygen activation on metal surfaces or in enzymes. Produced from the gas phase oxidation of ammonia (\(\text{NH}_3\)) by the hydroxyl radical (eqn (1)), \(\text{NH}_2\) is not only interesting in the combustion of fossil fuels, but is also considered to play an important role in the atmospheric formation and elimination of \(\text{NO}_x\) (ref. 7) and in the oxygen isotopic exchange of \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\). Meanwhile, \(\text{NH}_2\) reacts with nitric acid can regenerate ammonia (eqn (2)). This reaction and the reaction shown in eqn (1) have been proposed as a potential new catalytic-like cycle which couples the oxidation of ammonia by hydroxyl radicals and the reaction of nitric acid with amidogen radicals in the Earth’s atmosphere. Based on these facts, the kinetics and mechanism of the \(\text{NH}_2 + \text{HO}_2\) reaction (eqn (3)) have been studied experimentally and theoretically.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NH}_3 + \text{HO} & \rightarrow \text{NH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (1) \\
\text{NH}_2 + \text{HNO}_3 & \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + \text{NO}_3 \quad (2) \\
\text{NH}_2 + \text{HO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + \text{O}_2 \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

On experimental aspect, Sarkisov et al. have measured the gas-phase reaction between \(\text{NH}_2\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) by the analytical technique of the VIS-UV absorption at a total pressure of \(133–9.33 \times 10^4\) Pa and the rate constant of the reaction (3) has been found to be \(7.51 \times 10^{-11}\) cm$^3$ per molecule per s at 300 K. Meanwhile, the rate constant of \(\text{NH}_2 + \text{HO}_2\) reaction has been estimated indirectly by flash-photolysis, which is \(2.5 \times 10^{-11}\) cm$^3$ per molecule per s at 300 K. Theoretically, Sumathi et al. obtained the singlet potential energy surface of reaction (3) at \(\text{QCISD(T)}/6-311++\text{G}(2df,\text{2p})/6-311+\text{G}^{*\ast}/\text{MP2}\) level. In their work, to obtain the ratio of their product formation and to estimate the contribution of different channels over a wide range of temperature, the primary concern is to analyze the...
competition among the various reaction channels. However, the triplet potential energy surface of reaction (3), especially, the triplet hydrogen abstraction (HA) is not involved, which is not neglected for the HA in many previous reports because of radical and HO$_2$ radical. In some HA reaction, triplet HA is favorable kinetically. So, both the singlet and triplet HA have been investigated at the CCSD(T)//B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,3pd) level by Xiang et al. In their work, for the favorable HA, the reaction mechanism on the triplet potential surface to be mainly a barrierless addition of HO$_2$ to NH$_2$ leading to an intermediate OH--NH$_2$ (3im1), and then the adduct 3im1 goes through an H transfer forming the product of NH$_3$ and $^3$O$_2$.

These investigations provide meaningful information about the HA of NH$_2$ + HO$_2$ reaction under atmospheric conditions. Nevertheless, these studies did not take into consideration the influence of water vapors on the reaction. In fact, firstly, water is ubiquitous in the Earth's atmosphere and its monomer can form hydrogen bonded complexes with other abundant radicals changing their photochemical features. Such as previous investigations showed that in the process of HO$_2$ self-reaction, hydrogen bonded complexes HO$_2$···H$_2$O are formed with approximately 30% of the HO$_2$ in the atmosphere bonding with water under typical atmospheric conditions. Another example is that water monomer can bind with NH$_2$ radical, forming H$_2$O--H--NH$_2$ and H$_2$N--H--$^3$O$_2$ complexes. Secondly, it is also known that water was found to actively participate in the HO$_2$ reaction without water molecule, a detailed effect of (H$_2$O)$_2$ on the gas-phase reaction of H$_2$O--H--NH$_2$ ternary system, in which the single water molecule serves as a catalyst.

Although atmospheric water molecule implies a significant catalytic effect by monomers, the catalytic effect of water dimers and also water trimers can’t be ignored, because their concentrations are up to 9 $\times$ 10$^{14}$ and 2.6 $\times$ 10$^{12}$ molecules per cm$^3$ at 298 K. Moreover, the experimental and theoretical studies have been reported in the literature on the electronic structure of the clusters HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_n$ (n = 2–3). Thus, the catalytic effects of (H$_2$O)$_n$ (n = 2–3) are worth being investigated further on the HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ reaction.

In the present study, based on the HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ reaction without water molecule, a detailed effects of (H$_2$O)$_n$ (n = 1–3) on the HA reaction of HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ have been studied at the CCSD(T)/CBS/M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) level of theory, which is organized as follows: firstly, the triplet HA reaction of HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ was investigated to compare with (H$_2$O)$_n$ (n = 1–3)-assisted processes. Secondly, the reactions of H$_2$O--H--HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ and NH$_2$H$_2$O--H--NH$_2$ with water monomer were evaluated by investigating direct HA process and double hydrogen transfer mechanism. In what follows, direct HA processes of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$

2. Computational methods

2.1 Electronic structure calculation

The electronic structure calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 program package software. The geometries of all the reactants, intermediates, transition states and products have been optimized using the M06-2X method with the 6-311+G(3df,2pd) basis set. The corresponding frequencies of the optimized geometries were computed at the same level to confirm the characteristics of the transition states with one imaginary frequency and the stationary points without imaginary frequency. Moreover, the minimum energy path (MEP) was obtained by the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) theory with a gradient step size of 0.01 – 0.05 (amu)$^{1/2}$ bohr to prove that the TS connects to minima along the reaction path. In order to obtain more accurate relative energies, single-point energy calculations for the stationary points were performed at the CCSD(T) method in conjunction with CBS basis set based on the M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd)-optimized geometries. The single point energy calculations of CCSD(T)/CBS have been carried out for all the species at CCSD(T) level of theory using aug-cc-pVQZ and aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets. The energy values obtained at DZ and TZ levels have been used to extrapolate the results to a complete basis set (CBS) limit.

2.2 Rate constant calculations

To estimate the effect of (H$_2$O)$_n$ (n = 1–3) added, the theoretical rate constants of canonical variational transition (CVT) state theory with small curvature tunneling (SCT) correction for every H-abstraction channels were calculated by employing VKlab program coupled with the steady state approximation.

In the presence of (H$_2$O)$_n$ (n = 1–3), all the processes for the formations of NH$_4$ and $^3$O$_2$ from the reaction of HO$_2$ and NH$_2$ involve two major steps as follows.

Step a: A + B $\xrightarrow{k_1}$ C

Step b: C + D $\xrightarrow{k_2}$ E $\xrightarrow{k_{uni}}$ F
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Here, A and B are any two among HO$_2$, NH$_2$ and (H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) clusters (water monomer, WM; water dimer, WD; and water trimer, WT), C is the binary complex formed by A and B. D is the ternary complex formed by HO$_2$, NH$_2$ and (H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3). In the step a, A combines with B to form an adduct C, whereas the step b consists of two elementary processes: in the first one, C reacts with D to form E and subsequently E undergoes uni-molecular transformation to produce the formation F via the corresponding TS.

Assuming that the intermediate E was in equilibrium with the corresponding reactants (C and D) and was at steady state, the rate constant for step b can be written as

$$k_b = \frac{k_2}{k_{-2} + k_{uni}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

If $k_{uni} \ll k_{-2}$, the rate constant of $k_b$ was rewritten as

$$k_b = \frac{k_2}{k_{-2} + k_{uni}} = k_{eq} k_{uni}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The rate constant $k_{uni}$ in eqn (5) has been evaluated by VKLab program$^{27}$ in the framework of the canonical variational transition state theory (CVT).$^{24}$ To include the tunneling effects for motion along the reaction coordinate for the title reactions at the CCSD(T)/CBS/M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) level, the small curvature tunneling (SCT)$^{25}$ approximation has been adopted in this study. Besides, $K_{eq}$ in eqn (5) was given by eqn (6).

$$K_{eq}(T) = \frac{Q_c}{Q_c Q_B} \exp \left( \frac{E_a + E_c - E_b}{RT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In eqn (6), the various $Q$ values denote the partition functions of the intermediate E, reactants C and D, respectively. All partition functions were obtained using the M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) method. $E_a$, $E_c$ and $E_b$ stand for the energies of the species of D, C and E, respectively; $\sigma$ is the symmetry factor. In the present work, $k_b$ has been used to compare the rates between bare reaction and catalyzed reactions.

2.3 Effective rate constant calculations

If one incorporates the effect of step a, the resultant rate constant ($k_i$) can be written as:

$$k_i = K_{eq} K_{uni} k_{uni}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $K_{eq}$ stands for the equilibrium constant in step a i.e. $K_{eq} = k_i/k_{-2}$, and $K_{uni}$ was given by eqn (8).

$$K_{uni}(T) = \frac{Q_c}{Q_c Q_B} \exp \left( \frac{E_a + E_b - E_c}{RT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

In eqn (8), the various $Q$ values denote the partition functions of the complex C, reactants A and B, respectively. $E_a$, $E_b$ and $E_c$ stand for the energies of the species of A, B and C, respectively. From the above, the rate of the reaction ($v$) in the presence of catalysts can be written as:

$$v = K_{eq} K_{uni} [A][B][D] = k_i [A][B][D] = k’_i [A][B]$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$k’_i$ is the effective rate constant, which could be considered as a measure of the relative efficiencies of the different catalysts under atmospheric conditions, as it includes the concentration as well as rate constant of a particular catalyst.

3. Results and discussion

The transition states in each reaction channel were signed by “TS” followed by a number, and intermediates were denoted by “IM” followed by a number. The letters “a”, “b”, and “c” were used to distinguish the transition states and intermediates that were conformers of each other and therefore had the same features; a species in the presence of water monomer, water dimer and water trimer was respectively denoted by a “WM”, “WD”, and “WT” suffix.

3.1 Potential energy surfaces and the rate constants for the hydrogen abstraction of HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + 3O$_2$ reaction

The HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + 3O$_2$ reaction was investigated theoretically by Xiang et al.$^{11}$ at the CCSD(T)/6–311++G(3df,3pd)//B3LYP/6–311++G(3df,3pd) level. In this study, we have re-investigated that work at the CCSD(T)/CBS//M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) level to determine the outcome of this reaction when (H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) was present. As seen in Fig. 1, regarding the HA reaction of HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ to produce NH$_3$ + 3O$_2$, only one elementary reaction path was identified (Channel R1). Starting from HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ reactants, Channel R1 began with the intermediate IM. The binding energy of IM was 6.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$, agree with that ($^{1}$im1, 7.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$) in the literature reported by Xiang et al.$^{11}$ For IM, from geometrical point of view, a hydrogen bond shown in Fig. 1 was formed between the H atom of HO$_2$ and the N atom of NH$_2$ radical (with a computed H…N bond distance of 1.81 Å at the M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) level of theory). With the N atom of NH$_2$ radical attacks the H atom of HO$_2$, the intermediate IM in Channel R1 proceeded through a transition state TS with the energy predicted to be at 4.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ below the initial reactants. The energy of TS is quite different from $^{3}$ts3 (6.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$) below HO$_2$ + NH$_2$. 

![Fig. 1 Schematic energy diagram for the HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ reaction; energies (kcal mol$^{-1}$) at the CCSD(T)/CBS//M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) level of theory.](image-url)
reactants) in the literature reported by Xiang et al.\textsuperscript{11} This difference is possible due to the fact that the different levels of theory used for the ZPE and single-point energy calculation. Besides, the $\Delta H$ (298) of HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ $\rightarrow$ NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ reaction was predicted to be $-57.0$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, compared with the experimental\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{31}} estimation of $-58.5 \pm 0.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$. For reaction (1) without water, Table S1\textsuperscript{†} lists its CVT/SCT rate constant. As seen in Table S1,\textsuperscript{†} tunneling slightly increases the rate constant, while the recrossing effects decrease the rate constant. For example, the rate constant is increased by 62% due to tunneling, while the rate constant is decreased to 52% because of recrossing effects at the M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) level and at 298 K (Table S1\textsuperscript{†}). It is noted that tunneling and recrossing effects slightly depend on temperature. Tunneling slightly increases with the decrease of temperature, while recrossing effects slightly increase with the increase of temperature as listed in Table S1.\textsuperscript{†} Specifically, the calculated results using M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ indicate that the rate constant is increased by 32% and 20% due to tunneling, while the rate constant is decreased to 44% and 60% at 275 and 320 K, respectively. So, similar with previous investigations,\textsuperscript{60,64} the tunneling transmission coefficients are very large for the hydrogen atom transfer process. Thus, herein the computed CVT/SCT rate constants have been used to estimate the catalytic effect of (H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3). CVT/SCT rate constant calculations were carried out for Channel R1 at various temperatures. The values are listed in Table S1.\textsuperscript{†} At 300 K, the calculated value of $k_{R1}$ was $2.68 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ per molecule per s. The calculated values compare closely with 7.51 $\times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ per molecule per s and 2.5 $\times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ per molecule per s, at 300 K respectively predicted by Sarkisov et al.\textsuperscript{48} and Cheskis et al.\textsuperscript{49} indicating that the calculations for the HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ $\rightarrow$ NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ reaction without and with (H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) at the CCSD(T)/CBS/M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) level of theory are acceptable.

3.2 Geometrical analysis and the concentration calculation for HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) complexes

In the presence of (H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3), both bodies of HO$_2$ and NH$_2$ can respectively interact with the second body of (H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) via hydrogen bond to form two-body complexes of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) first in the entrance channels before interacting with the third body of NH$_2$ or HO$_2$. So, it is very necessary to find the stable configurations of the complexes HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) firstly. In order to find all possible stable configurations of the complexes HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3), the global minimum searching of geometric structures were carried out using Tsinghua Global Minimum (TGMin).\textsuperscript{60,64} Then the initial structures for HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) were selected for geometry optimization using the M06-2X/6-31G(d) method. The isomer structures within 6.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$ of the global minimum were re-optimized by M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) method. The Fig. 2 and S1\textsuperscript{†} show the optimized geometrical reactants of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3), which are in good agreement with available previous results.\textsuperscript{64,65} As seen in Fig. 2 and S1,\textsuperscript{†} as the number of water molecules increases in a given cluster of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3), the number of possible configurations for the cluster increases quickly, and each of the configurations becomes increasingly complicated. Regarding to each type equilibrium structure of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3), herein we only focus on the stable configuration shown in Fig. 2, which has the larger stabilization energy and the higher concentration than its isomers.

As seen in Fig. 2 and Table S2,\textsuperscript{†} consistent with previous reports,\textsuperscript{24,25,28,37,66} five-membered ring complex H$_2$O$_2$···H$_2$O was much more stable than the single hydrogen bond complexes HO$_2$···H$_2$O, H$_2$N···H$_2$O and H$_2$O···H$_2$N with its binding energy larger by 3.6–5.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$ than those of latter ones. The equilibrium constants of these complexes at 298 K are $1.83 \times 10^{-19}$, $3.05 \times 10^{-22}$, $1.34 \times 10^{-22}$ and $8.40 \times 10^{-21}$ cm$^3$ per molecule, respectively (Table S3\textsuperscript{†}). Considering typical tropospheric concentrations of $7.73 \times 10^{17}$ molecules per cm$^3$ of H$_2$O, $3 \times 10^8$ molecules per cm$^3$ of HO$_2$,\textsuperscript{67} and our estimated concentrations of NH$_2$ (6.0 $\times 10^{-11}$ molecules per cm$^3$ seen in ES\textsuperscript{†}), it is estimated that the atmospheric concentration of the H$_2$O···HO$_2$ complex to be $4.24 \times 10^{-18}$ molecules per cm$^3$, which is larger by $6.00 \times 10^8$ times than that of HO$_2$···H$_2$O. However, in our previous works,\textsuperscript{25,26} the reaction channels of HO$_2$···H$_2$O and HO$_2$···H$_2$O + HO were not neglected in water-catalyzed HO$_2$ + HO$_2$ and HO + HO. So, for water catalyzed HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ $\rightarrow$ NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ reaction, both H$_2$O···HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ and HO$_2$···H$_2$O + NH$_2$ reactions have been investigated in the following section. Besides these, at 298 K, the concentrations of H$_2$N···H$_2$O (3.90 $\times 10^{-13}$ cm$^{-3}$ per molecule) and H$_2$O$_2$···H$_2$O (6.21 $\times 10^{-15}$ cm$^{-3}$ per molecule) shown in Table S3\textsuperscript{†} were much lower than those of H$_2$O···HO$_2$ (4.24 $\times 10^{-7}$ cm$^{-3}$ per molecule) and HO$_2$···H$_2$O (7.07 $\times 10^{-8}$ cm$^{-3}$ per molecule). Thus, in water catalyzed HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ $\rightarrow$ NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ reaction, we predict that the atmospheric relevance of H$_2$O···HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ and HO$_2$···H$_2$O + NH$_2$ reactions will be much more obvious than those of H$_2$N···H$_2$O + HO$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$···H$_2$N + HO$_2$ reactions. Thus, only HO$_2$···HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ and HO$_2$···H$_2$O + NH$_2$ reactions have been taken into account in the following section, whereas, for comparison, the potential energy surfaces (PESs) for H$_2$N···H$_2$O + HO$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$···H$_2$N + HO$_2$ reactions have been displayed in Fig. S5,\textsuperscript{†} and their corresponding rate constants were shown in Table S7.\textsuperscript{†} For the clusters constituted by HO$_2$ (or NH$_2$) radical and water dimer, in geometrical point of view, HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$ (water dimer and the whole HO$_2$ radical formed a ring by hydrogen bonds) shows seven-membered ring structure, whereas both HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$-I (water dimer and the HO moiety of HO$_2$ radical formed a ring by hydrogen bonds) and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_2$ involves a six-membered ring. So, the binding energy of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$ (shown in Table S2) was 12.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$, which was larger by 3.9–6.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$ than those of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$-I and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_2$ due to smaller ring tension. Similarity, nine-membered ring HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_3$ (water trimer and the whole HO$_2$ radical formed a ring by hydrogen bonds) was larger by 2.0–7.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ than those of eight-membered ring HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_3$-I (water trimer and the HO moiety of HO$_2$ radical formed a ring by hydrogen bonds)
3.3 Potential energy surfaces and the rate constants for HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + O$_2$ reaction with H$_2$O

It has been pointed out in Section 3.2 that water-catalyzed the reaction (3) is mainly occurring through H$_2$O···HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ and HO$_2$···H$_2$O + NH$_2$ reactions. So, Fig. 3 presents the PESs for H$_2$O···HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ (Channel WM1) and HO$_2$···H$_2$O + NH$_2$ (Channel WM2) reactions along with the local minimum geometries on the corresponding reaction pathways.

Regarding to Channel WM1, by different collisions between H$_2$O···HO$_2$ and NH$_2$, two kinds of reaction types have been found, which were labeled as Channel WM1a and Channel WM1b. For Channel WM1a, starting from H$_2$O···HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ reactants, hydrogen-bonded complex IM$_{WM1}$ was formed by the interaction between N atom of NH$_2$ radical and one H atom of the H$_2$O moiety in H$_2$O···HO$_2$ complex at 298 K, thus we predict that the atmospheric relevance of H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_2$ + HO$_2$ and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_2$ + HO$_2$ reactions can be neglected. However, for comparison, the PESs for H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_2$ + HO$_2$ and H$_2$N···(H$_2$O)$_2$ + HO$_2$ reactions have been displayed in Fig. S6 and S7,† and their corresponding rate constants were shown in Table S7.† Besides these, the concentrations of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$ and HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_3$ are respectively larger by 140 and 9 times than the corresponding complexes of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$–I and HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_3$–I at 298 K. So, we predict that the catalytic effect of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$–I + NH$_2$ and HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_3$–I + NH$_2$ reactions are less obvious than the corresponding reactions of HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$ + NH$_2$ and HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_3$ + NH$_2$.

Fig. 2 The geometrical structures of the optimized complexes at the M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) level of theory (bond length Å, bond angle °).
five-membered ring complex IM_WM3 is rearranged into seven-membered ring complex IM_WM4 through TS_WM3. In geometrical point of view, complex IM_WM4 has similar seven-membered cyclic structure as IM_WM2 with the NH2 radical and the water molecule exchanging their positions. The binding energy of IM_WM4 is 6.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), lowered by 0.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) than that of IM_WM2. In the second step, differently from transition state TS_WM2 in Channel WM1a that involves a direct HA, transition state TS_WM4 in Channel WM1b contains a double hydrogen transfer mechanism. Consistent
with our previous reports, such mechanism discrepancy between Channels WM1a and WM1b may lead to the energy barrier of the second step in Channel WM1a is 3.4 kcal mol⁻¹, which is lower by 4.6 kcal mol⁻¹ than that of the second step in Channel WM1b. The reason can be possibly explained in three following aspects: (1) transition state TS_WM2 in the former reaction shows seven-member ring structure, whereas the transition state TS_WM4 in the latter reaction shows six-member ring structure. That is, TS_WM4 has larger ring structure. That is, TS_WM4 has larger ring structure than that of TS_WM2; (2) different from the structures in the process of IM_WM4 → TS_WM4 where the three hydrogen atoms (H2, H4 and H5) are out of plane, the structure in the process of IM_WM2 → TS_WM2 is close to the coplanar structure, which makes the conjugated hydrogen bonding system [H3⋯N1, H4⋯O1 and H1⋯O2] more stable; (3) NBO charge analysis shows that (Fig. S8†), the distance between the negatively NBO charged O3 and N1 atoms was decreases from IM-WM4 (2.70 Å) → TS-WM4 (2.33 Å), resulting in enhanced repulsive interactions, and hence the energy of the system is increased.

As shown in Table 1, it is worth noting that the rate constants both of Channel WM1a (k_b(WM1a)) and Channel WM1b (k_b(WM1b)) are increased with the decrease of temperature. This is because the calculated bimolecular rate constant contains two different components, (see Table S7†); (1) $k_{eq}$ from the first step (bimolecular addition between $H_2O\cdots HO_2$ and NH$_3$) and (2) $k_2$ from the second step (IM_WM1 (or IM_WM3) → NH$_3\cdots H_2O + ^3O_2$). The second step always contributes to the positive activation energy due to the finite positive barrier, while the first step corresponds to negative activation energy as it involves barrierless addition of isolated reactants to form the complex IM_WM1 (or IM_WM3). Consequently, $k_{eq}$ always decreases with increase in temperature, whereas $k_2$ behaves in opposite manner (Table S7†). Whenever $k_2$ dominates over $k_{eq}$ the overall activation energy of the reaction is found to be positive and when $k_{eq}$ dominates over $k_2$ then the overall activation energy of the reaction become negative. For example, for Channel RW1a, $k_{eq}$ decreases by ~6.69 times and $k_2$ value increases ~1.26 times with increasing temperature from 275 to 320 K. The rate constant of Channel WM1a (k_b(WM1a)) within the temperature range of 275–320 K is much larger than the corresponding value of k_b(WM1b) in Channel WM1b, given that the ratio of k_b(WM1a)/k_b(WM1b) is 3.67 × 10^3 to 1.03 × 10^4. As a result, in the following section, the reaction type where water dimer and water trimer act as “bridge” will not be considered in the reactions between HO$_2\cdots(H_2O)_n$ + NH$_3$ and HO$_2\cdots(H_2O)_n + NH_2$.

Differently from Channel WM1a and Channel WM1b above which involve a stepwise process, HO$_2\cdots H_2O + NH_2$ reaction (Channel WM2) contains a one-step mechanism. As for Channel WM2, the reaction started with the formation of a pre-reactive hydrogen bond complex IM_WM5. Compared with the naked complex IM (Fig. 1), in view of geometry, complex IM_WM5 was stabilized by an additional weak hydrogen bond (O3⋯H1, 2.02 Å) and thus, the binding energy of IM_WM5 was enhanced by 1.6 kcal mol⁻¹ than that of IM. Starting from IM_WM5, Channel WM2 proceeded through transition state TS_WM5, which is similar in structure to the naked transition state TS, where the N atom of NH$_2$ radical directly abstracted the hydrogen of HO$_2$. The rate constant of Channel WM2 ($k_b(WM2)$) listed in Table 1 is only lower by 3–4 times than the corresponding value of $k_b(WM1a)$ in Channel WM1a. However, for $H_2O\cdots HO_2$ complex, both the concentration and equilibrium constant at 298 K is larger by 2 orders of magnitude than that of HO$_2\cdots$H$_2$O. As a result, atmospheric relevance of $H_2O\cdots HO_2 + NH_2$ reaction occurring through directing HA will be obvious than that of HO$_2\cdots$H$_2$O + NH$_2$ reaction. Besides these, compared with the rate constant of $H_2N\cdots H_2O + HO_2$ reaction ($k_b(WM3)$) listed in Table S7†, though the value of $k_b(WM1a)$ is smaller by 2 orders of magnitude, atmospheric relevance of $H_2N\cdots H_2O + HO_2$ reaction will be neglected with respect to $H_2O\cdots HO_2 + NH_2$ reaction, due to the fact that the concentration of $H_2N\cdots H_2O$ complex is much lower than that of $H_2O\cdots HO_2$. This result can be further proved by the effective rate constant in the following section. So, atmospheric relevance of $H_2O\cdots HO_2 + NH_2$ reaction occurring through directing HA will be most obvious in water monomer catalyzed HO$_2 + NH_2 → NH_3 + ^3O_2$ reaction.

Table 1 Rate constants (cm³ per molecule per s) of the HO₂ + NH₃ → NH₅ + ^3O₂ reaction with (H₂O)ₙ(n = 1–3)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(K)</th>
<th>$k_b$(WM1a)</th>
<th>$k_b$(WM1b)</th>
<th>$k_b$(WM2)</th>
<th>$k_b$(WD1)</th>
<th>$k_b$(WD2)</th>
<th>$k_b$(WT1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>275</td>
<td>6.53 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>6.36 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>2.21 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>1.35 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>1.84 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>1.47 × 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>5.23 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>5.85 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>1.70 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>1.39 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>1.92 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>1.45 × 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>3.46 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>5.00 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>1.04 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>1.48 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>2.07 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>1.42 × 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>2.54 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>4.44 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>7.19 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>1.56 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>2.20 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>1.41 × 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.38 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>4.32 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>6.62 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>1.58 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>2.23 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>1.40 × 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>1.68 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>3.79 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>4.34 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>1.68 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>2.39 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>1.39 × 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>1.23 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>3.35 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>2.92 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>1.78 × 10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>2.55 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>1.38 × 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $k_b$(WM1a), $k_b$(WM1b), $k_b$(WM2) and $k_b$(WD1), $k_b$(WD2), $k_b$(WT1) is the rate constants of (H₂O)ₙ(n = 1–3)-assisted HO₂ + NH₃ → NH₅ + ^3O₂ reaction occurring through Channels WM1a, WM1b, WM2 WD1, WD2, and WT1, respectively. † $k_{uni}(WM3) = 1/k_b(TS_WM1) + 1/k_b(TS_WM2); 1/k_{uni}(WM1b) = 1/k_b(TS_WM3) + 1/k_b(TS_WM4); 1/k_{uni}(WD1) = 1/k_b(TS_WD1) + 1/k_b(TS_WD2); 1/k_{uni}(WT1) = 1/k_b(TS_WT1) + 1/k_b(TS_WT2).
listed in Table 1. For comparison, PESs for HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$-I + NH$_3$ reaction (Channel WD2) was displayed in Fig. 4, and its corresponding rate constant was shown in Table 1.

For Channels WD1 and WD2 shown in Fig. 4, both reactions proceeded through a stepwise mechanism, where the reaction firstly occurred via a ring enlargement, and then proceed through a direct HA. However, the rate constant of Channel WD2 ($k_b$(WD2)) listed in Table 1 is much smaller than the corresponding value of $k_b$(WD1) in Channel WD1, given that the ratio of $k_b$(WD1)/$k_b$(WD2) is 69.8–73.4. Meanwhile, the value of $k_b$(WD1) is larger by 6 orders of magnitude than that of $k_b$(WD3) ($\text{H}_2\text{N}$···(H$_2$O)$_2$ + HO$_2$ reaction). Thus, for the reaction (3) with water dimer, HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$ + NH$_2$ reaction (Channel WD1) is of great atmospheric relevance due to its larger rate constant, and only this channel has been mainly taken into account here.

Fig. 4  Schematic energy diagrams for water dimer-assisted HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + ³O$_2$ reaction occurring through HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$ + NH$_2$ (a) and HO$_2$···(H$_2$O)$_2$-I + NH$_2$ (b) reactions; energies (kcal mol$^{-1}$) at the CCSD(T)/CBS//M06-2X/6-311+G(3df,2pd) level of theory.
Consistent with the favorable channel of $\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdots \text{HO}_2 + \text{NH}_2$ reaction (Channel WM1a) above, as for Channel WD1, with the collision between $\text{NH}_2$ and $\text{HO}_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$, the reaction occurred via a stepwise mechanism. In the first step, Channel WD1 went through a ring enlargement from seven-membered ring complex IM_WD1 to nine-membered ring complex IM_WD2 via transition state TS_WD1 with an energy barrier of 4.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$. In geometrical point of view, complexes IM_WD1 and IM_WD2 have similar structures as the corresponding complexes of IM_WM1 and IM_WM2, expecting that water monomer was substituted by water dimer. So, in energetic point of view, similar with the fact that the complex IM_WM2 in Channel WM1a (Fig. 3) is more stable than IM_WM1, complex IM_WD2 in Channel WD1 is stable than IM_WD1. However, the stabilization energy of IM_WD2 has been reduced by 2.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$ than that of IM_WM2. In the second step, following IM_WD2 complex, with the N atom of NH$_2$ abstracting the H atom of HO$_2$ moiety in HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$, Channel WD1 can proceed via an elementary reaction of direct HA (TS_WD2) to form the products of NH$_3 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 + ^3\text{O}_2$. Similar with complex IM_WD2, TS_WD2 also shows a nine-membered ring structure with water dimer, NH$_2$ radical and HO$_2$ radical involved. The relative energy of TS_WD2 to HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 + \text{NH}_2$ is −1.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$, which is higher by 2.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ than that of the water-assisted transition state TS_WM2 to H$_2\text{O} \cdots \text{HO}_2 + \text{NH}_2$ reactants. Meanwhile, the rate constant of Channel WD1, as shown in Table 1, is smaller by 3–4 orders of magnitude than that of $k_b$(WM1a) with single water. Due to the fact that $\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdots \text{HO}_2$ has higher concentration than that of $\text{HO}_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$, we predict that Channel WD1 has less obvious positive influence on enhancing the rate of the reaction (eqn (3)) than Channels WM1a.

3.5 Potential energy surfaces and the rate constants for $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NH}_2 \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + ^3\text{O}_2$ reaction with $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$ It is of interest to known whether $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$ will affect the $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NH}_2 \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + ^3\text{O}_2$ reaction. Thus, based on the discussed results above that the reaction of NH$_2$ radical with HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$ is more favorable than the reactions of NH$_2$ radical with HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$–I in the presence of water dimer, only the reaction of NH$_2$ radical with HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$ was mainly investigated for the channel of NH$_3 + ^3\text{O}_2$ formations with water trimer due to that the binding energy (Table S2†) and the concentration (Table S3†) of HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$ are much larger than those of H$_2\text{N} \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$, HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$–I. The schematic potential energy surfaces for HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_3 + \text{NH}_2$ reaction was shown in Fig. 5, meanwhile its rate constant is also listed in Table 1, which is larger by 6 orders of magnitude than that of $k_b$(WT2) ($\text{H}_3\text{N} \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_3 + \text{HO}_2$ reaction) involved in Table S7.† Regarding the reaction of HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_3 + \text{NH}_2$, the pre-reactive complex IM_WT1 was formed with the energy of 1.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ with respect to the HO$_2 \cdots (\text{H}_2\text{O})_3 + \text{NH}_2$ reactants. Starting from complex IM_WT1, the reaction occurs via
transition state TS_WT1 and to form a complex IM_WT2 with a barrier of 0.1 kcal mol⁻¹ relative to the pre-reactive complex IM_WT1. This step involves a geometric rearrangement that plays a crucial role in HO₂⋅(H₂O)₃ + NH₂ reaction. IM_WT2 is more stabilized than IM_WT1 by 3.2 kcal mol⁻¹. From the geometric point of view, complex IM_WT2 has similar quasi-planar structure as that of IM_WD2 with the additional water molecule inserted between HO₂ and NH₂. The relative energy of IM_WT2 is -4.3 kcal mol⁻¹ with respect to HO₂⋅(H₂O)₂ + NH₂.

Transition state TS_WT2 was found between IM_WT2 and the products (H₃N)₂(H₂O)₃ and O₂. For the TS_WT2, a HA reaction occurs by the N atom of NH₂ abstracted the H atom of HO₂ radical as that in TS_WD2 with the additional water molecule inserted between HO₂ and NH₂. TS_WT2 lies 1.4 kcal mol⁻¹ below the HO₂⋅(H₂O)₂ + NH₂ reactants, which is 0.3 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in energy than the relative energy of TS_WD2 to HO₂⋅(H₂O)₂ + NH₂ reactants. Meanwhile, the rate constant of Channel WT1 (shown in Table 1) is larger by 8–11 times than that of k₈(WD1) with water dimer. Due to the fact that HO₂⋅(H₂O)₂ has higher concentration than that of HO₂⋅(H₂O)₃, thus, whether the atmospheric relevance of HO₂⋅(H₂O)₃ + NH₂ reaction is obvious than that of HO₂⋅(H₂O)₃ + NH₂ reaction or not, needs further discussion in the next section.

### 3.6 Application in atmospheric chemistry

Beyond above mechanisms and rate constant without and with (H₂O)ₙ (n = 1–3), another aim of our work was to study the influence of (H₂O)ₙ (n = 1–3) on the HO₂ + NH₂ reaction under atmospheric conditions. Thus, it is necessary to compare the title rate in the absence of (H₂O)ₙ (n = 1–3) on the HO₂ + NH₂ reaction under atmospheric conditions. Table 2 lists the calculated effective rate constants for the favorable channels of HO₂ + NH₂ → NH₃ + O₂ reaction without and with (H₂O)ₙ (n = 1–3). For comparisons, the effective rate constants of other channels have been displayed in Table S7.†

As shown in Table 2, within the temperature range of 275–320 K, the effective rate constant of H₂O⋅HO₂ + NH₂ reaction (k₈(WM1a)) is 2.42 × 10⁻¹² to 6.02 × 10⁻¹² cm³ per molecule s⁻¹, which is larger by 3 orders of magnitude than the corresponding value of HO₂⋅(H₂O)₃ + NH₂ reaction (k₈(WM2)), as shown in Table S7.† Meanwhile, the value of k₈(WM1a) is respectively larger by 23.5–460 and 1.17 × 10⁻⁶ to 4.10 × 10⁻¹₁ times than the value of k₈(WM3) (H₂O⋅HO₂ + NH₂ reaction) and k₈(WM4) (H₂N⋅H₂O + HO₂ reaction) listed in Table S7.† This indicates that the catalytic effect of water monomer is mainly taken from H₂O⋅HO₂ + NH₂ reaction.

For the catalytic effect of water dimer, the effective rate constant of HO₂⋅(H₂O)₂ + NH₂ reaction (k₈(WD1)) is 1.33 × 10⁻¹⁸ to 4.43 × 10⁻¹⁸ cm³ per molecule s⁻¹, which is larger by 3–4 and 9–10 orders of magnitude than the corresponding value of HO₂⋅(H₂O)₃ + NH₂ reaction (k₈(WD2) and k₈(WD3)) listed in Table S7,† showing that the catalytic effect of water dimer is mainly taken from HO₂⋅(H₂O)₂ + NH₂ reaction. Similarity, the catalytic effect of water trimer is mainly taken from HO₂⋅(H₂O)₃ + NH₂ reaction (k₈(WT1)), with the rate constant of k₈(WT1) is larger by 10–11 orders of magnitude than the corresponding value of HO₂⋅(H₂O)₃ + NH₂ reaction (k₈(WT2)) is larger by 6–10 times than the corresponding value of HO₂⋅(H₂O)₃ + NH₂ reaction (k₈(WT1)). This shows that compared with water trimer, the catalytic effect of water dimer is not neglected. However, the value of k₈(WD1) is smaller by 5–6 orders of magnitude than the effective rate constant of H₂O⋅HO₂ + NH₂ reaction (k₈(WM1a)). So, the catalytic effect of single water is the largest among the effect of water, water dimer and water trimer, and the catalytic effect taken from water dimer and water trimer is neglected.

To obtain a more complete understanding of the influence of a water vapor on the title reaction, it is also necessary to compare the title rate constant (k₈) in the absence of a water vapor with the effective rate constant of the most favorable channel of H₂O⋅HO₂ + NH₂ reaction (k₈(WM1a)). The result in Table 2 is also estimated that within the temperature range of 275–320 K, the enhancement factor of water vapor (k₈(WM1a)/k₈) is 10.06–13.30%, showing, in the whole calculated range, the positive water effect is obvious under atmospheric conditions.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(K)</th>
<th>k₈(WM1a)</th>
<th>k₈(WD1)</th>
<th>k₈(WT1)</th>
<th>k₈(WM1a)/k₈ tot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>275</td>
<td>5.50 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>6.02 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>1.33 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>1.40 × 10⁻¹⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>4.72 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>5.25 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>1.51 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>1.69 × 10⁻¹⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>3.52 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>4.20 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>2.05 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>2.50 × 10⁻¹⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>2.82 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>3.59 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>2.64 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>3.76 × 10⁻¹⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.68 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>3.36 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>2.77 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>4.05 × 10⁻¹⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>2.08 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>2.90 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>3.59 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>5.90 × 10⁻¹⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>1.64 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>2.42 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>4.43 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>7.99 × 10⁻¹⁹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Effective rate constants (cm³ per molecule per s) of k₈(WM1a) and k₈(WD1), and k₈(WT1) have been calculated using 100% relative humidity. 
* b k₈ tot = k₈(WM) + k₈(WD1) + k₈(WT1) and k₈(WT1) is respectively the effective rate constants of H₂O⋅HO₂ + NH₂(a), H₂O⋅(HO₂)₂ + NH₂, and HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃ + NH₂ reaction. 
* c k₈(WM1a) = k₈(WM1a)kₛ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]kₑ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]/kₑ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]kₛ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]. 
* d k₈(WD1) = k₈(WD1)kₛ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]kₑ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]/kₑ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]kₛ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]. 
* e k₈(WT1) = k₈(WT1)kₛ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]kₑ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]/kₑ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]kₛ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃]. 
* f kₛ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃] and kₑ[HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃] are respectively the equilibrium constants for the formation of the H₂O⋅HO₂, HO₂⋅(HO₂)₂, and HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃ complexes, whereas [H₂O], [HO₂⋅(HO₂)₂], and [HO₂⋅(HO₂)₃] are the concentrations of water vapor, water dimer and water trimer. 
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4. Summary and conclusions

The HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ reaction catalyzed by $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 1$–3) has been studied theoretically using quantum chemical methods and the canonical variational transition state theory, which results in the following conclusions:

(a) Regarding to each type equilibrium structure of HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) and H$_2$N⋯(H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3), complexes of H$_2$O⋯HO$_2$, HO$_2$⋯H$_2$O, HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_2$, and HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_3$ are the most stable configurations, which have larger stabilization energies and higher concentrations than their isomers, and thus (H$_2$O)$_n$ ($n = 1$–3) catalyzed HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ reactions are mainly occurring through four kinds of reactions of H$_2$O⋯HO$_2$, NH$_3$, HO$_2$⋯H$_2$O, NH$_3$, HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_2$, NH$_2$ and HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_3$, NH$_2$.

(b) For water-assisted HO$_2$ + NH$_2$ → NH$_3$ + $^3$O$_2$ reaction, the channel occurring through the H$_2$O⋯HO$_2$, NH$_3$, HO$_2$⋯H$_2$O, NH$_3$, HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_2$, NH$_2$ and HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_3$, NH$_2$ reaction. So, the catalytic effect of water monomer is mainly taken from H$_2$O⋯HO$_2$, NH$_3$, HO$_2$⋯H$_2$O, NH$_3$, HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_2$, NH$_2$ and HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_3$, NH$_2$ reaction. (c) For HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_2$ + NH$_2$ and HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_3$ + NH$_2$ reactions, both the reactions followed through a stepwise mechanism, where the reaction firstly occurred via a ring enlargement, and then proceed through a direct HA. However, the effective rate constant of H$_2$O⋯(H$_2$O)$_2$ + NH$_3$($k'(\text{WD1})$) is larger by 6–10 times than the corresponding value of H$_2$O⋯(H$_2$O)$_3$ + NH$_2$($k'(\text{WT1})$), showing that compared with water trimmer, the catalytic effect of water dimer is not neglected. However, the effective rate constant of HO$_2$⋯(H$_2$O)$_2$ + NH$_3$ is smaller by 5–6 orders of magnitude than that of H$_2$O⋯HO$_2$, NH$_3$, showing that the catalytic effect of single water is the largest among the effect of water, water dimer and water trimer, and the catalytic effect taken from water dimer and water trimer is neglected.

(d) The enhancement factor of water vapor ($k'(\text{WM1a})/k_{\text{tot}}$) is 10.06–13.30% within the temperature range of 275–320 K, showing that, in the whole calculated range, the positive water effect is obvious under atmospheric conditions.
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