



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 30562

Organometallic Ag nanostructures prepared using *Hypericum perforatum* extract are highly effective against multidrug-resistant bacteria

Qaisar Maqbool, , Dariusz Kruszka, , Piotr Kachlicki  and Gregory Franklin 

Hypericum perforatum is a rich source of high-value plant secondary metabolites that have been used in the treatment of various ailments since ancient times. Herein, we report the conversion of bulk Ag⁺ ions into highly potent organometallic Ag nanostructures (OM-Ag-NS) using *H. perforatum* extract as a phytochelating agent for the first time. Analysis by X-ray diffraction (XRD) of OM-Ag-NS revealed that they are of a hybrid nature and include pure Ag crystal planes and Ag-organic-complex crystal planes. An investigation by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of the NS revealed the rough nanocube-like morphology of OM-Ag-NS with an average particle size of 32 nm. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-diode array detector (UPLC-DAD) and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy of *H. perforatum* extract and the residue validated the utilization of phytochelating compounds in the synthesis process of OM-Ag-NS. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) supplemented the findings of UPLC-DAD and showed the thermal loss of the organic capping agent around OM-Ag-NS between 300 and 320 °C. NanoDrop-ultraviolet and visible (UV) spectroscopic analysis showed that the tailored bandgap energy of OM-Ag-NS was 2.82 eV. Moreover, compared with chemically stabilized Ag nanostructures (CS-Ag-NS), OM-Ag-NS exhibited promising performance against highly virulent multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* (NDM-1) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KPC). Our current findings suggest that *H. perforatum* is a top candidate for tailoring the potential of NS towards various biological activities.

Received 2nd July 2018
 Accepted 14th August 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra05655b

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Hypericum perforatum, which is commonly known as St. John's wort, is famous worldwide for its use in the treatment of mild to moderate depression. In addition, extracts of this herb possess antibacterial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, anticancer, and antioxidant activities *etc.* owing to the presence of several secondary metabolites.^{1,2} Phytochemical analysis of *H. perforatum* extracts has revealed that they contain a variety of antioxidants with bioactive functional groups.³ Recent investigations also reported that hyperforin and hypericin obtained from *H. perforatum* are very effective in photoinduced catalysis, with remarkable activation at room temperature.^{4,5} Moreover, the high concentration of flavonoids (mainly quercetin and its derivatives) in *H. perforatum* extracts also endows them with greater antioxidant properties.² Hence, we believe that this plant extract has tremendous potential in the fabrication of metallic nanostructures (MNS) as a chelating agent.

Although several reports are available on the green synthesis of Ag nanostructures (Ag-NS) using various plant extracts, there are several outstanding questions that still need to be answered.

These include the potential role of plant secondary metabolites in the heterogeneous mixture of compounds present in extracts in the conversion of metal salts into MNS, concentration- and metabolite-dependent changes in the morphology of MNS, the competitive behaviour of different molecules that participate as reducing or capping agents during the mechanism of the synthesis of MNS, the embedding of organometallic crystallites in hybrid MNS, the identification of the composition of hybrid MNS structures, and the role of particular capping agents around MNS in specific applications.⁶ Moreover, the use of extracts derived from medicinal plants such as *H. perforatum* might endow NS with better bioactivities, as NS produced by green synthesis are generally capable of capturing secondary metabolites from the extract used in the process. Ag NS are well known for their antimicrobial properties, and several Ag NS produced by green synthesis have been tested in this context. However, a comparative analysis of the biological activities of Ag NS produced by green synthesis and corresponding chemically synthesized Ag NS is lacking.^{7,8}

Very importantly, the development of multidrug resistance (MDR) in harmful pathogenic bacteria is emerging as a serious threat to the human race by challenging leading antibiotics.⁹ According to a prediction by the World Health Organization (WHO), the death toll due to MDR may rise to millions by 2020 if

Institute of Plant Genetics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 34 Strzeszynska Street, 60-479 Poznan, Poland. E-mail: fjgre@igr.poznan.pl



we fail to develop efficient drugs for combating MDR.¹⁰ In order to succeed in this race, drug development needs to be one step ahead of MDR. Unfortunately, even world-leading antibiotics such as carbapenems and cephalosporins have lost their utility against MDR bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* (NDM-1 type) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KPC type). These two highly virulent Gram-negative bacterial strains can cause life-threatening infections including severe bacteremia, cholecystitis, pneumonia, complicated skin infections, urinary tract infections (UTIs), severe gastritis, infections of the upper and lower respiratory tract, wound infections, meningitis and osteomyelitis.^{11,12} Thus far, synthetic and semi-synthetic drugs have not exhibited any significant control of these lethal bacterial strains.

MNS have acquired a wide range of applications in basic and applied fields of engineering, industry and biomedical sciences. In advanced biomedical applications, MNS have been well examined as anticancer agents,¹³ targeted drug delivery vehicles,¹⁴ DNA/RNA carriers,¹⁵ biosensors,¹⁶ nanocoating agents,¹⁷ nanocatalysts,¹⁸ antimicrobial agents,¹⁹ bioimaging agents,²⁰ etc. On the other hand, the use of chemicals for the synthesis of MNS by chemical methods not only raises environmental concerns but is also costlier and less biocompatible than green methods. Low biocompatibility limits the effective use of MNS in advanced biomedical applications.²¹

The wide range of biomedical properties of Ag-NS is currently well known.²³ Recently, Ag-NS prepared using extracts of plant species namely *Otostegia limbata*,²² *Justicia adhatoda*,²⁴ *Citrus limon*,⁸ *Rheum palmatum*²⁵ and *Zingiber officinale*²⁶ have exhibited promising antibacterial activities. However, all these reports suggest that the efficacy of Ag-NS produced by green synthesis was more or less equal to that of synthetic drugs. Moreover, the antibacterial characteristics of Ag-NS produced by both chemical and green synthesis have only been tested against pathogens that are not resistant to common antibiotics such as quinolones and cephalosporins. Recent studies that have been conducted in this

context are summarized in Table 1. Thus far, no study has reported on the antibacterial activity of MNS produced by green synthesis against MDR *Escherichia coli* (NDM-1 type) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KPC type) bacterial strains.

Keeping this in consideration, we have prepared organometallic silver nanostructures (OM-Ag-NS) using *H. perforatum* extract and tested them against these highly virulent MDR bacterial strains. Our current contribution will make a useful addition to the synthesis of highly potent MNS mediated by *H. perforatum*.

Experimental procedures

Preparation of *H. perforatum* extract and synthesis of OM-Ag-NS

H. perforatum leaves were collected, frozen in liquid nitrogen and lyophilized for 24 h. A 10 g sample of dried leaves was homogenized with a mortar and pestle. Powdered samples were transferred into an Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 mL of 80% methanol (for LC-MS, Merck™). The flask was then shaken on an Orbi-Shaker (150 rpm, KS3000 IKA™) at 24 °C for 1 h. In the next step, the samples were subjected to sonication in an ultrasonic bath (Sonorex, Bandelin™) at 25 °C for 10 min. The extracts were filtered through a filter paper (2 W, 90 mm, Whatman™). The filtrate was evaporated to dryness under vacuum at 35 °C in a Rotavapor (Buchi™ R114). The dry residue of the extract was dissolved in 100 mL of ultrapure water (Milli-Q, Merck™).

For *H. perforatum* extract mediated green synthesis of OM-Ag-NS, we modified a previously reported method.⁴¹ In brief, 3.38 g of AgNO₃ (Sigma-Aldrich™) was added to an Erlenmeyer flask with 200 mL of ultrapure water (Milli-Q, Merck™) and mixed on a hot plate magnetic stirrer (IKA™) at 90 °C for 20 min. Then, the temperature was reduced to 65 °C. Subsequently, 20 mL of prepared *H. perforatum* extract was slowly added to the above solution, and the reaction was allowed to

Table 1 Antibacterial activity of different types of Ag-NS studied in the current year (2018)

Mode of synthesis	Nanostructure and morphology	Bacterial strains examined (inhibited)	Ref.
Green (<i>Rheum turkestanicum</i>)	Nanoparticles, spherical (27 nm)	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	27
Green (grass)	Nanoparticles, spherical (15 nm)	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> , <i>A. baumannii</i>	28
Green (green and black tea)	Nanoparticles, rough spherical (10–20 nm)	<i>S. aureus</i>	29
Green (<i>B. purpurea</i>)	Nanoparticles, spherical (20 nm)	<i>K. pneumoniae</i> , <i>S. aureus</i>	30
Green (sodium alginate)	Nanocomposite (50 nm)	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. aureus</i>	31
Green (<i>Salvia leriifolia</i>)	Nanoparticles, spherical (27 nm)	<i>K. pneumoniae</i> , <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , <i>S. coagulase</i> , <i>A. baumannii</i> , <i>S. pneumoniae</i> , <i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. marcescens</i>	32
Green (<i>Phyllanthus amarus</i>)	Nanoparticles, spherical (36 nm)	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , <i>S. aureus</i>	33
Chemical (hydrogel)	Nanocomposite, heterogeneous (Ag-NS, 15 nm)	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i>	7
Chemical (radical polymerization)	Nanocomposite, polymeric (Ag-NS, 1–30 nm)	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. aureus</i>	34
Chemical (reduction)	Nanocluster	<i>S. aureus</i>	35
Chemical	Nanocomposite, heterogeneous (less than 100 nm)	<i>S. mutans</i>	36
Microbial (<i>B. brevis</i>)	Nanoparticles, spherical (41 nm)	<i>S. typhi</i> , <i>S. aureus</i>	37
Microbial (<i>P. chrysosporium</i>)	Nanoparticles, spherical (34–90 nm)	<i>K. pneumoniae</i> , <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>S. epidermidis</i>	38
Physical (ultrasonic radiation)	Nanocomposite, heterogeneous (Ag-NS, 10 nm)	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i>	39
Hydrothermal/chemical	Nanocomposite, heterogeneous (Ag-NS, 5 nm)	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. aureus</i>	40



continue until the colour changed from light brown to deep brown (about 1 h). After this, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. OM-Ag-NS were pelleted by centrifugation at 10 000 rpm (5804-R, Eppendorf™). The supernatant was preserved for further analysis. The collected pellets were repeatedly washed three times with deionized H₂O to remove uncoordinated biomolecules from the NS and allowed to dry at 80 °C for 12 h in a hot air oven (ED-056, Sigma-Aldrich™). OM-Ag-NS were collected after drying and preserved in an airtight jar for further investigation. To determine the crystal parameters (XRD analysis), about 0.2 g of the NS were placed in a Carbolite ELF chamber furnace (Sigma-Aldrich™) for calcination for 2 h at 300 °C. The various steps performed in the synthesis of OM-Ag-NS are summarised in Fig. 1.

Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-diode array detector (UPLC-DAD) analysis of plant extract (before and after synthesis of OM-Ag-NS)

Chromatographic separation and identification of bioactive molecules from *H. perforatum* extract before and after the synthesis of OM-Ag-NS was performed using an Acquity UPLC platform (Waters™) equipped with a BEH C₁₈ column (150 × 2.1 mm, particle size 1.7 μm, Waters™) and a photodiode array detector (Waters™). Vials that were aliquoted with 1.5 mL samples were subjected to automated sample management in UPLC. The samples were separated at 40 °C. The mobile phases used for chromatographic separation were water with 0.1% formic acid (Buffer A) and acetonitrile (Buffer B). The following gradient was used: 5% B to 1 min, 15% B to 2 min, 20% B to 9 min, 99% B to 15 min, isocratic 99% B for 1 min, 5% B to 17 min and re-equilibration for 3 min. The flow rate was set at 350 μL min⁻¹. The temperature of the sample manager was 8 °C, and the injection volume was 2 μL. The ultraviolet and visible (UV) absorbance was measured in the wavelength range of 220–600 nm. Later, chromatograms were collected at 330 nm and comparatively analysed.

Identification of compounds using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)

Polyphenolic metabolites were identified using two systems of liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometers, as described previously.⁴² The first system consisted of an Agilent 1100 HPLC system coupled to an Esquire 3000 (Bruker Daltonics) ion trap mass spectrometer, and the second system comprised a UPLC system (Acquity, Waters) coupled to a Q-Exactive hybrid MS/MS quadrupole-Orbitrap instrument (Thermo). Compounds were identified according to the exact masses of their [M + H]⁺ and [M – H][–] ions and their fragmentation patterns and chromatographic retention times by comparison with standard compounds (purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Extrasynthese) or compounds previously purified in our laboratory and identified using NMR methods.

Structural and morphological examination of OM-Ag-NS produced by green synthesis

The size and morphology of OM-Ag-NS produced by green synthesis were investigated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (JEOL JSM-6490LA™) operated at a voltage of 20 kV (maximum) with a counting frequency of 2838 cps (maximum) coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) for in-depth elemental analysis of samples. Magnified micrographs were taken down to a resolution of 0.5 μm.

An examination of the organometallic structure, crystallite parameters and phase purity of the prepared OM-Ag-NS was performed using an X-ray diffraction (XRD) instrument (X'Pert³ Powder, PANalytical™). The angle of diffraction (2θ) was adjusted between 20° and 80°. A Cu Kα source of accelerated radiation was used with a wavelength of 1.5406 Å. The operating voltage during the experiment was 40 kV, and a current of 30 mA was provided in an ambient environment. The crystallite size of OM-Ag-NS was calculated using the following Debye–Scherrer equation:

$$D = 0.9\lambda/\beta \cos \theta \quad (1)$$

where D is the average size of a crystalline domain perpendicular to the reflecting planes, λ is the wavelength of the X-rays, *i.e.*, 1.5406 Å, β is the angle at the full width at half maximum (FWHM) in radians, and θ is the diffraction angle or Bragg angle. By interpreting the data from the Scherrer equation, the lattice constants were also determined using the following relation:

$$d = \frac{a}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}} \quad (2)$$

where $a = b = c$ are the lattice constants, d denotes the interplanar spacing, which was calculated using $2d \sin \theta = n\lambda$, and h , k , and l are the Miller indices. The unit cell volume was determined using the following formula:

$$V = a^3 \quad (3)$$




Fig. 1 Scheme explaining the synthesis of organometallic Ag-NS. The different steps include plant processing, preparation of *H. perforatum* extract, filtration, reaction of AgNO₃ with the plant extract (reduction and capping action), centrifugation, drying and sonication before characterization and application.



Optical, vibrational and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

The optical properties of OM-Ag-NS were examined using a NanoDrop™ One/OneC microvolume UV-vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher™) under ambient conditions. The wavelength was adjusted between 190 and 800 nm in automated path length correction mode. One drop of a 1 mg/10 mL suspension of OM-Ag-NS was used for scanning. In a similar manner, *H. perforatum* extract was also subjected to UV-vis analysis. After the full scan, data maps were manipulated using OriginPro™ 8.5 software. In order to measure the bandgap energy of OM-Ag-NS, the Tauc relation was applied.¹³

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) analysis of *H. perforatum* extract before and after the synthesis of OM-Ag-NS and isolated OM-Ag-NS was performed using an IRTracer-100 spectrometer (Shimadzu™). The KBr pellet method was adopted in the wavenumber range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹. A comparative analysis of all three FTIR spectra was made using OriginPro™ 8.5 software. Moreover, in order to trace the progressive weight loss of OM-Ag-NS with an increase in temperature, TGA was conducted using a TGA 8000 analyzer (PerkinElmer™). The temperature increase was adjusted between 10 °C and 800 °C with increments of 10 °C per min.

Antibacterial activity assay

The antibacterial potential of OM-Ag-NS produced by green synthesis and chemically stabilized Ag nanostructures (CS-Ag-NS) was examined against highly virulent multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* (NDM-1, BAA-2452™) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KPC, BAA-1898™) bacterial strains. CS-Ag-NS were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich™ (product code: 730793) and had a mean size of 20 nm (spherical shape) as stated by the manufacturer. The disc diffusion method was adopted with slight modifications.¹⁹ In brief, bacteria were cultured in LB nutrient broth (Sigma-Aldrich™) until the bacterial density reached 1.5×10^8 CFU mL⁻¹. Bacterial suspensions were spread onto Petri dishes containing about 20 mL of pre-autoclaved nutrient agar. Sterile discs of Whatman no. 1 filter paper loaded with 20 µg mL⁻¹ OM-Ag-NS and CS-Ag-NS were placed on the medium. To test the antibacterial potential of *H. perforatum* extract, discs loaded with 5 µL of the extract were used. Furthermore, discs that were impregnated with doripenem and cefixime were used for comparison. Deionized H₂O was used as a negative control. All the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, and the zone of inhibition (ZOI) was measured.

Results and discussion

NS can be synthesized *via* various means, such as physical, chemical and biological methods.⁶ Biological methods, which are also known as green synthesis, can be carried out using cultures of bacteria, fungi and plants. Facile synthesis routes using plant extracts are advantageous in comparison with other methods, as the use of synthetic reagents and microbes results in poor biocompatibility and also limits the bulk production of NS.^{6,43} There are also other problems such as microbial contamination and health hazards. On the other hand, NS can

be safely produced by plant-extract-mediated synthesis in large quantities, even on an industrial scale, because of the outstanding availability and low cost of the raw material.¹⁹

The different steps performed in the present study for the fabrication of OM-Ag-NS using *H. perforatum* extract are shown in Fig. 1. As the reaction proceeded at 65 °C, the colour of the reaction mixture changed from light green to dirty green, which evidenced the simultaneous reducing and capping actions of secondary metabolites of *H. perforatum*.

In order to preserve the hybrid nature of OM-Ag-NS, it is important to mention here that the pellets of NS obtained after centrifugation of the reaction mixture at 10 000 rpm were not subjected to calcination at high temperature. Decomposition of phytocapping agents can occur at high temperatures, which might limit the surface-based reactivity of OM-Ag-NS during their antimicrobial action.⁶

HPLC profiles of *H. perforatum* extract before and after the synthesis of OM-Ag-NS are shown in Fig. 2. The chromatograms show the presence of neochlorogenic acid (RT = 3.34, λ_{max} = 243 nm), 3-*p*-coumaroylquinic acid (RT = 3.71, λ_{max} = 233 nm), rutin (RT = 6.02, λ_{max} = 257 nm), quercetin glucoside (RT = 6.34, λ_{max} = 257 nm), quercetin galactoside (RT = 6.58, λ_{max} = 257 nm), kaempferol-*O*-hexoside (RT = 7.51, λ_{max} = 252 nm), quercetin-3-arabinoside (RT = 7.77, λ_{max} = 252 nm), quercetin-3-*O*-(6''-malonylglucoside) (RT = 8.04, λ_{max} = 252 nm) and quercetin-3-*O*-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (RT = 8.47, λ_{max} = 252 nm). The declines in the concentrations of neochlorogenic acid, quercetin glucoside and quercetin-3-arabinoside (Fig. 2b) indicate that these molecules have actively participated in the formation of OM-Ag-NS.

H. perforatum extract is a rich source of secondary metabolites, and the literature also provides sufficient evidence of the presence of various compounds in this plant. Secondary metabolites with higher concentrations, mainly flavonoids, are involved in the synthesis process of OM-Ag-NS. Fig. 2b illustrates the consumption of secondary metabolites during the conversion of the bulk Ag ions into highly homogenized and extremely small OM-Ag-NS. The heterogeneous mixture of *H. perforatum* compounds contributed to the initial redox reaction by initiating the crystal growth of Ag from Ag⁰ after the prior saturation of Ag–OH complexes. In this way, the reaction would continue until the defined growth of all the Ag crystal planes. As the Ag atomic planes started to grow to orient the formation of the unit cell, this led to the formation of crystallite planes with different energies. Secondary metabolites from *H. perforatum* were attracted towards the higher-energy crystallite planes and acted as capping agents to stunt growth. During this process, the surface attachment of secondary metabolites would be greatest on the larger crystallite growth planes because of the large surface-area-to-energy ratio at the reaction temperature of 65 °C. The reaction would progress until the attachment of all the activated capping agents. Finally, there was a cluster of crystallite aggregates that formed the specific shape of OM-Ag-NS. The whole concept of the formation mechanism of OM-Ag-NS is summarized in Fig. 3.

Fig. 4 illustrates the crystallographic parameters of OM-Ag-NS. The broad Bragg peaks correspond to (111), (200), (220)






Fig. 2 UPLC-DAD chromatograms showing the secondary metabolite profile of *H. perforatum* extract (a) before and (b) after the synthesis of OM-Ag-NS. The peaks correspond to: 1 = mixture of complex compounds, 2 = neochlorogenic acid, 3 = 3-*p*-coumaroylquinic acid, 4 = rutin, 5 = quercetin glucoside, 6 = quercetin galactoside, 7 = kaempferol-*O*-hexoside, 8 = quercetin-3-*O*-arabinoside, 9 = quercetin-3-*O*-(6''-malonylglucoside) and 10 = quercetin-3-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranoside.

and (311) planes and are well matched to the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) card no. 04-0783. With the help of the Scherrer equation, from the broadening of the peaks the average crystallite size of OM-Ag-NS was found to be 6 nm, and the calculated value of the lattice constant a is 0.59 nm with a unit cell volume V of 0.20 nm³.

The crystallographic parameters of OM-Ag-NS reveal three types of crystal structure. The face-centered cubic (fcc) structure of OM-Ag-NS is preserved in pure crystal planes (111, 200, 220 and 311), as reported previously.⁴⁴ The XRD peaks of OM-Ag-NS at 27.20°, 32.16°, 46.16°, 54.88°, 57.48° and 67.44° correspond to the formation of a symmetric organometallic structure, as reported in a previous investigation.⁴¹ Furthermore, the diffraction peaks at 32.16°, 46.16°, 54.88°, 57.48° and 67.44° clearly match the JCPDS card no. 31-1238, which indicates the formation of AgCl crystal planes. Prominent AgCl crystal planes have commonly been observed during the process of the plant-extract-mediated synthesis of Ag-NS.⁴⁵ The Cl ions involved in the crystal growth might have been derived from the plant extract during the synthesis of Ag-NS. Moreover, it is evident from the UPLC-DAD

chromatograms that a major fraction of phytochemicals from *H. perforatum* extract comprises quercetin derivatives such as rutin, quercetin glucoside, quercetin galactoside, kaempferol-*O*-hexoside, quercetin-3-*O*-arabinoside, quercetin-3-*O*-(6''-malonylglucoside) and quercetin-3-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranoside. The diffraction peak observed at 27.20° strongly suggests the involvement of quercetin derivatives during the formation phase of OM-Ag-NS. This diffraction peak due to quercetin is well supported by previously reported XRD diffractograms.^{46,47} NS carrying quercetin used in drug delivery have also displayed a typical diffraction peak at 27° when observed by XRD.⁴⁸ During the crystal growth of Ag, some higher-energy Ag ions were rapidly and individually captured by phytochemicals, which resulted in the creation of smaller organometallic clusters embedded between the crystal planes of OM-Ag-NS. The diffraction peaks also indicate the high degree of reactivity and affinity of the phytochelating agents (such as flavonoids and phenolic acid derivatives) in *H. perforatum* extract. Because these tiny crystal planes do not fit into the pure Ag crystal planes, they appear separately at different X-ray diffraction angles. The growth of these small organometallic planes was found to be limited because of the higher molecular attraction energy of growing Ag crystal planes. This competition between the attachment of phytochelating agents to different planes does not allow the organometallic phase to grow quickly⁴⁹ and more widely within the Ag crystal planes. The higher is the energy of growing planes, the greater will be the activation and surface attachment of capping agents around NS to arrest the growth of growing crystalline units.^{19,50} All these changes will ultimately generate NS of uniform size.

SEM images shown in Fig. 5 reveal the roughly nanocube-like homogeneous structures of OM-Ag-NS with an average size of about 32 nm. EDX findings show that the mass of a single OM-Ag-NS was composed of Ag (91%) together with a certain proportion of C atoms (9%), which mainly originated from the skeletons of secondary metabolites (reducing and capping agents). Moreover, the reactivity and polarizability of the secondary metabolites were sufficient to limit the size of OM-Ag-NS to 32 nm at the reaction temperature of 65 °C. The slight agglomeration seen in the SEM images (Fig. 5) was due to the presence of organic capping agents around the prepared OM-Ag-NS, which made them sticky.⁸

The UV spectrum of OM-Ag-NS is depicted in Fig. 6. The characteristic absorption peak of OM-Ag-NS appeared at 420 nm. The bandgap energy of OM-Ag-NS was calculated using the following Tauc equation for direct-bandgap materials:

$$(\alpha h\nu)^2 = A(h\nu - E_g) \quad (4)$$

where $h\nu$ represents the energy of the photons, E_g is the bandgap energy, α is the absorption coefficient and A is a constant. According to the above relation, the calculated value of the bandgap energy of OM-Ag-NS is 2.82 eV. The UV absorption peak of metallic oxide NS is mainly due to surface plasmon resonance, which may result in a red shift or a blue shift depending on the size of the NS, state of aggregation of the NS, surface-bound charge, nature of the solvent and relative concentration in the solvent.⁵¹ We objectively used






Fig. 3 Plausible mechanism involved in the synthesis of OM-Ag-NS using *H. perforatum* extract. The reaction starts with the supersaturation of Ag to form AgOH, and then the formation of Ag^0 leads to the crystal growth of Ag as a result of activation by secondary metabolites from *H. perforatum* extract. The structures of the secondary metabolites highlighted in green represent the available sites for redox reaction. The final step comprises nucleation, the sustainable growth of Ag crystallites and the capping action of secondary metabolites.




Fig. 4 Crystallographic parameters of OM-Ag-NS showing Bragg diffraction peaks related to Ag crystallite planes (111, 200, 220 and 311) and organometallic traces (27.2°, 32.16°, 46.16°, 54.88°, 57.48° and 67.44°).

a NanoDrop™ spectrophotometer for UV measurements on *H. perforatum* extract and OM-Ag-NS. This instrument possesses the ability to detect contaminants even at a concentration of less than 1%. *H. perforatum* extract displayed UV absorption peaks (Fig. 6a) that were mainly related to quercetin derivatives present in higher concentrations, mainly rutin, at 260 nm and 354 nm. Typical UV absorption peaks related to quercetin derivatives were also seen in previous studies.^{52,53} The only prominent peak related to OM-Ag-NS in the UV spectrum (Fig. 6b) was observed at 420 nm and was primarily due to the coherent excitation of electrons in OM-Ag-NS in a limited region of the conduction band, which resulted in an in-phase oscillation.⁵⁴ Surface activation played a key role in the polarization of

the metallic complex, which resulted in a shift of the resonance towards the optical region. OM-Ag-NS with absorption maxima near 420 nm usually display spherical or roughly spherical morphology.⁴¹ In our case, the observed shape of OM-Ag-NS was more similar to a rough cube-like shape. This also confirms the outstanding phytochelating power of *H. perforatum* extract in tuning the morphology of OM-Ag-NS to a high level of homogeneity. The roughness observed in the surface morphology of OM-Ag-NS was due to the involvement of organic capping agents. Usually, this alteration in the morphology of NS is very common when NS are prepared from plant extracts, as observed in previous investigations.^{41,55} Some studies also confirmed that an irregular surface morphology of NS increased the overall surface area of the NS, which can enhance their reactivity for surface attachment from different reactive sites to the bacterial envelope during antibacterial action.^{56,57} This occurs because of the squeezing of electrons on the nanoscale, which results in a quantum confinement effect, which ultimately endows OM-Ag-NS with greater reactivity during antimicrobial action.⁵⁴ This phenomenon can be explained by relating the localized surface plasmon resonance, electron density, number of atoms that form the NS, and change in the bandgap energy of the NS.⁵⁸ In metallic NS, electrons from the conduction band are not completely free in comparison to those in the bulk material. Some of these electrons are captured by atoms, and the rest are directly involved in covalent bonding between atoms. These binding forces hold atoms together in the formation of stable NS. When the surface atoms receive UV light energy at the maximum wavelength, electrons from the conduction band experience intra-band quantum excitation beyond the Fermi energy level.⁵⁹ Hence, the reactivity of NS is directly linked to free electrons within the conduction band and the number of atoms that form the NS.

A comparative FTIR analysis of *H. perforatum* extract, its residue after the synthesis of NS and OM-Ag-NS is shown in






Fig. 5 SEM images with EDX spectrum. A magnified section of an SEM image is also shown on the right. Homogenized OM-Ag-NS are marked with highlighted circles. A histogram showing the size distribution of OM-Ag-NS is at the bottom right.

Fig. 7a. Some of the vibrations between 2500 and 4000 cm^{-1} correspond to C–O–H, H–O–H, C–O, C–C and C–H bonds. Relatively intense peaks related to the flavonoid functional group appeared around 3400 cm^{-1} . For OM-Ag-NS, the characteristic frequencies of Ag–Ag bonds were observed between 530

and 550 cm^{-1} . OM-Ag-NS also provided evidence of peaks related to flavonoids. The results of FTIR analysis also reveal similar findings to those observed from UPLC-DAD. The flavonoid peak was closely studied for all three samples. Interestingly, these bond vibrations lost their intensity for the residue sample. This mainly happened because of the utilization of flavonoids during the capping action around OM-Ag-NS. Moreover, there were additional bond vibrations that arose from fragments of compounds for the residue sample only. This indicates the active breakdown of flavonoids at the start of the reaction (formation of OM-Ag-NS). The FTIR analysis of OM-Ag-NS also revealed the presence of biocapping agents. This was certainly expected because of the capping action of flavonoids, which was also supported by the findings of UPLC-DAD. The bond frequencies due to the pure Ag phase observed between 530 and 550 cm^{-1} also closely corresponded to the previous literature.^{22,60}




Fig. 6 (a) UV spectrum of *H. perforatum* extract showing prominent absorption peaks related to quercetin derivatives at 260 nm and 354 nm . (b) Optical properties of OM-Ag-NS produced by green synthesis showing UV spectrum (absorption at 420 nm) and calculated bandgap energy of OM-Ag-NS.

Fig. 7b shows the progressive weight loss of OM-Ag-NS in three steps with an increase in temperature from $10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $800\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ at a rate of $10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ per min. The first step, which happened between $90\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $160\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, might be due to the loss of surface adsorbed water molecules and some hexose ring molecules around $146\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The second step of the weight loss was due to the complete loss of the biocapping agent at $315\text{--}318\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The third step confirms the loss of oxygen at a high temperature of around $600\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The TGA findings of the current experiment also closely coincide with the mechanism described earlier in the text and observed with the help of UPLC-DAD, XRD and FTIR. The systematic loss of the biocapping agent from the surface of OM-Ag-NS occurred after the thermal loss of water molecules, as reported previously.^{41,61}






Fig. 7 Vibrational (FTIR) and thermogravimetric (TGA) examination of OM-Ag-NS. (a) Comparison of FTIR spectra of *H. perforatum* extract (blue), residue (black) and OM-Ag-NS (red). (b) TGA plot showing progressive weight loss of OM-Ag-NS with an increase in temperature.

Antibacterial activity of OM-Ag-NS

The antibacterial activity of OM-Ag-NS, CS-Ag-NS, *H. perforatum* extract, doripenem and cefixime is shown in Fig. 8. OM-Ag-NS successfully inhibited highly virulent multidrug-resistant *E. coli* (NDM-1) and *K. pneumoniae* (KPC), with ZOI diameters of about 28 mm and 25.5 mm, respectively. *H. perforatum* extract gave rise to ZOIs with diameters of about 5 mm and 8 mm against *E. coli* (NDM-1) and *K. pneumoniae* (KPC), respectively. On the other hand, CS-Ag-NS displayed poor performance, with a ZOI with a diameter of 5 mm for *E. coli* (NDM-1) only. The growth of *K. pneumoniae* (KPC) was not inhibited by CS-Ag-NS at all. The tested drugs (doripenem and cefixime) did not produce any ZOI, which also confirms the multidrug resistance behaviour of the tested strains.

Findings from UPLC-DAD, XRD, SEM, UV and TGA also support the antibacterial ability of OM-Ag-NS. Remarkably, according to our knowledge, no significant report concerning the growth inhibition of the MDR bacteria, which was the




Fig. 8 (a) Antibacterial activity of 5 μ L of *H. perforatum* extract against *E. coli* (NDM-1) and *K. pneumoniae* (KPC). (b) Antibacterial activity of 20 μ g mL⁻¹ of OM-Ag-NS against *E. coli* (NDM-1) and *K. pneumoniae* (KPC). (c) Graph showing a comparison of ZOI from various treatments. A = CS-Ag-NS (20 μ g mL⁻¹), B = doripenem (2 mg mL⁻¹), C = cefixime (2 mg mL⁻¹), and D = deionized water.

subject of a report by the WHO,¹⁰ has been reported this year (2018). It is evident from Table 1 that the activity of Ag-NS has been tested against some bacterial strains. However, all these strains are equally inhibited by commonly used drugs. In contrast, secondary metabolites from *H. perforatum* extract have excitingly converted Ag ions into a highly potent OM-Ag-NS, which resulted in complete inhibition of the growth of *E. coli* (NDM-1) and *K. pneumoniae* (KPC) in our study.


The efficacy of OM-Ag-NS for inhibiting the growth of MDR bacteria can be explained *via* three steps, as illustrated in Fig. 9. The first step involves the electrostatic force of the attraction of the NS towards the bacterial cell envelope. Probably, owing to their opposite charge, NS could have been attracted towards the bacterial outer envelope.¹⁹ Different plants contain different kinds of phytochemicals. Hence, owing to differences in the physicochemical properties of capping agents around metallic NS, NS that have different capping agents behave differently in their chemical coordination with bacterial cell walls.^{43,62,63} Chemically synthesized metallic NS and NS calcined at high temperatures for superior crystallinity usually lack organic capping agents.⁶⁴ They can therefore easily be recognized by the bacterial cell surface as a heavy metal during the process of



surface attachment, which is one of the main mechanisms recently investigated regarding the development of bacterial resistance towards Ag-NS.⁶⁵ On the other hand, metallic NS synthesized by green chemistry possess organic capping agents and organometallic crystallographic geometry at the molecular level.^{6,50} The typical organometallic composition of OM-Ag-NS might have helped the rapid and resistance-free transport of the NS across the bacterial cell wall. It is highly possible that the organic layer in OM-Ag-NS might interact with the surface of the bacterial envelope, which is composed of molecules such as lipopolysaccharides. A Gram-negative bacterial cell is usually protected by a lipopolysaccharide layer of about 3 μm with a negative charge and peptidoglycan layers with thicknesses of 6–8 nm.^{56,66} These are the main barriers to the successful entry of antibacterial agents into the cells. Most drugs can be trapped by these organic cages. However, owing to the hybrid chemistry, opposite charge (OM-Ag-NS with positive charge and bacterial envelope with negative charge) and higher surface reactivity of OM-Ag-NS, they could probably overcome these barriers and successfully enter bacterial cells. This surface interaction of OM-Ag-NS can directly disrupt the integrity of the cell wall, which ultimately results in the loss of cell homeostasis.

In the second step, an Ag complex from OM-Ag-NS is attracted to a group of cysteine-rich proteins inside the bacterial cell. This can disrupt some important enzymes by breaking S–S bridges between the peptide chains. In addition, the release of Ag⁺ ions from OM-Ag-NS might generate lethal ROS. It has been reported that highly activated Ag⁺ ions from the surface of NS are about 48 times more lethal.⁶⁷ ROS usually include hydroxyl (OH) radicals and oxygen species (¹O₂, ⁻*O₂, and O₂⁻).⁵⁶ The production of ROS induced by OM-Ag-NS is closely linked with photoinduced surface activation, photoinduced electron–hole

pairs, the rate of activation, surface charge, properties of the NS, the size of the NS and differences between energy levels of electrons. In our experiment, the tailored bandgap energy of OM-Ag-NS supports the photoexcitation of surface Ag ions.²⁶ Hence, the production of ROS induced by Ag can occur *via* the following chain reaction:



In the final step, a lethal amount of ROS generated within the bacterial cell could interact with different cellular components and lead to DNA damage, mitochondrial enzyme dysfunction, inhibition of respiratory enzymes, damage to structural proteins and destruction of the overall cellular metabolism, which ultimately leads to necrosis of the bacterial cell.⁶⁸

Conclusion and prospects

In summary, we conclude with the following outcomes:

- The active participation of secondary metabolites from *H. perforatum* extract as phyto-reducing and phyto-capping agents enhanced the physicochemical parameters of OM-Ag-NS.
- Comparative analysis by UPLC-DAD and FTIR provided knowledge about the different phytochemicals involved in the synthesis of OM-Ag-NS mediated by *H. perforatum* extract. The use of UPLC-DAD in comparative mode has not thus far been reported for identifying secondary metabolites from plant extracts involved in the fabrication of NS. In the future, this comparative method will provide more knowledge for a better understanding of mechanisms of green synthesis.
- XRD crystallography showed the hybrid nature of the prepared OM-Ag-NS, with a pure phase of Ag crystals and organometallic Ag complexes. The progressive weight loss of OM-Ag-NS shown by TGA also indicates the involvement of bioactive secondary metabolites from *H. perforatum* extract.
- The growth of highly virulent MDR bacteria, namely, *E. coli* (NDM-1) and *K. pneumoniae* (KPC) was robustly inhibited by OM-Ag-NS in comparison with CS-Ag-NS, *H. perforatum* extract and antibiotics. This exemplary performance highlights the future potential of biocapped metallic NS in antimicrobial therapies against MDR pathogens after a complete examination of their biosafety.

Author contributions

Q. M. contributed the greater part of the experimental work related to the fabrication, mechanism, characterization and antimicrobial activity of the prepared material. D. K. participated in the UPLC-DAD analysis of samples. G. F. conceived the idea of the work and obtained funding for the work. Both G. F. and P. K. supervised the work and provided expert opinion in the composition of this manuscript.




Fig. 9 Proposed mechanism of antibacterial activity of OM-Ag-NS. First step: The presence of an organic capping agent around OM-Ag-NS facilitates their surface attachment to the bacterial envelope. Second step: Elaboration of the interaction of OM-Ag-NS with cellular components. Third step: Induction of the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by OM-Ag-NS.



Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work has received funding from Narodowe Centrum Nauki (NCN), Poland (grant number UMO-2016/21/B/NZ9/01980). Q. M. is supported by a PhD fellowship from the NCN project number UMO-2016/23/B/NZ9/02677. G. F. is supported by the European Union's 7th Framework Programme for research, technological development, and demonstration under grant agreement No. 621321 and co-financed by funds allocated for education through project no. W26/7.PR/2015 [GA 3413/7.PR/2015/2] for the years 2015–2019.

Notes and references

- 1 P. Shakya, G. Marslin, K. Siram, L. Beerhues and G. Franklin, *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, 2017, DOI: 10.1111/jphp.12743.
- 2 J. Barnes, L. A. Anderson and J. D. Phillipson, *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, 2001, **53**, 583–600.
- 3 K. Zeliou, N. I. Kontaxis, E. Margianni, C. Petrou and F. N. Lamari, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, 2017, **55**, 805–812.
- 4 J. M. Greeson, B. Sanford and D. A. Monti, *Psychopharmacology*, 2001, **153**, 402–414.
- 5 K. M. Klemow, A. Bartlow, J. Crawford, N. Kocher, J. Shah and M. Ritsick, *Medical Attributes of St. John's Wort (Hypericum perforatum)*, CRC Press/Taylor & Francis, 2011.
- 6 G. Marslin, K. Siram, Q. Maqbool, R. Selvakesavan, D. Kruszka, P. Kachlicki and G. Franklin, *Materials*, 2018, **11**, 940.
- 7 M. Casolaro, I. Casolaro, J. Akimoto, M. Ueda, M. Ueki and Y. Ito, *Gels*, 2018, **4**, 42.
- 8 R. D. Kale and P. Jagtap, Biogenic Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles Using Citrus Limon Leaves and Its Structural Investigation, *Advances in Health and Environment Safety*, ed. N. A. Siddiqui, S. Tauseef and K. Bansal, Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd, Singapore, 2018, pp. 11–20.
- 9 G. Balabanian, M. Rose, N. Manning, D. Landman and J. Quale, *Microb. Drug Resist.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1089/mdr.2018.0065.
- 10 *Antimicrobial resistance*, <http://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/antimicrobial-resistance>, (accessed 9 June 2018).
- 11 A. Aquino-Andrade, J. Merida-Vieyra, E. Arias de la Garza, P. Arzate-Barbosa and A. De Colsa Ranero, *BMC Microbiol.*, 2018, **18**, 38.
- 12 V. Di Pilato, F. Arena, C. Tascini, A. Cannatelli, L. Henrici De Angelis, S. Fortunato, T. Giani, F. Menichetti and G. M. Rossolini, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2016, **60**, 5612–5615.
- 13 F. Abbas, J. Iqbal, Q. Maqbool, T. Jan, M. O. Ullah, B. Nawaz, M. Nazar, M. S. H. Naqvi and I. Ahmad, *AIP Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 095205.
- 14 S. Hussain, J. Joo, J. Kang, B. Kim, G. B. Braun, Z.-G. She, D. Kim, A. P. Mann, T. Mölder, T. Teesalu, S. Carnazza, S. Guglielmino, M. J. Sailor and E. Ruoslahti, *Nat. Biomed. Eng.*, 2018, **2**, 95–103.
- 15 S. Kumari and A. K. Kondapi, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2018, **108**, 401–407.
- 16 B. Akkaya, B. Çakiroğlu and M. Özacar, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 3805–3814.
- 17 A. T. Young, N. Cornwell and M. A. Daniele, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1700239.
- 18 Y. Yong, R. Su, X. Liu, W. Xu, Y. Zhang, R. Wang, P. Ouyang, J. Wu, J. Ge and Z. Liu, *Biochem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **129**, 26–32.
- 19 Q. Maqbool, M. Nazar, S. Naz, T. Hussain, N. Jabeen, R. Kausar, S. Anwaar, F. Abbas and T. Jan, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2016, **11**, 5015–5025.
- 20 S. Boutry, R. N. Muller and S. Laurent, in *Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Biomedical Applications*, Elsevier, 2018, pp. 135–164.
- 21 S. Thota and D. C. Crans, *Metal nanoparticles: synthesis and applications in pharmaceutical sciences*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2018.
- 22 R. Kausar, M. A. Shaheen, Q. Maqbool, S. Naz, M. Nazar, F. Abbas, T. Hussain, U. Younas and M. F. Shams, *AIP Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 095203.
- 23 S. Kummara, M. B. Patil and T. Uriah, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2016, **84**, 10–21.
- 24 D. Bose and S. Chatterjee, *Indian J. Microbiol.*, 2015, **55**, 163–167.
- 25 S. Arokiyaraj, S. Vincent, M. Saravanan, Y. Lee, Y. K. Oh and K. H. Kim, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2017, **45**, 372–379.
- 26 S. Mathew, A. Prakash and E. K. Radhakrishnan, *Inorg. Nano-Met. Chem.*, 2017, 1–7.
- 27 M. E. Taghavizadeh Yazdi, J. Khara, H. R. Sadeghnia, S. Esmailzadeh Bahabadi and M. Darroudi, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 2018, **44**, 1325–1334.
- 28 M. Khatami, I. Sharifi, M. A. L. Nobre, N. Zafarnia and M. R. Aflatoonian, *Green Chem. Lett. Rev.*, 2018, **11**, 125–134.
- 29 M. A. Asghar, E. Zahir, S. M. Shahid, M. N. Khan, M. A. Asghar, J. Iqbal and G. Walker, *LWT*, 2018, **90**, 98–107.
- 30 S. Chinnappan, S. Kandasamy, S. Arumugam, K.-K. Seralathan, S. Thangaswamy and G. Muthusamy, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2018, **25**, 963–969.
- 31 Y. Shao, C. Wu, T. Wu, C. Yuan, S. Chen, T. Ding, X. Ye and Y. Hu, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2018, **111**, 1281–1292.
- 32 M. Baghayeri, B. Mahdavi, Z. Hosseinpor-Mohsen Abadi and S. Farhadi, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2018, **32**, e4057.
- 33 B. Ajitha, Y. A. K. Reddy, H.-J. Jeon and C. W. Ahn, *Adv. Powder Technol.*, 2018, **29**, 86–93.
- 34 M. Qasim, N. Udomluck, J. Chang, H. Park and K. Kim, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2018, **13**, 235–249.
- 35 P. Lopez, H. H. Lara, S. M. Mullins, D. M. Black, H. M. Ramsower, M. M. Alvarez, T. L. Williams, X. Lopez-Lozano, H.-C. Weissker, A. P. García, I. L. Garzón, B. Demeler, J. L. Lopez-Ribot, M. J. Yacamán and R. L. Whetten, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2018, **1**, 1595–1602.
- 36 W. Cao, X. Wang, Q. Li, Z. Ye and X. Xing, *Mater. Lett.*, 2018, **220**, 104–107.



- 37 M. Saravanan, S. K. Barik, D. MubarakAli, P. Prakash and A. Pugazhendhi, *Microb. Pathog.*, 2018, **116**, 221–226.
- 38 M. Saravanan, S. Arokiyaraj, T. Lakshmi and A. Pugazhendhi, *Microb. Pathog.*, 2018, **117**, 68–72.
- 39 M. D. Firouzjaei, A. A. Shamsabadi, M. Sharifian Gh., A. Rahimpour and M. Soroush, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, 1701365.
- 40 L. Wang, W. Zhang, Y. Zhao and L. Cao, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2018, **120**, 154–160.
- 41 Q. Maqbool, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 56575–56585.
- 42 A. Piasecka, A. Sawikowska, P. Krajewski and P. Kachlicki, *J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2015, **50**, 513–532.
- 43 M. Rafique, I. Sadaf, M. S. Rafique and M. B. Tahir, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2017, **45**, 1272–1291.
- 44 S. Francis, S. Joseph, E. P. Koshy and B. Mathew, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2018, **46**, 795–804.
- 45 T. Babita Devi and M. Ahmaruzzaman, in *Advances in Waste Management*, Springer Singapore, Singapore, 2019, pp. 525–534.
- 46 X.-Y. Li, Y.-C. Li, D.-G. Yu, Y.-Z. Liao and X. Wang, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2013, **14**, 21647–21659.
- 47 C. Li, D.-G. Yu, G. R. Williams and Z.-H. Wang, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, e92106.
- 48 Z. Khan and M. Bagad, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2015, **10**, 3921.
- 49 Y.-G. Yuan, Y.-H. Wang, H.-H. Xing and S. Gurunathan, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2017, **12**, 5819–5839.
- 50 A. K. Mittal, S. Kumar and U. C. Banerjee, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2014, **431**, 194–199.
- 51 R. M. Tilaki, A. Irajizad and S. M. Mahdavi, *Appl. Phys. A*, 2006, **84**, 215–219.
- 52 O. Tusevski, J. Petreska Stanoeva, M. Stefova, D. Pavokovic and S. Gadzovska Simic, *Acta Physiol. Plant.*, 2014, **36**, 2555–2569.
- 53 A. Porzel, M. A. Farag, J. Mülbradt and L. A. Wessjohann, *Metabolomics*, 2014, **10**, 574–588.
- 54 Y. Delgado-Beleño, C. E. Martínez-Nuñez, M. Cortez-Valadez, N. S. Flores-López and M. Flores-Acosta, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2018, **99**, 385–392.
- 55 S. Anwaar, Q. Maqbool, N. Jabeen, M. Nazar, F. Abbas, B. Nawaz, T. Hussain and S. Z. Hussain, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2016, 7, 1330.
- 56 Y. N. Slavin, J. Asnis, U. O. Häfeli and H. Bach, *J. Nanobiotechnology*, 2017, **15**, 65.
- 57 D. Acharya, K. M. Singha, P. Pandey, B. Mohanta, J. Rajkumari and L. P. Singha, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 201.
- 58 E. Saion and E. Gharibshahi, *Journal of Fundamental Sciences*, 2011, 7, 6–11.
- 59 L. Gharibshahi, E. Saion, E. Gharibshahi, A. Shaari and K. Matori, *Materials*, 2017, **10**, 402.
- 60 R. Vijayan, S. Joseph and B. Mathew, *J. Nanobiotechnol. Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2018, **46**, 861–871.
- 61 R. Mata, J. Reddy Nakkala and S. Rani Sadras, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2015, **51**, 216–225.
- 62 H. S. Toh, K. Jurkschat and R. G. Compton, *Chem.–A Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 2998–3004.
- 63 N. T. K. Thanh, N. Maclean and S. Mahiddine, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 7610–7630.
- 64 M.-L. Kung, M.-H. Tai, P.-Y. Lin, D.-C. Wu, W.-J. Wu, B.-W. Yeh, H.-S. Hung, C.-H. Kuo, Y.-W. Chen, S.-L. Hsieh and S. Hsieh, *Colloids Surf., B*, 2017, **155**, 399–407.
- 65 A. Panáček, L. Kvítek, M. Smékalová, R. Večeřová, M. Kolář, M. Röderová, F. Dyčka, M. Šebela, R. Pucek, O. Tomanec and R. Zbořil, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2018, **13**, 65–71.
- 66 R. Sonohara, N. Muramatsu, H. Ohshima and T. Kondo, *Biophys. Chem.*, 1995, **55**, 273–277.
- 67 Y. Yang, J. Wang, Z. Xiu and P. J. J. Alvarez, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 2013, **32**, 1488–1494.
- 68 L. Wang, C. Hu and L. Shao, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2017, **12**, 1227–1249.

