

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 30430

An efficient and environmentally sustainable domino protocol for the synthesis of structurally diverse spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines using erbium doped TiO₂ nanoparticles as a recyclable and reusable heterogeneous acid catalyst†

Kanchan Verma,^a Yogesh Kumar Tailor,^a Sarita Khandelwal,^a Monu Agarwal,^a Esha Rushell,^a Yogita Kumari,^b Kamendra Awasthi^b and Mahendra Kumar *^a

An efficient and environmentally sustainable domino protocol has been presented for the synthesis of structurally diverse spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines involving a four component reaction of 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione, benzene-1,2-diamine, cyclic ketones and amino derivatives in the presence of erbium doped TiO₂ nanoparticles as a recyclable and reusable heterogeneous acid catalyst. The present synthetic protocol features mild reaction conditions with operational simplicity, excellent yield with high purity, short reaction time and high atom economy with the use of a recoverable and reusable environmentally sustainable heterogeneous catalyst.

Received 8th June 2018
Accepted 22nd August 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra04919j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The synthesis of drug-like structurally diverse complex molecules with bio-relevance by energy efficient and environmentally sustainable synthetic strategies have attracted increasing interest in the field of organic syntheses and drug discovery research. Multicomponent reactions (MCRs) catalyzed by heterogeneous catalysts offer a green and sustainable strategy with high atom economy and low environmental factor (E-factor) due to the involvement of fewer reaction steps, simple workup, easy recovery, and reusability of the catalyst without isolation of intermediates.^{1–5} Moreover, multicomponent reactions provide easy and rapid access to large libraries of drug-like scaffolds incorporating medicinally privileged heterocyclic substructures.^{6,7} The nanostructured materials have attracted the attention of chemical research in view of their uses as heterogeneous catalysts in organic transformations, especially because they following the requirements of green chemistry.^{8,9} In recent years, significant advances have been made in the rational design and synthesis of highly active and selective nanostructured catalysts by controlling the structure and composition of the active nanoparticles (NPs) and by manipulating the interaction between the catalytically active NP species

and their support.^{10–12} The catalytic efficiency, selectivity, and recyclability of nanostructured catalysts depend on the size, shape, composition, and assembly of the NPs, as well as their interaction with the support. The heterogeneous catalytic processes based on mixed metal oxide catalysts received considerable attention and was utilized successfully in organic transformations including heterocyclic synthesis and green synthetic protocols.^{13–15} The design and development of an efficient, green, sustainable and economical synthetic protocol is one of the major challenges in chemical research. The catalysis with nanostructured catalyst is considered as a significant option for multicomponent reactions in view of efficient and selective catalytic reactions with the waste reduction, atomic efficiency and catalyst recovery.¹⁶ Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) catalysis is considered very close to an ideal catalysis because of its sustainability and environmental concerns.^{11,15,17–20} In recent years, TiO₂ NPs have emerged as efficient and inexpensive heterogeneous catalyst to promote organic transformations.²¹

Phenazines are versatile building blocks and encountered in bioactive natural products (Fig. 1(i–vi)) and synthesized pharmaceuticals.^{22,23} Phenazines have been reported to exhibit a wide spectrum of biological activities including anticancer,²⁴ (Fig. 1(iv)) antimalarial,²⁵ (Fig. 1(v)) antiplasmodial,²⁶ antibacterial,²⁷ antifungal,²⁸ cancer chemopreventive,²⁹ antichagas agent³⁰ and antiparasitic activities (Fig. 1),³¹ in addition to their uses in the preparation of industrial dyes, and fluorescent or electro-active markers in biological systems.³² Benzophenazines have shown dual inhibition of topoisomerase I and II, two key enzymes influencing DNA topology at different points in the cell

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India. E-mail: mahendrakpathak@gmail.com

^bSoft Materials Lab, Department of Physics, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, India

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra04919j






Fig. 1 Biologically active phenazines.

cycle.^{24,33–36} The fluorescent phenazine derivatives have been considered as photosensitizers in photodynamic therapy (PDT)^{37–39} in which the combination of light and photosensitizer creates highly reactive oxygen species near the tumour to selectively destroy the targeted tissue.

Pyrimidine-fused heterocycles with wide range of biological activities are extensively being used in the design of new drugs.⁴⁰ Pyrimido-pyrimidine derivatives have attracted

considerable interest due to their tyrosine kinase inhibitory activity,⁴¹ antitumor activity,⁴² antiviral effect,⁴³ and antioxidant properties.⁴⁴ Pyridopyrimidines are also privileged scaffolds and reported to exhibit wide spectrum of activities including anti-tumor, antiallergic, antifolate, antimicrobial, calcium channel antagonist, antibacterial, antiinflammatory, analgesic, antihypertensive, antileishmanial, anticonvulsant, and diuretic.^{45–47} Pyridopyrimidine constitutes the core structure of some




Fig. 2 Biologically active quinoxalines.






Fig. 3 XRD pattern of Er doped TiO₂ (2%) NPs.




Fig. 4 FTIR spectra of Er doped TiO₂ (2%) NPs.

marketed drugs, including the antiasthmatic agent pemirolast,⁴⁸ the tranquilizer pirenperone⁴⁹ and the antiallergic agent barmastine.⁵⁰

Quinoxaline derivatives have also been reported to exhibit a broad spectrum of biological activities, such as antibacterial,^{51,52} antifungal,⁵³ antiviral,⁵⁴ anticancer,⁵⁵ anti-tubercular,⁵³ antimalarial⁵⁶ and anti-inflammatory⁵⁴ (Fig. 2). Quinoxaline derivatives have also been reported to inhibit selectively the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptor kinase, PDGF-dependent DNA synthesis in cell lines and inhibit the human cancer cell lines.⁵⁷

In view of pharmaceutical importance of phenazines, pyrimidines and quinoxalines as medicinally privileged heterocyclic scaffolds and our continuing research interest in the synthesis of drug-like molecules with structural diversity and molecular complexity incorporating privileged structures,^{58–61} we are concerned with the development of an efficient and sustainable domino protocol for the synthesis of therapeutically interesting hybrid molecules, spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines, incorporating three-four medicinally privileged heterocyclic systems in a single molecule. The conventional synthetic strategies of phenazines usually involve multistep reaction sequences, which suffer from disadvantages including several synthetic steps, harsh reaction conditions, use of toxic organic solvents, high reaction time, low yields, and tedious isolation and purification of the resulting products.³¹ Multicomponent reactions (MCRs) with pot-economy are considered superior to the conventional multi-steps synthetic strategy, and offer a convenient approach for the rapid synthesis of complex molecules. Benzo[*a*]phenazines were synthesized by using *p*-TSA (10 mol%)/PEG-400 reaction medium *via* one-pot four-component protocol.³⁸ Microwave-assisted MCRs have also been used for the synthesis of benzopyranophenazines.^{62–64} Benzo[*a*]pyrano[2,3-*c*]phenazines were also synthesized with the use of AcOH,^{65,66} caffeine,⁶² theophylline,⁶⁷ DABCO,^{63,68} pyridine,⁶⁹ PTSA,⁷⁰ ionic-liquid,⁷¹ oxalic acid,⁷² bifunctional thiourea-based organocatalyst⁷³ and nano-copper(II) oxide

catalyzed MCRs. But it has been observed that the synthetic strategy to synthesize spirocyclic hybrid molecules with privileged heterocyclic substructures has not been reported in the literature. The present synthetic protocol is probably the first report for the synthesis of spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines, drug-like complex molecules, using nanostructured erbium (Er) doped TiO₂ as heterogeneous solid acid catalyst.

Results and discussion

In the present work, we have presented highly efficient and diversity oriented sustainable synthetic protocol to synthesize spirocyclic hybrid molecules, pyrimidophenazines with privileged heterocyclic substructures, involving four component reaction of 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione, benzene-1,2-diamine, cyclic ketone and amino derivatives in the presence of erbium doped TiO₂ NPs as recyclable and reusable heterogeneous acid catalyst.

Preparation and characterization of catalyst

Er doped TiO₂ NPs were synthesized by sol-gel method and characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (X'pert pro-Panalytical, Cu-K α , 1.54 Å), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) (PerkinElmer 4000–400 cm⁻¹), Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Technai T20 FEI), and Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX).

Fig. 3 shows XRD pattern of Er doped TiO₂ (2%) NPs and confirms the crystalline behaviour of synthesized NPs. The peaks at the angle of $2\theta = 25.25^\circ$, 37.80° , 47.79° , 54.12° , and 62.45° are corresponding to reflections from the planes (101), (004), (200), (105), and (213) respectively. All the planes correspond to the anatase phase of TiO₂ and matched with the JCPDS card no. 00-021-1272. Absence of any peak other than TiO₂ indicates the absence of any compound with Er and it is doped in TiO₂ substitutionally or interstitially. The mismatching of the radii of the Er³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ prevents the Er³⁺ ions to enter into the TiO₂ lattice. So, the substitution of Ti⁴⁺ with Er³⁺ and the







Fig. 5 TEM image, SAED pattern (inset) (a), HRTEM image of lattice planes, IFFT and profile of IFFT (b), and EDX (c) of Er doped TiO₂ (2%) NPs.

formation of Ti–O–Er bonds are expected which was further investigated by FTIR. The broadening and FWHM (full width at half maximum) of the peaks suggests the size of NPs in the range of nanoscale and the particles size was calculated by Debye–Scherrer formula.

$$d = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$

where, d represents the size of the NPs. λ , β , and θ are the wavelength of X-rays incident on the sample, FWHM and angle



Scheme 1 Model reaction.



Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions^{a,b}

Entry	Catalyst (mol%)	Solvent ^c	Time	Yield ^d (%)
1	Catalyst free	None	12 h	NR
2	Catalyst free	CH ₂ Cl ₂	8 h	NR
3	Catalyst free	CHCl ₃	8 h	NR
4	Catalyst free	1,4-Dioxane	6 h	10%
5	Catalyst free	Methanol	6 h	28%
6	Catalyst free	Ethanol	6 h	35%
7	Catalyst free	Water	6 h	23%
8	InCl ₃ (10 mol%)	Ethanol	3 h	53%
9	FeCl ₃ (10 mol%)	Ethanol	3 h	55%
10	ZnO NPs (10 mol%)	Ethanol	1 h	60%
11	ZrO NPs (10 mol%)	Ethanol	1 h	72%
12	TiO ₂ NPs (10 mol%)	Ethanol	1 h	78%
13	0.5% Er doped TiO ₂ NPs (25 mg)	Ethanol	20 min	82%
14	1% Er doped TiO ₂ NPs (25 mg)	Ethanol	20 min	86%
15	1.5% Er doped TiO ₂ NPs (25 mg)	Ethanol	20 min	91%
16	2% Er doped TiO₂ NPs (25 mg)	Ethanol	20 min	95%
17	5% Er doped TiO ₂ NPs (25 mg)	Ethanol	20 min	91%
18	7% Er doped TiO ₂ NPs (25 mg)	Ethanol	20 min	87%
19	10% Er doped TiO ₂ NPs (25 mg)	Ethanol	20 min	87%
20	2% Er doped TiO ₂ NPs (15 mg)	Ethanol	20 min	92%
21	2% Er doped TiO ₂ NPs (30 mg)	Ethanol	20 min	95%

^a Bold row indicates the optimization condition for the reaction. ^b 2-Hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione (1 mmol), benzene-1,2-diamine (1 mmol), *N*-methyl-4-piperidone (1 mmol) and 2-aminopyridine (1 mmol) were stirred with refluxing till completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC. ^c Solvents (2.0 ml). ^d Isolated yield after purification.

of diffraction respectively. The average particle size was calculated as ~ 7.5 nm.

FTIR spectrum of Er doped TiO₂ NPs is shown in Fig. 4. The bands observed from 400 to 1000 cm⁻¹ correspond to the lattice vibrations of Ti–O, and Ti–O–Ti in TiO₂ lattice. The vibrations corresponding to Ti–O–Er bonds also occur in the same region. The presence of Er was further confirmed by EDX. The peak at 1071 cm⁻¹ may be assigned to the bond S–O which is expected to occur from thioglycerol used as capping agent. The bands observed from 1200–1800 cm⁻¹ and a broad band at 3235 cm⁻¹ may be ascribed to the bending vibrations of water molecules adsorbed on the surface of NPs and the stretching vibrations of hydroxyl ions (O–H).

Morphological behaviour of NPs was examined by TEM and shown in Fig. 5. The shape of the NPs from Fig. 5(a) seems to be spherical however the NPs are found to be agglomerated. The size of the NPs from TEM image is consistent with the XRD results. Inset of Fig. 5(a) shows the SAED pattern of the NPs consisting of a number of rings. These rings indicate the polycrystalline behaviour of the NPs. From the analysis of HRTEM image (Fig. 5(b)) of NPs, *d* spacing of (101) plane was found to be 3.57 Å. Inset of Fig. 5(b) shows the inverse FFT and the profile of inverse FFT to calculate the *d* spacing. The presence of Er in Er doped TiO₂ NPs was confirmed by EDX spectra shown in Fig. 5(c).

Optimization of reaction conditions

Initially, four-component reaction of 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione (**1**), benzene-1,2-diamine (**2**), *N*-methyl-4-piperidone (**3a**) and 2-aminopyridine (**4a**) was selected as a simple model reaction to establish the feasibility of the present synthetic strategy and to optimize the reaction conditions (Scheme 1).

The multicomponent reaction was carried out under catalyst and solvent free conditions, but the reaction was not successful and did not provide the desired product (no result) (Table 1, entry 1). We have used different solvents in the reaction, but ethanol was found to be the solvent of choice (Table 1, entries 2–7). The model reaction was also performed in the presence of InCl₃ and FeCl₃ in ethanol as solvent, but the desired product was obtained in moderate yield (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). The reaction was then screened with ZnO, ZrO and TiO₂ nanocatalysts using ethanol as solvent and observed that the reaction catalyzed by TiO₂ NPs provided comparatively better result as compared with those obtained with the use of ZnO and ZrO nanocatalysts (Table 1, entry 10–12). But when the reaction was carried out with the use of Er doped TiO₂ NPs in the presence of ethanol, the encouraging results were obtained (Table 1, entry 13–21). Moreover, the effect on catalyst activity with the range of Er doping with TiO₂ NPs was also investigated. To find out the ideal doping of erbium on TiO₂ NPs with reference to catalyst activity, the reactions with 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, 2%, 5%, 7%, and 10% erbium doped TiO₂ were performed under similar conditions and observed that 0.5%, 1% and 1.5% Er doped TiO₂ nanocatalysts provided 82%, 86% and 91% yields respectively in 20 minutes (Table 1, entry 13–15). As evident from the reaction results, 2% erbium doping provided the best result with 95% yield in 20 minutes (Table 1, entry 16), while erbium doping (5%, 7% and 10%) provided slightly decreased yield (91–87%) (Table 1, entry 17–19). The effect of catalyst loading on efficiency on catalyst was also examined and observed that 25 mg loading of erbium doped TiO₂ NPs was optimal and provided excellent yield of the product (Table 1, entry 20 and 21). The higher loading of catalyst did not noticeably improve the yield. Thus, with the optimized reaction conditions, we explored the



Table 2 Synthesis of spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines

Product	Time (min.)	Yield(%)
5aa	20	95
5ab	24	92
5ac	25	92
5ad	30	94
5ae	22	91

generality and scope of the present synthetic strategy with different building blocks and synthesized the library of spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines. To our delight, the reactions proceed smoothly and structurally diverse spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines were obtained in excellent yields. The results are summarized in Tables 2–4.

Additionally, the catalytic recyclability and reusability was also investigated on the model reaction under optimized

reaction conditions. After each cycle, the reaction was followed by extraction of products and catalyst. The collected catalyst was washed with methanol for several times to remove organic substances and reused for the next run. The performance of the recycled catalyst was tested up to five successive runs and observed that the recovered catalyst could be used for five times without an appreciable loss of its activity (Fig. 6).



Table 3 Synthesis of spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines

Product	Time (min.)	Yield(%)
5ba	24	92
5bb	19	90
5bc	22	89
5bd	32	96
5be	20	93

The reaction scheme shows the synthesis of spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines. Reactants (1) 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione, (2) benzene-1,2-diamine, and (3) cyclic ketones react with amino derivatives (4a-e) to form products (5a-e). The amino derivatives are: (4a) 2-aminopyridine, (4b) 2,6-pyridinediamine, (4c) 2-aminopyrimidine, (4d) 2-amino-6-methylpyridine, and (4e) 2,6-diaminopyrimidine.

Mechanism

The mechanism of the reaction probably proceed with the following steps involving the Michael addition, cyclization and dehydration as presented in Scheme 2 (5aa). The doping of erbium with TiO₂ NPs increased the efficiency of the resulting catalyst and thus facilitated the reaction in better way as compared with TiO₂ NPs (Scheme 2).

Experimental

General procedure

The melting points of all the synthesized compounds were determined on electric melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. 2-Hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione, benzene-1,2-diamine, cyclic ketones and amino derivatives used in the



Table 4 Synthesis of spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines

		Product	Time (min.)	Yield(%)
<p>(1) + (2) + (3c)</p>	(4a)	5ca	25	95
	(4b)	5cb	22	96
	(4c)	5cc	28	91
	(4d)	5cd	20	93
	(4e)	5ce	25	95

synthesis of complex spiroheterocycles were purchased from the commercial sources and were used as such. The purity of all the synthesized compounds was checked by TLC. ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR were recorded on JEOL 400 MHz and 100 MHz NMR spectrometer, respectively. Analytical and spectral data of the synthesized heterocycles are also included.

Typical procedure for synthesis of Er doped TiO_2 NPs

Er doped TiO_2 NPs were synthesized by sol-gel route using titanium tetra isopropoxide (TTIP) as a precursor. Firstly, water, ethanol, and acetic acid was mixed in a ratio of 2 : 20 : 3 respectively and stirred for 15 min. TTIP (5 ml) was dissolved in the prepared solution and the stirring was continued for half an







Fig. 6 Recyclability and reusability of erbium doped TiO₂ NPs.

hour. A separate solution of ErCl₃ in water and ethanol (1 : 10) was prepared and added to the TTIP solution. The resultant solution was stirred for half an hour. 1-Thioglycerol (0.25 ml) was added drop-wise and the stirring was further continued for next one hour. Resulted milky white sol was placed in a water bath at 80 °C for two and half hour and an orange gel was formed. Subsequently, the gel was washed with deionised water several times to eradicate the alcohol and dried in oven at 100 °C. After cooling to room temperature the dried gel was grinded and the powder was post-annealed in air at the temperature 500 °C for one hour. The amount of ErCl₃ was varied to synthesize Er doped TiO₂ with different concentration.



Scheme 2 Proposed mechanism.



Typical procedure for synthesis of spiroheterocycles

A mixture of 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione (1 mmol), benzene-1,2-diamine (1 mmol), cyclic carbonyl compounds (1 mmol), amino derivatives (1 mmol) and Er doped TiO₂ NPs (25 mg) in 2.0 ml ethanol was refluxed for 20 min. After the completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the catalyst was recovered by filtration, washed with ethanol, dried at room temperature and reused directly for a fresh reaction mixture up to five reaction cycle. The solid compound was purified by recrystallization from absolute ethanol without using any column chromatography.

The analytical and spectral data of the synthesized compounds have been presented and included in the ESI section.†

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have presented highly efficient and diversity oriented sustainable domino protocol to synthesize spiroannulated pyrimidophenazines, hybrid molecules with privileged heterocyclic substructures, involving four component reaction of 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione, benzene-1,2-diamine, cyclic ketones and amino derivatives in the presence of erbium doped TiO₂ NPs as recyclable and reusable heterogeneous acid catalyst. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report where erbium doped TiO₂ has been prepared and used as a heterogeneous solid acid catalyst first time for the synthesis of drug-like complex molecules incorporating medicinally privileged heterocyclic structures. The doping of TiO₂ with erbium influences the efficiency of TiO₂ as the catalyst and facilitates the reaction to provide comparatively better yields of the products. The present synthetic protocol offers several advantages including operational simplicity with easy work up, excellent yield with high purity, short reaction times and use of recoverable and reusable environmentally sustainable heterogeneous catalyst. The present synthetic protocol will provide an attractive synthetic methodology for the synthesis of structurally diverse drug-like small molecules for medicinal chemistry and drug discovery research.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge UGC New Delhi for the award of Research Fellowships to Kanchan (SRF) and Esha (JRF). UGC Bhopal is also acknowledged for financial support. Head, Department of Chemistry is acknowledged for providing Lab and instrumental facilities in the department.

References

- S. N. Maddila, S. Maddila, W. E. van Zyl and S. B. Jonnalagadda, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 37360–37366.
- U. Chinna Rajesh, U. Gulati and D. S. Rawat, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2016, 4, 3409–3419.
- B. H. Rotstein, S. Zaretsky, V. Rai and A. K. Yudin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, 114, 8323–8359.
- Z. C. Zhang, B. Xu and X. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 7870–7886.
- S. K. Kundu and A. Bhaumik, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 32730–32739.
- S. Michael Rajesh, B. D. Bala, S. Perumal and J. C. Menendez, *Green Chem.*, 2011, 13, 3248–3254.
- H. Naeimi, Z. Rashid, A. H. Zarnani and R. Ghahremanzadeh, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, 38, 348–357.
- M. B. Gawande, P. S. Branco and R. S. Varma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 3371–3393.
- B. Karami, M. Kiani, S. J. Hosseini and M. Bahrami, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, 39, 8576–8581.
- A. Molla and S. Hussain, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 5491–5502.
- G. B. Dharma Rao, S. Nagakalyan and G. K. Prasad, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 3611–3616.
- P. Sagar Vijay Kumar, L. Suresh, T. Vinodkumar, B. M. Reddy and G. V. P. Chandramouli, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2016, 4, 2376–2386.
- S. N. Maddila, S. Maddila, W. E. van Zyl and S. B. Jonnalagadda, *ChemistryOpen*, 2016, 5, 38–42.
- A. Amoozadeh, S. Rahmani, M. Bitaraf, F. B. Abadi and E. Tabrizian, *New J. Chem.*, 2016, 40, 770–780.
- C.-H. Kuo, A. S. Poyraz, L. Jin, Y. Meng, L. Pahalagedara, S.-Y. Chen, D. A. Kriz, C. Guild, A. Gudz and S. L. Suib, *Green Chem.*, 2014, 16, 785–791.
- Z. Zarei and B. Akhlaghinia, *New J. Chem.*, 2017, 41, 15485–15500.
- I. Pugazhenthii, S. M. Ghouse, F.-R. Nawaz Khan, E. D. Jeong, J. S. Bae, J.-P. Kim, E. H. Chung, Y. S. Kumar and C. Dasaradhan, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 17257–17268.
- A. Khazaei, F. Gholami, V. Khakyzadeh, A. R. Moosavi-Zare and J. Afsar, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 14305–14310.
- E. Ubba, F.-R. Nawaz Khan, E. D. Jeong and E. H. Chung, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 57016–57025.
- S. Rana, M. Brown, A. Dutta, A. Bhaumik and C. Mukhopadhyay, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, 54, 1371–1379.
- Y. K. Tailor, S. Khandelwal, Y. Kumari, K. Awasthi and M. Kumar, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 46415–46422.
- S. Kumar, R. K. Saunthwal, M. Mujahid, T. Aggarwal and A. K. Verma, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, 81, 9912–9923.
- S. Kumar, M. Mujahid and A. K. Verma, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, 15, 4686–4696.
- N. Vicker, L. Burgess, I. S. Chuckowree, R. Dodd, A. J. Folkes, D. J. Hardick, T. C. Hancox, W. Miller, J. Milton, S. Sohal, S. Wang, S. P. Wren, P. A. Charlton, W. Dangerfield, C. Liddle, P. Mistry, A. J. Stewart and W. A. Denny, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2002, 45, 721–739.
- H. Hussain, S. Specht, S. R. Sarite, M. Saefel, A. Hoerauf, B. Schulz and K. Krohn, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, 54, 4913–4917.
- M. E. Makgatho, R. Anderson, J. F. O'Sullivan, T. J. Egan, J. A. Freese, N. Cornelius and C. E. J. V. Rensburg, *Drug Devel. Res.*, 2000, 50, 195–202.



- 27 N. V. Borrero, F. Bai, C. Perez, B. Q. Duong, J. R. Rocca, S. Jin and R. W. Huigens III, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 881–886.
- 28 J. R. Kerr, G. W. Taylor, A. Rutman, N. Høiby, P. J. Cole and R. Wilson, *J. Clin. Pathol.*, 1999, **52**, 385.
- 29 M. Conda-Sheridan, L. Marler, E.-J. Park, T. P. Kondratyuk, K. Jermihov, A. D. Mesecar, J. M. Pezzuto, R. N. Asolkar, W. Fenical and M. Cushman, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **53**, 8688–8699.
- 30 C. Neves-Pinto, V. R. S. Malta, M. d. C. F. R. Pinto, R. H. A. Santos, S. L. de Castro and A. V. Pinto, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2002, **45**, 2112–2115.
- 31 N. Guttenberger, W. Blankenfeldt and R. Breinbauer, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **25**, 6149–6166.
- 32 M. Koepf, S. H. Lee, B. J. Brennan, D. D. Méndez-Hernández, V. S. Batista, G. W. Brudvig and R. H. Crabtree, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 9881–9888.
- 33 S. A. Gamage, J. A. Spicer, G. W. Rewcastle, J. Milton, S. Sohal, W. Dangerfield, P. Mistry, N. Vicker, P. A. Charlton and W. A. Denny, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2002, **45**, 740–743.
- 34 G. W. Rewcastle, W. A. Denny and B. C. Baguley, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1987, **30**, 843–851.
- 35 S. Funayama, S. Eda, K. Komiyama, S. Ōmura and T. Tokunaga, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, **30**, 3151–3154.
- 36 S. Wang, W. Miller, J. Milton, N. Vicker, A. Stewart, P. Charlton, P. Mistry, D. Hardick and W. A. Denny, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2002, **12**, 415–418.
- 37 B. B. Fischer, A. Krieger-Liszskay and R. I. L. Eggen, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2004, **38**, 6307–6313.
- 38 J. M. Khurana, A. Chaudhary, A. Lumb and B. Nand, *Green Chem.*, 2012, **14**, 2321–2327.
- 39 P. Singh, A. Baheti and K. R. J. Thomas, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 6134–6145.
- 40 S. Gupta and N. K. Khare, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2017, **1127**, 309–313.
- 41 G. W. Rewcastle, A. J. Bridges, D. W. Fry, J. R. Rubin and W. A. Denny, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1997, **40**, 1820–1826.
- 42 R. B. Tenser, A. Gaydos and K. A. Hay, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2001, **45**, 3657–3659.
- 43 P. Sharma, N. Rane and V. K. Gurram, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2004, **14**, 4185–4190.
- 44 J. P. Cruz, T. Carrasco, G. Ortega and F. S. Cuesta, *Lipids*, 1992, **27**, 192–194.
- 45 M. Hayakawa, H. Kaizawa, H. Moritomo, T. Koizumi, T. Ohishi, M. Okada, M. Ohta, S.-i. Tsukamoto, P. Parker, P. Workman and M. Waterfield, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2006, **14**, 6847–6858.
- 46 S. R. Natarajan, D. D. Wisnoski, S. B. Singh, J. E. Stelmach, E. A. O'Neill, C. D. Schwartz, C. M. Thompson, C. E. Fitzgerald, S. J. O'Keefe, S. Kumar, C. E. C. A. Hop, D. M. Zaller, D. M. Schmatz and J. B. Doherty, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2003, **13**, 273–276.
- 47 M. Font, Á. González, J. A. Palop and C. Sanmartín, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **46**, 3887–3899.
- 48 Y. Yanagihara, H. Kasai, T. Kawashima and T. Shida, *Jpn. J. Pharmacol.*, 1988, **48**, 91–101.
- 49 R. L. Smith, R. J. Barrett and E. Sanders-Bush, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, 1995, **275**, 1050–1057.
- 50 F. Awouters, J. Vermeire, F. Smeyers, P. Vermote, R. van Beek and C. J. E. Niemegeers, *Drug Dev. Res.*, 1986, **8**, 95–102.
- 51 M. M. Badran, K. A. M. Abouzid and M. H. M. Hussein, *Arch. Pharmacol. Res.*, 2003, **26**, 107.
- 52 D. P. Singh, S. K. Deivedi, S. R. Hashim and R. G. Singhal, *Pharmaceuticals*, 2010, **3**, 2416–2425.
- 53 M. Waring, T. Ben-Hadda, A. Kotchevar, A. Ramdani, R. Touzani, S. Elkadiri, A. Hakkou, M. Bouakka and T. Ellis, *Molecules*, 2002, **7**, 641.
- 54 J. A. Pereira, A. M. Pessoa, M. N. D. S. Cordeiro, R. Fernandes, C. Prudêncio, J. P. Noronha and M. Vieira, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **97**, 664–672.
- 55 A. Monge, F. J. Martinez-Crespo, A. Lopez de Cerain, J. A. Palop, S. Narro, V. Senador, A. Marin, Y. Sainz and M. Gonzalez, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1995, **38**, 4488–4494.
- 56 J. B. Rangisetty, C. N. V. H. B. Gupta, A. L. Prasad, P. Srinivas, N. Sridhar, P. Parimoo and A. Veeranjanyulu, *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, 2001, **53**, 1409–1413.
- 57 M. Kovalenko, A. Gazit, A. Böhmer, C. Rorsman, L. Rönstrand, C.-H. Heldin, J. Waltenberger, F.-D. Böhmer and A. Levitzki, *Cancer Res.*, 1994, **54**, 6106–6114.
- 58 Y. K. Tailor, S. Khandelwal, K. Verma, R. Gopal and M. Kumar, *ChemistrySelect*, 2017, **2**, 5933–5941.
- 59 Y. K. Tailor, S. Khandelwal, R. Gopal, E. Rushell, A. Prajapati and M. Kumar, *ChemistrySelect*, 2017, **2**, 11055–11061.
- 60 A. Rajawat, S. Khandelwal and M. Kumar, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 5105–5112.
- 61 A. K. Arya and M. Kumar, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 1332–1338.
- 62 A. Y. E. Abadi, M.-T. Maghsoodlou, R. Heydari and R. Mohebat, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 2016, **42**, 1227–1235.
- 63 A. Hasaninejad and S. Firoozi, *Mol. Diversity*, 2013, **17**, 499–513.
- 64 A. Yazdani-Elah-Abadi, R. Mohebat, M.-T. Maghsoodlou and R. Heydari, *Polycyclic Aromat. Compd.*, 2018, **38**, 92–101.
- 65 S.-L. Wang, F.-Y. Wu, C. Cheng, G. Zhang, Y.-P. Liu, B. Jiang, F. Shi and S.-J. Tu, *ACS Comb. Sci.*, 2011, **13**, 135–139.
- 66 P. Saluja, A. Chaudhary and J. M. Khurana, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 3431–3435.
- 67 M.-T. M. Afshin Yazdani-Elah-Abadi, R. Mohebat and R. Heydari, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **28**, 446–452.
- 68 G. H. Mahdavinia, M. Mirzazadeh and B. Notash, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, **54**, 3487–3492.
- 69 R. Mohebat, A. Yazdani Elah Abadi and M.-T. Maghsoodlou, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 2016, **42**, 6039–6048.
- 70 R. Mohebat, A. Yazdani Elah Abadi, M.-T. Maghsoodlou and M. Mohammadi, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 2016, **42**, 5915–5926.
- 71 H. R. Shaterian and M. Mohammadnia, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2013, **177**, 162–166.
- 72 R. Mohebat, A. Y. E. Abadi, M.-T. Maghsoodlou, M. Mohammadi and R. Heydari, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 2016, **42**, 7121–7132.
- 73 R. Bharti and T. Parvin, *Mol. Diversity*, 2016, **20**, 867–876.

