Practical, mild and efficient electrophilic bromination of phenols by a new I(III)-based reagent: the PIDA–AlBr₃ system†
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A practical electrophilic bromination procedure for phenols and phenol–ethers was developed under very mild and reaction conditions. A broad scope of arenes was investigated, including the benzoimidazole and carbazole core as well as analgesics such as naproxen and paracetamol. The new I(III)-based brominating reagent PhIOAcBr is operationally easy to prepare by mixing PIDA and AlBr₃. Our DFT calculations suggest that this is likely the brominating active species, which is prepared in situ and isolated after centrifugation. Its stability at 4 °C after preparation was confirmed over a period of one month and no significant loss of its reactivity was observed. Additionally, the gram-scale bromination of 2-naphthol proceeds with excellent yields. Even for sterically hindered substrates, a moderately good reactivity is observed.

Introduction

 Aryl bromides are compounds of great importance in organic chemistry. They appear or are frequently used in materials science, agrochemicals, natural compounds and pharmaceuticals (1). Moreover, they constitute important building blocks for C–C bond formation, in the transition-metal-free procedures or metal-catalyzed Suzuki, Stille and Negishi cross-coupling reactions as well as the Mizoroki–Heck olefination and the Sonogashira alkynylation.

To date, several procedures for the bromination of arenes have been reported. Among the most representative of these, metal-catalyzed bromination reactions using Ru, Rh, V, Cu, Pd or Au are excellent examples. On the other hand, Br₂ and NBS-based brominating procedures are broadly used in the presence of organocatalysts, ionic liquids, TMSCl, supercritical CO₂ (ref. 21) or Fe₂O₃–zeolite as additives or reaction media. Regarding the reagent-economy procedures, the oxidation of different bromide salts is a widely exploited tool. Thus, a range of different oxidant systems, such as HBr–Selectfluor®, HBr–H₂O₂, HBr–DMSO or KBr–I₂O₅ (ref. 26) have been successfully utilized. Finally, in the context of this work, bromide salt oxidation by I(III) reagents is a particularly elegant and useful bromination strategy. In this regard, the work of Braddock, Zhou and Evans should be emphasized (Scheme 1). Herein we describe an economical procedure developed for the efficient electrophilic bromination of the phenolic core, whereby an I(III) reagent oxidizes the bromine atoms of AlBr₃. A number of representative advantages of this strategy over those previously published are highlighted, such as its easy handling, fast in situ formation of the brominating reagent and mild, non-toxic as well as operationally simple procedure.

Results

Recently, we described an efficient procedure for the chlorination of electron-rich arenes using the PIFA-AlCl₃ system (PIFA = PhI(OTFA)₂), which inspired us to extend our oxidative halogenation strategy to the bromination of arenes. In this way we decided to test the hypothesis by initially mixing PIFA and AlBr₃, in order to effect the bromination of 2-naphthol. Our optimization results are summarized in Table 1.

We started the optimization by using 0.5 and 1.0 equiv. of PIFA and 2.4 equivalents of aluminium tribromide in acetonitrile at room temperature. As expected, we found that the reaction gave 1-bromo-2-naphthol in yields of 28% and 81%, respectively (entries 1 and 2). These experiments validated our hypothesis, and in principle, we could extend our previously developed oxidative halogenation procedure to the bromination of different arenes.
of arenes. It was also evident that at least a stoichiometric amount of the I(III) reagent is necessary as oxidant for completion of the reaction. Then, maintaining the aluminium tribromide amount at 2.4 equivalents and keeping acetonitrile as solvent, one equivalent of PIFA at 40 °C was tested (entry 3), leading to a decreased yield (65%). By using 1.5 equivalents of PIFA we obtained a yield of 84% (entry 4), which is slightly higher than entry 2. The use of two equivalents of PIFA gave a lower yield, corresponding to 78% (entry 5). When the solvent was changed to dichloromethane, a very complex reaction mixture was observed (entry 6). At this point, entry 2 represented a promising result. However, we realized that while PIFA and PIDA ((diacetoxyiodo)benzene) are structurally similar reagents, commercial PIDA is circa one-third the price of PIFA. Thus, we tested the conditions used in entry 2 with PIDA as oxidant. Gratifyingly, this reaction provided an excellent 93% yield (entry 7). The use of 1, 1.5 and 2 equivalents of aluminium tribromide (entries 8–10) did not lead to completion of the reaction presumably due to lower bromine concentration compared to entry 7 and gave moderate to poor yields (ca. 44% to 73%). We determined that 2.4 equivalents was thus the optimal amount of aluminium tribromide. Further attempts to optimize PIDA, solvent or temperature (entries 11–15), gave lower yields or complex reaction mixtures. Finally, control experiments, performed by testing only aluminium tribromide, did not produce any observable reaction. This set of experiments suggested that entry 7 is the best choice of conditions for the oxidative halogenation of arenes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report describing an oxidation of the bromine atoms of AlBr₃ to a “Br⁺” equivalent using an I(III) reagent. Additionally, the change of PIFA (6 USD per g) to PIDA as the oxidant makes the reaction a synthetically and economically attractive procedure, since the starting materials are cheap: AlBr₃ (2 USD per g) and PIDA (1.9 USD per g).

With the optimal conditions in hand, we proceeded to test the scope and limitations of our oxidative bromination protocol (Scheme 2).

A range of electron-rich and electron-poor phenolic substrates was tested, as well as some of their methyl-ether derivatives. Thus, 2-naphthol was brominated in 93% yield to obtain 1, and this reaction also gave an excellent 91% yield when performed on a gram-scale. This experiment demonstrated the scalability and efficiency of our procedure. 2-Methoxynaphthalene was also brominated in 88% yield to afford 2. In addition, electron-poor naphthol derivatives containing bromine and chlorine were successfully brominated (3 to 10). The bromination of 4-bromo-1-naphthol and its methyl-ether led to 3 and 4 in 86% and 92% yields, respectively. Starting from 1-bromo-2-naphthol or 6-bromo-2-naphthol, 94% and 96% yields were obtained for 5 and 7, respectively. Their corresponding methyl-ethers furnished the desired brominated derivatives in 86% to 88% yields for compounds 6 and 8, respectively. Also the bromination of 1-chloro-2-naphthol synthesized by our procedure, and its methyl-ether, proceeded in 90% and 88% yields, respectively. The mono-bromination of the electron-rich 7-methoxy-2-naphthol yielded 11 in 54% and the corresponding methyl-ether was.

### Table 1. Optimization of the bromination of 2-naphthol using the I(III)–AlBr₃ system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>I(III) (equiv.)</th>
<th>AlBr₃ equiv.</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Yielda (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PIFA (0.5)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PIFA (1.0)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PIFA (1.0)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PIFA (1.5)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PIFA (2.0)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PIFA (1.0)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>c.r.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PIDA (1.2)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PIDA (1.0)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PIDA (1.0)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PIDA (1.0)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>PIDA (1.3)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>PIDA (1.0)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>PIDA (1.0)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>c.r.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>PIDA (1.0)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>c.r.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>PIDA (1.0)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a All reactions were carried out without the use of inert atmosphere. Isolated yields are described. n.r. = no reaction observed. PIFA = PhI(OAc)₂ or [bis(trifluoroacet oxy)iodo]benzene. PIDA = PhI(OAc)₃ or (diacetoxyiodo)benzene. c.r.m. = complex reaction mixture.
monobrominated in 84% yield to afford 12. The 3-bromo-2-naphthol was brominated in excellent 90% yield to get 13. In the same way the 2,3-dimethoxynaphthalene was brominated to afford a separable mixture of 14 and 15 in 65% and 18% respectively. This set of experiments highlight the efficacy of our protocol applied to the bromination of naphthols. The further scope of the reaction was tested with different mono-annular phenols. Thereby, electron-rich phenols containing various aryl or alkyl groups such as phenyl, methyl, iso-propyl or tert-butyl, were successfully brominated. Compound 16 containing a phenyl ring was obtained in 76% yield. The bulky 2,6-di-tert-butylphenol provided 17 in 62% yield. We observed that the more hindered the substituents of the phenolic core are, the lower the yield is. Additionally, the typical para regioselectivity was obtained. In comparison, the less bulky but also less electron-rich 2,6-dimethylphenol furnished 18 in 57% yield. The weakly electron-donating 3,4-dimethoxyphenol produced the ortho-brominated derivative 19 in 30% yield. This example shows a dramatic decrease in yield, which clearly indicates that the reaction at the para position is chemically preferred and the steric hindrance in the proximity of the hydroxyl group also affects our procedure. In this regard, the bromination of 4-isopropoxyphenol was achieved in 18% yield to obtain 20, confirming our hypothesis. Thus, we identified that bulky substituents at the para position with respect to the hydroxyl group may also affect the yield. On the other hand, the presence of one, two or three strongly electron-donating methoxy groups afford moderate yields of 43% to 52% for 21 to 25. Finally, to complete this scope, a number of electron-deficient phenols were examined. Accordingly, 2-bromophenol was brominated in 35% yield to provide 26. The bromination of 4-bromophenol provided 31 in 28% yield and the bis-brominated derivative 32 in 8% yield as a separable mixture of products from a complex reaction. Similarly low yields were observed for chloro derivative. This way, 4-chlorophenol gave 26 and 27 in 35% and 12% of yield. Also the bromination of 4-chloro-2-methoxynaphthol provide 28 and 29 in 28% and 10% yields, respectively. These results clearly delineate the scope and limitations of our protocol. The procedure is excellent for naphthols, moderately good for electron-rich mono-annular phenols, but struggles with bulky para-substituted phenols as well as those electron-poor phenols.

However, it is important to highlight the following advantages of our protocol: (1) the reagent-economy, (2) the operational ease of handling, (3) the absence of the need for an inert atmosphere, (4) the absence of the need for reagent activation, as required in the known NBS-based procedures, and (5) excellent yields are shown in general for naphthols.

Subsequently, we tested the scope of this procedure with further compounds to identify the tolerance of the reaction to different functional groups and heterocycles, which could negatively impact our brominating procedure at the naphthol moiety (Scheme 3).
In this regard, the functionalization of 2-naphthol at the oxygen with the benzyl and propargyl groups was explored, in addition to acyls of assorted sizes. Thereby, the acetyl derivative yielded the corresponding brominated naphthol in 72% yield. The presence of a benzyl group allowed the bromination reaction to proceed in 62% yield. In this case, the amounts of the oxidant and bromine source had to be doubled, and heating to 80 °C was necessary for complete consumption of the starting material. The pivaloyl and propargyl naphthol derivatives afforded the desired compounds in 75% and 62% yields, respectively, under the previous conditions. These tests demonstrate the excellent reactivity of our reagent, which provided good yields for sterically hindered naphthols even in the presence of bulky groups such as pivaloyl. The increased amounts of PIDA and AlBr₃, and the increase of the temperature to 80 °C, still represent mild reaction conditions, and maintain the high reactivity of our brominating reagent.

Specifically, the bromination of 2-(allyloxy)naphthalene (eqn (1)) afforded two compounds, the expected brominated compound 42 (22% yield) and the naphthofuran 43 (36% yield). The former product is the result of the cationic bromocyclization induced by our reagent. This is a very important feature which provides the possibility of applying our procedure in polyeNE bromocyclizations. While the obtained yield for 43 was not particularly high, the optimization of this cyclization reaction is a possibility for future work. On the other hand (eqn (2)), when we attempted the bromination reaction of the salicylic acid methyl-ester, the corresponding carboxylic acid 44 was obtained in 76% yield. This reaction represents a novel and mild procedure to transform the ester into carboxylic acids via halolysis.

We then carried out attempts to synthesize and characterize a plausible active brominating species from this reaction. Thereby, PIDA and AlBr₃ (1:2) were mixed and stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature. After centrifugation and solvent concentration, we obtained a yellow-orange solid with a strong smell of bromine. Several attempts to obtain tractable ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed only decomposition, as judged from the complexity of the observed NMR signals. This observation is presumably a result of decomposition due to thermal stability of this active species.

During the exploration of the functional group scope of this reaction, we observed an interesting reactivity of the allyl and ester functionalities (eqn (1) and (2)).
suggest the favorable formation of species. The enthalpy and Gibbs free energy of the reaction out to provide preliminary support for the identity of the active and isolation. Moreover, theoretical calculations were carried in situ or by mixing PIDA with AlBr₃, followed by centrifugation.

To our delight, using 1.1 equiv. of the presumed active brominating species (PhIOAcBr) in acetonitrile at 23 °C provided an excellent yield (92%) of 1. This reaction was carried out the day after the synthesis of the reagent, which was stored at 4 °C before use. When the reaction was attempted with a reagent stored at 23 °C for one day, we obtained a lower yield (86%). In general, bromination reactions with reagents stored at 4 °C gave essentially the same high yields (91% to 90%) even after one month of storage. In the reactions carried out with the reagent stored at 23 °C, notably diminished but still encouraging yields were observed (86% to 75%) over a period of one month.

These reactions potentially implicate PhIOAcBr as the plausible brominating active species, which can be synthesized in situ or by mixing PIDA with AlBr₃, followed by centrifugation and isolation. Moreover, theoretical calculations were carried out to provide preliminary support for the identity of the active species. The enthalpy and Gibbs free energy of the reaction between PIDA and AlBr₃ in acetonitrile at 23 °C were calculated at the (smd:acetonitrile)M08-hx/(LANL08d, G-311G*) level and suggest the favorable formation of PhIOAcBr (eqn (3)).

In light of these results, additional calculations are currently underway in our group to establish a plausible reaction mechanism for this novel procedure. Meanwhile, we have provided several arguments pointing to PhIOAcBr being the active brominating species in our protocol.

Based on the experimental work presented here, and the known chemistry of I(III) reagents, we have compiled the following mechanistic proposal (Scheme 5).

The mechanism starts with the coordination of the AlBr₃ to PIDA in order to provide I. This generates the plausible active brominating species II and releases the aluminate V, which is in equilibrium with IV. At this point, the corresponding phenolic molecule attacks to II, giving rise to III with the concomitant loss of the acetate anion and iodobenzene by reductive elimination. Finally, the spontaneous aromatization of III affords the desired brominated phenol derivative VI.

**Conclusions**

In summary, we have developed a new and efficient I(III)-based electrophilic bromination procedure for phenols, phenol ethers and some heterocycles. Our protocol uses aluminium tribromide as ligand activator for the reaction and as a source of bromine atoms. The reaction is a reagent-economic procedure since its reagents PIDA and AlBr₃ are inexpensive. The reagent is additionally easy to handle and the reactions proceed under very mild reaction conditions (r.t., open flask). Reagent activation is not necessary and excellent yields, mainly for naphthols, are observed. Our experimental and theoretical work suggests that PhIAcOBr is most likely the active brominating species, which can be prepared in situ or synthesized and isolated. This reagent is stable at least for one month, without losing its reactivity, if stored at 4 °C. Our reagent shows excellent reactivity across a broad scope of functional groups in bis- and mono-annular phenols as well as heterocycles. Additionally, analgesics like naproxen or paracetamol were brominated with our procedure.

To the best of our knowledge this is the first report of the oxidation of the bromine atoms in AlBr₃ to a “Br⁺” equivalent and their application to the bromination of phenolic cores. This
strategy presents a clear advantage over those using peroxides, since it is not easy to control oxidation processes involving the latter reagents.
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Notes and references
31 (a) An extensive search in the literature reveals that this described route (O-functionalization and bromination) is opposite that most commonly used for the synthesis of 29–
32. This supports the excellent reactivity and the good tolerance to bulky functional groups of our developed bromination procedure; (b) The latent z-bromination in acetyl derivative, as well as the benzylic bromination in benzyl derivative or bromination in triple bond for propargyl example was not observed; this also showed the chemoselectivity of our procedure.


33 See ESI† for a detailed preparation procedure.


35 PhIOAcBr has been previously postulated as a I(III)-based brominating active species, see ref. 26. However, in this reference, there is a very narrow scope and there is no evidence to support its formation, stability, reactivity or physicochemical characteristics.
