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# 1,2,3-Triazole based bisphosphine, 5-(diphenylphosphanyl)-1-(2-(diphenylphosphanyl)-phenyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole: an ambidentate ligand with switchable coordination modes $\dagger$ 

Latchupatula Radhakrishna, Madhusudan K. Pandey and Maravanji S. Balakrishna (D) *


#### Abstract

The reaction of 1-(2-bromophenyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (1) with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$ yielded bisphosphine, 5-(diphenylphosphanyl)-1-(2-(diphenylphosphanyl)phenyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (2). Bisphosphine 2 exhibits ambidentate character in either the $\kappa^{2}-P, N$ or $\kappa^{2}-P, P$ coordination mode. Treatment of 2 with $\left.\left[M(C O)_{4} \text { (piperidine) }\right)_{2}\right]\left(M=M o\right.$ and $W$ ) yielded $\kappa^{2}-P, N$ and $\kappa^{2}-P, P$ coordinated $M o^{\circ}$ and $W^{0}$ complexes $\left[M(C O)_{4}(2)\right]\left[M=W-\kappa^{2}-P, N(4) ; M o-\kappa^{2}-P, P(5) ; W-\kappa^{2}-P, P(6)\right]$ depending on the reaction conditions. Formation and stability of $\kappa^{2}-P, P$ coordinated $M 0^{0}$ and $W^{0}$ complexes were assessed by time dependent ${ }^{31}$ P\{ $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR experiments and DFT studies. The complex 4 on treatment with [ $\left.\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ afforded the hetero-bimetallic complex $\left[\mu-\mathrm{PN}, \mathrm{P}-\left\{0-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{AuCl}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}\right\} \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ (7). The $1: 1$ reaction between 2 and $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ yielded $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) R \mathrm{RuCl}\left\{0-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.C\left(P_{P h}\right) 3\right\}$ - ${ }^{2}$-P,P] (8), whereas the similar reaction with $\left[R u\left(\eta^{6}-p-c y m e n e\right) C_{2}\right]_{2}$ in a $2: 1$ molar ratio produced a cationic complex $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-p\right.\right.$-cymene $\left.) \mathrm{RuCl}\left\{0-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ (9). Similarly, treatment of 2 with $\left[M(C O D)(C l)_{2}\right](M=P d$ and Pt$)$ in a $1: 1$ molar ratio yielded $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}^{\prime \prime}$ complexes $\left[\left\{0-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}\right\} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right]$ (10) and $\left[\left\{0-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}\right\} \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\right]$ (11). The reaction of 2 with 2 equiv. of $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ afforded $\left[\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left\{0-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}-\mu-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}\right]$ (12). Most of the complexes have been structurally characterized. Palladium complex 10 shows excellent catalytic activity towards Cu-free Sonogashira alkynylation/ cyclization reactions.


## Introduction

Chelating ligands having both soft- and hard-donor atoms have drawn considerable interest owing to their ability to stabilize the metal atoms before and after the oxidative addition and reductive elimination reactions, two important steps in metal mediated homogeneous catalysis. ${ }^{1}$ If the ligands possess more than two donor atoms, and unlike pincer complexes, show flexibility in their coordination behavior to vary the donor atoms under the influence of temperature, ${ }^{2}$ light ${ }^{3}$ or redox reagents, ${ }^{4}$ they can also find application in molecular switches, logic gates, sensors etc. ${ }^{5}$ Therefore incorporating heteroaryl groups having donor atoms such as nitrogen, oxygen or sulphur in close proximity

[^0]to phosphine moieties can generate interesting ligand systems with rich coordination chemistry. ${ }^{6}$ In this context, mono- or bisphosphines containing pyridine, ${ }^{7}$ imidazole, ${ }^{8}$ pyrazole ${ }^{9}$ or furan ${ }^{10}$ moieties have attracted more attention, whereas triazole based systems have been less explored, ${ }^{11}$ though they exhibit ambidentate behavior and find application in catalysis. ${ }^{2,12}$

Recently we reported the synthesis of two triazole based mono phosphines by exploiting the temperature controlled Li/H exchange phenomenon and studied their group 11 metals chemistry. ${ }^{13}$ As a continuation of our work, herein, we describe the synthesis of $C_{1}$-symmetric triazole based bisphosphine 2. We also demonstrate the ambidentate behavior of ligand 2 with $\mathrm{Mo}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{0}$ metal centers using extensive NMR studies and DFT calculations. The coordination chemistry of ligand 2 with $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Au}^{\mathrm{I}}$ metal precursors and the catalytic activity of palladium complex $\mathbf{1 0}$ in tandem Cu-free Sonagashira coupling/cyclization reactions are also investigated.

## Results and discussion

Synthesis of 5-(diphenylphosphanyl)-1-(2-(diphenylphosphanyl)phenyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (2)

The temperature-controlled lithiation of 1-(2-bromophenyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (1), followed by the treatment of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$ resulted in two different 1,2,3-triazole functionalized monophosphines $\quad\left[o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})\right\}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ depending on the reaction conditions. ${ }^{13}$ This method was employed to synthesize triazole based new bisphosphine in one or two step reactions. Treatment of 1-(2-bromophenyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (1) with two equivalents of ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}$, followed by the addition of two equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$ yielded bisphosphine $\left[o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right.$ $\left.\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (2) (Scheme 1). Alternately, 1-(2-bro-mophenyl)-4-phenyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole (1) on treatment with one equivalent of ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded kinetically stable triazole based mono phosphine A, which on further treatment with ${ }^{n}$ BuLi followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$ at room temperature produced bisphosphine $\left[o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (2) as shown in Scheme 1. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 2 showed two doublets at -30.4 and -17.5 ppm with a $J_{\mathrm{PP}}$ coupling of 22.3 Hz . The existence of coupling between the two phosphorus atoms, which are five bonds apart, was confirmed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ COSY experiment. Although, the origin of the coupling
is not clear, the large ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{PP}}$ value indicates the least possibility of through bond coupling and does not rule out the possibility of through-space coupling. $C_{1}$-symmetric biaryl-bisphosphines ${ }^{14}$ and imidazole based bisphosphines (Table 1) have shown through-space coupling with $J_{\mathrm{PP}}$ values of similar magnitude. ${ }^{8 b}$ Bischalcogenide derivatives of 2 ( $2 \mathbf{a}$ and $2 \mathbf{b}$; See ESI Scheme S1 $\dagger$ ) did not show phosphorus-phosphorus coupling probably due to the non-availability of phosphorus lone pairs for through space interaction, thus suggesting the coupling to be of through-space in nature. The assignment of chemical shifts in 2 are based on previously reported triazole based mono phosphines. ${ }^{13}$ The triazole bonded phosphorus is significantly shielded compared to the ortho-carbon bound phosphorus atom.

Single crystals of 2 suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained from an ethanol solution of 2 kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The phosphorus centers exhibit distorted trigonal pyramidal geometry with both the phosphorus atoms being orthogonal to each other as shown in Fig. 1. The P2-C7 [1.830(3) $\AA$ ] bond distance is little shorter compared to P1-C2 (1.859(3) Å) bond distances due to the better electron withdrawing nature of the triazole ring.

## Coordination chemistry of bisphosphine 2

Recently, we demonstrated the coordination behavior of triazole based monophoshines towards group 11 metals, in which ligand showed mono-, di- as well as tridentate coordinating

(1) $2.2{ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$, (2) $2 \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$

Scheme 1 Synthesis of triazole based phosphorus ligand 2.

Table 1 The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data for $C_{1}$-symmetric bisphosphines



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 2 with 50\% ellipsoid probability Hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) of 2: P1-C2 1.859(3), P1-C15 1.835(3), P2-C7 1.830(3), P2-C27 1.827(3), N1-N2 1.352(3), N2-N3 1.312(3), C2-P1C15 101.42(12), C2-P1-C21 101.18(12), C15-P1-C21 103.93(12), C7-P2-C27 103.14(12), C7-P2-C33 101.64(12), C27-P2-C33 103.12(12), N1-N2-N3 106.7(2).
modes. ${ }^{13}$ Although, bidentate mode is common with the triazole based phosphines, the reports on the participation of two nitrogen atoms in coordination is rare. Bisphosphine 2 can act as a potential tetradentate ligand. The plausible coordinating modes for the ligand 2 are listed in Chart 1 . Although, simple $\kappa^{2}$ -


Scheme 2 Synthesis of $\mathrm{Mo}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{0}$ complexes 4-6.

P,P (I) and к-P, к-P-coordination (IV) via phosphorus atoms are the most common and preferred modes for 2 , it can also show chelating $\left[\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}\right]$ (II), as well as chelating-cum-bridging $\left[\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}\right.$ and $\mu$-PN,P] (III) modes to perform as a tridentate ligand. However the possibility of 2 showing other coordinating modes V-IX is less likely (Chart 1), but are not precluded, as appropriate metal precursors might enable these coordination modes. Therefore, it would be interesting to study the coordination chemistry of 2 with various transition metal precursors.

Treatment of bisphosphine 2 with $\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\text { piperidine })_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature resulted in $\left[\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\{1,2,3-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}\right\} \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ (5) with ligand showing $\kappa^{2}$ $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}$ coordination. The same reaction with $\left[\mathrm{W}(\text { piperidine })_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ afforded initially $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ coordinated complex, $\left[\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right.\right.$
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Chart 1 Possible coordinating modes for bisphosphine 2.


Fig. 2 Time dependent ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectral data for the isomerization of complex 3 to 5 .


Fig. 3 Time dependent ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectral data for the isomerization of complex 4 to 6 .
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Fig. 4 Molecular structures of 4,5 and 6. All hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules were omitted for clarity

Table 2 Energy (eV) of the selected MOs and MO contributions of compounds 3-6 ${ }^{a}$

|  | HOMO (eV) | LUMO (eV) | Contribution of HOMO (\%) |  |  | Contribution of LUMO (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | CO | 2 | M | CO | 2 |
| 3 | -5.15 | -1.52 | 60 | 27 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 92 |
| 4 | -5.05 | -1.61 | 56 | 30 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 91 |
| 5 | -5.67 | -1.27 | 64 | 24 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 80 |
| 6 | -5.61 | -1.32 | 60 | 26 | 14 | 14 | 5 | 81 |

$\left.\left.\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}-\mathrm{K}^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}\right\} \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](4)$ which on prolonging the reaction time, yielded $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}$ coordinated complex $[\{0$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}-\mathrm{K}^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}\right\} \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ (6) as shown in Scheme 2. The complexes 4 and 5 were characterized by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy and their structures were confirmed by single crystal X-ray analysis. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of complex 4 shows two singlets at $23\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{WP}} \approx 239.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and -23.9 ppm , clearly indicating the coordination of aryl group
bound phosphorus atom, probably along with one of the triazole nitrogen atoms and triazolyl phosphorus atom being left uncoordinated. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of complex 5 consists of two doublets at 35.6 and 23.7 ppm with a ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}} \approx$ 19 Hz indicating $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}$ coordination to molybdenum.

To gain some insight into the stability of complexes 3 and 4, we have examined the $\Delta G$ values obtained from DFT calculations. The energy difference $(\Delta G)$ between the $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ coordinated $W^{0}$ complex 4 and the $\kappa^{2}-P, N$ coordinated $\mathrm{Mo}^{0}$ intermediate 3 is $-175.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, which clearly indicates relatively more stability of 4 . Similarly, the energy difference $(\Delta G)$ between the complexes 3 and 5 is $-6.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and the same between 4 and 6 is $-6.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Therefore, the kinetically controlled $\kappa^{2}$-P,N-complex isomerizes to $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}-$ complex. A dichloromethane solution of $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ coordinated complex 4 on stirring at room temperature for 72 h or the reaction of 2 with $\left[\mathrm{W}(\text { piperidine })_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ in toluene under reflux conditions for 12 h yielded $\kappa^{2}$-P,P-complex 6 in quantitative yield. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 6 shows two doublets at $18.9 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{WP}} \approx 238.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}} \approx 12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $6.9 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{WP}}\right.$ $\approx 247.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}} \approx 12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The course of the reaction was also monitored by time dependent ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy.


Fig. 5 Frontier molecular orbitals of compounds 3-6.
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Scheme 3 Synthesis of W, Au complex 7.

Initially in the case of molybdenum, two resonances were observed at 34.1 and -24.9 ppm , corresponding to coordinated and uncoordinated phosphorus atoms indicating the formation of $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ coordinated complex 3 . However, within 30 minutes $50 \%$ of the $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ complex transformed into $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}$ complex, and the isomerization was complete within 2 h (Fig. 2). In the case of tungsten, isomerization starts after 12 h and $50 \%$ conversion was observed after 40 h and the isomerization was complete after 72 h (Fig. 3). These NMR studies clearly show the formation of thermodynamically favored $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}$ coordination complexes via isomerization of initially formed $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ coordinated complexes.

The IR spectrum of complex 4 showed three $\nu_{\text {Co }}$ bands at 1850, 1887 and $2013 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, whereas those of 5 and 6 are appended at $1901,2023 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1892,2018 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}$ features are similar to those of analogous complexes of the type $c i s-\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{LL})\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}$ and $\mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{LL}=$ dppm and dppe). ${ }^{15}$

The X-ray quality crystals of complexes 4-6 were obtained by solvent diffusion methods. The molecular structures of complexes 4-6 are shown in Fig. 4. The crystal structure of complex 4 reveals distorted octahedral geometry around tungsten center flanked by one of the triazolyl nitrogens (N2), phosphorus atom and four carbonyl groups. The P1-W1-N2 $\left(75.10(9)^{\circ}\right)$ angle is considerably smaller despite the formation of six-membered chelate ring which may be due to the relative orientation of N and P lone pairs. All $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles are greater than $90^{\circ}$, with the largest deviations being $97.74(15)^{\circ}$ and $96.93(18)^{\circ}$ for P1-W1-C40 and N2-W1C 39 , respectively. The W-C bond distances of mutually trans carbonyls [W1-C41, 2.039(5) A and W1-C42, 2.021(5) A] are slightly longer than the W-C bond distances for the carbonyls trans to phosphorus and nitrogen (W1-C39 (1.972(5) Å) and W1-C40 (1.978(5) $\AA))$, due to the poor $\pi$ acceptor ability of phosphorus and triazolyl nitrogen moieties compared to the carbonyls. The P1-M-P2 bond angles in 5 and 6 are 87.76(3) ${ }^{\circ}$ and $87.81(4)^{\circ}$, respectively. In 5 and $\mathbf{6}$, the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances for the carbonyl trans to the phosphorus [Mo (1.997(4) and $1.998(4) \AA$ ) and $W(2.000(6)$ and $2.006(6))]$ is shorter than the M-C bond distances of mutually trans carbonyls [Mo (2.030(4) and $2.044(4) \AA$ ) and $W(2.027(5)$ and $2.039(5) \AA)]$.

In order to gain some insight into the preference between the $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ and the $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}$ coordinating modes in Mo and W carbonyl complexes, calculations were performed using the

M06/6-31G ${ }^{* *}$, ${ }^{16}$ lanl2dz level of theory ${ }^{17}$ for complexes 3-6. Crystal structure data were used for coordinates while performing the DFT calculations. ${ }^{18}$ The computed geometric parameters of complexes 4-6 are in good agreement with the single crystal X-ray crystallographic structures (see ESI: Tables S1 and $\mathrm{S} 2 \dagger$ ). The HOMO and LUMO energy values are tabulated in Table $2 .{ }^{19}$ In all these complexes, the HOMO level is majorly contributed by the metal center and carbonyl groups, whereas the LUMO level is majorly contributed by the ligand (Fig. 5). ${ }^{20}$ The HOMO of $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}$ coordinated complexes 5 and 6 are slightly higher in energy as compared to the $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ coordinated complexes 3 and $4 .{ }^{21}$ The HOMO-LUMO energy differences between $\kappa^{2}$-P,P in 5 and $6(4.4 \mathrm{eV}$ and 4.29 eV$)$ are even more compared to the $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ coordinated complexes 3 and $4(3.63 \mathrm{eV}$ and 3.44 eV$)$. It clearly suggests that the complexes 5 and 6 are more stable compared to the corresponding $\kappa^{2}-P, N$ coordinated complexes 3 and 4.


Fig. 6 Molecular structure of 7 with $50 \%$ ellipsoid probability. Hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.


Scheme 4 Synthesis of Ru(॥) complexes 8 and 9.

The complex 4 can function as a metalloligand due to the presence of one uncoordinated phosphorus atom. The reaction of 4 with $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ in dichloromethane resulted in heterobimetallic complex 7 as shown in Scheme 3. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 7 shows two singlets at $22.9\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{WP}} \approx 237.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and 12.8 ppm . A shift in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signal of the triazole bound phosphorus ( $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{P}}=33$ ) indicates its coordination to gold. The $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}$ of complex 7 is similar to that of 4 and shows four $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}$ bands at 1857,1888 and $1901 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2010 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The molecular structure of complex 7 is shown in Fig. 6. The crystal structure of complex 7 reveals distorted octahedral geometry around tungsten and linear geometry around gold atom. The P1-W1-N2 and P1-Au1-Cl1 bond angels are $76.14(9)^{\circ}$ and $175.86(5)^{\circ}$, respectively. The W1-N2 and W2-P1 bond distances are $2.244(5) \AA$ and $2.5080(15) \AA$, whereas $W-C$ bond distances vary from $1.964(6)$ to $2.035(6) \AA$. Further, complex 7 shows weak $\mathrm{Au} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{\text {arene }}$ interaction between gold and triazole ( N 1 ) attached phenyl group. The $\mathrm{Au} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{\text {arene }}$ separation is $3.40 \AA$ for ipso C 1 and $3.480 \AA$ for ortho C6, which lies within the range of $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {arene }}$ interactions (3.07-3.55 $\AA$ ) reported in the literature. ${ }^{22}$

The reaction of bisphosphine 2 with one equvivalent of [ $\left(\eta^{5}-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ in toluene under reflux conditions afforded mononuclear complex $\quad\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\{1,2,3-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}-\mathrm{K}^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}\right](8)$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 8
consists of two doublets at 54.3 and 36.3 ppm with a ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=$ 51.9 Hz , indicating $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}$ coordination. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 8 shows a singlet at 4.25 ppm for cyclopentadienyl protons. Reaction of 2 with $0.5\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\eta^{6}-p \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ in dichloromethane yielded a red colored cationic complex [( $\eta^{6}-p$-cymene) $\left.\operatorname{RuCl}\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ (9) as shown in Scheme 4 . Complex 9 showed two singlets in its ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum at 35.5 and -21.3 ppm , indicating $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$ coordination keeping the triazolyl phosphorus ( -21.3 ppm ) uncoordinated. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 9 showed four doublets at 6.53, 6.42, 5.92 and 5.25 ppm for the cymene group. The aliphatic protons of the cymene group showed septet at 3.10 ppm and two doublets at 1.07 and 1.24 ppm with a ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=$ 6.5 Hz for the isopropyl group and a singlet at 1.71 ppm for methyl group.

The crystals of complex 8 were obtained by diffusion of petroleum ether into a saturated solution of 8 in chloroform. The unit cell contains two independent molecules with identical bond parameters. In 8, ruthenium atom adopts a pseudooctahedral geometry (Fig. 7). The P1-Ru1-P2, P1-Ru-Cl1 and P7-Ru-Cl1 bond angels are 91.86(4), 88.72(4) and 93.59(4) ${ }^{\circ}$, respectively. It specifies slight deviation from the ideal octahedral angle. The $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances are in the range expected for typical half-sandwich complexes. ${ }^{8 c}$ The phosphorus (P2) attached phenyl hydrogen (H34) is involved in


Fig. 7 Molecular structures of 8 and 9. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecule were omitted for clarity.
weak intermolecular hydrogen bonding with triazole nitrogen (N3) with a N3 $\cdots \mathrm{H} 34$ distance of $2.50 \AA$. This interaction plays a major role in bulk crystal packing (see ESI Fig. S1 $\dagger$ ).

In complex 9, the ruthenium center shows pseudooctahedral geometry with $p$-cymene, one of the nitrogen ( N 2 ) of triazole group, phosphorus atom (P2) and chloride ion occupying the coordinating sites (Fig. 7). The P1-Ru1-N2 (80.42(16) $)^{\circ}$, P1-Ru1-Cl1 (89.85(7) ${ }^{\circ}$ ) and N2-M-Cl1 (88.30(16) ${ }^{\circ}$ ) bond angles clearly indicate the distorted octahedral geometry around the ruthenium atom. The Ru1-P1, Ru1-N1 and Ru1-Cl1 bond distances are $2.3060(19), 2.136(6)$ and $2.4074(19) \AA$, respectively. The Ru1-C $(2.237 \AA)$ mean distance is comparable to the same in analogues complexes such as: $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-p\right.\right.$-cymene $)$ $\operatorname{RuCl}\left\{2-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-3-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right.$-indene $\left.\}-\mathrm{K}^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(2.226 \AA)^{23}$ and $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-p-\right.\right.$ cymene $\left.) \mathrm{RuCl}\left\{\mathrm{PR}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{R}_{2}$ $=\left[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\{2-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NN}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{CH}\}\right](2.242 \AA) .{ }^{24}$

The reactions of $\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{Pt})$ with 2 in $1: 1$ molar ratio in dichloromethane afforded $\left[\mathrm{MCl}_{2}(2)\right](\mathrm{M}=\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1 0})$; Pt (11)) in good yield. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ confirm the $\kappa^{2}-P, P$ coordination. Interestingly palladium complex did not show ${ }^{2} J_{\text {PP }}$ coupling, whereas platinum complex showed ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}$ and ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PtP}}$ couplings of 17.52 Hz and 3582 \& 3721 Hz , respectively.

The suitable crystals of complexes $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ were obtained from chloroform solution layered with petroleum ether. Both palladium and platinum atoms adopt square planar geometry (Fig. 8). The P1-M-P2 bond angles in 10 and 11 are 94.72(3) ${ }^{\circ}$ and $94.11(16)^{\circ}$, respectively. Triazole nitrogen atoms N3 and N2 show weak intermolecular hydrogen bonding with phenylene hydrogen atoms H 25 and H 14 of two other molecules (See ESI Fig. S2 $\dagger$ ) which is evident from the $\mathrm{N} 3 \cdots \mathrm{H} 25$ and $\mathrm{N} 2 \cdots \mathrm{H} 14$ bond distances of $2.570 \AA$ and $2.553 \AA$, respectively. No such interactions were observed in platinum complex 11.

The reaction of 2 with two equivalents of $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ in dichloromethane yielded digold complex, $\left[\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}-\mu-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}\right](12)$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2}$ exhibits two resonances at 24.5 and 12.2 ppm . The mass spectrum of complex 12 shows a peak at 1018.0853 corresponding to $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$.

A perspective view of the molecular structure of 12 is shown in Fig. 9. The bond angels P1-Au1-Cl1 (174.94(5) ${ }^{\circ}$ ) and P2$\mathrm{Au} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 2\left(174.27(4)^{\circ}\right)$ are almost linear but orthogonal to each other. $\mathrm{Au}^{\mathrm{I}}$ centers display linear geometry with an $\mathrm{Au} \cdots \mathrm{Au}$


Fig. 9 Molecular structure of 12 with $50 \%$ ellipsoid probability. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecule were omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): P1-Au1 2.2224(11), P2-Au2 2.2101(11), P1-C2 1.814(4), P2-C7 1.806(4), Au1-Cl1 2.2834(11), Au2-Cl2 2.2703(11), Au1-Au2 3.3406(3), P1-Au1-Cl1 174.27(4), P2-Au2-Cl2 174.94(5), C2-P1-Au1 115.02(15), C7-P2-Au2 111.46(14), N1-N2-N3 107.6(3).
separation of $3.3406(3) \AA$, indicating intramolecular aurophilic interaction. The $\mathrm{Au} \cdots \mathrm{Au}$ distance is within the range ( $c a$. $2.50-3.50 \AA$ ) and less than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.8 $\AA$ ) reported in the literature. ${ }^{25}$

Sonogashira coupling and sequential cyclization of alkyne and 2-halophenols to form synthetically useful 2 -substituted benzofuran-type frameworks is an important methodology as these compounds constitute ubiquitous core structure in natural products and pharmaceuticals. ${ }^{26}$ For the preparation of benzofurans, a palladium catalyst in the presence copper salt as co-catalyst is generally employed for tandem alkynylation/cyclization reactions of terminal alkynes with ohydroxy aryl halides. ${ }^{27}$ However, Cu-free Sonogashira alkynylation and sequential cyclization methods are scant in the literature. ${ }^{28}$ In order to assess the catalytic efficiency of palladium complex 10, tandem Cu-free Sonogashira alkynylation/ cyclization reactions were performed.
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Fig. 8 Molecular structures of 10 and 11. All hydrogen atoms and solvent molecule were omitted for clarity.

Table 3 Optimization of reaction conditions ${ }^{a}$


| Entry | Solvent | Base | Time (h) | Conversion (\%) ${ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dioxane | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 12 | 55 |
| 2 | Dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 12 | 68 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 12 | 60 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 12 | 76 |
| 5 | DMF | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 12 | 85 |
| 6 | Toluene | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 12 | 48 |
| 7 | DMSO | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 12 | 74 |
| 8 | DMSO | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 6 | $99\left(95^{b}\right)$ |
| 9 | DMSO | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 12 | $78{ }^{\text {c }}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Conversion determined by GC-MS using 2-iodophenol as internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c} 0.5$ mol\% catalyst used.


Chart 2 Substrate scope for tandem Cu-free Sonagashira alkynylation/cyclization reaction. Conditions: 2-iodophenol 0.5 mmol, alkynes $0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{DMSO} 3 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, catalyst (1 mol\%). Isolated yield.

Initially, the reaction of 2-iodophenol with phenyl acetylene was tested with $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of palladium catalyst 10 in various solvents. An excellent yield of 2-phenylbenzofuran was observed when $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was used as a base in dimethyl sulfoxide at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The yield was lowered considerably in other solvents (Table 3, entries 1-6). The coupling reaction was strongly influenced by the base as well as catalyst loading. Replacing $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ gave only moderate conversion (Table 3, entry 7). Catalyst loading of $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ resulted in lower conversion rate (Table 3, entry 9). On decreasing the reaction time to 6 h , the conversion was not significantly affected (Table 3 , entry 8 ). Under the optimized reaction conditions, various combinations of substituted o-iodophenols and alkynes were subjected to the tandem coupling/cyclization reaction to produce 2 -substituted benzofurans in good to excellent yields. The results of the tandem Cu-free Sonogashira alkynylation/ cyclization reaction are summarized in Chart 2. Both aromatic and aliphatic acetylene were tolerated in this conversion. In contrast, the coupling reaction of 2 -iodophenol with 2 -ethenylpyridine was not successful and resulted in negligible conversion.

## Conclusions

A new triazole-based bisphosphine 2 has been synthesized. The ambidentate bisphosphine 2 shows $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}, \kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}$-chelation as well as $\mu-\left(\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}, \kappa-\mathrm{N}\right)$ and $\mu-(\kappa-\mathrm{P}, \kappa-\mathrm{P})$ bridging coordination modes to act as di- or tridentate ligand. The kinetically controlled $\kappa^{2}-P, N$ coordination modes in $\mathrm{Mo}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{0}$ complexes "switched" to the thermodynamically preferred $\kappa^{2}$ P,P coordination modes and the isomerization process was monitored by time dependent ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR studies and further supported by DFT studies. The palladium complex 10 is found to be an efficient catalyst for tandem Cu-free Sonagashira alkynylation/cyclization reaction. Further catalytic investigation are under active investigation in our laboratory.

## Experimental section

## General procedures

All the air sensitive compounds were handled and stored in the MBRAUN Glove box. All reactions were performed under an inert atmosphere of dry nitrogen or argon, using standard

Schlenk techniques. All the solvents were dried by conventional methods and distilled prior to use. The compounds $\left[\mathrm{M}(\text { piperidine })_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}$ and W$),{ }^{33}\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{Cl}],{ }^{34}\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\eta^{6} \text { - } p \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{2},{ }^{35}\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Pd}$ or Pt$),{ }^{36}$ $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right],{ }^{37} \quad 5$-(diphenylphosphino)-1,4-diphenyl-1H-1,2,3triazole, ${ }^{13}$ 1-(2-(diphenylphosphanyl)phenyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3triazole (A) ${ }^{13}$ and 2-iodo-4,6-dimethylphenol ${ }^{38}$ were prepared according to the published procedures. Other reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used after purification.

## Instrumentation methods

The solution NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker FT spectrometers (Advance-400 or 500) MHz at ambient probe temperatures. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were acquired using broad band decoupling method. The spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as an internal lock; chemical shifts of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported in ppm downfield from TMS, used as an internal standard. The chemical shifts of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra are referred to $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ as an external standard. Positive values indicate downfield shifts. Infrared spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer 400 FTIR instrument in KBr disk. The microanalyses were performed using a Carlo Erba Model 1112 elemental analyzer. Mass spectra were recorded using Waters Q-Tof micro (YA-105). Melting points of all compounds were determined on a Veego melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. GC-MS analyses were carried out in Agilent 7890A GC system.

## Synthesis of $\left[o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P h}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (2)

Method A. To a Schlenk flask charged with 2-bromophenyltriazole ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and THF ( 50 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}$ ( $11.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.33 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.6 M solution in hexane) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour, warmed to room temperature and stirring was continued for 4 h . The resulting reddish coloured solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the THF ( 10 mL ) solution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(3.87 \mathrm{~g}, 17.5$ mmol ) was added dropwise through a cannula. The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for further 12 h and filtered through celite to remove LiCl. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the yellow oily residue obtained was washed with petroleum ether, dissolved in minimum amount ethanol and stored at $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to get analytically pure product of 2 as a white crystalline solid. Yield: $56 \%$ $(2.75 \mathrm{~g})$.

Method B. To a Schlenk flask charged with 1-(2-(diphenyl-phosphanyl)phenyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (A) (1 g, 2.47 mmol ) in THF ( 40 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added dropwise a hexane solution of ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}(1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ solution in hexane). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 5 h . Again the solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the THF ( 5 mL ) solution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 2.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise through a cannula. The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 h and LiCl was filtered off. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the yellow oily residue obtained was washed with petroleum ether, dissolved in minimum amount ethanol and stored at
$-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to get analytically pure product of 2 as white crystalline solid. Yield: $62 \%(0.9 \mathrm{~g})$.

Mp: 167-170 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ : C, 77.41 ; H , 4.96; N, 7.13. Found C, 77.26; H, 5.38; N, 7.22 $\%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.39(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$, $7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.69,135.38,133.98,133.83,132.92,131.00,130.51$, 129.68, 129.27, 129.03, 128.95, 128.67, 128.61, 128.46, 127.73, 127.59. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-17.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PP}}=22.3\right.$ Hz ), $-30.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PP}}=22.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 590.1907$; found 590.1909.

## Synthesis of $\left[\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{P h}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathbf{P}, \mathrm{N}\right\}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](4)$

A solution of $2(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\text { piperidine })_{2}\right]$ $(0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 8 mL ) was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, washed with petroleum ether ( $3 \times 5$ mL ) and dried under vacuo to give analytically pure product of 4 as a yellow solid. Yield: $80 \%(0.036 \mathrm{~g}) . \mathrm{Mp}: 212-215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr disk): $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}=2013,1887,1850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}: \mathrm{C}, 56.97$; H, 3.36; N, 4.75. Found C, $56.43 ; \mathrm{H}$, $3.68 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.13 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.76-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.63-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(162 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 23.01\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{WP}}=239.2\right),-23.94$. HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}])^{+}$886.1222; found 886.1222.

## Synthesis of $\left[\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P h}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}\right\}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](5)$

A solution of $2(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\text { piperidine })_{2}\right]$ $(0.019 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was washed with petroleum ether ( $3 \times$ 5 mL ) and dried under vacuo to give analytically pure product of 5 as a colorless solid. Yield: $79 \%(0.034 \mathrm{~g}) . \mathrm{Mp}: 202-205{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}$ ( KBr disk): $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}=2023,1925,1901 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{MoN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 60.76 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.59 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.00$. Found C, $60.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.67 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.25 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.68-7.62$ (m, 2H), 7.53-7.47 (m, 2H), 7.47-7.42 (m, 3H), 7.39-7.32 (m, 5H), 7.31-7.27 (m, 4H), 7.24-7.15 (m, 5H), $7.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-$ $6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 35.58\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=\right.$ 19.0 Hz ), $23.67\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}])^{+}$800.0770; found 800.0770.

## Synthesis of $\left[\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{P h}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}\right\}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](6)$

Method A. A solution of $2(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\text { piperidine })_{2}\right](0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 8 mL ) was stirred for 72 h at room temperature. Then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was washed with petroleum ether $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$
and dried under vacuo to give analytically pure product of 6 as colorless solid. Yield: $76 \%(0.034 \mathrm{~g})$.

Method B. A solution of $2(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\text { piperidine })_{2}\right](0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 12 h and then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The solvent was completely removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was washed with petroleum ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried under vacuo to give analytically pure product of 6 as a colorless solid Yield: $90 \%$ ( 0.04 g ).

Mp: 217-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr disk): $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}=2018,1918,1892 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ W: C, 56.97; H, 3.3; $\mathrm{N}, 4.75$. Found C, $56.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.35 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.57 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.63$ (ddd, $J=11.7,7.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.1,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (162 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 18.9\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{WP}}=238.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.9\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{WP}}=\right.$ $247.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}])^{+}$886.1222; found 886.1222.

## Synthesis of [ $\mu-\mathrm{PN}, \mathbf{P}\left\{\boldsymbol{o}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{2} \mathbf{A u C l}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}\right\} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{C O})_{4}\right]$ (7)

To a solution of complex $4(0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0576 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise a solution of $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ $(0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0576 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the same solvent ( 5 mL ) and stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The solvent was afterward evaporated under vacuum; the residue obtained was washed with petroleum ether ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried under vacuo to give analytically pure product of 7 as an orange red solid. Yield: $84 \%$ ( 0.057 g ). Mp: 222-225 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr disk): $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}=2010,1901,1888$, $1857 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{AuClO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}: \mathrm{C}, 45.12 ; \mathrm{H}$, 2.61; N, 3.76. Found C, 45.09; H, 2.35; N, 3.94\%. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.10$ (ddd, $\left.J=10.8,7.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.84-7.71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (dd, $J=11.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.07 (ddd, $J=6.6,5.8,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.92 $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.6,7.3,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (202 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 22.89\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{WP}}=237.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 12.75$.

## Synthesis of $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}\right](\mathbf{8})$

A solution of $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right](0.0369 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 8 mL ) was added slowly to a solution of $2(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051$ mmol ) in toluene ( 8 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was refluxed at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h to give a clear yellow solution. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue obtained was washed with hot petroleum ether ( $3 \times 8$ mL ) and dissolved in chloroform and layered with petroleum ether, which on slow diffusion gave red colour crystals of 8. Yield $74 \%(0.03 \mathrm{~g}) . \mathrm{Mp}: 270-273{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{34}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}$ : C, 65.27; H, 4.33; N, 5.31. Found C, 65.41; H, 3.97; N, $5.05 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $18.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 54.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=51.9$ Hz ), $36.34\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=51.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. HRMS (ES): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 792.1041; found: 792.1042.

## Synthesis of $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-p\right.\right.$-cymene $) \operatorname{RuCl}\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}\right] \mathbf{C l}(\mathbf{9})$

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\eta^{6}-p \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{2}(0.0174 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0285 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) was added drop wise to a solution of 2 $(0.0338 \mathrm{~g}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol})$ also in dichloromethane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was washed with petroleum ether $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried under vacuo to give compound 9 as a red solid. Yield $93 \%(0.048 \mathrm{~g}) \mathrm{Mp}: 257-260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}$ : C, 64.36; $\mathrm{H}, 4.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.69$. Found C, 64.19; H, 4.53; N, 4.36\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.48-7.40 (m, 4H), $7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.16$ (m, 2H), $6.97(\mathrm{dt}, J=40.8,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93$ (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 35.52$ (s), -21.34 (s). HRMS (ES): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}\right): 860.1669$; found: 860.1667.

## Synthesis of $\left[\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}\right\}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right](10)$

To a stirred solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right](0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 4 mL ) was added drop wise a solution of 2 $(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ also in dichloromethane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was washed with petroleum ether $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried under vacuo to give compound 10 as a yellow solid. Yield: $85 \%(0.0331 \mathrm{~g}) . \mathrm{Mp}: 271-274{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{C}, 59.51$; H, 3.81; N, 5.48. Found C, 59.57; H, 3.44; N, $5.12 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.0,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (dd, $J=12.1,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (d, $J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.9$ (s), 12.9 (s). HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}])^{+} 730.0565$; found 730.0565.

## Synthesis of $\left[\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P h}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{2}\right)\right\}-\kappa^{2}-\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}\right\}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\right]$ (11)

A solution of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.019 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 4 mL ) was added drop wise to a solution of $2(0.03 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.051 mmol ) also in dichloromethane ( 4 mL ) and the solution was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was washed with petroleum ether $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated to a small bulk and 2 mL of chloroform was added and stored at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give 11 as a colorless crystalline solid. Yield: 79\% $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0.0362 & \mathrm{~g}\end{array}\right) . \mathrm{Mp}: 260-263{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for

Table 4 Crystallographic Information for compounds 2 and 4-7

|  | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{MoN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{AuClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ |
| Formula weight | 589.58 | 885.47 | 916.93 | 1004.84 | 1117.89 |
| $T$ (K) | 150 | 150 | 150 | 100 | 150 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P \overline{1}$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ | $\mathrm{P} 21 / n$ |
| $a, \AA$ | 15.5170(11) | 12.6150(6) | 14.1841(3) | 14.1865(3) | 12.5915(3) |
| $b, \AA$ | 11.9307(7) | 13.3420(9) | 11.2966(2) | 11.2881(3) | 20.0214(6) |
| $c$, A | 18.3226(15) | 14.0151(8) | 25.2699(6) | 25.1974(9) | 15.3286(4) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90 | 71.437(5) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta$, deg | 111.801(9) | 69.756(5) | 97.008(2) | 97.169(2) | 92.147(2) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90 | 65.940(5) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 3149.4(4) | 1977.1(2) | 4018.80(15) | 4003.53(19) | 3861.62(18) |
| $Z$ | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.243 | 1.487 | 1.515 | 1.667 | 1.923 |
| $M(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha) / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.169 | 3.046 | 0.653 | 3.213 | 6.97 |
| $F(000)$ | 1232 | 876 | 1856 | 1984 | 2136 |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.151 \times 0.14 \times 0.065$ | $0.171 \times 0.146 \times 0.108$ | $0.314 \times 0.154 \times 0.095$ | $0.224 \times 0.119 \times 0.097$ | $0.168 \times 0.053 \times 0.039$ |
| Theta range (deg) | 4.33 to 49.994 | 4.082 to 50 | 4.624 to 49.998 | 3.958 to 49.996 | 4.112 to 49.998 |
| Reflections collected | 21113 | 22276 | 42569 | 21398 | 18829 |
| Independent reflections | 5544 | 6935 | 7077 | 6857 | 6764 |
| $S$ | 1.059 | 1.054 | 1.033 | 1.04 | 1.034 |
| $R_{1}{ }^{a}$ | 0.0555 | 0.0336 | 0.0472 | 0.0379 | 0.0323 |
| $\mathrm{w} R_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1586 | 0.0861 | 0.1198 | 0.0949 | 0.0781 |

${ }^{a} R=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| .{ }^{b} \mathrm{w} R_{2}=\left[\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(x P)^{2}\right]$ where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$.
$\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C, 51.49; H, 3.37; N, 4.68. Found C, $51.70 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.40 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.59 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.96$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ (dd, $J=13.1,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.10$ (m, 2H), 7.09-7.03 (m, 2H), $6.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(202 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.04\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}}=3581.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),-4.06(\mathrm{~d}$,
${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}}=3720.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}])^{+}$819.1171; found 820.1162.

## Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left\{o-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4}\right)\left\{1,2,3-\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}-\mu-\right.$ P,P] (12)

A dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) solution of $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)(0.030 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.102 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added drop wise to a solution of $2(0.030 \mathrm{~g}$,

Table 5 Crystallographic Information for compounds 8-12

|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{87} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Ru}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 1701.75 | 895.76 | 887.25 | 974.94 | 1173.78 |
| $T$ (K) | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic | Orthorhombic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P \overline{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ | $P 21 / c$ |
| $a, \AA$ | 13.7636(3) | 12.7908(7) | 13.3510(4) | 10.2544(3) | 12.7791(5) |
| $b, \AA$ | 14.7629(4) | 15.2107(10) | 11.0729(2) | 12.3816(4) | 14.3916(6) |
| $c$, A | $22.1839(5)$ | 15.2959(10) | 25.9296(6) | 28.9853(11) | 20.6657(8) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 76.1417(19) | 114.525(6) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta$, deg | 85.9054(18) | 103.851(5) | 95.690(2) | 90 | 95.247(4) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 85.3961(19) | 102.567(5) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 4355.90(17) | 2453.6(3) | 3814.38(17) | 3680.1(2) | 3784.7(3) |
| $Z$ | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} /$ / $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.297 | 1.212 | 1.545 | 1.76 | 2.06 |
| $M(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha) / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.619 | 0.526 | 0.954 | 4.297 | 8.216 |
| $F(000)$ | 1732 | 920 | 1784 | 1912 | 2232 |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.123 \times 0.102 \times 0.065$ | $0.176 \times 0.153 \times 0.098$ | $0.222 \times 0.091 \times 0.05$ | $0.175 \times 0.157 \times 0.072$ | $0.17 \times 0.07 \times 0.05$ |
| Theta range (deg) | 4.17 to 50 | 3.174 to 49.994 | 4.178 to 49.994 | 3.29 to 49.994 | 4.272 to 50 |
| Reflections collected | 83601 | 47691 | 36825 | 24542 | 30848 |
| Independent reflections | 15315 | 8624 | 6721 | 6484 | 6649 |
| $S$ | 1.054 | 1.037 | 1.053 | 1.109 | 1.025 |
| $R_{1}{ }^{a}$ | 0.0582 | 0.084 | 0.0406 | 0.0562 | 0.0269 |
| $\mathrm{w} R_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1493 | 0.2392 | 0.0835 | 0.134 | 0.065 |

Table 6 Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angels $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for compounds 47

| $4(M=W)$ | $5(M=M o)$ | $6(M=W)$ | $7(M=W)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Bond distances |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-C2 | 1.840(4) | 1.846(3) | 1.844(5) | 1.854(6) |
| P2-C7 | 1.824(4) | 1.834(3) | 1.828(5) | 1.827(6) |
| P1-M | 2.5156(11) | 2.5335(9) | 2.5263(13) | 2.5080(15) |
| P2-M | - | 2.5245 (9) | 2.5131(13) | - |
| M-CAvg | 2.002(5) | 2.017(4) | 2.018(6) | 2.009(6) |
| N1-N2 | 1.369 (5) | 1.353(4) | 1.354(5) | 1.360(6) |
| N2-N3 | 1.313(5) | 1.303(4) | 1.311(6) | 1.312(6) |
| C39-O1 | 1.161(6) | 1.149(5) | 1.157(7) | 1.141(7) |
| C40-O2 | 1.156(6) | 1.147(4) | 1.146(6) | 1.157(7) |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 2.242(3) | - | - | 2.244(5) |
| P2-Au | - | - | - | 2.2134(15) |
| $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | - | - | - | 2.2893(15) |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |  |
| P1-M-P2 | - | 87.76(3) | 87.81(4) | - |
| P1-M-N2 | 75.10(9) | - | - | 76.14(9) |
| P1-M-C40 | 97.74(15) | 92.21(10) | 92.27(16) | 97.74(15) |
| P2-M-C39 | - | 92.06(10) | 92.27(16) | - |
| N2-M-C39 | 96.93(18) | - | - | 96.93(18) |
| P1-M-C39 | 171.68(15) | 174.34(11) | 173.87(15) | 166.05(17) |
| N2-M-C40 | 172.32(16) | - | - | 176.10(19) |
| P2-M-C40 | - | 178.17(10) | 178.09(14) | - |
| C41-M-C42 | 173.32(17) | 174.19(14) | 174.8(2) | 168.8(2) |
| N1-N2-N3 | 107.3(3) | 107.4(3) | 107.2(4) | 108.6(4) |
| P2-Au-Cl | - | - | - | 175.86(5) |

0.051 mmol ) in the same solvent ( 6 mL ) and stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was washed dissolved in a mixture of petroleum ether ( 5 mL ) and chloroform ( 1 mL ) and stored at room temperature for 24 h to give 12 as a colourless crystalline solid. Yield: $68 \%(0.041 \mathrm{~g}) . \mathrm{Mp}:>275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 39.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.58 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.58$. Found

Table 7 Selected bond distances $(A ̊)$ and angels $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for compounds 8 12

|  | $\mathbf{8}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ru})$ |  | $\mathbf{9}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ru})$ | $\mathbf{1 0}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Pd})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Pt})$ |  |  |  |
| Bond distances |  |  |  |  |
| P1-M | $2.2851(13)$ | $2.3060(19)$ | $2.2606(9)$ | $2.249(4)$ |
| P2-M | $2.2902(13)$ | - | $2.2549(9)$ | $2.241(4)$ |
| N2-M | - | $2.136(6)$ | - | - |
| M-Cl1 | $2.4245(12)$ | $2.4074(19)$ | $2.3243(9)$ | $2.336(4)$ |
| M-Cl2 |  |  | $2.3507(9)$ | $2.352(4)$ |
| M-Cavg | 2.2066 | 2.237 | - | - |
| P1-C2 | $1.835(5)$ | $1.825(8)$ | $1.835(3)$ | $1.834(17)$ |
| P2-C7 | $1.827(4)$ | $1.838(8)$ | $1.818(3)$ | $1.853(19)$ |
| N3-H34 | 2.505 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond angels |  |  |  |  |
| P1-M-P2 | $91.86(5)$ | - | - | $94.72(3)$ |
| P1-M-N2 | - | $80.42(16)$ | - | $-11(16)$ |
| P1-M-Cl1 | $88.72(4)$ | $89.85(7)$ | $93.56(3)$ | $89.09(16)$ |
| P1-M-Cl2 | - | - | $168.66(3)$ | $174.15(16)$ |
| P2-M-Cl1 | $93.59(4)$ | - | $167.96(4)$ | $171.60(16)$ |
| P2-M-Cl2 | - | - | $88.64(3)$ | $90.92(16)$ |
| P1-M-P2 | $91.86(5)$ | - | $94.72(3)$ | $94.11(16)$ |

C, 39.37 ; H, 2.31 ; N, $3.65 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{H} \mathrm{H}$ NR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.80-$ $7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-$ $7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=19.3,11.2$, $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.58 (dd, $J=7.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.49$ (s), 12.18 (s). HRMS (ES): m/z Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}\right)$: 1018.0851; found: 1018.0853.

## General procedure for tandem Cu-free Sonagashira alkynylation/cyclization reaction

The reactions were performed in a closed vessel containing a mixture of alkyne (1.2 equiv.), substituted 2 -iodophenol (1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.5 equiv) and catalyst 10 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in DMSO (3 $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ extracted twice with ethyl acetate ( 10 mL ). The combined organic fractions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate.

## X-ray crystallography

Single crystal X-ray data collection was performed at 100-150 K using a Rigaku Saturn724 diffractometer (Enhance Mo-K $\alpha$ X-ray source, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) with a graphite monochromater for compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and 4-12 with the $\omega$-scan technique. Crystal data and the details of the structure determination of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{4 - 1 2}$ are given in Tables 4 and 5, whereas the selected bond parameters are listed in Tables 6 and 7. The data were reduced using CrysalisPro Red 171.38.43 software. The structures were solved by Olex2 (ref. 29) with the ShelXT ${ }^{30}$ structure solution program using intrinsic phasing and refined with the ShelXL ${ }^{31}$ refinement package using least squares minimization. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and included as riding contributions with isotropic displacement parameters tied to those of the attached non-hydrogen atoms. In compounds $\mathbf{4 , 8}$ and 9 the disordered solvent molecules could not be identified or modelled to a known solvent hence it was SQUEEZED using PLATON. ${ }^{32}$ Crystallographic data for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC 1832214 (compound 2) and CCDC 1832216-1832224 (compounds 4-12).
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