

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 18163

Received 19th March 2018

Accepted 4th May 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02386g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Corrosion kinetics and mechanisms of $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$ ceramics in high temperature water vapor

Boxin Wei,<sup>ab</sup> Dong Wang,<sup>a</sup> Yujin Wang,<sup>id \*a</sup> Haibin Zhang,<sup>id \*b</sup> Shuming Peng,<sup>b</sup> Canhui Xu,<sup>b</sup> Guming Song<sup>a</sup> and Yu Zhou<sup>a</sup>

The corrosion kinetics and mechanisms of  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics in water vapor between 800 and 1200 °C were investigated. The results showed that there was only cubic  $\text{ZrO}_2$  phase in the corrosion layer when corroded at 800 °C, while a scale layer consisted of a mixture of cubic and monoclinic  $\text{ZrO}_2$  phases when corroded at 1000 °C and 1200 °C. A series of crystallographic relationships at the  $\text{ZrC}/\text{c-ZrO}_2$  interface were detected. The c- $\text{ZrO}_2$  formed near the interface retained some crystallographic orientations of the initial  $\text{ZrC}$  before corrosion, presenting an "inheritance in microstructure" between c- $\text{ZrO}_2$  and  $\text{ZrC}$ . The corrosion behavior mainly followed a parabolic relationship. The incremental rate of weight gain increased with increased corrosion temperature and decreased C/Zr ratio and the carbon vacancy was passive to the decrease of corrosion rate. The main corrosion controlling mechanism changed from phase boundary reactions to surface diffusion and then to grain boundary diffusion with increased temperature.

## 1. Introduction

Zirconium carbide ( $\text{ZrC}$ ) has attracted a lot of attention for ultrahigh temperature applications due to its high melting point (3530 °C), high hardness (20 GPa), good resistance to wear and corrosion, high thermal conductivity and phase stability, and inherent spectral selectivity.<sup>1–3</sup> In the framework of the Generation-IV nuclear energy system,  $\text{ZrC}$  is considered as an accident tolerant fuel (ATF) particle coating or an inert matrix material because of its high thermal conductivity, low neutron absorption cross-section, and excellent resistance to attack by fission products.<sup>4,5</sup> Non-stoichiometric  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  is stable over a wide compositional range, with a C/Zr molar ratio of 0.6 to 1.0, according to the  $\text{Zr-C}$  phase diagram.<sup>6</sup> The properties of  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics are generally sensitive to their C/Zr atom ratio. In addition, carbon vacancies in  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  serve as sinks for interstitial atoms produced by irradiation, recombining and absorbing the interstitial atoms to self-heal damage and enhancing the tolerance of radiation damage,<sup>7,8</sup> which yields non-stoichiometric  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  a promising candidate in ATF.

Because of this increased interest in  $\text{ZrC}$ , the performance of  $\text{ZrC}$  for nuclear energy applications has been studied, including irradiation effects on its properties,<sup>4,9–15</sup> fission product compatibility,<sup>16–22</sup> hydrothermal corrosion resistance,<sup>23</sup> and corrosion resistance to molten salts.<sup>24</sup> The corrosion behavior of  $\text{ZrC}$  in

water vapor is a significant factor to be considered especially under accident conditions, such as those occurring in a loss of coolant accident (LOCA).<sup>25</sup> However, there have been few studies on the corrosion behavior of  $\text{ZrC}$  in water vapor. An exhaustive understanding of the corrosion of  $\text{ZrC}$  in water vapor would be especially significant during accident scenarios, where undesirable high-temperature exposure to water vapor can occur. Data concerning the evaluation of  $\text{ZrC}$  corrosion in water vapor at high temperatures are very limited. The majority of related studies of  $\text{ZrC}$  have been performed on the oxidation of  $\text{ZrC}$  in air or oxygen.  $\text{ZrC}$  has been reported to be sensitive to rapid oxidation, which depends on temperature, partial pressure of oxidative and reductive gas species (from the reaction), porosity, C content, and impurities.<sup>26</sup> Although oxidation has been found not have an effect on  $\text{ZrC}$  at room temperatures, at elevated temperatures ( $>\sim 870$  K), complete spalling occurs due to oxidation, especially in high oxygen (O) partial pressure environments ( $\sim 130$  kPa).<sup>27</sup>

In this study,  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics were fabricated by a two-step reactive sintering method and then corroded at 800–1200 °C, which was in the range of the expected nominal operating temperature in the presence of water vapor in a nuclear core following a LOCA.<sup>28</sup> X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscope and transmission electron microscopy were then used to investigate the corrosion kinetics and mechanisms of corroded  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics.

## 2. Experimental procedure

### 2.1 Preparation of $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$ ceramics

Non-stoichiometric  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics were fabricated by a two-step reactive hot pressing according to our previous study.<sup>29</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Advanced Ceramics, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Heilongjiang, Harbin 150080, China. E-mail: wangyujin\_hit@163.com

<sup>b</sup>Innovation Research Team for Advanced Ceramics, Institute of Nuclear Physics and Chemistry, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Sichuan, Mianyang, 621900, China. E-mail: hbzhang@caep.cn



Table 1 Compositional design, sintering parameters, relative densities and grain sizes of  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics used in this work

Identifications of samples	Compositions of raw materials (mol%)			Sintering parameters		Grain sizes ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Relative density (%)
	ZrC	ZrH <sub>2</sub>	C/Zr ratio	The first stage	The second stage		
ZrC	100		1.0	—	2200 °C/50 MPa/2 h	14.6 ± 5.8	99.0
$\text{ZrC}_{0.9}$	90	10	0.9	1300 °C/0.5 h	2000 °C/30 MPa/1 h	13.5 ± 4.7	98.3
$\text{ZrC}_{0.8}$	80	20	0.8	1300 °C/0.5 h	2000 °C/30 MPa/1 h	13.0 ± 4.4	98.0

Commercially available powders of ZrC (particle size 1–5  $\mu\text{m}$ , purity > 99.5 wt%, Changsha Weihui Materials Company, Changsha, China) and ZrH<sub>2</sub> (particle size 2–10  $\mu\text{m}$ , purity > 99.6 wt%, Jinzhou Haixin Metal Materials Company, Jinzhou, China) were used as starting powders. Powder mixtures in appropriate ratios were ball-milled in ethanol with  $\text{ZrO}_2$  milling media at a rotational speed of 300 rpm for 24 h. The slurry was then dried in a rotary evaporator and then sieved by a 200-mesh screen. The cold compacts were sintered by a two-step reactive sintering method, which included a low-temperature reaction process (1300 °C for 30 min) for complete ZrH<sub>2</sub> decomposition and H<sub>2</sub> outgassing and then a high-temperature densification by hot pressing. The compositional design, sintering parameters, relative densities, and grain sizes of  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics used in this work are shown in Table 1.

## 2.2 Corrosion tests

Before corrosion, ZrC ceramic samples were cut into rectangular bars of 3 × 4 × 5 mm, and then ground using different grades of SiC abrasive papers. The corrosion process was monitored by thermogravimetric analysis coupled with differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA, 449C/6/G, Netzsch, Germany) and associated with mass spectrographic analysis (QMS, 403D Aëlos, Netzsch, Germany). For the experimental atmosphere, water vapor at 50% relative humidity, generated using an automated wet gas generator (Setaram Instrumentation, Caluire, France), was mixed with Ar carrier gas at a flow rate of 20 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Sample weight changes after 0, 150, 300, 450, and 600 s periods at 800, 1000, and 1200 °C were continually recorded by the TGA microbalance. Corrosion in the TGA chamber ensured more reliable weight change results because of any oxide scale spallation remaining in the crucible and no excess weight gain from cooling of the furnace at the test time points. The heating rate was 50 °C min<sup>-1</sup> and, at experiment's end, each sample was cooled quickly in Ar at a rate of 99 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. During corrosion tests, disturbances by the ambient environment were excluded using a sealed reaction chamber with positive pressure was in a positive pressure and sealed. Mass spectrographic analysis was performed to detect gases evolved when a sample was corroded at various temperatures. The gas was sampled into a flexible fused silica capillary inlet, set as the outlet of the furnace, and aspirated into the QMS ion source. After corrosion, the cross-section of residual ceramic embedded in the resin were polished with different grades of SiC abrasive papers.

## 2.3 Surface analytical characterization

The phase constituents of the residual corrosion layer and spalled corrosion layer were identified by X-ray diffractometer (XRD; Empyrean, Panalytical Corp., Netherlands) using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation. Microstructural characterization of the corrosion layer was performed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM; FEI Quanta 200F, Netherlands) equipped with energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) for elemental analysis. Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM, FEI Talos F200x, The Netherlands) was used for more detailed analysis of microstructure.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Macro-morphology changes within $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$ ceramics during corrosion

Examination of  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics corroded at different temperatures for 600 s showed that all corrosion surfaces of these  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics were black (Fig. 1). There was little spallation of oxide scales on samples corroded at 800 °C, while spalling and powdering occurred on samples corroded at and above 1000 °C. As temperature increased, the tendency for oxide layer spalling and powdering increased.

### 3.2 Phase and composition of gas products after corrosion

**3.2.1 Phase composition of ZrC ceramics before and after corrosion.** XRD patterns of ZrC ceramics pristine and corroded at 800–1200 °C for 600 s were shown in Fig. 2. The XRD patterns




Fig. 1  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics after water vapor corrosion at different temperatures for 600 s.






Fig. 2 XRD patterns of the ZrC ceramics pristine and corroded at 800–1200 °C after 600 s. Residual ceramics (a) and spalled powders (b).

recorded on the residual ceramics surface and the spalled powders corroded at different temperatures. No additional peaks were exhibited other than those belonging to ZrC or ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Although oxycarbide has been reported to form as an intermediate phase,<sup>30</sup> it could not be characterized in the present study, which might be explained by the peak positions of oxycarbide being close to peaks of the ZrC matrix and/or the oxycarbide content in oxide layers was too low for detection. XRD results of residual ceramic surfaces and spalled powders demonstrated that the ratio between the amounts of cubic and monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub> phases changed with increasing corrosion temperature. After corrosion in water vapor at 800 °C for 600 s, only cubic ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase was detected in the corrosion layer, while samples corroded at 1000 and 1200 °C possessed oxide scales consisting of a mixture of cubic and monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub> phases. Also, the relative intensity of peaks characteristic of monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub> increased with increased corrosion temperature, which indicated conversion of ZrC to cubic ZrO<sub>2</sub> and then to monoclinic phase.

From the results of XRD patterns, a schematic of the corrosion layer structure of ZrC ceramics after water vapor corrosion at different temperatures was created (Fig. 3). After corrosion at above 800 °C, there was only cubic ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase in the immediate vicinity of ZrC<sub>1-x</sub> matrix. Slightly above this, there was a scale layer consisting of a mixture of cubic and monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub>

phases and the outermost layer was composed exclusively of monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase.

The outer oxide layer spalling from sample surfaces was explained by considering a thermal expansion-induced mismatch between the oxide layer and substrate. The molar volumes and thermal expansion of ZrC, c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, m-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, and yttria-stabilized ZrO<sub>2</sub> are presented in Table 2. Thermal expansion data of yttria-stabilized ZrO<sub>2</sub> was assumed to be close to that of the stabilized ZrO<sub>2</sub> polymorph in this study. The associated volume change among the phases and the thermal expansion mismatch between c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> were observed to be responsible for thermal stresses, which led to intergranular fracture. Kaczmarek and Puclin<sup>31</sup> have studied the growth in ZrO<sub>2</sub> crystal size, reporting a rapid increase in size of t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> at 1223 K and m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> at 1273 K. It was envisaged that this growth was a source of stress and cracking due to the growth of submicron c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> grains along the grain boundary, which caused spalling and powdering of the outermost layer of oxide scale at temperatures at and above 1000 °C.

**3.2.2 Composition of gas products after corrosion.** The corrosion reactions in water vapor was investigated using mass spectrographic (MS) analysis of ZrC ceramics during heating from room temperature (RT) to 800, 1000, and 1200 °C (Fig. 4). From MS profiles, remarkable H<sub>2</sub> release took place above




Fig. 3 Schematic of the oxide layer structure of ZrC ceramics after corrosion in water vapor at different temperatures, according to XRD pattern results from residual ceramics and spalled powders.



Table 2 Molar volumes and thermal expansion of ZrC, c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, m-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, and yttria-stabilized ZrO<sub>2</sub> (ref. 32 and 33)

Phase	Molar volume ( $V_m$ ) ( $\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ )	Thermal expansion (%)		
		800 °C	1000 °C	1200 °C
ZrC	15.3	0.3	0.5	0.6
c-ZrO <sub>2</sub>	19.6	0.8	1.0	1.2
m-ZrO <sub>2</sub>	21.0	0.6	−0.2 to 0.8	−0.1 to 0.1
Yttria-stabilized ZrO <sub>2</sub>	—	0	0.1	0.3








Fig. 4 Mass spectrographics of ZrC ceramics in water vapor during heating from RT to (a) 800 °C, (b) 1000 °C and (c) 1200 °C, respectively.

~650 °C, while significant CO and CO<sub>2</sub> release occurred above ~900 and ~1100 °C, respectively.

Ignoring the intermediate products, the chemical reactions for generating gases were as follows





On the basis of available thermodynamic data from FactSage software,<sup>34</sup> the changes in standard Gibbs free energy,  $\Delta G^0$ , for each reaction as a function of temperature were calculated, which showed that, in the investigated temperature range, all reactions were thermodynamically favorable ( $\Delta G^0 < 0$ , Fig. 5). The calculated results for  $\Delta G^0$  indicated that the corrosion reaction (1) was more thermodynamically favorable than

Fig. 5 Variation in  $\Delta G^0$  of different corrosion reactions as a function of temperature.

corrosion reactions (2) and (3) below  $\sim 700$   $^{\circ}\text{C}$  while these two reactions, releasing CO and  $\text{CO}_2$ , become dominant with increased temperature, which was consistent with the MS profiles (Fig. 4).

Consequently, it was reasonably concluded that ZrC ceramics corrosion in water vapor began at  $\sim 650$   $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , as shown in reaction (1). The weight gain rate of the ceramics increased due to formation of  $\text{ZrO}_2$  and C, releasing amounts of  $\text{H}_2$ . When the temperature increased to  $\sim 900$   $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , C started to react with water vapor, in the following reactions



Furthermore, XRD results demonstrated that there existed a phase transformation from c- $\text{ZrO}_2$  to m- $\text{ZrO}_2$  at  $>800$   $^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Such a transformation was indicative of the role of C stabilizing the cubic  $\text{ZrO}_2$  phase. Bearing in mind the locations of these phases in the scale and C diffusion from the ZrC substrate toward the outer layer, it might be assumed that the reaction of C with water vapor took place also in the outer layers. The resulting




Fig. 6 Cross-section morphologies of corrosion layers for ZrC corroded at 800  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for different times: (a-d) 150, 300, 450, and 600 s, respectively, and EDS spectra taken from (e) ZrC and (f) the corrosion layer.






Fig. 7 Cross-section morphologies of (a) the unspalled corrosion layer and (b) residual layer after spallation of oxide layer from ZrC ceramics corroded at 1000 °C for 600 s.

evolution of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> broke up the scale and made it porous. Hence, the presence of C was found to be essential for stabilization of c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase. The stabilization of the cubic structure by carbon was limited to a temperature of ~900 °C, and the transformation of cubic to monoclinic phase was a consequence of the decrease in C content.

### 3.3 Microstructure characterization after corrosion

Fig. 6 showed the cross-section morphologies of the ZrC ceramics after steam corrosion tests at 800 °C for 150–600 s. The thickness of the corrosion layer increased from 18 to 34 μm with prolonging the corrosion time from 150 s to 600 s. In the initial

stage of corrosion, a thick corrosion layer formed and no visible pores were found in the corrosion layer. With increased corrosion time, the ZrO<sub>2</sub> layer on sample surfaces cracked. Associated with the XRD results (Fig. 2), the corrosion layer of ZrC corroded at 800 °C was identified as c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

According to the macro-morphologies of ZrC<sub>1-x</sub> ceramics corroded at different temperatures for 600 s (Fig. 1), the outer oxide layers were found to spall and powder after corrosion at temperatures at and above 1000 °C. Cross-section SEM morphologies of unspalled corrosion layer and the residual layer after spallation of oxide scale of ZrC ceramics corroded at 1000 °C for 600 s are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b), respectively. Fig. 7(a) revealed three layers, including unreacted bulk ZrC,




Fig. 8 Cross-section morphologies of residual corrosion layer of ZrC corroded at 1200 °C for 600 s. (a) Corrosion layer and ZrC grains that have undergone steam attack and (b) EDS line scanning revealed higher O content along ZrC grain boundaries.



a dense layer, and a relatively porous layer. From the cross-section, the outer layer of the corrosion layer consisted mainly of cracks, pores, and large grains, which was different from the transition layer adjacent to the ZrC substrate. The dense and porous layers exhibited a thickness of  $\sim 18$  and  $66 \mu\text{m}$ , respectively. After the brittle and porous outer layer spalled from the sample surface, there only remained the relatively dense layer adjacent to the ZrC substrate. Combined with the XRD results (Fig. 2), the residual corrosion layer was identified as c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, while the spalled layer consisted mainly of m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and a small amount of c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

The cross-section SEM morphologies of the residual corrosion layer of ZrC corroded at  $1200^\circ\text{C}$  for 600 s revealed that ZrC grains were readily visible closer to the corrosion layer (Fig. 8(a)). Line scans of Fig. 8(b) reveal the O content increased dramatically at ZrC grain boundaries, which showed extensive grain boundary oxidation in the ZrC substrate. These results suggested that the corrosion mechanism was predominated by grain boundary diffusion. This corrosion layer was also cracked and spalled from the sample, which was attributed to the stress exerted by the growth of m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> as well as the pressure exerted by increased CO and CO<sub>2</sub> gas production.

A TEM image of the ZrC matrix and corrosion layer corroded at  $800^\circ\text{C}$  was shown in Fig. 9(a). The bulk sample is ZrC and the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns from this region matched those of ZrC (Fig. 9(b) and (d) which indexed as

$[\bar{1}12]$  ZrC zone axis and  $[011]$  ZrC zone axis, respectively). However, the calculated lattice parameter of ZrC was  $0.46811 \text{ nm}$  which was smaller than the literature value of  $0.46983 \text{ nm}$ .<sup>35</sup> The decrease in lattice size might have been due to carbon vacancies and oxygen content. SAED patterns of the immediate vicinity of ZrC substrate were shown in Fig. 9(c) and (e). The SAED pattern in Fig. 9(c) indexed as the c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>  $[\bar{1}12]$  zone axis as the ratio of the  $(220)/(1\bar{1}1)$  *d*-spacings (1.628) matched the cubic pattern (1.633), while the pattern in Fig. 9(e) indexed as the c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>  $[011]$  zone axis as the ratio of the  $(200)/(11\bar{1})$  *d*-spacings (1.167) matched the cubic pattern (1.155). The lattice parameters calculated from the SAED patterns in Fig. 9(c) and (e) were  $0.49518$  and  $0.49674 \text{ nm}$ , respectively, which was although  $\sim 0.02 \text{ nm}$  smaller than the cubic ZrO<sub>2</sub> lattice parameter ( $0.51280 \text{ nm}$  (ref. 36)). SAED patterns of the interface between the ZrC matrix and ZrO<sub>2</sub> layer showed that the spots from the  $(1\bar{1}1)$  lattice of c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> were observed just inside the  $\{1\bar{1}1\}$  spots of ZrC in the SAED pattern, suggesting a crystallographic relationship, such as  $(1\bar{1}1)$  ZrC being almost parallel to  $(1\bar{1}1)$  c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 9(f)). Fig. 10 and 11 show a high-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) image and the corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) images of the interface between ZrC and c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> obtained from zone axis  $[011]$  and  $[\bar{1}12]$ , respectively. According to Fig. 10(a), the ZrC region displayed the crystallographic  $(11\bar{1})$  plane, which had a FCC crystal structure and a *d*-spacing of  $0.2702 \text{ nm}$ , and the c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> region indicated a crystallographic




Fig. 9 (a) Bright-field TEM image of the matrix and corrosion layer corroded at  $800^\circ\text{C}$  for 600 s, SAED patterns of (b) ZrC matrix and (c) ZrO<sub>2</sub> layer obtained from zone axis  $[\bar{1}12]$ , and SAED patterns of (d) ZrC matrix, (e) ZrO<sub>2</sub> layer, and (f) interface obtained from zone axis  $[011]$ .






Fig. 10 (a) HR-TEM image of the interface of matrix and corrosion layer and (b) corresponding FFT images obtained from zone axis [011].

(111) plane, which had a FCC crystal structure and a  $d$ -spacing of 0.2868 nm. From these observations, combined with FFT images (Fig. 10(b)), a coherent orientation relationship was inferred in which (111) ZrC was parallel to (111) c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, as [011] ZrC || [011] c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>. The ZrC region shown in Fig. 11(a) displayed the crystallographic (111) plane, which had a  $d$ -spacing of 0.2704 nm, and the c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> region displayed a crystallographic (111) plane, which had a  $d$ -spacing of 0.2859 nm. According to FFT images, a coherent orientation relationship was inferred in which (111) ZrC || (111) c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, (220) ZrC || (220) c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, and [112] ZrC || [112] c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 11(b)).

EDS line scanning of elemental C, Zr, and O across the interface of the matrix and corrosion layer over a distance of 660 nm showed that the O content in ZrO<sub>2</sub> decreased dramatically from a distance of  $\sim$ 15 nm from the interface (Fig. 12(a)). No obvious O concentration was detected in the ZrC lattice, which suggested that the dissolution of O in ZrC at the interface with corrosion at 800 °C was limited. According to the element map of the interface of matrix and corrosion layer as shown in Fig. 12(b)–(e), the ZrC/ZrO<sub>2</sub> interface presented relatively straight. In addition, an amount of C was detected in the ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase, together with a slight concentrated zone of C at the interface (Fig. 12(a) and (c)). The c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase with compact

microstructure was presumed to perform the role of a diffusion barrier for transport of O, such that the local O potential at the ZrC/ZrO<sub>2</sub> interface became too low to oxidize the C component in ZrC, which eventually resulted in a local C-rich region along the interface.

Based on observation of the matrix and corrosion layer by TEM and STEM-EDS, a series of crystallographic relationships were found at the ZrC/c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> interface. The c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> formed near the interface was considered to retain some crystallographic orientations of the initial ZrC during corrosion by steam, presenting an “inheritance in microstructure” between c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and ZrC. In addition, the corrosion layer near the interface contained a mixture of C and c-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, and the interface region was rich in carbon. No obvious evidence revealed the presence of ZrC<sub>1-x</sub>O<sub>x</sub> at the interface when corroded at 800 °C for 600 s.

### 3.4 Corrosion kinetics

The change in weight normalized as per surface area unit of ZrC ceramic samples as a function of time revealed a nonlinear relationship for all samples (Fig. 13). Clearly, the weight increased with prolonged corrosion time at all test temperatures. In the early stage, weight gain increased rapidly and then slowed significantly after corrosion for 300 s. In addition,




Fig. 11 (a) HR-TEM image of the interface of matrix and corrosion layer and (b) corresponding FFT images obtained from zone axis [112].




Fig. 12 (a) STEM-EDS line scan and element map of the interface of matrix and corrosion layer, (b) HAADF image, (c–e) C, O, and Zr element maps, respectively.

weight gain also increased with increased corrosion temperature.

The weight gain per unit surface area of  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics with different C/Zr ratios as a function of corrosion time after corrosion tests at 800, 1000, and 1200 °C, clearly, showed a general trend of weight gain increase with prolonged corrosion time (Fig. 14). The rapid incremental weight gain within a short corrosion time generally corresponded with a reaction-controlled mechanism. However, with the prolongation of corrosion time, the process slowed down, which appeared to be controlled by a diffusion mechanism. Notably, the increment rate of weight gain increased with decreased C/Zr ratio. Thus, C vacancy was concluded to be passive to the decrease of corrosion rate.

The total change in mass per unit surface area as a function of time might have followed both a linear and parabolic relationship. In general, the rate equation for the corrosion kinetics of  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics against steam was given by the equation (6)

$$\frac{\Delta W}{A} = k_{\text{lin}} t + k_{\text{par}} \sqrt{t} \quad (6)$$

where  $\Delta W/A$  is the change in mass per unit surface area,  $t$  the corrosion time,  $k_{\text{lin}}$  the linear rate constant, and  $k_{\text{par}}$  the parabolic rate constant. The automatic fitting of the total corrosion weight gain *versus* time was carried out using Matlab software. Table 3 showed the rate constants from the corrosion tests. The fitting results for kinetic data were good, as indicated by the correlation coefficients ( $R^2 > 99\%$ ). The corrosion behavior mainly followed a parabolic relationship, indicative of a diffusion controlled rate limiting step.

The observed evidence of corrosion rates from 800 to 1200 °C along with the difference in the morphologies of the corrosion

layers (Fig. 6–8) suggested that the corrosion mechanism changed with temperature. When corroded at 800 °C, a relatively dense corrosion layer formed, which inhibited steam diffusion. This dense layer was  $\text{c-ZrO}_2$ , as revealed by TEM analysis (Fig. 9). At up to 1000 °C for corrosion, a porous oxide layer formed, resulting from the polymorphic transformation from  $\text{c-ZrO}_2$  outside layer to  $\text{m-ZrO}_2$ , which readily allowed  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  diffusion through pores, voids, and cracks, as well as C (or  $\text{CO}_x$  species) diffusion out of the substrate. The increased corrosion rate was consistent with the porous microstructure of the corrosion layers (Fig. 7). In addition, samples corroded at 1200 °C for 600 s also showed an increased corrosion rate. From cross-section SEM morphologies (Fig. 8), it was observed that




Fig. 13 Change in mass per unit surface area of ZrC ceramic as a function of time for isothermal corrosion experiments.




Fig. 14 Change in mass per unit surface area of  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramic as a function of time for isothermal corrosion experiments at (a)  $800\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , (b)  $1000\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , and (c)  $1200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively.

there was extensive grain boundary corrosion, which resulted from increased  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  diffusion through the corrosion scale. As the sample cooled, the phase transformation of  $\text{ZrO}_2$  created cracks in the corrosion layer.

### 3.5 Corrosion mechanism

The steam corrosion mechanisms of  $\text{ZrC}_{1-x}$  ceramics corroded at different temperature can be described as follows (Fig. 15):

(1) Corrosion of ZrC became measurable at  $\sim 650\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  (Fig. 4 for mass spectrographic analysis of ZrC ceramics). Corrosion of ZrC resulted in the formation of a zirconia scale and  $\text{H}_2$  was released. It has been reported that, before the actual zirconia appears in the process of oxidation of ZrC in  $\text{O}_2$ , ZrC goes through an intermediate oxycarbide phase with varying composition.<sup>37</sup> The formation of these oxycarbide was quite rapid and the rate controlled by the phase boundary reaction.<sup>38</sup> Phase boundary and diffusion reactions occurred simultaneously with the latter taking control with increased temperature.

(2) As the temperature increased to  $800\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , the oxycarbide phase transformed to cubic zirconia and liberated carbon, with the latter appearing as uniformly suspended inclusions within

the zirconia layer. With the formation of this layer, diffusion of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (surface diffusion) assumed control of the reaction rate as the layer started to grow. This process at  $800\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  appeared to be preferred zirconium corrosion, as no significant C was observed to be oxidized at this temperature, resulting in limited CO production (Fig. 4(a)).

(3) As the temperature increased, c- $\text{ZrO}_2$  crystals started to grow and C started to be oxidized to CO and  $\text{CO}_2$  gases. It was

Table 3 Rate constants ( $k_{\text{lin}}$  and  $k_{\text{par}}$ ) of corrosion process at different temperatures of ZrC,  $\text{ZrC}_{0.9}$ , and  $\text{ZrC}_{0.8}$  ceramics

Ceramics	Temperature ( $^\circ\text{C}$ )	$k_{\text{lin}}$ ( $\text{mg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{\text{par}}$ ( $\text{mg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1/2}$ )
ZrC	800	0.00066	0.07615
	1000	0.00228	0.24303
	1200	0.00698	0.48492
$\text{ZrC}_{0.9}$	800	0.00410	0.03669
	1000	0.00654	0.16977
	1200	0.00405	0.52410
$\text{ZrC}_{0.8}$	800	0.00287	0.07762
	1000	0.00129	0.35457
	1200	0.00660	0.65476






Fig. 15 Proposed mechanism of ZrC ceramics corroded in water vapor from room temperature to 1200 °C and then cooled: (a) ZrC at room temperature with no corrosion; (b) formation of an oxycarbide layer with H<sub>2</sub> releasing; (c) liberated C precipitation with formation of cubic zirconia in the layer; (d) and (e) oxidation of C to CO and CO<sub>2</sub> gas, leaving behind pores in the zirconia layer and formation of monoclinic zirconia in outer layers with C reduction, also lowering cubic zirconia stabilization; (f) polymorphic transformation from m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> in outer layer to t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and produced CO and CO<sub>2</sub> effused out of interconnected pores or cracks; and (g) transformation from tetragonal to monoclinic polymorph of zirconia in outer layer, crack creation, and a rapid increase in zirconia volume from m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> to t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> transformation caused spalling of outer oxide layers as powder.

deduced that the growth of zirconia crystals and pressure exerted by CO and CO<sub>2</sub> gases started to slowly generate internal stress in the layer. As C is oxidized to CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, the exiting material might have left pores in the zirconia layer.

(4) Increasing the temperature to 1000 °C, the production of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> gases increased with increased oxidation of C. As mentioned in Section 3.2.2, the presence of C was found to be essential for stabilization of the c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase. Bearing in mind C diffusion toward the outer side, it might be assumed that the reaction of C with H<sub>2</sub>O took place also in the corrosion scale, which resulted in the formation of monoclinic zirconia within the outermost surface. CO and CO<sub>2</sub> gases would have escaped through any pre-existing microcracks or interconnected pores in the layer. Hence, the scale consisted of mostly porous m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> with some c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> still stabilized by certain amounts of C.

(5) With further increased temperature, to 1200 °C, the stress exerted by m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> growth at the grain boundaries and the pressure exerted by the increased CO and CO<sub>2</sub> gases initiated cracks in a porous layer. The polymorphic transformation from m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> to t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> occurred in the outer layer, which readily allowed H<sub>2</sub>O diffusion through pores, voids, and cracks and C (or CO<sub>x</sub> species) diffusion out of the sample. Combined with cross-section SEM observations of the residual corrosion layer of ZrC corroded at 1200 °C (Fig. 8), the rate was predominantly controlled by grain boundary diffusion.

(6) As the sample cooled, the phase transformation of ZrO<sub>2</sub> from tetragonal to monoclinic created cracks in the corrosion layer. A rapid volume expansion associated with the phase transformation from t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> to m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> created stress and even cracks, resulting spalling and powdering of the outer oxide layers.

Based on the above analysis, the main controlling mechanisms changed from phase boundary reactions to surface diffusion and then to grain boundary diffusion as temperature increased.

## 4. Conclusions

The corrosion kinetics and mechanisms of ZrC<sub>1-x</sub> ceramics in water vapor between 800 and 1200 °C were investigated. After corrosion in water vapor at 800 °C for 600 s, only cubic ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase was detected in the oxide layer. However, when corroded at above 800 °C, a scale layer was observed consisting of a mixture of cubic and monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub> phases, and the intensities of the characteristic peaks of monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub> increased with increased corrosion temperature. The thermal expansion mismatch between c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> caused spalling to powder of the outer oxide layers at temperatures at and above 1000 °C. The corrosion of ZrC ceramics in water vapor started at ~650 °C. The weight gain rate of these ceramics increased mainly because of ZrO<sub>2</sub> formation, with concurrent H<sub>2</sub> release. With temperature at ~900 °C, C started to react with water vapor to generate CO and CO<sub>2</sub> gases. A series of crystallographic relationships was detected at ZrC/c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> interface. During seam corrosion, c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> formed near the interface retained some crystallographic orientations of the initial ZrC, presenting an “inheritance in microstructure” between c-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and ZrC. The increment rate of weight gain increased with increased corrosion temperature and decreased C/Zr ratio, with the C vacancy apparently passive to the decrease of corrosion rate; this corrosion behavior mainly followed a parabolic relationship. The main controlling mechanisms changed from phase boundary reactions to surface diffusion and then to grain boundary diffusion as temperature increased.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.



## Acknowledgements

Financial supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51532006, 51172052 and 51621091) and Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (No. NCET-13-0177) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 13-0177) are greatly appreciated. Haibin Zhang is grateful to the foundation by the Recruitment Program of Global Youth Experts and the Youth Hundred Talents Project of Sichuan Province. This work is also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 91326102), the Science and Technology Development Foundation of China Academy of Engineering Physics (No. 2013A0301012), Science and Technology Innovation Research Foundation of Institute of Nuclear Physics and Chemistry, and the Dean Foundation of China Academy of Engineering Physics (No. 201402094).

## Notes and references

- 1 M. M. Opeka, I. G. Talmy, E. J. Wuchina, J. A. Zaykoski and S. J. Causey, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, 1999, **19**, 2405–2414.
- 2 K. Upadhyay, J. M. Yang and W. P. Hoffman, *Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.*, 1997, **76**, 51–56.
- 3 X.-H. Gao, Z.-M. Guo, Q.-F. Geng, P.-J. Ma, A.-Q. Wang and G. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 63867–63873.
- 4 D. Gosset, M. Dollé, D. Simeone, G. Baldinozzi and L. Thomé, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 2008, **373**, 123–129.
- 5 G. Vasudevanurthy, T. W. Knight, E. Roberts and T. M. Adams, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 2008, **374**, 241–247.
- 6 O. Barabash and Y. N. Koval, *Crystal structure of metals and alloys*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1986, p. 209.
- 7 J. X. Xue, G. J. Zhang, L. P. Guo, H. B. Zhang, X. G. Wang, J. Zou, S. M. Peng and X. G. Long, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, 2014, **34**, 633–639.
- 8 Y. Yang, W. Y. Lo, C. Dickerson and T. R. Allen, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 2014, **454**, 130–135.
- 9 K. D. Weaver, T. Marshall, T. Totemeier, J. Gan, *et al.*, *Gas-Cooled Fast Reactor (GFR) FY05 Annual Report*, Idaho National Laboratory (INL), 2005, pp. 94–125.
- 10 J. Gan, M. K. Meyer, R. C. Birtcher and T. R. Allen, *J. ASTM Int.*, 2005, **3**, 1–7.
- 11 J. Gan, Y. Yang, C. Dickson and T. Allen, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 2009, **389**, 317–325.
- 12 D. Gosset, M. Dollé, D. Simeone, G. Baldinozzi and L. Thomé, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B*, 2008, **266**, 2801–2805.
- 13 Y. Huang, B. R. Maier and T. R. Allen, *Nucl. Eng. Des.*, 2014, **277**, 55–63.
- 14 M. S. Koval'chenko and Y. I. Rogovoi, *Inorg. Mater.*, 1973, **9**, 321–322.
- 15 S. Pellegrino, L. Thomé, A. Debelle, S. Miro and P. Trocellier, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B*, 2014, **327**, 103–107.
- 16 K. Fukuda, K. Ikawa and K. Iwamoto, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 1979, **87**, 367–374.
- 17 K. Minato, T. Ogawa, K. Fukuda, H. Nabielek, H. Sekino, Y. Nozawa and I. Takahashi, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 1995, **224**, 85–92.
- 18 K. Minato, T. Ogawa, K. Fukuda, H. Sekino, I. Kitagawa and N. Mita, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 1997, **249**, 142–149.
- 19 K. Minato, T. Ogawa, K. Fukuda, H. Sekino, H. Miyashita, S. Kado and I. Takahashi, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 1993, **202**, 47–53.
- 20 K. Minato, T. Ogawa, T. Koya, H. Sekino and T. Tomita, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 2000, **279**, 181–188.
- 21 T. Ogawa and K. Ikawa, *High Temp. Sci.*, 1986, **22**, 179.
- 22 W. A. Stark Jr, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 1978, **73**, 169–179.
- 23 M. Yoshimura and J. Senthilnathan, *Carbon Nanomater.*, 2013, 395.
- 24 Y. Wang, Z.-F. Tang, Y. Fu, S.-R. Huang, S.-F. Zhao, P. Zhang, L.-D. Xie, X.-G. Wang and G.-J. Zhang, *Ceram. Int.*, 2015, **41**, 12996–13005.
- 25 S. N. Rashkeev, M. V. Glazoff and A. Tokuhiro, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 2014, **444**, 56–64.
- 26 R. F. Voitovich and É. A. Pugach, *Powder Metall. Met. Ceram.*, 1973, **12**, 916–921.
- 27 Y. V. Miloserdin, K. V. Naboichenko, L. I. Laveikin and A. G. Bortsov, *Strength Mater.*, 1972, **4**, 302–305.
- 28 W. G. Fahrenholtz, E. J. Wuchina, W. E. Lee and Y. Zhou, *Ultra-high temperature ceramics: materials for extreme environment applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 2014, pp. 391–415.
- 29 B. Wei, L. Chen, Y. Wang, H. Zhang, S. Peng, J. Ouyang, D. Wang and Y. Zhou, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, 2018, **38**, 411–419.
- 30 G. A. Rama Rao and V. Venugopal, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 1994, **206**, 237–242.
- 31 T. Puclin and W. A. Kaczmarek, *Colloids Surf. A*, 1997, **129**, 365–375.
- 32 V. V. Srdić, M. Winterer and H. Hahn, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2000, **83**, 1853–1860.
- 33 R. W. Harrison and W. E. Lee, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2015, **98**, 2205–2213.
- 34 *FactSage 7.1*, <http://www.factsage.com>.
- 35 R. V. Sara, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 1965, **48**, 243–247.
- 36 H. Tomaszewski and K. Godwod, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, 1995, **15**, 17–23.
- 37 S. Shimada and T. Ishil, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 1990, **73**, 2804–2808.
- 38 J. B. Quinn and I. K. Lloyd, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2000, **83**, 3070–3076.

