Protective effect of *Ruellia tuberosa* L. extracts against abnormal expression of hepatic detoxification enzymes in diabetic rats
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*Ruellia tuberosa* L. (RTL) has been used as a folk medicine for curing diabetes mellitus in East Asia decades. This study investigated the effect of RTL on hepatic detoxification enzyme expression in diabetic rats. Male Wistar rats were fed a high fat diet (HFD) and intraperitoneally injected with streptozotocin (STZ) to induce diabetes. Subsequently, rats received oral administrations of 100 or 400 mg kg−1 body weight RTL extract, in either water (RTLW) or ethanol (RTLE), once a day for 4 weeks. The real-time PCR analyses showed that abnormality of hepatic phase I and II detoxification enzyme expression was observed in diabetic rats. However, both RTLW and RTLE significantly normalized the expression of hepatic phase I detoxification enzymes such as CYP 2E1, and expression of phase II detoxification enzymes such as UGT 1A7 and GST M1 in diabetic rats. Furthermore, we found that fasting serum glucose, hemoglobin A1C (HbA1C) and the area under the curve of oral glucose tolerance test (AUCOGTT) levels were significantly reduced in both RTLW and RTLE treated diabetic rats. Moreover, both RTLW and RTLE significantly increased the activity of hepatic anti-oxidative enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) in diabetic rats. The present study suggests that RTL may ameliorate abnormal hepatic detoxification function via alleviating hyperglycemia and enhancing hepatic antioxidant capacity in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disease caused by an insulin secretion deficiency or insulin dysfunction, which leads to hyperglycemia. DM is an important chronic disease and it is the 5th leading cause of death in the world currently. Type 1 DM (T1DM) and type 2 DM (T2DM) are the two major types, and 95% of patients with DM have T2DM. T2DM is characterized by insulin resistance, i.e., reduced insulin sensitivity of cells and the inability of cells to facilitate glucose uptake, which results in abnormally high blood glucose levels.

The liver contains many detoxification enzymes. It is the most important detoxification organ in the body. The hepatic drug metabolism system is divided into two phases, i.e., phase I enzymes and phase II enzymes systems. Phase I enzymes are responsible for functionalization. These enzymes alter functional groups on xenobiotics to improve their hydrophilicity, which either facilitates their excretion or elevates their polarity for proceeding to phase II. Phase II enzymes are responsible for conjugation. These enzymes conjugate phase I-modified xenobiotics with highly polar molecules, which converts them into hydrophilic, inactive compounds that can be excreted into the bile, feces, or urine. High levels of blood sugar may increase oxidative stress in human body and result in the abnormality of detoxification function in the liver of DM patients. Impairments in detoxification cause accumulations of exogenous or endogenous xenobiotics, which then become toxic in the body. In addition, reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced during phase I, which can increase the risk of liver injury and reduce the detoxification capacity of the liver.

*Ruellia tuberosa* Linn. (RTL), native to tropical America, is a species of *Ruellia* in the Acanthaceae family. This plant has been used for decades as folk medicine for treating diabetes in East Asia. RTL was reported to possess anti-diabetic, anti-oxidant, and anti-inflammatory activities. The hydro-ethanolic leaf extract of *Ruellia tuberosa* L. possesses abilities to reduce serum phospholipids, triglycerides, total cholesterol, LDL-c and VLDL-c levels, and increase HDL-c level in diabetic rats. Various polyphenols and flavonoids, such as apigenin, luteolin, 3,5-diglucoside, apigenin-7-O-glucuronide, apigenin glucoside, apigenin rutinoside, luteolin glucoside, flavone glycoside and cirsimaritin, cirsinarin, cirsiliol 4-glucoside, sorbilin, and pedalin together with betulin, vanillin acid, and indole-3-carboxaldehyde were reported to be isolated from *Ruellia tuberosa* L. However, literature is limited regarding the effect of RTL on T2DM. The aim of this study is to
investigate the ameliorative effect of RTL on hepatic detoxification enzyme system in a T2DM rat model.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Plant materials

The stems and leaves of *Ruellia tuberosa* Linn. (RTL) were collected from the Herb Light farm, Yi-Lan County, Taiwan, in October of 2014.

2.2 Preparation of RTL extracts

The plant materials were washed, dried, weighed, sliced, and freeze dried. Each 1 g of dried stem and leaf were extracted with 6 mL of distilled water (RTLW) or 95% ethanol (RTLE) (1 : 6, w/v) individually at 4 °C for 72 h, and then filtered through cheese cloth. The filtrate is filtered twice through Whatman No. 1 filter paper, and then, centrifuged at 4700 × g for 20 min. The supernatant is vacuum concentrated using a rotary evaporator below 40 °C. The concentrate was freeze dried into a powder and stored at 80 °C until used. The extraction rate of the RTLW and RTLE were 11.4% and 3.1%, respectively. The appearance of both crude extract powders was brownish green after being freeze dried.

2.3 Chemicals and reagents

Bovine serum albumin (BSA), D(+)-glucose, disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na2HPO4), ethanol, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), mannitol, methanol, pio glutazone hydrochloride (Pio), potassium chloride (KCl), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH2PO4), streptozotocin (STZ), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium citrate dehydrate (C6H5O7Na3), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH2PO4), L-glutathione (GSH), 1,4-Dithiothreitol (DTT) was obtained from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA). 1,4-Dithiothreitol (DTT) was obtained from Merk KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). The protease inhibitor cocktail tablet was purchased from Roche (Mannheim, Germany). The Bio-Rad protein assay dye reagent was obtained from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, VA, USA). All of the chemicals used in this study are of analytical grade.

2.4 Animal experimental procedure

Male Wistar rats (4 weeks-old) were obtained from the National Laboratory Animal Center, Taipei, Taiwan. The room conditions and treatment procedures were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and all of the protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan (approval no. 103042, 23 December 2014). The rats were maintained in standard laboratory conditions (22 ± 1 °C and a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle) with free access to food and water. After one week adaptation, the rats were fed with high fat (unsalted butter) diet (HFD, 60% calories from fat) for 4 weeks. In the 5th and 6th weeks, the STZ (28 and 15 mg kg⁻¹ body weight, respectively) was dissolved in 0.1 M sodium citrate buffer at pH 4.5) is intraperitoneally injected into each HFD rat to induce diabetes. After the STZ injection, rats were supplied with drinking water containing 5% sucrose for 48 hours, in order to reduce early death due to insulin discharge from partially injured pancreatic islets. Seventy-two hours later, rats were checked for hyperglycemia. For the animal experimental design, the rats were divided into 7 groups (each contains 6 rats): Group 1 consists of rats fed a normal diet for 10 weeks; Group 2 consists of diabetic rats fed an HFD (60% calories from fat) for 10 weeks as the negative control; Group 3 consists of diabetic rats fed an HFD for 10 weeks and gavaged with Pio (30 mg kg⁻¹ body weight) daily during the last 4 weeks as the positive control; Groups 4 and 5 consisted of diabetic rats fed an HFD for 10 weeks and gavaged with RTLW (100 or 400 mg kg⁻¹ body weight, respectively) daily during the last 4 weeks; Groups 6 and 7 consisted of diabetic rats fed an HFD for 10 weeks and gavaged with RTLE (100 or 400 mg kg⁻¹ body weight, respectively) daily during the last 4 weeks of this 10 week period. The rats were scarified at the end of the experiment, blood samples were collected, and the biochemical analysis was conducted. The livers were stored at −80 °C for further analysis.

2.5 Blood sample preparation

Blood samples were collected and allowed to clot for 30 min at room temperature, and then, centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 min twice to obtain the serum, which is stored at −80 °C till they were used.

2.6 Oral glucose tolerance test

Oral glucose tolerance testing (OGTT) was performed on rats in all groups after an overnight fasting. All animals were orally administered 1.5 g of glucose per kg body weight. Blood was sampled from the tail vessels of conscious animals before (t = 0) and 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after glucose administration. The samples were allowed to clot for 30 min, and then, centrifuged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Primer sequences for RT-PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP 1A2 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-CATCCCCCAGACAGCAAGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 1A2 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-GGTCGAGAGATGCTTG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 2C11 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-GGGCCCTTTGGATCTTTTACGAGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 2C11 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-AGCCGGATTAGTTGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 2E1 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-TGAGAAGAGAAATACAGACAGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 2E1 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-CTTGGGCTAAATCCTGAAAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A2 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-GGCATCTTACAAAGCGGACT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A2 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-CCACCATGACGGTTCACC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 4A2 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-AGATCAGATGCTAAGGGTCAT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 4A2 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-CAGCTCAGAGGTTGAGT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGT 1A7 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-CCGATGATGAGCCTTGAGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGT 1A7 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-AAATTTCTACCCTTAATCAGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST A2 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-GGGAGGAGGCTCTGATTGACA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST A2 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-TGGGCCATGCTGCTTCACC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST M1 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-GTTTGGACAGGGAATACATT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST M1 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-GGCCGCTTCTTGGTCGTTCAAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULT 1A1 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-GGCCGCTTCTTGGTCGTTCAAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULT 1A1 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-ACCAGGACCATGGACACT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULT 2A1 (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-GGTCAGGATCAGCTTCACC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULT 2A1 (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-CCAGCGGATCAGCTTCACC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin (Forward)</td>
<td>5’-AATGCTTTGGTGAGGGATG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin (Reverse)</td>
<td>5’-CTGACACCTACCCACATC-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1  The effect of RTLW, RTLE and pioglitazone on hepatic phase I detoxification relative mRNA level in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats. RTL = Ruellia tuberosa L.; RTLW = Ruellia tuberosa L. water extract; RTLE = Ruellia tuberosa L. ethanol extract; HFD = high fat diet; STZ = streptozotocin. N = Normal diet; DM = high fat diet (HFD) (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection; DM + Pio = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + pioglitazone (30 mg kg⁻¹ B.W.); DM + W100 = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLW (100 mg kg⁻¹ B.W.); DM + W400 = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLW (400 mg kg⁻¹ B.W.); DM + E100 = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLE (100 mg kg⁻¹ B.W.); DM + E400 = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLE (400 mg kg⁻¹ B.W.). a–e letters are significantly different from all samples tested (p < 0.05). Each value is means ± SD, n = 6 per group.
Fig. 2 The effect of RTLW, RTLE and pioglitazone on hepatic phase II detoxification relative mRNA level in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats. RTL = Ruellia tuberosa L.; RTLW = Ruellia tuberosa L. water extract; RTLE = Ruellia tuberosa L. ethanol extract; HFD = high fat diet; STZ = streptozotocin. N = Normal diet; DM = high fat diet (HFD) (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection; DM + Pio = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + pioglitazone (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) B.W.); DM + W100 = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLW (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) B.W.); DM + W400 = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLW (400 mg kg\(^{-1}\) B.W.); DM + E100 = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLE (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) B.W.); DM + E400 = HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLE (400 mg kg\(^{-1}\) B.W.). a–e letters are significantly different from all samples tested (p < 0.05). Each value is means ± SD, n = 6 per group.
were calculated using the amplification carried out with an initial cycle of 95°C and appropriate primer pairs in a Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), expressions were determined by mixing 0.5 μL of total RNA were reverse transcribed into cDNA using a high capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and gene expressions were determined by mixing 0.5 μL of cDNA, 10 μL SYBR® Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and appropriate primer pairs in a final volume of 10 μL. PCR was carried out with an initial cycle of 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles, each consisting of 95°C, 15 s and 60°C, 1 min. The β-actin gene was amplified as the internal control. Each sample was amplified in triplicate, and the differences in the mRNA expression were calculated using the ΔΔCt method.

2.9 Biochemical measurements

The blood hemoglobin A1C (HbA1C), serum fructosamine, and hepatic anti-oxidative enzymes include catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity are determined using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits which are purchased from the Cayman Chemical Company (Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA). Biochemical analyses were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

2.10 Statistical analysis

Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), which is analyzed using one-way ANOVA and Duncan’s new multiple range tests. All comparisons are made relative to the normal group, where p < 0.05 is considered to be significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of RTLW and RTLE on phase I enzymes in liver of HFD/STZ rats

The high oxidative stress caused by hyperglycemia was considered to be associated with abnormal detoxification function in liver of diabetes. Dysfunction of the hepatic detoxification enzyme system can result in either incomplete or excessive removal of xenobiotics from the body. Hepatic detoxification is assured when the expression levels of detoxification enzymes...
are restored to normal levels in liver.\textsuperscript{17} The majority of evidence has indicated that the CYP system appears to be the first step in hepatocytes detoxification. The CYP 1A2 enzyme, which accounts for 13% of the total amount of hepatic CYP enzymes, can metabolize and discharge 15% of the drugs present in the human body.\textsuperscript{18} As shown in Fig. 1A, the expression of hepatic CYP 1A2 enzyme was significantly reduced in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats (0.31-fold of the level in normal rats; \( p < 0.05 \)). After administering RTLW and RTLE for 4 weeks, no significant changes were found in hepatic CYP 1A2 expression in diabetic rats (Fig. 1A).

The CYP 2C family can metabolize over half of the most common drugs.\textsuperscript{19} In this study, hepatic CYP 2C11 mRNA expression was significantly reduced in diabetic rats (Fig. 1B; \( p < 0.05 \)). The level of CYP 2C11 did not significantly change after RTLW and RTLE treatment in diabetic rats (Fig. 1B). CYP 2E1 plays an important role in the metabolism of chemicals and carcinogens.\textsuperscript{20} Previous studies reported that CYP 2E1 expression was significantly elevated in DM patients\textsuperscript{21} and in STZ-induced diabetic rats.\textsuperscript{22} The results from the present study indicated that expression of hepatic CYP 2E1 mRNA was significantly elevated in diabetic rats (Fig. 1C; \( p < 0.05 \)), whereas RTLW, RTLE and Pio-treatments reduced hepatic CYP 2E1 expression in diabetic rats and normalized the levels to that of normal rats (Fig. 1C; \( p < 0.05 \)).

Malleinejad \textit{et al.} reported that the expression of CYP 3A2 mRNA was markedly elevated in the livers of STZ-induced diabetic rats.\textsuperscript{17} In contrast, Vornoli \textit{et al.} found no significant change on protein levels of hepatic CYP 3A2 in HFD/STZ treated Wistar rats.\textsuperscript{16} Fig. 1D shows that expression of hepatic CYP 3A2 mRNA was significantly elevated in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats. Furthermore, the administration of RTLW (W400) or RTLE (E100, E400) were found to suppress hepatic CYP 3A2 over-expression in diabetic rats and restored it approximates to that of normal rats (Fig. 1D; \( p < 0.05 \)).

Vornoli \textit{et al.} revealed that the expression levels of hepatic CYP 4A1 and CYP 4A2 mRNA were significantly enhanced in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats.\textsuperscript{20} Our results also showed that expression of hepatic CYP 4A2 mRNA was enhanced in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats (Fig. 1E; \( p < 0.05 \)), which is consistent with previous study.\textsuperscript{24} In addition, the administration of RTLW and RTLE significantly decreased hepatic CYP 4A2 mRNA expression in diabetic rats and normalized them to that of normal rats (Fig. 1E; \( p < 0.05 \)).

### Table 2: Effect of RTLW, RTLE and pioglitazone on glycemic index in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats for 4 weeks\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>DM + Pio</th>
<th>DM + W100</th>
<th>DM + W400</th>
<th>DM + E100</th>
<th>DM + E400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting serum glucose (mg dL(^{-1}))</td>
<td>72.67 ± 9.63\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>152.25 ± 52.27\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>99.67 ± 10.01\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>98.80 ± 26.31\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>90.83 ± 34.66\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>96.83 ± 28.51\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>107.00 ± 27.08\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1C (%)</td>
<td>4.55 ± 0.26\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>7.37 ± 0.70\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>5.20 ± 0.29\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>6.10 ± 0.69\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>5.78 ± 0.19\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>5.72 ± 0.15\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>5.95 ± 1.48\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum fructosamine ((\text{\mu})mol L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>178 ± 13\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>257 ± 22\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>193 ± 19\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>204 ± 8\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>193 ± 23\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>201 ± 85\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>204 ± 18\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{RTL} = \text{Ruellia tuberosa L.}; \text{RTLW} = \text{Ruellia tuberosa L. water extract}; \text{RTLE} = \text{Ruellia tuberosa L. ethanol extract}; \text{HFD} = \text{high fat diet}; \text{STZ} = \text{streptozotocin}; \text{HbA1C} = \text{hemoglobin A1C}; \text{N} = \text{normal diet}; \text{DM} = \text{HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection}; \text{DM + Pio} = \text{HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + pioglitazone (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) B.W.)}; \text{DM + W100} = \text{HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLW (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) B.W.)}; \text{DM + W400} = \text{HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLE (400 mg kg\(^{-1}\) B.W.)}; \text{DM + E100} = \text{HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLE (E100)}; \text{DM + E400} = \text{HFD (60% fat) + STZ intraperitoneal injection + RTLE (E400)}.\textsuperscript{a} a-c letters in the same row are significantly different from all samples tested (\( p < 0.05 \)). Each value is means ± SD, \( n = 6 \).
Table 3: Hepatic anti-oxidative enzymes capacity of HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats fed with RTLW, RTLE and pioglitazone for 4 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>DM + Pio</th>
<th>DM + W100</th>
<th>DM + W400</th>
<th>DM + E100</th>
<th>DM + E400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>58.56</td>
<td>50.97</td>
<td>65.94</td>
<td>34.16</td>
<td>34.31</td>
<td>34.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>64.66</td>
<td>64.66</td>
<td>64.66</td>
<td>64.66</td>
<td>64.66</td>
<td>64.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPX</td>
<td>13.65b</td>
<td>50.97b</td>
<td>50.97</td>
<td>50.97</td>
<td>50.97</td>
<td>50.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The expression of hepatic SULT 1A1 mRNA in diabetic rats and normalized levels to that of normal rats (Fig. 2E; p < 0.05).

The above findings demonstrated that RTLW and RTLE may normalize hepatic phase I enzymes and phase II enzymes expressions in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats.

3.3 Effect of RTLW and RTLE on glucose tolerance in HFD/STZ rats

The long-term excessive intake of a high-calorie diet is considered to be related to many metabolic symptoms and diseases, such as obesity, T2DM, fatty liver, etc. Oral glucose tolerance testing (OGTT) is one way to determine a clinical diagnosis of DM. As shown in Fig. 3A, the initial serum glucose levels in the HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats were significantly higher than those in the RTL-treatments rats (W100, W400, E100, and E400 groups) and the normal rats (p < 0.05). At 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after glucose administration, the HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats showed a much higher increment in serum glucose levels compared with the other groups in the OGTT. Moreover, the diabetic rats that orally received RTLW and RTLE extracts showed significantly lower serum glucose levels (p < 0.05) compared with the serum glucose levels in the diabetic rats and restored to levels similar to those of rats fed normal diets at 120 min (Fig. 3A; p < 0.05), indicating the ameliorative ability of RTLW and RTLE on maintaining serum glucose homeostasis.

Furthermore, we observed that the AUCOGTT in diabetic rats (20 982.0 ± 27 42.1) was significantly higher than that of normal rats (10 322.5 ± 25 35.9; p < 0.05; Fig. 3B). The high area under the curve of the OGTT (AUCOGTT) indicates poor glucose tolerance in mammalian species. Previous studies have indicated that a HFD leads to insulin resistance, impaired glucose tolerance, and reduced glucose uptake capacity in rats.28-31 The results from present study indicated RTLW and RTLE may improve serum glucose homeostasis and glucose tolerance in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats.

3.4 Effect of RTLW and RTLE on serum glucose index in HFD/STZ rats

In the human body, glucose is the most abundant simple sugar and lysine is the most abundant primary amino acid. Glucose can react with lysine to form glucosylamine (Schiff base) within minutes; this compound can be further converted to fructosamine (a type of ketoamine), which subsequently forms an irreversible advanced glycation end product.34 Fructosamine promotes oxidative stress and inflammation in vivo.35 The level of fructosamine reflects the level of blood glucose in the body over the last 1 to 3 weeks. Hemoglobin is a protein in red blood cells that carries oxygen to tissues and cells. Glucose may react with the N-terminus of the β-chain of hemoglobin to form glycosylated hemoglobin (or called hemoglobin A1C, HbA1C). The HbA1C level reflects the level of hyperglycemia in the body over the last 2 to 3 months. The results of this study revealed that STZ/HFD significantly increased the blood fructosamine and HbA1C contents in rats; however, both RTLW and RTLE significantly reduced these contents in diabetic rats (Table 2; p < 0.05). We speculate that RTLW and RTLE could alleviate...
hyperglycemia and ameliorate blood glucose homeostasis via suppressing insulin resistance in STZ/HFD-induced diabetic rats. Methanolic extract of RTL contains flavonoids includes luteolin, 6-hydroxyluteolin-7-alpha-glucoside and its glycosides, which have known antioxidant properties, may be thought to involve in the improvement of insulin resistance in diabetic rats.\(^{36}\)

### 3.5 Effect of RTLW and RTLE on hepatic anti-oxidative enzymes capacity in HFD/STZ rats

Increased oxidative stress is an important risk factor for progression of DM and hepatic detoxification impairment.\(^{37}\) Previous studies found that high blood sugar may lead to the generation of excessive free radicals and adversely reduce the activity of antioxidant enzymes.\(^{38-40}\) Consequently, patients with DM have reduced antioxidant capacity.\(^{41-42}\) High concentrations of free fatty acids may stimulate the production of superoxide anions, hydrogen peroxide, and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), which results in high oxidative stress levels in the liver.\(^{43-44}\) In addition, ROS are released during the phase I metabolic process, which viciously contributes to the development of DM.\(^{45}\) Table 3 shows the effect of RTLW and RTLE treatments on hepatic antioxidant capacity in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats. The capacity of hepatic anti-oxidative enzymes, including SOD, CAT, and GPx, were significantly reduced in diabetic rats compared to normal rats (Table 3, \(p < 0.05\)). The administration of RTLW or RTLE increased hepatic SOD capacity in diabetic rats as a dose-dependent manner (Table 3, \(p < 0.05\)). In addition, RTLE (E100, E400) increased hepatic CAT capacity in diabetic rats, and high doses of RTLW (W400) or RTLE (E400) significantly elevated hepatic GPx capacity in diabetic rats (Table 3, \(p < 0.05\)). It was hypothesized that the enhancing of hepatic antioxidant capacity comprised a key mechanism underlying the protective activity of RTLW and RTLE on impairment of detoxification function in liver of diabetic rats.

The administration of RTLW and RTLE down-regulated the hepatic phase I detoxification enzyme related mRNA expression, including the CYP 2E1, CYP 3A2 and CYP 4A2. RTLW and RTLE also up-regulated the hepatic phase II detoxification enzyme related mRNA expression, including UGT 1A7, UGT 2B1, GST A2, GST M1 and SULT 1A1. RTLW and RTLE significantly reduced the values of the area under the curve for glucose in an oral glucose tolerance test and increased the capacity of hepatic antioxidant enzymes in HFD rats. Based on the above-mentioned results, high dose RTLW (W400) exhibited a better ability than others on alleviating abnormal serum glucose level expression of hepatic detoxification enzymes in diabetic rats.

### 4. Conclusion

The present study demonstrated that RTLW and RTLE may alleviate hyperglycemia and enhance hepatic antioxidant capacity, thus suppress the generation of oxidative stress and subsequently normalize hepatic detoxification enzymes expression in HFD/STZ-induced diabetic rats. A postulated mechanism is shown in Fig. 4. Our findings suggested that RTL possesses beneficial potential for becoming a complementary medicine on preventing hyperglycemia and abnormal detoxification function in diabetes mellitus. Further investigation on the purification and identification of active compounds in RTL is currently on the way in our laboratory.
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