

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 19465

Received 6th April 2018

Accepted 15th May 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02968g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

On how ancillary ligand substitution affects the charge carrier dynamics in dye-sensitized solar cells†

Hashem Shahroosvand, * Saeid Abaspour, Babak Pashaei and Babak Nemati Bideh

With respect to N3, a champion sensitizer in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs), S3 which contained a phenTz (1,10-phenanthroline 5-tetrazole) ancillary ligand showed outstanding improvements in molar extinction coefficient (ϵ) from 10 681.8 to 12 954.5 M cm⁻¹ as well as 0.92% and 0.9% increases in power conversion efficiency (PCE) and incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE), reaching 8.46% and 76.5%, respectively. To find the origin of the high performance of the DSSC based on a phenTz ancillary ligand, transient absorption spectroscopy (TA) was carried out and indicated that the rate of the regeneration reaction is about 100 times faster than the rate of recombination with the dye which is very exciting and surely a good reason to promote the phenTz ligand as a promising ancillary ligand in DSSCs.

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) are still one of the most attractive devices for converting solar energy to electrical energy,¹ achieving $11.9 \pm 0.4\%$ and $11.2 \pm 0.3\%$ for the record power conversation efficiency (PCE) values for DSSCs and solid-state DSSCs, respectively.² However, the recent remarkable progress due to perovskite solar cells (PSCs) on enhancing PCE values, which have reached above 22%,³ demonstrates an alternative candidate to replace DSSCs. However, the very low stability of PSCs under normal conditions and the high toxicity of the lead in the perovskite component result in an ambiguous future for their commercialization and development in the industry.⁴ Therefore, the higher stability of DSSCs compared to that of PSCs is an outstanding property which has attracted great interest among scientists.⁵ However, there are very much still issues of fundamental understanding for both DSSCs and PSCs, for which theoreticians have to come in. In addition, Michael Grätzel correctly stated, "So, fundamentals are key, and that holds for both the DSSC technology and PSCs because we want to get to new formulations with reduced lead and some alternative substituents less compromising for the environment. So, working on fundamentals is actually extremely important for both the DSSC and PSC sides".⁶

Consequently, most research on DSSCs has been concerned with the exploring of the dyes and electrolytes as well as the consistency between them.⁷ In this framework, an ancillary ligand component of a dye plays a key role in enhancing the PCE via different approaches including increasing the molar

absorption coefficient, extending the light harvesting and so on.⁸ Since the design of the ancillary ligand around the metal cores is a key factor for an efficient electron transfer process in DSSCs,⁹ the introduction of aromatic rings containing multiple nitrogen donor atoms such as imidazolyl,¹⁰ triazolyl¹¹ or pyrazolyl¹² rings in the backbone of the polypyridyl ligand, allowed the modulation of the spectroscopic and redox properties through the tuning of the HOMO–LUMO gap of the resulting complexes.¹³ Tetrazole is an interesting ligand which is extensively used in different applications such as in organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs),¹⁴ light emitting electrochemical cells (LEECs),¹⁵ DSSCs,¹⁶ and so on. The strong electron-withdrawing character of the tetrazolate ligand due to bipyridine can increase the oxidation potential of ruthenium(II) dyes containing these ligands, a useful tool for the facile regeneration of oxidized sensitizers through the presence of a redox couple.¹⁷ In addition to its utility for electron transfer properties in optoelectronic devices, the tetrazolate ligand has previously been employed to provide remarkable coordination networks with transition metals.¹⁸ However, a few tetrazole complexes were reported for use in DSSCs to date, indicating hopeful results for the promotion of this family.¹⁶ However, there are no reports on the incorporation of tetrazole coupled with a polypyridyl ligand into DSSCs so far. Here, for the first time, we employed three sensitizers based on 1,10-phenanthroline which were functionalized with a tetrazole moiety, which led to higher PCEs than the benchmark sensitizer N3.

The synthesis procedure for phenTz is simple and does not require special conditions or further purification. However, the attachment of tetrazole to a polypyridyl ligand is very rare and this pathway could open up new avenues for the synthesis of

Group for Molecular Engineering of Advanced Functional Materials (GMA), Chemistry Department, University of Zanjan, Zanjan, Iran. E-mail: shahroos@znu.ac.ir

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02968g



other complexes in the polypyridyl family. The synthesis procedures for the ligands and complexes are shown in Fig. 1 and explained in the ESI in Fig. S1 and S2†. The structure of the synthesized complexes is homologous to N3 and systematically comparable with it. For S1, one phenTz replaced the NCS groups of N3, for S2, one phenTz and one bpy replaced the NCS groups and a dicarboxylic bipyridine (dcbpy) and for S3, one phenTz replaced one dcbpy. Therefore, our actual aim in this work is to modify the structure of N3 with a phenTz ligand.

Typically, the UV-vis spectra of ruthenium polypyridyl complexes show two quite different regions: one is an intense band in the UV region which can be attributed to intra-ligand charge transfer and the other is a relatively broad band in the visible region which can be assigned to metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT).¹⁹ The broadening and red shifting of the MLCT band in S1–S3 can be attributed to spin–orbit coupling, exhibiting a band tail which has been theoretically proven.¹⁴ The first promising evidence that tetrazole can be employed as an ancillary ligand in DSSCs is the increasing of the molar absorption coefficient (ϵ) for the MLCT of S2 and S3 compared to that of N3, as shown in Fig. 2a. The ϵ values for S1, S2, S3 and N3 are 7045.4, 11 818.2, 12 954.5 and 10 681.8 M cm⁻¹, respectively, showing that the ϵ of S3 is 21% higher than that of N3. This property is due to the efficient light harvesting antenna, the tetrazole ancillary ligand, which is promising for enhancing the PCE. As shown in Fig. 2b, the photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the synthesized complexes are the same as N3 in the deep red region with a quantum yield of about 0.6, confirming the similarity between the ruthenium tetrazole polypyridyl family and the ruthenium polypyridyl analogues.²⁰ The cyclic voltammetry analysis of the S1–S3 complexes also shows typical behaviour for ruthenium polypyridyl complexes including one pseudo-reversible redox half wave in the positive region due to Ru(II)/Ru(III) and some irreversible redox half waves in the negative region. The oxidation half potential of the standard N3 is about 1.10 V vs. the NHE if the dye is fully protonated,²¹ while the E_{ox} values for S1–S3 are 1.22, 1.44 and




Fig. 2 (a) UV-vis and PL spectra of S1–S3 and N3 in ACN solution. (b) Cyclic voltammograms of complexes S1–S3 on a platinum electrode. (c) I – V spectra of DSSCs based on S1–S3 and N3. (d) IPCE spectra of S1–S3 and N3.

1.48 V, respectively. Therefore, we expect that S3 could better facilitate the regeneration of the oxidized dyes through the presence of the redox couple than N3 due to the stronger electron-withdrawing nature of the tetrazole ligand compared to that of bipyridyl.¹⁷

The obtained interesting results from UV-vis spectroscopy and its electrochemical properties make ruthenium tetrazole a good candidate for use in DSSCs. To explore the photovoltaic performance of the investigated complexes, DSSCs based on these complexes have been fabricated, as explained in the ESI (Fig. S3†). As shown in Fig. 2c, surprisingly, DSSCs based on S3 showed higher PCEs than N3, reaching 8.46% which indicates the importance of the phenTz ligand. After S3, S2 and then S1 have the highest PCEs, which are lower than that of N3. This remarkable result indicated the substitution of the ancillary ligand, for which the appropriate substitution was found in S3, where one phenTz had replaced one dcbpy in N3. To investigate the ability of the synthesized complexes to convert photons to electricity, the incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) was recorded, as shown in Fig. 2d. The IPCE results confirmed the obtained results from UV-vis and I – V , showing that S3 has the best performance among the other synthesized complexes, as well as N3 (Table 1). As expected from the I – V and IPCE data, the minimum series resistance of the devices was achieved for S3 with 1.979 ohm cm⁻², confirming that it was the most efficient in its family. To confirm the reproducibility of the I – V results and avoid residual data, 10 cells were fabricated for which the PCE values were close to those from the current results (ESI, Fig. S2†).

The photostability of a DSSC is very critical in evaluating the new investigated dyes for further applications. The photovoltaic performance during the test was recorded in detail. The representative variations in the photovoltaic parameters of the S1–S3 and N3-sensitized solar cells are displayed in Fig. 3. From the




Fig. 1 The synthesis procedures for phenTz and the S1–S3 complexes. The structure of N3 is shown for comparison.



Table 1 I - V , IPCE and series resistance data for S1–S3 and N3

Dye	J_{sc} (mA cm ⁻²)	V_{oc} (V)	FF (%)	η (%)	IPCE (%)	Series resistance (ohm cm ⁻²)
S1	8.51	0.65	72.2	4.02	50.3	4.614
S2	10.03	0.65	73.2	4.84	58.4	12.05
S3	16.75	0.72	70.0	8.46	76.5	1.979
N3	16.30	0.68	70.5	7.83	69.4	2.53





Fig. 3 Photostability of the DSSCs based on the new dyes and N3 over time under visible light soaking.

test period results, we conclude that the stability of the cell depends on the structure of the attached dye on the TiO₂ surface, confirming the importance of the type of ancillary ligand. After the aging process, the values for the PCE remained at 88%, 68.5% and 64.6% of the initial value after 14 days of light soaking for S1–S3, respectively. In our experiment, no significant changes in the PCE of N3 were observed in the same period. The stable performance demonstrated that the S3-sensitizer on the TiO₂ surface remained robust after a long time of light soaking.

The kinetics of dye regeneration using electrolytes has attracted great interest as it is one of the crucial steps in DSSC operation.^{22,23} Therefore, we employed flash photolysis spectroscopy to determine the kinetics of the dye regeneration and recombination processes in the investigated DSSCs. Fig. 4 shows the transient absorption spectra of the S1–S3 dye-sensitized TiO₂ films in the presence and absence of electrolyte. In the absence of electrolyte, the decays of the absorption signals reflect mostly the dynamics of the recombination of the photoinjected electrons in TiO₂ with the oxidized dye. In fact, there is competition between the kinetics of the regeneration and recombination processes and under normal conditions, the kinetics of the recombination process are slower than those of regeneration, τ_2 (rec) \gg τ_2 (reg), resulting in charge recombination between the oxidized dyes, and the charge-injected electrons are not an important loss in limiting device efficiency.²⁴ As shown in Fig. 4, the DSSC based on S3 has the lowest

Fig. 4 Transient absorbance decay profiles obtained upon pulsed laser excitation on TiO₂ films sensitized with the dyes (S1–S3), with and without the LiI/I₂ electrolyte upon laser excitation at 500 nm. The solid lines are the fits obtained using the bi-exponential decay model.

lifetime decays, where the τ_2 values for recombination (without electrolyte) and regeneration (with electrolyte) are 298 and 193 μ s, indicating about 100 μ s for the magnitude of the regeneration process. The values for this difference (τ_2 (rec) – τ_2 (reg)) for S1 and S2 are 60 and 40 μ s, respectively. In agreement with the other obtained photovoltaic data, S3 has a fast dye regeneration rate and the largest difference between the rates for the dye regeneration and recombination processes. Following this, to determine the effect of the ancillary ligand on interfacial electron transfer between the TiO₂ surface and the investigated






Fig. 5 The density of states (DOS) obtained from the extended Hückel method for the S1–S3 anatase model nanostructure. The black line shows the valence band (left) and the conduction band (right) of TiO_2 . The filled colored curve represents the DOS projected on the basis functions of the adsorbates S1–S3.




Fig. 6 Snapshots of the electronic charge distribution at 20 fs after initiating the IET from S1–S3 attached to a pristine (101) surface. Only the local TiO_2 structure, that next to the photoexcited adsorbate, is illustrated for a detailed view of the time-dependent charge distribution.

complexes, *ab initio* DFT molecular dynamics simulations and quantum dynamics of electronic relaxation were carried out. Interestingly, this simulation describes the status of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the dyes as well as the conduction and valence bands of semiconductors. As shown in Fig. 5, the

overlapping of the HOMOs and LUMOs of the dyes with the valence band and conduction band of TiO_2 , respectively, is clearly observed, confirming the efficient electron injection from the LUMOs of the dyes into the conduction band of TiO_2 . However, as shown in Fig. 5, the LUMO of S3 is more full with electrons for injection into the conduction band than those of the other S1 and S2 dyes, meaning that S3 could accomplish more efficient electron injection into TiO_2 than the others. The density of states (DOS) was obtained from the extended Hückel method for the S1–S3-anatase model nanostructure. The black line shows the valence band (left) and the conduction band (right) of TiO_2 . The filled colored curve represents the DOS projected on the basis functions of the adsorbates S1–S3.

Fig. 6 shows snapshots of the electronic charge distribution at 20 fs after initiating the IET from S1–S3 attached to a pristine (101) surface. The process of sensitization in DSSCs starts with the dye attached to the TiO_2 surface absorbing light, allowing the excitation of an electron from the steady state of the dye to its excited state. After that, the generated charge goes to the conduction band of TiO_2 and, therefore, leads to currents in the external circuit.²⁵ As shown in Fig. 6, after 20 fs of the excitation by light in the dyes, the amount of injected electrons from the dye into the TiO_2 surface for S3 is the largest and this confirms that its electron regeneration is more effective than others. More figures for the electron injection process from the LUMO, LUMO + 1 and LUMO + 2 into the TiO_2 surface over the course of 100 fs for S1–S3 are given in the ESI, Fig. S3–S12.†

In summary, from considering that N3 is one of the champion sensitizers in DSSCs, we designed and synthesized three new heteroleptic ruthenium sensitizers, S1–S3, by incorporating tetrazole into the ancillary ligands. The sensitizer S3 containing one 4,4-dicarboxylic acid 2,2 bipyridine, and one phenTz and two NCS groups, had a better molar extinction coefficient, PCE and IPCE than N3 which was used as a benchmark sensitizer. Additionally, transition absorption studies showed that the rate of the regeneration reaction is much faster than that of recombination with the dye in the order of 100 μs . These findings provide an alternative sensitizer for improving the DSSC power conversion efficiency of ruthenium sensitizers as well as their light harvesting capability.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- 1 B. Pashaei, H. Shahroosvand, M. Gratzel and M. k. Nazeeruddin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **16**, 9485.
- 2 M. A. Green, Y. Hishikawa, W. Warta, *et al.*, *Prog. Photovoltaics*, 2017, **25**, 668.
- 3 National Renewable Energy Laboratory Efficiency Chart <http://www.nrel.gov/ncpv/images/efficiencychart.jpg>, accessed January 2017.
- 4 H. Tiep, Z. Ku and H. J. Fan, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, **6**, 1501420.



- 5 V. A. Chiykowski, B. Lam, C. Du and C. P. Berlinguette, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 2547.
- 6 F. D. Angelis and P. Kamat, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2017, **2**, 1674.
- 7 B. Pashaei, H. Shahroosvand and P. Abbasi, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 94814.
- 8 G. C. Vougioukalakis, A. I. Philippopoulos, T. Stergiopoulos and P. Falaras, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 2602.
- 9 (a) V. Balzani and A. Juris, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **211**, 97; (b) J. G. Vos and J. M. Kelly, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 4869.
- 10 (a) M. J. Han, L. H. Gao, Y. Y. Lu and K. Z. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 2364; (b) M.-A. Haga, T. Takasugi, A. Tomie, M. Ishizuya, T. Yamada, M. D. Hossain and M. Inoue, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 2069.
- 11 (a) W. R. Browne, R. Hage and J. G. Vos, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **250**, 1653; (b) M. H. Klingele and S. Brooker, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **241**, 119.
- 12 (a) N. Chanda, B. Sarkar, S. Kar, J. Fiedler, W. Kaim and G. K. Lahiri, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 5128; (b) C. Sens, M. Rodriguez, I. Romero, A. Llobet, T. Parella and J. Benet-Buchholz, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 8385; (c) R. H. Laye, S. M. Couchman and M. D. Ward, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 4089.
- 13 L.-L. Wu, C.-H. Yang, I.-W. Sun, S.-Y. Chu, P.-C. Kao and H.-H. Huang, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 2017.
- 14 H. Shahroosvand, L. Najafi, A. Sousaraei, E. Mohajerani, M. Janghour and F. Bonaccorso, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 2965.
- 15 H. Shahroosvand, S. Abaspour, B. Pashaei, E. Radicchi, F. D. Angelis and F. Bonaccorso, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 6211.
- 16 (a) L. Zhang and J. M. Cole, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**(6), 3427; (b) J. Massin, L. Ducasse, T. Toupance and C. Olivier, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 10677; (c) Y. Chi, K.-L. Wu and T.-C. Wei, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2015, **10**, 1098.
- 17 C. Dragonetti, A. Colombo, M. Magni, P. Mussini, F. Nisic, D. Roberto, R. Ugo, A. Valore, A. Valsecchi, P. Salvatori, M. GraziaLobello, F. D. Angelis and C. E. Housecroft, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 10723.
- 18 (a) T.-L. Hu, Y. Tao, Z. Chang and X.-H. Bu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 10994; (b) M. Dincă, A. F. Yu and J. R. Long, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 8904.
- 19 (a) D. L. Ashford, C. r. R. K. Glasson, M. R. Norris, J. J. Concepcion, S. Keinan, M. K. Brennaman, J. L. Templeton and T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**(11), 5637; (b) P. A. Anderson, G. F. Strouse, J. A. Treadway, F. R. Keene and T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 3863; (c) W. V. Yam, W. V. Lee and K. M. Siu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 2124; (d) B. Gholamkhash, K. Koike, N. Negishi, H. Hori and K. Takeuchi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 756; (e) D. P. Rillema, D. G. Taghdiri, D. S. Jones, C. D. Keller, L. A. Worl, T. J. Meyer and H. A. Levy, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 578; (f) B. A. Albani, C. B. Durr and C. Turro, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**(50), 13885; (g) D. S. Seneviratne, M. J. Uddin, V. Swayambunathan, H. B. Schlegel and J. F. Endicott, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**(6), 1502; (h) S. Bodige, A. S. Torres, D. J. Maloney, D. Tate, G. R. Kinsel, J. K. Walker and F. M. MacDonnell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 10364.
- 20 H. Ishida, S. Tobita, Y. Hasegawa, R. Katoh and K. Nozaki, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 2449.
- 21 M. K. Nazeeruddin, A. Kay, I. Rodicio, R. Humphry-Baker, E. Muller, P. Liska, N. Vlachopoulos and M. Gratzel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 6382.
- 22 G. Boschloo and A. Hagfeldt, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, **370**, 381.
- 23 A. Hagfeldt, G. Boschloo, L. Sun, L. Kloo and H. Pettersson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6595.
- 24 S. Tatay, S. A. Haque, B. O'Regan, J. R. Durrant, W. J. H. Verhees, J. M. Kroon, A. Vidal-Ferran, P. Gavin and E. Palomares, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2007, **17**, 3037.
- 25 (a) W. R. McNamara, R. C. Snoeberger, J. Li, J. M. Schleicher, C. W. Cady, M. Poyatos, C. A. Schmuttenmaer, R. H. Crabtree, G. W. Brudvig and V. S. Batista, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 14329; (b) S. G. Abuabara, L. G. C. Rego and V. S. Batista, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 18234; (c) L. G. C. Rego, S. G. Abuabara and V. S. Batista, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **122**, 154709; (d) L. G. C. Rego, S. G. Abuabara and V. S. Batista, *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, 2005, **5**, 318; (e) L. G. C. Rego, S. G. Abuabara and V. S. Batista, *J. Mod. Opt.*, 2006, **53**, 2519.

