Check for updates

Gated photochromic molecules with AIEgen: turn-on the photochromism with an oxidation reagent†

Leyu Wang, Tao Yu,* Zongliang Xie, Eethamukkala Ubba, Tianya Zhan, Zhiyong Yang, Yi Zhang [ID] * and Zhenguo Chi [ID] *

A couple of gated photochromic molecules TrPEP and TrPEPO with AIEgen have been rationally designed and synthesized. No photochromism is detected for TrPEP whilst TrPEPO shows obvious photochromic properties in the solution state. By adding equimolar H_2O_2 aqueous solution to the TrPEP solution, the photochromic properties would be quickly turned on. The oxidation reagent acts as a gate to switch the photochromic properties by switching the triphenylphosphine group to a triphenylphosphine oxide group. Both TrPE and TrPEO display typical AIE phenomena. Different intensive emission bands with the emission maxima of 500 nm and 455 nm are detected before (TrPEP) and after (TrPEPO) oxidization in solid states. Combining the AIEgens, photochromic ON/OFF states can be easily indicated by the different emission colors in the solid state. Single crystal analyses and TD-DFT calculations were carried out to further investigate the photophysical and photochromic properties of these compounds. These new triphenylethylene derivatives provide a new strategy to achieve gated photochromic materials with simple chemical structures and gate indicators.

Introduction

The concept of Aggregation-Induced Emission (AIE) was first raised by Tang in 2001.[1] Different from the common aggregation-caused quenching material, the emission intensities of molecules with AIEgen are highly increased after aggregation due to the restriction of their intermolecular rotations.[2] With great potential applications in the areas of OLED materials,[3–5] chemosensing,[6,7] biolabeling[8–10] and piezochromic materials.[11,12] AIE materials have become a hotspot both in the industrial and academic areas. Lately, several AIE systems including hexaphenylsilole derivatives, tetraphenylethene derivatives, triphenylethylene derivatives and others have been designed and developed.[1–16] The AIEgen for triphenylethylene derivatives was firstly reported by our group.[13] Later, it is revealed that the color and emission bands of these molecules could be drastically changed after ground and the piezochromic properties of these molecules were investigated.[11] In very recent, some triphenylethylene derivatives were designed as fast-

response and simple-structured photochromic materials by utilizing intramolecular ring-closure reactions.[17,18]

Photochromic materials have attacked enormous attention for their potential applications in the areas of anti-fake, photo-switchable molecular devices, bio-labeling and optical memory storage systems.[19–29] Many photochromic systems with excellent photochromic properties have been designed and synthesized such as spiropyran,[30–35] azo-containing compounds,[36–39] and dithienylethene derivatives.[40–46] Beside promoted the photochromic properties, combining gated properties to photochromic molecules which could easily switch the photochromism was also an important issue. Several chemical or physical methods such as oxidation/reduction reagents,[47,48] acidity,[49,50] hydrogen bonds,[51] ion-binding,[52,53] and mechano-simulations[18] have been investigated as switches to manipulating the photochromic properties. Enlarging the library of gated photochromic molecules, especially with simple chemical structures, is still quite urgent. In addition, realizing real-time monitoring for the photochromic ON/OFF states also becomes an important issue to be solved.[18,47–53] As mentioned above, triphenylethylene derivatives were considered as a series of simple-structured and easily-modified photochromic system. Attaching electron withdrawing groups to the triphenylethylene derivatives could promote the photochromism by stabilizing the ring-closure structures. Therefore, manipulation the electron withdrawing abilities of the functional groups on triphenylethylene moieties might realize the gated photochromic properties. Phosphine and phosphine oxide moieties are an oxidation–reduction pair with tremendous differences in

PCFM Lab, GD HPPC Lab, Guangdong, Engineering Technology Research Center for High-performance Organic, Polymer Photoelectric Functional Films, State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Material and Technologies, School of Chemistry, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, P. R. China. E-mail: yutao33@mail.sysu.edu.cn; ceszy@mail.sysu.edu.cn; chizhg@mail.sysu.edu.cn

electron donating abilities and steric configurations.[54,55] Therefore, gated photochromic materials might be achieved by combining triphenylphosphine moieties into the triphenyl-ethylene structures. Besides, the AIEgen for triphenylethylene derivatives could realize intense emission with distinct colors for their ON/OFF states respectively. In this regard, a triphenyl-ethylene derivative with triphenylphosphine moieties named TrPEP was rational designed and synthesized (Fig. 1). The AIE-active TrPEP display strong bluish green emission but no photochromism. By adding equimolar H_2O_2, TrPEP was easily oxide to TrPEPO which show obvious photochromism and different emission color. AIE properties and gated photochromic properties for these compounds were studied. Single-crystal analyses and TD-DFT calculations were also carried out to investigate the photophysical and photochromic properties. This study suggested a reliable way to achieve gated photochromic materials with real-time monitoring properties.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization

The synthetic routes and the synthetic details for TrPEP and TrPEPO are shown in Scheme S1 in the ESI.† The chemical structures of TrPEP and TrPEPO were characterized by ^{1}H-NMR spectroscopy, High-resolution EI mass spectrometry, and elemental analysis (see ESI†).

Aggregation-induced emission studies

Triphenylethylene structure was used as a typical unit for achieving light-emitting molecules with AIE properties.[13] Indeed, both TrPEP and TrPEPO show obvious AIE properties. Fig. 2(a) features the emission spectra of compound TrPEP in THF/water mixed solvent system containing different of water fractions. In pure THF solution, the emission band of TrPEP is hardly detectable, which is mainly ascribed to intramolecular rotations for the triphenylethylene moieties according to previous reports for the AIE molecules with similar structures.[2] When the water fractions were increased, the emission intensities were boosted up with the emission maximum of ca. 471 nm. Similarly, TrPEPO was non-emissive in ethanol solution but become strongly emissive by enhancing the water fractions as shown in Fig. 2(c). The emission maximum for TrPEPO obvious blue-shifted compared with TrPEP (from 471 nm to 450 nm). The luminescent quantum yields for TrPEP and TrPEPO in both mixed solvent systems with different water fractions (v/v) were measured. For TrPEP, the luminescence quantum yields were increased from 0% (when the water

Fig. 2 (a) Emission spectra of TrPEP in THF/water mixed solvent systems containing different water fractions (from 10% to 90%), the inset shows the photographs of the TrPEP in THF/water mixed solutions (1.0×10^{-4} mol L^{-1}) containing 0% and 90% water fraction upon 365 nm UV irradiation; (b) dynamic light scattering result and the SEM image of TrPEP in THF/water solvent mixture containing 90% of water fraction; (c) emission spectra of TrPEPO in ethanol/water mixed solvent systems containing different water fractions (from 10% to 90%), the inset shows the photographs of the TrPEPO in ethanol/water mixed solutions (1.0×10^{-4} mol L^{-1}) containing 0% and 90% water fraction upon 365 nm UV irradiation; (d) dynamic light scattering result and the SEM image of TrPEPO in ethanol/water solvent mixture containing 90% of water fraction.

fraction is below 60%) to 18.1% in THF/water mixed solvent systems with the enhancement of water fractions. TrPEPO display quantum yields ranging from 0% (when the water fraction is below 30%) to 29.3% in ethanol/water mixed solvent systems. The luminescent quantum yields for TrPEP and TrPEPO in mixed solvent systems were summarized in Table S1.† The obvious distinct emission properties between TrPEP and TrPEPO were mainly caused by different emission originations. For TrPEP, the bluish green emission was assignable as intramolecular charge transfer emission from the triphenyl-phosphine moiety to chloro-substituted triphenylethylene moieties according to the compounds with similar structures in previous reports.[56,57] While for TrPEPO, the emission band might be mainly originated from π–π* transitions due to the switching from the electron-donating triphenylphosphine unit to the electron-withdrawing triphenylphosphine oxide unit. The emission originations for TrPEP and TrPEPO were further demonstrated by TD-DFT calculations vide infra. Dynamic light scattering studies were performed for TrPEP and TrPEPO in THF/water and ethanol/water mixed solvent systems containing 90% water (v/v) respectively. As shown in Fig. 2(b) and (d), particle size analyses revealed that average particles sizes were 219 nm and 620 nm for TrPEP and TrPEPO respectively. To further investigate the morphologies of the nanoparticles, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies were performed with the same solutions for DLS studies. The SEM images were shown in the inset pictures of Fig. 2(b) and (d). The SEM results

Fig. 1 Chemical structures of compounds TrPEP and TrPEPO.

for both of the two samples were in accordance with their DLS data. It is noticed that nano-sized balls were formed for TrPEPO in ethanol/water mixed solvent systems containing 90% water (v/v).

Gated photochromic properties

As mentioned before, photochromic processes for triphenyl-ethylene derivatives were occurred by fulfilled stilbene-type 6-π electron ring closures reactions. For compound TrPEP, the photochromism was not observed in both solid state and solution state. But after addition of equimolar H_2O_2 aqueous solution (0.3 μL 30 wt%) to 3 mL TrPEP THF solution (1 × 10^{-3} mol L^{-1}), the photochromism was obviously turned on. The photochromism pictures for a TrPEP solution (1 × 10^{-3} mol L^{-1}) before and after adding H_2O_2 aqueous solution were listed in Fig. 3(a). After oxidation to TrPEPO, the color of the solution was changed to yellow upon UV-irradiation and reverted to transparent upon white light irradiation. Trans-formation yield for the oxidation reaction within 5 minutes was confirmed by comparing the ^1H NMR spectra for the reaction residue, TrPEP and TrPEPO (Fig. S3†). It is revealed that almost all the TrPEP was oxidized to TrPEPO within 5 min during the photochromic turn-on process. As mention before, TrPEP and TrPEPO show diverse emission colours in aggregation states. Therefore, the photochromic ON/OFF state could be easily distinguished by spotting the solution on a TLC plate as shown in Fig. 3(b). Under UV light, different emissive spots could be clearly detected within 5 seconds. A blue emissive spot indicates

the photochromic ON state, while green emissive spot indicates the photochromic OFF state. To further confirm the gated photochromic properties, TrPEPO was synthesized and purified (in ESI†) to study its photochromic properties. Fig. 3(c) features the emission spectra and pictures of TrPEP and TrPEPO in solid states upon 365 nm UV-irradiation. TrPEP displayed a bluish green emission with the emission maximum at $ca.$ 500 nm while TrPEPO showed a sky-blue emission at $ca.$ 455 nm. The diverse emission colors between the two compounds promoted the easy indication for the ON/OFF states of the photochromism. The luminescent quantum yields for TrPEP and TrPEPO in solid states were 91.3% and 77.4% respectively (Table S2†). Degassed THF solutions of TrPEPO (1 × 10^{-3} mol L^{-1}) displayed obvious color changes from colorless to yellow after UV-irradiation (365 nm). As mention before, the color change was attributed to the ring-closure reaction. Time-dependent UV-vis absorption spectra for TrPEPO were measured during the photochromic and photochromic bleaching processes and the specification of the UV-light and white-light sources were listed in ESI.† As shown in Fig. 3(d), new absorption bands with the maxima at $ca.$ 460 nm were detected for the TrPEPO THF solutions with the concentration of 1 × 10^{-3} mol L^{-1}, upon UV irradiation. After irradiation for 45 seconds, the lower-energy absorption bands for the ring-closure structures of TrPEPO were no longer enhanced. With subsequent white-light irradiation, the color of TrPEPO solution was faded. The mismatch of the exposure wavelengths between the photochromic and photochromic bleaching processes indicates the lower lying energy states for the ring-open state than the ring-closures state which was often


Fig. 3 (a) Illustration for the gated-photochromic processes by oxidation the TrPEP to TrPEPO; (b) illustration for the motoring the photo-chromic ON/OFF state by spotting the solutions on a TLC plate; (c) emission spectra of TrPEP and TrPEPO in solid states, the inset shows the photographs of the TrPEP and TrPEPO in solid states upon 365 nm UV irradiation; (d) time-dependent UV-vis absorption spectra of TrPEPO in degassed THF solution (1.0 × 10^{-3} M) upon UV-irradiation (365 nm); (e) time-dependent UV-vis absorption spectra of irradiated TrPEPO in degassed THF solution (1.0 × 10^{-3} M) upon white light irradiation; (f) recycling of the photochromic process of TrPEPO solution obtained through H_2O_2-gated reaction as a function of exposure to UV-light (365 nm) and white-light for 45 seconds and 25 minutes, respectively.

observed in photochromic systems. The photochromic bleaching process for TrPEPO takes *ca.* 1400 s (Fig. 3(e)). To investigate the reversibility for the photochromic process, 20 photochromic and bleaching cycles were carried out for TrPEPO solution obtained through H_2O_2-gated reaction. After 20 photochromic and bleaching cycles, negligible fatigue could be detected in the solution (Fig. 3(f)). Good recyclability of TrPEPO indicates its potential applications as rewritable and reversible responsive materials. Different from the other photochromic triphenylethylene derivatives, TrPEPO display obvious photochromic properties in solution states but not in solid states. It is might be caused by the bulky triphenylphosphine oxide moieties which resisted the ring-closure reactions in aggregation states. To further prove this assumption, single crystal analyses were carried out in the following part.

Single crystal analyses for TrPEP and TrPEPO

Single crystals for TrPEP and TrPEPO were obtained by recrystallization from hexane–dichloromethane mixed solvent system. The CCDC numbers for these two single crystal structures are 1832435 and 1832437 respectively. Single crystals of TrPEP and TrPEPO are based on $P2_1/c$ and Pc space groups and the data for bond distances and angles for these two crystals are listed in Table S3 to S6 in the ESI.† The single crystal structures for TrPEP and TrPEPO were listed in Fig. 4(a) and (b). For both of the two compounds, three aryl moieties for the triphenylethylene adopted twisted conformations, which can diminish the steric hindrance effects and reduce the energies for their aggregation states. For TrPEPO crystals structure, the dihedral angles between the two phenyl rings which involve in the ring-closure reactions are 63.2° and 61.3° for the two types of conformations. To fulfill the photochromic ring-closure reactions, triphenylphosphine oxide moieties have to rotate at least 61.3° relative to the other phenyl ring involving in the ring-closure reaction. However, the rotation for the triphenylphosphine oxide moieties are quite difficult in aggregation state due to large steric hindrance effect as shown in Fig. 4(c). Therefore, adding the triphenylphosphine groups on the triphenylethylene

Table 1 Calculated HOMO, LUMO distributions; energy levels; energy gap and vertical excitation wavelengths for compounds TrPEP and TrPEPO

Sample	TrPEP	TrPEPO
LUMO		
HOMO		
E_{LUMO} (eV)	−1.53	−1.71
E_{HOMO} (eV)	−5.49	−5.94
ΔE_g (eV)	3.96	4.23
Vertical excitation wavelength (nm)	351	324

derivatives could promoted the gated photochromic properties, however, the rigidity of the functional group would affect the photochromism of TrPEPO in aggregation states.

DFT calculations

In order to gain further insights into the photophysical properties for TrPEP and TrPEPO, density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G* level were performed for TrPEP and TrPEPO. The calculations were performed according to their single-crystal structures. The HOMO and LUMO electronic distributions, the HOMO and LUMO energy levels, bandgaps and vertical excitation wavelengths for these two compounds are listed in Table 1. For TrPEP, the HOMO mainly delocalized at the triphenylphosphine moieties while the LUMO mainly delocalized at the dichloro-substituted triphenylethylene moieties. Therefore, the emission for TrPEP was mainly attributed the charge transfer state from the triphenylphosphine moieties to the dichloro-substituted triphenylethylene moieties. The electron-withdrawing ability was obviously enhanced by switching the triphenylphosphine group to triphenylphosphine oxide group. Thus, both the HOMO and LUMO for TrPEPO were delocalized at the dichloro-substituted triphenylethylene moieties. Therefore, the emission for TrPEPO was mainly originated from π–π* transitions. In accordance with the blue-shifted emission after oxidation, the energy gap between HOMO and LUMO was enhanced and the vertical excitation wavelength for TrPEPO was also blue-shifted by switching the TrPEP to TrPEPO.

Conclusions

In summary, triphenylphosphine and triphenylphosphine oxide triphenylethylene derivatives TrPEP and TrPEPO have been logically designed and successfully synthesized. Both of the two compounds display AIE-active emission with distinct emission colors. No photochromic properties could be observed


Fig. 4 (a) Single crystal structure for compound TrPEP; (b) single crystal structure for compound TrPEPO; (c) molecular packing for TrPEPO molecules viewed down in *b* axis.

Bulky Area

for TrPEP, whilst TrPEPO shows obvious photochromism. TrPEP could be easily and quickly oxidized to TrPEPO by adding equimolar H_2O_2. Therefore, oxidation reagents could act as gates to turn-on the photochromic properties. In relation to the AIEgen, different intense emission bands for TrPEP and TrPEPO could play as indicators to monitor the ON/OFF states of the photochromism. Photochromic and photophysical properties of these compounds were further investigated by single crystal analyses and TD-DFT calculations. These phosphine and phosphine oxide triphenylethylene derivatives with AIEgens feature a new strategy for designing gated photochromic materials.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Notes and references

1 J. Luo, Z. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, H. Chen, C. Qiu, H. S. Kwok, X. Zhan, Y. Liu, D. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, **18**, 1740–1741.

2 Y. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, **29**, 4332–4353.

3 G. Yu, S. Yin, Y. Liu, J. Chen, X. Xu, X. Sun, D. Ma, X. Zhan, Q. Peng, Z. Shuai, B. Z. Tang, D. Zhu, W. Fang and Y. Luo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 6335–6346.

4 H.-C. Su, O. Fadhel, C.-J. Yang, T.-Y. Cho, C. Fave, M. Hissler, C.-C. Wu and R. Réau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 983–995.

5 C. Y. K. Chan, Z. Zhao, J. W. Y. Lam, J. Liu, S. Chen, P. Lu, F. Mahtab, X. Chen, H. H. Y. Sung, H. S. Kwok, Y. Ma, I. D. Williams, K. S. Wong and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 378–389.

6 Z. Li, Y. Dong, B. Mi, Y. Tang, M. Häussler, H. Tong, Y. Dong, J. W. Y. Lam, Y. Ren, H. H. Y. Sung, K. S. Wong, P. Gao, I. D. Williams, H. S. Kwok and B. Z. Tang, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 10061–10066.

7 Y. Liu, Y. Tang, N. N. Barashkov, I. S. Irgibaeva, J. W. Y. Lam, R. Hu, D. Birimzhanova, Y. Yu and B. Z. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13951–13953.

8 Y. Yu, C. Feng, Y. Hong, J. Liu, S. Chen, K. M. Ng, K. Q. Luo and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 3298–3302.

9 S. Gui, Y. Huang, F. Hu, Y. Jin, G. Zhang, L. Yan, D. Zhang and R. Zhao, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 1470–1474.

10 J. Qian and B. Z. Tang, *Chem*, 2017, **3**, 56–91.

11 Z. Chi, X. Zhang, B. Xu, X. Zhou, C. Ma, Y. Zhang, S. Liu and J. Xu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3878–3896.

12 G. Li, X. Ren, G. Shan, W. Che, D. Zhu, L. Yan, Z. Su and M. R. Bryce, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 13036–13039.

13 Z. Yang, Z. Chi, T. Yu, X. Zhang, M. Chen, B. Xu, S. Liu, Y. Zhang and J. Xu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 5541–5546.

14 Y.-S. Zheng and Y.-J. Hu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 5660–5663.

15 K. Kokado and Y. Chujo, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 1418–1420.

16 Y. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 5361–5388.

17 D. Ou, T. Yu, Z. Yang, T. Luan, Z. Mao, Y. Zhang, S. Liu, J. Xu, Z. Chi and M. R. Bryce, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 5302–5306.

18 T. Yu, D. Ou, L. Wang, S. Zheng, Z. Yang, Y. Zhang, Z. Chi, S. Liu, J. Xu and M. P. Aldred, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **01**, 1900–1904.

19 R. M. Kellogg, M. B. Greon and H. Wynberg, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1967, **32**, 3093–3100.

20 G. M. Tsivgoulis and J.-M. Lehn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1995, **34**, 1119–1122.

21 M. Irie, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 1685–1716.

22 S. Higgins, *Chem. Br.*, 2003, **39**, 26–29.

23 F. M. Raymo and M. Tomasulo, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2005, **34**, 327–336.

24 K. Uchida, N. Nishikawa, N. Izumi, S. Yamazoe, H. Mayama, Y. Kojima, S. Yokojima, S. Nakamura, K. Tsujii and M. Irie, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 5942–5944.

25 D. Kitagawa, I. Yamashita and S. Kobatake, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 3723–3725.

26 D. Kitagawa and S. Kobatake, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 1445–1449.

27 F. Meng, Y.-M. Hervault, L. Norel, K. Costuas, C. V. Dyck, V. Geskin, J. Cornil, H. H. Hng, S. Rigaut and X. Chen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 3113–3118.

28 J. Zhang, Q. Zou and H. Tian, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 378–399.

29 D. Kitagawa, H. Tsujioka, F. Tong, X. Dong, C. J. Bardeen and S. Kobatake, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 4208–4212.

30 J. B. Flannery Jr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 5660–5671.

31 D. Levy and D. Avnir, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1988, **92**, 4734–4738.

32 J. L. Bahr, G. Kodis, L. Garza, S. Lin, A. L. Moore, T. A. Moore and D. Gust, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 7124–7133.

33 H. R. Allcock and C. Kim, *Macromolecules*, 1991, **24**, 2846–2851.

34 N. Tamai and H. Miyasaka, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 1875–1890.

35 Q. Qi, C. Li, X. Liu, S. Jiang, Z. Xu, R. Lee, M. Zhu, B. Xu and W. Tian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 16036–16039.

36 H. Dürr, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1989, **28**, 413–431.

37 X. Zhu, F. Yin, H. Zhao, S. Chen and Z. Bian, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 46344–46353.

38 Y. Wang, N. Ma, Z. Wang and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 2823–2826.

39 J. Lv, Y. Liu, J. Wei, E. Chen, L. Qin and Y. Yu, *Nature*, 2016, **537**, 179.

40 A. Fernández-Acebes and J. M. Lehn, *Adv. Mater.*, 1998, **10**, 1519–1522.

41 S. Chen, X. Li and L. Song, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 29854–29859.

42 T. Nakashima, M. Goto, S. Kawai and T. Kawai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 14570–14575.

43 V. Lemieux, M. D. Spantulescu, K. K. Baldridge and N. R. Branda, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **120**, 5112–5115.

44 J. Zhang, W. Tan, X. Meng and H. Tian, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 5726–5729.

45 B. Li, Y.-H. Wu, H.-M. Wen, L.-X. Shi and Z.-N. Chen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 1933–1942.

46 J. C.-H. Chan, H.-L. Wong, W.-T. Wong and V. W.-W. Yam, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 6936–6948.

47 M. Irie, O. Miyatake, K. Uchida and T. Eriguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 9894–9900.

48 X. Li, Y. Ma, B. Wang and G. Li, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 3639–3642.

49 S. H. Kawai, S. L. Gilat and J.-M. Lehn, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **9**, 2359–2366.

50 K. Yumoto, M. Irie and K. Matsuda, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2051–2054.

51 M. Irie, O. Miyatake and K. Uchida, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 8715–8716.

52 Z. Shi, Y. Tu and S. Pu, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 6727–6732.

53 C.-T. Poon, W. H. Lam and V. W.-W. Yam, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 19622–19625.

54 K. M. Middlemiss and D. P. Santry, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1974, **61**, 5400–5403.

55 T. Baumgartner and R. Réau, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 4681–4727.

56 T. Han, Y. Hong, N. Xie, S. Chen, N. Zhao, E. Zhao, J. W. Y. Lam, H. H. Y. Sung, Y. Dong, B. Tong and B. Z. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 7314–7320.

57 Q. Huang, T. Yu, Z. Xie, W. Li, L. Wang, S. Liu, Y. Zhang, Z. Chi, J. Xu and M. P. Aldred, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 11867–11872.