Polybenzimidazole/Nafion hybrid membrane with improved chemical stability for vanadium redox flow battery application†
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In order to increase the chemical stability of polybenzimidazole (PBI) membrane against the highly oxidizing environment of a vanadium redox flow battery (VRFB), PBI/Nafion hybrid membrane was developed by spray coating a Nafion ionomer onto one surface of the PBI membrane. The acid–base interaction between the sulfonic acid of the Nafion and the benzimidazole of the PBI created a stable interfacial adhesion between the Nafion layer and the PBI layer. The hybrid membrane showed an area resistance of 0.269 Ω cm² and a very low vanadium permeability of 1.95 × 10⁻⁹ cm² min⁻¹. The Nafion layer protected the PBI from chemical degradation under accelerated oxidizing conditions of 1 M VO₂⁺/5 M H₂SO₄, and this was subsequently examined in spectroscopic analysis. In the VRFB single cell performance test, the cell with the hybrid membrane showed better energy efficiency than the Nafion cell with 92.66% at 40 mA cm⁻² and 78.1% at 100 mA cm⁻² with no delamination observed between the Nafion layer and the PBI layer after the test was completed.

1. Introduction

With the spread of renewable energy with large power load fluctuations, such as solar energy or wind energy, the need for large scale energy storage systems is increasing.¹⁴,¹⁶ The vanadium redox flow battery (VRFB) is a promising energy storage system due to the advantage of using the same element with different states of oxidation to avoid cross contamination, along with its high energy density, high energy efficiency, and long cycle life.² The VRFB is composed of anolyte, V(III)/V(II), and catholyte, V(V)/V(IV), which are filled with different redox couples stored in separate external storage tanks.³ During the charge process, the electrolytes are pumped from electrolyte storage tanks to the electrodes, where V(IV) is oxidized to V(V) in the cathode and V(III) is reduced to V(II) in the anode, converting electric energy to chemical energy.⁴ In order to separate the two electrolytes containing different oxidation states of vanadium ions and to conduct transferring ions (H⁺, SO₄²⁻), a membrane must exist between the anolyte and the catholyte.⁵ The requisites for the membrane are good ion conductivity, low crossover of active species, high chemical and mechanical stabilities in a highly oxidative environment, and low cost.⁶

Generally, cation exchange membrane (CEM) and anion exchange membrane (AEM) are used for VRFB membranes. Nafion is commonly used as CEM for its high ionic conductivity and adequate chemical stability due to its hydrophobic backbone and sulfonic acid terminated side chains, although it poses a problem of high crossover rate of vanadium ions, leading to a decrease in coulombic efficiency (CE) and capacity fading, and also its high cost.⁹,¹⁰ Apart from Nafion, hydrocarbon-based sulfonated membranes with low VO₂⁺ permeability and good proton conductivity for its rigid aromatic backbone and high ion exchange capacity are considered as CEMs for VRFBs.¹¹,¹² Despite all their advantages, there are still issues of low resistances to vanadium species (high susceptibility to VO₂⁺ ion caused by the electron-rich aromatic group in the hydrocarbon-based membranes) and poor oxidation stability.¹³ AEM, which conducts anions such as SO₄²⁻ has been developed for its low vanadium crossover rate compared to the conventional CEM, which can be explained by the Donnan exclusion effect, defined as an electrostatic repulsion between the positive functional groups in the AEM and the positive vanadium ions.¹⁴,¹⁵ Regardless of its low vanadium crossover rate, AEM also features a drawback of low chemical stability in highly oxidative environment.¹⁶ In order to enhance the disadvantages of these ion exchange membranes, membranes that utilize the size of pores to let only specific size of ions to cross by, also called the size exclusion effect, have been developed.¹⁷–¹⁹ Porous membranes without ion exchange group have
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shown improvements in stability, in spite of displaying a problem of vanadium crossover and complicated processing.\textsuperscript{20} There is an ongoing research for a different type of membrane that can be used in VRFB, using polybenzimidazole (PBI).\textsuperscript{21–23} Pristine PBI membrane is an electrically inert polymer displaying a very low conductivity of around $10^{-12}$ S cm\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{24} However, it possesses a partially negative nitrogen in the benzimidazole group, which can create an acid-base complex when doped with acid.\textsuperscript{25,26} Proton transport in acid-doped PBI is mediated by the acid molecules that are dissolved in the polymer matrix.\textsuperscript{27} The fixed positive charge prevents the vanadium ion crossover due to the Donnan exclusion effect, resulting in low permeability to vanadium species and high CE.\textsuperscript{27} PBI membrane also exhibits good thermal and chemical stability, high glass transition temperature, and high mechanical strength.\textsuperscript{28} Nevertheless, most studies on PBI electrolyte membrane for VRFB are focused in porous membranes because they do not show sufficient ion conductivity under standard sulfuric acid concentration (3–4 M) of vanadium electrolyte due to the low acid doping capability.\textsuperscript{19,29} By adding pores to the membrane, PBI has been widely used as porous membrane, increasing the acid doping level and improving the conductivity of acid doped PBI membrane.\textsuperscript{18}

Enhanced acid uptake property of dense PBI membrane based on poly[2,2'-2-benzimidazole-p-phenylene)-5,5'-bibenzimidazole] (BlpPBI), which has an additional benzimidazole side group, was studied previously in our team.\textsuperscript{31} The inclusion of additional benzimidazole side group increased the acid absorption capability and induced its amorphous structure with high free volume, contributing to better proton conductivity and lower resistance. Also, the nitrogen in the additional benzimidazole group augmented the Donnan exclusion effect, leading to lower permeability to vanadium ions. BlpPBI showed cell performance with high CEs (>99%) and energy efficiencies (EEs) (78–95%) under a wide range of current densities (20–100 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}). In the \textit{in situ} chemical stability test of our previous study, where continuous charge and discharge cycles were carried out at 50 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}, BlpPBI had constant CE above 99% and a stable EE with a slight decreasing trend after 500 cycles, indicating excellent long-term stability in highly acidic and oxidizing environment. However, in the \textit{ex situ} chemical stability test, in which the membranes were soaked into a solution of 0.1 M VO\textsubscript{2+}/5 M H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, BlpPBI displayed less degradation compared to a conventional hydrocarbon membrane, although Nafion 115 had better chemical stability than both membranes, indicating that additional research for improving chemical stability of BlpPBI is needed.

Along with the study of different kinds of membranes composed of distinct polymer material as previously mentioned, study of hybrid membranes with at least two different polymer materials combined is steadily progressing.\textsuperscript{32–35} However, most of these hybrid membranes are generally orientated to improve the ion selectivity by integrating an additional layer.\textsuperscript{36–38} For instance, Austing \textit{et al.} dipped polyethyleneimine and Nafion ionomer alternatively to Nafion layer using a layer-by-layer (LBL) technique, increasing the ion selectivity towards proton and reducing vanadium cross over.\textsuperscript{38} Similarly, to improve ion selectivity, Li \textit{et al.} deposited ultrathin Nafion film onto the poly(ether sulfone)-sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) porous membranes.\textsuperscript{39} These hybrid membranes showed improvements in ion selectivity and reduction of vanadium crossover, but still have a limitation in increasing the chemical stability.

To increase the chemical stability of hydrocarbon membrane, our team collaborated in developing a hydrocarbon/Nafion bilayer membrane with a mechanical nano-fastener, where Nafion was applied onto a hydrocarbon membrane \textit{via} 3D-interface interlocking (3D-IIL) method, a ball and socket joint structure that binds these chemically different structures with no additional interfacial resistance.\textsuperscript{39} The chemically dissimilar structures of hydrocarbon and perfluorinated Nafion membranes cause delamination when combined, hence, a strong interfacing adhesion needs to be achieved at the interface. The 3D-IIL fastened hydrocarbon/Nafion bilayer membrane showed excellent VRFB performance. The chemical stability was also superior compared to its pristine hydrocarbon membrane in the \textit{ex situ} chemical stability test, indicating the protective layer of Nafion layer applied on top of the hydrocarbon membrane reduced oxidative degradation.

In this work, we developed a layered hybrid type membrane where Nafion ionomer was spray coated onto the BlpPBI membrane. The nitrogen in the benzimidazole group develops an acid-base complex with the Nafion ionomer, creating a strong interfacial adhesion preventing delamination. For this reason, a layered hybrid type membrane with excellent interfacial adhesion has been developed without any help of additional manufacturing process, such as 3D-IIL. The combined effect of Nafion’s excellent chemical stability and BlpPBI’s low permeability to vanadium ions magnified the advantages of both polymers. The layered hybrid type membrane of BlpPBI/Nafion showed low resistance and low permeability to vanadium ions. It also showed high EE and CE under different current densities in VRFB cell performance test.

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1 Materials

1,2-Phenylenediamine (99.5%), phosphorous pentoxide (99%), vanadium(IV) oxide sulfate hydrate (97%), vanadium(V) oxide (99.6%), and dimethylformamide (DMF) were obtained from Aldrich. 3,3’-Diaminobenzidine (DABI, 98%) and trimellitic anhydride (4-carboxyphthalic anhydride, 98%) were acquired by TCI. Polyphosphoric acid (PPA, 116%) and 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) were purchased from Junsei Chemical. Sulfuric acid (95–97%) was provided by Merck. Sodium bicarbonate, methanol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were provided by Samchun Chemical, Korea. Nafion 115 and Nafion ionomer (D1021) were supplied by Dupont. All of the reagents and solvents above were handled without purification.

#### 2.2 Polymer synthesis and characterization

2-Benzimidazole terephthalic acid (BITA), the monomer of BlpPBI, was synthesized as previously reported.\textsuperscript{25} Using the monomer, BITA, and DABI dissolved in PPA, BlpPBI was
synthesized via condensation polymerization as previously described.\(^{11}\) Deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO-d\(_6\)) was used as a nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) solvent to be analyzed for \(^1\)H-NMR (Bruker 500 MHz spectrometer).

### 2.3 Membrane preparation and characterization

BtPBI membranes were prepared using a solvent casting method, where the thickness was adjusted by the weight of BtPBI mixed into the solution. BtPBI with various weights were dissolved in NMP, and was filtered using a syringe filter (5 \(\mu\)m, Teflon). The solution was casted onto a glass plate in a 13 \(\times\) 13 cm\(^2\) silicon mold, gradually heated from 25 \(^\circ\)C to 60 \(^\circ\)C over 24 h. During casting, the film thickness was controlled by adjusting the concentration and the amount of casting. The BtPBI was then peeled off the plate by immersing in a water bath after cooling to room temperature (RT), and was dried on a vacuum plate and stored in a desiccator.

In order to prepare hybrid type membrane, 10 wt% Nafion was dissolved in DMSO. The thickness and the uniformity of the membrane can be adjusted by the spray coating process and the hot-press process. Nafion solution was sprayed onto the BtPBI membrane using a spray coater (LSC-300, Lithotech., Korea) operated with a nozzle air pressure of 250 KPa with a moving speed of 50 mm s\(^{-1}\) . Polymer solution was injected at a rate of 0.3 mL min\(^{-1}\) using a syringe pump. The spraying nozzle was moved in the x-axis direction at a travelling distance of 5 mm. The sprayed membrane was then dried at 190 \(^\circ\)C on a vacuum plate for 10 minutes, and a sprayed layer of 1–1.5 \(\mu\)m was obtained by one cycle of spraying. The number of spraying cycle was adjusted to obtain the desired thickness. After spraying, Nafion was evenly pressed using a hot-press with a temperature of 130 \(^\circ\)C and pressure of 10 kgf cm\(^{-2}\) to decrease the fluctuation of the surface. The low \(T_c\) of Nafion, 110 \(^\circ\)C, which causes compression bonding, enables a uniform coating layer.

The membranes were cut into 2 cm \(\times\) 2 cm and were dried at 80 \(^\circ\)C under vacuum for 2 days, and their thickness (\(T\)\(_{undoped}\)) length (\(L\)\(_{undoped}\)), and weight (\(W\)\(_{undoped}\)) at dried state were measured. The membranes were immersed in 4 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) for 2 days at RT for acid doping. The wet acid-doped BtPBI membranes were wiped lightly with Kimwipes, and their thickness (\(T\)\(_{wet}\)), length (\(L\)\(_{wet}\)), and weight (\(W\)\(_{wet}\)) were measured. To measure solely the weights of the acid uptake (\(W\)\(_{doped}\)), the acid-doped membranes were dried at 80 \(^\circ\)C under vacuum for 2 days to remove water. The acid doping level (ADL), acid uptake (\(W\)\(_A\)), and water uptake (\(W\)\(_W\)) were explored using the following equations

\[
\text{ADL} = \frac{[W_{doped} - W_{undoped}]/M_{H_2SO_4}}{W_{undoped}/M_{BtPBI}} \\
W_A \text{ (wt\%)} = \frac{[W_{doped} - W_{undoped}]/W_{undoped} \times 100}
\]
\[
W_W \text{ (wt\%)} = \frac{[W_{wet} - W_{doped}]/W_{undoped} \times 100}
\]

with \(M_{H_2SO_4}\) and \(M_{BtPBI}\) being the molecular weights of sulfuric acid (98.08 g mol\(^{-1}\)) and the repeat unit of the BtPBI (424.5 g mol\(^{-1}\)), respectively.

### 2.4 Membrane permeability to vanadium ions and area resistance

The permeability of vanadium ions passing across the Nafion, pristine BtPBI, and hybrid-type membranes were measured using the following method. A membrane with an effective area of 2.86 cm\(^2\) was placed between the two cells of the diffusion cell. The VO\(^{2+}\) ions passing through the membrane was measured for permeability. The left cell was filled with 80 mL of 1.2 M VOSO\(_4\) in 3 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solution and right cell filled with 80 mL of 1.2 M MgSO\(_4\) in 3 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), with both cells continuously stirred to prevent concentration polarization. The hybrid membranes were assembled with the Nafion layer faced toward VOSO\(_4\). The solution of the right cell was taken at regular intervals of 24 h to measure the VO\(^{2+}\) ion concentration in a quartz cell, using an ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Cary 8454 UV-Vis). The permeability of VO\(^{2+}\) ions through the membranes can be calculated by the following equation,

\[
V_R \frac{dC_R(t)}{dt} = A \frac{P}{L} \left( C_L - C_R(t) \right)
\]

with \(V_R\) the solution volume in the right cell, \(C_L\), the VO\(^{2+}\) concentration in the left cell, and \(C_R(t)\), the VO\(^{2+}\) concentration in the right cell as a function of time \((t)\). \(A\) is the effective area of the membrane, 2.86 cm\(^2\), and \(L\) is the thickness of the membrane. \(P\) is the membrane permeability to VO\(^{2+}\) cations.

Area resistance (AR) can be assessed using an impedance analyzer connected with a two-probe clip-cell. The Nafion 115 and BtPBI membranes were immered in 4 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) at RT for 2 days beforehand. Using the following equation,

\[
R = (R_1 - R_2) \times S
\]

with \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) being the electrical resistance of the membrane with the cell and without the cell, respectively, and \(S\) corresponding to the effective area, 0.196 cm\(^2\), of the two-probe clip-cell, the AR could be evaluated.

The ion conductivity can be obtained from the area resistance value above, using the following equation,

\[
\sigma = \frac{L}{RA}
\]

with \(L\) corresponding to the thickness, \(R\) being the resistance, and \(A\) indicating the effective area of membrane.

### 2.5 Ex situ chemical stability

Before carrying out the ex situ chemical stability test, Nafion 115, pristine BtPBI, and hybrid-type membranes were first dried under vacuum at 80 \(^\circ\)C for 24 h. A special bottle that contains 20 mL of a 1 M VO\(_2\)+/5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solution with a hole in the bottom was made to measure ex situ chemical stability for only one side of the membrane, where the membrane was placed on the bottom with an effective area of 2.86 cm\(^2\) at 40 \(^\circ\)C for 300 h. The details for the experimental setup are described
in ESI (Fig. S2 of ESI†). Visible observations were made for any chemical degradation and were recorded in optical images. After the ex situ chemical stability test, the membrane was washed in 4 M H₂SO₄ to remove vanadium ions, and subsequently in deionized water to remove acid. Post analysis was assessed with the washed membrane, using ¹H-NMR and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Tescan Mira 3 LMU FE6).

2.6 VRFB single cell performance
The VRFB single cell performance was evaluated by assembling VRFB cell by sandwiching a membrane with an effective area of 7 cm × 7 cm (49 cm²) between two carbon-felt electrodes, two flow frames, graphite bipolar plates (Standard Energy Inc.) which are used as current collectors, and copper plates. Both the Nafion 115 and BlpPBI membranes did not go through the pre-treatment process. 80 mL of 1.65 M V₃.₅⁺ in 3 M H₂SO₄ solution was used for both positive and negative electrolytes, with the feed rate of electrolyte flowed at 80 mL min⁻¹. The VRFB single cell performance was operated by a battery cycler system (WonATech, WBCS300M2), varying the current densities by 40, 60, 80, and 100 mA cm⁻² and cut-off voltages of charging and discharging were 1.6 V and 1.0 V. Coulombic efficiency (CE), energy efficiency (EE), and voltage efficiency (VE) were obtained from charge–discharge process, and were calculated as the following,

\[
\text{CE} = \frac{\int_I dt}{\int_{0}^{t} I dt} \times 100\%
\]

\[
\text{EE} = \frac{\int V I dt}{\int_{0}^{t} V I dt} \times 100\%
\]

\[
\text{VE} = \frac{\text{EE}}{\text{CE}} \times 100\%
\]

where \(V\), \(I\), and \(t\) are the voltage, current, and time, correspondingly. The subscripts \(d\) and \(c\) respectively indicate the discharge and charge processes. Post analysis after the VRFB single cell test took place using SEM.

3. Results and discussion
3.1 Membrane preparation with Nafion spray coating
BlpPBI was polymerized from DABI and BITA via condensation polymerization using PPA as solvent, as previously reported. BlpPBI has an inherent viscosity of 1.56 dL g⁻¹ in H₂SO₄ solution at RT and concentration of 0.5%, supporting its high molecular weight. BlpPBI has good solubility to organic solvents because of its amorphous structure induced by the additional benzimidazole side group, eliminating the need to use hazardous solvent, such as methanesulfonic acid. Using NMP as solvent in solution casting method, BlpPBI membranes were prepared with different thickness by adjusting the amount of weight of BlpPBI powder put into the solution.

Hybrid type membranes were fabricated by spray coating Nafion ionomer on one surface of BlpPBI membranes varying the spraying thickness, shown in the schematic drawing in Fig. 1(a). From here on, the names of the hybrid membranes will be designated as BₓNₙy, with \(x\) as the thickness of BlpPBI layer in μm and \(y\) as the thickness of Nafion layer in μm. The hybrid type membranes with coated Nafion ionomer can be verified in the SEM images of Fig. 1 and S1 (ESI†). To confirm the coating layer, hybrid membrane was analysed for F atoms in XPS analysis because Nafion contains F element in the molecular structure, while BlpPBI is absent of F element. Fig. S1(c) (ESI†) showed that the peaks of the F element appeared largely near the 686 eV on the Nafion coated surface. However, no peak was found on the uncoated side.

In an actual application of VRFB, membrane degradation occurs at the positive electrolyte side where the VO₂⁺ ions induce chemical degradation.⁴⁴ Therefore, the chemically stable Nafion layer would face the catholyte when assembled in a VRFB cell. Both B20N5 be and B20N10 membranes have Nafion ionomer at one side of the hybrid membrane, and the other side displaying only pristine BlpPBI membrane, as seen in Fig. S1 (ESI†). The cross-sectional image also confirms that the upper layers of B20N5 (Fig. 1(b)) and B20N10 (Fig. 1(c)) membranes with uniformly thick Nafion ionomer are coated onto the BlpPBI membrane. As we have expected, for hybrid-type membranes, stable interfacial adhesion without any noticeable defect was obtained successfully, as a result of strong acid–base complex between the benzimidazole group in BlpPBI and sulfonic acid group in coated Nafion ionomers.

3.2 Acid/water uptake and area resistance
The general properties of acid doping level, acid uptake, and water uptake obtained from eqn (1)–(3) that were given in the experimental section, are shown in Table 1. Proton conducting ability of innate BlpPBI can be acquired by doping with acid, which can be measured via acid doping level using eqn (1). Since Nafion does not develop an acid–base complex with the acid as the BlpPBI does with the nitrogen in the benzimidazole group, only the BlpPBI proportions of the hybrid membranes of B20N5 and B20N10 were considered for ADL. All the
membranes, BlpPBI, B20N5, and B20N10, had similar ADLs of 2.7 to 2.9. BlpPBI has three benzimidazole groups in the PBI repeat unit, which determines the bound acid content. Since ADL reaches approximately to three for the BlpPBI and hybrid membranes, most of the nitrogen in the benzimidazole groups are protonated by the sulfuric acid, forming an acid–base complex. From here, we can conclude that the thickness of BlpPBI membrane or the existence of additional coated layer does not affect the acid absorbing capability of BlpPBI membranes.

As stated in eqn (2) and (3), the $W_\text{A}$ and the $W_\text{W}$ are calculated considering the whole membrane weight, including both the BlpPBI and the Nafion content. The pristine BlpPBI membrane showed $W_\text{A}$ of 65.5 wt%, demonstrating its excellent acid absorption ability. During the acid absorption process, water molecules were also absorbed into the BlpPBI membrane due to the osmosis and hydrogen bonding with sulfuric acid absorbed, resulting in high $W_\text{W}$ value of 46.2%. However, in 4 M H$_2$SO$_4$ bath, the Nafion membrane showed $W_\text{A}$ of 1.0 wt% and $W_\text{W}$ of 7.0 wt%, which are very low values because Nafion membrane does not create any acid–base interaction with sulfuric acid as BlpPBI does. Hybrid membranes, which combine both BlpPBI and Nafion, have intermediate values of 44.8 wt% $W_\text{A}$ and 20.9 wt% $W_\text{W}$ for B20N5 membrane and 38.7 wt% $W_\text{A}$ and 23.6 wt% $W_\text{W}$ for B20N10 membrane.

The ARs of pristine BlpPBI and hybrid membranes, B20N5 and B20N10, were measured at 4 M H$_2$SO$_4$, shown in Fig. 2. Nafion 115 had high AR of 0.25 Ω cm$^2$ with a thickness of 125 μm, which was 4–5 times thicker than other membranes being evaluated. BlpPBI with a thickness of 25 μm displayed AR of 0.117 Ω cm$^2$ and ion conductivity value of 0.0141 S cm$^{-1}$ using eqn (6). Nafion 115 showed larger AR of 0.25 Ω cm$^2$ but relatively higher ion conductivity of 0.05 S cm$^{-1}$. This is due to the Nafion 115’s thickness of 125 μm, in which the thickness itself can generate large AR. However, when calculating the ion conductivity shown in eqn (6), the equation is divided by the thickness value, and thus the tendency for ion conductivity is reversed. For the hybrid B20N5 and B20N10 membranes, the ARs were 0.201 Ω cm$^2$ and 0.269 Ω cm$^2$, respectively, and the values of ion conductivity were 0.124 S cm$^{-1}$ and 0.111 S cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Using the AR per 1 μm for BlpPBI and Nafion membranes, theoretical resistance can be calculated, which were obtained as 0.151 Ω cm$^2$ and 0.161 Ω cm$^2$, respectively. This values are lower than the measured area resistance, indicating that an interfacial resistance has occurred between BlpPBI layer and Nafion layer. For this reason, the two hybrid membranes have higher ARs than BlpPBI and Nafion membranes and slightly lower ion conductivity values.

### 3.3 Vanadium permeability

Membrane permeability was evaluated by measuring the VO$_{2+}$ ions passing through the membrane in a diffusion cell using UV-Vis spectrometer. The VO$_{2+}$ concentration along with exposed time is represented in Fig. 3, together with the table of vanadium permeability after normalizing with standardized equation. Membranes with low vanadium permeability are crucial in VRFB application for high CEs. The pristine BlpPBI membrane and two hybrid membranes (B20N5 and B20N10) have very low permeability of 3.45 × 10$^{-8}$ cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$ 2.65 × 10$^{-9}$ cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$ and 1.95 × 10$^{-9}$ cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$, respectively, compared to Nafion 115 membrane with the vanadium permeability of 3.48 × 10$^{-7}$ cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$. The vanadium ions are less permeable to BlpPBI membrane because of BlpPBI’s intrinsic nature of forming acid–base complex, which produces fixed positive charges, repelling vanadium cations (Donnan exclusion). In addition, for the hybrid membrane with coated Nafion ionomer, the acid–base complexes between sulfonic acid and benzimidazole groups that are created at the interface between the two layers can further prevent permeation of vanadium ions. As a result, hybrid membranes, B20N5 and B20N10, have lower vanadium permeability than pristine BlpPBI and Nafion 115. Among them, B20N10 has the lowest
vanadium permeability because of the thick Nafion layer. The AR and the vanadium permeability directly affect the VRFB cell performance in terms of VE and CE, which will be discussed in detail in VRFB single cell performance section.

3.4 Ex situ stability

Nafion was coated onto the BlpPBI membrane in this study, since Nafion is renowned for its excellent chemical stability against the highly oxidizing VO$_2^{+}$ ions. Ex situ chemical stability was tested in a 1 M VO$_2^+/5$ M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution at 40 °C for 300 h, which corresponds to a highly accelerated oxidizing condition. Specifically for the testing of the hybrid membranes, a novel type of testing apparatus was devised to measure only one side of the membrane, as shown in Fig. 4(a and b) and S4(a–c) (ESI†). A cylindrical test tube with a hollow opening at the bottom (Fig. S2(a) of ESI†) was encased in a metal container (Fig. S2(b) of ESI†). The final assembly was constructed with the membrane sandwiched between two rubber gaskets laid between the hole of the cylindrical test tube and the chemically stable PTFE plate (Fig. S2(c) of ESI†). With this customized testing apparatus, only one side of the membrane is exposed to a 1 M VO$_2^+/5$ M H$_2$SO$_4$ oxidizing solution, while the other side of the membrane remained intact. For the hybrid membranes of B20N5 and B20N10, the Nafion sides are exposed to 1 M VO$_2^+/5$ M H$_2$SO$_4$ to assess whether Nafion coating protects the BlpPBI membrane from the highly oxidizing environment when applied in VRFB cell.

![Fig. 4](image)

**Fig. 4** (a) Schematic and (b) actual photo of the ex situ chemical stability testing apparatus; SEM images of (c) BlpPBI surface (d) cross section and (e) B20N5 surface (f) cross section.

The chemical degradation of the BlpPBI and the hybrid membranes resulted from the strongly oxidizing VO$_2^+$ ions, which can be visibly seen in Fig. S2(d and e) (ESI†). When the BlpPBI membrane, weakened by the VO$_2^+$ ions, was teared off from the rubber gasket after the test, it created a perforation in the middle (Fig. S2(d) in ESI†). On the contrary, hybrid type membranes, B20N10 and B20N5, did not disintegrate during the tear off, and remained comparatively intact with only minor cracks (Fig. S2(e in ESI†). SEM analysis was done after the ex situ chemical stability test on the surface and the cross section of the membranes, as seen in Fig. 4(c–f). After the accelerated test in 1 M VO$_2^+/5$ M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution, fractures were seen on the surface representing chemical degradation, especially for BlpPBI membrane (Fig. 4(c)). In the cross sectional image, more ruptures were found on the BlpPBI membrane (Fig. 4(d)) compared to the hybrid membrane (Fig. 4(f)). For hybrid membranes of B20N5 (Fig. 4(e and f)) and B20N10 (Fig. S2[f and g) in ESI†), Nafion layer was still attached to the BlpPBI layer when the membranes were pulled off from the rubber gasket. Even though the hybrid membranes were wrinkled, fractures were barely observable. The accelerated oxidizing environment affected the hybrid membranes. However, the Nafion protecting layer deterred the chemical degradation, and thus the membranes were kept all in one piece.

$^1$H-NMR analysis was carried out to the membranes after the ex situ chemical stability test, and was compared to intact BlpPBI membrane, which had no chemical stability test done, presented in Fig. 5. BlpPBI membrane when exposed to 1 M VO$_2^+/5$ M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution showed pronounced change in shape at 7.6–8.2 ppm (d, f, g, h peaks), suggesting considerable chemical degradation has occurred on the phenylene structure in the benzimidazole rings in the highly oxidizing environment of 1 M VO$_2^+/5$ M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution. Also a new peak appeared around 9.0 ppm, additionally confirming chemical degradation of the BlpPBI membrane after the ex situ chemical stability test.

![Fig. 5](image)

**Fig. 5** $^1$H-NMR spectra of (a) pristine BlpPBI; (b) B20N5, (c) B20N10, and (d) BlpPBI after ex situ chemical stability test.
For the hybrid membranes, B20N5 and B20N10, the change of the peak shape was not observed at 7.6–8.2 ppm after the ex situ chemical stability test. Also, the intensities of the newly formed peaks of hybrid membranes at 9.00 ppm were smaller than the peak of chemically degraded BIPBI membrane, signifying that hybrid membranes are less affected by the VO$_2^+$ ions in the accelerated ex situ chemical stability test, as expected.

### 3.5 VRFB single cell performance

VRFB single cell performances were analysed for single cells with four different kinds of membranes, BIPBI, B20N10 and Nafion 115, under various current densities (40, 60, 80, 100 mA cm$^{-2}$), evaluating for EE, CE, and VE, shown in Fig. 6. When assembling the membrane in the single cell, the Nafion coated layer was faced toward the catholyte due to VO$_2^+$ ions presented in the positive electrolyte being the primary reason for membrane degradation. CE, which is defined as the cell’s discharge capacity over its charge capacity, is affected adversely by the diffusion of vanadium ions across the membrane. The single cell of Nafion 115 showed slight increase of CE from 96.6% to 97.1% with increasing current density from 40 mA cm$^{-2}$ to 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ due to shorter crossover time of vanadium species. Single cells with BIPBI and B20N10 showed very high CEs (>99%) throughout all current densities due to Donnan exclusion effect and acid–base complex interface preventing vanadium ions from crossing over the membrane, resulting in low vanadium permeability.

The VE is affected by the ohmic polarization and varies inversely with the current density.$^{41}$ Larger area resistance of membrane enlarges the internal resistance in the VRFB operation, leading to an unfavorable influence over the VE. Although Nafion 115 membrane had the highest AR of 0.25 Ω cm$^2$ due to its thickness of 125 μm, when applied to VRFB single cell, all three single cells showed similar VEs of 90–91%, 85–86%, and 81% in 40, 60, and 80 mA cm$^{-2}$, correspondingly. At 40 mA cm$^{-2}$, the single cell assembled with B20N10 had the highest VE of 91.39%. However, as the current density increased to 100 mA cm$^{-2}$, the B20N10 cell displayed the lowest VE of 76.08%. At higher current density, the membrane resistance is more affected to the voltage efficiency.$^{42}$ Therefore, B20N10 with the highest area resistance among the membranes, showed a larger drop of VE.

EE, a product of CE and VE, is the key parameter for the energy loss occurring during the charge–discharge process. With the combined effect of CE and VE, VRFB single cell fabricated with the hybrid membrane B20N10 showed highest EEs among the single cells with values of 92.66%, 87.55%, and 82.2% in 40, 60, and 80 mA cm$^{-2}$, in the respective order. However, at a high current density of 100 mA cm$^{-2}$, the order of EE value reversed for BIPBI single cell (78.1%) and B20N10 single cell (76.84%) due to the high AR of B20N10. In spite of this overturn, the single cell assembled with B20N10 showed superior EE than the cell with Nafion 115 in all measured current densities. Cycling test was conducted to investigate long term stability of the membranes. Under 60 mA cm$^{-2}$, the continuous charge and discharge test was conducted without replacement of electrolyte for 300 cycles. Fig. S4 in ESI† shows the cycling test efficiency and the discharge capacity of BIPBI and B20N10. For CE, both membranes maintained 100% constantly due to low vanadium permeability. The BIPBI kept stable EE of 90–87% for 300 cycles, and B20N10 maintained EE of 87–85%. Looking at the discharge capacity, BIPBI showed decrease of 28.3% and Nafion coated B20N10 showed decrease of 19.8% in discharge capacity after 300 cycles. This confirms the less capacity loss of B20N10, implying due to the lower vanadium permeability, relatively less capacity retention occurred. The CE and EE of Nafion 115 were stable. However,
due to rapid capacity fade, the capacity retention decreased to less than 50% at 100 cycle. After the VRFB single cell performance test, the testing equipment was disintegrated to evaluate for any visible change in the membrane. No discernible delamination was observed after the test, implying that acid–base complex formed between the benzimidazole group of BI$p$PBI and the sulfonic acid group of Nafion functions as a successful latch between the two layers. Post analysis was carried out for SEM images with B20N10 and BI$p$PBI membranes to examine visually for any degradation in the surface or the cross section of the membrane after the VRFB single cell performance test, featured in Fig. S3 (ESI†). The membrane degradation is less distinctive than the ex situ chemical stability test that used 1 M VO$_2$/$$/\text{aq}$ 5 M H$_2$SO$_4$, revealing that in an actual condition of VRFB, membranes do not go through deterioration as much as they did in the accelerated ex situ membrane degradation is less distinctive than the ex situ chemical stability test. Moreover, the BI$p$PBI membrane (Fig. S3(d and e) in ESI†) showed more roughness and jaggedness on the surface and more cavities on the cross sectional images than the B20N5 membrane (Fig. S3(a–c) in ESI†), signifying that the Nafion protective coat on the surface of B20N5 deter membrane degradation against the oxidative environment of VRFB.

4. Conclusions

Nafion ionomer was successfully spray coated onto the BI$p$PBI membrane and was evaluated for VRFB application. The acid–base complex formed between the nitrogen of benzimidazole group in the BI$p$PBI layer and the sulfonic acid group of the Nafion layer holds these layers together with high interfacial adhesion. The hybrid membranes, B20N5 and B20N10, showed $W_A$ of 44.8 wt% and 38.7 wt% and $W_D$ of 20.9 wt% and 23.6 wt%, respectively, but had similar ADLs (2.7 and 2.9) to the ADL of pristine BI$p$PBI membrane (2.8). The hybrid membranes showed AR of 0.201 Ω cm$^2$ for B20N5 membrane and 0.269 Ω cm$^2$ for B20N10 membrane. The hybrid membranes, B20N10 and B20N5, showed very low vanadium permeabilities of 1.95 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$ and 2.65 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$, respectively, accounted for Donnan exclusion effect and acid–base complex interface preventing the permeation of vanadium species. The excellent chemical stability of Nafion protective layer successfully hinders chemical degradation from highly oxidizing VO$_2$$^+$ species in the ex situ chemical stability test, supported by the results of SEM and $^1$H-NMR. In the VRFB single cell performance, the single cell with B20N10 membrane showed higher EE than the single cell with Nafion membrane for all current densities measured. Moreover, when the membrane was disintegrated from the cell after the test, no delamination was observed proving that stable interfacial adhesion was formed between the BI$p$PBI layer and the Nafion layer. In conclusion, the Nafion protective layer improved chemical stability of the membrane from highly oxidizing VO$_2$$^+$ ions even in an accelerated condition, and the hybrid interface formed from the acid–base complex did not increase the AR that much and decreased the vanadium permeability.
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