# **RSC Advances**



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

## PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 24458

Received 23rd May 2018 Accepted 28th June 2018 DOI: 10.1039/c8ra04401e rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1 Introduction

Cotton fabric has suitable flexibility, water absorptivity, and air permeability for wearable textiles; therefore its wear comfortability has been well-received by customers.1-3 To extend its market share, various efforts have been made to endow cotton textiles with additional functions,4-6 and such exploration has gradually concentrated on a few areas, for example, antibacterial effects,<sup>7,8</sup> UV-protection,<sup>9,10</sup> hydrophobic coating,<sup>11,12</sup> flame retardance,13-15 and wear-resistance.16 Among these functions, the antibacterial effect has received more significant attention because the bacterial problem of cotton textiles often seriously troubles users. The temperature, moisture, and nutrients in the cotton textiles generally meet the requirements for the growth and reproduction of a multitude of bacteria and fungi,<sup>17</sup> and the microorganisms living on cotton fabrics often produce terrible odours, and cause colour degradation and even serious risks to human health.<sup>18,19</sup> Therefore, a wide range of researchers have

## Excellent binding effect of L-methionine for immobilizing silver nanoparticles onto cotton fabrics to improve the antibacterial durability against washing

Jing Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Dongrong Cai,<sup>a</sup> Qingbo Xu,<sup>a</sup> Yanyan Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Feiya Fu,<sup>a</sup> Hongyan Diao<sup>\*b</sup> and Xiangdong Liu<sup>®</sup>\*<sup>a</sup>

Silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) have outstanding antimicrobial effects, but their weak adhesive force onto cotton fiber surfaces often causes undesired silver loss from antibacterial fabrics, diminishing antibacterial durability, and even leading to environmental and health risks. To improve adhesion of the Ag NPs, various strategies have been tried, but achieving long-term antibacterial effectiveness still remains challenging. Here, L-methionine is proposed as a binder reagent because it has low toxicity towards mammalian cells and has a methyl group to enhance its coordination ability. The antibacterial cotton fabric was fabricated *via* a very simple pad-dry-cure process: after dipping a cotton fabric in an L-methionine solution followed with heating for esterification, Ag NPs are formed *via* the reaction of silver nitrate with sodium borohydride. The resulting cotton fabric exhibits an excellent antibacterial property and laundering durability. Its bacterial reduction rates (BR) against both *S. aureus* and *E. coli* remained over 97% even after 90 consecutive laundering cycles. Moreover, the modification causes insignificant damage to cotton's characteristics, such as tensile breaking strength, water absorptivity, and vapor permeability.

been putting efforts into antimicrobial cotton textiles, and numerous successful antibacterial cotton products have been applied in textile commodities including underwear, socks, curtains, and medical textile supplies. However, washing durability is still a practical challenge for most of the antimicrobial products,<sup>20–24</sup> especially for the cotton fabrics modified using silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs).<sup>25</sup>

Ag NPs have strong antimicrobial effect,<sup>26-30</sup> but they were suspected to have potential risks on the environment and human health.<sup>31,32</sup> Moreover, the absence of covalent bonds between Ag NPs and cotton fibers makes the Ag NPs easy to split form the fiber surface during washing process. In this respect, various approaches have been investigated to enhance the adhesive force of Ag NPs onto cotton fabrics. As a result, it was reported that several ways, including polymer binders,33,34 composite nanoparticles,35,36 and surface modifications of cotton fiber37 are effective on improving the antibacterial durability. Generally, these methods can give cotton fabrics with a certain degree of durability, for example, keeping more than 90% of the original antibacterial efficiency after 20-50 washing cycles.38-40 However, achieving a satisfactory antibacterial durability with a minor dosage of Ag NPs still remains challenging. We have demonstrated that L-cysteine has an excellent effect on binding Ag NPs onto cotton fiber surface recently.<sup>41</sup> Basing on the finding, we further pay attention to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>College of Materials and Textile, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Xiasha Higher Education Zone, Hangzhou 310018, China. E-mail: liuxd@zstu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-571-86843785; Tel: +86-571-86843785

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>State Key Laboratory for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, Collaborative Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, 310003, Hangzhou, China. E-mail: diaohy@zju.edu.cn

#### Paper

a similar amino acid, L-methionine, because it is one of the essential amino acids for human body and it has low toxicity towards mammalian cells as L-cysteine, but the electron donating effect of the methyl group may induce a stronger affinity with Ag NPs than L-cysteine does. The reducing agent used in this experiment is sodium borohydride, and its reduction product is sodium borate, which can be used as cleaning agents and cosmetics. It is unharmful to human being, and has little environmental risk.

In the present work, 1-methionine is covalently linked onto cotton fiber surface via an esterification reaction with the hydroxyl groups of cellulose using a facile finishing pad-drycure process. Then Ag NPs are synthesized and immobilized on the modified surface by the enhanced adhesive force of the Lmethionine moieties. These reactions are monitored using a variety of analytical tools, including field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Moreover, antibacterial effect and antibacterial durability of the finished fabrics are evaluated. As a result, 1-methionine gives cotton fabrics with excellent laundering durability but without significant damages on cotton natures such as water absorptivity and vapor permeability. To our best knowledge, this is the first time to apply L-methionine as a binder to immobilize Ag NPs onto cotton fabrics, which offers outstanding antibacterial durability against washing.

### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Materials

Cotton fabrics (abbreviated as **Cot**, warp density 60 stick per cm, weft density 30 stick per cm, thickness 0.42 mm, square meter quality 120 g m<sup>-2</sup>, specific area 35.2 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) were purchased from Shaoxing Qidong Textile Co., Ltd. (China). Before chemical modification, the cotton (30 mm  $\times$  30 mm) fabrics were cleaned as previous literature.<sup>3</sup> L-Methionine and other reagents were purchased from shanghai Aladdin Co., Ltd (China) and used without further purification.

#### 2.2 Surface modification

As shown in Scheme 1, a cotton fabric (30 mm  $\times$  30 mm) was immersed in an aqueous solution of L-methionine (100 mL, 1.0 wt%) for 30 min, squeezed using a roller to a wet pick up of 90  $\pm$  2 wt%, heated at 180 °C for 5 min, rinsed with deionized water (100 mL  $\times$  3 times) to remove unreacted L-methionine molecules, and dried at 100 °C for 30 min to obtain the modified fabric (**Me-Cot**). The **Me-Cot** fabric was soaked in a solution



Scheme 1 Scheme of grafting  $\mbox{\tiny L}\mbox{-}methionine$  and forming Ag NPs on cotton fabric.

of silver nitrate (100 mL, 0.046 mol  $L^{-1}$ ), heated at 100 °C for 30 min, further soaked in a solution of sodium borohydride (100 mL, 0.095 mol  $L^{-1}$ ), rinsed with deionized water (100 mL × 3 times), and dried at 100 °C for 30 min to obtain the final cotton fabric sample (**Ag-Me-Cot**).

#### 2.3 Characterizations

FTIR measurements were performed on a Nicolet Avatar 370 spectrometer (Nicolet Company, Madison, USA) with an attenuated total reflection (ATR) accessory. XRD analyses were obtained using an X-ray diffractometer (ARL XTRA, Switzerland) with Cu K radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å) operating at 40 kV, 60 mA, and the detailed protocol was described in our previous report.<sup>3</sup> XPS analysis was performed by an AXIS multifunctional X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (ULTRA DLD, Shimadzu Ltd., Japan) at a power of 450 W. Surface morphology of the modified cotton fabrics was investigated using a FE-SEM set (Ultra-55, Zeiss, Germany). Size distribution of the Ag NPs on fiber surface was calculated by measuring 200 bright points in the SEM images. The silver content in the finished cotton fabrics was measured by using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Agilent 7500a, Australia).

*Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*, ATCC 1555) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*, ATCC 547) were used as the model microorganisms, and the detailed protocol was described in our previous report.<sup>42</sup>

Laundering durability was evaluated by monitoring the antimicrobial ability of the cotton fabric sample periodically after every stringent washing process.<sup>42</sup> For one laundering cycle, the cotton fabric (15 mm  $\times$  15 mm) was washed with 50 mL of an aqueous solution of sodium dodecanesulphonate (2.0%, w/w) in a beaker (diameter, 50 mm) with stirring (300 rpm, magnetic stirrer, 9 mm  $\times$  25 mm) at 25 °C.

As our previous work,<sup>42</sup> water absorptivity was measured by weighting the difference of the cotton samples after soaking in deionized water and hanging out, water vapor permeability was evaluated by using the ASTM E-96 (open cup test) method,<sup>43</sup> tensile strength tests were carried out on an electronic fabric tensile tester (YG065, China) at a constant rate of 20 cm min<sup>-1</sup>.

## 3 Results and discussion

# 3.1 Preparation and characterization of the Ag-Me-Cot cotton fabric

Pad-dry-cure process was used to graft L-methionine on the surface of cotton fibers and *in situ*-synthesize Ag NPs on the modified surface (Scheme 1). Pad-dry-cure method is a very usual technique can be found in almost all dyeing and finishing factories. To perform reactions on fabrics, it generally contains four successive steps: dipping fabric in a functional solution, squeezing to control the wet weight, drying and curing to promote the reaction rate. The reaction conditions (such as heating at 180 °C for more than 2 min) for esterification with the hydroxyl groups of cotton fabric have been well established.<sup>44,45</sup>

Fig. 1 compares the ATR-FTIR spectra of Cot (a), Me-Cot (b) and Ag-Me-Cot (c). Both Me-Cot and Ag-Me-Cot exhibit new peaks at  $1725 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  after the modification process. The peak is



Fig. 1 ATR-FTIR spectra of the Cot (a), Me-Cot fabric (b), and Ag-Me-Cot fabric (c).

associable to the asymmetric stretching of COOH groups and COOR groups, implying that the esterification reactions occurred between the L-methionine and the hydroxyl groups of cellulose on cotton surface.<sup>46</sup> Additionally, by comparing the spectra of **Cot** and **Me-Cot**, the attenuation observed on the peak around 3340 cm<sup>-1</sup> (for –OH) and appearance of new peaks around 1567 cm<sup>-1</sup> (for –NH<sub>2</sub>) further suggest the introduction of L-methionine onto the fabric surface.

Fig. 2 shows the XRD spectra of **Cot** (a) and **Ag-Me-Cot** (b). The peaks appearing at  $2\theta = 39$ , 45, 65, and 78 are assigned to the Ag (111), (200), (220), and (311) planes, respectively. As reported before, <sup>7,8,46,47</sup> they are typical XRD peaks of Ag NPs having a pure crystalline structure. Moreover, by applying the Debye–Scherrer equation, <sup>48</sup> the average size of the Ag NPs loaded on the fabric is calculated as 83.4 nm.

Fig. 3a and b show the wide-range XPS spectra of **Cot** and **Ag-Me-Cot** fabric samples. **Cot** displays C 1s and O 1s signals, but **Ag-Me-Cot** has additional S 2p and Ag 3d signals. The high resolution XPS C 1s spectra of **Cot** and **Ag-Me-Cot** are deconvoluted in Fig. 3c and d, respectively. The C 1s peak for **Cot** can be decomposed to two peaks with binding energy of 284 eV (C–C) and 286 eV (C–OH). Whereas in the case of **Ag-Me-Cot** fabric, the C 1s peak compose of new peaks at 287.2 eV and 288.8 eV, which are assignable to C–S and C=O bonds,<sup>49</sup> respectively. Fig. 3e shows the Ag 3d XPS spectra of **Ag-Me-Cot**, and the peaks at 368.0 eV and 374.0 eV can



Fig. 2 XRD patterns of the Cot (a), and Ag-Me-Cot fabric (b).



**Fig. 3** XPS spectra of the cotton fabrics. Wide-range and high resolution C 1s XPS spectra of **Cot** (a and c) and **Ag-Me-Cot** fabric (b and d); high resolution Ag 3d XPS spectrum of **Ag-Me-Cot** fabric (e) and high resolution S 2p XPS spectra of **Me-Cot** and **Ag-Me-Cot** fabrics (f).

be attributed to Ag<sup>0</sup>. The 6.0 eV slitting between the two peaks, Ag (3d5/2) and Ag (3d3/2), indicates the existence form of metallic silver on the fabric surface.50 Moreover, it is very obvious that these peaks can be further decomposed to two peaks with a 1.6 eV slitting of binding energy. Because Ag<sup>+</sup> shows lower binding energy than Ag<sup>0</sup>,<sup>51,52</sup> the attribution of the split peaks to silver ion can be disconfirmed. The positive shifts of 1.6 eV suggest the existence of small Ag NPs on the cotton fiber surface. Typically, 0.5-2.0 eV shifts have been observed previously for small nanoparticles compared to peaks of bulk crystals.53 The coordination bonds of Ag atoms with 1-methionine binder is verified by the S 2p spectra shown in Fig. 3f. By comparing with the modified cotton before loading Ag NPs, the new peak appeared at 161.2 eV is attributable to the highly de-electronated state of S elements.54 These XPS results suggest that the 1-methionine molecules have been covalently linked on the fiber surface by ester groups, and there are coordination bonds between the Ag NPs and the S atoms of Lmethionine.

Fig. 4 shows the SEM images of the cotton fabric surfaces. **Cot** surface is clean and smooth (Fig. 4a), but the modified cotton surfaces are rough (Fig. 4b–d). The fiber surface of **Me**-**Cot** seems covered by a layer of material (Fig. 4b), and the fiber surfaces of **Ag-Me-Cot** before and after washing (Fig. 4c and d) have numerous particles (Ag NPs).

These SEM results indicate that a lot of Ag NPs were remained on the **Ag-Me-Cot** surfaces even after 90 laundering cycles. The diagrams of the size distribution (calculated *via* the



Fig. 4 SEM images of the fiber surfaces. (a) Cot fiber, (b) Me-Cot, (c) Ag-Me-Cot, and (d) Ag-Me-Cot after 90 washing cycles.

statistical analyses) of the Ag NPs are given in Fig. 5a and b, indicating that the Ag NPs loaded on the fiber surface of **Ag-Me-Cot** became smaller by the washing action. Moreover, the size range of the Ag NPs became more narrow (ranged from 10 to 110 nm, with an average diameter of 47.75 nm, following a normal distribution) after washed for 90 laundering cycles when compared with the **Ag-Me-Cot** fabric before washing (ranged from 10 to 170 nm, with an average diameter of 84.13 nm, following a positive skewed distribution). This result means that larger Ag NPs are easier to loss from the modified cotton fabrics.

To further examine the quantities of Ag NPs on the cotton surface, ICP-MS technique was carried out on the cotton fabrics. As shown in Table 1, after 90 laundering tests, the silver content of the **Ag-Me-Cot** fabric was reduced by 10.2%, which in good



Fig. 5 Size distribution of the Ag NPs loaded on the cotton fabrics. (a) Ag-Me-Cot and (b) Ag-Me-Cot after 90 washing cycles.

Table 1  $\,$  ICP-MS analysis results of the silver content and the anti-bacterial effect of the cotton fabrics

			Bacterial reduction rate (%)	
Sample	Ag (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Remaining rates of Ag (%)	E. coli	S. aureus
Ag-Me-Cot	250	_	$99.0\pm1.0$	$99.0\pm1.0$
<b>Ag-Me-Cot</b> washed <sup><i>a</i></sup>	220	89.80	99.0 ± 1.0	98.0 ± 1.0

<sup>a</sup> After washed for 90 laundering tests.

agreement with the size reduction of the Ag NPs. These results suggest that larger Ag particles are more easily washed off from the fiber surface.

#### 3.2 Antibacterial efficacy and laundering durability

Fig. 6 shows the optical images of inhibition zones towards *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. **Cot** and **Me-Cot** fabric have no obvious bacteriostatic effect as the diameters of their inhibition zones are constant at 1.00 cm. However, in case of **Ag-Me-Cot** fabric, the antibacterial diameters towards *E. coli* and *S. aureus* reached to 1.74 cm (Fig. 6Ec) and 1.70 cm (Fig. 6Sc), respectively. This indicates that the bacteriostatic effect is caused by the loading of the Ag NPs.

Fig. 7 shows the antimicrobial durability of the modified cotton fabrics. Although it was washed by a certain number of laundering cycles, its BR rates for both bacteria are kept at 100%. Even after 90 washing cycles, as shown in Fig. 7, the BR rates are remained over 97.7%. In other reports,<sup>7,55–57</sup> the general durability level is that a modified cotton fabric maintains 90% BR value after 10–50 laundering cycles. Therefore, the antimicrobial results demonstrated here have significant improvement on washing durability by comparing with previous reports.

As shown in the Table 1, the loss quantity of the Ag NPs  $(0.11\%, 0.33 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  per once laundering) is better than that reported in previous works. In addition, the silver content is about 250 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> cotton fabric, which is far smaller than that reported early before.<sup>58-60</sup> The improvement on immobilization of Ag NPs can be contributed to the linking effect of L-methionine.

In our earlier study, it was reported that 97.6% BR value and 10% silver loss after 50 laundering cycles can be achieved by the linking effect of L-cysteine.<sup>41</sup> In the present work, almost same BR level (higher than 98%) and 10% silver loss are kept even



Fig. 6 The optical images of the inhibition zone tests. (a) Cot, (b) MeCot, and (c) Ag-Me-Cot.



**Fig. 7** Antibacterial durability of **Ag-Me-Cot** fabric. (a) The optical images of the ager plates after the colony-forming tests, and (b) the BR rates against washing cycles.

Table 2 Important natures of the cotton fabrics

Cotton	Ventilation	Water	Tensile
sample	property (g m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	absorption (%)	strength (MPa)
Cot Ag-Me-Cot	$\begin{array}{c} 1560 \pm 9.0 \\ 1520 \pm 13.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246.0 \pm 22.0 \\ 238.0 \pm 14.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.5 \pm 0.2 \\ 22.6 \pm 0.3 \end{array}$

until 90 consecutive laundering cycles were finished. Thus, we conclude that the outstanding antibacterial durability with fewer silver loading achieved in the present work can be contributed to the stronger affinity of L-methionine, which has an additional electron donating effect of the methyl group.

#### 3.3 Influences on the intrinsic cotton natures

Significant damages on cotton natures are generally unacceptable. As shown in the Table 2, **Ag-Me-Cot** shows good vapor permeability, water absorbability, and tensile breaking strength, which very closed to that of **Cot**. These results indicate that the desired cotton natures are largely preserved after grating L-methionine binder and forming Ag NPs on cotton fabrics.

## 4 Conclusions

L-Methionine can improve adhesive force of Ag NPs onto fiber surface of cotton. The binding effect of L-methionine gives cotton fabrics with a remarkable antibacterial durability that can keep the BR rate more than 97% even after 90 stringent laundering cycles. It is notable that such good antibacterial durability is obtained by a small amount of silver loading. The high washing durability is contributable to the coordination bonds between Ag NPs and the L-methionine moieties that grafted on the cotton fiber surface. Importantly, our finishing method does not significantly damage cotton natures such as tensile breaking strength, water absorptivity, and vapor permeability. It is believed that the finishing method has great potential for practical applications in biomedical textiles.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (51573167) and Public Welfare Technology Application Research Project of Zhejiang Province (2017C31035 and 2017C33154).

### Notes and references

- 1 D. Saravanan, N. S. Vasanthi and T. Ramachandran, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2009, **76**, 1–7.
- 2 Q. Xu, L. Xie, H. Diao, F. Li, Y. Zhang, F. Fu and X. Liu, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2017, **177**, 187–193.
- 3 A. C. Baker, Agric. Hist., 2015, 89, 119-121.
- 4 B. Cortese, D. Caschera, G. Padeletti, G. M. Ingo and G. Gigli, *Surf. Innovations*, 2013, **1**, 140–156.
- 5 A. K. Yetisen, Q. Hang, A. Manbachi, H. Butt, M. R. Dokmeci,
  J. P. Hinestroza, M. Skorobogatiy, A. Khademhosseini and
  S. H. Yun, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 3042–3068.
- 6 H. E. Emam, N. H. Saleh, K. S. Nagy and M. K. Zahran, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2016, 84, 308–318.
- 7 Y. Gao and R. Cranston, Text. Res. J., 2010, 60, 60-72.
- 8 M. M. G. Fouda, E. S. Abdel-Halim and S. S. Al-Deyab, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2013, **92**, 943–954.
- 9 S. Muzaffar, I. A. Bhatti, M. Zuber, H. N. Bhatti and M. Shahid, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2017, **94**, 51–60.
- 10 O. K. Alebeid and T. Zhao, J. Text. Inst., 2017, 108, 2027-2039.
- 11 G. H. Xi, W. C. Fan, L. Wang, X. D. Liu and T. Endo, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2015, 53, 1862–1871.
- 12 L. Wang, G. H. Xi, S. J. Wan, C. H. Zhao and X. D. Liu, *Cellulose*, 2014, **21**, 2983–2994.
- 13 T. Liang, Z. Jiang, C. Wang and J. Liu, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2017, 134, 45114–45119.
- 14 H. Yan, L. Zhao, Z. Fang and H. Wang, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, **134**, 45556.
- 15 Z. W. Yang, Y. Y. Zhang, F. Y. Fu and X. D. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 53871-53877.
- 16 W. Fan, Y. Zhu, G. Xi, M. Huang and X. D. Liu, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2016, **133**, 43024–43030.
- 17 Y. Zhang, Q. Xu, F. Fu and X. D. Liu, *Cellulose*, 2016, 23, 2791–2808.
- 18 X. Dai, Z. Fan, Y. Lu and P. C. Ray, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 11348–11354.

- 19 C. M. Courtney, S. M. Goodman, J. A. McDaniel, N. E. Madinger, A. Chatterjee and P. Nagpal, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, 15, 529–534.
- 20 M. Salat, P. Petkova, J. Hoyo, I. Perelshtein, A. Gedanken and T. Tzanov, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, 189, 198–203.
- 21 J. Lin, X. Chen, C. Chen, J. Hu, C. Zhou, X. Cai, W. Wang,
  C. Zheng, P. Zhang and J. Cheng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 6124–6136.
- 22 L. Li, X. Chi, F. Gai, H. Zhou, F. Zhang and Z. Zhao, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, **134**, 45323.
- 23 G. Luo, G. Xi, X. Wang, D. Qin, Y. Zhang, F. Fu and X. Liu, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, **134**, 44897–44903.
- 24 G. Xi, Y. Xiu, L. Wang and X. Liu, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2015, 132, 41824–41830.
- 25 G. Sun, J. Chem. Educ., 2005, 82, 5.
- 26 D. A. Mosselhy, H. Granbohm, U. Hynonen, Y. Ge, A. Palva,
  K. Nordstrom and S. P. Hannula, *Nanomaterials*, 2017, 7, 7090261–7090279.
- 27 T. T. T. Vi, S. Rajesh Kumar, B. Rout, C. H. Liu, C. B. Wong,
  C. W. Chang, C. H. Chen, D. W. Chen and S. J. Lue, *Nanomaterials*, 2018, 8, 8030162–8030177.
- 28 S. Gomez-Grana, M. Perez-Ameneiro, X. Vecino, I. Pastoriza-Santos, J. Perez-Juste, J. M. Cruz and A. B. Moldes, *Nanomaterials*, 2017, 7, 7060139–7060153.
- 29 F. Tanvir, A. Yaqub, S. Tanvir and W. A. Anderson, *Nanomaterials*, 2017, 7, 7100296–7100310.
- 30 M. C. Sportelli, R. A. Picca, F. Paladini, A. Mangone, L. C. Giannossa, C. D. Franco, A. L. Gallo, A. Valentini, A. Sannino, M. Pollini and N. Cioffi, *Nanomaterials*, 2017, 7, 7080203–7080218.
- 31 S. León-Silva, F. Fernández-Luqueño and F. López-Valdez, Water, Air, Soil Pollut., 2016, 227, 305–325.
- 32 Y. Li, Y. Zhang and B. Yan, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2014, **15**, 3671–3697.
- 33 H. Xu, X. Shi, H. Ma, Y. Lv, L. Zhang and Z. Mao, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2011, 257, 6799–6803.
- 34 D. Zhang, L. Chen, C. Zang, Y. Chen and H. Lin, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2013, **92**, 2088–2094.
- 35 W. Zhu, M. Kuang, S. Wei, T. Duan, Y. Wang, D. Zhou, L. Ma, D. Fang, J. Zhou and W. Yang, *J. Exp. Nanosci.*, 2015, **11**, 471– 479.
- 36 Y. Di, Q. Li and X. Zhuang, J. Eng. Fibers Fabr., 2012, 7, 24-29.
- 37 M. K. Zahran, H. B. Ahmed and M. H. El-Rafie, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2014, **108**, 145–152.
- 38 M. Montazer, F. Alimohammadi, A. Shamei and M. K. Rahimi, *Colloids Surf.*, B, 2012, 89, 196–202.

- 39 M. Gouda, A. Aljaafari, Y. Al-Fayz and W. E. Boraie, J. Nanomater., 2015, 16, 1–9.
- 40 L. M. Liu and B. Deng, Sci. Rep., 2014, 4, 5920-5929.
- 41 Q. Xu, J. Gu, Y. Zhao, X. Ke and X. D. Liu, *Fibers Polym.*, 2017, **18**, 2204–2211.
- 42 Q. B. Xu, Y. H. Wu, Y. Y. Zhang, F. Y. Fu and X. D. Liu, *Fibers Polym.*, 2016, **17**, 1782–1789.
- 43 Q. Xu, X. Ke, L. Shen, N. Ge, Y. Zhang, F. Fu and X. D. Liu, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2018, **111**, 796–803.
- 44 H. Qi, Y. Huang, B. Ji, G. Sun, F. L. Qing, C. Y. Hu and K. L. Yan, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2016, 135, 86–93.
- 45 C. Schramm, B. Rinderer and R. Tessadri, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2014, **105**, 81–89.
- 46 Q. Xu, X. Ke, D. Cai, Y. Zhang, F. Fu, T. Endo and X. D. Liu, *Cellulose*, 2018, **25**, 2129–2141.
- 47 V. Prysiazhnyi, A. Kramar, B. Dojcinovic, A. Zekic, B. M. Obradovic, M. M. Kuraica and M. Kostic, *Cellulose*, 2013, 20, 315–325.
- 48 M. Zhang, J. Pang, W. Bao, W. Zhang, H. Gao, C. Wang, J. Shi and J. Li, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **419**, 16–23.
- 49 J. Wang, G. Xi, G. Luo and X. D. Liu, *Cellulose*, 2016, 23, 915– 927.
- 50 W.-G. Kwak, M. H. Oh and M.-S. Gong, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2015, **115**, 317–324.
- 51 X.-Y. Gao, S.-Y. Wang, J. Li, Y.-X. Zheng, R.-J. Zhang, P. Zhou, Y.-M. Yang and L.-Y. Chen, *Thin Solid Films*, 2004, **455–456**, 438–442.
- 52 D. Wang, Y. Duan, Q. Luo, X. Li and L. Bao, *Desalination*, 2011, **270**, 174–180.
- 53 I. Lopez-Salido, D. C. Lim and Y. D. Kim, *Surf. Sci.*, 2005, **588**, 6–18.
- 54 F. Porcaro, L. Carlini, A. Ugolini, D. Visaggio, P. Visca,
  I. Fratoddi, I. Venditti, C. Meneghini, L. Simonelli,
  C. Marini, W. Olszewski, N. Ramanan, I. Luisetto and
  C. Battocchio, *Materials*, 2016, 9, 1–15.
- 55 A. Hebeish, A. El-Shafei, S. Sharaf and S. Zaghloul, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2011, **84**, 605–613.
- 56 N. A. Ibrahim, B. M. Eid, T. M. Elmaaty and E. A. Elaziz, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2013, 94, 612–618.
- 57 X. Yue, H. Lin, T. Yan, D. Zhang, H. Lin and Y. Chen, *Fibers Polym.*, 2014, **15**, 716–722.
- 58 T. Jiang, L. Liu and J. Yao, Fibers Polym., 2011, 12, 620-625.
- 59 H. Liu, Y.-Y. Lee, T. B. Norsten and K. Chong, *J. Ind. Text.*, 2013, 44, 198–210.
- 60 D. Klemenčič, B. Tomšič, F. Kovač, M. Žerjav, A. Simončič and B. Simončič, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2014, **49**, 3785–3794.