RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 31106

Al(III)-responsive "off-on" chemosensor based on rhodamine derivative and its application in cell imaging†

Chunwei Yu,^a Li Jian,^{*a} Yuxiang Ji^a and Jun Zhang⁽¹⁾ *^{ab}

In this work, a new rhodamine chemosensor (P) with excellent photochromic properties upon vis irradiation was designed and synthesized. The fabricated chemosensor P could detect Al^{3+} via the opening of the spirolactam ring of the rhodamine unit with high selectivity and sensitivity. The spirolactam ring opening was confirmed by NMR and infrared spectroscopy. Upon binding with Al^{3+} , the generated $1:1 \text{ P}-Al^{3+}$ complex, confirmed by Job's plot titrations and mass spectrometry analysis, could exhibit a remarkable fluorescence enhancement with a limit of detection (LOD) of 0.16 μ M. Furthermore, the sensing of P to Al^{3+} *in vivo* was also studied quantitatively and qualitatively in detail, and the results showed that the coordination between P with Al^{3+} was reversible in living cells.

Received 22nd June 2018 Accepted 18th August 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra05359f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

As the most abundant (8.3% by weight) metallic element and the third most abundant of all elements (after oxygen and silicon), aluminum has been widely used in many industrial and domestic fields.1 Nowadays, because of acidic rain and human activities in the environment, increasing exposure to free aluminum ions (Al³⁺) poses a severe threat to biospheres and human health. According to the WHO report, the average daily human intake of aluminium is approx. $3-10 \text{ mg d}^{-1}$, and the tolerable weekly aluminium intake in the human body is estimated to be 7 mg kg⁻¹ body weight.² Excessive aluminum not only hampers plant and animals, but also damages the central nervous system to cause human illnesses like Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases.³ In this regard, detection of Al³⁺ in living biosystems is of great importance, not only for monitoring aluminum contamination but also for understanding its biological functions.

Fluorescent chemosensors have been proven to be a useful tool for sensing biologically important species *in vitro* and/or *in vivo* because of their non-destructive character, instantaneous response, simplicity and high sensitivity.⁴ In particular, for a certain purpose, it is highly demanding to selectively sense metal ions. Nevertheless, compared to other metal ions, effective design of Al³⁺-specific fluorescent chemosensors has always been problematic due to the lack of spectroscopic

characteristics and poor coordination ability.⁵ Recently, based on the photophysical processes, number of signal mechanisms like photo-induced electron transfer (PET),⁶ intramolecular charge transfer (ICT),⁷ C=N isomerization⁸ and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) have been emerged for the development of Al³⁺ fluorescent chemosensors.⁹ Unfortunately, their selectivity and sensitivity is limited due to the interference of other trivalent ions, such as Fe³⁺ and Cr³⁺,¹⁰ moreover, visible light excitable chemosensors, highly desirable for *in vivo* intracellular recognition and trace level determination of Al³⁺ are lacking too,¹¹ and sensing materials for Al³⁺ detection in aqueous media are also still rare.¹² These limitations have severely prevented their further applications in environmental and biological systems.

Rhodamine derivatives possess excellent spectroscopic properties such as a large molar extinction coefficient, high fluorescence quantum yields, and visible light excitation as well as long wavelength emission, which is more optimal for visualizing subcellular distribution of analytes in physiological processes. Moreover, rhodamine derivatives are nonfluorescent and colorless, but ring-opening of the corresponding spirolactam via coordination with metal ions or irreversible chemical reactions gives rise to a strong fluorescence emission and a pink color.13 This response system has confirmed the great potential for environmental and biological studies in terms of selectivity and sensitivity. In the context of long-term interests in searching for fluorescent chemosensors for Al³⁺, rhodamine spirolactam-based chemosensors for "turn-on" detection of Al³⁺ have been designed.¹⁴ Paul et al. designed a rhodamine-naphthalene conjugate for the ratiometric fluorescent detection of Al³⁺.^{14a} In another example, the combination of rhodamine and C-dots units has been employed for the detection of Al³⁺.^{14b} However, these reported rhodamine

^aDepartment of Environmental Sciences, School of Tropical and Laboratory Medicine, Hainan Medical University, Haikou 571199, P. R. China. E-mail: jianli0622@163.com ^bSchool of International Education, Hainan Medical University, Haikou 571199, P. R. China. E-mail: jun_zh1979@163.com

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental characterizations (PDF). See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra05359f



fluorogens usually work in pure organic or organic-aqueous solvents (with high content of organic reagents), resulting in the insufficient biocompatibility.^{14c-e} Hence, novel rhodamine chemosensors with high selectivity and sensitivity is more desirable for Al³⁺ quantitation and bioimaging detection.

Additionally, based on our previous research, it is necessary to consider the geometry of coordination sites for a certain metal ion. Introduction of O and N donor atoms to the structure of compounds proved the coordination ability of the proposed chemosensors to Al^{3+} .¹⁵ Herein, a new and simple Al^{3+} -selective fluorescent chemosensor **P** based on rhodamine derivatives was synthesized and characterized (Scheme 1). The introduction of 2-aminophenol group into rhodamine not only enhanced the water solubility, more importantly, it also enabled the specific complexation of Al^{3+} . The results demonstrated that the particular molecular structure gives rise to the high selectivity toward Al^{3+} . Taking advantage of the rhodamine ring-opening reaction, fluorescence turn-on bioimaging of Al^{3+} in living cells confirms the great potential of chemosensor **P** in analyzing living biosystems.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 pH effect on the fluorescent response of P and P-Al³⁺

Fluoroionophores are usually disturbed by proton in the detection of metal ions. Fig. 1 presents the fluorescence spectra of chemosensor **P** in ethanol-water solution (9 : 1, v : v, 20 mM HEPES) at different pH values ranging from 4 to 10. The fluorescence intensity of **P** is relatively stable when pH was higher than 5.0. However, the emission of Al³⁺ complex maintained fairly intense maximum emission between pH 4–7. While at pH > 6, the fluorescence intensity decrease may be due to the formation of Al(OH)₃, thereby reducing the concentration of Al³⁺ complex. This result reveals that **P** exhibits satisfactory Al³⁺ sensing ability in the medium pH range. Thereby, the following experiments were carried out in solution at pH 5.8.

2.2 Fluorescent properties of P

Usually, a highly selective chemosensor for Al^{3+} that gives a positive response rather than fluorescent quenching upon analyst binding is preferred to promote the sensitivity factor. To study the selectivity of **P**, 17 metal ions with different valences



Fig. 1 pH-dependence of P (10 μ M) (\bullet) and P (10 μ M) plus 4 μ M Al³⁺ (\blacksquare) in HEPES buffers as a function of different pH values in ethanol–water solution (9 : 1, v : v, 20 mM HEPES).

were examined as the alternative of Al^{3+} for the incubation with **P**. As shown in Fig. 2a and S1a, (ESI[†]), none of these ions can turn on the fluorescence of **P** under the same conditions, except Cu^{2+} and Cr^{3+} have a negligible interference. Under this condition, only Al^{3+} can induce distinct blue fluorescence variation at 578 nm, the intensity was 250% of that induced by **P** ($\Phi = 0.45$, see Cal. 1 in ESI[†]), the emission band is reasonably



Fig. 2 (a) Fluorescence emission spectra of P (10 μ M) to different metal ions (10 μ M) in ethanol–water solution (9 : 1, v : v, pH 5.8, 20 mM HEPES); (b) fluorescence response of P (10 μ M) to Al³⁺ (10 μ M) or to a mixture of the specified metal ions (50 μ M) with Al³⁺ (10 μ M) in ethanol–water solution (9 : 1, v : v, pH 5.8, 20 mM HEPES).

Paper

assigned to the delocalized xanthene tautomer of the rhodamine group. Meanwhile, compared with Al³⁺ that caused a remarkable increase in the fluorescence intensity at 578 nm, the presence of other competing anions and ROS or RNS (ClO⁻, NO₃⁻, CO₃²⁻, Cl⁻, Ac⁻, ClO₄⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, HPO₄²⁻, H₂O₂, ONOO⁻, ·OH and $\cdot O_2^{-}$) had no significant fluorescence signal as shown in Fig. S1b (ESI[†]).

Furthermore, to verify the ability of **P** to discriminate Al^{3+} in complex samples, Al³⁺ was analyzed in the mixture of the above mentioned 17 metal ions (as shown in Fig. 2b). Without any pretreatment, when selected metal ions (50 µM) were added into ethanol-water solution (9:1, v:v, pH 5.8, 20 mM HEPES) of **P** (10 μ M) containing Al³⁺ ions (10 μ M), the mixed ion solution containing Al³⁺ releases fluorescence was similar to that with Al³⁺ ions alone. Also, it was investigated that the fluorescence response of **P** toward Al^{3+} in the presence of various coexistent anions and ROS or RNS such as ClO⁻, NO₃⁻, CO₃²⁻, Cl^- , Ac⁻, ClO_4^- , Br⁻, I⁻, HPO₄²⁻, H₂O₂, $\cdot OH$, ONOO⁻ and $\cdot O_2^-$. It is gratifying to note that all the tested competitive analytes have no interference (Fig. S2, ESI[†]). For the Al³⁺ chemosensor **P**, neither cross-sensitivity to the other metal ions nor to the commonly present anions, ROS and RNS was observed. These results clearly indicate the high selectivity of **P** for Al³⁺ over other species, which can facilitate the practical detection of Al³⁺ in complex biological samples.

The dependence of fluorescence emission of P on the concentration of Al³⁺ was investigated by incubation with Al³⁺ at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 µM. As shown in Fig. 3, the fluorescence at 578 nm enhances gradually as the Al³⁺ concentration increases. The titration curve shows a steady and smooth increase until a plateau is reached. A linear dependence of fluorescence at 578 nm can be determined as a function of Al^{3+} concentration within 0.5–9 μ M (inset of Fig. 3), with the detection limit of P toward Al^{3+} to be 0.16 μM based on 3 \times $\delta_{\text{blank}/k}$ (where δ_{blank} is the standard deviation of the blank solution and k is the slope of the calibration plot), which is enough to satisfy the U.S. EPA and FDA guidelines of 7.41 μ M Al^{3+} for bottled drinking water. The results indicated that **P** could sensitively detect environmentally relevant levels of Al³⁺.

[AI3+]

ntensity

[Al³⁺], μΜ

650

700

Wavelength (nm)

600

View Article Online

Paper

2.3 Recognition mechanism of P to Al³⁺

The Job's plot was carried out to prove the complex ratio of P with Al^{3+} (Fig. 4), total concentration of **P** and Al^{3+} was kept at a fixed 10 µM. The results showed that the maximum fluorescence emission intensity of P-Al³⁺ complex appeared at 0.5, which indicated that **P** and Al^{3+} formed a 1 : 1 complex. If **P** binds with Al³⁺ to form a complex with a complexing ratio of 1 : 1, one can describe the equilibrium as follows (eqn (1)):

$$\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Al}^{3+} \xrightarrow{k} \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Al}^{3+} \tag{1}$$

The binding constant for the formation of $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Al}^{3+}$ complex was evaluated using the Benesi–Hildebrand (B–H) plot (eqn (2), with details in Cal. 2, ESI[†]).¹⁶

$$\frac{1}{F - F_0} = \frac{1}{k(F_{\text{max}} - F_0)[\text{Al}^{3+}]_0} + \frac{1}{F_{\text{max}} - F_0}$$
(2)

 F_0 is the fluorescence intensity of **P** without Al³⁺, F is the fluorescence intensity of **P** obtained with Al^{3+} , F_{max} is the fluorescence intensity of **P** in the presence of excess amount of AI^{3+} , k is the binding constant (M^{-1}) and was determined from the slope of the linear plot. The Benesi-Hildebrand analysis of the emission data gives a 1:1 stoichiometry for the P-Al³⁺ complexation species, with an association constant (k) being calculated as $6.9 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (Fig. S3, ESI[†]), corresponding to a stronger binding capability toward Al³⁺ in comparison with a pyrazoline derivative based chemosensor for Al^{3+} (with a k value of $2.13 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$,¹⁷ or a naphthadehyde derivative based chemosensor for Al^{3+} (with a k value of 2.5 \times 10³ M⁻¹).¹⁸

To better understanding their binding behavior, ESI-MS of P- Al^{3+} characterization was performed. *m/z*: 649.21 corresponding to $[\mathbf{P} + Al^{3+} + Cl^{-} - H^{+}]^{+}$ confirmed the formation of the 1 : 1 complex (Fig. S4, ESI[†]). To further strengthen the explanation, the IR spectra of P and P-Al³⁺ were given in Fig. S5.† The carbonyl stretching frequency of spirolactam ring in free chemosensor **P** and the **P**-Al³⁺ complex are 1732.8 cm⁻¹ and 1700.8 cm^{-1} , respectively. This shifting (32.0 cm^{-1}) indicates the spirolactam ring opening phenomena where carbonyl

80

60



Fig. 4 Job-plot of P with Al^{3+} . Total concentration of P and Al^{3+} was kept as 10 µM.

240

200 160

80

550

Intensity 120



Scheme 2 Proposed binding mode between P and Al³⁺.

oxygen make a bond towards Al^{3+} ion as reported earlier.¹⁹ Meanwhile, ¹H NMR titrations were performed to strengthen the proposed reaction mechanism and plausible binding mode as shown in Fig. S6a (ESI[†]). Peak of –OH proton of **P** at 9.27 ppm disappears on addition of 1.0 equiv. of Al^{3+} , supporting the deprotonation of **P**, and a new peak at 10.99 ppm indicates the spiro-cycle opening and formation of a new –OH group. The above results indicate that a plausible binding mode of **P**-Al³⁺ includes a coordinated 'N', spirocarbonyl 'O' and –OH. Additionally, the spirolactam ring opening mechanism was also established from the disappearance of the tertiary carbon signal appeared at $\delta = 65.18$ ppm and appearance of a new signal at $\delta = 137.09$ ppm upon addition 1.0 equiv. of Al^{3+} (Fig. S6b), ESI.[†] This is attributed to the conversion of sp³ spiro carbon of rhodamine to sp² carbon upon binding with Al³⁺ ion.²⁰

According to the experimental results, the reaction mechanism was proposed as shown in Scheme 2. Namely, without Al^{3+} , **P** existed in a non-fluorescence spirocyclic form. Addition of Al^{3+} led to the formation of five-membered ring by coordination with the ligand groups (oxygen and nitrogen atoms on the phenolic hydroxyl group and rhodamine unit, respectively), resulting in spiro-cycle opening along with an appearance of pink fluorescence. It is believed that this process is reversible, which has been proved by the test using EDTA-Al³⁺ (Fig. S7, ESI†). Thus, a reversible "off–on" based fluorescent chemosensor for Al³⁺ was constructed.

2.4 Application study of P

The good stability of the fluorescence switched on by Al³⁺ in the pH range of 4.0-7.0 provides the chemosensor with good suitability for the application in bioimaging of living cells. Confocal microscopy experiments were carried out to demonstrate the practical applicability of P, and the fluorescence images of HepG2 cells were recorded before and after the addition of Al³⁺ (Fig. 5). When the cells incubated with P for 30 min at 37 °C, no background fluorescence was observed from HepG2 cells themselves, suggesting that autofluorescence from the cells can be avoided and no fluorescence signal was detected in cells only treated with P (Fig. 5a). Under this condition, for the P-loaded HepG2 cells, a strong fluorescence was detected after incubation with Al³⁺ (Fig. 5c), demonstrating the membrane penetrability of **P** and the complexation with Al³⁺ inside the cells, clearly demonstrating that Al³⁺ and its interaction with **P** are essential for the fluorescence turn-on. The bright field images of Fig. 5a and c were shown as Fig. 5b and d, respectively, whose shapes of cells indicated that P has low toxicity, revealing good biocompatibility of P for bioanalysis.



Fig. 5 Confocal fluorescence and brightfield images of HepG2 cells. (a) Cells stained with 20 μ M P for 30 min at 37 °C; (b) bright field image of cells shown in panel (a); (c) cells supplemented with 1 μ M Al³⁺ in the growth media for 1 h at 37 °C, and then incubated with 20 μ M P for 30 min at 37 °C; (d) brightfield image of cells shown in panel (c). ($\lambda_{ex} = 559$ nm).

As a control, the cells not treated with Al^{3+} were also imaged, which showed faint fluorescence (Fig. 6a), but with the increase of the concentration of Al^{3+} (0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 μ M) in living cells, the intensity of fluorescence was enhanced regularly (Fig. 6b–e). The reversibility of **P** with Al^{3+} in living cells was also conducted using *N*, *N*, *N'*, *N'*-Tetrakis (2-pyridylmethyl)ethylenediamine (TPEN) as chelating agent, and results show that the coordination of **P** with Al^{3+} was reversible (Fig. 6f). The cell body regions in the visual field (Fig. 6b–e) were selected as the regions of interest (ROI), and the average fluorescence intensity was



Fig. 6 Confocal fluorescence images of HepG2 cells. (a–e) Cells supplemented with 0, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 μ M Al³⁺ in the growth media for 1 h at 37 °C, and then incubated with 20 μ M P for 30 min at 37 °C, respectively; (f) cells supplemented with 2.0 μ M Al³⁺ in the growth media for 1 h at 37 °C, and then incubated with 20 μ M P for 30 min at 37 °C, and then treated with TPEN; (g) fluorescence enhancement of P with the increasing of Al³⁺ concentration (a–e: 0, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 μ M Al³⁺).

determined *via* confocal laser scanning microscopy with various Al^{3+} concentrations (Fig. 6g). The results suggested that **P** had good membrane permeability, and these data also established that **P** could respond to intracellular Al^{3+} level changes within living cells.

We also applied **P** to the subcellular locations of Al³⁺ in the HepG2 cells using confocal fluorescence microscopy. The cells, with the same condition used in Fig. 6d, were co-stained with **P** (10 μ M) and Hoechst 33 342 (1 μ g mL⁻¹) for 30 min. Fig. S8 (ESI†) further reveals that **P** primarily locates in the cytoplasm of these living HepG2 cells.

2.5 Methods comparison

Many fluorescent chemosensors have been reported for the determination of Al^{3+} . The performance comparison of **P** and others reported chemosensors are listed in Table S1 (ESI⁺). It is can be seen from Table S1[†] that most fluorescent chemosensors present good selectivity and reversibility for Al³⁺,^{17,18,21-24,26} high fluorescence quantum yield,21-23,26 even down to 0.001 µM LOD,18 and satisfactory qualitative analysis of cell imaging,17,22,26 high binding constants at the level of 10^4 M^{-1} , ^{21–23,26} but some of them have more or less disadvantages, such as big background fluorescence,^{21,23,25} and unsuitable for cell imaging,^{18,21,23-25} irreversibility,25 pure organic solvent.18,21,25 Our newly developed chemosensor P based on rhodamine spirolactame derivative presents a number of attractive analytical features such as excellent detection limit, high association constant, good selectivity, high fluorescence quantum yield, good reproducibility, and wide qualitative and quantitative analysis of ultratrace level Al³⁺ in living cells.

3. Experimental

All reagents and solvents are of analytical grade and used without further purification. The metal ions salts employed were NaCl, KCl, $CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, $PbCl_2$, $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, $CrCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, $CdCl_2$, $Hg(NO_3)_2$, $AgNO_3$ and $AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, respectively.

Fluorescence emission spectra were conducted on a Hitachi 4600 spectrofluorometer. UV-Vis spectra were obtained on a Hitachi U-2910 spectrophotometer. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were measured with a Brucker AV 400 instrument and chemical shift were given in ppm from tetramethylsilane (TMS). Mass (MS) spectra were recorded on a Thermo TSQ Quantum Access Agilent 1100.

3.1 Synthesis of P

2-Aminophenol (0.120 g, 1.1 mmol) and **RhB2** (ref. 27) (0.496 g, 1.0 mmol) were mixed in ethanol (40 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 4 h and then cooled to room temperature, the solution was removed under reduced pressure. The precipitate so obtained was filtered and purified with silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/acetic ether = 5 : 1, v : v) to afford **P** as yellow solid. Yields: 83.4%. MS (ES+) *m/z*: 588.30 [M + H]⁺. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν = 3404, 2968, 1732, 1700,

1634, 1615, 1515, 1466, 1308, 1263, 1219, 1118, 1078, 820, 753; ¹H NMR ([*d*₆]DMSO): δ = 9.40 (s, 1H), 9.26 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.48), 8.17 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.24), 8.00 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.56), 7.94 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.48), 7.67 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.48), 7.61 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.00), 7.45 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.48), 7.08 (t, 1H, *J* = 8.98), 7.02 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.40), 6.84 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.08), 6.73 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.62), 6.46 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.16), 6.44 (s,1H), 6.40 (t, 1H, *J* = 10.52), 6.37 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.40), 6.34 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.04), 3.32 (m, 8H, *J* = 8.55), 1.08 (t, 12H, *J* = 6.90); ¹³C NMR ([*d*₆]DMSO): δ = 164.53, 157.96, 152.34, 152.13, 151.17, 148.64, 136.75, 128.40, 127.34, 123.69, 123.38, 120.74, 119.42, 116.39, 108.22, 104.39, 97.52, 65.18, 43.63, 12.40. (Fig. S9–11†) anal. calcd (%) for C₃₆H₃₇N₅O₃ (MW 539.68): C 73.57; H 6.35; N 11.92. Found C 72.89; H 6.38; N 11.95.

3.2 General spectroscopic methods

Metal ions and **P** were dissolved in deionized water and DMSO to obtain 1.0 mM stock solutions, respectively. Before spectroscopic measurements, the solution was freshly prepared by diluting the high concentration stock solution to the corresponding solution. For all measurements, excitation and emission slit widths were 5/10 nm, excitation wavelength was 540 nm.

3.3 Cell culture

HepG2 cells were purchased from the Committee on Type Culture Collection of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). HepG2 cells were incubated in DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS).

4. Conclusions

A novel Al^{3+} -selective rhodamine B fluorescent chemosensor was synthesized and characterized. Al^{3+} could induce spirolactam ring opening of the rhodamine unit and achieved "off– on" effect. In addition, the proposed **P** has been successfully used to detect Al^{3+} in living cells quantitatively and qualitatively.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81560347, 81660356, 81760387), Key Research and Development Projects of Hainan Province (No. ZDYF2017103).

Notes and references

 (a) Z. C. Liu, Z. Y. Yang, Y. X. Li, T. R. Li, B. D. Wang, Y. Li and X. L. Jin, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2013, **395**, 77; (b) J. Lee, H. Kim, S. Kim, J. Y. Noh, E. J. Song, C. Kim and J. Kim, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2013, **96**, 590; (c) J. C. Qin, L. Fan, T. R. Li and Z. Y. Yang, *Synth. Met.*, 2015, **199**, 179.

- 2 (a) J. Barceló and C. Poschenrieder, *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 2002,
 48, 75; (b) Z. Krejpcio and R. W. Wójciak, *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.*, 2002, 11, 251.
- 3 (*a*) S. W. King, J. Savory and M. R. Willis, *Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci.*, 1981, **13**, 1; (*b*) I. S. Parkinson, M. K. Ward and D. N. Kerr, *J. Clin. Pathol.*, 1981, **34**, 1285; (*c*) A. M. Pierdes, *Int. J. Artif. Organs*, 1978, **1**, 206.
- 4 (a) S. Z. Pu, Q. Sun, C. B. Fan, R. J. Wang and G. Liu, J. Mater. Chem., 2016, 4, 3075; (b) Y. L. Fu, Y. Y. Tu, C. B. Fan, C. H. Zheng, G. Liu and S. Z. Pu, New J. Chem., 2016, 40, 8579; (c) S. Z. Pu, C. C. Zhang, C. B. Fan and G. Liu, Dyes Pigm., 2016, 129, 24; (d) Y. L. Fu, C. B. Fan, G. Liu, S. Q. Cui and S. Z. Pu, Dyes Pigm., 2016, 126, 121; (e) H. C. Ding, B. Q. Li, S. Z. Pu, G. Liu, D. C. Jia and Z. Yu, Sens. Actuators, B, 2017, 247, 26; (f) Y. L. Fu, C. B. Fan, G. Liu and S. Z. Pu, Sens. Actuators, B, 2017, 239, 295.
- 5 (a) X. Sun, Y. W. Wang and Y. Peng, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 3420;
 (b) K. K. Upadhyay and A. Kumar, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 4892.
- 6 (a) O. Alici and S. Erdemir, Sens. Actuators, B, 2015, 208, 159;
 (b) J. Kumar, M. J. Sarma, P. Phukan and D. K. Das, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 4576;
 (c) C. A. Huerta-Aguilar, P. Raj, P. Thangarasu and N. Singh, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 37944;
 (d) S. Mukherjee, P. Mal and H. Stoeckli-Evans, J. Lumin., 2016, 172, 124–130.
- 7 (a) Y. Li, C. Liao, S. Huang, H. Xu, B. Zheng, J. Du and D. Xiao, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 25420; (b) S. M. Hossain, K. Singh, A. Lakma, R. N. Pradhan and A. K. Singh, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, 239, 1109; (c) B. K. Datta, D. Thiyagarajan, A. Ramesh and G. Das, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 13093; (d) L. Kang, Z. Y. Xing, X. Y. Ma, Y. T. Liu and Y. Zhang, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2016, 167, 59.
- 8 (a) I. H. Hwang, Y. W. Choi, K. B. Kim, G. J. Park, J. J. Lee, L. Nguyen, I. Noh and C. Kim, New J. Chem., 2016, 40, 171;
 (b) J. Ma, W. Shi, L. Feng, Y. Chen, K. Fan, Y. Hao, Y. Hui and Z. Xie, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 28034;
 (c) C. Liang, W. Bu, C. Li, G. Men, M. Deng, Y. J. Yao, H. Sun and S. Jiang, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 11352;
 (d) R. Alam, T. Mistri, R. Bhowmick, A. Katarkar, K. Chaudhuri and M. Ali, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 1268;
 (e) V. K. Gupta, S. K. Shoora, L. K. Kumawat and A. K. Jain, Sens. Actuators, B, 2015, 209, 15.
- 9 (a) J. C. Qin, J. Yan, B. D. Wang and Z. Y. Yang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, 57, 1935; (b) S. Paul, S. Goswami and A. Manna, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 11805.
- 10 (a) Y. M. Xue, R. J. Wang, C. H. Zheng, G. Liu and S. Z. Pu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, 57, 1877; (b) S. Goswami, K. Aich, S. Das, A. K. Das, D. Sarkar, S. Panja, T. K. Mondal and S. Mukhopadhyay, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 10739.
- 11 (a) E. T. Feng, C. B. Fan, N. S. Wang, G. Liu and S. Z. Pu, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2018, **151**, 22; (b) E. Oliveira, H. M. Santos, J. L. Capelo and C. Lodeiro, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **381**, 203; (c) R. Azadbakht and J. Khanabadi, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **30**, 21.
- 12 (*a*) P. Torawane, K. Tayade, S. Bothra, S. K. Sahoo, N. Singh and A. Borse, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **222**, 562; (*b*) C. R. Li, J. C. Qin, G. Q. Wang, B. D. Wang, A. K. Fu and Z. Y. Yang,

Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2015, **430**, 91; (c) L. K. Kumawat, N. Mergu, M. Asif and V. K. Gupta, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **231**, 847; (d) C. R. Li, Z. C. Liao, J. C. Qin, B. D. Wang and Z. Y. Yang, *J. Lumin.*, 2015, **168**, 330.

- 13 (a) K. Tiensomjitr, R. Noorat, K. Wechakorn, S. Prabpai, Kanjanasirirat, K. Suksen, Ρ. Y. Pewkliang, S. Borwornpinyo and P. Kongsaeree, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2017, 185, 228; (b) M. J. Liu, J. Yuan, J. Tao, Y. Q. Zhang, C. M. Liu and H. Z. Kou, Inorg. Chem., 2018, 57, 4061; (c) Z. Q. Mao, H. Jiang, X. J. Song, W. Hu and Z. H. Liu, Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 9620; (d) R. J. Iwatate, M. Kamiya, K. Umezawa, H. Kashima, M. Nakadate, R. Kojima and Y. Urano, Bioconjugate Chem., 2018, 29, 241; (e) A. Rai, A. K. Singh, K. Tripathi, A. K. Sonkar and B. S. Chauhan, Sens. Actuators, B, 2018, 266, 95; (f) J. Tang, S. G. Ma, D. Zhang, Y. Q. Liu, Y. F. Zhao and Y. Ye, Sens. Actuators, B, 2016, 236, 109; (g) Z. Q. Mao, H. Jiang, X. J. Song, W. Hu and Z. H. Liu, Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 9620; (h) S. Li, D. Zhang, X. Y. Xie, S. G. Ma, Y. Liu, Z. H. Xu, Y. F. Gao and Y. Ye, Sens. Actuators, B, 2016, 224, 661; (i) F. Yan, R. Zhang, Y. Huang, D. Kong and Q. Ye, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 50732; (j) J. Y. Cheng, E. B. Yang, P. G. Ding, J. Tang, D. Zhang, Y. F. Zhao and Y. Ye, Sens. Actuators, B, 2015, 221, 688.
- 14 (a) S. Paul, S. Goswami and A. Manna, Dalton Trans., 2015,
 44, 11805; (b) Y. Kim, G. Jang and T. S. Lee, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 15649; (c) M. Yu, R. Yuan, C. Shi,
 W. Zhou, L. Wei and Z. Li, Dyes Pigm., 2013, 99, 887; (d)
 A. Rai, N. Kumari, A. K. Srivastava, S. K. Singh,
 S. Srikrishna and L. Mishra, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A,
 2016, 319, 78; (e) H. S. Kim, S. Angupillai and Y. A. Son,
 Sens. Actuators, B, 2016, 222, 447; (f) S. Chemate and
 N. Sekar, Sens. Actuators, B, 2015, 220, 1196; (g) P. G. Ding,
 J. H. Wang, J. Y. Cheng, Y. F. Zhao and Y. Ye, New J.
 Chem., 2015, 39, 342; (h) S. Dey, S. Sarkar, D. Maity and
 P. Roy, Sens. Actuators, B, 2017, 246, 518.
- 15 (a) D. Maity and T. Govindaraju, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 7229;
 (b) D. Maity and T. Govindaraju, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 4499.
- 16 (a) M. I. Rodriguez-Caceres, R. A. Agbaria and I. M. Warner, J. Fluoresc., 2005, 15, 185; (b) H. A. Benesi and J. H. Hildebrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949, 71, 2703; (c) F. Han, Y. Bao, Z. Yang, T. M. Fyles, J. Zhao, X. Peng, J. Fan, Y. Wu and S. Sun, Chem.-Eur. J., 2007, 13, 2880.
- 17 R. Manjunath and K. Palaninathan, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 10891.
- 18 C. Y. Sun, X. Miao, L. J. Zhang, W. J. Li and Z. D. Chang, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2018, **478**, 112.
- 19 P. Mahato, S. Saha, E. Suresh, R. Di Liddo, P. P. Parnigotto, M. T. Conconi, M. K. Kesharwani, B. Ganguly and A. Das, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 1769.
- 20 B. Sen, S. Pal, S. Lohar, M. Mukherjee, S. K. Mandal,
 A. R. Khuda-Bukhshb and P. Chattopadhyay, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 21471.
- 21 D. Maity and T. Govindaraju, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 4499.

- 22 A. Rai, A. K. Singh, K. Tripathi, A. K. Sonkar, B. S. Chauhan, S. Srikrishna, T. D. James and L. Mishra, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **266**, 95.
- 23 K. Shen, S. Mao, X. Shi, F. Wang, Y. L. Xu, S. O. Aderinto and H. L. Wu, *Luminescence*, 2017, **33**, 54.
- 24 S. Mabhai, M. Dolai, S. Dey, A. Dhara, B. Das and A. Jana, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 10191.
- 25 G. Kumar, K. Paul and V. Luxami, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, 263, 585.
- 26 A. Rai, N. Kumari, A. K. Srivastava, S. K. Singh, S. Srikrishna and L. Mishra, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, 2016, **319**, 78.
- 27 X. F. Yang, X. Q. Guo and Y. B. Zhao, Talanta, 2002, 57, 883.