RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 23712

Received 13th May 2018 Accepted 24th June 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra04066d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

The remarkable improvement of modern medicine requires the performance of biomedical materials to be better and has a multi-functional development trend. Among these materials, natural degradable materials have good biocompatibility and low toxicity,¹ and can be absorbed or excreted by organisms to relieve patients from the pain of secondary surgery. Therefore, more and more attention has been paid to the research of natural degradable materials, and it has become a hot spot of current research. Gelatin, as a natural degradable material, is obtained by partial hydrolysis of collagen, and is a polypeptide molecular polymer composed of different amino acids.² Owing to its excellent biological properties and nontoxicity, it is widely used in biomedical products such as biofilm materials,³ tissue engineering scaffolds,⁴ medical sponges,⁵ and capsules.⁶ But it's worth noting that gelatin is easily soluble in water, which seriously limits its practical application.

Due to the easy water absorption in wet environment, gelatin needs to have strong water resistance and

Superhydrophobic coatings on gelatin-based films: fabrication, characterization and cytotoxicity studies†

Yu Chen, \mathbb{D}^{∞} Weipeng Lu, *^{ac} Yanchuan Guo, *^{abc} Yi Zhu, ^c Haojun Lu^c and Yuxiao Wu^c

As a degradable natural biomaterial, gelatin has good biocompatibility and nontoxicity, but gelatin is easily soluble in water which has limited its application. In order to solve this tough defect, superhydrophobic gelatin films (GSF) were prepared by first grafting silica nanoparticles onto gelatin films and then modifying silica nanoparticles with a fluorosilane coupling agent (FAS). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), a particle size analyzer, a contact angle instrument (CA), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), a universal materials tester and an Incucyte™ Zoom system were used to characterize the morphology, molecular interactions, superhydrophobic performance, and cytotoxicity. Results show that GSF_{300} modified by silica nanoparticles with a particle size of 303 nm has the largest contact angle (158.6°). At the same time, the contact angle is still more than 150° after 48 hours of infiltration in water. These results indicate that GSF_{300} has strong long-term water resistance. In addition, GSF₃₀₀ has good mechanical strength, durability and nontoxicity. Therefore, such a durable, robust and superhydrophobic film has good potential applications in various functional biomedical aspects. PAPER

Superhydrophobic coatings on gelatin-based film

Cliential content and accelering to the state of the state of

superhydrophobicity. In order to solve this intractable problem, researchers have made a lot of attempts, such as blending, grafting. For example, S. Afshar et al. developed a hydrophobic biodegradable nanocomposite gelatin films by blending starch and graphene oxide, and the static contact angle was $112.04^{\circ} \pm 4.85$, which was 40° greater than pure gelatin film.⁷ C. Shi et al. prepared a new gelatin film modified by starch-based ester Starch-EDTAD-NHS (SEN), and the contact angle was found to increase from 77.8° to 126.7° with increasing concentration of SEN.⁸ Besides, gelatin/zein nano fiber films by hybrid electrospinning were reported by Deng et al., and when the weight ratio was $1:1$, the contact angle reached the 118°, resulting in a good solvent resistance against water.⁹ From the above description, we can find that these methods can improve the hydrophobicity of gelatin to some extent. However, no reports have yet provided superhydrophobic gelatin films. In addition, it is important to note that gelatin, as a protein macromolecule, has many hydrophilic groups on the surface, such as amino and hydroxyl. So, simple blending or grafting can hardly guarantee the hydrophobic properties for a long time.

Inspired with nature surface, such as lotus leaves, water strider legs, and cicada wings,^{10,11} superhydrophobic surfaces are characterized by a static water contact angle (WCA) above 150° and a sliding angle (SA) less than 10° , by definition.^{12,13} Due to the unique water-repellent property, superhydrophobic materials have gained tremendous interest, which has widespread applications in self-cleaning, $14,15$ anti-icing, 16 metal anti-corrosion, 17 separation of oil and water,¹⁸ especially in biomedical fields such as anti-sticking

a Key Laboratory of Photochemical Conversion and Optoelectronic Material, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

b University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

c Hangzhou Research Institute of Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hangzhou 310018, China. E-mail: luweipeng@mail.ipc.ac.cn; Tel: +86-571-87853765

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra04066d

of protein, cells, and/or bacteria,¹⁹⁻²¹ and drug release.^{22,23} Therefore, the study of superhydrophobic gelatin materials is of great application value.

Herein, in order to realize the superhydrophobicity of gelatin films, suitable amount of formaldehyde and glycerol were firstly added to the film as crosslinkers and plasticizers respectively to improve its mechanical properties. Then we prepared silica nanoparticles with different particle sizes by Stöber²⁴ sol–gel process. Next, the obtained silica nanoparticles were deposited on the modified gelatin film to improve the surface roughness, further functionalized with fluoroalkylsilane (FAS) in an ethanolic solution to obtain superhydrophobic gelatin film. As far as we know, this was the first time to prepare superhydrophobic gelatin film material. More importantly, the super hydrophobic gelatin films have good mechanical strength, durability and nontoxicity, which greatly expands the biological application of superhydrophobic material. The results are summarized and discussed in the following sections. Paper

On the case of system paper and they delays.²³² There is of great enthus downloaded on 5/2/2024 between Access Articles. The case of the cas

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

Gelatin (obtained from bones, with an approximate molecular weight of 100 000, viscosity value of 4.9 mPa s) was provided from Baotou Dongbao Bio-Tech Co., Ltd. (Baotou, China). Formaldehyde solution (37–40 wt%), glycerol, tetraethoxysilane (TEOS), ammonia solution (28 wt%), acetic acid, and ethanol absolute were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 1H,1H,2H,2H-Per fluorodecyltrimethoxysilane (FAS) was obtained from Shangfluoro Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The human fibroblast cell lines (hFOB 1.19) were purchased from Cell bank of Chinese academy of sciences (Shanghai, China). All chemical reagents were analytical grade and deionized water with a resistivity of 18.2 M Ω cm was used in all of experiments.

2.2 Preparation of gelatin film modified with formaldehyde and glycerol (GE)

For the preparation of GE, 0.8 g of gelatin was added into 25 mL deionized water with stirring at 45 °C until dissolved completely, followed by the addition of 0.14 g glycerol as plasticizer and 15 µL formaldehyde solution as cross-link agent and continued mixing at 45 \degree C for 30 min with constant stirring. The completely solubilized solution was cast evenly on a leveled polytetrafluoroethylene dish (80 cm \times 80 cm) and put an oven at 40 \degree C until the films dried. The completely dried films were peeled off from plates and preconditioned in a constant temperature and humidity chamber controlled at 45 °C and 50% RH at least for 72 h before further test and use. The pure gelatin film was also prepared by the above method as control.

2.3 Synthesis of silica nanoparticles

For synthesis four different sizes nanoparticles (100–400 nm), silica nanoparticles were prepared with the procedure originally described by Stöber et al. i.e., hydrolysis of tetraethyl orthosilicate in an ethanol solution containing water and ammonia. In a typical experiment, tetraethyl orthosilicate was mixed with ethanol and deionized water, and then ammonia solution was added drop by drop to the mixed solution. The solution was stirred intensively at room temperature for 8 h. The experimental details are presented in Table 1. After the reaction, the silica nanoparticles were collected by centrifugation and washed with ethanol and water in sequence, and finally dispersed in ethanol for further experiments.

2.4 Preparation of GE films modified with silica nanoparticles (GS)

The prepared silica nanoparticles were ultrasonically dispersed in ethanol (2.5%) for 30 minutes. Then the obtained GE (2 cm \times 2 cm) were immersed in the suspension for 10 h. Next, the films were removed from the solution at a withdrawal speed of 50 mm min^{-1} and dried at room temperature. Finally, the obtained films were preconditioned in a constant temperature and humidity chamber controlled at 45 $^{\circ}$ C and 50% RH at least for 48 h before further test and use. $GS₁₀₀$, $GS₂₀₀$, $GS₃₀₀$ and $GS₄₀₀$, represent the films that are modified by the nanoparticles of 127, 225, 303 and 447 nm, respectively.

2.5 Preparation of GS films modified with FAS (GSF)

Firstly, the ethanol solution of FAS with a volume fraction of 1% was prepared and the pH value of the solution was adjusted to 4.0 by using glacial acetic acid. Next, the GS were immersed in the obtained solution for 24 hours at room temperature. Finally, the products after FAS coating were subsequently washed with ethanol to remove excess reactants and then dried in oven for 12 h at 45 °C. $GSF₁₀₀$, $GSF₂₀₀$, $GSF₃₀₀$ and $GSF₄₀₀$, respectively represent the films $GS₁₀₀$, $GS₂₀₀$, $GS₃₀₀$ and $GS₄₀₀$ that are modified with the FAS.

2.6 Mechanical durability of $GSF₃₀₀$

Abrasion and peeling tests were employed to evaluate mechanical stability of $GSF₃₀₀$. For the abrasion tests, a 500 g weight was put on the GSF₃₀₀ to provide a pressure of 5 kPa, and then the balance weight moved forward from the left to right for 10 cm in one direction with the surface abraded by the sandpaper. This process was defined as an abrasion cycle. WCAs were measured after every abrasion cycle. For the tape peeling test, the GSF₃₀₀ was brought in contact with the surface of the 3 M adhesive tape, and then the adhesive tape was peeled off from the films. This process was repeated several times. In each cycle, a new piece of adhesive tape was used.

2.7 Cell culture and proliferation

Cell culture and proliferation were assessed by human fibroblast cell lines (hFOB 1.19). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium/F-12 (DME/F-12) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. All media contained 100 U mL^{-1} of penicillin and 100 μ g mL⁻¹ of streptomycin. Cells were incubated at 37 °C and supplemented with 5% $CO₂$ in the humidified chamber. Test on extraction was carried out in accordance with the instruction of ISO 10993-12: 2002. The extraction media of the pure gelatin and GSF were prepared using serum free cell culture medium (DMEM), with the extraction ratio (the ratio of specimen surface area to extraction medium) of 3 cm^2 $\mathrm{mL^{-1}},$ and then incubated in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C for 24 h. The extraction medium was stored at 4 °C before the further test. Cell culture medium (DMEM) was used as a negative control. **Example 182**

2.7 Cell value and problems are assessed by human films between the min at the tweet perturbed on 5 min and problems are the min at the state of the number of the min at the state of the minimage and the sta

2.8 Characterization

2.8.1 Field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM). Microstructure of the film surface was obtained by FESEM (vltra55, Carl Zeiss SMT Pte Ltd.). Samples were sprayed with gold coating and observed under an accelerating voltage of 3 kV. Images were registered at 20 000 and 60 000 of magnifications.

2.8.2 Particle size measurements. The particle size in solution was analyzed by the dynamic light scattering (DLS) method (litesizer™ 500, Anton Paar GmbH). The silica nanoparticles were dispersed in ethanol.

2.8.3 FTIR analysis. FTIR absorbance spectra was recorded on a spectrometer (Bruker Tensor II, Germany) using the digital attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory. Samples were scanned from 4000 to 400 cm^{-1} . Each spectrum obtained at a resolution of 0.3 cm^{-1} was an average of 32 consecutive scans.

2.8.4 Contact angle measurements. The water contact angle (WCA) and sliding angle (SA) were measured by optical contact angle measuring instrument (Dataphysics, Germany) with a 5μ L deionized water droplet at ambient temperature. The results were measured four times on different positions of the sample surface.

2.8.5 Mechanical properties. Film thickness was reported as the mean of the measurements at four different points on each specimen taken with a micrometer (Dongguan, China). Tensile strength and percent elongation of films were measured by using a texture analyzer (Instron, America) after equilibration at 50% RH for 72 h. The analysis was performed on 10 mm \times 20 mm strips. The initial grip separation and crosshead speed were set at 12 mm and 50 mm $\mathrm{min}^{-1},$ respectively. Percentage elongation $(E\%)$ and tensile strength of the film were calculated with following equations:

$$
E\% = \frac{l - l_0}{l} \times 100\%
$$

Tensile strength =
$$
\frac{\text{maximum force (N)}}{\text{thickness (mm)} \times \text{width (mm)}} \times 100\%
$$

 l_0 represents the initial length of the film, l represents the length of the film at the break point. The results were the average measurements on five samples.

2.8.6 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The compositions of film surface were detected by a PHI VersaProbe X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber (1×10^6 mmHg). The energy pass was 100.0 eV, and the energy step was set at 1.0 eV.

2.8.7 Long time dynamic cell imaging and functional analysis. The effect of the extraction on hFOB 1.19 cells was observed in real time using an Incucyte™ Zoom system (EssenBio, USA). The extraction was placed in a 96-multi well plate and cultured with a 2000 cell per well supplemented with 10% FBS and 50 mg mL⁻¹ ascorbic acid at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ for 7 days. Phase contrast images were obtained every three hours to provide data for confluence determination.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Formation of the interactions between gelatin, silica nanoparticles and FAS

The possible model for the reaction between gelatin, silica nanoparticles and FAS is showed in Scheme 1. As we mentioned above, gelatin is comprised of a variety of amino acids, such as alanine, arginine, phenylalanine, proline and 4-hydroxyproline.

During the preparation of gelatin films, most hydrophilic groups such as amino group and carboxyl group move inward and form hydrogen bonds with internal hydrophilic groups, and at this point, the surface of the films are some hydrophobic groups, such as aliphatic chain and aryl groups.²⁵ It is worth noting that the carbonyl group is generally on the hydrophobic side due to trans structure of the peptide bond. When silica nanoparticles are deposited on the surface of the film, it's very likely that a large number of hydroxyl groups on the silica nanoparticles can form abundant hydrogen bonds with the carbonyl group on the surface of the gelatin with hydroxyl groups acting as H donors and carbonyl groups acting as H acceptors. Next, due to the hydrolysis of the Si-OC₂H₅ of FAS in acid condition, the obtained hydrolyzed fluorosilane can condense with hydroxyl groups of silica nanoparticles. Finally, the superhydrophobic gelatin-based films (GSF) are formed by above process.

Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of the fabrication of superhydrophobic films (GSF).

Fig. 1 FESEM top-view images of various films. (a) GS₁₀₀, (b) GS₂₀₀, (c) GS₃₀₀, (d) GS₄₀₀, (e) GSF₁₀₀, (f) GSF₂₀₀, (g) GSF₃₀₀, and (h) GSF₄₀₀.

3.2 Surface analysis of GS and GSF

Table 1 provides different $NH₃ H₂O/TEOS$ volume ratios for the preparation of silica nanoparticles with a constant $C_2H_5OH/$ TEOS volume ratio of 33 via standard Stöber process. It indicates that the sizes of silica nanoparticles increase from 100 to 400 nm with the increase in the volume ratio of $NH₃ H₂O/TEOS$ from 1.3 to 2.7. After weighing and calculation, the GE/silica nanoparticles/FAS ratio of GSF is about 3 : 1 : 0.2 (wt/wt). In addition, the DLS measurements show that average hydrodynamic diameters of the silica nanoparticles is 127, 225, 303 and 447 nm, and the polydispersity index is 0.031, 0.138, 0.049 and 0.001 respectively (Fig. S1, ESI†), which demonstates that the four kinds of silica nanoparticles have good homogeneous size distribution. The morphology of GS and GSF was characterized by the FESEM. Fig. 1a–h shows the top-view images of $GS₁₀₀$, GS_{200} , GS_{300} , GS_{400} , GSF_{100} , GSF_{200} , GSF_{300} , and GSF_{400} , respectively. It indicates that the sizes of silica nanoparticles are basically consistent before and after being modified by FAS coupling agent. The images also demonstrate that silica nanoparticles are dispersed evenly on the films and have a tendency to be agglomerated to form clusters, which resulted in a rough morphology.

FTIR spectras of pure gelatin film, GE , $GS₃₀₀$ and $GSF₃₀₀$ are showed in Fig. 2a–d respectively. In Fig. 2a, the spectrum of pure gelatin depicts characteristic peaks at 1629 cm^{-1} , 1531 cm^{-1} and 1237 cm^{-1} , which corresponds to amide I, amide II and amide III respectively. The amide I band is dominantly attributed to the $C=O$ stretching vibrations. The amide II and III bands are due to the N–H bending vibrations and C–N stretching vibrations respectively.²⁶ As shown in Fig. 2b, the peak situates around 1037 cm^{-1} might be related to glycerol.27,28 Besides, the characteristic peak positions at 1630 cm^{-1} , 1533 cm^{-1} and 1238 cm^{-1} are shifted slightly higher compared with the pure gelatin, which may be caused by the occurrence of the Schiff base reactions between the $-NH₂$ of the gelatin and Formaldehyde.29,30 The spectrum in Fig. 2c shows three significant peaks of silica nanoparticles. The strongest peak is at 1068 cm^{-1} , which is due to the asymmetric stretching vibration of Si–O–Si bonds in the silica nanoparticles. Another peak appearing at 792 cm^{-1} is associated with the bending

Fig. 2 FTIR spectra of films. (a) Pure gelatin film, (b) GE, (c) $GS₃₀₀$, (d) GSF₃₀₀.

mode of Si–O–Si bonds. In addition, a weak absorption peak appears at 950 cm^{-1} , which is caused by the presence of Si-OH bonds. In the Fig. 2d, two tiny peaks at 1201 cm^{-1} and 1144 cm⁻¹ superimposed on the left shoulder of the Si-O-Si peak at 1038 cm^{-1} are due to the stretching vibration of C–F bonds and C–Si–O bond that arises the link between FAS molecules and the silica nanoparticles.³¹

In addition, XPS was selected to further explore the atomic composition of the film surface. As shown in Fig. 3a and b, the pure gelatin film and the GE have the same three peaks at 288 eV, 400 eV and 532 eV, which can be confirmed to belong to C1 s, N1 s and O1 s respectively. And this is consistent with their compositions. In the Fig. 3c, the significant decrease of the C1 s peak at 288 eV and almost disappearance of the N1 s peak at 400 eV are both caused by the silica nanoparticles coated on the $GS₃₀₀$. Furthermore, the characteristic peaks of Si2 p at 103 eV and Si2 s at 154 eV are also detected. Next, the Fig. 3d shows that the C1 s peak at 285 eV, 291 eV and 293 eV are undoubted ascribed to CH_2 , CF_2 and CF_3 functional groups, respectively. In addition, the peaks at 688 eV, 834 eV and 862 eV are also observed on the surface of $GSF₃₀₀$, which can be determined to be caused by CF_2 , CF_3 of FAS. So XPS characterization confirms that the silica nanoparticles and FAS molecules are successfully bonded to the gelatin film, which is consistent with the result of FTIR spectra.

Fig. 3 XPS spectra of the surface of the films. (a) Pure gelatin film, (b) GE, (c) GS_{300} , (d) GSF_{300} .

As an extremely hydrophilic substance, gelatin has abundant hydrophilic groups. But as shown in Fig. 4a, the instantaneous WCA is $102.4^{\circ} \pm 1.2$, showing a certain hydrophobicity. As we mentioned above, during the preparation of gelatin films, most hydrophilic groups move inward and form hydrogen bonds with internal hydrophilic groups, and the surface of the films is some

hydrophobic groups. Therefore, the instantaneous WCA of the gelatin film is greater than 90° and exhibits a certain hydrophobicity. But pure gelatin film has strong water absorbability and can absorb water after a few seconds. The WCA of GE is $113^\circ \pm 0.9$, which is 10° larger than the pure gelatin film. This is due to the crosslinking effect of the formaldehyde and the amino group of the gelatin molecule, thus the hydrophobicity of the film is improved. Meanwhile, the Fig. 4a also shows that the WCAs of the films $GS₁₀₀$, $GS₂₀₀$, $GS₃₀₀$ and $GS₄₀₀$ are 18.4 $^{\circ}$ \pm 1.3, $15.4^{\circ} \pm 1.0$, $14.3^{\circ} \pm 1.1$ and $17.6^{\circ} \pm 1.0$, respectively. This is attributed to the presence of a large number of hydroxyl groups on the surface of the silica nanoparticles, so the water droplets can be completely spread over the films.³² The WCAs of the films GSF_{100} , GSF_{200} , GSF_{300} and GSF_{400} are found to be 152.3° \pm 0.1, $156.6^{\circ} \pm 1.8$, $158.6^{\circ} \pm 1.2$ and $151.9^{\circ} \pm 1.0$, respectively. It can be seen that all the GSF exhibit high water repellency. As shown in Fig. 4b, the superhydrophobicity of GSF was further characterized in regards to the hysteresis of water contact angle which was measured by SA. The SAs of the films $GSF₁₀₀, GSF₂₀₀, GSF₃₀₀$ and GSF₄₀₀ are $10.8^{\circ} \pm 0.5$, $6.3^{\circ} \pm 0.8$, $5.6^{\circ} \pm 0.5$ and $9.0^{\circ} \pm 0.9$, respectively. The above results show that the WCA of GSF is more than 150 $^{\circ}$ and the SA is less than 10 $^{\circ}$, which accords with the definition of superhydrophobicity. In addition, Fig. 4b **PSC Advances**
 $\frac{1}{2\frac{3}{2$

Fig. 4 (a) The WCAs on the pure gelatin, GE, GS, GSF. (b) The WCAs on the GSF modified with fluorinated silica nanoparticles of varying sizes. (c) Variation of WCA with the mass ratio of silica nanoparticles and GE. (d) The WCAs change with the soaking time in water.

| | Thickness/mm | Tensile strength/MPa | Elongation/% |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Pure gelatin film | 0.22 ± 0.01 | 55.60 ± 0.09 | 1.99 ± 0.09 |
| GЕ | 0.20 ± 0.02 | 60.38 ± 4.94 | 5.04 ± 0.45 |
| GS ₃₀₀ | 0.19 ± 0.04 | 110.01 ± 7.02 | 3.78 ± 0.67 |
| GSF ₃₀₀ | 0.19 ± 0.01 | 83.96 ± 12.0 | 4.42 ± 0.09 |

Table 2 Mechanical properties of pure gelatin, GE , $GS₃₀₀$ and $GSF₃₀₀$

by increasing the size of the silica nanoparticles modified 1 FAS from 127 to 303 nm. However, as the size increases her to 447 nm, the water contact angle decreases to 151.9° . find that the obtained results are similar with the observaas by Brassard et al.³¹ In Brassard et al. studies, the sizes of oparticles (40–300 nm) are related to roughness. As the ticle size increases, the surface roughness increases, which ls to the increase of contact angle. Then we also tested the tionship between the amount of silica nanoparticles loaded the WCA. From Fig. 4c, we can see that the WCA increases n the increase of the amount of silicon nanoparticles, when mass ratio of silica nanoparticles to GE reaches 0.3, the WCA nore than 150° , which shows superhydrophobic property. s can be explained that there is a correlation between the ghness and the silica nanoparticles as well as the WCA and ghness, that is, with the increase of the loading amount of ea nanoparticles, the roughness of the film increases tinuously, which leads to the increase of WCA. When the ea nanoparticles/GE ratio of GSF is about 0.3, the roughness he film reaches the peak value, so, when the mass ratio of con nanoparticles to GE is greater than 0.3, the roughness of film will not continue to increase, resulting in no significant nge in WCA. Therefore, 0.3 is the best silica nanoparticles/ ratio for preparing superhydrophobic GSF. Next, we took $GSF₃₀₀$ as an example to test the water resistance by nersing film into water. From Fig. 4d, it can be seen that r 7 day of immersing, the contact angle of the $GSF₃₀₀$ reases from 158.6 $^{\circ}$ ± 1.2 to 143.7 $^{\circ}$ ± 1.1, and still remains excellent water resistance.

Table 2 exhibits tensile strength, and elongation at break of the pure gelatin, GE, $GS₃₀₀$ and $GSF₃₀₀$. The tensile strength of GE increases by 8% compared to the pure gelatin film. This behavior can be assigned to the crosslinking effect between the aldehyde group and the amino group of the gelatin molecule.³³ What's more, due to the formation of a dense and stable coating on the surface of the gelatin film by silicon nanoparticles, the tensile strength of $GS₃₀₀$ and $GSF₃₀₀$ increases by 98% and 51%, respectively. Meanwhile, the elongation at break of GE, $GS₃₀₀$ and $GSF₃₀₀$ increased by 153%, 90% and 122%, respectively compared to the pure gelatin film. This finding can be explained by the possibility that glycerol molecules can form hydrogen bonds with gelatin molecules, which can destroy the hydrogen bonds between and within the molecular chains of gelatin, weaken the interaction within the gelatin molecules, enhance the elasticity and increase the elongation at break.^{34,35} Based on above datas, it demonstates that the $GSF₃₀₀$ has good mechanical properties. Furthermore, it is worth noting that after 7 days of immersion in water, the $GSF₃₀₀$ remains in its original shape, but some swelling occurs. The tensile strength, and elongation at break is 18.24 ± 8.35 and 40.18 ± 7.44 , respectively. Besides, to prove that the GSF has a mechanical durable superhydrophobic surface, abrasion and peeling tests of $GSF₃₀₀$ were carried out. Fig. 5a shows the plot of the WCA of superhydrophobic paper as a function of abration times, and it indicates that the WCA decreases to 150° after 5 abration times. Mechanical stability of the $\mathrm{GSF_{300}}$ was also evaluated via tape peeling. As shown in Fig. 5b, repeated peeling with the new tape results in gradual decrease of the contact angle, and Paper

Testic 2 *Poetarical* properties of pure guidant GC, GSree articles. This is a computer and the set of t

Fig. 5 (a) Variation of WCA of the GSF₃₀₀ with peeling times in the tape peeling test. The inset shows schematic of the tape peeling test. (b) Variation of WCA of the GSF₃₀₀ with abrasion times in the abrasion test. The inset shows schematic of the sandpaper abrasion test.

Fig. 6 Real-time cell confluence study in human fibroblast cell lines (hFOB 1.19). The cell population was monitored for 168 h using an Incucyte™ Zoom system in an incubator (5% CO₂ and 37 °C).

Table 3 The GRG and cytotoxicity level of the $GSF₃₀₀$ and pure gelatin film

| | | 1 day | 3 day | 5 day | 7 day |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| GSF ₃₀₀ | GRG $(\%)$ | 122 | 133 | 116 | 104 |
| | Cytotoxicity level | 0 | 0 | $_{0}$ | θ |
| Pure gelatin | $GRG (\%)$ | 156 | 164 | 181 | 174 |
| film | Cytotoxicity level | 0 | 0 | | θ |

after peeling for 5 times, the film is still superhydrophobic. From the above tests, it demonstrates that the obtained GSF_{300} has good mechanical stability.

As potential implantable materials, we hope that the obtained superhydrophobic gelatin films need to have good biocompatibility and nontoxicity. Therefore, the proliferation of $GSF₃₀₀$ and pure gelatin film were studied by real-time monitoring of human fibroblast cell lines (hFOB 1.19) using an Incucyte™ Zoom microscope. As shown in Fig. 6, timelapse imaging of the blank control shows a standard growth curve up to 39% cell confluence level by day 7, while the pure gelatin film reaches 69% cell confluence level by day 7. It indicates that the pure gelatin film efficiently promotes the cell proliferation. Meanwhile, the growth curve of $GSF₃₀₀$ was a little higher than the blank control, which represents that the GSF₃₀₀ has certain promoting effect on cell proliferation. The cytotoxicity was expressed by the relative growth rate (RGR, %) as follow in Table 3. It is found that all the relative growth rates are more than 100%, corresponding to the cytotoxicity level of 0 per the standard (Table S1, ESI†). In addition, as shown in Fig. 7, the human fibroblasts cells cultured in extraction media from the pure gelatin film and $GSF₃₀₀$ are marked by a green phase object mask via the software of Incucyte™ Zoom, which display healthy spindle-like or starlike shape, and the amount of cells rised with the extension of culture time, suggesting that $GSF₃₀₀$ imposes no suppression on the growth of cells. **EXAMPRESS**
 OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE ART

Fig. 7 Cell morphology of GSF $_{300}$ and pure gelatin film at point of 1, 3, 5, 7 day. Scale bar: 200 µm.

4 Conclusions

In summary, we have prepared a novel superhydrophobic gelatin films by using the silica nanoparticles and further functionalized by FAS molecules as superhydrophobic coating. Formaldehyde and glycerol were added into the gelatin film as a crosslinker and plasticizer respectively to improve the mechanical properties. We have observed that the hydrophobic ability was strongly related to the size of modified particles. The superhydrophobic film $(GSF₃₀₀)$ modified by silicon nanoparticles with particle size of 303 nm has the largest contact angle. What's more, GSF_{300} has good mechanical strength, durability and nontoxicity. Therefore, such a durable, robust, superhydrophobic film may be useful for developing for various functional biomedical applications. Paper

4 Concellusions

16 Above Premier at a constructed and dimiter 11 Zo Nove Article. On 2011, Monaco X, How T. 2. Zo None and None Creative Commons Article and None Creative Commons Articles. Both and the method of t

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by State Natural Sciences Fund, China (Project No. 21506236, 51372276)

References

- 1 L. L. Wang, D. Chen, K. Jiang and G. Z. Shen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 6764–6815.
- 2 C. Shi, C. Zhuang, Y. Cui and F. Tao, Polym. Bull., 2017, 74, 1– 21.
- 3 J. J. Xue, R. Shi, Y. Z. Niu, M. Gong, P. Coates, A. Crawford, D. F. Chen, W. Tian and L. Q. Zhang, Colloids Surf., B, 2015, 135, 846–854.
- 4 P. Sánchez, J. L. Pedraz and G. Orive, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2017, 98, 486.
- 5 S. D. Kim, S. L. Hong, M. J. Kim, J. Y. Kim, Y. W. Kim, S. K. Koo and K. S. Cho, Auris, Nasus, Larynx, 2018, 45, 286–290.
- 6 Y. Zhang, Q. Zhao, H. Wang, X. Jiang and R. Cha, Carbohydr. Polym., 2017, 164, 358–363.
- 7 S. Afshar and H. Banisadi, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2018, 109, 1019–1028.
- 8 C. G. Shi, F. R. Tao and Y. Z. Cui, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2018, 109, 863–871.
- 9 L. L. Deng, X. Zhang, Y. Li, F. Que, X. F. Kang, Y. Y. Liu, F. Q. Feng and H. Zhang, Food Hydrocolloids, 2017, 75, 72–80.
- 10 F. Guo and Z. G. Guo, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 36623–36641.
- 11 S. Yu, Z. G. Guo and W. M. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 1775–1794.
- 12 G. Wen, Z. Guo and W. Liu, Nanoscale, 2017, 9, 3338–3366.
- 13 J. L. Yong, F. Chen, Q. Yang, J. L. Huo and X. Hou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 4168–4217.
- 14 Z. Q. Yang, L. D. Wang, W. Sun, S. J. Li, T. Z. Zhu, W. Liu and G. C. Liu, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2017, 401, 146–155.
- 15 C. L. Xu, F. Song, X. L. Wang and Y. Z. Wang, Chem. Eng. J., 2017, 313, 1328–1334.
- 16 J. L. Yong, F. Chen, Q. Yang, J. L. Huo and X. Hou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 4168–4217.
- 17 Y. H. Wu, W. J. Zhao, W. R. Wang and W. J. Sui, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 5100–5110.
- 18 M. Z. Ge, C. Y. Cao, J. Y. Huang, X. N. Zhang, Y. X. Tang, X. R. Zhou, K. Q. Zhang, Z. Chen and Y. K. Lai, Nanoscale Horiz., 2018, 3, 235–260.
- 19 H. Zhu, Z. G. Guo and W. M. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 3900–3913.
- 20 R. Yang, A. Asatekin and K. K. Gleason, Soft Matter, 2012, 8, 31–43.
- 21 Y. C. Fu, J. X. Jiang, Q. H. Zhang, X. L. Zhan and F. Q. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 275–284.
- 22 S. T. Yohe, Y. L. Colson and M. W. Grinstaff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 2016–2019.
- 23 S. T. Yohe, J. A. Kopechek, T. M. Porter, Y. L. Colson and M. W. Grinstaff, Adv. Healthcare Mater., 2013, 2, 1204–1208.
- 24 W. Stöber, A. Fink and E. Bohn, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 1968, 26, 62–69.
- 25 J. Zhao, F. Pan, P. Li, C. Zhao, Z. Jiang, P. Zhang and X. Cao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 13275–13283.
- 26 K. Pietrucha and M. Safandowska, Process Biochem., 2015, 50, 2105–2111.
- 27 M. S. Hoque, S. Benjakul and T. Prodpran, Food Hydrocolloids, 2011, 25, 82–90.
- 28 P. Bergo and P. J. A. Sobral, Food Hydrocolloids, 2007, 21, 1285–1289.
- 29 Y. L. Li, C. Liu, Y. Tan, K. Xu, C. G. Lu and P. X. Wang, Carbohydr. Polym., 2014, 110, 87.
- 30 W. Treesuppharat, P. Rojanapanthu, C. Siangsanoh, H. Manuspiya and S. Ummartyotin, Biotechnol. Rep., 2017, 15, 84.
- 31 J. D. Brassard, D. K. Sarkar and J. Perron, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 3583–3588.
- 32 R. Arora and W. Mosch, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 5784–5791.
- 33 L. Shi, Q. Yu, Y. Y. Mao, H. B. Huang, H. W. Huang, Z. Z. Ye and X. S. Peng, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 21117–21124.
- 34 S. Rivero, M. A. García and A. Pinotti, Innovative Food Sci. Emerging Technol., 2010, 11, 369–375.
- 35 S. Sanwlani, P. Kumar and H. B. Bohidar, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2011, 115, 7332–7340.